A proteolytic complex targets multiple cell wall hydrolases in

Pseudomonas aeruginosa

Disha Srivastava[1], Jin Seo[1#], Binayak Rimal[2], Sung Joon Kim[3], Andrew J. Darwin[1]*

[1]Department of Microbiology, New York University School of Medicine, New York, New York, United States; [2] Institute of Biomedical Studies, Baylor University, Waco, Texas, United States; [3] Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Baylor University, Waco, Texas, United States

* For correspondence: andrew.darwin@med.nyu.edu

[#]Present address: Johnson & Johnson Inc., 199 Grandview Road, Skillman, NJ 08558

Abstract

Carboxy-terminal processing proteases (CTPs) occur in all domains of life. In bacteria,

they have been associated with virulence, but their roles are poorly understood. One is

the CtpA protease of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, which is required for type III secretion

system function, and for virulence. Here we show that CtpA works with a previously

uncharacterized binding partner to degrade four substrates. The accumulation of at

least one of these substrates is required for *ctpA* null mutant phenotypes. All of the

substrates are predicted to hydrolyze peptidoglycan cross-links, suggesting that the

CtpA complex might be a central control hub for peptidoglycan hydrolysis. When

considered with other findings, we propose that this will emerge as a widespread

mechanism used by diverse bacteria to control some of their peptidoglycan hydrolases.

This is significant, given the links between CTPs and virulence in several pathogens,

and the importance of peptidoglycan remodeling to almost all bacterial cells.

Introduction

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a Gram-negative bacterium that accounts for over 10% of

healthcare associated infections with an identifiable cause, and even more in the

intensive care unit (Driscoll *et al.*, 2007). It is a versatile pathogen responsible for both

acute and chronic infections (Turner *et al.*, 2014). In acute infections, *P. aeruginosa*

utilizes a type III secretion system (T3SS) to export proteins into host cells, where they

interfere with host cell signaling, immune function, and cause cytotoxicity (Hauser,

2009). In chronic infections, such as those occurring in the lungs of cystic fibrosis

patients, *P. aeruginosa* is thought to form biofilms resilient to clearance by antibiotics

and the immune response (Wagner & Iglewski, 2008, Clark *et al.*, 2015). Importantly,

the emergence of antibiotic resistant strains is increasing, making this pathogen a top

priority for the discovery of new therapeutic targets (Moore & Flaws, 2011).

Proteases are important for the virulence of many bacterial pathogens. Some *P.*

aeruginosa proteases are secreted to act on or within host cells, whereas others

regulate the virulence characteristics of the bacterial cell itself (Lyczak *et al.*, 2000). One

intriguing family of proteases is the <u>c</u>arboxy-<u>t</u>erminal processing <u>p</u>roteases (CTPs),

which are found in all three domains of life. CTPs belong to the S41 family of serine

proteases with a serine/lysine catalytic dyad (Rawlings *et al.*, 2010). As the name

suggests, they are believed to target substrates close to their C-terminus (Hara *et al.*,

1991, Keiler *et al.*, 1995). A well described CTP occurs in plant chloroplasts and

cyanobacteria, where it cleaves a component of the photosystem II reaction center to

process it into its active form (Satoh & Yamamoto, 2007, Che *et al.*, 2013). Gram-

negative bacterial CTPs are found exclusively in the periplasmic compartment and have

been implicated in cleaving proteins associated with cell envelope function and integrity

(Hara *et al.*, 1991, Kumru *et al.*, 2011). Some CTPs have also been associated with

virulence, but mostly by poorly defined mechanisms (Mitchell & Minnick, 1997, Bandara

et al., 2005, Lad *et al.*, 2007, Bandara *et al.*, 2008, Seo & Darwin, 2013, Carroll *et al.*,

2014, Deng *et al.*, 2014). Indeed, despite their widespread conservation, our knowledge

of exactly how CTPs function, and which proteins they cleave, is quite limited.

The model organism *Escherichia coli* has only one CTP, known as Prc or Tsp

(Hara *et al.*, 1991, Keiler *et al.*, 1995). *prc* null mutants have altered cell morphology,

increased drug and osmotic sensitivity, and altered virulence (Seoane *et al.*, 1992,

Wang *et al.*, 2012). Prc has been proposed to process penicillin binding protein 3

(PBP3/FtsI), an essential peptidoglycan transpeptidase required for cell division (Hara

et al., 1991). More recently another Prc substrate was discovered, the peptidoglycan

hydrolase MepS, which cleaves peptide cross-links between the glycan chains of

peptidoglycan (Singh *et al.*, 2012, Singh *et al.*, 2015). These substrates suggest that Prc

plays a role in controlling different aspects of peptidoglycan metabolism. However, Prc

has also been shown to cleave incorrectly folded polypeptides in the periplasm,

suggesting that it is also has a broader role in protein quality control (Silber *et al.*, 1992,

Keiler *et al.*, 1995, Keiler *et al.*, 1996).

P. aeruginosa has a homolog of *E. coli* Prc, with the two proteins sharing over

40% amino acid identity and belonging to the CTP-1 subfamily, according to the

MEROPS database (Rawlings *et al.*, 2010). In contrast to its role in *E. coli*, *P.*

aeruginosa Prc has been proposed to degrade mutant forms of the antisigma factor

MucA, which occur in strains isolated from cystic fibrosis patients. MucA degradation

induces the AlgT/U regulon, causing constitutive production of the exopolysaccharide

alginate (Reiling *et al.*, 2005, Sautter *et al.*, 2012). Prc has also been implicated in

activating the AlgT/U regulon in strains with a wild type MucA protein (Wood *et al.*,

2006). However, Prc has not been shown to cleave any form of MucA directly.

Unlike *E. coli* and many other bacteria, *P. aeruginosa* has two CTPs. The

second, known as CtpA, is smaller than Prc and in a different subfamily (the CTP-3

subfamily; Hoge *et al.*, 2011, Seo & Darwin, 2013). CtpA is required for T3SS function,

and a *ctpA* null mutant is less cytotoxic to cultured cells and attenuated in a mouse

model of acute pneumonia (Seo & Darwin, 2013). In this study, we have discovered that

CtpA forms a complex with an uncharacterized protein, which we have named LbcA

(lipoprotein binding partner of CtpA). LbcA is required for CtpA activity *in vivo,* and

promotes its activity *in vitro*. We have also identified four substrates of CtpA, all of which

are uncharacterized proteins predicted to cleave the peptide cross-links within

peptidoglycan. The accumulation of one of these substrates can explain all *ctpA* null

mutant phenotypes described so far. We propose that LbcA•CtpA is a proteolytic

complex that limits the activity of multiple peptidoglycan hydrolases by degrading them.

Based on these and other findings we also argue that many bacterial CTPs might be

similarly controlled by partner proteins as part of a widespread mechanism to control

peptidoglycan hydrolase activity. This is significant because peptidoglycan cross-link

cleavage must be carefully controlled to avoid lethal rupture, but little is known about

how this is achieved. Furthermore, there are few molecules more important in bacterial

disease, and its treatment, than peptidoglycan.

Results

Identification of the CtpA binding partner, LbcA

We modified the chromosomal *ctpA* gene to encode tandem epitope tags at the C-

terminus of CtpA (CtpA-FLAG-His$_6$). This was done in a strain encoding wild type CtpA,

and in a strain encoding CtpA with the catalytic serine mutated to alanine (S302A). The

rationale was that after tandem affinity purification, substrates might be trapped by the

inactive CtpA-S302A version. These experiments were done with or without *in vivo*

formaldehyde cross-linking prior to protein purification with nickel agarose followed by

anti-FLAG affinity gel. However, the results were similar +/- formaldehyde cross-linking

(data not shown) and so only the results from an experiment without cross-linking are

shown in Figure 1A.

SDS-PAGE revealed that both versions of CtpA co-purified with similar and

abundant amounts of a protein running between 50 and 75 kDa markers (Fig. 1A). This

protein was identified by mass spectrometry as the uncharacterized PA4667 protein

(PAO1 strain designation). A polyclonal antiserum was raised against PA4667 and it

confirmed that PA4667 co-purified with the epitope tagged CtpA and CtpA-S302A

proteins (Fig 1B). PA4667 is predicted to be an approximately 63 kDa outer membrane

lipoprotein with eleven tetratricopeptide (TPR) repeats. The TPR motif is a degenerate

34 amino acid sequence that occurs in tandem arrays and mediates protein-protein

interactions (Blatch & Lässle, 1999). However, PA4667 does not appear to be a CtpA

substrate because its level is similar in $ctpA^+$ and $\Delta ctpA$ strains (see below). This is

consistent with PA4667 co-purifying equally well with active or inactive CtpA (Fig. 1).

Therefore, we will refer to PA4667 as LbcA, (lipoprotein binding partner of CtpA).

$\Delta lbcA$ and $\Delta ctpA$ mutants have common phenotypes

LbcA interacts with CtpA but it does not appear to be a CtpA substrate. Therefore, we

hypothesized that LbcA might be required for CtpA function. Despite repeated attempts

we could not construct an $\Delta lbcA$ in frame deletion mutant. However, we could make

$\Delta lbcA$::aacC1 mutants in which most of the lbcA gene is replaced by aacC1, encoding

gentamycin resistance. This was possible only if aacC1 was in the same orientation as

lbcA. Global RNA sequencing has shown that *lbcA* is in an operon with the downstream

lolB and *ipk* genes, which are both essential (Wurtzel *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, we

speculate that the *aacC1* promoter helps to express *lolB* and *ipk*, and that our inability to

make a Δ*lbcA* in frame deletion might be due to reduced *lolB-ipk* expression (e.g.

destabilizing the operon transcript, or removal of an internal promoter within *lbcA*).

A Δ*ctpA* mutant has a defective T3SS (Seo & Darwin, 2013). Therefore, we

compared the ability of *ctpA* and *lbcA* null mutants to export the T3SS effector proteins

ExoS and ExoT into culture supernatants. Both mutants exported less ExoS and ExoT

than the wild type (Fig. 2A). The Δ*lbcA::aacC1* phenotype was complemented by an

lbcA$^+$ plasmid, supporting the contention that it was due to loss of LbcA rather than

altered expression of downstream genes. We also complemented the Δ*ctpA* mutation

with wild type CtpA but not the proteolytically inactive CtpA-S302A, as shown previously

(Fig. 2A; Seo & Darwin, 2013).

