Efficient Zygotic Genome Editing via RAD51-Enhanced Interhomolog Repair

Jonathan J. Wilde[1,3], Tomomi Aida[1,3], Martin Wienisch[1], Qiangge Zhang[1], Peimin Qi[1], and Guoping Feng[1,2*]

Affiliations:
[1]McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Department of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA

[2]Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142, USA

[3]These authors contributed equally to this work.

*E-mail: fengg@mit.edu

Recent advances in genome editing have greatly improved knock-in (KI) efficiency[1-9]**. Searching for factors to further improve KI efficiency for therapeutic use and generation of non-human primate (NHP) models, we found that the strand exchange protein RAD51 can significantly increase homozygous KI using CRISPR/Cas9 in mouse embryos through an interhomolog repair (IHR) mechanism. IHR is well-described in the context of meiosis**[10]**, but only occurs at low frequencies in mitotic cells**[11,12]** and its existence in zygotes is controversial. Using a variety of approaches, we provide evidence for an endogenous IHR mechanism in zygotes that can be enhanced by RAD51. We show that this process can be harnessed for generating homozygous KI animals from wildtype zygotes based on exogenous donors and for converting heterozygous alleles into homozygous alleles without exogenous templates. Furthermore, we elucidate additional factors that contribute to zygotic IHR and identify a RAD51 mutant capable of insertion-deletion (indel)-free stimulation of IHR. Thus, our study provides conclusive evidence for the existence of zygotic IHR and demonstrates methods to enhance IHR for potential use in gene drives, gene therapy, and biotechnology.**

We previously described a three-component CRISPR approach that employs Cas9 protein and chemically synthesized crRNA and tracrRNA for efficient KI of transgenes[1,2,9]. In addition, published work in rabbit embryos has shown significant enhancement of KI efficiency using RS-1, a chemical agonist of the homology-directed repair (HDR) pathway member RAD51[6]. We began by testing whether a combination of these techniques would promote high-efficiency knock-in of an autism-associated point mutation in *Chd2* (c.5051G>A; R1685H in human, R1684H in mouse; hereon referred to as *Chd2^R1684H^;* **Fig. 1a**) using a single-stranded oligonucleotide donor (ssODN). Previous studies have shown that KI efficiency is affected by

the proximity of the Cas9 cut site and the insertion site[3], so we chose a guide positioned where

the cut site is directly adjacent to the desired G>A point mutation. Genotyping of mouse embryos

after pronuclear injection and *in vitro* culture with or without RS-1 (7.5µM) showed a high KI

efficiency at the targeted *Chd2* locus but revealed that RS-1 did not affect KI efficiency

(**Extended Data Fig. 1**). Although the known mechanisms of RAD51 function suggest it may

not promote knock-in with ssODNs, our previous success using exogenous Cas9 protein to

promote high-efficiency KI[1,9] and the fact that RS-1 effects are dosage-sensitive and

uncharacterized in mouse embryos[6] led us to hypothesize that the use of exogenous RAD51

protein in combination with three-component CRISPR could increase KI efficiency. We

performed both pronuclear (PNI) and cytoplasmic (CPI) injections of crRNA, tracrRNA, Cas9,

ssODN (30 ng/µL for PNI and 100 ng/µL for CPI), and RAD51 protein (10ng/µL) in mouse

zygotes and genotyped the resulting F_0 pups (example chromatograms in **Fig. 1b**). We observed

overall KI efficiencies of 78% (7/9) and 56% (5/9) for CPI and PNI, respectively (**Fig. 1c**).

Surprisingly, both CPI and PNI resulted in a homozygous KI efficiency of 56% (**Fig. 1d,** each

5/9). On-target editing was confirmed through the use of primers sitting outside of the ssODN

homology arms and breeding of homozygous animals with wildtype C57BL/6N mice confirmed

their homozygosity, as 36/36 F_1 progeny from 6 mating pairs genotyped as *Chd2*$^{R1684H/+}$ (**Fig.**

1e).

