Phylogenomic analyses resolve historically contentious relationships

within the Palaeognathae in the presence of an empirical anomaly zone

Alison Cloutier[1,2*], Timothy B. Sackton[3], Phil Grayson[1,2], Michele Clamp[3], Allan J. Baker[4,5¶],

Scott V. Edwards[1,2]

[1]*Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge MA USA*

[2]*Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge MA USA*

[3]*Informatics Group, Harvard University, Cambridge MA USA*

[4]*Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Toronto, Toronto ON Canada*

[5]*Department of Natural History, Royal Ontario Museum, Toronto ON Canada*

[¶]*Deceased*

*Corresponding author: alison.cloutier@mail.utoronto.ca

ABSTRACT

Palaeognathae represent one of the two basal lineages in modern birds, and comprise the

volant (flighted) tinamous and the flightless ratites. Resolving palaeognath phylogenetic

relationships has historically proved difficult, and short internal branches separating major

palaeognath lineages in previous molecular phylogenies suggest that extensive incomplete

lineage sorting (ILS) might have accompanied a rapid ancient divergence. Here, we investigate

palaeognath relationships using genome-wide data sets of three types of noncoding nuclear

markers, together totalling 20,850 loci and over 41 million base pairs of aligned sequence data.

We recover a fully resolved topology placing rheas as the sister to kiwi and emu + cassowary

that is congruent across marker types for two species tree methods (MP-EST and ASTRAL-II).

This topology is corroborated by patterns of insertions for 4,274 CR1 retroelements identified

from multi-species whole genome screening, and is robustly supported by phylogenomic

subsampling analyses, with MP-EST demonstrating particularly consistent performance across

subsampling replicates as compared to ASTRAL. In contrast, analyses of concatenated data

supermatrices recover rheas as the sister to all other non-ostrich palaeognaths, an alternative that

lacks retroelement support and shows inconsistent behavior under subsampling approaches.

While statistically supporting the species tree topology, conflicting patterns of retroelement

insertions also occur and imply high amounts of ILS across short successive internal branches,

consistent with observed patterns of gene tree heterogeneity. Coalescent simulations indicate

that the majority of observed topological incongruence among gene trees is consistent with

coalescent variation rather than arising from gene tree estimation error alone, and estimated

branch lengths for short successive internodes in the inferred species tree fall within the

theoretical range encompassing the anomaly zone. Distributions of empirical gene trees confirm

that the most common gene tree topology for each marker type differs from the species tree,

signifying the existence of an empirical anomaly zone in palaeognaths.

Keywords: palaeognath, ratite, phylogenomics, retroelement, species tree, multispecies

coalescent, incomplete lineage sorting, anomaly zone

INTRODUCTION

The scaling-up of multigene phylogenetic data sets that accompanied rapid advances in

DNA sequencing technologies over the past two decades was at first heralded as a possible end

to the incongruence resulting from stochastic error associated with single-gene topologies (Rokas

et al. 2003, Gee 2003). However, it soon became clear that conflicting, but highly supported,

topologies could result from different data sets when sequence from multiple genes was analyzed

as a concatenated supermatrix, leading Jeffroy et al. (2006) to comment that phylogenomics –

recently coming to signify the application of phylogenetic principles to genome-scale data

(Delsuc et al. 2005) – could instead signal 'the beginning of incongruence'. On the one hand,

these observations highlighted the need for more sophisticated models to account for

nonphylogenetic signal such as convergent base composition or unequal rates that can become

amplified in large concatenated data sets (Jeffroy et al. 2006). But at the same time, there was a

growing recognition that gene trees and species trees are not equivalent entities and that

horizontal gene transfer, gene duplication and loss, and incomplete lineage sorting can result in

heterogeneous topologies for gene trees that are all contained within a single overarching species

tree history (Maddison 1997). When gene tree discordance arising from these biological factors

predominates, concatenation approaches, which implicitly assume that all genes share the same

topology, can not only fail to recover the true species tree but can also infer erroneous

relationships with strong support (Kubatko and Degnan 2007, Roch and Steel 2015). This

recognition of the biological basis for gene tree discordance prompted proposals for a conceptual

shift to adopt the multispecies coalescent model as a flexible and biologically realistic

framework that incorporates population-level processes into phylogenetic inference (Degnan and

Rosenberg 2009, Edwards 2009, Edwards et al. 2016).

Among the processes generating variation in gene tree histories, coalescent variation

caused by incomplete lineage sorting (ILS) has received much attention, in part because it is the

most ubiquitous and common form of gene tree–species tree incongruence (Edwards 2009).

Incomplete lineage sorting occurs when ancestral polymorphisms do not reach fixation between

successive divergences among taxa or, viewed from the opposite direction as deep coalescence,

when alleles of two taxa fail to coalesce in their most recent common ancestor (Maddison 1997,

Degnan and Rosenberg 2009). The likelihood of ILS increases when internal branches in the

species tree are short relative to the effective population size and this phenomenon is therefore

likely to accompany many rapid radiations that are the focus of evolutionary studies (Song et al.

2012, Liu et al. 2015b). A surprising outcome of ILS under the multispecies coalescent is that

there exist branch lengths in the species tree for which the most probable gene tree topology

differs from that of the species tree, producing a zone where these anomalous gene trees (AGTs)

predominate in the gene tree distribution (the 'anomaly zone', Degnan and Rosenberg 2006).

The anomaly zone carries clear implications for phylogenetic inference, since any method

employing a democratic vote among loci will fail in this region of tree space (Degnan and

Rosenberg 2006, 2009; Huang and Knowles 2009). Incomplete lineage sorting is documented

for many taxa (e.g. Prüfer et al. 2012, Song et al. 2012, Suh et al. 2015), and the theoretical basis

of the anomaly zone is well established (Degnan and Rosenberg 2006, 2009; Rosenberg 2013).

However, an empirical anomaly zone has thus far only been reported in Scincidae skinks

(Linkem et al. 2016) and the general relevance of this phenomenon to empirical studies has been

questioned (Huang and Knowles 2009).

Short branches that produce high amounts of incomplete lineage sorting are also expected

to carry relatively few informative substitutions (Huang and Knowles 2009, Xi et al. 2015).

Observed gene tree heterogeneity accompanying an inferred empirical anomaly zone could

therefore reflect uninformative gene trees rather than truly anomalous ones (Huang and Knowles

2009). More generally, gene tree estimation error has been raised as a potential concern for

current methods of species tree inference even outside of the anomaly zone (Liu et al. 2015c,

Roch and Warnow 2015). Fully coalescent single-step Bayesian methods that coestimate gene

trees with the species tree, despite providing the most seamless statistical environment for testing

phylogenetic hypotheses using the coalescent (Xu and Yang 2016), are as yet computationally

prohibitive for large data sets (Liu et al. 2015c, Edwards 2016a), motivating the development of

many two-step methods that use estimated gene trees as input for species tree inference

(reviewed in Liu et al. 2015a, Edwards 2016a). These summary methods can show good

performance under simulation (Liu et al. 2010, Mirarab et al. 2016), sometimes even within the

anomaly zone (Liu et al. 2010), but assume that gene trees are known without error. Simulation

studies have shown that summary species tree methods can still perform well in the presence of

gene tree estimation error (e.g. Liu et al. 2010, Mirarab et al. 2016), and recent results from

coalescent simulations (Xi et al. 2015) and analysis of empirical data (Blom et al. 2017) indicate

that the accuracy of species tree inference can be improved by adding more loci, even if those

loci are minimally informative (Xu and Yang 2016). However, whether these observations apply

broadly across empirical data sets is as yet unknown, and it is also crucial that gene tree error, if

it occurs, is unbiased (Xi et al. 2015). It is therefore imperative for empirical studies to carefully

consider the underlying gene tree support for inferred species trees and to assess consistency of

results across analysis methods and data types.

Here, we use genome-wide data sets of three types of noncoding markers (conserved non-

exonic elements [CNEEs], introns, and ultraconserved elements [UCEs]) to investigate

relationships within the Palaeognathae, one of the two basal lineages of modern birds (the other

being Neognathae, which includes the Galloanserae [gamebirds + waterfowl] and Neoaves [all

other birds]). Palaeognaths encompass the flighted tinamous (Tinamiformes) of South and

Central America and the flightless ratites, including the African ostrich (Struthioniformes),

Australian emu and Australasian cassowaries (Casuariiformes), New Zealand kiwi

(Apterygiformes), and South American rheas (Rheiformes) as well as the recently extinct New

Zealand moa (Dinornithiformes) and Madagascan elephant birds (Aepyornithiformes).

Palaeognath phylogenetic relationships have remained controversial for over a century (reviewed

in Houde 1988, Cracraft et al. 2004), and recent molecular studies have added to the debate by

placing the tinamous as sister to the moa within a paraphyletic ratite clade (Phillips et al. 2010,

Haddrath and Baker 2012, Baker et al. 2014) and recovering an unexpected sister-group

relationship between kiwi and elephant birds (Mitchell et al. 2014, Grealy et al. 2017, Yonezawa

et al. 2017). These findings have important implications for our understanding of Gondwonan

biogeography and the possibility that morphological convergence has accompanied multiple

independent losses of flight in the ratites (Harshman et al. 2008, Haddrath and Baker 2012,

Baker et al. 2014, Sackton et al. 2018).

Although ratite paraphyly is strongly supported by all recent molecular studies (Hackett

et al. 2008, Harshman et al. 2008, Phillips et al. 2010, Haddrath and Baker 2012, Smith et al.

2013, Baker et al. 2014, Mitchell et al. 2014, Grealy et al. 2017, Yonezawa et al. 2017), rheas

have been variously placed as the sister to all other non-ostrich palaeognaths (e.g. Hackett et al.

2008, Harshman et al. 2008, Phillips et al. 2010, Mitchell et al. 2014, Prum et al. 2015 and

others), to tinamous (Harshman et al. 2008, Smith et al. 2013; note that moa sequences were

absent from these analyses), or to a clade containing emu, cassowary, and kiwi (Haddrath and

Baker 2012, Prum et al. 2015), with the same data sometimes producing conflicting results under

different analysis regimes (e.g. Harshman et al. 2008, Smith et al. 2013, Prum et al. 2015).

Alternative placements of rheas are often accompanied by low bootstrap support, and it is

suspected that ILS across short internal branches separating major palaeognath lineages could

underlie some of the difficulties in resolving phylogenetic relationships within this group

(Haddrath and Baker 2012).

Newly available whole-genome sequences (Zhang et al. 2014, Le Duc et al. 2015,

Sackton et al. 2018) allow investigation of palaeognath relationships at a phylogenomic scale.

Recently, we reported fully congruent results using the coalescent species tree method MP-EST

(Liu et al. 2010) that recovered rheas as the sister to emu/cassowary + kiwi. These results were

consistent across marker type and robust to the effects of missing data, alignment uncertainty,

and outgroup choice, but differed from the incongruent results obtained under concatenation

(Sackton et al. 2018). Here, we corroborate the species tree topology inferred from sequence-

based analysis with genome-wide screening for informative presence/absence insertions of CR1

retroelements, and incorporate results from a second species tree method (ASTRAL, Mirarab and

Warnow 2015) to further strengthen support for this topology relative to that obtained from

concatenated sequence data. Additionally, we employ phylogenomic subsampling to investigate

consistency in the underlying signal for conflicting relationships recovered from species tree

versus concatenation approaches, and use likelihood evaluation and coalescent simulation to

assess the underlying gene tree support for the recovered species tree topology and the existence

of an empirical anomaly zone in palaeognaths. Throughout, we consider the variation in signal

among classes of noncoding nuclear markers that are becoming increasingly adopted for

genome-scale analyses (Edwards et al. 2017), and contrast the relative performance of these

markers under different analysis regimes to resolve historically challenging relationships among

Palaeognathae.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Data set compilation

We assembled data sets for three types of noncoding nuclear markers: conserved non-

exonic elements (CNEEs), introns, and ultraconserved elements (UCEs) for 14 palaeognath

species and a chicken outgroup from publicly available whole-genome sequence assemblies

(Suppl. Table S1). We chose to analyze noncoding sequences primarily because coding regions

across large taxonomic scales in birds are known to experience more severe among-lineage

variation in base composition than noncoding regions, which can complicate phylogenetic

analysis (Jarvis et al. 2014, Reddy et al. 2017). On the other hand, noncoding markers can be

more challenging to align than coding markers, and the three marker types we analyze here

exhibit a range of variation and alignment challenges (Edwards et al. 2017).

We filtered the 1,949,832 conserved elements (CEs) identified statistically by Sackton et

al. (2018) to omit elements overlapping annotated exon, gene, or CDS features in the galGal4

chicken genome release (NCBI annotation release 102) to generate a candidate set of 811,696

conserved non-exonic elements (CNEEs). This set was further filtered to retain 16,852 elements

greater than 250 bp in length. Orthologous sequence from 10 newly published palaeognath

genomes (Sackton et al. 2018) as well as ostrich (*Struthio camelus*) and white-throated tinamou

(*Tinamus guttatus*) genomes from the Avian Phylogenomics Project (Zhang et al. 2014) was

compiled by lifting over reference genome coordinates from chicken to each target palaeognath

in an existing 42 species multiway whole-genome alignment (WGA, Sackton et al. 2018) with

HAL Tools v.2.1 (Hickey et al. 2013). Liftover output was parsed to retain only uniquely

mapping regions between the chicken reference and target palaeognath genomes. CNEEs with

no missing taxa from the 12 palaeognaths included in the whole-genome alignment, and with at

least as many variable sites as taxa were retained (N= 14,528 loci). Loci overlapping the set of

UCEs described below were omitted using BEDTools v. 2.26.0 (Quinlan and Hall 2010), leaving

a data set of 12,676 CNEEs.

Candidate introns were identified using BEDTools to output coordinates for annotated

intron features in the galGal4 genome release that did not overlap with any annotated exon

feature. Chicken coordinates for these introns were lifted over to target palaeognaths in the

whole-genome alignment as described for CNEEs above, filtered to remove duplicated regions,

and expected exon/intron boundaries in each palaeognath sequence were refined by making

intron coordinates flush to liftovers for adjacent exon sequences. Candidate introns within each

palaeognath species were omitted if shorter than 100 bp, if longer than 100 kb, or if longer than

10 kb and more than 50% longer than the chicken reference intron length. After adding

sequence from the published North Island brown kiwi (Le Duc et al. 2015) and little bush moa

(Cloutier et al. 2018) as described below, one intron per gene was chosen, requiring a minimum

pairwise sequence identity of 70% and fewer than 0.5 undetermined sites (e.g. gaps and Ns) per

bp aligned sequence, and then choosing among multiple introns per gene based on the smallest

number of missing taxa and longest average unaligned input sequence length across taxa. This

produced a final data set of 5,016 introns, each originating from a different gene.

Ultraconserved elements (UCEs) were compiled using the set of 3,679 bioinformatically

harvested UCEs from the Avian Phylogenomics Project (Jarvis et al. 2014, 2015; accessed from

http://dx.doi.org/10.5524/101041). In addition to chicken, we used ostrich and white-throated

tinamou included in the Avian Phylogenomics Project data as reference species to lift over to all

other palaeognaths in the whole-genome alignment. Liftover output from these multiple

reference taxa was parsed to omit duplicated regions and tiled for regions that were consistent

across reference species. A maximum of one missing taxon per locus from the 12 palaeognaths

in the whole-genome alignment was allowed, resulting in a data set of 3,158 UCEs.

Blastn searches with NCBI's default 'somewhat similar' parameters (evalue 1e-10,

perc_identity 10, penalty -3, reward 2, gapopen 5, gapextend 2, word size 11) were used with

query sequence from each of the three kiwi species included in the whole-genome alignment to

identify orthologous regions in the North Island brown kiwi (*Apteryx mantelli*, Le Duc et al.

2015), which was not included in the WGA. North Island brown kiwi sequence was added for

loci that had consistent top hits across blastn searches, with a single high-scoring segment pair

(HSP) covering at least 50% of the input query sequence and minimum 80% sequence identity.

Using this approach, we added *A. mantelli* sequence for 11,534/12,676 CNEEs (91% of total),

2,560/5,016 introns (51%), and 2,033/3,158 UCEs (64%).

Sequence was also added from a reference-based genome assembly of the extinct little

bush moa (*Anomalopteryx didiformis*, Cloutier et al. 2018) that was generated by mapping moa

reads to the emu genome included in the whole-genome alignment. Emu coordinates from the

liftover approach outlined above were used to retrieve the corresponding region in moa, and moa

sequence was retained if it spanned at least 30% of the emu reference length or was at least 200

bp in length, excluding Ns. Moa sequence was added for 12,643/12,676 CNEEs (99.7%),

4,982/5,016 introns (99.3%), and 3,146/3,158 UCEs (99.6%).

Sequences for each locus were retrieved from genome assemblies and aligned *de novo*

with MAFFT v. 7.245 (Katoh and Standley 2013) using default options for global iterative

alignment for CNEEs (option 'ginsi') and local iterative alignment for introns and UCEs (option

'linsi'). This produced data sets of 4,794,620 bp of aligned sequence for the 12,676 CNEEs,

27,890,802 bp for 5,016 introns, and 8,498,759 bp for 3,158 UCEs.

Gene tree inference

Best maximum likelihood trees were built with RAxML v. 8.1.5 (Stamatakis 2014) from

unpartitioned alignments for each locus using a GTR+GAMMA substitution model and 20

independent searches beginning with randomly generated starting trees. Topologies were also

inferred for 500 bootstrap replicates per locus, with a GTR+GAMMA model on unpartitioned

data in RAxML.

Species tree inference

MP-EST v. 1.5 (Liu et al. 2010) analyses were conducted with three full runs per data set

beginning with different random number seeds and with ten independent tree searches within

each run. The species tree topology was inferred using best maximum likelihood gene trees as

input, and node support was estimated from MP-EST runs using gene tree bootstrap replicates as

input.

ASTRAL-II v. 4.10.9 (Mirarab and Warnow 2015, hereafter 'ASTRAL') was also run

using the best maximum likelihood gene trees to infer the species tree topology, and the 500

gene tree bootstrap replicates to estimate node support. To permit direct comparison across

methods, the default quadripartition support values output by ASTRAL were replaced with

traditional bipartition supports by plotting output bootstrap replicates from ASTRAL on the

inferred species tree topology using RAxML.

To investigate the effects of concatenation on phylogenetic inference, ExaML v. 3.0.16

(Kozlov et al. 2015) was used to infer maximum likelihood topologies from fully partitioned (i.e.

1 partition per locus) concatenated data sets using a GTR+GAMMA substitution model.

Twenty-one full tree searches were run for each data set, with 20 searches beginning from

complete random starting trees, and one additional search using a random stepwise addition

order parsimony starting tree. A minimum of 50 bootstrap replicates were computed for each

data set, with additional replicates performed as necessary until convergence was reached as

assessed by bootstopping analysis with a majority-rule consensus tree criterion implemented in

RAxML.

For all three methods, bootstrap supports were placed on the inferred species tree using

RAxML, and trees were outgroup rooted with chicken using ETE v. 3 (Huerta-Cepas et al.

2016).

Phylogenomic subsampling

In addition to the traditional bootstrapping approaches outlined above, phylogenomic

subsampling (Blom et al. 2016; reviewed in Edwards 2016b) was used to assess the consistency

of the underlying support for conflicting clades. For each marker type (CNEEs, introns, and

UCEs), loci were randomly sampled with replacement to create subsets of 50, 100, 200, 300,

400, 500, 1000, 1500, 2000, 2500, and 3000 loci. This process was repeated ten times to create a

total of 110 data sets per marker type (e.g. 10 replicates of 50 loci each, 10 replicates of 100 loci,

etc. for each of CNEEs, introns, and UCEs). Topologies were inferred for bootstrap replicates of

each data set using MP-EST, ASTRAL, and ExaML as described above. However, for reasons

of computational tractability, 200 rather than 500 bootstrap replicates were used for each method

(including ExaML), and MP-EST was run once (rather than three times) for each data set,

although still with ten tree searches within each run.

Support for alternative hypotheses regarding the sister group to the rheas, and the sister to

emu + cassowary, was estimated by first counting the number of bootstraps that recovered each

alternative topology from the 200 bootstraps run for each replicate, and then calculating the

mean value for each hypothesis across the ten replicates within each data set size category.

Analysis of incomplete lineage sorting (ILS) and gene tree heterogeneity

Analyses of gene tree heterogeneity were conducted using both the best maximum

likelihood trees inferred by RAxML, as well as majority-rule extended consensus trees generated

from RAxML bootstrap replicates. Most analyses of topological incongruence required an

identical taxon sampling across gene trees; however, there was a much larger amount of missing

data for North Island brown kiwi than for other taxa, in part because this species was added *post*

hoc to data sets that were compiled for species included in the whole-genome alignment and in

part because the assembly quality of this species was lower than for those palaeognaths in the

WGA. We therefore pruned North Island brown kiwi from all gene trees, and omitted loci that

were missing any other taxa. This approach retained 12,643/12,676 CNEEs (99.7% of loci),

4,982/5,016 introns (99.3%), and 2,866/3,158 UCEs (90.8%).

Gene support frequency (GSF) and internode certainty 'all' (ICA, Salichos et al. 2014)

were calculated with RAxML. Distributions of gene tree topologies were calculated by

computing all pairwise Robinson-Foulds (RF) cluster distances on outgroup rooted gene trees

with TreeCmp v. 1.1-b308 (Bogdanowicz et al. 2012) and parsing the output into mutually

compatible sets of trees with identical topology where RF= 0. Numbers of substitutions

occurring on braches conflicting with the species tree topology were inferred under a parsimony

criterion in PAUP v. 4.0a151 (Swofford 2002).

Relative support for each gene tree topology was assessed by computing ΔAIC from the

log-likelihood score (lnL) of the inferred gene tree and lnL when the input alignment for each

locus was constrained to the species tree topology. Both likelihood values were calculated in

RAxML on unpartitioned alignments with a GTR+GAMMA substitution model, and branch

lengths were optimized for each topology, a criterion for applying the ΔAIC approach.

Approximately unbiased (AU) tests were run in IQ-TREE v. 1.5.4 (Nguyen et al. 2015).

For each locus, we tested the estimated gene tree topology against an *a priori* candidate set of

probable trees that enforced monophyly of the five higher-level palaeognath lineages (kiwi, emu

+ cassowary, rheas, moa + tinamous, ostrich), but allowed all possible rearrangements among

those lineages (for a total of 105 trees in the candidate set, plus the gene tree topology itself if it

did not occur within this set). We also tested gene trees against a second set of candidate

topologies using the same criteria as above, but additionally allowing all possible rearrangements

within a monophyletic tinamou clade (for 1,575 candidate topologies). For each gene, in

addition to the reported P-value for the fit of the species tree topology, we also calculated the

rank of the species tree topology relative to all tested candidates from P-values sorted in

ascending order.

The proportion of observed gene tree heterogeneity consistent with coalescent variation

was estimated through simulation. For each marker type, we estimated ultrametric species tree

branch lengths in mutational units (μT, where μ is the mutation rate per generation and T is the

number of generations) by constraining concatenated alignments of all loci to the species tree

topology with a GTR+GAMMA substitution model and strict molecular clock in PAUP. For this

purpose, we ignore the additional mutations incurred due to variation in ancestral lineages in the

species tree (Angelis and dos Reis 2015), as well as misestimation of the number of mutations

due to deviation of the gene trees from the species tree (Mendes and Hahn 2016). These

mutational branch lengths were used in combination with the MP-EST and ASTRAL species tree

branch lengths in coalescent units ($\tau = T/4N_e$) to calculate the population size parameter theta (Θ)

for each internal branch following Degnan and Rosenberg (2009), where $(\Theta/2) \cdot T/N_e = \mu T$

which reduces to $\Theta = \mu T/\tau$. Theta for terminal branches was set to a constant value of 1; this

value had no impact on simulated gene trees because we simulated only one allele per species.

10,000 gene trees were simulated from species trees using these mutational branch

lengths and theta values for each data set (e.g. CNEEs, introns, and UCEs, with theta derived

from both MP-EST and ASTRAL branch lengths) using the sim.coaltree.sp function in Phybase

v. 1.5 (Liu and Yu 2010). Pairwise Robinson-Foulds cluster and matching cluster distances of

each simulated gene tree to the species tree topology were calculated with TreeCmp

(Bogdanowicz et al. 2012). The ratio of mean gene tree–species tree distances for simulated

gene trees relative to mean distances for empirically estimated gene trees was calculated as a

measure of the amount of observed gene tree heterogeneity that can be accounted for by

coalescent processes.

Rooted triplets were generated by pruning all possible combinations of three ingroup taxa

plus the chicken outgroup from each gene tree with ETE. Proportions of the major (species tree)

triplet and the two alternative minor triplets were calculated for all species trios, as well as for

combinations of higher level lineages (e.g. all trios involving one kiwi, one rhea, and either emu

or cassowary). We followed the approach of Song et al. (2012) to account for non-independence

of sampled trios when calculating proportions for higher-level lineages by assigning the most

commonly occurring triplet combination for each gene and omitting genes with ties before

tallying triplet counts across all genes. Observed triplet values were compared to expected

proportions calculated using branch lengths in coalescent units from MP-EST and ASTRAL

species trees, where the expected frequency of the major triplet equals $1 - 2/3 \exp(\text{-}t)$ and the two

minor triplets equal $1/3 \exp(\text{-}t)$, with t representing the internal branch length in the triplet

(Pamilo and Nei 1988).

Equation 4 from Degnan and Rosenberg (2006) was used to calculate the value *a(x)* for

each internal branch *x* measured in coalescent units from MP-EST and ASTRAL species trees.

Calculated *a(x)* values were compared to coalescent branch lengths for each descendent internal

branch *y,* with *y < a(x)* providing evidence that this region of the species tree falls within the

zone where anomalous gene trees (AGTs) are expected – the anomaly zone. In addition to

calculations from species tree branch lengths, which are derived from input best maximum

likelihood gene trees, we also performed anomaly zone calculations for each of the 500 species

tree bootstrap replicates using scripts provided by Linkem et al. 2016 (accessed from

http://datadryad.org/resource/doi:10.5061/dryad.sf6s9).

CR1 retroelement insertions

Patterns of CR1 retroelement insertions were used to corroborate findings from sequence-

based analyses for all palaeognath species included in the whole-genome alignment (therefore

excluding the North Island brown kiwi and little bush moa). Draft genomes for each species

were repeat masked using RepeatMasker v. 4.0.5 (Smit et al. 2015) with RepBase Update

20140131, the sensitive search option, and query species 'vertebrata metazoa'. RepeatMasker

output was parsed to retain simple CR1 insertions at least 50 bp in length, omitting overlapping

and nested insertions. Coordinates for up to 500 bp of flanking sequence to either side of CR1

insertions in each species were retrieved and lifted over to every other target palaeognath species

in the whole-genome alignment with HAL Tools.

Liftover coordinates were parsed to exclude duplicated regions, and orthologous loci

were compiled across species with BEDTools and custom Perl scripts. Loci with sequence from

at least one taxon for each major lineage (kiwi, emu + cassowary, rheas, ostrich, and tinamous)

and with phylogenetically informative insertion patterns were retained (i.e. excluding

symplesiomorphies shared by all palaeognaths or autapomorphic insertions unique to a single

species). Sequence for each locus was extracted from genome assemblies and *de novo* aligned

with MAFFT using default parameters for global alignment. Presence/absence scores based on

RepeatMasker annotations were bioinformatically reassessed in aligned sequence data, and

sequences with gaps spanning the CR1 insertion site were rescored as 'omitted'. Loci with flush

insertion boundaries and identifiable target site duplications (TSDs) at least 2 bp in length were

retained, and all retained alignments were visually inspected. RepeatMasker output for these loci

was parsed to verify that putative orthologous insertions shared the same CR1 subtype, insertion

orientation, and degree of fragmentation. Sequence from chicken was added to alignments for

CR1 insertions that grouped all non-ostrich palaeognaths to verify insertion boundaries and

confirm that CR1 absence was not due to a secondary deletion within this genomic region in

ostrich. Statistical support for conflicting patterns of CR1 insertions was calculated with the

KKSC insertion significance tests of Kuritzin et al. (2016).

RESULTS

Coalescent species tree methods, but not concatenation, recover congruent palaeognath

relationships

Using genome-wide data sets of 12,676 CNEEs, 5,016 introns, and 3,158 UCEs, we

recover fully congruent topologies across all marker types and for the combined total evidence

tree using MP-EST and ASTRAL coalescent species tree approaches (Fig. 1, Suppl. Fig. S1a-h;

N.B. following Liu et al. 2015b and Edwards 2016a, we note the distinction between fully

coalescent MP-EST and ASTRAL, whose clustering algorithm is not strictly coalescent, but

hereafter refer to both methods as 'coalescent'). Maximal support is obtained throughout for

MP-EST and for the ASTRAL species tree built from CNEEs, but with reduced support for the

placement of rheas as the sister to a clade containing kiwi and emu + cassowary for ASTRAL

trees inferred from introns and UCEs (95% and 83% bootstrap support, respectively). In

contrast, ExaML inference from concatenated data sets places the rheas as sister to all other non-

ostrich palaeognaths with full support for introns and reduced support for CNEEs (60%) and

UCEs (90%, Suppl. Fig. S1i-l). ExaML analyses are also inconsistent across marker types in

their placement of a casuariiform clade comprising emu and cassowary, where introns recover a

sister group relationship to kiwi as is seen for MP-EST and ASTRAL, but with casuariiforms

instead placed as the sister to moa + tinamous with 60% support for CNEEs and 96% support for

UCEs (Suppl. Fig. S1).

Robustness of the underlying signal for these inconsistently recovered relationships was

further assessed with phylogenomic subsampling, which uses a variation of double bootstrapping

(Seo et al. 2008) to generate replicate data sets with increasing numbers of randomly sampled

loci, each of which is then subject to traditional bootstrap resampling across sites. MP-EST

analyses rapidly accumulate support for a sister-group relationship between rheas and

emu/cassowary + kiwi, where 100% support is consistently recovered across replicates with an

intermediate number of loci (e.g. with > 500 loci, Fig. 2a-c, Fig. 3a,j), and with support for

alternative hypotheses sharply dropping off for replicates with greater than 200 loci. Support

accumulates more slowly for ASTRAL, but the hypothesis of rheas as sister to emu/cassowary +

kiwi clearly dominates and support for alternatives declines in replicates with more than 1000

loci for all markers (Fig. 2d-f, Fig. 3). In contrast, subsampling replicates are less consistent for

relationships inferred under concatenation with ExaML (Fig. 2g-i, Fig. 3). In particular, CNEEs

oscillate between recovering rheas as the sister to moa + tinamous, or the sister to all other non-

ostrich palaeognaths, although always with low bootstrap support (Fig. 2g, Fig. 3g). The other

two marker types more clearly support the topology recovered from full data sets with ExaML

that place rheas as sister to the remaining non-ostrich palaeognaths, although bootstrap support

for UCE replicates is generally weak (Fig. 2h,i; Fig. 3).

Subsampling provides even more robust support for emu + cassowary as the sister to

kiwi, with both MP-EST and ASTRAL quickly accumulating support for this clade and with

rapidly declining support for all other hypotheses (Suppl. Fig. S2a-f, Suppl. Fig. S3). ExaML

intron replicates also steadily accumulate support for this relationship with an increasing number

of loci (Suppl. Fig. S2h, Suppl. Fig. S3h,q). The alternative hypothesis of emu + cassowary as

sister to moa + tinamous, which is favored by CNEEs and UCEs analyzed within a concatenation

framework, is not well supported by subsampling, where conflicting topologies characterized by

low support are recovered across ExaML replicates (Suppl. Fig. S2g,i; Suppl. Fig. S3).

CR1 retroelement insertions corroborate findings from sequence-based analyses

Patterns of CR1 retroelement insertions corroborate both the inferred species tree

topology from MP-EST and ASTRAL and the existence of substantial conflicting signal

consistent with incomplete lineage sorting across short internal branches. In total, 4,301

informative CR1 insertions were identified from multispecies genome-wide screens, the vast

majority of which (4,274 of 4,301, or 99.4%) are entirely consistent with relationships inferred

from sequence-based analyses (Fig. 4a; analysis here was restricted to species in the whole-

genome alignment, and little bush moa and North Island brown kiwi are therefore not included).

Not surprisingly, we identify many more insertion events occurring along shallower branches

with longer estimated lengths and fewer insertions along shorter branches that form the backbone

of the inferred species tree (refer to Fig. 1 for estimated branch lengths).

Of the 27 (0.6%) CR1s that are inconsistent with the species tree topology, two conflict

with the inferred relationships within kiwi, and nine contradict relationships among tinamous

(Fig. 4b,c). However, in each case, conflicting CR1s are far outweighed by markers that support

the species tree topology, providing robust support from statistical tests of insertion significance

(i.e. the KKSC tests of Kuritzin et al. 2016, $P= 1.1e^{-66}$ in support of inferred kiwi relationships,

$P= 8.8e^{-24}$ for Chilean tinamou + elegant crested tinamou, and $P= 8.4e^{-152}$ for thicket + white-

throated tinamous). A further five insertions are inconsistent with tinamous as sister to a clade

containing rheas, kiwi, and emu + cassowary and would instead place tinamous as sister to just

one of these other lineages (Fig. 4d). Again, species tree relationships are statistically supported

for comparisons of all trios despite these contradictory markers. For example, while

casuariiforms (emu and cassowary) and kiwi each share one CR1 insertion exclusively with

tinamous, they have 12 insertions shared with each other to the exclusion of tinamous, therefore

supporting emu/cassowary + kiwi with $P= 8.2e^{-05}$. Similar calculations support rheas with

emu/cassowary to the exclusion of tinamous at $P= 0.0018$, and rheas with kiwi to the exclusion

of tinamous at $P= 0.004$.

Perhaps most strikingly, we identified six CR1s shared by rheas and emu/cassowary to

the exclusion of all other palaeognaths, including kiwi, and five CR1s shared by rheas and kiwi

alone (Fig. 4e). Together with the 12 insertions shared by emu, cassowary and kiwi, these

patterns are still sufficient to support the inferred relationship of rheas as the sister to an

emu/cassowary + kiwi clade (P= 0.048), but indicate substantial conflict in this region of the

tree, mirroring the results from sequence-based analysis. Symmetric numbers of CR1s

supporting the two conflicting topologies further suggest that ILS, rather than ancestral

hybridization, underlies the observed conflicts. Insertion significance tests accordingly support

the bifurcating species tree topology rather than a reticulate hybridization network or unresolved

polytomy among these three lineages (Kuritzin et al. 2016). We also note that no CR1 insertions

were recovered to support the alternative placement of rheas as the sister to all other non-ostrich

palaeognaths as produced by concatenation-based analyses (i.e. no CR1s were shared by emu,

cassowary, kiwi, and tinamous, but absent from rheas and ostrich).

Patterns of gene tree heterogeneity suggest substantial incomplete lineage sorting (ILS) and an

empirical anomaly zone

We investigated gene tree heterogeneity using both the maximum likelihood estimates of

gene trees and the majority rule extended consensus of bootstrap replicates. These analyses

produced similar results and are therefore reported for consensus gene trees only.

Distributions of estimated gene tree topologies illustrate that the most common topology

for each marker type is not the species tree topology inferred by MP-EST and ASTRAL, thereby

suggesting the existence of an empirical anomaly zone (Fig. 5a-c). While the ranking of specific

gene tree topologies differs across marker types, common to these anomalous gene trees that

occur at higher frequency than the species tree topology is the fact that both the shallowest clades

as well as the deepest split between the ostrich and all other palaeognaths are maintained

throughout (Fig. 5d). Rearrangements of AGTs relative to the MP-EST and ASTRAL species

tree topology instead involve the two short internal branches forming the common ancestor of

emu and cassowary with kiwi, and with this clade to rheas (Fig. 5d).

To more fully investigate the observed gene tree heterogeneity, we considered all

estimated gene trees on a clade-by-clade basis by calculating the gene support frequency (GSF),

which tallies the number of genes that recover each bipartition in the inferred species tree, and

the internode certainty 'all' statistic (ICA, Salichos et al. 2014), which incorporates the

frequencies of all commonly occurring bipartitions (Fig. 6). Clades that are recovered

consistently in both coalescent and concatenation approaches (e.g. ostrich sister to other

palaeognaths, emu + cassowary, moa + tinamous, interrelationships within kiwi, and within

tinamous) are also typically supported by the majority of individual gene trees, although single-

gene support is weaker for CNEEs (Fig. 6a). However, low (near zero) internode certainty 'all'

values for emu/cassowary + kiwi indicate that alternative bifurcations occur at roughly equal

frequency in the input set of gene trees, and the negative ICA for the relationship of this clade

with rheas means that the emu/cassowary + kiwi + rhea clade is actually recovered less often

than alternatives.

We next considered whether topological differences between estimated gene trees and the

species tree are well supported, or are instead likely to primarily reflect gene tree estimation

error. Mean bootstrap support for estimated gene trees is relatively high, especially for introns

and UCEs (83.9% and 82.8% respectively, Fig. 7a-c). However, average support falls by about

10% for each marker type when gene tree bootstrap replicates are constrained to the species tree

topology, with $P < 0.0001$ for paired t-tests of each data set. These results suggest that

differences from the species tree are broadly supported by variation in sequence alignments for

individual loci. To test this further, we compared the difference in Akaike information criterion

(AIC) for estimated gene trees to the AIC obtained when the sequence alignment for each gene

was constrained to the species tree topology. Approximately 80% of CNEEs have ΔAIC (gene

tree–species tree) less than -2, indicating substantial support in favor of the gene tree topology

relative to that of the species tree (Burnham and Anderson 2002), while the proportion was even

greater for introns and UCEs (approximately 90% with ΔAIC < -2, Fig. 7d). Despite this result,

approximately unbiased (AU) tests typically failed to reject a hypothesis that the data fit the

species tree topology, with only about 20% of introns and UCEs, and 30% of CNEEs rejecting

the species tree topology at $P < 0.05$ (Fig. 7d). However, the species tree topology is also not

commonly amongst the top 5% of candidate alternative topologies when these alternatives are

ranked according to increasing AU test P-value within each locus (Fig. 7d).

In keeping with the results for all loci, gene tree topologies are also generally supported

for loci falling within AGT groups. Support for individual gene trees is somewhat weak for

CNEEs, with low median bootstrap support and few substitutions occurring along branches that

conflict with the species tree topology (Suppl. Fig. S4a,d), which is consistent with the shorter

average alignment length and lower variability of these loci (Suppl. Fig. S5). However, support

is much stronger for introns and UCEs, with most loci having bootstrap support above 50% for

conflicting clades and ΔAIC < -2 indicating much stronger likelihood support for the recovered

gene tree topology relative to that obtained if sequence alignments are constrained to match the

inferred species tree (Suppl. Fig. S4).

Simulations were used to further assess what proportion of total gene tree heterogeneity

is likely attributable to coalescent processes rather than to gene tree estimation error (Fig. 8).

Using either Robinson-Foulds (RF) distances or the matching cluster distance, which is

influenced less by the displacement of a single taxon than is the RF metric (Bogdanowicz et al.

2012), and simulating from coalescent branch lengths estimated with either MP-EST or

ASTRAL for each marker type, we find that coalescent processes alone can account for more

than 70% of the observed gene tree heterogeneity in most comparisons, and >90% for introns

when gene trees are simulated from MP-EST coalescent branch lengths (Fig. 8).

Observed gene tree heterogeneity and anomalous gene trees (AGTs) are consistent with

expectations from coalescent theory

Analysis of rooted triplets, where gene trees are decomposed into all possible

combinations of three species plus the outgroup, corroborate relationships inferred by MP-EST,

which also relies on rooted triplets (Liu et al. 2010) and ASTRAL, which instead uses unrooted

quartets (Mirarab and Warnow 2015), with the major, or most frequent, topology for all species

trios matching that of the species tree. Observed counts of the major and two minor topologies

for the rhea, kiwi, and emu+cassowary lineages (i.e. from sampling all trios that contain one

representative of each lineage) also occur in proportions that are consistent with coalescent

theory, based on the estimated length of the internal branch within the trio (Pamilo and Nei 1988,

Fig. 9 Fisher's exact P > 0.9 for all comparisons of observed proportions to those expected from

either MP-EST or ASTRAL branch lengths). Similar to the results from conflicting CR1

insertions, the two minor topologies for rooted triplets occur at almost equal frequencies, which

is consistent with coalescent variation arising from incomplete lineage sorting rather than

alternative processes such as introgression. Also notable are the similar triplet proportions

observed for all three marker types, which suggest that gene tree estimation error and/or

systematic biases within datasets alone are unlikely to explain the observed results.

The identity and frequency of observed anomalous gene trees are also consistent with

expectations from coalescent theory. Following Degnan and Rosenberg (2006) and Rosenberg

(2013), branch lengths in coalescent units estimated with either MP-EST (Fig. 10) or ASTRAL

(Suppl. Fig. S6) have values expected to produce AGTs across the short successive internal

branches separating rheas, emu+cassowary, and kiwi for all marker types. Branch lengths for

CNEEs, but not introns or UCEs, are also consistent with AGTs arising across branches

separating moa + tinamous from the remaining non-ostrich palaeognaths. Inference of these

anomaly zones applied equally when using species tree branch lengths, or for branch lengths

from each bootstrap replicate (i.e. every bootstrap replicate that recovers the species tree

topology also places these regions of tree space within the anomaly zone).

DISCUSSION

High-throughput sequencing has revolutionized the scale at which phylogenetic inference

is being made, facilitating a phylogenomics era with unparalleled opportunity to not only resolve

difficult taxonomic relationships but also to better understand the underlying evolutionary

processes that have given rise to current biodiversity. In birds, recent large-scale efforts using

sequence capture methodologies (McCormack et al. 2013, Prum et al. 2015) and whole-genome

sequencing (Jarvis et al. 2014) have helped resolve higher-level interrelationships within

Neoaves. Still, some relationships remain poorly resolved or have discordant results across

analyses (Suh et al. 2015, Suh 2016, Reddy et al. 2017). Retroposon insertion patterns suggest

that a large amount of incomplete lineage sorting (ILS) accompanied a rapid Neoavian radiation

(Suh et al. 2015), prompting some authors to propose a hard polytomy involving nine lineages at

the base of this group (Suh 2016). Others have stressed the importance of data-type effects in

explaining the observed topological incongruence for some clades, and urge researchers to

incorporate evidence from as many different marker types as possible when addressing difficult

phylogenetic questions (Edwards et al. 2017, Reddy et al. 2017). Together, these results

illustrate the advances made with genome-scale data, but also highlight that large amounts of

data alone are not necessarily sufficient to resolve deep divergences in the Avian Tree of Life

(Philippe et al. 2011).