Recently we have discovered that a Δ*ctpA* null mutant has a phenotype in an

assay where bacteria are grown in static liquid culture and then cells attached to the test

tube surface are visualized by staining with crystal violet (O'Toole & Kolter, 1998). After

an 8 hour incubation, the Δ*ctpA* mutant had attached robustly whereas the wild type had

not (Fig. 2B). This appears to be an accelerated surface attachment phenotype because

after a 16 hour incubation, the wild type strain caught up so that its surface attachment

was indistinguishable from the Δ*ctpA* mutant (data not shown). We tested if the *lbcA* null

mutant shares this phenotype and found that it did (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, the Δ*lbcA*

and Δ*ctpA* mutants were complemented by plasmids encoding the wild type proteins,

but the Δ*ctpA* phenotype was not complemented by CtpA-S302A. All strains grew at

similar rates in the conditions used in these assays (data not shown), showing that the

accelerated surface attachment of the mutants was not due to faster growth.

ctpA and *lbcA* null mutants share defective T3SS and accelerated surface

attachment phenotypes (Fig. 2). An *lbcA* null mutant is also sensitive to overproduction

of a secretin protein, which was the phenotype by which we originally discovered a *ctpA*

null mutant (data not shown; Seo & Darwin, 2013). All of these findings support the

hypothesis that LbcA might be required for CtpA proteolytic function.

Evidence that LbcA recruits CtpA to the outer membrane

If CtpA interacts with LbcA, a predicted outer membrane lipoprotein, then this should

tether CtpA to the membrane. However, we and others concluded that CtpA is a soluble

periplasmic protein because it was released from cells by osmotic shock (Hoge *et al.*,

2011, Seo & Darwin, 2013). Even so, a significant amount of CtpA was not released in

our experiment (Seo & Darwin, 2013). Perhaps the harsh osmotic shock can disrupt

LbcA•CtpA complexes. Indeed, we have now found that the amount of CtpA released by

osmotic shock varies between experiments. However, a significant amount of CtpA is

always retained in the spheroplasts, and this is more pronounced when cultures are

harvested at a lower optical density (OD) than before (OD 600nm of 1 instead of 1.5;

data not shown). Therefore, to address the influence of LbcA, we osmotically shocked

lbcA[+] and Δ*lbcA* strains that had been grown concurrently to OD 600 nm of 1 (Fig. 3A).

In the *lbcA*[+] strain, most CtpA was not released by osmotic shock, whereas a soluble

periplasmic control protein was released completely. However, in the Δ*lbcA* mutant,

most CtpA was now released by osmotic shock. This supports the hypothesis that LbcA

influences the localization of CtpA, perhaps by tethering it to the outer membrane.

To test this further we used an approach that separates cells into soluble and

insoluble fractions by French press lysis and ultracentrifugation. Interestingly, LbcA was

found in both the soluble and insoluble fractions (Fig. 3B). We do not yet know if this

has any physiological significance, or if it might be an artifact resulting from some LbcA

disengaging from the membranes during sample processing. Regardless, the important

finding is that in an $lbcA^+$ strain, CtpA fractionated similarly to LbcA, being distributed

between soluble and insoluble fractions. However, in an $\Delta lbcA$ mutant, CtpA was found

only in the soluble fraction (Fig. 3B). Once again, these data are consistent with the

LbcA•CtpA interaction serving to localize some CtpA to the outer membrane.

Isolation of putative CtpA substrates

All the preceding experiments suggested that CtpA and LbcA form a complex, with both

proteins required for CtpA to target its substrates. Therefore, we reasoned that the best

approach to search for those substrates would be to purify only the CtpA that is part of a

LbcA•CtpA complex. To achieve this, we modified our tandem affinity tag approach by

putting the His$_6$ tag on CtpA or CtpA-S302A, and the FLAG tag on LbcA. The tagged

proteins were encoded by separate expression plasmids and produced at near

physiological levels as judged by immunoblot (data not shown). To improve the

probability of success, the plasmids were introduced into a $\Delta ctpA$ mutant to increase the

abundance of uncleaved substrates in the strain producing the CtpA-S302A-His$_6$ trap.

Strains were grown in T3SS inducing conditions and treated with formaldehyde

to covalently cross-link associated proteins. Proteins were purified using nickel agarose

followed by anti-FLAG affinity gel, and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and silver staining (Fig.

4A). Purification of the LbcA•CtpA complexes was successful, and some proteins

appeared to be enriched with LbcA•CtpA-S302A compared to LbcA•CtpA (Fig. 4A). We

analyzed two independent purification samples from each strain by mass spectrometry.

101 proteins were present in both LbcA•CtpA-S302A samples, but neither of the

LbcA•CtpA samples (Fig. 4B and Supplemental Table 1). Most of these were detected

in low amounts. However, for our initial follow-up, we focused on the most abundant as

judged by peptide spectrum matches (PSMs), the uncharacterized PA0667 protein (Fig.

4B). PA0667 has a predicted Sec-dependent signal sequence, a LysM peptidoglycan-

binding domain, and an LytM/M23 peptidase domain. It shares these domains with, and

is 35% identical to, the *E. coli* MepM protein, a DD-endopeptidase that cleaves the D-

Ala-meso-DAP peptide cross-links of peptidoglycan to facilitate cell elongation (Singh,

2012). Therefore, we will refer to PA0667 as MepM.

Evidence that MepM is a proteolytic substrate of the LbcA•CtpA complex *in vivo*

To quickly test if MepM might be an LbcA•CtpA substrate, we constructed a plasmid

encoding arabinose inducible MepM-FLAG and introduced it into wild type, Δ*ctpA* and

Δ*lbcA* strains. MepM-FLAG synthesis was induced by including 0.02% arabinose in the

growth media, and equivalent amounts of each strain were analyzed by anti-FLAG

immunoblot. This revealed that MepM-FLAG was undetectable in the wild type strain,

whereas it was abundant in Δ*ctpA* and Δ*lbcA* mutants (Fig. 4C). To analyze

endogenous MepM we raised a polyclonal antiserum against the purified protein. This

antiserum was unable to detect MepM in the wild type strain, but once again it revealed

228 that MepM accumulated in a ∆*ctpA* mutant (Fig. 4D). Furthermore, the accumulation of

229 MepM was reversed by complementing the ∆*ctpA* mutant with a plasmid encoding wild

230 type CtpA, but not by the proteolytically inactive CtpA-S302A (Fig 4D). Together, all of

231 these data support the hypothesis that MepM is cleaved by CtpA *in vivo*, and that this is

232 also dependent on the presence of LbcA.

234 **Deletion of *mepM* suppresses ∆*ctpA* mutant phenotypes**

235 If the role of CtpA is to degrade/inactivate MepM, then some or all ∆*ctpA* phenotypes

236 might be caused by MepM accumulation. If so, a ∆*mepM* mutation should suppress

237 those ∆*ctpA* phenotypes. To test this, we introduced a *mepM* in frame deletion mutation

238 into wild type and ∆*ctpA* strains and then surveyed their phenotypes (Fig. 5).

239  First, we monitored the export of ExoS and ExoT into culture supernatants by the

240 T3SS. The ∆*mepM* mutation did not have a strong effect on the amount of ExoS/T in the

241 supernatant, although their levels in the ∆*mepM* mutant were slightly increased

242 compared to wild type, a trend that was consistent in independent experiments (Fig. 5A

243 and data not shown). The ∆*ctpA* mutant exported lower amounts of ExoS/T, as before.

244 However, this phenotype was suppressed by the introduction of a ∆*mepM* mutation,

245 with the ExoS/T secretion profiles of wild type and ∆*ctpA* ∆*mepM* strains being

246 indistinguishable (Fig. 5A). Furthermore, when *mepM* was expressed from a plasmid in

247 the ∆*ctpA* ∆*mepM* mutant it reduced T3SS function (Fig 5A). These data support the

248 hypothesis that the accumulation of MepM was responsible for the defective T3SS

249 phenotype of the ∆*ctpA* mutant.

250  We also investigated cytotoxicity towards CHO-K1 cells by measuring their

release of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) into the cell culture supernatant. This is a well-

established model for studying the toxic effect of the *P. aeruginosa* T3SS on eukaryotic

cells (Lee *et al.*, 2005). Indeed, we reported previously that a Δ*ctpA* mutant is less

cytotoxic towards CHO-KI cells (Seo & Darwin, 2013). Here, we found that the

cytotoxicity phenotypes of the Δ*ctpA*, Δ*mepM* and Δ*ctpA* Δ*mepM* strains were fully

consistent with their T3SS phenotypes (Figs. 5A and B). Δ*mepM* did not affect

cytotoxicity, Δ*ctpA* reduced it, and this reduction was suppressed in the Δ*ctpA* Δ*mepM*

double mutant (Fig. 5B). These data further suggest that MepM accumulation in a Δ*ctpA*

mutant causes the defective T3SS and the reduced cytotoxicity to CHO-K1 cells.

260   Finally, we tested the effect of the Δ*mepM* mutation in the surface attachment

assay. The Δ*mepM* mutation suppressed the accelerated surface attachment phenotype

of the Δ*ctpA* mutant, and this suppression could be reversed by the introduction of a

mepM[+] plasmid (Fig. 5C). Therefore, all of these findings suggest that the various

phenotypes of a Δ*ctpA* null mutant require MepM. As expected, we have also found that

a Δ*mepM* mutation suppresses these same phenotypes of a Δ*lbcA* mutant (data not

shown). The simplest explanation for all of this is that the phenotypes of LbcA•CtpA-

defective strains are the result of increased hydrolysis of peptidoglycan cross-links,

which we tested next.

Peptidoglycan cross-linking is reduced in a Δ*ctpA* mutant

The peptidoglycan compositions of wild type and Δ*ctpA* strains were characterized by

liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). The peptidoglycan repeat unit in *P.*

aeruginosa consists of the disaccharide Glc*N*Ac-Mur*N*Ac with a pentapeptide stem L-

Ala-D-iso-Gln-*m*-Dap-D-Ala-D-Ala attached to the lactic moiety of Mur*N*Ac (Fig. 6A). The

disaccharides are joined by β_{1-4} glycosidic linkages to form the glycan chain. The glycan

chains are cross-linked via a peptide bond between the sidechain of *m*-DAP in an

acceptor stem of one repeat unit, to the carbonyl carbon of a penultimate D-Ala in a

donor stem of a neighboring glycan chain. To characterize peptidoglycan compositions,

isolated cell walls were digested with mutanolysin, which cleaves the β_{1-4} glycosidic

linkage between Mur*N*Ac and Glc*N*Ac, resulting in muropeptide fragments with intact

cross-links. Muropeptide ions detected by LC-MS were identified by matching the

observed m/z values to the corresponding entries in a muropeptide library generated *in*

silico using an in-house program. Forty-seven unique muropeptide ion species from the

wild type, and thirty-three from the Δ*ctpA* mutant were selected for the analysis.

Muropeptide fragments were quantified by integrating the extracted ion chromatogram

(XIC) of the selected muropeptide ion (Chang *et al.*, 2017a, Chang *et al.*, 2017b, Chang

et al., 2017c). The normalized summed integrals of muropeptides from the XIC ion

current were categorized based on the cross-link number per muropeptide fragment

(Fig. 6B).　Muropeptide fragments larger than trimers were not observed, and the most

abundant muropeptides were peptidoglycan dimers. Representative mass spectra of

monomer, dimer, and trimer from the wild type are shown in Figure 6, and the chemical

structure and calculated masses for these selected peptidoglycan monomer, dimer, and

trimer are in Supplementary Figure S1.

This analysis revealed that the cell walls of the Δ*ctpA* mutant had a reduced

concentration of peptidoglycan dimers compared to the wild type (87.30% ± 1.34% and

75.85% ± 3.90% for wild type and Δ*ctpA* mutant, respectively; Fig. 6B), and a

concomitant increase in monomers. This is consistent with decreased peptidoglycan

cross-linking efficiency (ρ_{CL}) in the Δ*ctpA* mutant. The calculated ρ_{CL} for wild type and

Δ*ctp*A mutant were 50.86% ± 0.98% and 49.46% ± 0.18%, respectively (Fig. 6C). The

reduced peptidoglycan cross-linking in the Δ*ctpA* mutant is small. However, the

accompanying increase in trimers and monomers, indicates altered peptidoglycan

biosynthesis. Furthermore, a relatively small effect was expected because a *ctpA*

mutant grows well, had very few phenotypes in a Biolog Phenotype MicroArray (Seo &

Darwin, 2013), and is not notably sensitive to low osmotic conditions (data not shown).

Regardless, these data are consistent with the phenotypes of LbcA•CtpA-defective

resulting from accumulation of a peptidoglycan cross-link hydrolase.

LbcA enhances CtpA-dependent degradation of MepM *in vitro*

The *in vivo* experiments suggested that MepM might be a direct proteolytic substrate of

CtpA, and that its cleavage also requires LbcA (Fig. 4). To test this, we purified C-

terminal hexahistidine tagged versions of CtpA, CtpA-S302A, LbcA and MepM by

nickel-agarose affinity chromatography. Equimolar amounts of these proteins were

incubated for 3 hours at 37°C (Fig. 7A). MepM was stable when incubated alone.

However, in the presence of CtpA the amount of MepM decreased, suggesting that

CtpA had degraded it. Furthermore, when MepM was incubated with both CtpA and

LbcA it became undetectable, which is consistent with LbcA enhancing CtpA-dependent

degradation (Fig. 7A). Importantly, there was no degradation of MepM when it was

incubated with CtpA-S302A alone, or with CtpA-S302A + LbcA. This supports the

contention that the S302A mutation destroys CtpA proteolytic activity, that the

degradation of MepM was due to CtpA and not a contaminating protease activity, and

that LbcA cannot degrade MepM itself. Therefore, these *in vitro* assays support the

hypotheses that MepM is a direct substrate of CtpA, and that LbcA enhances the

proteolysis.

To further test the role of LbcA we monitored the degradation of MepM by CtpA

over time, +/- LbcA (Fig. 7B). This demonstrated that the rate of CtpA-dependent

degradation of MepM was faster in the presence of LbcA. We also incubated CtpA +/-

LbcA with another LytM/M23 peptidase domain protein, PA3787. However, PA3787 was

not degraded significantly throughout the time course of the experiment (Fig. 7B). This

indicates that CtpA has substrate specificity, even amongst related proteins.

These *in vitro* experiments support the characterization of MepM as a direct

proteolytic substrate of CtpA. They also suggest that LbcA catalyzes CtpA-dependent

proteolysis. This offers an explanation for the requirement of LbcA *in vivo*, and is

consistent with Δ*ctpA* and Δ*lbcA* mutants having common phenotypes. CtpA is a

member of the C-terminal processing protease family. However, our *in vitro* assays

suggest that it degrades MepM rather than only processing its C-terminus in a single

cleavage event. We did not observe any stable fragments in the *in vitro* assays, and we

also have not detected stable truncated MepM fragments *in vivo* with our MepM

antiserum (data not shown). Of course, it remains possible that CtpA cleaves close to

the C-terminus of MepM, but that it also cleaves the reaction product(s) in a serious of

C-terminal proteolytic events resulting in complete degradation.

The LbcA•CtpA complex also degrades some other predicted peptidoglycan cross-link hydrolases

Based on PSM, the MepM substrate was the most abundant protein that co-purified with the LbcA•CtpA-S302A trap (Fig. 4B). Remarkably, the three proteins with the next highest PSM values are also known or predicted peptidoglycan hydrolases (PA1198, MltD and RlpA). PA1198 belongs to the NlpC/P60 peptidase family and is homologous to *E. coli* MepS (Singh *et al.*, 2012). In *E. coli*, MepS is as DD-endopeptidase involved in cell elongation, and it targets the same peptide cross-links as MepM (Singh *et al.*, 2012). MltD (PA1812) and RlpA (PA4000) are lytic transglycosylases that attack the glycan chain of peptidoglycan (Bateman & Bycroft, 2000, Lee *et al.*, 2013). RlpA contributes to maintenance of cell shape, and to daughter cell separation in *P. aeruginosa* (Jorgenson *et al.*, 2014).

CtpA cleaves one peptidoglycan hydrolase (MepM). Therefore, we considered the possibility that it might cleave the other three that co-purified abundantly with the LbcA•CtpA-S302A complex. To test this, we constructed plasmids encoding arabinose inducible C-terminal FLAG tagged versions of PA1198, MltD and RlpA, and introduced them into wild type and Δ*ctpA* strains. Synthesis of each FLAG-tagged protein was induced with arabinose, and cell lysates were analyzed by anti-FLAG immunoblot. Like MepM-FLAG, PA1198-FLAG was undetectable in the wild type strain, whereas it became abundant in a Δ*ctpA* mutant (Fig. 8A). We extended the analysis for PA1198 to study the effect of an Δ*lbcA* mutation, which also caused PA1198 accumulation (Fig. 8A). Therefore, PA1198 behaves the same as MepM, suggesting that is also a substrate of the LbcA•CtpA complex. However, MltD-FLAG and RlpA-FLAG were easily

detectible in wild type strains, and did not accumulate in a Δ*ctpA* mutant (Fig. 8A).

Although a trivial explanation is possible (e.g. the FLAG tag inhibited cleavage), this

suggests that MltD and RlpA are not CtpA proteolytic substrates. An intriguing

possibility for their abundant co-purification with the LbcA•CtpA-S302A trap is that they

form a complex with one or more CtpA substrates (see Discussion).

Two predicted peptidoglycan hydrolases accumulate in Δ*ctpA* and Δ*lbcA*

mutants, the LytM/M23 peptidase family member MepM and the NlpC/P60 family

member PA1198 (Fig. 8A). Therefore, in addition to the pull down approach to find

substrates, we also used a biased approach by screening other putative *P. aeruginosa*

peptidoglycan hydrolases. Again, this was done by constructing plasmids encoding

arabinose inducible C-terminal FLAG tagged versions. The candidates included three

more members of the LytM/M23 peptidase family that MepM is in (PA3787, PA4404 and

PA5551), two members of the LytM/M23 family with predicted defective peptidase

domains (PA3623/NlpD and PA5363; these dLytM proteins regulate amidases; Uehara

et al., 2009, Uehara *et al.*, 2010, Yakhnina *et al.*, 2015), and three more members of the

NlpC/P60 family that PA1198 is in (PA0639, PA1199 and PA1844/Tse1). We also

tested PA2272 (PBP3A) and PA4418 (PBP3), two homologs of *E. coli* penicillin binding

protein 3 (PBP3/FtsI). In *E. coli*, PBP3 was identified as a substrate of its only carboxy-

terminal processing protease, Prc/Tsp (Hara *et al.*, 1991). Most of these candidates

were present at similar levels in wild type, and Δ*ctpA* strains, suggesting that they are

not CtpA substrates (Fig 8A). However, PA1199 and PA4404 were not detected in the

wild type but accumulated in the Δ*ctpA* mutant. Again, we extended the analysis for

these two proteins to an Δ*lbcA* mutant, and they also accumulated in this strain (Fig.

8A). Therefore, the biased approach of screening putative peptidoglycan hydrolases

identified two more candidates as putative LbcA•CtpA proteolytic substrates.

To test if the PA1198, PA1199 and PA4404 proteins that accumulated in the

Δ*ctpA* and Δ*lbcA* mutants are proteolytic substrates, we purified C-terminal

hexahistidine tagged versions and tested them *in vitro*. All three were degraded most

effectively when incubated with LbcA and CtpA, as we had observed with MepM (Figs.

7A and 8B). As expected, there was no degradation when the proteins were incubated

with LbcA and CtpA-S302A (Fig. 8B).

CtpA degrades four predicted peptidoglycan hydrolases. Two belong to the

LytM/M23 peptidase family and are homologous to *E. coli* MepM (PA0677/MepM and

PA4404), and two belong to the NlpC/P60 family and are homologous to *E. coli* MepS

(PA1198 and PA1199). Significantly, all four are predicted to cleave the same peptide

cross-link bonds of peptidoglycan. Breaking these cross-links poses an obvious danger

to the bacterial cell. Therefore, the LbcA•CtpA complex might be the central control hub

used by *P. aeruginosa* to regulate this activity. Our discovery of multiple substrates also

raises an intriguing question. If four or more peptidoglycan hydrolases can accumulate

in a Δ*ctpA* mutant, why did removing only one of them (MepM) suppress the

phenotypes? Possible explanations are considered in the Discussion.

Discussion

P. aeruginosa CtpA is required for T3SS function, cytotoxicity, and virulence (Seo &

Darwin, 2013). Other bacterial CTPs have also been associated with virulence (Baumler

et al., 1994, Mitchell & Minnick, 1997, Bandara *et al.*, 2005, Lad *et al.*, 2007, Bandara *et*

al., 2008, Hernandez *et al.*, 2013, Carroll *et al.*, 2014, Deng *et al.*, 2014). However, in

most cases the mechanisms remain largely unexplored, and very few CTP substrates

have been identified. Our goal was to address this deficiency for CtpA in *P. aeruginosa*.

We discovered that CtpA forms a complex with an uncharacterized outer membrane

lipoprotein, LbcA, and that both proteins are required for CtpA-mediated proteolysis *in*

vivo and *in vitro*. We also identified four substrates of this complex, all of which are

predicted to be hydrolases that target the cross-links between peptidoglycan chains.

Therefore, the LbcA•CtpA complex might be a major control hub used by *P. aeruginosa*

to regulate peptidoglycan cross-link hydrolysis.

An exciting parallel exists between the LbcA•CtpA complex we have discovered,

and the NlpI•Prc complex in *E. coli*. Prc is a CTP, and NlpI is an outer membrane

lipoprotein with TPR motifs, and together they degrade the peptidoglycan hydrolase

MepS (Singh *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, the similarities between LbcA•CtpA and NlpI•Prc

are obvious. However, there are also important differences. Prc and CtpA are

evolutionarily divergent, differing in size by approximately 30 kDa and belonging to

different CTP subfamilies. Although NlpI and LbcA are both outer membrane

lipoproteins containing short TPR motifs, their primary sequences are not similar and

LbcA is twice the size of NlpI. Recently, the structure of the *E. coli* NlpI•Prc complex

was solved, showing that an NlpI homodimer binds two Prc monomers to create

docking sites for the MepS substrate (Su *et al.*, 2017). Although the LbcA•CtpA complex

in *P. aeruginosa* could have similarities to this, we suspect that its stoichiometry and

functioning will have differences, due to the different sizes of the proteins involved and

their evolutionary divergence. Regardless, it is important that two very different CTPs,

and two very different lipoproteins, form complexes in two significantly divergent

bacterial species to degrade peptidoglycan hydrolases. This suggests that this could be

an extremely widespread phenomenon. Therefore, we speculate that a new paradigm

will emerge in which many bacterial CTPs work with partner proteins, and that one of

their most important conserved functions will be to target cell wall hydrolases.

The most abundant protein trapped by the inactive LbcA•CtpA-S302A complex

was PA0667, which we named MepM due to its homology with *E. coli* MepM (Fig. 4B).

By analogy to its *E. coli* homolog, MepM is predicted to be a DD-endopeptidase that

cleaves the D-Ala-*m*-DAP cross-links between the peptide chains of parallel glycan

strands within peptidoglycan (Singh *et al.*, 2012). Our finding that LbcA•CtpA degrades

MepM suggests that MepM activity will increase in a Δ*ctpA* mutant. Consistent with this,

peptidoglycan cross-linking was reduced in the Δ*ctpA* mutant (Fig. 6), and a Δ*mepM*

mutation suppresses every phenotype of a Δ*ctpA* mutant we have tested (Fig. 5 and

data not shown). Uncovering the precise molecular explanations will need more work,

but increased cleavage of peptidoglycan cross-links in a Δ*ctpA* mutant might

compromise the cell wall, leading to inefficient T3SS function as well as altered cell

surface properties that cause the accelerated surface attachment phenotype.

Although *E. coli* Prc has been implicated in processing PBP3/FtsI (Hara *et al.*,

1991), MepS is the only substrate it has been convincingly shown to degrade (Singh *et*

al., 2015). Therefore, it is not surprising that a Δ*mepS* mutation suppresses Δ*prc*

phenotypes in *E. coli* (Singh *et al.*, 2015). However, there is a conundrum for *P.*

aeruginosa CtpA. In addition to MepM, LbcA•CtpA degrades at least three more

proteins (PA1198, PA1199 and PA4404; Figs. 8 and 9). Like MepM, all are predicted to

be DD-endopeptidases that cleave peptidoglycan cross-links (Fig. 9). If up to four

peptidoglycan cross-link hydrolases can accumulate in a ∆*ctpA* mutant, why did

removing only one of them (MepM) suppress ∆*ctpA* phenotypes? A trivial possibility is

that some of these hydrolases are not synthesized and/or active in the conditions in

which we monitored ∆*ctpA* phenotypes. Indeed, after growth in T3SS-inducing

conditions, the LbcA•CtpA-S302A complex did not pull down any PA1199, and only low

amounts of PA4404 (2 and 4 PSMs in two independent samples, see Supplemental

Table 1). However, PA1198 was pulled down with comparable abundance to MepM,

suggesting that it had accumulated (Fig. 4B), and all four substrates accumulate in a

∆ctpA mutant grown in LB broth (Fig. 8A). Another intriguing possibility is that some

hydrolases function as part of a multi-hydrolase complex, and removing only one of

them destroys the function of the entire complex. The lytic transglycosylases MltD and

RlpA were pulled down abundantly by the LbcA•CtpA-S302A trap, but they do not

appear to be substrates (Figs 4B and 8A). This could be explained if they interact with

MepM and/or PA1198, so that they were pulled down because CtpA-S302A had

engaged these substrates. We have not yet been able to make null mutants of all the

other CtpA substrates, but addressing their role in ∆*ctpA* phenotypes, as well as

investigating the possibility of multi-hydrolase complexes, are exciting avenues for

future research.

The CtpA substrates MepM (PA0667) and PA4404 are in the LytM/M23 family of

peptidases, and both have similarity to *E. coli* MepM. However, indirect genetic

evidence suggests that Prc, the only CTP in *E. coli*, might not degrade MepM in that

species (Lai *et al.*, 2017). *E. coli* Prc does degrade the NlpC/P60 peptidase family

member MepS (Singh *et al.*, 2015). This is notable, because the two other substrates of

CtpA in *P. aeruginosa*, PA1198 and PA1199, are homologous to each other and to *E.*

coli MepS. Therefore, despite being from divergent CTP subfamilies, *P. aeruginosa*

CtpA and *E. coli* Prc both degrade MepS-like hydrolases. This is perhaps surprising

because unlike *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa* has a second CTP, and the other one is a close

homolog of *E. coli* Prc (PA3257, also known as AlgO). The abundance of PA1198 and

PA1199 in a $\Delta ctpA\ prc^+$ strain (Fig. 8A) suggests that Prc does not degrade MepS-like

hydrolases in *P. aeruginosa*. Another goal for future work is to address this issue

definitively by studying the role of *P. aeruginosa* Prc.

P. aeruginosa Prc was proposed to degrade mutant forms of antisigma factor

MucA in isolates from cystic fibrosis patients, causing activation of the extracytoplasmic

function (ECF) sigma factor AlgT/U and constitutive alginate production (Reiling *et al.*,

2005, Sautter *et al.*, 2012). Prc has also been linked to AlgT/U activation in strains with

wild type MucA (Wood *et al.*, 2006). This is intriguing because the AlgT/U regulon can

also be induced by cell wall-inhibitory antibiotics (Wood *et al.*, 2006). Like *P. aeruginosa*

CtpA, and *E. coli* Prc, it is possible that *P. aeruginosa* Prc also cleaves one or more

peptidoglycan modifying enzymes. Therefore, the impact of Prc on the AlgT/U regulon

could be a consequence of altered peptidoglycan rather than Prc cleaving MucA

directly. In fact, CtpA overproduction can also induce an ECF sigma factor that can be

activated by the cell wall-inhibitory antibiotic D-cycloserine, but CtpA is unlikely to

cleave its antisigma factor directly (Seo & Darwin, 2013). Prc has also been implicated

in activating several other ECF sigma factors in *Pseudomonas* species (Bastiaansen *et*

al., 2014). However, induction of ECF sigma factors by cell wall stress is common, and

so an effect of Prc on peptidoglycan could perhaps be the explanation. Importantly, Prc

has not been shown to cleave any antisigma factor directly.

In summary, we have discovered that a binary protein complex degrades at least

four proteins predicted to cleave peptidoglycan cross-links in *P. aeruginosa* (Fig. 9).

Therefore, LbcA•CtpA might be a central hub used to control peptidoglycan hydrolysis,

which is a reaction with the potential for catastrophic consequences if it is not carefully

constrained. Similarities to the distantly related NlpI•Prc system of *E. coli* suggest that

this is likely to emerge as a widespread phenomenon in diverse bacteria. Given the

links between CTPs and virulence in other pathogens, and the importance of

peptidoglycan in infectious disease and its treatment, this could have major significance.

So far, it has been difficult to investigate when and how degradation of the LbcA•CtpA

substrates is regulated, because they are difficult to detect in protease-competent

strains (e.g. Figs. 4 and 7). However, even though CtpA and LbcA levels do not change,

our preliminary evidence suggests that at least one substrate might be degraded at

different rates depending on the growth phase, (D. Srivastava and A. J. Darwin,

unpublished data). Investigating how this regulation occurs, and the signal(s) involved,

is an exciting question for the future. Other questions include if there is any similarity

and/or overlap in the functions of CtpA and Prc; and what are the roles of their

proteolytic substrates in *P. aeruginosa* physiology and virulence.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains and standard growth conditions

Strains and plasmids are listed in Table 1. Bacteria were grown routinely in Luria-

Bertani (LB) broth or on LB agar at 37˚C. During conjugation procedures, *P aeruginosa*

was occasionally selected for on Vogel-Bonner minimal (VBM) agar. All *P. aeruginosa*

strains are derivatives of strain PAK (Strom & Lory, 1986). *E. coli* K-12 strain SM10 was

used to conjugate plasmids into *P. aeruginosa* (Miller & Mekalanos, 1988). Antibiotics

were used as follows: ampicillin (200 µg/ml for *E. coli*), kanamycin (75 µg/ml for *E. coli*),

carbenicillin (150 µg/ml for *P. aeruginosa*), spectinomycin (50 µg/ml for *E. coli* and 500

µg/ml for *P. aeruginosa*), streptomycin (50 µg/ml for *E. coli* and 250 µg/ml for *P.*

aeruginosa), gentamicin (15 µg/ml for *E. coli* and 75 µg/ml for *P. aeruginosa*), and

Irgasan (25 µg/ml for *P. aeruginosa*).

Plasmid and strain constructions

Plasmid inserts were generated by PCR using standard methods and confirmed by

DNA sequencing. In frame deletion mutants were constructed using the *sacB*$^+$ suicide

vector, pEX18Ap (Table 1). Two fragments of ~ 500bp each corresponding to regions

upstream and downstream of the deletion site were amplified by PCR and cloned into

the pEX18Ap vector. The plasmids were integrated into the *P. aeruginosa* chromosome

after conjugation from *E. coli* SM10 and sucrose resistant, carbenicillin sensitive

segregants were isolated on LB agar containing 10% sucrose. Deletions were verified

by colony PCR analysis. To construct Δ*lbcA::aacC1* mutants, the *aacC1* cassette from

plasmid p34s-Gm (Table 1) was cloned between the upstream and downstream

fragments in pEX18Ap, with *aacC1* in the same orientation as the deleted *lbcA* gene.

Sucrose resistant, carbenicillin sensitive, and gentamycin resistant segregants were

isolated and verified by colony PCR analysis.

To make strain AJDP1140 encoding CtpA-S302A, two ~ 0.5 kb fragments

flanking codon 302 of *ctpA* were amplified from the *P. aeruginosa* chromosome. For

each fragment one of the primers incorporated a mis-match at the codon 302 region to

convert it to encode alanine instead of serine. The fragments were then joined in a PCR

SOEing reaction (Heckman & Pease, 2007) via their overlapping regions around codon

302. This fragment was cloned into plasmid pEX18Ap and then used to exchange for

the corresponding region of the native *ctpA* gene by integration, selection for sucrose-

resistant segregants and confirmation by colony PCR and DNA-sequencing.

To make strains AJDP1133 and AJDP1153 encoding CtpA-FLAG-His$_6$ and CtpA-

S302A-FLAG-His$_6$, respectively, two ~ 500 bp fragments surrounding the stop codon of

ctpA were amplified from the *P. aeruginosa* chromosome. For each fragment one of the

primers incorporated a region encoding FLAG-His$_6$. The fragments were then joined in a

PCR SOEing reaction via their overlapping FLAG-His$_6$ sequences. These fragments

were cloned into plasmid pEX18Ap and then used to fuse the FLAG-His$_6$ encoding

region to the native *ctpA* gene by integration, selection for sucrose-resistant segregants

and confirmation by colony PCR and DNA-sequencing of the PCR product.

