Environmentally enriched pigs have transcriptional profiles consistent with neuroprotective effects and microglial depletion

Brown SM[1+], Bush SJ[1,2], Summers KM[1,3], Hume DA[1,3] and Lawrence AB[1,4]

1. The Roslin Institute, University of Edinburgh, Easter Bush, EH25 9RG, UK
2. Nuffield Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Oxford, John Radcliffe Hospital, Headington, Oxford, OX3 9DU
3. Mater Research Institute-UQ, Translational Research Institute, 37 Kent St, Woolloongabba, QLd 4102, Australia
4. SRUC, West Mains Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JG, UK

+corresponding author: sarah.brown@ed.ac.uk, 0131 6519117

Abstract

Environmental enrichment (EE) is widely used to study the effects of external factors on brain

development, function and health in rodent models, but very little is known of the effects of EE on

the brain in a large animal model such as the pig. Twenty-four young pigs (aged 5 weeks at start of

study, 1:1 male: female ratio) were housed in EE pens and provided with additional enrichment

stimulation (bag filled with straw) once daily. Litter, weight and sex matched controls n= (24) were

housed in barren (B) conditions. Behaviour was recorded on alternate days from study day 10. After

21 days, RNA-sequencing of the frontal cortex of male piglets culled one hour after the enrichment

stimulation, but not those at 4 hours after stimulation, showed upregulation of genes involved in

neuronal activity and synaptic plasticity in the EE compared to the B condition. This result is mirrored

in the behavioural response to the stimulation which showed a peak in activity around the 1 hour

time-point. By contrast, EE piglets displayed a signature consistent with a relative decrease in

microglial activation compared to those in the B condition. These results confirm those from rodents,

suggesting that EE may also confer neuronal health benefits in large mammal models, through a

potential relative reduction in neuroinflammation and increase in neuroprotection driven by an

enrichment-induced increase in behavioural activity.

1. Introduction

Environmental enrichment (EE) paradigms are widely used in laboratory rodents as a method to

study the effects of environmental influences on brain development and function (1). EE utilises

multiple methods of environmental modification to facilitate cognitive and motor stimulation in a

species appropriate manner. In rodents this is often achieved through the use of novel objects,

running wheels, nesting material and group housing (2).

The beneficial effects of EE on physiology and brain function in rodents are well documented

(reviewed in (3)). EE paradigms have been shown to have a neuroprotective effect through the

inhibition of spontaneous apoptosis (4) and through increased cell proliferation and neurogenesis in

the hippocampus (5-7) and prefrontal cortex (8). Indeed, EE has been shown to limit

neurodegeneration in models of aging (9, 10), and can rescue some behavioural and physiological

brain effects of early life stress (11, 12). Current evidence suggests this is most likely precipitated by

the mechanisms underlying neuronal plasticity, due to increased expression of trophic factors and

immediate early genes (IEG) in the brains of EE animals (13-15).

Expression of neurotrophins has also been proposed as a contributory factor in mood disorders, in

particular stress-induced depression and anxiety. While the main focus has been around expression

of brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (16-18), there are a number of other trophic factors and

IEGs with a role in stress resilience and mood. Neuronal growth factor (*Ngf*) mRNA has been shown

to be reduced in the dentate gyrus in response to restraint stress in rats (19). Environmental

deprivation during early life in rats reduces IEG expression in the prefrontal cortex both as a chronic

effect (20) in response to social interaction (21), and after chronic social defeat stress in mice(22).

Levels of IEG *Arc* mRNA in the frontal cortex and hippocampus of rats exposed to predator scent

stress were reduced in those animals which displayed an extreme anxiety-like behavioural response,

and in the dentate gyrus. They also correlated with levels of circulating corticosterone(23).

Interestingly, depressive-like behaviour in rodents induced by social isolation in early life not only

results in decreased expression of a number of IEG involved in synaptic plasticity, including *Bdnf, Egr-*

1 and *Arc* ((24), mice), but also in increased microglial activation ((25), rats). Microglia are specialised

macrophages of the central nervous system that play a role in neuromodulation, phagocytosis and

inflammation (reviewed in(26)). There is increasing evidence to suggest that microglial mediated

brain inflammation is a contributing factor to a number of mental health and nervous system

disorders, such as multiple sclerosis(27), Alzheimer's disease(28), schizophrenia (29, 30), and major

depression(31). While the exact mechanism by which microglia contribute to these disorders is not

yet known, psychological stress has been shown to result in increased levels of microglia in the

prefrontal cortex of rats (32) while early life stress, in the form of maternal deprivation, has also been

shown to lead to increases in microglial motility (33) and phagocytic activity (34) that persist into

adulthood. Anti-inflammatory drugs such as minocycline (which blocks microglial activation) produce

antidepressant effects in rodent models (35). Several anti-psychotic medications have also been

shown to block microglial activation (36, 37).

The physiological and behavioural effects of a number of nervous system disorders can be modulated

by EE in rodent models (38), and it is now widely used as an experimental tool in studies of

neurodegeneration and neural development (39). Due to its beneficial effects on behaviour, EE has

long been used as a method to improve the welfare of production animals, by providing

environmental manipulations that allow the animal to behave in a more species-typical way

(reviewed in (40)). However there has been little work on the effects of EE on the brain in a large

animal model, such as the pig. The aim of the present study was to investigate the effects of EE on

gene expression within the frontal cortex of young pigs with the aim of identifying potential gene

expression signatures of a healthy brain in a large animal model, and to potentially identify early

signatures of neural ill-health in the absence of abnormal behaviours.

2. <u>Materials and Methods</u>

2.1 Ethical review

All work was carried out in accordance with the U.K. Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 under

EU Directive 2010/63/EU following ethical approval by SRUC (Scotland's Rural College) Animal

Experiments Committee. All routine animal management procedures were adhered to by trained

staff and health issues treated as required. All piglets remaining at the end of the study were

returned to commercial stock.

2.2 Animals and general experimental procedures

Post-weaning behavioural observations were carried out on litters from six commercial cross-bred

mothers (Large White x Landrace); the boar-line was American Hampshire. Litters were born within a

72 hour time window. At weaning piglets were weighed, vaccinated against Porcine Circoviral

Disease and ear tagged for identification. No tooth resection was performed and males were not

castrated. Post-wean diet was in the form of pelleted feed (Primary Diets Prime Link Extra) provided

ad libitum. At 4 days post weaning, 8 piglets per litter were selected as being as close to the litter

average weight as possible while balancing for sex (1:1 male to female). These 8 piglets per litter

were split into sex balanced quartets to give final group sizes of 4 piglets per matched group. One

group was then housed in an enriched (EE) pen and one in a barren (B) pen, all in the same room

(Figure 1).

All piglets were marked on the back with spray marker paint to allow individual identification. B pens

measured 1.8m x 1.8m with concrete floors and rubber matting (1.8m x 1.2m) in one corner to

provide some thermal insulation. Kennels were provided for the first 10 days. EE pens measured

3.6m x 1.8m also with concrete flooring and with large quantities of straw covering the floor

(covering half the pen to a depth of 0.15m). The same rubber matting was also provided in the

enriched pens. Piglets in the six EE pens were provided with additional enrichment once daily

(enrichment stimulus) in the form of a plastic bag (approximately 400mm x 750mm x 150mm) filled

with straw (approximately 2kgs). This bag was placed in the EE pens after morning husbandry was

complete (at around 10 am). At the same time the gate of the matched B pen was approached to

account for the stimulating effect of human presence. Pen cleaning and enrichment order was

randomised daily. In accordance with the Defra Code of Recommendations for the Welfare of

Livestock(41), temperature within the room was automatically controlled at 22°C and artificial

lighting was maintained between the hours of 0800 to 1600, with low level night lighting at other

times.

2.3 Behavioural observations

Piglets were digitally recorded in their home pen using Sony LL20 low light cameras with infra-red

and a Geovision GV-DVR. Two cameras were set up per EE pen, one at the rear and one at the front

to provide maximal coverage, and one camera per B pen. Piglets were observed in their home pens (

on five days between the ages of 41 and 53 days (+/-3 days due to staggered farrowing times). Piglets

were all observed on the same days during the same time periods to negate any time of day effects

on sampling. Observations began after bedding was replaced, 15 minutes prior to the time point

when the additional enrichment was provided to the EE pigs, and finished 4 hours after the provision

of additional enrichment. Behaviours were recorded by scan sampling with a frequency of one

minute(42). The observer used a 10 second window to aid in accurate identification of the behaviour

occurring at the start of every scan sample. A condensed ethogram based on previous work in

enrichment (43) and play (44) in pigs (Table 1) was used.   All behaviours were recorded as

frequencies. One observer completed all video analysis to remove any reliability issues relating to

multiple observers.

Table 1: Ethogram used for behavioural scoring. Within the category of "Locomotion without object

or social", walking and running were combined to make up "locomotion-no interaction" in the

analysis. Individual postures were considered inactive behaviours and all other behaviours as active

because when active the posture is included in the active behaviour description and not scored

separately.

Category/Behaviour	Definition/type
Social	
Belly nosing penmate	A distinctive, rhythmic up-and-down movement of a piglet's snout against the belly of another piglet. Piglets may be standing, sitting or lying when preforming or receiving belly nosing
Tail biting	Manipulation, sucking or chewing tail of pen mate

Locomotion without object or social	
Walking	A steady 4 beat gait with 2 or 3 legs bearing weight at any one time (depending on the phase of the movement).
Running	Energetic running and hopping in forward motions often associated with excitability, using large areas of the pen, occasionally coming into marginal/accidental contact with other piglets
Hop/flop/pivot	High energy movements on the spot in an upwards (hop), downwards (flop) or circular (pivot) direction but with little or no forward propulsion
Object and pen Interaction	
Object interaction_bag	Any active interaction with the enrichment stimulus. Piglet may be locomotory or stationary. Includes biting/chewing of bag, walking or running with bag, climbing in bag, shaking bag vigorously and lying on bag.
Object interaction_no bag	Active interaction with other pen components, such as straw, rubber matting and shavings. As above may include walking or running with the object, and chewing/biting object
Nosing/exploring pen	Piglet walking/running or stationary with nose to floor or pen walls performing a gentle nosing motion
Feeding/drinking	Piglet oriented with snout in trough/drinker appearing to be chewing or swallowing
Postures	
Lateral lying	Piglet lying on its side
Ventral lying	Piglet lying on its front

Sitting	Piglet sitting on its haunches with front legs straight
Standing	Piglet standing still. Not performing any other visible behaviour
Other	
Not visible	Piglet out of view of camera

2.4 Statistical analysis of behaviour

The full dataset was condensed to give one data point for each behaviour per hour period per day for

each pen being the cumulative recordings for all piglets in that pen in that hour. Analysis was

performed on these mean values for each hour period per pen across the 5 observation days.

Analysis of the behavioural data was performed in Minitab version 17.3 (2016) using a generalized

linear model with treatment as a fixed effect and time point as a random effect.

2.5 Tissue collection

At 54 days of age (+/- 2 days) one male piglet per pen was sedated at one hour after the introduction

of the additional enrichment stimulus, and one male per pen at 4 hours post enrichment stimulus.

An intramuscular injection was used with a combination of Domitor (1mg/ml medetomidine

hydrochloride) (0.01ml/kg), Ketamine (0.1ml/kg), Hypnovel (5mg/ml medazolam) (0.1ml/kg) and

Stresnil (40mg/ml azaperone) (0.025ml/kg). Piglets were left for 15 min to allow sedation to take full

effect before being euthanized via intercardial injection with Euthatal (200mg/ml sodium

pentobarbital) (0.7ml/kg) for brain tissue collection. Piglet brains were removed whole and dissected

over dry ice. Frontal cortex was placed in RNAlater (Ambion) and agitated on a tube rotator for 15

minutes to assist in the perfusion of RNAlater through the tissue. Samples were frozen at -20°C until

required.

2.6 Preparation of tissue samples

Frontal cortex samples in RNAlater were removed from -20°C and allowed to reach room

temperature. RNA extraction was performed using the Qiagen RNeasy lipid tissue kit as per

manufacturer's instructions. 80mg of tissue was used from each sample and a TissueRupter (Qiagen)

used for homogenization. Extracted RNA was stored at -20°C until required (<3months). Samples

were quantified and quality checked using a 2200 Tapestation (Agilent).

2.7 RNA-seq and differential expression analysis

Poly A+ library preparation and RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) of the prepared samples was carried out

by Edinburgh Genomics Next Generation Sequencing Service

(http://genomics.ed.ac.uk/services/sequencing) using the Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform, generating

>30 million stand-specific 75bp paired-end reads per sample. RNA-seq reads were processed using

the high-speed transcription quantification tool Kallisto(45), version 0.42.4, generating transcript-

level expression estimates both as transcripts per million (TPM) and estimated read count. Kallisto

quantifies expression by building an index of k-mers from a set of reference transcripts and mapping

the reads to these directly. For this purpose, we used the combined set of cDNAs and ncRNAs from

annotation Sscrofa11.1 (ftp://ftp.ensembl.org/pub/release-

90/fasta/sus_scrofa/cdna/Sus_scrofa.Sscrofa11.1.cdna.all.fa.gz and

ftp://ftp.ensembl.org/pub/release-90/fasta/sus_scrofa/ncrna/Sus_scrofa.Sscrofa11.1.ncrna.fa.gz,

respectively, accessed 1st November 2017). Transcript-level read counts were then summarised to

the gene level using the R/Bioconductor package tximport v1.0.3 (46), with associated HGNC gene

names obtained from Ensembl BioMart v90 (47). The tximport package collates Kallisto's output into

a count matrix, and calculates an offset to correct for differential transcript lengths between samples

(the consequence of differential isoform usage). The count matrix was imported into the

R/Bioconductor package edgeR v3.14.0 (48) for differential expression analysis, with the 'trimmed

mean of M values' method used to normalise gene counts. Genes with expression level estimates

lower than 2 TPM in both treatment groups were filtered out as noise. After filtering lowly expressed

genes, the final dataset comprised 21,971 genes. Genes were considered to be differentially

expressed (EE vs B) when they returned a between group fold-change greater than +/-1.2, with a *p*-

value <0.02. The sequencing data generated for this project is deposited in the European Nucleotide

Archive (ENA) under study accession number PRJEB24165

(http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/PRJEB24165).

2.8 Gene set and gene signature enrichment analysis

Gene set enrichment analysis was performed on the differentially expressed gene lists using

Panther.db v13.0 (release 20170413) with default parameters. To assess the statistical significance of

enrichment-induced variation in gene expression for small groups of genes with a common function

('signature genes'), we used a randomisation test as in (49). Two sets of gene signatures, derived

from previous human studies, were first obtained for IEG genes (e.g. (50-58)) and microglial genes

(59) (Table S3), and filtered to remove those genes not annotated in pigs (resulting in n = 123 and

569 genes, respectively). Subsets of x genes were drawn at random s = 10,000 times from the set of

all genes for which a fold change is quantified (i.e. for each comparison of treatment groups), where

x = number of signature genes. We calculated $q,$ the number of times the set of signature genes had

a higher (for IEG genes) or lower (for microglial genes) median fold change than each randomly

chosen subset. Letting r = s-q, then the p-value of this test is r+1/s+1.

2.9 Network analysis of transcriptomic changes

These expression values were entered into the network analysis tool Graphia Professional (formerly

Miru, derived from BioLayout *Express*^{3D} (60, 61); http://kajeka.com/graphia-professional). A sample-

to-sample analysis was performed using a correlation coefficient threshold of 0.99 to assess the

impact of individual variation on all gene expression profiles. A gene-to-gene network was also

created from the whole dataset using a correlation coefficient threshold of 0.90. The nodes (genes)

were clustered using the Markov Clustering (MCL) algorithm (62) with an inflation value (which

determines cluster granularity) of 2.2. This algorithm identifies tightly coordinated sub-structures

within the overall network.

3. Results

3.1 Additional enrichment stimulation increases active behaviour

An analysis of the percentage of active behaviours in EE vs. B housed piglets found a treatment by

time-point effect ($F_{4,43}$=4.2, p=0.005). The coefficients show this difference to be attributable to an

increased proportion of active behaviours in the EE piglets at one hour post provision of the

additional enrichment stimulus (hereafter enrichment stimulus; t_4=3.99, p<0.001)(Figure 2).

A housing treatment x time effect was observed in locomotor behaviour ($F_{4,43}$=3.19, p=0.021),

interaction with the enrichment stimulus ($F_{4,43}$=59.42, p<0.001) and interaction with other pen

components, such as rubber matting ($F_{4,43}$=2.75, p=0.038). These effects peaked at one hour post

provision of the enrichment stimulus to the EE pens with greater performance of locomotor

behaviour (t_4=2.75, p=0.008) and interaction with the enrichment stimulus (t_4=15.08, p<0.001), and

at 2 hours post enrichment stimulus with greater interaction with other pen objects (t_4=2.07, p=0.04)

in the B pens. A greater proportion of lateral lying in B housed pigs was observed at one hour post

enrichment stimulus (t_4=2.69, p=0.01). An effect of housing treatment alone was observed in nosing

of pen-mates ($F_{1,47}$=25.9, p=0.007) with more nosing of pen-mates occurring in the B housed piglets.

An effect of time alone was found in feeding behaviour ($F_{4,43}$=37.13, p=0.002), nosing of the pen

($F_{4,43}$=11.47, p=0.018) and a trend towards lateral lying ($F_{4,43}$=4.3, p=0.09). No effect of time or

treatment was observed in any of the other behaviours recorded.

There was no difference between piglet growth during the pre-weaning period, prior to the start of

the study ($F_{(1, 47)}$ = 0.027, p = 0.873). Piglet growth (as measured by Average Daily Gain in weight,

ADG) was observed to differ between environments ($F_{(1, 47)}$ = 5.68, p = 0.038) during the study period,

with EE piglets having on average a higher ADG than B piglets (EE mean = 688.9g SEM = 15.5 , B mean

= 654.0g SEM = 12.6).

3.2 Transient up- and downregulation of genes in response to environmental enrichment

Complete lists of differentially regulated genes are provided in Table S1. Initial analysis revealed that

for a large majority of genes, expression in the frontal cortex was unaffected by the environmental

enrichment (Figure 3).

When all 21,971 genes were included in a network analysis (see Materials and Methods), members

of the same litter showed similar expression patterns, regardless of treatment or time. This was

particularly noticeable for litters L3, L4 and L6 (Figure 4A). Using the full gene set there was no

association with treatment (Figure 4B) or time (not shown).

A set of 349 genes were up-regulated after 1 hour of environmental enrichment but appeared to

return to baseline after 4 hours (Table 2). A second set of genes was down-regulated at both one

hour and four hours (Table 2) in the EE cohort. The composition of these two gene sets is discussed

below.

Table 2: Numbers of genes differentially expressed in the frontal cortex of piglets housed in EE and B

environments at one and four hours post enrichment. The last two rows combine all piglets within

each treatment regardless of time-point.

Comparison	Direction of Change	# Differentially Expressed Genes
1hr EE vs. 1Hr B	Up	357
	Down	652
4hr EE vs. 4hr B	Up	46

	Down	83
All EE vs. All B	Up	125
	Down	184

Gene set enrichment analysis at one hour post enrichment highlights an over-representation of

genes involved in synaptic transmission being up-regulated in the EE animals (Table 3), with an over-

representation of genes involved in apoptosis and cellular defence being down-regulated in the EE

animals. Further analysis of the gene lists revealed that approximately 8% of those genes

upregulated at 1 hour in the EE animals are known immediate early genes while 15% of those genes

down-regulated in the EE (up-regulated in B) animals at 1 hour are related to microglial processes. At

four hours post enrichment no gene sets were found to be overrepresented using the Panther GSEA

overrepresentation test.

Significant *p*-values from randomisation tests (up-regulated IEG signature *p*=9.99E-05, down-

regulated microglial signature *p*=9.99E-05) would suggest these signatures do not occur by chance in

EE animals.

Table 3: Panther GO-Slim Biological Process GO terms statistically overrepresented in the 1 hour EE

vs 1 hour B comparisons. The 'direction of change' column signifies the direction of differential gene

expression in the EE animals relative to the B animals. The observed column is the number of genes

assigned this GO term in the submitted gene list; the expected column is the number of genes

expected given the full *Sus scrofa* reference list.

Comparison	Direction of Change	GSEA Overrepresentation Test (PANTHER release 20170413)				
		GO Terms	Observed	Expected	Fold Enrichment	*p*-value

1hr EE vs. 1Hr B	Up	Synaptic transmission	15	4.41	3.4	1.12E-02
		System development	14	4.45	3.14	4.53E-02
		Regulation of molecular function	16	5.30	3.02	2.54E-02
		Regulation of phosphate metabolic process	18	6.34	2.84	2.05E-02
	Down	JAK-STAT cascade	8	0.61	13.16	6.07E-05
		Negative regulation apoptotic process	16	2.83	5.65	1.15E-05
		Cellular defense response	14	2.64	5.3	1.68E-04
		Cell Adhesion	24	7.98	3.01	6.52E-04
		Immune system process	21	7.02	2.99	2.93E-03

In a network analysis using only the differentially expressed genes, the EE samples were close

together in a relatively tight group, while the B samples were more dispersed, but located together

with little overlap with the EE samples (Figure 4). In addition there was no relationship with litter,

indicating that for the differentially expressed gene set the effect of environment was stronger than

any intrinsic difference based on genetics or early life (e.g. maternal) effects (Figure 5).

The expression profiles of the differentially expressed genes were analysed to create a gene-to-gene

network. As might be expected (as these were differentially expressed in both directions) there were

two clear elements in the network, comprising one element where the overall gene expression level

was higher in the EE animals and a second element where the level was lower in EE animals. Similar

results were seen when using the 1 hour, 4 hour or complete set of differentially expressed genes

(Figure S1: Panel A-C segregated gene cluster histograms by animal, Panel D Clusters for all animals

at 1 hour).

When the DEGs at 1 hour were used to construct a network graph, cluster 1 (which contains the

majority of genes with average expression reduced in the EE condition) contained microglia-

associated genes such as *CSF1R* (63), *IRF8* (64) and *P2RY12* (65) alongside various connective tissue

genes including several collagen genes and *FBN1.* Cluster 4, where the average expression was

increased in EE samples, contained immediate early genes such as *ARC,* several EGR genes, *FOS* and

IER2. Cluster 2 contained largely neuronal genes which were not differently expressed between

environments. Cluster 3 contained additional connective tissue genes with high levels in four of the B

individuals. Clusters 5 and 6 represent groups of genes that were increased in a single individual. The

gene lists for each cluster and average expression profiles for clusters where treatment differences

were shown are presented in Table S2 and Figure S1.

The profiles for the 4 hour samples were largely determined by high or low expression in a single or

small number of individuals. Many of the genes in this list were non-coding RNAs or unannotated

genes. When both time points were included in the analysis, cluster 3 primarily contained immediate

early genes and showed a pattern in which the 1 hour EE samples had higher expression than the 1

hour B samples, but at 4 hours the two groups had similar expression. One individual in the B group

had a spike of IEG expression at 4 hours although most of the 4 hour B samples showed lower IEG

expression than the EE samples.

4. Discussion

The EE piglets in this study displayed more active behaviour (combining locomotion, social and object

interaction) than B piglets, but only in the first hour after the additional enrichment stimulus (bag

filled with straw) was provided. This suggests that the piglets' behavioural response to enrichment in

this experiment was largely defined by the period that the additional enrichment stimulus remained

novel. Despite the larger space allowance and fresh bedding (straw) provided daily to the EE piglets,

their overall activity profile was not different to the B housed piglets outside of the first hour post

additional enrichment (using a scan sampling methodology). Overall total activity levels were lower

in this study than in previous studies (see (66) for a review), but the proportion of active time spent

interacting with the enrichment stimulus or the pen environment was higher than previously

reported. Within the time spent active there were some effects of housing on specific behaviours. B

housed piglets displayed more nosing of pen-mates (primarily nosing of the belly of other pigs) over

the course of the observation, not dependent on time. This maladaptive behaviour is known to occur

more frequently in piglets when the opportunities to use the snout to forage and explore are not

provided(67).

The gene expression changes observed in this study mirror those of the behavioural differences, with

most genes differentially expressed at one hour post the provision of the additional enrichment

stimulation and few genes differentially expressed at four hours post his point. The observed

increase in genes involved in synaptic transmission at the one hour time point in EE animals supports

previous work describing a transient increase in cell activity in the hippocampus of rats following

environmental enrichment (reviewed in (68)). This increase in synaptic transmission is likely to be the

mechanism by which EE leads to increased brain weight (69), greater cortical depth (70), increased

neurogenesis (6, 71) and increased synapse formation (72). The set of transiently-induced genes at

one hour shows significant overlap with recent curated sets of immediate-early response genes

defined in stimulated neurons based upon single cell RNA-seq (73, 74). Increases in expression of

neurotrophic factor proteins (neuronal growth factors) have previously been observed in multiple

brain regions of rats housed in enriched cages (14) and were observed as gene expression changes in

the frontal cortex of the piglets in the current study, although not all growth factors fall within the

gene set enrichment analysis. Neuronal growth factors (such as *NGF, BDNF* and *VGF,* all of which

were upregulated in this sample set) are considered to be regulators of cell growth and survival in

both the developing and adult nervous system (reviewed in(75)) and have been shown to acutely

potentiate synaptic plasticity (76, 77).

Experience dependant plasticity, the way by which synaptic connections are strengthened or

weakened, or indeed formed or eliminated, in response to experience is a well established

mechanism (reviewed in(78)). Intracellular scaffold protein and regulator of membrane trafficking

GRASP (mRNA upregulated in the EE pig frontal cortex) has multiple protein interacting domains

allowing a wide range of protein assemblies to be trafficked at the synapse (79). GRASP is known to

be involved in dendritic outgrowth (80) and in synaptic plasticity through its interaction with group I

and II metabotrophic glutamate receptors (81). Reductions in GRASP protein expression have been

observed in post mortem prefrontal cortex of schizophrenia patients (82) with no correlation to

illness duration or history of medication. Similarly, decreases in mGluR2 have been observed in

multiple psychiatric conditions including schizophrenia (83) and addiction (84), while treatment with

the antidepressant medication imipramine has been shown to increase mGluR2 protein expression in

the hippocampus of wild-type rats (85) but not to increase mRNA expression. Another G-protein

coupled receptor upregulated in the EE pigs, GPR3, has been shown to be involved in anxiety related

behaviours, with *Gpr3-/-* mice displaying increased anxiety-like behaviours that could be rescued

with the use of the anxiolytic diazepam (86). Upregulation of these G-protein coupled receptors and

their targets may suggest that EE piglets in the current study were experiencing reduced levels of

anxiety and a more positive affective state compared to their B counterparts.

A large proportion of the set of genes downregulated in the brains of the pigs exposed to

environmental enrichment (relative to those in the B environment) are clearly associated with

microglia. Numerous recent studies have identified microglia-associated genes in mice and humans

(e.g. (59, 87-90)) however there has been little work focused on the pig. The set of genes down-

regulated after 1 hour post the additional enrichment stimulation included generic myeloid markers

such as *PTPRC* (*CD45*) and *CD68*, as well as most well-documented microglial markers (*C1QA, MYD88,*

P2RY12, TGFBR2, TREM2). We suggest that these changes most likely reflect a selective 1.5 to 2-fold

relative reduction in the number of microglia in EE pigs when compared to B pigs. Current literature

suggests that in mice and rodents the number of microglia in the brain is relatively stable over time

(91) however in our dataset the differential expression of generic markers of microglia and not just

those found in active cells would suggest otherwise. With our study design it is impossible to

determine whether the EE or B animals are the baseline so any changes in expression are relative,

however whether this is microglial depletion in EE animals or an increase in microglia in the B animals

this result would suggest that the reduction of microglia is a longer term adaptation with benefits for

brain health (92, 93). Interestingly, at the 4 hour time-point there is a high proportion of 5s ribosomal

and spliceosomal RNAs identified as differentially expressed (29% of the down regulated gene list,

compared with 0.3% at one hour), which may be adding noise to the dataset in the later time-point.

As observed in the network analysis, inter-individual variability in gene expression was greater at 4

hours, perhaps due to the varying rates of decay of the effect of the additional enrichment stimulus,

or due to the animals no longer having a behavioural 'focus' (which may also explain the greater

variability in gene expression between B individuals than between EE individuals). This is consistent

with the behaviour analysis, which indicated that the benefit from the additional enrichment

experience (bag of straw) was only felt while the object remained novel. This may contribute to the

noise in the data at 4 hours, as animals may 'cope' with the lack of behavioural stimulation in

different ways, or may be being stimulated by other factors within the home pen (such as other

interactions with pen mates).

Experimental depletion of microglia in rat neonates results in lifelong decreases in anxiety-like

behaviours and increased locomotory behaviour (94), while increases in microglial numbers and

activation states have been observed in stress-induced depression models (reviewed in (31)). In

human patients, increased microglial activation has been observed using PET scanning in major

depressive disorder (95), and from histology samples from brains of depressed suicides (96). This

suggests that B housed animals may be experiencing greater anxiety and/or lower mood than their

EE housed counterparts. This may provide a functional link as to why pigs housed in enriched

environments display more optimistic judgement bias than those in barren conditions (97).

The current study would suggest the benefits of enrichment may occur in a pulse-like fashion, with

bursts of activity being followed by a return to behavioural baseline, these bursts mirroring the active

engagement with the behavioural opportunities offered by the additional enrichment stimulus. It

would be of great interest to determine if these bursts of activity (and of related differential gene

expression) alter the structure and function of the brain in a way that facilitates long term

developmental change. Confirmation of the longer term effects of EE on microglial numbers and

activation are required to determine if the observed gene expression changes are an indicator of

lower neuronal health in B housed animals or if they are transient expression changes with little long

term consequence.

5. Conclusions

In this study, behaviour was mainly organised around the time point when a daily enrichment

stimulus was provided. This resulted in a 'pulse' of active behaviour that was mirrored by temporal

changes in gene expression profiles in the frontal cortex. These gene expression changes primarily

affected genes involved in synaptic plasticity, neuroprotection and the immune response, with a

substantial fraction (15%) of known microglial signature genes showing relative down-regulation with

enrichment. Our analyses suggest that relative to piglets in barren environments, those in enriched

environments are likely to experience reduced anxiety, increased neuroprotection and synaptic

plasticity, and an immune response consistent with reduced inflammatory challenge.

6. Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge funding support for this work from the BBSRC Strategic funding to The

Roslin Institute, and from the Scottish Government's Rural and Environment Science and Analytical

Services Division (RESAS). Technical support was provided by the SRUC technicians Marianne Farish

Agnieszka Futro, Jo Donbavand and Mhairi Jack. Farm manager Peter Finnie provided general animal

husbandry assistance throughout the experimental period. Some behavioural data collection was

performed by summer student Manon Poux and initial expression analysis by Edinburgh Genomics

bioinformatician (Dr Frances Turner).

7. **References**

1.   Nithianantharajah J, Hannan AJ. Enriched environments, experience-dependent plasticity and
disorders of the nervous system. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2006;7(9):697-709.
2.   Baumans V. Environmental enrichment for laboratory rodents and rabbits: requirements of
rodents, rabbits, and research. Ilar j. 2005;46(2):162-70.
3.   Simpson J, Kelly JP. The impact of environmental enrichment in laboratory rats--behavioural
and neurochemical aspects. Behav Brain Res. 2011;222(1):246-64.
4.   Young D, Lawlor PA, Leone P, Dragunow M, During MJ. Environmental enrichment inhibits
spontaneous apoptosis, prevents seizures and is neuroprotective. Nat Med. 1999;5(4):448-53.
5.   van Praag H, Kempermann G, Gage FH. Running increases cell proliferation and neurogenesis
in the adult mouse dentate gyrus. Nat Neurosci. 1999;2(3):266-70.
6.   Olson AK, Eadie BD, Ernst C, Christie BR. Environmental enrichment and voluntary exercise
massively increase neurogenesis in the adult hippocampus via dissociable pathways. Hippocampus.
2006;16(3):250-60.
7.   Kempermann G, Gast D, Gage FH. Neuroplasticity in old age: sustained fivefold induction of
hippocampal neurogenesis by long-term environmental enrichment. Ann Neurol. 2002;52(2):135-43.

8. Ekstrand J, Hellsten J, Tingstrom A. Environmental enrichment, exercise and corticosterone
affect endothelial cell proliferation in adult rat hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. Neurosci Lett.
2008;442(3):203-7.
9. Mattson MP, Duan W, Lee J, Guo Z. Suppression of brain aging and neurodegenerative
disorders by dietary restriction and environmental enrichment: molecular mechanisms. Mech Ageing
Dev. 2001;122(7):757-78.
10. Mora F, Segovia G, del Arco A. Aging, plasticity and environmental enrichment: structural
changes and neurotransmitter dynamics in several areas of the brain. Brain Res Rev. 2007;55(1):78-
88.
11. Francis DD, Diorio J, Plotsky PM, Meaney MJ. Environmental enrichment reverses the effects
of maternal separation on stress reactivity. J Neurosci. 2002;22(18):7840-3.
12. Morley-Fletcher S, Rea M, Maccari S, Laviola G. Environmental enrichment during
adolescence reverses the effects of prenatal stress on play behaviour and HPA axis reactivity in rats.
Eur J Neurosci. 2003;18(12):3367-74.
13. Pham TM, Ickes B, Albeck D, Soderstrom S, Granholm AC, Mohammed AH. Changes in brain
nerve growth factor levels and nerve growth factor receptors in rats exposed to environmental
enrichment for one year. Neuroscience. 1999;94(1):279-86.
14. Ickes BR, Pham TM, Sanders LA, Albeck DS, Mohammed AH, Granholm AC. Long-term
environmental enrichment leads to regional increases in neurotrophin levels in rat brain. Exp Neurol.
2000;164(1):45-52.
15. Pinaud R, Penner MR, Robertson HA, Currie RW. Upregulation of the immediate early gene
arc in the brains of rats exposed to environmental enrichment: implications for molecular plasticity.
Brain Res Mol Brain Res. 2001;91(1-2):50-6.
16. Hashimoto K, Shimizu E, Iyo M. Critical role of brain-derived neurotrophic factor in mood
disorders. Brain Res Brain Res Rev. 2004;45(2):104-14.
17. Chen B, Dowlatshahi D, MacQueen GM, Wang JF, Young LT. Increased hippocampal BDNF
immunoreactivity in subjects treated with antidepressant medication. Biol Psychiatry.
2001;50(4):260-5.
18. Karege F, Vaudan G, Schwald M, Perroud N, La Harpe R. Neurotrophin levels in postmortem
brains of suicide victims and the effects of antemortem diagnosis and psychotropic drugs. Brain Res
Mol Brain Res. 2005;136(1-2):29-37.
19. Ueyama T, Kawai Y, Nemoto K, Sekimoto M, Tone S, Senba E. Immobilization stress reduced
the expression of neurotrophins and their receptors in the rat brain. Neurosci Res. 1997;28(2):103-
10.
20. Levine JB, Youngs RM, MacDonald ML, Chu M, Leeder AD, Berthiaume F, et al. Isolation
rearing and hyperlocomotion are associated with reduced immediate early gene expression levels in
the medial prefrontal cortex. Neuroscience. 2007;145(1):42-55.
21. Wall VL, Fischer EK, Bland ST. Isolation rearing attenuates social interaction-induced
expression of immediate early gene protein products in the medial prefrontal cortex of male and
female rats. Physiol Behav. 2012;107(3):440-50.
22. Covington HE, 3rd, Lobo MK, Maze I, Vialou V, Hyman JM, Zaman S, et al. Antidepressant
effect of optogenetic stimulation of the medial prefrontal cortex. J Neurosci. 2010;30(48):16082-90.
23. Kozlovsky N, Matar MA, Kaplan Z, Kotler M, Zohar J, Cohen H. The immediate early gene Arc
is associated with behavioral resilience to stress exposure in an animal model of posttraumatic stress
disorder. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2008;18(2):107-16.
24. Ieraci A, Mallei A, Popoli M. Social Isolation Stress Induces Anxious-Depressive-Like Behavior
and Alterations of Neuroplasticity-Related Genes in Adult Male Mice. Neural Plast.
2016;2016:6212983.
25. Wang HT, Huang FL, Hu ZL, Zhang WJ, Qiao XQ, Huang YQ, et al. Early-Life Social Isolation-
Induced Depressive-Like Behavior in Rats Results in Microglial Activation and Neuronal Histone
Methylation that Are Mitigated by Minocycline. Neurotox Res. 2017;31(4):505-20.

26.   Gomez-Nicola D, Perry VH. Microglial dynamics and role in the healthy and diseased brain: a
paradigm of functional plasticity. Neuroscientist. 2015;21(2):169-84.
27.   Correale J. The role of microglial activation in disease progression. Mult Scler.
2014;20(10):1288-95.
28.   Sarlus H, Heneka MT. Microglia in Alzheimer's disease. J Clin Invest. 2017;127(9):3240-9.
29.   Bayer TA, Buslei R, Havas L, Falkai P. Evidence for activation of microglia in patients with
psychiatric illnesses. Neurosci Lett. 1999;271(2):126-8.
30.   Juckel G, Manitz MP, Brune M, Friebe A, Heneka MT, Wolf RJ. Microglial activation in a
neuroinflammational animal model of schizophrenia--a pilot study. Schizophr Res. 2011;131(1-3):96-
100.
31.   Yirmiya R, Rimmerman N, Reshef R. Depression as a microglial disease. Trends Neurosci.
2015;38(10):637-58.
32.   Hinwood M, Morandini J, Day TA, Walker FR. Evidence that microglia mediate the
neurobiological effects of chronic psychological stress on the medial prefrontal cortex. Cereb Cortex.
2012;22(6):1442-54.
33.   Takatsuru Y, Nabekura J, Ishikawa T, Kohsaka S, Koibuchi N. Early-life stress increases the
motility of microglia in adulthood. J Physiol Sci. 2015;65(2):187-94.
34.   Delpech JC, Wei L, Hao J, Yu X, Madore C, Butovsky O, et al. Early life stress perturbs the
maturation of microglia in the developing hippocampus. Brain Behav Immun. 2016;57:79-93.
35.   Arakawa S, Shirayama Y, Fujita Y, Ishima T, Horio M, Muneoka K, et al. Minocycline produced
antidepressant-like effects on the learned helplessness rats with alterations in levels of monoamine
in the amygdala and no changes in BDNF levels in the hippocampus at baseline. Pharmacol Biochem
Behav. 2012;100(3):601-6.
36.   Bian Q, Kato T, Monji A, Hashioka S, Mizoguchi Y, Horikawa H, et al. The effect of atypical
antipsychotics, perospirone, ziprasidone and quetiapine on microglial activation induced by
interferon-gamma. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2008;32(1):42-8.
37.   Hou Y, Wu CF, Yang JY, He X, Bi XL, Yu L, et al. Effects of clozapine, olanzapine and
haloperidol on nitric oxide production by lipopolysaccharide-activated N9 cells. Prog
Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2006;30(8):1523-8.
38.   Laviola G, Hannan AJ, Macri S, Solinas M, Jaber M. Effects of enriched environment on animal
models of neurodegenerative diseases and psychiatric disorders. Neurobiol Dis. 2008;31(2):159-68.
39.   Novkovic T, Mittmann T, Manahan-Vaughan D. BDNF contributes to the facilitation of
hippocampal synaptic plasticity and learning enabled by environmental enrichment. Hippocampus.
2015;25(1):1-15.
40.   HA VdW, JEL D. A review of environmental enrichment for pigs housed in intensive housing
systems. Applied Animal Behaviour Science. 2009;116(1):1-20.
41.   DEFRA. Code of Recommendation for the Welfare of Livestock: Pigs. London: Defra
publications; 2003.
42.   P M, P B. Measuring Behaviour: An Introductory Guide. 3 ed. Cambridge UK: Cambridge
University Press; 2007.
43.   Brown SM, Peters R, Lawrence AB. Up-regulation of IGF-1 in the frontal cortex of piglets
exposed to an environmentally enriched arena. Physiol Behav. 2017;173:285-92.
44.   Brown SM, Klaffenbock M, Nevison IM, Lawrence AB. Evidence for litter differences in play
behaviour in pre-weaned pigs. Appl Anim Behav Sci. 2015;172:17-25.
45.   Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, Pachter L. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantification.
Nat Biotechnol. 2016;34(5):525-7.
46.   Soneson C, Love MI, Robinson MD. Differential analyses for RNA-seq: transcript-level
estimates improve gene-level inferences. F1000Res. 2015;4:1521.
47.   Kinsella RJ, Kahari A, Haider S, Zamora J, Proctor G, Spudich G, et al. Ensembl BioMarts: a hub
for data retrieval across taxonomic space. Database (Oxford). 2011;2011:bar030.
48.   Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential
expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics. 2010;26(1):139-40.

49.	Bush SJ, Castillo-Morales A, Tovar-Corona JM, Chen L, Kover PX, Urrutia AO. Presence-
absence variation in A. thaliana is primarily associated with genomic signatures consistent with
relaxed selective constraints. Mol Biol Evol. 2014;31(1):59-69.
50.	Nedivi E, Hevroni D, Naot D, Israeli D, Citri Y. Numerous candidate plasticity-related genes
revealed by differential cDNA cloning. Nature. 1993;363(6431):718-22.
51.	Tullai JW, Schaffer ME, Mullenbrock S, Sholder G, Kasif S, Cooper GM. Immediate-early and
delayed primary response genes are distinct in function and genomic architecture. J Biol Chem.
2007;282(33):23981-95.
52.	Lin Y, Bloodgood BL, Hauser JL, Lapan AD, Koon AC, Kim TK, et al. Activity-dependent
regulation of inhibitory synapse development by Npas4. Nature. 2008;455(7217):1198-204.
53.	Dijkmans TF, van Hooijdonk LW, Schouten TG, Kamphorst JT, Fitzsimons CP, Vreugdenhil E.
Identification of new Nerve Growth Factor-responsive immediate-early genes. Brain Res.
2009;1249:19-33.
54.	Fowler T, Sen R, Roy AL. Regulation of primary response genes. Mol Cell. 2011;44(3):348-60.
55.	Okuno H. Regulation and function of immediate-early genes in the brain: beyond neuronal
activity markers. Neurosci Res. 2011;69(3):175-86.
56.	Perez-Cadahia B, Drobic B, Davie JR. Activation and function of immediate-early genes in the
nervous system. Biochem Cell Biol. 2011;89(1):61-73.
57.	Bepari AK, Watanabe K, Yamaguchi M, Tamamaki N, Takebayashi H. Visualization of odor-
induced neuronal activity by immediate early gene expression. BMC Neurosci. 2012;13:140.
58.	Tan CL, Cooke EK, Leib DE, Lin YC, Daly GE, Zimmerman CA, et al. Warm-Sensitive Neurons
that Control Body Temperature. Cell. 2016;167(1):47-59.e15.
59.	Gosselin D, Skola D, Coufal NG, Holtman IR, Schlachetzki JCM, Sajti E, et al. An environment-
dependent transcriptional network specifies human microglia identity. Science. 2017;356(6344).
60.	Freeman TC, Goldovsky L, Brosch M, van Dongen S, Maziere P, Grocock RJ, et al.
Construction, visualisation, and clustering of transcription networks from microarray expression data.
PLoS Comput Biol. 2007;3(10):2032-42.
61.	Theocharidis A, van Dongen S, Enright AJ, Freeman TC. Network visualization and analysis of
gene expression data using BioLayout Express(3D). Nat Protoc. 2009;4(10):1535-50.
62.	van Dongen S, Abreu-Goodger C. Using MCL to extract clusters from networks. Methods Mol
Biol. 2012;804:281-95.
63.	Elmore MR, Najafi AR, Koike MA, Dagher NN, Spangenberg EE, Rice RA, et al. Colony-
stimulating factor 1 receptor signaling is necessary for microglia viability, unmasking a microglia
progenitor cell in the adult brain. Neuron. 2014;82(2):380-97.
64.	Masuda T, Tsuda M, Yoshinaga R, Tozaki-Saitoh H, Ozato K, Tamura T, et al. IRF8 is a critical
transcription factor for transforming microglia into a reactive phenotype. Cell Rep. 2012;1(4):334-40.
65.	Zhu C, Kros JM, van der Weiden M, Zheng P, Cheng C, Mustafa DA. Expression site of P2RY12
in residential microglial cells in astrocytomas correlates with M1 and M2 marker expression and
tumor grade. Acta Neuropathol Commun. 2017;5(1):4.
66.	HA VdW, C dD, JEL D, P A, S E. A systematic approach towards developing environmental
enrichment for pigs. Applied Animal Behaviour Science. 2003;84:101-18.
67.	L D. The identification of behavioural indicators of 'stress' in early weaned piglets. Applied
Animal Behaviour Science. 1992;139:135-47.
68.	Eckert MJ, Abraham WC. Effects of environmental enrichment exposure on synaptic
transmission and plasticity in the hippocampus. Curr Top Behav Neurosci. 2013;15:165-87.
69.	Henderson ND. Brain weight increases resulting from environmental enrichment: a
directional dominance in mice. Science. 1970;169(3947):776-8.
70.	Diamond MC, Krech D, Rosenzweig MR. THE EFFECTS OF AN ENRICHED ENVIRONMENT ON
THE HISTOLOGY OF THE RAT CEREBRAL CORTEX. J Comp Neurol. 1964;123:111-20.
71.	Kempermann G, Kuhn HG, Gage FH. More hippocampal neurons in adult mice living in an
enriched environment. Nature. 1997;386(6624):493-5.

72. Greenough WT, Hwang HM, Gorman C. Evidence for active synapse formation or altered
postsynaptic metabolism in visual cortex of rats reared in complex environments. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A. 1985;82(13):4549-52.
73. Lacar B, Linker SB, Jaeger BN, Krishnaswami S, Barron J, Kelder M, et al. Nuclear RNA-seq of
single neurons reveals molecular signatures of activation. Nat Commun. 2016;7:11022.
74. Wu YE, Pan L, Zuo Y, Li X, Hong W. Detecting Activated Cell Populations Using Single-Cell
RNA-Seq. Neuron. 2017;96(2):313-29.e6.
75. Dono R. Fibroblast growth factors as regulators of central nervous system development and
function. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 2003;284(4):R867-81.
76. Lu B, Figurov A. Role of neurotrophins in synapse development and plasticity. Rev Neurosci.
1997;8(1):1-12.
77. Lu B. Acute and long-term synaptic modulation by neurotrophins. Prog Brain Res.
2004;146:137-50.
78. Holtmaat A, Svoboda K. Experience-dependent structural synaptic plasticity in the
mammalian brain. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2009;10(9):647-58.
79. Kitano J, Yamazaki Y, Kimura K, Masukado T, Nakajima Y, Nakanishi S. Tamalin is a scaffold
protein that interacts with multiple neuronal proteins in distinct modes of protein-protein
association. J Biol Chem. 2003;278(17):14762-8.
80. Mo J, Choi S, Ahn PG, Sun W, Lee HW, Kim H. PDZ-scaffold protein, Tamalin promotes
dendritic outgrowth and arborization in rat hippocampal neuron. Biochem Biophys Res Commun.
2012;422(2):250-5.
81. Kitano J, Kimura K, Yamazaki Y, Soda T, Shigemoto R, Nakajima Y, et al. Tamalin, a PDZ
domain-containing protein, links a protein complex formation of group 1 metabotropic glutamate
receptors and the guanine nucleotide exchange factor cytohesins. J Neurosci. 2002;22(4):1280-9.
82. Matosin N, Fernandez-Enright F, Fung SJ, Lum JS, Engel M, Andrews JL, et al. Alterations of
mGluR5 and its endogenous regulators Norbin, Tamalin and Preso1 in schizophrenia: towards a
model of mGluR5 dysregulation. Acta Neuropathol. 2015;130(1):119-29.
83. Gonzalez-Maeso J, Ang RL, Yuen T, Chan P, Weisstaub NV, Lopez-Gimenez JF, et al.
Identification of a serotonin/glutamate receptor complex implicated in psychosis. Nature.
2008;452(7183):93-7.
84. Moran MM, McFarland K, Melendez RI, Kalivas PW, Seamans JK. Cystine/glutamate exchange
regulates metabotropic glutamate receptor presynaptic inhibition of excitatory transmission and
vulnerability to cocaine seeking. J Neurosci. 2005;25(27):6389-93.
85. Matrisciano F, Storto M, Ngomba RT, Cappuccio I, Caricasole A, Scaccianoce S, et al.
Imipramine treatment up-regulates the expression and function of mGlu2/3 metabotropic glutamate
receptors in the rat hippocampus. Neuropharmacology. 2002;42(8):1008-15.
86. Valverde O, Celerier E, Baranyi M, Vanderhaeghen P, Maldonado R, Sperlagh B, et al. GPR3
receptor, a novel actor in the emotional-like responses. PLoS One. 2009;4(3):e4704.
87. Mathys H, Adaikkan C, Gao F, Young JZ, Manet E, Hemberg M, et al. Temporal Tracking of
Microglia Activation in Neurodegeneration at Single-Cell Resolution. Cell Rep. 2017;21(2):366-80.
88. Buttgereit A, Lelios I, Yu X, Vrohlings M, Krakoski NR, Gautier EL, et al. Sall1 is a
transcriptional regulator defining microglia identity and function. Nat Immunol. 2016;17(12):1397-
406.
89. Grabert K, Michoel T, Karavolos MH, Clohisey S, Baillie JK, Stevens MP, et al. Microglial brain
region-dependent diversity and selective regional sensitivities to aging. Nat Neurosci.
2016;19(3):504-16.
90. Satoh J, Kino Y, Asahina N, Takitani M, Miyoshi J, Ishida T, et al. TMEM119 marks a subset of
microglia in the human brain. Neuropathology. 2016;36(1):39-49.
91. Askew K, Li K, Olmos-Alonso A, Garcia-Moreno F, Liang Y, Richardson P, et al. Coupled
Proliferation and Apoptosis Maintain the Rapid Turnover of Microglia in the Adult Brain. Cell Rep.
2017;18(2):391-405.

92.   Parkhurst CN, Gan WB. Microglia dynamics and function in the CNS. Curr Opin Neurobiol.
2010;20(5):595-600.
93.   Wong WT. Microglial aging in the healthy CNS: phenotypes, drivers, and rejuvenation. Front
Cell Neurosci. 2013;7:22.
94.   Nelson LH, Lenz KM. Microglia depletion in early life programs persistent changes in social,
mood-related, and locomotor behavior in male and female rats. Behav Brain Res. 2017;316:279-93.
95.   Setiawan E, Wilson AA, Mizrahi R, Rusjan PM, Miler L, Rajkowska G, et al. Role of translocator
protein density, a marker of neuroinflammation, in the brain during major depressive episodes.
JAMA Psychiatry. 2015;72(3):268-75.
96.   Torres-Platas SG, Hercher C, Davoli MA, Maussion G, Labonte B, Turecki G, et al. Astrocytic
hypertrophy in anterior cingulate white matter of depressed suicides. Neuropsychopharmacology.
2011;36(13):2650-8.
97.   C D, M B, C W, A B, SA E. Environmental enrichment induces optimistic cognitive biases in
pigs. Applied Animal Behaviour Science. 2012;139:65-73.

8. Figure Legends

Figure 1: Diagram of the layout of the experimental room. Double lines signify the outer room doors

used for access. Grey circles indicate the location of cameras and filled black boxes show the location

of feeders. Litter of origin is identified as L1-L6. Empty pens are indicated by hatched lines. EE pens

were twice the size of B pens as indicated in the diagram. All pens were solid floored. EE pens had

the addition of straw over two thirds of the flooring.

Figure 2: Percentage of behaviours classified as 'active' at each time point for EE and B piglets. Active

behaviours include locomotion (running, walking, hopping, pivoting, jumping and climbing), object

interaction (with enrichment, i.e. bedding or enrichment stimulus, or components of pen) and nosing

(of pen-mates or pen structure). X-axis shows the time relative to the provision of the additional

enrichment stimulus.

Figure 3: Differentially expressed genes. (Panel A) Largely similar expression profiles of frontal cortex

in barren (x-axis) and enriched (y-axis) environments, with the exception of a subset of differentially

expressed genes. Each point is a gene, with expression level measured as the median transcripts per

million (TPM) across all replicates. The line y = x is shown in red. Differentially expressed genes are

more distant from this red line. (Panel B) Volcano plot of the fold change in expression for all genes.

X axis shows log2 fold change; Y axis shows −log10 p-value. Few genes demonstrate large fold

changes, with most clustering at the root of the graph.

Figure 4: Network analysis of all genes expressed in the frontal cortex. Each node (sphere) in the

network graph represents a sample (individual), and each edge (line) a Pearson's correlation

between samples ≥ 0.99. The local structure of the graph shows that gene expression profiles cluster

according to litter of origin (Panel A, where nodes are coloured by litter) and that there is little effect

of treatment (i.e. no clear clustering) upon overall gene expression (Panel B, where nodes are

coloured by environment).

Figure 5: Network analysis of differentially expressed genes in the frontal cortex. Each node (sphere)

in the network graph represents a sample, and each edge (line) a Pearson's correlation between

samples ≥ 0.93. There is no clustering of gene expression profiles based on litter of origin (Panel A)

and an unambiguous effect of treatment on gene expression (Panel B). Inter-individual variability in

expression profiles appears lower in the EE pigs than in the B pigs.

9. Supplemental Information

Figure S1: Cluster graphs from 1 hour Cluster lists found in Table S2 that show clear segregation

between EE and B animals. Panel (A) Microglia and connective tissue genes; Panel (B) Immune and

connective tissue genes; Panel (C) IEG; Panel (D) All clusters. Each column represents a single animal

with B house pigs as columns 1-6 and EE pigs columns 7-12. There is clear segregation in panel D of

the EE animals (top clusters) and B animals (bottom clusters)

Table S1: Differentially expressed genes at 1 and 4 hours. With log2 fold change, p value, average

counts and average transcripts per million for each treatment group.

Table S2: Genes present in gene-gene cluster analysis.

Table S3: IEG and microglial reference gene list. Reference list used for randomisation tests.

A.

B.

A.

B.

L1, L2, L3, L4, L5, L6

Barren, Enriched

A.

B.

L1, L2, L3, L4, L5, L6

Barren, Enriched