Since we did not initially generate *Chd2*^{R1684H} mice from injections lacking RAD51, we

performed additional injections to directly assess the ability of RAD51 to increase KI efficiency.

We did not observe significant differences in efficiency between CPI and PNI (**Fig. 1c,d** and

Extended Data Table 1), and therefore focused on our lab's standard PNI injection strategy for

the rest of this study. Zygotic injections into the paternal pronucleus were performed with and

93 without RAD51, embryos were cultured for two days before lysis and DNA purification, and

94 then nested PCR was performed. Sanger sequencing revealed that RAD51 increased overall KI

95 efficiency (**Fig. 2a**, **left,** p=0.034, one-tailed chi-square test) and strongly increased homozygous

96 KI efficiency (**Fig. 2a, middle**, includes mosaic embryos, p<0.0001, one-tailed chi-square test;

97 **Fig. 2a, right**, excludes mosaic embryos, p=0.015). It is widely accepted that CRISPR/Cas9-

98 mediated editing efficiency can be locus- and guide-dependent, so we tested the effects of

99 RAD51 on KI efficiencies at a second genomic locus to confirm its effects. Using the same

100 strategy employed for *Chd2*, we attempted to knock in an albinism-associated human mutation

101 (c.265T>A; C89S; hereon referred to as Tyr^{C89S}; schematic in **Fig. 2b**) in the *Tyr* gene[13], which

102 encodes for tyrosinase, the rate-limiting enzyme in melanin production. Albinism is a recessive

103 disorder and, as such, only homozygous disruption of *Tyr* results in mice completely lacking

104 pigment (**Fig. 2c**). Because homozygous indels in *Tyr* can also result in albinism, all F_0 animals

105 were genotyped to assess the effects of RAD51 on KI efficiencies (**Fig. 2d**) and phenotyped

106 (**Extended Data Table 2)** to assess mosaicism. In the case of mosaic animals, genotyping was

107 performed using tissue completely lacking melanin to assess the number of animals with a

108 homozygous KI event (**Fig. 2e, middle)**. Injections without RAD51 showed a high overall KI

109 efficiency and this efficiency was not significantly increased by the addition of RAD51 (**Fig. 2e,**

110 **left**). However, our genotyping results showed that RAD51 enhances homozygous KI efficiency,

111 with 44% of pups (including mosaics) having an albino phenotype resulting specifically from

112 homozygous KI of the Tyr^{C89S} allele with RAD51, as compared to only 12% without (**Fig. 2e,**

113 **middle**, one-tailed chi-square test, p=0.0081). Furthermore, RAD51 co-injection increased the

114 number of pure homozygous KI animals (**Fig. 2e, right,** one-tailed chi-square test, p=0.027),

115 supporting our findings at the *Chd2* locus indicating that RAD51 can enhance homozygous KI.

Strikingly, RAD51 co-injection more than doubled the observed homozygosity at both

the *Chd2* and *Tyr* loci, regardless of whether or not we took mosaicism into account (**Fig. 2a,e**).

Previous attempts to increase HDR through pharmacological or genetic mechanisms have not

shown such high homozygosity rates[3,7,14], leading us to investigate the mechanism by which

RAD51 can promote such an outcome. Our evidence that RAD51 only marginally increases the

overall efficiency of ssODN-mediated knock-in (**Fig. 2a,e**) following PNI suggested that its

effects on homozygosity do not come from increasing independent KI events on both alleles.

Additionally, due to the random nature of indels produced by non-homologous end joining

(NHEJ), we found it surprising that we observed multiple embryos from both $Chd2^{R1684H}$ and

Tyr^{C89S} injections whose genotyping results suggested the presence of the same indel on both

alleles (**Extended Data Table 1,2**). Genotyping of F_1 pups derived from a *Chd2*-targeted female

with such a homozygous indel revealed that all pups were heterozygous for the same indel,

confirming that the homozygosity of the founder (**Extended Data Fig. 2**). Although we could

not completely rule out that these deletions were generated by MMEJ, which can result in

stereotyped indels based on local homology[2,15], the variety of observed indels and a lack of

MMEJ sequence motifs at the R1684H editing site suggest that the homozygosity resulted from

recombination between homologs that transferred the indel from one chromosome to the other.

More than 20 years ago, experiments in mouse ES cells found evidence of recombination

events between homologous chromosomes[16,17]. While these interhomolog repair (IHR) events

are well-understood in meiotic cells, their occurrence in zygotes and somatic cells is poorly

described. Furthermore, experiments investigating IHR in somatic cells have shown that it occurs

at very low frequencies[11,12]. However, several recent studies have described zygotic IHR events

after induction of a targeted double-strand breaks (DSBs) by Cas9[18,19]. Based on these data, we

hypothesized that RAD51 promotes homozygous KI through a similar mechanism. To directly

test this, we attempted to generate homozygous $Chd2^{R1684H}$ embryos through editing of

$Chd2^{R1684/+}$ embryos without the use of an exogenous donor. Using wildtype C57BL/6N eggs

and sperm from homozygous $Chd2^{R1684H}$ male mice, we generated heterozygous zygotes via IVF

and specifically targeted Cas9 to the wildtype maternal $Chd2$ locus. As illustrated in **Figure 3a**,

paternal PNI was performed on zygotes ~8 hours post fertilization (hpf) using a mixture of

crRNA, tracrRNA, and Cas9 with or without RAD51. The $Chd2^{R1684H}$ allele contains mutations

in both the guide sequence and its associated PAM and we confirmed through *in vitro* digestion

assays that Cas9 is only capable of cutting the wildtype maternal allele (**Extended Data Fig. 3**).

By omitting a donor template from the injection mixture, we were able to directly test both the

rate of baseline CRISPR/Cas9-mediated IHR in zygotes and the ability of RAD51 to enhance

such a mechanism. In agreement with previous work demonstrating IHR in human zygotes[18],

including mosaic embryos we observed IHR events in 26% of control-injected embryos (**Fig.**

3b,c). Strikingly, co-injection of RAD51 increased this rate to 74% (**Fig. 3c, left**, one-tailed chi-

square test, p<0.0001). Analysis of the rates of embryos with pure, non-mosaic IHR events

showed a similar increase in IHR rates in RAD51-injected embryos (**Fig. 3c, right**, one-tailed

chi-square test, p=0.009), supporting the hypothesis that RAD51 can promote IHR in mouse

zygotes.

Previous studies analyzing the properties of indels observed in single sgRNA-based

CRISPR experiments have shown that indels large enough to disrupt our genotyping strategy are

too infrequent to account for the loss-of-heterozygosity observed in our experiments[20].

Nonetheless, we performed multiple experiments to directly rule out the possibility of false

positives resulting from monoallelic deletions on the maternal allele[21]. First, we developed and

performed a multiplex genomic qPCR assay to analyze copy number at the targeted locus (**see**

Methods). Using genomic DNA from wildtype mice and *Shank3B*^{+/-} mice harboring a

heterozygous deletion of exon 13 of *Shank3*[22], we confirmed that our method was capable of

identifying heterozygous deletions **(Fig. 3d)**. We then confirmed that PCR targeting the

Chd2[R1684H] locus is sensitive enough to detect relevant changes in copy-number by halving the

input DNA used for the assay (**Fig. 3d**). Finally, using this genomic qPCR strategy we were able

to confirm normal copy-number at the R1684H locus in 5/5 randomly selected pure homozygous

mutants (**Fig. 3d**). Next, we generated F_0 *Chd2*[R1684H/R1684H] animals via the IVF strategy

described in **Figure 3a** (including RAD51) and crossed homozygotes with wildtype C57BL/6N

mice to generate F_1 animals. Genotyping of F_1 offspring confirmed that 100% were heterozygous

for the R1684H mutation (**Fig. 3e**), supporting the conclusion that the F_0 animals were

homozygotes generated via IHR. Although we cannot rule out the possibility that a small fraction

of embryos contain large hemizygous deletions, our results strongly support the conclusion that

RAD51 is capable of stimulating highly-efficient zygotic IHR.

After fertilization, the maternal and paternal pronuclei remain physically separated until

the completion of S-phase, at which time they fuse in preparation for mitosis. This poses a

significant problem given that an IHR mechanism requires physical association of the maternal

and paternal chromosomes and suggests that IHR must occur after S-phase. Because genotyping

analyses of one-cell stage embryos are difficult to interpret due to failed PCR amplification of

alleles harboring a Cas9-induced DSB, we chose to test the timing of zygotic IHR via

immunocytochemistry (ICC) for RAD51 in uninjected, RAD51-injected, Cas9-injected (with

Chd2-targeting crRNA), and Cas9- and RAD51-injected zygotes at three stages of the cell cycle:

G1, , G2 (post S-phase), and M-phase (see **Methods**). Previous studies have shown that RAD51

staining is weak and/or diffuse in wildtype cells, but that strong RAD51 puncta appear in

response to DSBs[23]. In agreement with our genotyping data and the hypothesis that IHR occurs

after S-phase, we observed the appearance of RAD51 puncta solely during G2 (**Fig. 4a,b**).

Although we did not observe RAD51 puncta in any uninjected G2 embryos, we were surprised to

see several embryos injected solely with RAD51 that exhibited strong RAD51 foci (**Fig. 4b**).

The appearance of such foci only after S-phase suggests that increasing levels of RAD51 can

bias the cell toward RAD51-mediated repair over endogenous RAD52-dependent mechanisms

for repairing replication-associated DSBs in mammals[24]. However, embryos injected with Cas9

showed a significantly increased frequency of RAD51 puncta and the highest proportion of

positively-stained embryos was observed in the group injected with both Cas9 and RAD51 (**Fig.**

4b, Extended Data Table 3). Furthermore, embryos injected with RAD51 alone only exhibited

single RAD51 puncta, but Cas-injected embryos were often observed with 2 or more puncta

(**Extended Data Fig. 4**), indicative of IHR events on both maternal alleles, as well as at potential

off-target Cas9-induced DSBs. While these findings suggest a model whereby RAD51 stimulates

IHR in zygotes during G2, a deeper understanding of the pathways involved in IHR is still

necessary.

To gain a deeper understanding of the pathways involved in zygotic IHR, we performed a

series of injections in Cas9-injected $Chd2^{R1684H/+}$ zygotes with multiple DSB repair- and

homologous recombination (HR)-associated proteins: USP1/WDR48 (promotes HR via

Fanconi-Anemia (FA) pathway[25]), BCCIP (promotes BRCA2-mediated HR[26-28], participates in

FA pathway[29]), XRCC1 (promotes MMEJ and co-localizes with RAD51[30,31]), XRCC4 (promotes

NHEJ and regulator of V(D)J recombination[32,33]), and DMC1 (meiotic HR regulator[34]). XRCC1,

XRCC4, and DMC1 were not capable of inducing IHR at rates above baseline (**Fig. 4c**),

confirming the specificity of RAD51 and indicating that zygotic IHR pathways do not utilize

proteins typically related to HR-independent DSB repair. Co-injection of USP1/WDR48, on the

other hand, was capable of significant enhancement of IHR (**Fig. 4c**). Strikingly, BCCIP

exhibited a significantly stronger effect on IHR rates than USP1/WDR48 (one-tailed chi-square

test, p=0.0014), with 95% of edited embryos showing IHR (**Fig. 4c**). