A T7 phage factor required for managing RpoS in *Escherichia coli*

Aline Tabib-Salazar[2, a], Bing Liu[1, a], Declan Barkar[2], Lynn Burchell[2], Udi Qimron[3],

Steve J. Matthews[2, *] and Sivaramesh Wigneshweraraj[2, *]

[1]BioBank, First Affiliated Hospital, School of medicine, Xi'an Jiaotong University,

Shaanxi Sheng, China; [2]MRC Centre for Molecular Bacteriology and Infection,

Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK; [3]Department of Clinical

Microbiology and Immunology, Sackler School of Medicine, Tel Aviv

University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel.

To whom correspondence should be addressed**:** Sivaramesh Wigneshweraraj or Steve

Matthews, MRC Centre for Molecular Bacteriology and Infection, Imperial College

London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK. E-mail: s.r.wig@imperial.ac.uk   or

s.j.matthews@imperial.ac.uk

24   **T7 development in *Escherichia coli* requires the inhibition of the housekeeping**

25   **form of the bacterial RNA polymerase (RNAP), Eσ^{70}, by two T7 proteins: Gp2**

26 **and Gp5.7. While the biological role of Gp2 is well understood, that of Gp5.7**

27 **remains to be fully deciphered. Here, we present results from functional and**

28 **structural analyses to reveal that Gp5.7 primarily serves to inhibit $E\sigma^S$, the**

29 **predominant form of the RNAP in the stationary phase of growth, which**

30 **accumulates in exponentially growing *E. coli* as a consequence of buildup of**

31 **guanosine pentaphosphate ((p)ppGpp) during T7 development. We further**

32 **demonstrate a requirement of Gp5.7 for T7 development in *E. coli* cells in the**

33 **stationary phase of growth. Our finding represents a paradigm for how some**

34 **lytic phages have evolved distinct mechanisms to inhibit the bacterial**

35 **transcription machinery to facilitate phage development in bacteria in the**

36 **exponential and stationary phases of growth.**

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 Acquisition of the bacterial transcription machinery, the RNA polymerase (RNAP), is

50 a major mechanism by which bacteriophages reprogram cellular processes in the host

in order to mount a successful infection. The prototypical lytic phage of *Escherichia*

coli, T7, synthesizes three proteins, Gp0.7, Gp2 and Gp5.7, that interact with host

RNAP, to facilitate the temporal coordinated expression of its genome. The genes of

T7 are categorized as early, middle and late to reflect the timing of their expression

during the infection process. Early and middle genes generally encode proteins

required for phage RNA synthesis, DNA replication and host takeover, whereas the

late genes specify T7 virion assembly and structural proteins. The translocation of the

T7 genome into *E. coli* is a transcription-coupled process and requires the

housekeeping form of the host RNAP ($E\sigma^{70}$) to transcribe the early genes from three

strong early gene promoters, T7 A1, A2 and A3, and catalyze the entry of T7 DNA

into the cell [1]. The coordinated action of the early gene product Gp0.7 and the

essential middle gene product Gp2 subsequently shuts off $E\sigma^{70}$ activity on the T7

genome. The viral single-subunit RNAP (T7 RNAP, Gp1, a product of an early gene)

transcribes the middle and late viral genes. The shutting down of host RNAP is

crucial for the coordination of the activities of bacterial and phage RNAPs on the

phage genome and thus consequently for successful completion of the infection cycle:

Gp0.7 is a protein kinase that phosphorylates $E\sigma^{70}$, leading to increased transcription

termination at sites located between the early and middle genes on the T7 genome [2, 3];

Gp2 binds in the main DNA binding channel of $E\sigma^{70}$ and thereby prevents the

formation of the transcriptionally-proficient open promoter complex (RPo) at the T7

A1-3 promoters [4]. Gp2 is indispensable for T7 growth. In a T7 Δ*gp2* phage, aberrant

transcription of middle and late T7 genes (that are normally transcribed by the T7

RNAP) by $E\sigma^{70}$ results in interference between the two RNAPs and, consequently, in

aborted infection [2]. Recently, a T7 middle gene product, Gp5.7, was identified as a

repressor of RPo formation specifically on the T7 A1-3 promoters by $E\sigma^{70}$ molecules,

which might have escaped inhibition by Gp2[5]. However, since phage genomes tend to

be compact and efficient, it is puzzling that T7 has evolved two markedly different

proteins to inhibit Eσ^{70}, especially since Gp5.7, unlike Gp2, is a relatively poor

inhibitor of Eσ^{70} [5]. In this study, we unveil additional biological roles for Gp5.7

during T7 development in *E. coli*.

Results

Gp5.7 is an inhibitor of the *E. coli* stationary phase RNAP, Eσ^S

Previously, we posited that Gp5.7 prevents transcription initiation from T7 A1-A3

promoters by Eσ^{70} that might have escaped inhibition by Gp2 [5]. While this still

remains a role for Gp5.7 in T7 development in *E. coli*, we noted a report by Friesen

and Fill describing the accumulation of the stress signaling nucleotide guanosine

pentaphosphate, (p)ppGpp, in a valine auxotroph strain of *E. coli* during T7

development [6]. Since (p)ppGpp simultaneously induces σ^S transcription and

accumulation of σ^S (the predominant σ factor active in stationary phase *E. coli*) and,

considering that Gp5.7 is an inefficient inhibitor of Eσ^{70} compared to Gp2 [5], we

contemplated whether Gp5.7 might preferentially inhibit Eσ^S over Eσ^{70}. Initially, we

established that (p)ppGpp does indeed accumulate during T7 development in

exponentially growing wild-type *E. coli* cells (Figure 1a). We further demonstrated

that the accumulation of (p)ppGpp is accompanied by an increase in the intracellular

levels of σ^S during T7 development in exponentially growing *E. coli* (Figure 1b).

Control experiments with a *relA/spoT* mutant *E. coli* strain confirmed that the

accumulation of σ^S during T7 infection was indeed (p)ppGpp-dependent (Figure 1b).

Next, we established that Eσ^S could initiate transcription form the T7 A1 promoter as

efficiently as $E\sigma^{70}$ (Figure 1c, compare lanes 1 and 8) and that $E\sigma^S$ activity on the T7

A1 promoter is effectively inhibited by Gp5.7 (Figure 1c, lanes 12-13). Control

experiments with a functionally defective mutant of Gp5.7 (Gp5.7-L42A [5]) confirmed

that the inhibition of $E\sigma^S$ activity on the T7 A1 promoter by Gp5.7 was specific

(Supplementary Figure 1). Strikingly, it seems that Gp5.7 is a more efficient inhibitor

of $E\sigma^S$ than of $E\sigma^{70}$ (Figure 1c). In contrast and consistent with previous observations

[4], Gp2 is a more effective inhibitor of $E\sigma^{70}$ than $E\sigma^S$ (Figure 1c). Overall, we conclude

that Gp5.7 and Gp2 are both required to fully shutdown the $E\sigma^{70}$ and $E\sigma^S$ to allow

optimal T7 development in *E. coli* cells during the exponential phase of growth.

Gp5.7 interacts with region 4 of σ^S

Although Gp5.7 interacts with the core subunits of the RNAP [5], our results indicate

that σ^S would likely constitute a major interacting site of Gp5.7. Therefore, we next

focused on identifying the Gp5.7 interacting site on σ^S. Nickel affinity pull-down

experiments with hexa-histidine tagged σ^S (6xHis-σ^S) and untagged Gp5.7 were

conducted. As shown in Figure 2a, incubation of 6xHis-σ^S (lane 2) with whole *E. coli*

cell extracts in which untagged Gp5.7 is overexpressed from a plasmid (lane 3) led to

the co-purification of untagged Gp5.7 with 6xHis-σ^S (lane 5). Control experiments

with *E. coli* whole cell extracts with an empty plasmid (Figure 2a, lanes 4 and 6)

confirmed that Gp5.7 interacts specifically with 6xHis-σ^S and co-purifies with it.

Since we showed previously that Gp5.7 interacts with promoter DNA proximal to or

overlapping the consensus -35 motif of the T7 A1 promoter, which is also bound by

the conserved region 4 (R4) domain of σ factors, we considered whether R4 domain

of σ^S could be a binding site for Gp5.7. To test this, we conducted affinity pull-down

experiments as in Figure 2a using a hexa-histidine tagged version of the R4 domain

(amino acid residues 245-330) of σ^S (6xHis-σ^SR4). As shown in Figure 2b (lane 5),

we detected untagged Gp5.7 co-purifying with the 6xHis-σ^SR4 domain. In the

converse experiment, we repeated affinity pull-down experiments as in Figure 2a

using FLAG epitope tagged σ^S lacking the R4 domain (FLAG-$\sigma^S\Delta$R4). As indicated

in Figure 2c, and as expected, we failed to detect untagged Gp5.7 co-purifying with

the FLAG-$\sigma^S\Delta$R4 protein (lane 5). Based on the affinity pull-down experiments

shown in Figure 2a-c, we conclude that the R4 domain of σ^S constitutes the binding

site for Gp5.7.

Structural insights into the interaction between R4 domain of σ^S and Gp5.7

To independently verify that R4 domain of σ^S constitutes the binding site for Gp5.7

within Eσ^S and to map the Gp5.7 interface within R4 of σ^S, we solved the solution

structure of the isolated 6xHis-σ^SR4 domain by NMR spectroscopy (Figure 2d and

Supplementary Table 1). The structure demonstrates that the R4 domain of σ^S

(hereafter referred to as the apo-R4 domain) is able to fold as an isolated subdomain,

consisting of five α helices (H1-H5). The α helices H1-H5 superpose well with the

equivalent region from the crystal structure of Eσ^S transcription initiation complex, in

which the R4 domain (hereafter referred to as the bound-R4 domain) is connected to

RNAP subunits via a long and flexible linker [7]. Interestingly, the apo-R4 domain

exhibits some conformational differences in the carboxyl (C) and amino (N) termini

compared to the bound-R4 domain (Figure 2e). The N-terminus of the apo-R4 domain,

which in the bound-R4 domain is connected to Eσ^S via a flexible linker, appears more

disordered. The C-terminus of the apo-R4 domain contacts the core of the structure

making the apo-R4 domain more compact and stable in the absence of the remaining

σ^S domains, RNAP subunits or promoter DNA. We next recorded the 2D ^{1}H-^{15}N

HSQC NMR spectra to monitor the backbone amide chemical shift and line-width

perturbations for ^{15}N-labelled apo-R4 domain in the presence of up to a 2-fold molar

excess of unlabeled Gp5.7. As shown in Figure 2f, several peaks exhibited

measurable broadening effects and the extent of broadening correlating with the

amount of Gp5.7 added (Figure 2f). The Gp5.7 interaction surface was mapped on the

structure of the apo-R4 domain (Figure 2g), revealing that the main interacting

residues localise to the C terminal part of H1 and N terminal part of H2. This analysis

suggests that Gp5.7 binds between the RNAP facing surface and the promoter-facing

surface of R4 of σ^S (notably H3 of 4.2 sub-region of R4; Figure 2d). Overall, the

results from the affinity pull-down and structural analyses unambiguously indicate the

R4 of σ^S constitutes the binding site for Gp5.7 on σ^S.

Gp5.7 inhibits RPo formation by Eσ^S on the T7 A1 promoter

The location of the Gp5.7 binding surface on σ^S implies that Gp5.7 has

evolved to target a σ^S domain potentially important for transcription initiation from

the T7 A1 promoter. Previous reports from several groups have implied that the

interaction between R4 of σ^{70} and the consensus -35 promoter region is required for

the stabilization of early intermediate promoter complexes *en route* to the RPo at the

T7 A1 promoter [8, 9, 10, 11]. Therefore, we considered whether Gp5.7 inhibits Eσ^S-

dependent transcription from the T7 A1 promoter by antagonizing RPo formation. In

agreement with this view, whereas Eσ^S reconstituted with σ^SΔR4 was able to initiate

transcription from a prototypical Eσ^{70}-dependent promoter i.e. *lac*UV5 (albeit at a

lower efficiency compared to reactions with wild-type Eσ^S), we failed to detect any

transcription by Eσ^SΔR4 from the T7 A1 promoter (Figure 3a). We then conducted

electrophoretic gel mobility shift assays at 4°C (to detect initial RNAP-promoter

complex formation) and at 37°C (to detect RPo formation) with $E\sigma^S\Delta R4$ and a γ-^{32}P-

labelled T7 A1 probe to determine whether $E\sigma^S\Delta R4$ was able to interact with the T7

A1 promoter to form the initial promoter complex or whether the initial promoter

complex formed by $E\sigma^S\Delta R4$ on the T7 A1 promoter was unable to isomerize into the

RPo, respectively. Results shown in Figure 3b, demonstrated that although $E\sigma^S$ and

$E\sigma^S\Delta R4$ formed the initial promoter complex on the T7 A1 promoter equally well

(lanes 2-6), those formed by $E\sigma^S\Delta R4$ seem unable to isomerize to form the

transcriptionally proficient RPo. Consistent with this conclusion, *in vitro* transcription

assays with a T7 A1 promoter probe containing an heteroduplex segment between

positions -12 and +2 (to mimic the RPo) revealed that $E\sigma^S\Delta R4$ is able to synthesize

the CpApU transcript (Figure 3c, compare lanes 1 and 2 with lanes 3 and 4). In

further support of the view that Gp5.7 inhibits RPo formation at the T7 A1 promoter,

$E\sigma^S$ was able to synthesize the CpApU transcript in the presence of Gp5.7 when the

latter was added to a pre-formed RPo (i.e. when Gp5.7 was added to the reaction

following pre-incubation of $E\sigma^S$ and the homoduplex T7 A1 promoter at 37°C)

(Figure 3d). Overall, we conclude that R4 of σ^S is important for RPo formation at the

T7 A1 promoter and Gp5.7 inhibits RPo formation by $E\sigma^S$ during T7 development by

interfering with the R4 of σ^S.

A role for Gp5.7 in managing σ^S during T7 development in stationary phase *E.*

coli

The results so far indicate that $E\sigma^S$ accumulates as a consequence of (p)ppGpp

buildup during T7 development in exponentially growing *E. coli* cells and that Gp5.7

is required to preferentially inhibit $E\sigma^S$ activity on T7 A1 promoter. However, when

E. coli cells are in the stationary phase of growth, the major species of RNAP

molecules will contain σ^S (also due to the buildup of (p)ppGpp in response to the

stresses encountered by *E. coli* cells in the stationary phase of growth). In addition,

(p)ppGpp, together with Eσ^S, also contributes to the shutting-down of cellular

activities in the stationary phase of growth. Therefore, the development of a phage

can be affected by changes in the growth state, and thus cellular activities, of the

bacterial cell. Consistent with this view, Nowicki et al [12] recently reported that

progeny production by Shiga toxin converting lamboid phages was significantly more

efficient in a *ΔrelA/ΔspoT E. coli* (which is unable to synthesize (p)ppGpp) than in its

isogenic wild-type strain.

A phage plaque is a clearing in a bacterial lawn and plaques form via an

outward diffusion of phage progeny virions that prey on surrounding bacteria.

Therefore, the rate of plaque-enlargement can serve as a proxy for how efficiently a

phage develops and produces progeny within an infected bacterial cell. Further,

although the aging of the bacterial lawn often represents a major barrier for plaque-

enlargement, T7 plaques have been reported to enlarge continually on matured *E. coli*

lawns [13], suggesting that T7 has evolved specific mechanisms to infect and develop in

the stationary phase of *E. coli* growth. Therefore, we investigated whether Gp5.7 is

required for T7 development in *E. coli* in the stationary phase of growth by measuring

plaque size formed on a lawn of *E. coli* as a function of incubation time in the context

of a wild-type and *ΔrelA/ΔspoT E. coli* strains (recall accumulation of σ^S will be

compromised in the mutant strain due to the absence of (p)ppGpp; see above). As

shown in Figure 4, the rate of plaque-enlargement and plaque size on a lawn of wild-

type *E. coli* infected with T7 wild-type and Δ*gp5.7* phage was indistinguishable for

the first 12 hours (Figure 4 and Supplementary Movie 1). However, whereas the wild-

type T7 plaques continued to enlarge, the rate at which the plaques formed by T7

Δ*gp5.7* enlarged significantly slowed after ~12 hours of incubation and completely

ceased after ~20 hours of incubation (Figure 4 and Supplementary Movie 1). Hence,

after 72 hours of incubation, the size of the plaque formed by the T7 Δ*gp5.7* phage

was ~50% smaller than the plaque formed by the wild-type T7 phage on a lawn of

wild-type *E. coli* cells. We were able to partially, yet specifically, revert the rate of

plaque-enlargement and plaque size of the T7 Δ*gp5.7* phage to that of the wild-type

T7 phage by exogenously providing Gp5.7 from an inducible plasmid in *E. coli*

(Supplementary Figure 2).

In marked contrast, although the rate of enlargement of the plaques formed by

the wild-type T7 phage was similar on a lawn of *ΔrelA*/*ΔspoT E. coli* to that observed

on a lawn of wild-type *E. coli* for the first 8 hours of incubation, the plaques formed

by the wild-type T7 phage continued to enlarge at a faster rate on a lawn of

ΔrelA/*ΔspoT E. coli* than on a lawn of wild-type *E. coli* lawn (Figure 4). For example,

after 48 hours of incubation, the size of the plaques formed by the T7 wild-type phage

on a lawn of *ΔrelA*/*ΔspoT E. coli* was ~2-fold larger than those formed on a lawn of

wild-type *E. coli* (Figure 4) Strikingly, whereas the plaques formed by the T7 Δ*gp5.7*

phage ceased enlarging after ~20 hours of incubation on a lawn of wild-type *E. coli*,

they continued to enlarge (albeit at a slower rate than that of T7 wild-type phage) on a

lawn of *ΔrelA*/*ΔspoT E. coli* (Figure 4). Overall, although we cannot fully exclude

possibility that the absence of (p)ppGpp in *ΔrelA*/*ΔspoT E. coli* will generally provide

a more favorable intracellular conditions for T7 development than in wild-type *E. coli*

cells, the results clearly demonstrate that (i) the accumulation of (p)ppGpp during T7

infection antagonizes T7 development in *E. coli*; (ii) Gp5.7 represents a mechanism

by which T7 overcomes the antagonistic effect of (p)ppGpp-mediated accumulation

of σ^S on its development and therefore (iii) Gp5.7 is also a T7 factor required for T7

development in *E. coli* cells in the stationary phase of growth.

Discussion

The inhibition of the host transcription machinery, the RNA polymerase, is a central

theme in the strategies used by phages to acquire their bacterial prey. In the

prototypical *E. coli* phage T7, the switching from using the host RNAP for

transcription of early T7 genes to the T7 RNAP for transcription of middle and late

T7 genes is tightly regulated two bacterial RNAP inhibitors: Gp2 and Gp5.7.

Dysregulation of this process, for example due to the absence of any of these factors,

is believed to lead to steric interference between the host and T7 RNAP molecules on

the T7 genome and results in compromised or aborted development of phage progeny

[2, 5]. In an earlier study, we proposed that Gp5.7 acts as a 'last line of defense'

molecule to prevent aberrant transcription of middle and late T7 genes by host RNAP

molecules that have escaped inhibition by Gp2 [5]. The present study has uncovered

additional biological roles for Gp5.7 in the T7 development cycle: On one hand, the

results show that during infection of exponentially growing *E. coli* cells by T7 phage,

Gp5.7 serves to inhibit $E\sigma^S$, which accumulates, possibly as a consequence of the

(p)ppGpp-mediated stress response mechanism to T7 infection (Figure 5, box 1-3).

On the other hand, Gp5.7 is required for T7 development in *E. coli* in the stationary

phase of growth (Figure 5, box 4-6). In the latter case, we envisage that Gp5.7 will be

absent when the transcription (of early T7 genes) dependent translocation of the T7

genome by $E\sigma^S$ occurs during infection of *E. coli* in the stationary phase of growth

(Figure 5, box 4), but becomes available when the $E\sigma^S$ is no longer required, i.e. when

the T7 RNAP takes over the transcription of the middle and late genes (Figure 5, box

5). The fact that Gp2 only poorly inhibits $E\sigma^S$ [4] further supports the need for Gp5.7

for T7 development in both exponentially growing and stationary phase *E. coli* cells.

Thus, to the best of our knowledge, Gp5.7 is the only phage-encoded host RNAP

inhibitor (or phage factor) described to date that is required for successful phage

development in stationary phase bacteria. Intriguingly, we are unable to 'rescue' the

T7 Δ*gp5.7* phage in a Δ*rpoS E. coli* strain in the context of the plaque-enlargement

assay shown in Figure 4. As shown in Supplementary Figure 3, wild-type and Δ*gp5.7*

T7 phages are equally compromised to efficiently develop in the Δ*rpoS E. coli* strain.

However, since the intracellular levels of $E\sigma^{70}$ will be higher than in the Δ*rpoS E. coli*

than in the wild-type *E. coli* due to the absence of the competing σ^S [14], we propose

that T7 is unable to efficiently develop in the Δ*rpoS E. coli* because of inadequate

ability Gp2 and Gp5.7 (and Gp0.7) to inhibit the excess $E\sigma^{70}$ molecules (which will

presumably dilute the intracellular pool of Gp2 and Gp5.7 (and Gp0.7) available to

fully inhibit $E\sigma^{70}$ to allow optimal T7 development). Overall, our results indicate that,

although T7 development in *E. coli* depends on the host RNAP (for transcription-

dependent translocation of T7 genome into the bacterial cell and transcription of early

T7 genes), efficient management of host RNAP activity is clearly obligatory for T7 to

optimally develop both in exponentially-growing and stationary phase *E. coli* cells.

Consequently, any perturbations in RNAP levels or activity can have adverse effects

on T7 development.

We further note that, although Gp2 and Gp5.7 bind to sites located at different

faces of the RNAP (with respect to the active center of the RNAP, the Gp2 binding

site is located on the β' jaw domain at the downstream face of the RNAP [15], whereas

Gp5.7 binding site is located at the upstream face of the RNAP (this study)), both T7

proteins seem to inhibit RPo formation by misappropriation of essential domains of

the σ factor (region 1 of σ^{70} in case of Gp2 [4] [16] and R4 of σ^S in the case of Gp5.7 (this

study)). Since the R4 domain of σ^{70} is also targeted by a T4 phage protein, called

AsiA, to 'recruit' the host RNAP to transcribe phage genes (reviewed in [17]), it is

interesting to speculate whether phages, regardless of their dependence on the host

RNAP (unlike T7 phage, the T4 phage fully relies on the host RNAP for the

transcription of its genes), have evolved a common mechanism to misappropriate

essential bacterial σ factors domains to inhibit (e.g. T7) or redirect (e.g. T4) host

RNAP activity to serve phage developmental requirements.

309   In summary, our study has uncovered a new aspect of T7 biology and the

distinct strategies used by this phage to shut down bacterial RNAP for an optimal

infection outcome in *E. coli* in exponentially growing and stationary phases of

growth. The latter is clearly relevant to bacteria encountered by T7 in the natural

environment, which are often in a starved and thus in a growth-attenuated or slow

growing state. Therefore, the insights from this study also have implications on the

emerging interest in the use of phages, phage-derived antibacterial compounds and

their bacterial targets to treat bacterial infections where bacteria largely exist in a

'stressed' state and mostly depend on Eσ^S dependent gene expression for survival [18].

Methods

(p)ppGpp measurements. A culture of *E. coli* MG1655 *rpoC*-FLAG was setup from

an overnight culture in 5 ml potassium morpholinopropane sulfonate (MOPS)

minimal media with a starting OD_{600} of 0.05 at 37°C. At OD_{600} 0.1, 20 μCi/ml [^{32}P]

H_3PO_4 was added as phosphate source and culture was left to grow to an OD_{600} of

0.45. The culture was then infected with T7 WT (ratio of 10:1 – T7:*E. coli*) in the

presence of 1 mM $CaCl_2$. To detect (p)ppGpp production, 500 μl of the cultures prior

to infection (time 0) and at 10 min after infection were added to 100 μl of ice cold 2

M formic acid and incubated on ice for 30 min. The samples were then centrifuged

for 5 min at 17,000g and 10 μl of supernatant were spotted on thin layer

chromatography (TLC) polyethylenimine (PEI) cellulose F (dimensions 20 cm x 20

cm [Merck Millipore]). The spot was left to migrate to the top of the sheet in a TLC

tank in presence of 1.5 M KH_2PO_4 pH 3.6, dried before exposing overnight onto

phosphor screen and viewed using Phosphoimager. For a positive control, 100 μg/ml

serine hyroxamate (SHX) was added to MG1655 $rpoC$-FLAG at OD_{600} of 0.45 and

sample taken and processed as above.

Western blotting. *E. coli* MG1655 *rpoC*-FLAG strain was grown in LB at 30°C to an

OD_{600} of ~0.45. The culture was then infected with T7 WT (ratio of 0.1:1 – T7:*E.*

coli) in the presence of 1 mM $CaCl_2$. To detect σ^S production, 20 ml of the culture

prior to infection (time 0) and at 10 min intervals after infection were taken until

complete lysis was obtained. Experiments with the MG1655 Δ*relA*/Δ*spoT* strain ([19];

kindly provided by Kenn Gerdes) were conducted exactly as described above but

samples were taken at 0 and 40 min after T7 infection. Cultures were centrifuged, cell

pellets re-suspended in 500 μl of 20 mM Na_2PO_4, 50 mM NaCl and 5% glycerol and

sonicated. The cleared cell lysate was then loaded on 4-20% SDS-PAGE and ran at

200 V for 30 min. The SDS-PAGE gel was transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride

(PVDF) membrane (0.2 μm) using Trans-Blot® Turbo™ Transfer System [Bio-Rad]

device and processed according to standard molecular biology protocols. The primary

antibodies were used at the following titres: anti-*E. coli* RNAP σ^S antibody at 1:500

[1RS1 – Biolegend], anti-*E. coli* RNAP α-subunit antibody at 1:1000 [4AR2 –

Biolegend]. The secondary antibody Rabbit Anti-Mouse IgG H&L (HRP) was used at

1:2500 [ab97046—Abcam]. Bands were detected using an Amersham ECL Western

Blotting Detection Reagent [GE Healthcare Life Sciences] and analysed on a

Chemidoc using the Image Lab Software.

Protein expression and purification. FLAG-tagged $E.$ $coli$ σ^{70} and σ^{S} were PCR

amplified from $E.$ $coli$ genome and cloned into the pT7-FLAG^{TM}-1 vector [Sigma-

Aldrich]. Recombinant vectors pT7-FLAG::$rpoD$ and pT7-FLAG::$rpoS$ were

confirmed by DNA sequencing. For the biochemical experiments, recombinant

FLAG-tagged $E.$ $coli$ σ^{70} and σ^{S} were made by FLAG affinity purification from $E.$ $coli$

strain BL21 (DE3). Briefly, the culture of BL21 (DE3) cells containing pT7-

FLAG::$rpoD$ was grown at 37°C to an OD_{600} of ~0.4, cold shocked on ice for 15 min

before protein expression was induced with 0.1 mM IPTG. The cells were left to grow

at 16°C overnight before harvesting. For FLAG-tagged $E.$ $coli$ σ^{S} expression, BL21

(DE3) containing pT7-FLAG::$rpoS$ were grown at 37°C to an OD_{600} of ~0.4 and

protein expression was induced with 0.1 mM IPTG. The cells were left to continue

growing at 37°C for 3 h before harvesting. The cell pellet for both FLAG-tagged $E.$

$coli$ σ^{70} and σ^{S} were re-suspended in binding buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl,

pH 7.4) containing cocktail of protease inhibitors and lysed by sonication. The cleared

cell lysate was loaded to a column containing anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel [Sigma-

Aldrich] and the purified proteins were obtained by adding elution buffer (100 µg/ml

3XFLAG$^{®}$ peptide [Sigma-Aldrich] in binding buffer) for 30 min at 4°C. The purified

proteins were dialyzed into storage buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 50 mM NaCl,

50% glycerol, 0.1 mM EDTA and 1 mM DTT) and stored in aliquots at -80°C. The

FLAG-tagged $\sigma^{S}\Delta$R4 (amino acid residues 1-262) was made by introducing a stop

codon into pT7-FLAG::*rpoS* by site-directed mutagenesis and its expression and

purification was done as described for the full-length protein. The 6xHis-σ^SR4 (amino

acid residues 245-330) was amplified from *E. coli* genomic DNA by Gibson assembly

and ligated into the pET-46 Ek/LIC vector [Merck Millipore] and expressed in *E. coli*

strain BL21 (DE3) for the pull-down experiments and structural studies. The cells

were grown in either LB (for pull-down experiments) or M9 Minimal medium

labelled with ^{15}N and ^{13}C (for the structural studies) and induced with 0.5 mM IPTG

when the OD_{600} reached 0.6 and incubated overnight at 18°C before harvesting by

centrifugation. The cells were lysed by sonication in 50 mM NaH_2PO_4, 300 mM

NaCl, 10 mM imidazole pH 8 and purified using Ni-NTA beads [Qiagen]. The eluate

was then dialyzed against 50 mM NaH_2PO_4 and 350 mM NaCl, at pH 6 and

subsequently concentrated down for NMR experiments whilst for pull-down

experiments, the 6xHis-σ^SR4 protein was kept in 50 mM NaH_2PO_4, 300 mM NaCl,

and 10 mM imidazole pH 8. The 6xHis-σ^S was amplified from *E. coli* genomic DNA,

cloned into pET-46 Ek/LIC vector [Merck Millipore] by Gibson assembly, expressed

and purified as described for 6xHis-σ^SR4. The cells were lysed by sonication under

denaturing condition containing 8M urea, purified with Ni-NTA beads under

denaturing conditions and the denatured 6xHis-σ^s was refolded in 50 mM NaH_2PO_4,

300 mM NaCl, and 10 mM imidazole pH 8. The 6xHis-Gp5.7 was amplified from

pBAD18::*gp5.7* [5] and cloned into pET-46 plasmid. The Histidine tag on Gp5.7 was

deleted to express tag-free Gp5.7 using Q5 site directed mutagenesis kit [NEB]. The

protein was expressed under the same condition as 6xHis-σ^SR4. Recombinant 6xHis-

Gp5.7 and 6xHis-Gp2 expression and purification were done exactly as previously

described [15]. Sequences of all oligonucleotides used in the construction of the

expression vectors are available upon request.

In vitro transcription assays. These were conducted exactly as previously described [5] in 10 mM Tris pH 7.9, 40 mM KCl, 10 mM $MgCl_2$ using _E. coli_ core RNAP from NEB and FLAG-tagged versions of σ^{70} and σ^S were purified exactly as described above. Reactions in Figures 3c and 3d were conducted in 100 mM K-glutamate, 40 mM HEPES pH 8, 10 mM $MgCl_2$ and 100 μg/ml BSA. In all reactions Gp5.7 or Gp2 was pre-incubated with $E\sigma^S$ or $E\sigma^{70}$ at the indicated concentrations before adding promoter DNA to the reaction. In the reactions shown in Figure 3d, Gp5.7 was added to the pre-formed RPo (i.e. following pre-incubation of $E\sigma^S$ and the promoter DNA). Sequences of all oligonucleotides used to generate promoter probes are available in [5] or upon request.

Pull-down assays. For the pull-down assays shown in Figure 2a and 2b, Ni-NTA beads [Qiagen] were used. Approximately 0.02 mg of recombinant 6xHis-σ^S or 6xHis-σ^SR4 in binding buffer (50 mM NaH_2PO_4, 300 mM NaCl, and 10 mM imidazole pH 8) was added to beads and incubated at 4°C for 30 min. _E. coli_ whole-cell lysate containing overexpressed untagged Gp5.7 was added to resin containing sigma and incubated for 1 h at 4°C. The beads were washed three times in 1 ml wash buffer (50 mM NaH_2PO_4, 300 mM NaCl, and 20 mM imidazole pH 8) for 10 min to remove any non-specific protein–protein interaction. To elute samples from beads, elution buffer containing 250 mM imidazole was added. For FLAG-tag protein pull-down assay (Figure 2c), 0.02 mg FLAG-σ^SΔR4 was incubated with anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel [Sigma-Aldrich] in 50 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA at 4°C for 2 h. _E. coli_ whole-cell lysate containing overexpressed untagged Gp5.7 was added to resin containing sigma and incubated for 2 h at 4°C. The beads were washed

three times in 50 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA and 1% Triton

X-100 for 10 min to remove any non-specific protein–protein interaction. To elute

samples from beads, elution buffer containing 100 µg/ml 3XFLAG® peptide [Sigma-

Aldrich] was added. Ten microliters of samples together with Laemmli 2x concentrate

SDS Sample Buffer was loaded on a 10–15% SDS-PAGE alongside Protein standard

Marker and stained Coomassie Brilliant Blue.

NMR structure determination. NMR spectra were collected at 310K on Bruker

DRX600 and DRX800 spectrometers equipped with cryo-probes. Spectral

assignments were completed using our in-house, semi-automated assignment

algorithms and standard triple-resonance assignment methodology [20]. H_α and H_β

assignments were obtained using HBHA (CBCACO)NH and the full side-chain

assignments were extended using HCCH-total correlation (TOCSY) spectroscopy and

(H)CC(CO)NH TOCSY. Three-dimensional ^{1}H-^{15}N/^{13}C NOESY-HSQC (mixing time

100 ms at 800 MHz) experiments provided the distance restraints used in the final

structure calculation [21]. The ARIA protocol was used for completion of the NOE

assignment and structure calculation. The frequency window tolerance for assigning

NOEs was ±0.025 ppm and ±0.03 ppm for direct and indirect proton dimensions and

±0.6 ppm for both nitrogen and carbon dimensions. The ARIA parameters p, Tv and

Nv were set to default values. 108 dihedral angle restraints derived from TALOS+

were also implemented. The 10 lowest energy structures had no NOE violations

greater than 0.5 Å and dihedral angle violations greater than 5°. The structural

statistics are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

NMR titration. Unlabeled Gp5.7 was added to ^{15}N labelled 6xHis-σ^SR4 according to

stoichiometric ratio to perform NMR titration. Maximum five-fold Gp5.7 was added

to 6xHisσ^SR4 in order to broad out the entire spectra.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays. These were conducted exactly as previously

described to distinguish between initial promoter complex and RP$_O$ formation [15].

Briefly, 75 nM of *E. coli* core RNAP (NEB) was incubated with 300 nM σ^S or $\sigma^S\Delta$R4

either on ice (to monitor initial promoter complex formation) or at 37°C (to monitor

RP$_O$ formation) for 5 min in 100 mM K-glutamate, 40 mM HEPES pH 8, 10 mM

MgCl$_2$ and 100 µg/ml BSA. Twenty nanomolar of ^{32}P-labelled T7 A1p was added and

incubated for 5 min. Since initial promoter complexes (formed at temperatures < 4

°C) are sensitive to heparin and, conversely, RP$_O$ are resistant to heparin, the reactions

were challenged with 100 µg/ml heparin before separating the RNAP bound and free

promoter DNA by native gel electrophoresis on a 4.5% (w/v) native polyacrylamide

gel run at 100 V for 100 min at 4°C (to monitor initial promoter complex formation)

or 60 min at room temperature (to monitor RP$_O$ formation). The dried gel was then

analyzed by autoradiography.

Plaque-enlargement assay. T7 phage plaques were formed as described in [5]. To

obtain images of plaques, *E. coli* MG1655 cultures and MG1655 $\Delta relA/\Delta spoT$ were

grown to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.45 in LB at 30°C and 300 µl aliquots of the culture were taken

out and either T7 wild-type or T7 $\Delta gp5.7$ lysate (sufficient to produce ~ 10 plaques)

were added together with 1 mM CaCl$_2$ and incubated at 37°C for 10 min to allow the

phage to adsorb to bacteria. Three milliliters of 0.7% (w/v) top agar was added to

each sample and plated onto plates containing exactly 20 ml of 1.5% (w/v) LB agar.

The plates were then put in a Epson perfection V370 photo scanner [Model J232D]

inside a 30°C incubator and images of the plates were taken every two hours over a

72 h period for analysis. For complementation experiments, *E. coli* MG1655 cells

containing either pBAD18::empty or pBAD18::*gp5.7* or pBAD18::*gp5.7-L42A* [5] were

used and the plaque-enlargement assay was carried out as above on plates containing

100 μg/ml ampicillin and 0.04% (w/v) L-arabinose to induce *gp5.7* expression. The

MG1655 *ΔrpoS* strain was obtained by phage transduction from *ΔrpoS* mutant in

Keio libray [22].

References

1.   Kemp P, Gupta M, Molineux IJ. Bacteriophage T7 DNA ejection into cells is
initiated by an enzyme-like mechanism. *Mol Microbiol* **53**, 1251-1265
(2004).
487
488 2.   Savalia D, Robins W, Nechaev S, Molineux I, Severinov K. The role of the
489   T7 Gp2 inhibitor of host RNA polymerase in phage development. *J Mol*
490   *Biol* **402**, 118-126 (2010).
491
492 3.   Severinova E, Severinov K. Localization of the Escherichia coli RNA
493   polymerase beta' subunit residue phosphorylated by bacteriophage T7
494   kinase Gp0.7. *J Bacteriol* **188**, 3470-3476 (2006).
495
496 4.   James E*, et al.* Structural and mechanistic basis for the inhibition of
497   Escherichia coli RNA polymerase by T7 Gp2. *Mol Cell* **47**, 755-766 (2012).
498
499 5.   Tabib-Salazar A*, et al.* Full shut-off of Escherichia coli RNA-polymerase by
500   T7 phage requires a small phage-encoded DNA-binding protein. *Nucleic*
501   *Acids Res* **45**, 7697-7707 (2017).
502
503 6.   Friesen JD, Fiil N. Accumulation of guanosine tetraphosphate in T7
504   bacteriophage-infected Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol* **113**, 697-703 (1973).
505
506 7.   Liu B, Zuo Y, Steitz TA. Structures of E. coli sigmaS-transcription initiation
507   complexes provide new insights into polymerase mechanism. *Proc Natl*
508   *Acad Sci U S A* **113**, 4051-4056 (2016).
509
510 8.   Chen H, Tang H, Ebright RH. Functional interaction between RNA
511   polymerase alpha subunit C-terminal domain and sigma70 in UP-

element- and activator-dependent transcription. *Mol Cell* **11**, 1621-1633 (2003).

9. Ross W, Schneider DA, Paul BJ, Mertens A, Gourse RL. An intersubunit contact stimulating transcription initiation by E coli RNA polymerase: interaction of the alpha C-terminal domain and sigma region 4. *Genes Dev* **17**, 1293-1307 (2003).

10. Minakhin L, Severinov K. On the role of the Escherichia coli RNA polymerase sigma 70 region 4.2 and alpha-subunit C-terminal domains in promoter complex formation on the extended -10 galP1 promoter. *J Biol Chem* **278**, 29710-29718 (2003).

11. Sclavi B, Zaychikov E, Rogozina A, Walther F, Buckle M, Heumann H. Real-time characterization of intermediates in the pathway to open complex formation by Escherichia coli RNA polymerase at the T7A1 promoter. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 4706-4711 (2005).

12. Nowicki D, Kobiela W, Wegrzyn A, Wegrzyn G, Szalewska-Palasz A. ppGpp-dependent negative control of DNA replication of Shiga toxin-converting bacteriophages in Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol* **195**, 5007-5015 (2013).

13. Yin J. Evolution of bacteriophage T7 in a growing plaque. *J Bacteriol* **175**, 1272-1277 (1993).

14. Farewell A, Kvint K, Nystrom T. Negative regulation by RpoS: a case of sigma factor competition. *Mol Microbiol* **29**, 1039-1051 (1998).

15. Camara B, *et al.* T7 phage protein Gp2 inhibits the Escherichia coli RNA polymerase by antagonizing stable DNA strand separation near the transcription start site. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 2247-2252 (2010).

16. Bae B, Davis E, Brown D, Campbell EA, Wigneshweraraj S, Darst SA. Phage T7 Gp2 inhibition of Escherichia coli RNA polymerase involves misappropriation of sigma70 domain 1.1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 19772-19777 (2013).

17. Hinton DM. Transcriptional control in the prereplicative phase of T4 development. *Virol J* **7**, 289 (2010).

18. Dong T, Schellhorn HE. Role of RpoS in virulence of pathogens. *Infect Immun* **78**, 887-897 (2010).

19. Magnusson LU, Gummesson B, Joksimovic P, Farewell A, Nystrom T. Identical, independent, and opposing roles of ppGpp and DksA in Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol* **189**, 5193-5202 (2007).

560 20. Marchant J, Sawmynaden K, Saouros S, Simpson P, Matthews S. Complete
561   resonance assignment of the first and second apple domains of MIC4 from
562   Toxoplasma gondii, using a new NMRView-based assignment aid. *Biomol
563   NMR Assign* **2**, 119-121 (2008).
564
565 21. Pardi A. Multidimensional heteronuclear NMR experiments for structure
566   determination of isotopically labeled RNA. *Methods Enzymol* **261**, 350-
567   380 (1995).
568
569 22. Baba T*, et al.* Construction of Escherichia coli K-12 in-frame, single-gene
570   knockout mutants: the Keio collection. *Mol Syst Biol* **2**, 2006 0008 (2006).
571
572

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Daniel Brown for help with the (p)ppGpp measurements. This

work was supported by Wellcome Trust awards to SM (Investigator Award 100280

and multiuser equipment grant 104833) and SW (Investigator Award 100958).

Author contributions

A.T-S., B.L., S.W. and S.M. designed research; A.T-S., B.L., L.B., and D.B.

preformed research; S.W., S.M., A.T-S., B.L., and U.Q. analyzed data and S.W.,

S.M., A.T-S and B.L. wrote the paper.

[a]A.T-S and B.L. contributed equally to this work.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Gp5.7 is an inhibitor of the *E. coli* stationary phase RNAP, Eσ^{S}. (a) PEI

cellulose showing (p)ppGpp production during T7 infection. Lane 1: before T7

infection, lane 2: 10 min after infection with T7 and lane 3: positive control showing

(p)ppGpp production in response to addition of sodium hydroxamate (SHX). The

migration positions of ppGpp and (p)ppGpp and the origin where the samples were

spotted are indicated. **(b)** Expression of σ^S during T7 infection. *Top*: Graph showing

the optical density (OD_{600nm}) of wild-type and $\Delta relA/\Delta spoT$ *E. coli* cultures as a

function of time after infection with T7 phage. *Bottom*: Image of a Western blot

probed with anti-σ^S and anti-RNAP α-subunit (loading control) antibodies. Lanes 1 to

5 contain whole cell extracts of wild-type *E. coli* cells at 0, 10, 20, 30 and 40 min

after infection with T7; lanes 6 and 7 contain whole cell extracts of $\Delta relA/\Delta spoT$ *E.*

coli cells at 0 and 40 min after infection with T7. **(c)** Autoradiograph of denaturing

gels comparing the ability of the Eσ^S and Eσ^{70} to synthesize a dinucleotide-primed

RNA product from the T7 A1 promoter in the absence and presence of Gp2 and

Gp5.7. The dinucleotide used in the assay is underlined and the asterisks indicate the

radiolabeled nucleotide. The concentration of Eσ^S and Eσ^{70} was 75 nM and Gp2 and

Gp5.7 were present at 75, 150 and 300 and 1200, 1500 and 1875 nM, respectively.

The percentage of RNA transcript synthesized (%A) in the reactions containing Gp5.7

or Gp2 with respect to reactions with no Gp5.7 or Gp2 added is given at the bottom of

the gel and the value obtained in at least three independent experiments fell within 3–

5% of the %A value shown.

Figure 2. Structural insights into the interaction between R4 domain of σ^S and

Gp5.7. (a) Image of a denaturing gel showing that 6xHis-σ^S pulls down

overexpressed untagged Gp5.7 from *E. coli* whole cell lysate. The migration positions

of the Gp5.7 and 6xHis-σ^S are indicated. **(b)** As in (a), but using 6xHis-σ^SR4 domain.

(c) As in (a), but using FLAG-$\sigma^S\Delta$R4. **(d)** *Left*: Cartoon representation of apo-σ^SR4

solution structure. *Right:* The image on the left rotated 180° clockwise with the α-

helices corresponding to R4 sub-regions 4.1 (in green) and 4.2 (in blue) indicated. **(e)**

Overlay of cartoon representations of apo-σ^SR4 domain (orange) and bound-σ^SR4

domain (cyan). **(f)** Overlay of 2D ^{1}H-^{15}N HSQC spectra of the apo-σ^SR4 without

(black) and with Gp5.7 (red) recorded at pH 6.0, 300 K. Peaks that experienced

broadening or chemical shift perturbation are labelled according to the amino acid

residues in σ^S. **(g)** A surface representation of apo-σ^SR4 showing the regions

interfacing with Gp5.7 (amino acid residues with significant peak broadening and

chemical shift perturbation are shown in red, while those that experience moderate

peak broadening and chemical shift perturbation are shown in orange and those that

experience weak peak broadening and chemical shift perturbation are shown in

yellow); amino acid residues associated with interacting with or proximal to the

promoter DNA (raspberry) and RNAP subunits (grey) are also shown.

Figure 3. Gp5.7 inhibits RPo formation by Eσ^S on the T7 A1 promoter. (a)

Autoradiograph of denaturing gels showing the ability of the E$\sigma^S\Delta$R4 to synthesize a

dinucleotide-primed RNA product from the T7 A1 and *lac*UV5 promoters. The

dinucleotide used in the assay is underlined and the asterisks indicate the radiolabeled

nucleotide. **(b)** Autoradiographs of non-denaturing gels showing the ability of Eσ^S

and E$\sigma^S\Delta$R4 to form the initial promoter complex and the RP$_O$ on the T7 A1

promoter. The migration positions of promoter complexes and free DNA are

indicated. Reactions to which heparin were added are indicated. See methods for

details. **(c)** As in (a) but using the T7 A1 homoduplex and heteroduplex (-12 to +2)

promoters. **(d)** As in (a) but Gp5.7 (1875 nM) was added to the pre-formed RPo

(formed using 75 nM Eσ^S (see text for details).

Figure 4. A role for Gp5.7 in managing σ^S during T7 development in stationary

phase *E. coli*. *Top:* Representative scanned images of T7 wild-type and T7 Δ*gp5.7*

plaques formed on a lawn of wild-type *E. coli* and Δ*relA*/Δ*spoT E. coli* over a 72 h

incubation period. *Bottom:* Graph showing plaque size (%P) as percentage (%P) of

final plaque size formed by wild-type T7 phage on a lawn of wild-type *E. coli* after 72

h of incubation (set at 100%) as a function of incubation time.

Figure 5. Model describing the distinct mechanisms which host inhibit host

RNAP during T7 development in exponentially growing and stationary phase *E.*

***coli* cells.**

666 **Figure 1.**

673 **Figure 2.**

680   **Figure 3.**

687   **Figure 4.**

Figure 5.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Figure legends

Supplementary Figure 1. Autoradiograph of denaturing gels showing the ability of

ability of Eσ^S to synthesize a dinucleotide-primed RNA product from the T7 A1

promoters in the absence and presence of either wild-type Gp5.7 or Gp5.7-L42A

mutant. The dinucleotide used in the assay is underlined and the asterisks indicate the

radiolabeled nucleotide. The concentration of Eσ^S was 75 nM and Gp5.7/Gp5.7-L42A

was present at 1200, 1500 and 1875 nM. The percentage of RNA transcript

synthesized (%A) in the reactions containing Gp5.7 or Gp5.7-L42A with respect to

reactions with no Gp5.7 or Gp5.7-L42A added is given at the bottom of the gel and

the value obtained in at least three independent experiments fell within 3–5% of the

%A value shown.

Supplementary Figure 2. Graph showing plaque size (%P) of wild-type and Δ*gp5.7*

T7 phage on lawns of different *E. coli* cells (as indicated) as percentage of final

plaque size of wild-type T7 phage on a lawn of wild-type *E. coli* (containing

pBAD18::empty) at 72 h (set at 100%) as a function of time over a 72 h incubation

period. The bacterial lawns were grown on agar plates containing L-arabinose to

induce Gp5.7 expression. The pBAD18::*gp5.7-L42A* plasmid expressed a

functionally-deleterious mutant variant of Gp5.7 (see text for details).

Supplementary Figure 3. Graph showing plaque size (%P) of wild-type and Δ*gp5.7*

T7 phage on lawns of different *E. coli* cells (as indicated) as percentage of final

plaque size of wild-type T7 phage on a lawn of wild-type *E. coli* at 72 h (set at 100%)

as a function of incubation time.

Supplementary Movie 1. Time-lapse movie of plaque formation by T7 wild-type

phage (*left*) and T7 Δ*gp5.7* phage (right) on lawns of wild-type *E. coli* over 72 h

incubation period.

Supplementary Table

Supplementary Table 1. Structural statistics from the solution structure

calculation for 6xHis-σ^{S}R4 (PDB ID: 6FI7, BMRB ID 34234).

NMR Distance and Dihedral Constraints	
Distance constraints	
Total NOE	1747
Intraresidue	652
Interresidue	1095
Sequential ($|i\text{-}j|$=1)	414
Short range ($2{\le}|i\text{-}j|{\le}3$)	278
Medium range ($4{\le}|i\text{-}j|{\le}5$)	136
Long range ($|i\text{-}j|{>}5$)	267
Total Dihedral angle Restraints	108
Φ	76
Ψ	76
Total RDCs	0
Structural Statistics	
Violations (mean and SD)	
Distance constraints (Å)	0.0209 ± 0.0027
Dihedral angle constraints (°)	0.41 ± 0.073
Maximum dihedral angle violation (°)	0.82
Maximum distance constraint violation (Å)	0.23
Deviations from idealized geometry	
Bond length (Å)	0.0038 ± 0.000
Bond angle (°)	0.55 ± 0.012
Impropers (°)	1.44 ± 0.11
Average Pairwise rmsd$^\alpha$(Å)	
Heavy	0.679 ± 0.0606
Backbone	0.396 ± 0.0947

36
37
38

39 **Supplementary Figures**
40
41 **Supplementary Figure 1.**
42

52   **Supplementary Figure 2.**
53

65   **Supplementary Figure 3.**
66