Plasmids encoding C-terminal FLAG-tagged proteins were constructed by

amplifying genes from *P. aeruginosa* genomic DNA using a downstream primer that

incorporated a region encoding the FLAG tag, and then cloning into pHERD20T or

pVLT35. Plasmids for protein overproduction and purification were constructed by PCR

amplification of genes, without their predicted N-terminal signal sequences and stop

codons, and cloning into pET-24b(+) in frame to encode C-terminal His$_6$-tagged

versions.

Polyconal antiserum production and immunoblotting

E. coli strain ER2566 (NEB) containing a pET-24b(+) derivative encoding MepM-His$_6$,

LbcA-His$_6$ or OprF-His$_6$ was grown in LB broth to mid-log phase at 37°C with vigorous

aeration. Protein production was induced with 1mM IPTG for 4 hrs at 37°C for LbcA-His$_6$

and OprF-His$_6$, or overnight at 16°C for MepM-His$_6$. The proteins were purified under

denaturing conditions by nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) affinity chromatography as

described by the manufacturer (Qiagen). Polyclonal rabbit antisera were raised against

the proteins by Covance Research Products Inc.

Semi dry electroblotting was used to transfer SDS-PAGE separated samples to

nitrocellulose. Chemiluminescent detection was done after incubation with polyclonal

antiserum or monoclonal antibody, followed by goat anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma) or goat anti-

mouse IgG (Sigma) horseradish peroxidase conjugates used at the manufacturers

recommended dilution. Primary antisera or antibodies were diluted 5,000-fold for anti-

THE-His (GenScript) and anti-FLAG M2 (Sigma), 7,500-fold for anti-MepM, 8,000-fold

for anti-LbcA, 10,000-fold for anti-PA0943, anti-PA4068 and anti-CtpA (Seo *et al.*, 2009,

Seo & Darwin, 2013) and 20,000 fold for anti-OprF.

CtpA-FLAG-His$_6$ tandem purification

P. aeruginosa strains were inoculated into 100 ml LB broth to an optical density (OD)

600 nm of 0.05. The cultures were grown at 37˚C with aeration for ~ 5 h until the OD

600 nm was 1.2-1.4, and cells were collected by centrifuging at 6,500 x *g* for 15 min.

For formaldehyde cross-linking, the pellet was washed with ice cold 10 mM potassium

phosphate buffer, pH 8.0 and resuspended to an OD 600 nm of 5 in the same buffer.

1% formaldehyde was added followed by incubation at room temperature for 30 min.

0.3 M Tris-HCl pH 7.5 was added to quench cross-linking and the cells were collected

by centrifuging at 6,500 x *g* for 15 min and 4˚C for 15 min. The pellet was suspended in

3 ml lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM Imidazole). Then Roche

complete protease inhibitors (2x concentration), 1 µg/ml DNaseI, 1 µg/ml RNase, and 1

mg/ml lysozyme were added. Cells were disrupted by sonication and then 1% *n*-dodecyl

β-D-maltoside (DDM) was added followed by incubation with rotation for 30 min at 4˚C.

Insoluble material was removed by centrifuging at 13,000 x *g* for 30 min at 4˚C. 200 µl

of nickel-NTA agarose equilibrated in lysis buffer was added to the supernatant,

followed by incubation with rotation for 1.5 h at 4˚C. The resin was collected in a 2 ml

drip column and washed with 4 ml lysis buffer, followed by 4 ml lysis buffer containing

20mM imidazole. Proteins were eluted with 1 ml lysis buffer containing 250 mM

imidazole and then mixed with 30 µl anti-FLAG M2 agarose resin (Sigma) equilibrated in

TBS (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl), and incubated with rotation for 2 h at 4˚C.

A 1 ml spin column (Pierce catalog number 69725) was used to wash the resin three

times with 800 µl of TBS. Proteins were eluted by adding 100 µl of 2 µg/ml FLAG

peptide (F3290 Sigma) in TBS and incubating with rotation at 4˚C for 30 min. Proteins

present were identified by LC-mass spectrometry analysis (NYU School of Medicine

Proteomics Laboratory).

CtpA-His$_6$, LbcA-FLAG tandem purification

ΔctpA strains containing pVLT35 derivatives encoding CtpA-His$_6$ or CtpA-S302A-His$_6$,

and pHERD20T encoding LbcA-FLAG, were inoculated to an OD 600 nm of 0.05 in 250

ml LB broth containing 5 mM EGTA to induce the T3SS. Culture medium also contained

100 µM IPTG and 0.02% (w/v) arabinose to induce protein production. The cultures

were incubated at 37°C with 225 rpm rotation until the OD 600 nm was ~ 1.5. The

procedures described in the preceding section were used for protein purification and

identification.

Analysis of T3SS substrates in cell free supernatants

Strains were inoculated to an OD 600 nm of 0.04 into test tubes containing 5 ml of

Tryptic Soy Broth supplemented with 5 mM EGTA, and grown for 6 h at 37°C on a roller

drum. Cells were removed from the equivalent of 1 ml of culture at OD 600 nm of 1.5 by

centrifugation at 3,300 x g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was filtered with a 0.22

µm syringe filter (Millipore) to remove any remaining cells. 1/10th volume trichloroacetic

acid was added to the supernatant, followed by incubation at 4°C overnight to

precipitate proteins. The proteins were collected by centrifugation at 4,500 x g for 40

min at 4°C. The pellet was washed with 1 ml ice cold acetone, then resuspended in 1 ml

of ice cold acetone and incubated for 1 h on ice. The proteins were collected again by

centrifugation at 4,500 x g for 40 min at 4°C. The pellet was dried at room temperature

for 30-40 min until no acetone aroma remained and resuspended in SDS-PAGE sample

buffer containing β-mercaptoethanol. Samples was separated by SDS PAGE (12.5%

polyacrylamide) and proteins were visualized by staining with silver.

Surface attachment assay

Saturated cultures in LB broth were diluted to an OD 600 nm of 0.1 into 13 x 100 mm borosilicate glass tubes containing 0.5 ml of M63 salts supplemented with 1 mM $MgSO_4$, 0.5% (w/v) casamino acids and 0.2% (w/v) glucose. These cultures were incubated at 30°C without agitation for 8 h. The culture medium was removed by aspiration and the tubes were washed twice with 2 ml of water. 500 µl of 0.1% (w/v) crystal violet was added followed by incubation at room temperature for 10 min. The crystal violet solution was removed, followed by two washes with 10 ml water, and then the tubes were inverted for 10 min at room temperature prior to being photographed. Finally, 1 ml of ethanol was added to dissolve the crystal violet and the absorbance of this solution at 595 nm was determined. A tube that had contained the growth medium alone was used to generate the blank. Each strain was tested in triplicate and the error bars in the figures represent the standard deviation from the mean.

Subcellular fractionation

The osmotic shock procedure to release soluble periplasmic proteins was done as described previously, except that cultures were grown to OD 600 nm of 1.0 instead of 1.5 (Seo & Darwin, 2013). To generate soluble and insoluble fractions from lysed cells, 100 ml cultures in LB broth were grown at 37°C with shaking at 225 rpm to an OD 600 nm of ~ 1. Cells were collected by centrifugation and washed with 10 ml of 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 20 mM EDTA. The washed cell pellet was resuspended in 10 ml of cold 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 20 mM EDTA containing Roche complete protease inhibitors. Cells were lysed by two passages through a French pressure cell (1,100 psi), and intact cells

were removed by centrifugation at 8,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was

centrifuged at 100,000 x g for 1 h at 4°C. The supernatant was retained as the soluble

fraction. The pellet was washed twice with 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, resuspended in 20

mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 containing Roche complete protease inhibitors, and retained as the

membrane fraction.

CHO-K1 cell cytotoxicity assay

Chinese hamster ovary cells (CHO) were grown in Roswell Park Memorial Institute

(RPMI) 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 2 mM

glutamine, at 37°C in a 5% CO_2 atmosphere. For the assay, $2x10^5$ cells/well were

seeded in a 24-well plate and incubated at 37°C for 16 h. The attached cells were

washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and then covered with RPMI 1640

containing 1% FBS and 2 mM glutamine. *P. aeruginosa* was grown in TSB media at

37°C until the OD 600 nm was 0.8, then added to the CHO-K1 cells at a multiplicity of

infection of ~ 10. The 24-well plate was centrifuged at 250 x g for 5 min and then

incubated for 4 h at 37°C. Supernatants were collected after centrifugation at 250 x g for

5 min and the lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) concentration was measured using the

LDH Cytotox assay (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. All *P.*

aeruginosa strains were tested in triplicate.

Cell wall isolation and digestion for LC-MS

Bacteria were grown to stationary phase in 500 ml LB broth at 37 °C with 150 rpm

orbital shaking. The cells were harvested by centrifuging at 4,750 rpm for 20 min

(Allegra X-15R with SX4750 rotor, Beckman Coulter), resuspended in PBS, and

sterilized by immersing in a boiling water bath for 60 min. Samples were bead beat

(Bead Mill 24; Fisher Scientific) with 0.5 mm diameter glass beads for ten 1 min cycles

with 1 min rests in between. Beads and other contaminants were removed using a

Steriflip 20 µm nylon vacuum filter (EMD Millipore). Crude cell wall pellets were

resuspended in 2 ml PBS, to which 8 ml of 2% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)

solution was added, then placed in a boiling water bath for 60 min. Boiled cell wall

pellets were washed with deionized water to remove SDS and then resuspended in 2 ml

of 50 mM Tris pH 8.0. DNase (200 µg) was added to the cell wall suspension and

incubated at 37 °C for 24 h at 150 rpm, followed by addition of pronase E (200 µg) and

an additional 24 h incubation. Cell walls were washed once and resuspended in 1 ml of

50 mM Tris pH 8.0.

Isolated cell walls were digested by the addition of 0.5 KU of mutanolysin

(Sigma-Aldrich) to the cell wall suspension at room temperature and incubated for 24 h.

An additional 0.5 KU of mutanolysin was added to the mixture and further incubated for

24 h. The digested cell walls were frozen and lyophilized (Labconco) and then dissolved

in 1 ml of 0.375 M sodium borate buffer (pH 9.0) using HPLC-grade water. Muropeptides

were reduced by adding 10 mg of sodium borohydride (Fisher Scientific) at room

temperature for 30 min. The reduction was quenched by adding 125 µl phosphoric acid.

Reduced samples were frozen at -80 °C and lyophilized. Prior to LC-MS analysis,

lyophilized samples were resuspended in 1 ml of sample preparation buffer (1%

trifluroacetic acid), centrifuge filtered, and cleaned up for LC-MS using 100 µl Pierce

C18 tips (Thermo Scientific).

Peptidoglycan analysis by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry

Mutanolysin-digested muropeptide fragments were chromatographically separated using a NanoACQUITY Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography System (Waters). A reverse phase BEH C18 column (length of 100 mm and diameter of 75 µm) of 1.7 µm bead with pore size of 130 Å was used. Chromatographic separation of mutanolysin-digested PG was carried out by injecting 2 µl of the sample from a 5 µl sample loop to the column under isocratic condition of 98% mobile phase A (0.1% formic acid in HPLC water) and 2% mobile phase B (90% acetonitrile & 10% of HPLC water added with 0.1% formic acid) for 5 min, then a linear gradient to 50% buffer B was applied for 30 min for the separation. The column was regenerated under isocratic condition with 85% buffer B for 5 min, a linear gradient to 98% buffer A for 1 min, then isocratic at 98% buffer A for 23 min. The flow rate was kept constant (0.6 µl/min) throughout the analysis. Fibrinopeptide B (Glu-Fib) was used as an internal standard to correct for drift of the instrument. Data were analyzed using MassLynx (Waters) and MATLAB (MathWorks).

In vitro **protease assay**

E. coli strain ER2566 (NEB) containing each pET-24b(+) derivative were inoculated into 1 L of LB broth to an OD 600 nm of 0.1. The cultures were grown at 37°C with vigorous aeration until the OD 600 nm was 0.6-0.8. Then, protein production was induced by adding 1mM IPTG and incubating for 16 h at 16°C with aeration overnight. The C-terminal His_6-tagged proteins were purified under native conditions by nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) agarose affinity chromatography as recommended by the manufacturer (Qiagen). Following elution with imidazole, proteins were buffer

exchanged into 50 mM NaH_2PO_4, 300 mM NaCl pH 8.0, using Amicon Ultra-4 centrifuge

filter devices (10 kDa cutoff), and then supplemented with 10% glycerol prior to storage

at -20°C. For CtpA-His$_6$ and CtpA-S302A-His$_6$ only, a modification of the manufacturer's

recommendations was needed to improve protein purity. These two proteins were

eluted sequentially with 50 mM NaH_2PO_4, 300 mM NaCl, pH 8.0, containing 50 mM, 100

mM, 150 mM, 200 mM or 250 mM immidazole. Buffer exchange and freezing was not

possible with CtpA due to precipitation. Therefore, CtpA-His$_6$ and CtpA-S302A-His$_6$

were purified, stored at 4°C, and used within 48 h. All experiments were done at least

three times with representative experiments shown in the figures.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Sindhoora Singh for constructing plasmid pAJD2003. Research

reported here was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases

(NIAID) of the National Institutes of Health, under Award Numbers R21AI117131 and

R01AI136901. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not

necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. The mass

spectrometric experiments for protein identification were done by the NYU School of

Medicine's proteomics laboratory and supported in part by NYU School of Medicine.

S.J.K. and B.R. conducted the peptidoglycan analysis and analyzed the data, were

supported by the National Institutes of Health Grant GM116130, and also acknowledge

the Baylor University Mass Spectrometry Centre (BU-MSC) for support.

References

Bandara AB, Sriranganathan N, Schurig GG & Boyle SM. 2005. Carboxyl-terminal protease regulates *Brucella suis* morphology in culture and persistence in macrophages and mice. *J Bacteriol* **187**: 5767-5775. doi: 10.1128/JB.187.16.5767-5775.2005

Bandara AB, DeShazer D, Inzana TJ, Sriranganathan N, Schurig GG & Boyle SM. 2008. A disruption of *ctpA* encoding carboxy-terminal protease attenuates *Burkholderia mallei* and induces partial protection in CD1 mice. *Microb Pathog* **45**: 207-216. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2008.05.005

Bastiaansen KC, Ibañez A, Ramos JL, Bitter W & Llamas MA. 2014. The Prc and RseP proteases control bacterial cell-surface signalling activity. *Environ Microbiol* **16**: 2433-2443. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12371

Bateman A & Bycroft M. 2000. The structure of a LysM domain from *E. coli* membrane-bound lytic murein transglycosylase D (MltD). *J Mol Biol* **299**: 1113-1119. doi: 10.1006/jmbi.2000.3778

Baumler AJ, Kusters JG, Stojiljkovic I & Heffron F. 1994. *Salmonella typhimurium* loci involved in survival within macrophages. *Infect Immun* **62**: 1623-1630. doi:

Blatch GL & Lässle M. 1999. The tetratricopeptide repeat: a structural motif mediating protein-protein interactions. *Bioessays* **21**: 932-939. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1521-1878(199911)21:11<932::AID-BIES5>3.0.CO;2-N

Carroll RK, Rivera FE, Cavaco CK, Johnson GM, Martin D & Shaw LN. 2014. The lone S41 family C-terminal processing protease in *Staphylococcus aureus* is localized to the cell wall and contributes to virulence. *Microbiology* **160**: 1737-1748. doi: doi:10.1099/mic.0.079798-0

Chang JD, Foster EE, Thadani AN, Ramirez AJ & Kim SJ. 2017a. Inhibition of *Staphylococcus aureus* cell call biosynthesis by desleucyl-oritavancin: a quantitative peptidoglycan composition analysis by mass spectrometry. *J Bacteriol* **199**: e00278-00217. doi: 10.1128/JB.00278-17

Chang JD, Foster EE, Wallace AG & Kim SJ. 2017b. Peptidoglycan O-acetylation increases in response to vancomycin treatment in vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecalis*. *Sci Rep* **7**: 46500. doi: 10.1038/srep46500

Chang JD, Foster EE, Yang H & Kim SJ. 2017c. Quantification of the d-Ala-d-Lac-terminated peptidoglycan structure in vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecalis* using a combined solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance and mass spectrometry snalysis. *Biochemistry* **56**: 612-622. doi: 10.1021/acs.biochem.6b00774

Che Y, Fu A, Hou X, McDonald K, Buchanan BB, Huang W & Luan S. 2013. C-terminal processing of reaction center protein D1 is essential for the function and assembly of photosystem II in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **110**: 16247-16252. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1313894110

Clark ST, Diaz Caballero J, Cheang M, Coburn B, Wang PW, Donaldson SL*, et al.* 2015. Phenotypic diversity within a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* population infecting an adult with cystic fibrosis. *Sci Rep* **5**: 10932. doi: 10.1038/srep10932

de Lorenzo V, Eltis L, Kessler B & Timmis KN. 1993. Analysis of *Pseudomonas* gene products using *lacIq/Ptrp-lac* plasmids and transposons that confer conditional phenotypes. *Gene* **123**: 17-24. doi: 10.1016/0378-1119(93)90533-9

Deng CY, Deng AH, Sun ST, Wang L, Wu J, Wu Y*, et al.* 2014. The periplasmic PDZ domain-containing protein Prc modulates full virulence, envelops stress responses, and directly interacts with dipeptidyl peptidase of *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* **27**: 101-112. doi: 10.1094/mpmi-08-13-0234-r

Dennis JJ & Zylstra GJ. 1998. Plasposons: Modular self-cloning minitransposon derivatives for rapid genetic analysis of Gram-negative bacterial genomes. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **64**: 2710-2715. doi:

Driscoll JA, Brody SL & Kollef MH. 2007. The epidemiology, pathogenesis and treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections. *Drugs* **67**: 351-368. doi: 10.2165/00003495-200767030-00003

Hara H, Yamamoto Y, Higashitani A, Suzuki H & Nishimura Y. 1991. Cloning, mapping, and characterization of the *Escherichia coli prc* gene, which is involved in C-terminal processing of penicillin-binding protein 3. *J Bacteriol* **173**: 4799-4813. doi: 10.1128/jb.173.15.4799-4813.1991

Hauser AR. 2009. The type III secretion system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: infection by injection. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **7**: 654-665. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2199

Heckman KL & Pease LR. 2007. Gene splicing and mutagenesis by PCR-driven overlap extension. *Nat Protoc* **2**: 924-932. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2007.132

Hernandez SB, Ayala JA, Rico-Perez G, Garcia-del Portillo F & Casadesus J. 2013. Increased bile resistance in *Salmonella enterica* mutants lacking Prc periplasmic protease. *Int Microbiol* **16**: 87-92. doi: 10.2436/20.1501.01.183

Hoang TT, Karkhoff-Schweizer RR, Kutchma AJ & Schweizer HP. 1998. A broad-host-range Flp-FRT recombination system for site-specific excision of chromosomally-located DNA sequences: application for isolation of unmarked *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* mutants. *Gene* **212**: 77-86. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1119(98)00130-9

Hoge R, Laschinski M, Jaeger K-E, Wilhelm S & Rosenau F. 2011. The subcellular localization of a C-terminal processing protease in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **316**: 23-30. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2010.02181.x

Jorgenson MA, Chen Y, Yahashiri A, Popham DL & Weiss DS. 2014. The bacterial septal ring protein RlpA is a lytic transglycosylase that contributes to rod shape and daughter cell separation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Mol Microbiol* **93**: 113-128. doi: 10.1111/mmi.12643

Keiler KC, Silber KR, Downard KM, Papayannopoulos IA, Biemann K & Sauer RT. 1995. C-terminal specific protein degradation: activity and substrate specificity of the Tsp protease. *Protein Sci* **4**: 1507-1515. doi: 10.1002/pro.5560040808

Keiler KC, Waller PR & Sauer RT. 1996. Role of a peptide tagging system in degradation of proteins synthesized from damaged messenger RNA. *Science* **271**: 990-993. doi: 10.1126/science.271.5251.990

Kumru OS, Bunikis I, Sorokina I, Bergstrom S & Zuckert WR. 2011. Specificity and role of the *Borrelia burgdorferi* CtpA protease in outer membrane protein processing. *J Bacteriol* **193**: 5759-5765. doi: 10.1128/jb.05622-11

Lad SP, Yang G, Scott DA, Wang G, Nair P, Mathison J*, et al.* 2007. Chlamydial CT441 is a PDZ domain-containing tail-specific protease that interferes with the NF-kappaB pathway of immune response. *J Bacteriol* **189**: 6619-6625. doi: 10.1128/jb.00429-07

Lai GC, Cho H & Bernhardt TG. 2017. The mecillinam resistome reveals a role for
peptidoglycan endopeptidases in stimulating cell wall synthesis in *Escherichia coli*.
PLoS Genet **13**: e1006934. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1006934
Lee M, Hesek D, Llarrull LI, Lastochkin E, Pi H, Boggess B & Mobashery S. 2013.
Reactions of all *Escherichia coli* lytic transglycosylases with bacterial cell wall. *J Am*
Chem Soc **135**: 3311-3314. doi: 10.1021/ja309036q
Lee VT, Smith RS, Tümmler B & Lory S. 2005. Activities of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
effectors secreted by the type III secretion system in vitro and during infection. *Infect*
Immun **73**: 1695-1705. doi: 10.1128/IAI.73.3.1695-1705.2005
Lyczak JB, Cannon CL & Pier GB. 2000. Establishment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
infection: lessons from a versatile opportunist. *Microbes Infect* **2**: 1051-1060. doi:
10.1016/S1286-4579(00)01259-4
Miller VL & Mekalanos JJ. 1988. A novel suicide vector and its use in construction of
insertion mutations: osmoregulation of outer membrane proteins and virulence
determinants in *Vibrio cholerae* requires *toxR*. *J Bacteriol* **170**: 2575-2583. doi:
10.1128/jb.170.6.2575-2583.1988
Mitchell SJ & Minnick MF. 1997. A carboxy-terminal processing protease gene is
located immediately upstream of the invasion-associated locus from *Bartonella*
bacilliformis. *Microbiology* **143**: 1221-1233. doi: 10.1099/00221287-143-4-1221
Moore NM & Flaws ML. 2011. Epidemiology and pathogenesis of *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa infections. *Clin Lab Sci* **24**: 43-46. doi:
O'Toole GA & Kolter R. 1998. Initiation of biofilm formation in *Pseudomonas fluorescens*
WCS365 proceeds via multiple, convergent signalling pathways: a genetic analysis.
Mol Microbiol **28**: 449-461. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.00797.x
Qiu D, Damron FH, Mima T, Schweizer HP & Yu HD. 2008. PBAD-based shuttle
vectors for functional analysis of toxic and highly regulated genes in *Pseudomonas*
and *Burkholderia* spp. and other bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**: 7422-7426.
doi: 10.1128/AEM.01369-08
Rawlings ND, Barrett AJ & Bateman A. 2010. MEROPS: the peptidase database.
Nucleic Acids Res **38**: D227-233. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp971
Reiling SA, Jansen JA, Henley BJ, Singh S, Chattin C, Chandler M & Rowen DW. 2005.
Prc protease promotes mucoidy in *mucA* mutants of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.
Microbiology **151**: 2251-2261. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.27772-0
Satoh K & Yamamoto Y. 2007. The carboxyl-terminal processing of precursor D1
protein of the photosystem II reaction center. *Photosynth Res* **94**: 203-215. doi:
10.1007/s11120-007-9191-z
Sautter R, Ramos D, Schneper L, Ciofu O, Wassermann T, Koh CL*, et al.* 2012. A
complex multilevel attack on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa algT/U* expression and
algT/U activity results in the loss of alginate production. *Gene* **498**: 242-253. doi:
10.1016/j.gene.2011.11.005
Seo J, Brencic A & Darwin AJ. 2009. Analysis of secretin-induced stress in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa suggests prevention rather than response and identifies
a novel protein involved in secretin function. *J Bacteriol* **191**: 898-908. doi:
10.1128/JB.01443-08

Seo J & Darwin AJ. 2013. The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* periplasmic protease CtpA
can affect systems that impact its ability to mount both acute and chronic infections.
Infect Immun **81**: 4561-4570. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01035-13

Seoane A, Sabbaj A, McMurry LM & Levy SB. 1992. Multiple antibiotic susceptibility
associated with inactivation of the *prc* gene. *J Bacteriol* **174**: 7844-7847. doi:
10.1128/jb.174.23.7844-7847.1992

Silber KR, Keiler KC & Sauer RT. 1992. Tsp: a tail-specific protease that selectively
degrades proteins with nonpolar C termini. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **89**: 295-299.
doi: 10.1073/pnas.89.1.295

Singh SK, SaiSree L, Amrutha RN & Reddy M. 2012. Three redundant murein
endopeptidases catalyse an essential cleavage step in peptidoglycan synthesis of
Escherichia coli K12. *Mol Microbiol* **86**: 1036-1051. doi: 10.1111/mmi.12058

Singh SK, Parveen S, SaiSree L & Reddy M. 2015. Regulated proteolysis of a cross-
link-specific peptidoglycan hydrolase contributes to bacterial morphogenesis. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci USA **112**: 10956-10961. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1507760112

Strom MS & Lory S. 1986. Cloning and expression of the pilin gene of *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa PAK in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* **165**: 367-372. doi:
10.1128/jb.165.2.367-372.1986

Su M-Y, Som N, Wu C-Y, Su S-C, Kuo Y-T, Ke L-C*, et al.* 2017. Structural basis of
adaptor-mediated protein degradation by the tail-specific PDZ-protease Prc. *Nat*
Commun **8**: 1516. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-01697-9

Turner KH, Everett J, Trivedi U, Rumbaugh KP & Whiteley M. 2014. Requirements for
Pseudomonas aeruginosa acute burn and chronic surgical wound infection. *PLoS*
Genet **10**: e1004518. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004518

Uehara T, Dinh T & Bernhardt TG. 2009. LytM-domain factors are required for daughter
cell separation and rapid ampicillin-induced lysis in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* **191**:
5094-5107. doi: 10.1128/JB.00505-09

Uehara T, Parzych KR, Dinh T & Bernhardt TG. 2010. Daughter cell separation is
controlled by cytokinetic ring-activated cell wall hydrolysis. *EMBO J* **29**: 1412-1422.
doi: 10.1038/emboj.2010.36

Wagner VE & Iglewski BH. 2008. *P. aeruginosa* Biofilms in CF Infection. *Clin Rev*
Allergy Immunol **35**: 124-134. doi: 10.1007/s12016-008-8079-9

Wang CY, Wang SW, Huang WC, Kim KS, Chang NS, Wang YH*, et al.* 2012. Prc
contributes to *Escherichia coli* evasion of classical complement-mediated serum
killing. *Infect Immun* **80**: 3399-3409. doi: 10.1128/iai.00321-12

Wood LF, Leech AJ & Ohman DE. 2006. Cell wall-inhibitory antibiotics activte the
alginate biosynthesis operon in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: roles of σ^{22} (AlgT) and
the AlgW and Prc proteases. *Mol Microbiol* **62**: 412-426. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-
2958.2006.05390.x

Wurtzel O, Yoder-Himes DR, Han K, Dandekar AA, Edelheit S, Greenberg EP*, et al.*
2012. The single-nucleotide resolution transcriptome of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
grown in body temperature. *PLoS Pathog* **8**: e1002945. doi:
10.1371/journal.ppat.1002945

Yakhnina AA, McManus HR & Bernhardt TG. 2015. The cell wall amidase AmiB is
essential for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cell division, drug resistance and viability.
Mol Microbiol **97**: 957-973. doi: 10.1111/mmi.13077

Table 1. Strains and plasmids

Name	Genotype/Features	Reference or Source
P. aeruginosa strains		
PAK [a]	wild type strain	(Strom & Lory, 1986)
AJDP566	*pscC*::pAJD1	(Seo & Darwin, 2013)
AJDP730	$\Delta ctpA$	(Seo & Darwin, 2013)
AJDP1041	$\Delta lbcA::aacC1$	This study
AJDP1133	$\Phi[ctpA\text{-}flag\text{-}his_6]$	This study
AJDP1140	*ctpA-S302A*	This study
AJDP1153	$\Phi[ctpA\text{-}S302A\text{-}flag\text{-}his_6]$	This study
AJDP1228	$\Delta mepM$	This study
AJDP1229	$\Delta ctpA\ \Delta mepM$	This study
AJDP1230	$\Delta lbcA::aacC1\ \Delta mepM$	This study
Plasmids		
pHERD20T	Amp^r, pMB1 *ori*, *araBp* expression vector	(Qiu *et al.*, 2008)
pET-24b(+)	Kan^r, pMB1 *ori*, *T7p* expression vector	Novagen
pEX18Ap	Amp^r, pMB1 *ori*, *oriT*, *sacB*$^+$	(Hoang *et al.*, 1998)
pVLT35	Sm^r, Sp^r, RSF1010 *ori*, *tacp* expression vector	(de Lorenzo *et al.*, 1993)
p34s-Gm	Ap^r, *aacC1*$^+$ (Gm^r), pUC *ori*	(Dennis & Zylstra, 1998)
pAJD2290	*T7p-´ctpA-his$_6$* in pET-24b(+)	(Seo & Darwin, 2013)
pAJD2003	*T7p-´oprF-his$_6$* in pET-24b(+)	This study
pAJD2350	*tacp-ctpA-his6* in pVLT35	(Seo & Darwin, 2013)
pAJD2351	*tacp-ctpA-S302A-his$_6$* in pVLT35	(Seo & Darwin, 2013)
pAJD2226	*araBp-ctpA-his6* in pHERD20T	(Seo & Darwin, 2013)
pAJD2227	*araBp-ctpA-S302A-his$_6$* in pHERD20T	(Seo & Darwin, 2013)
pAJD2443	*tacp-lbcA-flag* in pVLT35	This study
pAJD2653	*T7p-´lbcA-his$_6$* in pET-24b(+)	This study
pAJD2655	*T7p-´ctpA-S302A-his$_6$* in pET-24b(+)	This study
pAJD2779	*araBp-mepM-flag* in pHERD20T	This study
pAJD2801	*araBp-PA3623-flag* in pHERD20T	This study
pAJD2809	*T7p-´mepM-his$_6$* in pET-24b(+)	This study
pAJD2816	*araBp-PA1812-flag* in pHERD20T	This study
pAJD2817	*araBp-PA4404-flag* in pHERD20T	This study
pAJD2818	*araBp-PA3787-flag* in pHERD20T	This study
pAJD2820	*araBp-PA1198-flag* in pHERD20T	This study
pAJD2821	*araBp-PA1199-flag* in pHERD20T	This study
pAJD2832	*T7p-´PA1198-his$_6$* in pET-24b(+)	This study
pAJD2833	*T7p-´PA3787-his$_6$* in pET-24b(+)	This study
pAJD2834	*T7p-´PA4404-his$_6$* in pET-24b(+)	This study
pAJD2835	*araBp-PA0639-flag* in pHERD20T	This study
pAJD2836	*araBp-PA1844-flag* in pHERD20T	This study
pAJD2837	*araBp-PA2272-flag* in pHERD20T	This study
pAJD2839	*araBp-PA5363-flag* in pHERD20T	This study
pAJD2840	*araBp-PA5551-flag* in pHERD20T	This study
pAJD2842	*araBp-PA4418-flag* in pHERD20T	This study
pAJD2844	*T7p-´PA1199-his$_6$* in pET-24b(+)	This study
pAJD2877	*araBp-rlpA-flag* in pHERD20T	This study

987 **a.** All *P. aeruginosa* strains are derivatives of strain PAK

Figure 1. Identification of the CtpA interaction partner PA4667/LbcA. (A) Tandem affinity tag purification. Proteins were purified from detergent solubilized lysates of the wild type strain (CtpA), or derivatives encoding CtpA-FLAG-His$_6$ or the proteolytically inactive CtpA-S302A-FLAG-His$_6$. Purification was done with nickel agarose (Stage 1) followed by anti-FLAG M2 agarose resin (Stage 2). Samples were separated on a 12.5% SDS polyacrylamide gel, which was stained with silver. Molecular-mass-marker proteins (kDa) are labeled on the right-hand side. (B) Immunoblot analysis of detergent solubilized lysate, and Stage 1 and 2 purified samples, prepared as in panel A. LbcA and CtpA were detected with polyclonal antisera. Strains in which CtpA or CtpA-S302A were not tagged with FLAG-His$_6$ served as negative controls. Approximate positions of molecular-mass-marker proteins (kDa) are indicated on the left-hand side .

Figure 2. Δ*ctpA* and Δ*lbcA* mutants share common phenotypes. (A) T3SS function. Wild type, Δ*ctpA* or Δ*lbcA* strains contained the *tac* promoter expression plasmid pVLT35 (vector), or derivatives encoding CtpA, CtpA-S302A or LbcA as indicated. TSB growth medium contained 1mM EGTA to induce T3SS activity, and 10 μM IPTG to induce the *tac* promoter of the complementing plasmids. Cell free supernatants derived from equivalent amounts of cells were separated on a SDS-PAGE gel, which was stained with silver. The region with the abundant T3SS effectors ExoT and ExoS is shown. (B) Surface attachment. The same strains as in panel A were incubated in glass test tubes at 30°C without agitation for 8 hours. The growth medium contained 10μM IPTG to induce the *tac* promoter of the complementing plasmids. Bacterial cells attached to the glass at the air/liquid interface were stained with crystal violet (purple rings). The stain was dissolved in ethanol and quantified by measuring absorbance at 540 nm. All strains were tested in triplicate and error bars represent the standard deviation from the mean .

Figure 3. LbcA promotes retention of CtpA in spheroplast and membrane fractions. (A) Osmotic shock fractionation. Total cell lysate (whole cells), as well as spheroplast and periplasm fractions generated by osmotic shock, were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose and proteins detected with polyclonal antisera. PA0943 is a soluble periplasmic protein control, and PA4068 is a cytoplasmic spheroplast-retention control (Seo & Darwin, 2013). (B) Fractionation of lysed cells. Cells were lysed by two passages through a French pressure cell and separated into soluble and insoluble (Membrane) fractions by ultracentrifugation. Samples derived from equivalent amounts of cells were separated by SDS PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose, and proteins detected with polyclonal antisera. PA0943 is a soluble protein control and OprF is an insoluble outer membrane control.

Figure 4. Identification of MepM as a putative substrate of the LbcA•CtpA proteolytic complex. (A) Tandem affinity tag purification. Proteins were purified from detergent solubilized lysates of ∆*ctpA* strains. All strains had a plasmid encoding LbcA-FLAG as well as a second plasmid encoding untagged CtpA (negative control), CtpA-His$_6$ or the proteolytically inactive CtpA-S302A-His$_6$. Purification was done with nickel agarose followed by anti-FLAG M2 agarose resin. Samples were separated on a 12.5% SDS polyacrylamide gel, which was stained with silver. Molecular-mass-marker proteins (kDa) are labeled on the left-hand side. An irrelevant region of the gel between the marker and sample lanes has been removed. (B) Scatter plot of proteins identified by mass spectrometry after purification from strains encoding CtpA-S302A-His$_6$. Data are the average peptide spectrum matches (PSM) and sequence coverage from duplicate purifications from strains with CtpA-S302A-His$_6$. Each point represents a different protein. All proteins plotted were detected in both of the LbcA•CtpA-S302A-His$_6$ purifications but neither of duplicate LbcA•CtpA-His$_6$ purifications. (C) Immunoblot analysis of equivalent amounts of whole cell lysates of wild type, ∆*ctpA* and ∆*lbcA* strains. All strains contained an arabinose-inducible expression plasmid encoding MepM-FLAG. Approximate positions of molecular-mass-marker proteins (kDa) are indicated on the left-hand side. MepM-FLAG was detected with anti-FLAG monoclonal antibodies and CtpA and LbcA were detected with polyclonal antisera. (D) Detection of endogenous MepM. Immunoblot analysis of equivalent amounts of whole cell lysates of wild type and ∆*ctpA* strains. Strains contained the *tac* promoter expression plasmid pVLT35 (-), or derivatives encoding CtpA or CtpA-S302A as indicated. Approximate positions of molecular-mass-marker proteins (kDa) are indicated on the left-hand side. MepM, CtpA and LbcA were detected with polyclonal antisera.

Figure 5. A *mepM* null mutation suppresses Δ*ctpA* phenotypes. (A) T3SS function. Wild type, Δ*mepM*, Δ*ctpA* or Δ*ctpA* Δ*mepM* strains contained the *araBp* promoter expression plasmid pHERD20T (vector), or a derivative encoding MepM as indicated. TSB growth medium contained 1 mM EGTA to induce T3SS activity, and 0.02% arabinose to induce the *araB* promoter of pHERD20T. Cell free supernatants derived from equivalent amounts of cells were separated by SDS-PAGE gel, which was stained with silver. The region with the abundant T3SS effectors ExoT and ExoS is shown. (B) Cytotoxicity to CHO-K1 cells. Strains with the indicated genotypes were added to CHO-K1 cells at a multiplicity of infection of ~10 and incubated for 4 h. Cell-free supernatants were then analyzed for lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) content. The amount of LDH in the supernatant following incubation with the wild type strain was set to 100% and the values for the mutants are shown as the relative percentage. Data were averaged from three independent experiments with the error bars showing the standard deviation from the mean. (C) Surface attachment. The same strains from panel A were incubated in glass test tubes at 30°C without agitation for 8 hours. The growth medium contained 0.02% arabinose to induce the *araB* promoter of the complementing plasmids. Bacterial cells attached to the glass at the air liquid interface were stained with crystal violet (purple rings). The stain was dissolved in ethanol and quantified by measuring absorbance at 595nm. All strains were tested in triplicate and error bars represent the standard deviation from the mean .

Figure 6. Changes in peptidoglycan composition of a *ΔctpA* mutant. (A) Chemical structure of peptidoglycan-repeat unit in *P. aeruginosa*. The disaccharide of peptidoglycan-repeat unit is shown with two modifications: O-acetylation of MurNAc at C6 position and amidation of D-iso-Glu in PG-stem to to D-iso-Gln. The inter-peptide bridge structure in *P. aeruginosa* is *m*-Dap. A schematic representation of a peptidoglycan-repeat unit is shown as a figure inset with the disaccharide as gray-filled circles, the amino acids in the pentapeptide stem as open triangles, and the inter-peptide bridge structure as a line. The polymerized peptidoglycans are cross-liked by forming a peptide bond between the sidechain of *m*-Dap from one repeat unit to the carbonyl carbon of penultimate D-Ala of a peptidoglycan stem from a neighboring glycan chain. (B) The mutanolysin-digested muropeptide fragments were characterized by LC-MS. Forty-seven unique muropeptide ions from the wild type and thirty-three from the *ΔctpA* mutant were identified from LC-MS and each muropeptide was quantified by integrating the extracted ion chromatogram (XIC) of the selected muropeptide ion. Peptidoglycan dimers are the most abundant muropeptides found in both wild type and *ΔctpA* mutant with percent compositions of 87.30% ± 1.34% and 75.85% ± 3.90%, respectively. Muropeptide fragments larger than trimers were not observed. The *p*-values for the differences between wild type and *ΔctpA* strains for monomers, dimers, and trimers based on the t-test are 0.0001, 0.0003, and 0.0216, respectively. (C) The calculated peptidoglycan cross-linking efficiency (ρ_{CL}) for wild type and *ΔctpA* mutant are 50.86% ± 0.98% and 49.46% ± 0.18%, respectively, with a *p*-value of 0.0038. All errors bars represent 95% confidence interval (n = 3). Representative mass spectra of monomer (D), dimer (E), and trimer (F) are shown with the XICs as insets. The corresponding chemical structures are in Supplementary Figure S1.

Figure 7. *In vitro* proteolysis of MepM. (A) CtpA degrades MepM and is enhanced by LbcA. 2 μM of the indicated C-terminal His$_6$-tagged proteins were incubated for 3 h at 37°C. Samples were separated on a 12.5 % SDS PAGE gel, which was stained with coomassie brilliant blue. Approximate positions of molecular-mass-marker proteins (kDa) are indicated on the left-hand side. (B) Time course. Reactions contained 2 μM of CtpA-His$_6$ and MepM-His$_6$ either without (-LbcA) or with (+ LbcA) 2 μM of LbcA-His$_6$. Reactions were terminated at the indicated time points by adding SDS-PAGE sample buffer and boiling. As a negative control, the same experiment was done using PA3787 in place of MepM. Samples were separated on 12.5 % SDS PAGE gels, which were stained with coomassie brilliant blue. Approximate positions of molecular-mass-marker proteins (kDa) are indicated on the left-hand side. PA3787 ran at the midway point between marker proteins of 25 and 37 kDa, which were below and above the region of the gel shown in the figure.

A

B

Figure 8. Identification of additional substrates of the LbcA•CtpA complex. (A) *In vivo* analysis. Immunoblot analysis of equivalent amounts of whole cell lysates of wild type and Δ*ctpA* strains, and also of Δ*lbcA* strains for proteins that accumulated in the Δ*ctpA* mutant. All strains contained an arabinose-inducible expression plasmid encoding C-terminal FLAG-tagged versions of the indicated proteins. Approximate positions of molecular-mass-marker proteins (kDa) are indicated on the left-hand side. Test proteins were detected with anti-FLAG monoclonal antibodies, and CtpA and LbcA were detected with polyclonal antisera. Each box has analysis of proteins in related families, as indicated at the top. Only those proteins with names in bold face accumulated in the Δ*ctpA* mutant. (B) *In vitro* analysis. 2 μM of the indicated C-terminal His$_6$-tagged proteins were incubated for 3 h at 37°C. Protein only indicates incubation of the test substrate alone. PA3787-His$_6$ was used as a negative control. Samples were separated on a 15 % SDS PAGE gel, which was stained with coomassie brilliant blue. Approximate positions of molecular-mass-marker proteins (kDa) are indicated on the left-hand side .

Figure 9. Model: the LbcA•CtpA complex is an important control hub for peptidoglycan cross-link hydrolases. In a wild type strain CtpA forms a complex with the outer membrane lipoprotein LbcA, which is required for CtpA to degrade its targets. The LbcA•CtpA complex has the potential to degrade at least four enzymes predicted to cleave peptidoglycan cross-links (MepM, PA1198, PA1199 and PA4404). This results in crosslink hydrolysis being carefully constrained to limit it to the amount needed for cell elongation and/or division. In a Δ*ctpA* mutant, the activity of MepM accumulates, which might cause excessive crosslink cleavage and subsequent phenotypes, including a defective T3SS and enhanced surface attachment. However, if *mepM* is deleted from the Δ*ctpA* mutant, the excessive crosslink cleavage is reduced and phenotypes revert back to wild type. It is not yet known if the PA1198, PA1199 and PA4404 substrates make any contribution to Δ*ctpA* mutant phenotypes described in this study. OM = outer membrane, IM = inner membrane.