In parallel, there has been a renewed focus on palaeognath phylogeny throughout the past

decade largely motivated by reports that the volant (flighted) tinamous are nested within

palaeognaths rather than forming the sister to a clade of flightless ratites (Hackett et al. 2008,

Harshman et al. 2008, Phillips et al. 2010, Haddrath and Baker 2012, Baker et al. 2014) and

more recent findings that the extinct elephant birds of Madagascar are sister to the New Zealand

kiwi (Mitchell et al. 2014, Grealy et al. 2017, Yonezawa et al. 2017). These relationships are

consistently recovered across recent molecular phylogenies, but conflicting results for other

relationships suggest that ILS across short internal branches in palaeognaths could mirror the

discord arising from rapid ancient divergences seen in Neoaves.

We recover a topology placing rheas as sister to emu/cassowary + kiwi that is congruent

across all analyses for MP-EST and ASTRAL species tree methods, but that differs from the

placement of rheas as sister to the remaining non-ostrich palaeognaths when concatenated data

are analyzed.   These conflicting topologies are recovered with maximal support in at least some

data sets for both coalescent and concatenation analyses. However, subsampling approaches that

incorporate resampling across genes as well as sites (double bootstrapping, Seo et al. 2008,

Edwards 2016b) provide more robust support for the coalescent species tree, and this topology is

further corroborated by patterns of CR1 retroelement insertions from multiway genome-wide

screening. However, conflicting CR1 insertions suggest extensive ILS across short internal

branches separating major palaeognath lineages, and coalescent lengths for these pairs of

branches fall within the theoretical range expected to produce anomalous gene trees. We indeed

find that the most common gene tree for each marker type does not match the inferred species

tree topology, consistent with an empirical anomaly zone in palaeognaths.

Although we contrast results from concatenation and coalescent species tree methods, we

reiterate previous statements that concatenation should be viewed as a specialized case of the

multispecies coalescent rather than in strict opposition to it (Liu et al. 2015a,c; Edwards 2016a;

Edwards et al. 2016). Concatenation is expected to perform well when coalescent variation

among gene trees is low (Liu et al. 2015a,b; Tonini et al. 2015; Mirarab et al. 2016), and we find

that most palaeognath relationships are robustly supported by both concatenation and coalescent

methods as well as by CR1 insertions. Of particular note, we recover congruent topologies

across all sequence-based analyses that nest the tinamous as the sister group to moa within a

paraphyletic ratite clade. This moa-tinamou clade is supported even at the level of individual

loci, where 94% of both introns and UCEs recover this relationship. Ratite paraphyly is further

corroborated by 18 retroelement insertions that are shared by tinamous and non-ostrich

palaeognaths, whereas no CR1s supporting ratite monophyly were found.

Unlike the case described above, gene tree heterogeneity resulting from coalescent

processes can yield topologies with high support for erroneous clades under concatenation, since

this method assumes that all genes evolve with a common topology (Kubatko and Degnan 2007;

Liu et al. 2015a,c; Roch and Steel 2015; Edwards et al. 2016). In contrast, the multispecies

coalescent models gene trees as conditionally independent variables and, by accommodating

gene tree heterogeneity, can accurately infer species tree topologies under high levels of ILS and

even in the extreme case of the anomaly zone (Liu et al. 2010, 2015c; Edwards et al. 2016;

Mirarab et al. 2016). We believe this fundamental difference in approaches to species tree

inference underlies the discordant placements of rheas in our concatenation and coalescent

analyses. While recognizing caveats pertaining to possible data-type effects of coding sequence

(Reddy et al. 2017), we also note that ASTRAL analysis of anchored hybrid enrichment loci by

Prum et al. (2015) produced an identical topology to our coalescent species tree for palaeognaths,

whereas Bayesian estimation from concatenated loci was identical to our concatenated analyses

of CNEEs and UCEs under maximum likelihood.

Symmetrical counts for the two minor triplet topologies of rheas, emu + cassowary, and

kiwi, as well as the symmetry of conflicting retroelement insertions for these alternative

hypotheses, suggest a role for ILS in producing gene tree heterogeneity. Importantly, though,

both the major triplet topology and statistical tests of CR1 insertions support rheas as sister to

emu/cassowary + kiwi within an overarching bifurcating species tree topology as recovered by

MP-EST and ASTRAL (Pamilo and Nei 1988, Kuritzin et al. 2016). Retroelement support for

the alternative placement of rheas as sister to all other non-ostrich palaeognaths as found by

concatenation is entirely absent, whereas CR1 support for a sister-group relationship of emu and

cassowary with tinamous, as found under concatenation for CNEEs and UCEs, is strongly

rejected in favor of emu/cassowary + kiwi as occurs in all other analyses, including concatenated

introns. Instances of retroposon homoplasy through exact parallel insertions or precise deletion

are known, but are believed to be rare (Han et al. 2011, Ray et al. 2006, Suh et al. 2015). These

results thus strongly corroborate both the inferred species tree topology and the existence of

substantial ILS across short internodes separating several palaeognath lineages. We emphasize

that the large amount of gene tree heterogeneity in this study is mostly generated by only two

short internodes. Our study thus underscores how small numbers of short branches in the species

tree can nonetheless generate substantial heterogeneity of largely similar gene trees differing by

a small number of nodes.

A question raised for empirical studies is the degree to which gene tree estimation error

impacts the performance of summary species tree methods such as MP-EST and ASTRAL that

use estimated gene trees as input, since these methods assume gene tree heterogeneity arises

from coalescent variation rather than from analytical errors associated with low information

content of individual loci, alignment uncertainty, mutational bias, or inappropriate models of

sequence evolution (Huang and Knowles 2009, Roch and Warnow 2015, Xi et al. 2015, Blom et

al. 2017). We find high average bootstrap support for estimated gene trees and high gene

support frequencies for most palaeognath relationships, indicating that marker choice is

appropriate to address these questions and gene tree uncertainty is largely confined to the short

internal branches inferred to lie within the anomaly zone. Despite this uncertainty, we find that

most loci (80-90%) contain variation that is sufficient to support their inferred gene tree topology

relative to that of the species tree in likelihood comparisons, and simulations indicate that, for

most comparisons, more than 70% of the observed gene tree heterogeneity can be accounted for

by coalescent processes alone. While gene tree estimation error certainly occurs in our data set,

the consistency of rooted triplet proportions across the three marker types further suggests that,

on the whole, estimated gene trees for these data sets provide an adequate and unbiased

representation of the coalescent variation in gene tree histories.

Simulations have shown that gene tree summary methods can accurately infer the species

tree topology despite gene tree estimation error if the sampling of gene trees is sufficiently large

and gene tree inference is unbiased (Liu et al. 2015a,c; Xi et al. 2015; Mirarab et al. 2016; Xu

and Yang 2016). Adding weak genes to data sets decreased the performance of summary

methods such as MP-EST relative to data sets of strong genes alone, but these methods are

nevertheless expected to converge upon the correct estimate of the species tree with an

increasing number of genes, even if those genes are minimally informative (Xi et al. 2015, Xu

and Yang 2016). These inferences could explain observed patterns for CNEEs, which are on

average much shorter and less variable than introns or UCEs and have lower bootstrap support

for clades in anomalous gene trees that conflict with the species tree, but which still yield

congruent estimates of the species tree topology for coalescent methods. Despite lower per-locus

information content, the higher alignment certainty and lower estimated homoplasy of CNEEs

relative to other marker types (Edwards et al. 2017) could allow CNEEs to converge upon the

correct species tree topology for palaeognaths with high support even for intermediate numbers

of loci, but only when methods that accommodate gene tree heterogeneity are used. Simulations

investigating the effects of gene tree estimation error also assume there is no bias in gene tree

estimation, which can cause summary methods to produce inconsistent results (Xi et al. 2015).

We doubt that biased gene trees explain the results for palaeognaths since that explanation would

necessitate a similar bias across data sets of three different marker types, would require bias

prevalent enough to yield consistent results from even small subsampling replicates within

marker types, and would have to generate results that are also consistent with patterns of CR1

insertions.

An important and related question is whether we can confidently detect an empirical

anomaly zone given that the same short internal branches expected to produce anomalous gene

trees also increase the likelihood of gene tree estimation error due to the short time interval for

informative substitutions to accumulate in individual loci of finite length (Huang and Knowles

2009). Using highly variable markers might not ameliorate the situation for deep divergences

because of the increased probability for subsequent mutations along long terminal branches to

obscure informative substitutions on short antecedent branches (Philippe et al. 2011, Haddrath

and Baker 2012, Liu et al. 2015b). Huang and Knowles (2009) demonstrated through simulation

that the range of species tree branch lengths producing AGTs expands when the mutational

variance of estimated gene trees is considered and that unresolved gene trees, rather than AGTs,

would predominate within this region of tree space. This expanded anomaly zone might be what

is observed for CNEEs in palaeognaths; although ILS between tinamous and other non-ostrich

lineages is clearly evident from CR1 retroelements, only CNEEs infer an anomaly zone in this

region of the species tree. In contrast, all three marker types support an anomaly zone across

branches separating rheas from emu + cassowary and kiwi. For introns and UCEs, the relative

likelihood of anomalous gene trees in most cases is substantially greater than if alignments are

constrained to the species tree topology and clades that conflict with the species tree tend to have

at least 50% median bootstrap support, suggesting that gene tree heterogeneity is real rather than

reflecting gene tree estimation error alone. However, we concur that short internodes pose

substantial challenges to accurate gene tree inference, and investigations of the empirical

anomaly zone should greatly benefit from algorithms that make 'single-step' coestimation of

gene trees and species trees scalable to phylogenomic data sets.

In conclusion, we find strong evidence that past difficulty in resolving some palaeognath

relationships is likely attributable to extensive incomplete lineage sorting within this group, and

that species tree methods accommodating gene tree heterogeneity produce robustly supported

topologies despite what appears to be an empirical anomaly zone. We echo the sentiments of

other authors that high bootstrap support alone is an inadequate measure of confidence in

inferred species trees given increasingly large phylogenomic data sets (Edwards 2016b, Sayyari

and Mirarab 2016). Congruence across marker types and corroboration from rare genomic

changes such as retroposon insertions, as well as phylogenomic subsampling strategies to assess

the underlying phylogenetic signal, will increase our confidence in recovered topologies and

could also highlight which conflicts are robustly supported and merit further investigation

(Tonini et al. 2015, Edwards 2016b, Mirarab et al. 2016, Reddy et al. 2017). Congruence is

certainly not a new idea in phylogenetics, but an increasing emphasis on its importance in the era

of species trees will continue to advance the field beyond reports of highly supported, but often

discordant, 'total evidence' topologies toward a more nuanced 'sum of evidence' approach that

considers not just which topologies are produced but also what they can tell us about the

underlying evolutionary processes and our attempts to model them.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Liang Liu for advice regarding coalescent simulation, and members of the

Edwards lab for helpful discussions. All analyses were run on the Odyssey cluster supported by

the FAS Division of Science, Research Computing Group at Harvard University. Support was

provided by NSF grant DEB 1355343 (EAR 1355292) to AJB, MC, and SVE.

LITERATURE CITED

Angelis, K., Dos Reis, M. 2015. The impact of ancestral population size and incomplete lineage

sorting on Bayesian estimates of species divergence times. Curr. Zool. 61:874–885.

Baker, A.J., Haddrath, O., McPherson, J.D., Cloutier, A. 2014. Genomic support for a moa-

tinamou clade and adaptive morphological convergence in flightless ratites. Mol. Biol.

Evol. 31:1686–1696.

Blom, M.P.K., Bragg, J.G., Potter, S., Moritz, C. 2017. Accounting for uncertainty in gene tree

estimation: summary-coalescent species tree inference in a challenging radiation of

Australian lizards. Syst. Biol. 66:352–366.

Bogdanowicz, D., Giaro, K., Wrobel, B. 2012. TreeCmp: comparison of trees in polynomial

time. Evol. Bioinform. 8:475–487.

Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R. 2002. Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical

information-theoretic approach. Second Edition. New York: Springer.

Cloutier, A., Sackton, T.B., Grayson, P., Edwards, S.V., Baker, A.J. 2018. First nuclear genome

assembly of an extinct moa species, the little bush moa (*Anomalopteryx didiformis*).

bioRxiv [DOI pending].

Cracraft, J., Barker, F.K., Braun, M., Harshman, J., Dyke, G.J., Feinstein, J., Stanley, S., Cibois,

A., Schikler, P., Beresford, P., García-Moreno, J., Sorenson, M.D., Yuri, T., Mindell,

D.P. 2004. Phylogenetic relationships among modern birds (Neornithes): toward an avian

tree of life. In: Cracraft, J., Donoghue, M.J. (eds.), Assembling the Tree of Life. Pp. 468–

489. New York: Oxford University Press.

Degnan, J.H., Rosenberg, N.A. 2006. Discordance of species trees with their most likely gene

trees. PLoS Genet. 2:762–768.

Degnan, J.H., Rosenberg, N.A. 2009. Gene tree discordance, phylogenetic inference and the

multispecies coalescent. Trends Ecol. Evol. 24:332–340.

Delsuc, F., Brinkmann, H., Philippe, H. 2005. Phylogenomics and the reconstruction of the tree

of life. Nat. Rev. Genet. 6:361–375.

Edwards, S.V. 2009. Is a new and general theory of molecular systematics emerging? Evolution.

63:1–19.

Edwards, S. 2016a. Species trees, inference of. In: Kliman, R.M. (ed.), Encyclopedia of

Evolutionary Biology. Vol. 4, Pp. 236–244. Oxford: Academic Press.

Edwards, S.V. 2016b. Phylogenomic subsampling: a brief review. Zool. Scripta 45:63–74.

Edwards, S.V., Xi, Z., Janke, A., Faircloth, B.C., McCormack, J.E., Glenn, T.C., Zhong, B., Wu,

S., Lemmon, E.M., Lemmon, A.R., Leache, A.D., Liu, L., Davis, C.C. 2016.

Implementing and testing the multispecies coalescent model: a valuable paradigm for

phylogenomics. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 94:447–462.

Edwards, S.V., Cloutier, A., Baker, A.J. 2017. Conserved nonexonic elements: a novel class of

marker for phylogenomics. Syst. Biol. 66:1028–1044.

Eisen, J., Fraser, C. 2003. Phylogenomics: intersection of evolution and genomics. Science

300:1706–1707.

Gee, H. 2003. Evolution – Ending incongruence. Nature 425:782.

Grealy, A., Phillips, M., Miller, G., Gilbert, M.T.P., Rouillard, J.-M., Lambert, D., Bunce, M.,

Haile, J. 2017. Eggshell palaeogenomics: palaeognath evolutionary history revealed

through ancient nuclear and mitochondrial DNA from Madagascan elephant bird

(*Aepyornis* sp.) eggshell. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 109:151–163.

Hackett, S.J., Kimball, R.T., Reddy, S., Bowie, R.C.K., Braun, E.L., Braun, M.J., Chojnowski,

J.L., Cox, W.A., Han, K.-L., Harshman, J., Huddleston, C.J., Marks, B.D., Miglia, K.J.,

Moore, W.S., Sheldon, F.H., Steadman, D.W., Witt, C.C., Yuri, T. 2008. A

phylogenomic study of birds reveals their evolutionary history. Science 320:1763–1768.

Haddrath, O., Baker, A.J. 2012. Multiple nuclear genes and retroposons support vicariance and

dispersal of the palaeognaths, and an Early Cretaceous origin of modern birds. Proc. R.

Soc. Lond. B. 279:4617–4625.

Han, K.-L., Braun, E.L., Kimball, R.T., Reddy, S., Bowie, R.C.K., Braun, M.J., Chojnowski,

J.L., Hackett, S.J., Harshman, J., Huddleston, C.J., Marks, B.D., Miglia, K.J., Moore,

W.S., Sheldon, F.H., Steadman, D.W., Witt, C.C., Yuri, T. 2011. Are transposable

element insertions homoplasy free?: an examination using the avian tree of life. Syst.

Biol. 60:375–386.

Harshman, J., Braun, E.L., Braun, M.J., Huddleston, C.J., Bowie, R.C.K., Chojnowski, J.L.,

Hackett, S.J., Han, K.-L., Kimball, R.T., Marks, B.D., Miglia, K.J., Moore, W.S., Reddy,

S., Sheldon, F.H., Steadman, D.W., Steppan, S.J., Witt, C.C., Yuri, T. 2008.

Phylogenomic evidence for multiple losses of flight in ratite birds. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.

U. S. A. 105:13462–13467.

Hickey, G., Paten, B., Earl, D., Zerbino, D., Haussler, D. 2013. HAL: a hierarchical format for

storing and analyzing multiple genome alignments. Bioinformatics 29:1341–1342.

Houde, P.W. 1988. Palaeognathous birds from the early tertiary of the northern hemisphere.

Paynter, R.A. (ed.). Cambridge, MA: Publications of the Nuttall Ornithological Club.

Huang, H., Knowles, L.L. 2009. What is the danger of the anomaly zone for empirical

phylogenetics? Syst. Biol. 58:527–536.

Huerta-Cepas, J., Serra, F., Bork, P. 2016. ETE 3: reconstruction, analysis, and visualization of

phylogenomic data. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33:1635–1638.

Jarvis, E.D., Mirarab, S., Aberer, A.J., Li, B., Houde, P., Li, C., Ho, S.Y.W., Faircloth, B.C.,

Nabholz, B., Howard, J.T., Suh, A., Weber, C.C., da Fonseca, R.R., Li, J., Zhang, F., Li,

H., Zhou, L., Narula, N., Liu, L., Ganapathy, G., Boussau, B., Bayzid, M.S., Zavidovych,

V., Subramanian, S., Gabaldón, T., Capella-Gutiérrez, S., Huerta-Cepas, J., Rekepalli, B.,

Munch, K., Schierup, M., Lindow, B., Warren, W.C., Ray, D., Green, R.E., Bruford,

M.W., Zhan, X., Dixon, A., Li, S., Li, N., Huang, Y., Derryberry, E.P., Bertelsen, M.F.,

Sheldon, F.H., Brumfield, R.T., Mello, C.V., Lovell, P.V., Writhlin, M., Schneider,

M.P.C., Prosdocimi, F., Samaniego, J.A., Velazquez, A.M.V., Alfaro-Núñez, A.,

Campos, P.F., Petersen, B., Sicheritz-Ponten, T., Pas, A., Bailey, T., Scofield, P., Bunce,

M., Lambert, D.M., Zhou, Q., Perelman, P., Driskell, A.C., Shapiro, B., Xiong, Z., Zeng,

Y., Liu, S., Li, Z., Liu, B., Wu, K., Xiao, J., Yingi, X., Zheng, Q., Zhang, Y., Yang, H.,

Wang, J., Smeds, L., Rheindt, F.E., Braun, M., Fjeldsa, J., Orlando, L., Barker, F.K.,

Jønsson, K.A., Johnson, W., Koepfli, K.-P., O'Brien, S., Haussler, D., Ryder, O.A.,

Rahbek, C., Willerslev, E., Graves, G.R., Glenn, T.C., McCormack, J., Burt, D., Ellegren,

H., Alström, P., Edwards, S.V., Stamatakis, A., Mindell, D.P., Cracraft, J., Braun, E.L.,

Warnow, T., Jun, W., Gilbert, M.T.P., Zhang, G. 2014. Whole-genome analyses resolve

early branches in the tree of life of modern birds. Science 346:1320–1331.

Jarvis, E.D., Mirarab, S., Aberer, A.J., Li, B., Houde, P., Li, C., Ho, S.Y.W., Faircloth, B.C.,

Nabholz, B., Howard, J.T., Suh, A., Weber, C.C., da Fonseca, R.R., Alfaro-Nunez, A.,

Narula, N., Liu, L., Burt, D., Ellegren, H., Edwards, S.V., Stamatakis, A., Mindell, D.P.,

Cracraft, J., Braun, E.L., Warnow, T., Jun, W., Gilbert, M.T.P., Zhang, G. 2015.

Phylogenomic analyses data of the avian phylogenomics project. GigaScience 4:4.

Jeffroy, O., Brinkmann, H., Delsuc, F., Philippe, H. 2006. Phylogenomics: the beginning of

incongruence? Trends Genet. 22:225–231.

Katoh, K., Standley, D.M. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:

improvements in performance and usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30:772–780.

Kozlov, A.M., Aberer, A.J., Stamatakis, A. 2015. ExaML version 3: a tool for phylogenomic

829 analyses on supercomputers. Bioinformatics 31:2577–2579.

830 Kubatko, L.S., Degnan, J.H. 2007. Inconsistency of phylogenetic estimates from concatenated

831  data under coalescence. Syst. Biol. 56:17–24.

832 Kuritzin, A., Kischka, T., Schmitz, J., Churakov, G. 2016. Incomplete lineage sorting and

833  hybridization statistics for large-scale retroposon insertion data. PLoS Comput. Biol.

834  12:e1004812.

835 Le Duc, D., Renaud, G., Krishnan, A., Almén, M.S., Huynen, L., Prohaska, S.J., Ongyerth, M.,

836  Bitarello, B.D., Schiöth, H.B., Hofreiter, M., Stadler, P.F., Prüfer, K., Lambert, D., Kelso,

837  J., Schöneberg, T. 2015. Kiwi genome provides insights into evolution of a nocturnal

838  lifestyle. Genome Biol. 16:147.

839 Linkem, C.W., Minin, V.N., Leache, A.D. 2016. Detecting the anomaly zone in species trees and

840  evidence for a misleading signal in higher-level skink phylogeny (Squamata: Scincidae).

841  Syst. Biol. 65:465–477.

842 Liu, L., Yu, L. 2010. Phybase: an R package for species tree analysis. Bioinformatics 26:962–

843  963.

844 Liu, L., Yu, L., Edwards, S.V. 2010. A maximum pseudo-likelihood approach for estimating

845  species trees under the coalescent model. BMC Evol. Biol. 10:302.

846 Liu, L., Wu, S., Yu, L. 2015a. Coalescent methods for estimating species trees from

847  phylogenomic data. J. Syst. Evol. 53:380–390.

848 Liu, L., Xi, Z., Davis, C.C. 2015b. Coalescent methods are robust to the simultaneous effects of

849  long branches and incomplete lineage sorting. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32:791–805.

850 Liu, L., Xi, Z., Wu, S., Davis, C.C., Edwards, S.V. 2015c. Estimating phylogenetic trees from

851  genome-scale data. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1360:36–53.

Maddison, W. 1997. Gene trees in species trees. Syst. Biol. 46:523–536.

McCormack, J.E., Harvey, M.G., Faircloth, B.C., Crawford, N.G., Glenn, T.C., Brumfield, R.T.

2013. A phylogeny of birds based on over 1,500 loci collected by target enrichment and

high-throughput sequencing. PLOS ONE 8:e54848.

Mendes, F.K., Hahn, M.W. 2016. Gene tree discordance causes apparent substitution rate

variation. Syst. Biol. 65:711–721.

Mirarab, S., Warnow, T. 2015. ASTRAL-II: coalescent-based species tree estimation with many

hundreds of taxa and thousands of genes. Bioinformatics 31:44–52.

Mirarab, S., Bayzid, M.S., Warnow, T. 2016. Evaluating summary methods for multilocus

species tree estimation in the presence of incomplete lineage sorting. Syst. Biol. 65:366–

380.

Mitchell, K.J., Llamas, B., Soubrier, J., Rawlence, N.J., Worthy, T.H., Wood, J., Lee, M.S.Y.,

Cooper, A. 2014. Ancient DNA reveals elephant birds and kiwi are sister taxa and

clarifies ratite bird evolution. Science 344:898–900.

Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H.A., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B.Q. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and

effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol.

Biol. Evol. 32:268–274.

Pamilo, P., Nei, M. 1988. Relationships between gene trees and species trees. Mol. Biol. Evol.

5:568–583.

Philippe, H., Brinkmann, H., Lavrov, D.V., Littlewood, T.J., Manuel, M., Wörheide, G.,

Baurain, D. 2011. Resolving difficult phylogenetic questions: why more sequences are

not enough. PLoS Biol. 9:e1000602.

Phillips, M.J., Gibb, G.C., Crimp, E.A., Penny, D. 2010. Tinamous and moa flock together:

mitochondrial genome sequence analysis reveals independent losses of flight among

ratites. Syst. Biol. 59:90–107.

Prüfer, K., Munch, K., Hellmann, I., Akagi, K., Miller, J.R., Walenz, B., Koren, S., Sutton, G.,

Kodira, C., Winer, R., Knight, J.R., Mullikin, J.C., Meader, S.J., Ponting, C.P., Lunter,

G., Higashino, S., Hobolth, A., Dutheil, J., Karakoç, E., Alkan, C., Sajjadian, S.,

Catacchio, C.R., Ventura, M., Marques-Bonet, T., Eichler, E.E., André, C., Atencia, R.,

Mugisha, L., Junhold, J., Patterson, N., Siebauer, M., Good, J.M., Fischer, A., Ptak, S.E.,

Lachmann, M., Symer, D.E., Mailund, T., Schierup, M. H., Andrés, A.M., Kelso, J.,

Pääbo, S. 2012. The bonobo genome compared with the chimpanzee and human

genomes. Nature 486:527–531.

Prum, R.O., Berv, J.S., Dornburg, A., Field, D.J., Townsend, J.P., Lemmon, E.M., Lemmon,

A.R. 2015. A comprehensive phylogeny of birds (Aves) using targeted next-generation

DNA sequencing. Nature 526:569–573.

Quinlan, A.R., Hall, I.M. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic

features. Bioinformatics 26:841–842.

Ray, D.A., Xing, J., Salem, A.-H., Batzer, M.A. 2006. SINEs of a nearly perfect character. Syst.

Biol. 55:928–935.

Reddy, S., Kimball, R.T., Pandey, A., Hosner, P.A., Braun, M.J., Hackett, S.J., Han, K.-L.,

Harshman, J., Huddleston, C.J., Kingston, S., Marks, B.D., Miglia, K.J., Moore, W.S.,

Sheldon, F.H., Witt, C.C., Yuri, T., Braun, E.L. 2017. Why do phylogenomic data sets

yield conflicting trees? Data type influences the avian tree of life more than taxon

sampling. Syst. Biol. 66:857–879.

Roch, S., Steel, M. 2015. Likelihood-based tree reconstruction on a concatenation of aligned

sequence data sets can be statistically inconsistent. Theor. Popul. Biol. 100:56–62.

Roch, S., Warnow, T. 2015. On the robustness to gene tree estimation error (or lack thereof) of

coalescent-based species tree methods. Syst. Biol. 64:663–676.

Rokas, A., Williams, B., King, N., Carroll, S. 2003. Genome-scale approaches to resolving

incongruence in molecular phylogenies. Nature 425:798–804.

Rosenberg, N.A. 2013. Discordance of species trees with their most likely gene trees: a unifying

principle. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30:2709–2713.

Sackton, T.B., Grayson, P., Cloutier, A., Hu, Z., Liu, J., Wheeler, N., Gardner, P., Clarke, J.,

Baker, A.J., Clamp, M., Edwards, S.V. 2018. Convergent regulatory evolution and the

origin of flightlessness in palaeognathous birds. bioRxiv doi:

https://doi.org/10.1101/262584.

Salichos, L., Stamatakis, A., Rokas, A. 2014. Novel information theory-based measures for

quantifying incongruence among phylogenetic trees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 31:1261–1271.

Sayyari, E., Mirarab, S. 2016. Fast coalescent-based computation of local branch support from

quartet frequencies. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33:1654–1668.

Seo, T.-K. 2008. Calculating bootstrap probabilities of phylogeny using multilocus sequence

data. Mol. Biol. Evol. 25:960–971.

Smit, A.F.A., Hubley, R., Green, P. 2015. RepeatMasker Open-4.0.

http://www.repeatmasker.org.

Smith, J.V., Braun, E.L., Kimball, R.T. 2013. Ratite nonmonophyly: independent evidence from

40 novel loci. Syst. Biol. 62:35–49.

Song, S., Liu, L., Edwards, S.V., Wu, S. 2012. Resolving conflict in eutherian mammal

phylogeny using phylogenomics and the multispecies coalescent model. Proc. Natl. Acad.

Sci. U. S. A. 109:14942–14947.

Stamatakis, A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of

large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30:1312–1313.

Suh, A., Smeds, L., Ellegren, H. 2015. The dynamics of incomplete lineage sorting across the

ancient adaptive radiation of Neoavian birds. PLoS Biol. 13:e1002224.

Suh, A. 2016. The phylogenomic forest of bird trees contains a hard polytomy at the root of

Neoaves. Zool. Scripta 45:50–62.

Swofford, D.L. 2002. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods). Version 4.

Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.

Tonini, J., Moore, A., Stern, D., Shcheglovitova, M., Orti, G. 2015. Concatenation and species

tree methods exhibit statistically indistinguishable accuracy under a range of simulated

conditions. PLoS Currents 7:10.1371/currents.tol.34260cc27551a527b124ec5f6334b6be.

Xi, Z., Liu, L., Davis, C.C. 2015. Genes with minimal phylogenetic information are problematic

for coalescent analyses when gene tree estimation is biased. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.

92:63–71.

Xu, B., Yang, Z. 2016. Challenges in species tree estimation under the multispecies coalescent

model. Genetics 204:1353–1368.

Yonezawa, T., Segawa, T., Mori, H., Campos, P.F., Hongoh, Y., Endo, H., Akiyoshi, A., Kohno,

N., Nishida, S., Wu, J., Jin, H., Adachi, J., Kishino, H., Kurokawa, K., Nogi, Y., Tanabe,

H., Mukoyama, H., Yoshida, K., Rasoamiaramanana, A., Yamagishi, S., Hayashi, Y.,

Yoshida, A., Koike, H., Akishinonomiya, F., Willerslev, E., Hasegawa, M. 2017.

Phylogenomics and morphology of extinct paleognaths reveal the origin and evolution of

the ratites. Curr. Biol. 27:68–77.

Zhang, G., Li, B., Li, C., Gilbert, M.T.P., Jarvis, E.D., Wang, J. 2014. Comparative genomic data

of the avian phylogenomics project. GigaScience 3:26.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1 Palaeognath relationships inferred from the total evidence data set (TENT) of 20,850

loci using MP-EST (a) and ASTRAL (b) species tree methods, and maximum likelihood analysis

of concatenated data with ExaML (c). Bootstrap support values are indicated for clades with <

100% support. Scale bars indicate branch length in coalescent units for MP-EST and ASTRAL

and in substitutions/site for ExaML. Terminal branches in MP-EST and ASTRAL species trees

are uninformative and are drawn as a constant value across taxa.

Figure 2 Support for alternative hypotheses of the sister group to rheas from phylogenomic

subsampling using MP-EST (a-c), ASTRAL (d-f), and ExaML (g-i). Plots display the mean

bootstrap support for each hypothesis from 10 replicates of randomly sampled loci within each

data set size category (e.g. 50–3000 loci, shown on x-axis).

Figure 3 Heatmap of the number of replicates from phylogenomic subsampling that support

each alternative hypothesis for the sister group to rheas at a minimum bootstrap support of 70%

(a-i) and 90% (j-r). Rows labelled H1-H5 within each panel correspond to the five alternative

hypotheses outlined in the legend. Columns within each panel labelled 50-3000 indicate the

number of loci subsampled at random with replacement from all loci for each marker type.

Coloring of cells indicates the number of replicates (of 10 in total for each data set size category)

that support each hypothesis at the given bootstrap cut off, corresponding to the colouring

scheme outlined in the legend.

Figure 4 CR1 retroelements corroborate the inferred species tree topology from MP-EST and

ASTRAL (a), and also display conflicting insertion patterns consistent with incomplete lineage

sorting (b-e). Numbers in colored balls indicate the number of shared retroelement insertions for

each clade. Bird illustrations were obtained under the Creative Commons License from

Wikimedia Commons (https://commons.wikimedia.org; full details are given in Supplemental

Table S2).

Figure 5 Distributions of the 50 most common gene tree topologies for CNEEs (a), introns (b),

and UCEs (c), showing that the most common gene tree topology for each marker type does not

match the inferred species tree topology. Symbols and bar coloring in parts a-c correspond to the

topologies shown in (d).

Figure 6 Gene tree support for clades recovered in the MP-EST and ASTRAL species trees for

CNEEs (a), introns (b), and UCEs (c). Gene support frequency (GSF, the percent of gene trees

containing each clade) is given beneath branches, with heatmap coloring of branches according

to GSF as indicated in the legend. Values above branches give the internode certainty 'all'

statistic (ICA, Salichos et al. 2014), indicating support for each clade relative to all other

conflicting bipartitions in the set of gene trees.

Figure 7 Support for observed topological heterogeneity in estimated gene trees. (a-c)

Distributions for the average bootstrap support for all clades recovered in majority rule extended

consensus gene trees ('MRE consensus topology', drawn as solid bars), and the average support

when bootstrap replicates for each gene are constrained to the inferred species tree topology

(open bars). Values above each panel indicate the decrease in the grand mean of average support

across genes when bootstrap replicates are constrained to the species tree topology. (d) Support

that observed gene tree topologies differ from the inferred species tree. Dark gray bars indicate

the difference in Akaike information criterion (AIC) from values calculated using the MRE

consensus topology for each gene, relative to that obtained when the sequence alignment is

constrained to the species tree topology. The baseline at 0 corresponds to $\Delta AIC = -2$, thus values

beneath this line indicate loci where likelihood values support the gene tree topology

substantially better than that of the species tree. Results of approximately unbiased (AU) tests

are indicated with medium gray bars showing the proportion of loci that reject (below baseline)

and fail to reject (above baseline) the species tree topology at a P-value cut off of 0.05, and light

gray bars showing the proportion of loci where the species tree topology occurs among the top

5% of candidate topologies (above baseline), or is within the bottom 95% of tested topologies

(below baseline) for AU P-values ranked in ascending order. AU tests for the 105 and 1575

candidate tree sets produced similar results and are shown for the 105 candidate set only.

Figure 8 Pairwise distances between gene trees and the MP-EST/ASTRAL species tree

topology. Distances between each gene tree and the species tree topology were calculated as the

matching cluster distance (a) or Robinson-Foulds cluster distance (b) on outgroup rooted trees,

and mean values for all pairwise gene tree–species tree distances within each category are

shown. Error bars indicate the 95% confidence interval of the mean. Distances were calculated

for empirically estimated gene trees and for data sets of 10,000 gene trees that were simulated

using coalescent branch lengths from the MP-EST and ASTRAL species trees. Values above

bars for simulated data sets indicate the ratios of means for simulated data sets compared to the

mean for empirically estimated gene trees.

Figure 9 Proportions of rooted triplets for the three alternative topologies involving rheas, kiwi,

and emu + cassowary. (a-c) Observed proportions of the major and two minor topologies from

empirically estimated gene trees. (d-f) Expected proportions based on the length of the internal

branch within the triplet (e.g. the common ancestor of kiwi + emu/cassowary) in coalescent

units, following Pamilo and Nei (1988). For d-f, pie charts on the left and right give expected

proportions based on branch lengths from MP-EST and ASTRAL species trees, respectively.

Figure 10 Pairs of short successive internal branches are consistent with expectations for the

anomaly zone. Species tree topologies for CNEEs (a), introns (b), and UCEs (c) are shown with

internal branch lengths in coalescent units estimated from best maximum likelihood gene trees

with MP-EST. Terminal branch lengths are uninformative and are drawn as a constant value

across taxa. Coalescent branch lengths for all pairs of branches (x and y) are given in (d), with

a(x) calculated following Equation 4 from Degnan and Rosenberg (2006). Anomalous gene trees

are expected when $y < a(x)$. Clades fulfilling this anomaly zone criterion are shaded in (d), with

the corresponding branches indicated in a-c.

Suppl. Figure S1 Palaeognath phylogenetic relationships inferred with MP-EST (a-d) and

ASTRAL (e-h) coalescent-based species tree methods and maximum-likelihood inference of

fully partitioned concatenated alignments with ExaML (i-l). Topologies were inferred from

12,676 conserved non-exonic elements (CNEEs), 5,016 introns, 3,158 ultraconserved elements

(UCEs), and the total evidence data set of 20,850 loci combined across marker types (TENT).

Bootstrap supports are drawn for clades with < 100% support.

Suppl. Figure S2 Support for alternative hypotheses of the sister group to emu + cassowary

from phylogenomic subsampling using MP-EST (a-c), ASTRAL (d-f), and ExaML (g-i). Plots

display the mean bootstrap support for each hypothesis from 10 replicates of randomly sampled

loci within each data set size category (e.g. 50–3000 loci, shown on x-axis).

Suppl. Figure S3 Heatmap of the number of replicates from phylogenomic subsampling that

support each alternative hypothesis for the sister group to emu + cassowary at a minimum

bootstrap support of 70% (a-i) and 90% (j-r). Rows labelled H1-H4 within each panel

correspond to the four alternative hypotheses outlined in the legend. Columns within each panel

labelled 50-3000 indicate the number of loci subsampled at random with replacement from all

loci for each marker type. Coloring of cells indicates the number of replicates (of 10 in total for

each data set size category) that support each hypothesis at the given bootstrap cut off,

corresponding to the colouring scheme outlined in the legend.

Suppl. Figure S4 Support for the four most common gene tree topologies for each marker type.

Median bootstrap support (a-c) and the median number of substitutions under a parsimony

criterion (d-f) for branches in gene tree topologies that conflict with the species tree. Letters

below each group refer to topologies shown in (j), with numbers referring to branches labelled on

those topologies. Reference lines in (a-c) are drawn at 50% bootstrap support. (g-i) Violin plots

of ΔAIC for loci belonging to each AGT category. Values show the difference in AIC for

sequence alignments given the majority rule extended consensus gene tree topology, relative to

the AIC when sequence alignments are constrained to the species tree topology. A reference line

is drawn at $\Delta\text{AIC}_{\text{(gene tree–species tree)}}$= -2, with values beneath this cut off indicating substantially

stronger support in favor of the gene tree topology (Burnham and Anderson 2002).

Suppl. Figure S5 Summary measures of aligned sequence data for each marker type (CNEEs,

introns, UCEs), showing the total aligned sequence length per locus (a-c), variable alignment

columns as a percent of the total alignment length (d-f), and the percent of parsimony

informative alignment columns per locus (g-i).

Suppl. Figure S6 Pairs of short successive internal branches are consistent with expectations for

the anomaly zone. Species tree topologies for CNEEs (a), introns (b), and UCEs (c) are shown

with internal branch lengths in coalescent units estimated from best maximum likelihood gene

trees with ASTRAL. Terminal branch lengths are uninformative and are drawn as a constant

value across taxa. Coalescent branch lengths for all pairs of branches (x and y) are given in (d),

with a(x) calculated following Equation 4 from Degnan and Rosenberg (2006). Anomalous gene

trees are expected when $y < a(x)$. Clades fulfilling this anomaly zone criterion are shaded in (d),

with the corresponding branches indicated in a-c.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

a)

Great spotted kiwi
Little spotted kiwi
Okarito brown kiwi
Emu
Southern cassowary
Greater rhea
Lesser rhea
Chilean tinamou
Elegant crested tinamou
Thicket tinamou
White-throated tinamou
Ostrich

b)

2
Little spotted kiwi
Okarito brown kiwi
Great spotted kiwi
(& other palaeognaths)

c)

6
Chilean tinamou
Thicket tinamou
White-throated tinamou
Elegant crested tinamou
(& other palaeognaths)

2
Chilean tinamou
Elegant crested tinamou
White-throated tinamou
Thicket tinamou
(& other palaeognaths)

1
Thicket tinamou
Elegant crested tinamou
Other palaeognaths

d)

1
Rheas
Chilean tinamou
Other palaeognaths

2
Rheas
Tinamous
Other palaeognaths

1
Emu/Cassowary
Tinamous
Other palaeognaths

1
Kiwi
Tinamous
Other palaeognaths

e)

6
Rheas
Emu/Cassowary
Kiwi
(& other palaeognaths)

5
Rheas
Kiwi
Emu/Cassowary
(& other palaeognaths)

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Fig. 7

Fig. 8

CNEEs

Introns

UCEs

Major topology   (Rheas,(Emu/Cassowary,Kiwi));
Minor topology 1 (Kiwi,(Emu/Cassowary,Rheas));
Minor topology 2 (Emu/Cassowary,(Kiwi,Rheas));

Fig. 9

Clade 1	Clade 2	CNEEs			Introns			UCEs		
		x	a(x)	y	x	a(x)	y	x	a(x)	y
All Kiwi	Spotted kiwi	2.490	-0.383	0.667	4.661	-0.403	2.290	4.737	-0.403	2.475
All Kiwi	Brown kiwi	2.490	-0.383	0.592	4.661	-0.403	1.716	4.737	-0.403	2.369
Emu/Cassowary + Kiwi	Emu/Cassowary	0.057	0.595	1.407	0.098	0.335	4.525	0.062	0.550	4.887
Emu/Cassowary + Kiwi	Kiwi	0.057	0.595	2.490	0.098	0.335	4.661	0.062	0.550	4.737
Emu/Cassowary + Kiwi + Rheas	Rheas	0.045	0.729	3.676	0.099	0.330	6.213	0.087	0.387	5.438
Emu/Cassowary + Kiwi + Rheas	Emu/Cassowary + Kiwi	0.045	0.729	0.057	0.099	0.330	0.098	0.087	0.387	0.062
All Tinamous	Thicket & White-throated tinamou	1.359	-0.326	0.682	2.150	-0.373	2.537	1.443	-0.334	1.236
All Tinamous	Chilean & Elegant crested tinamou	1.359	-0.326	0.165	2.150	-0.373	0.479	1.443	-0.334	0.610
Moa + Tinamous	Tinamous	0.608	-0.191	1.359	2.239	-0.376	2.150	2.017	-0.368	1.443
Non-ostrich palaeognaths	Emu/Cassowary + Kiwi + Rheas	0.204	0.074	0.045	0.781	-0.239	0.099	0.643	-0.202	0.087
Non-ostrich palaeognaths	Moa + Tinamous	0.204	0.074	0.608	0.781	-0.239	2.239	0.643	-0.202	2.017

Fig. 10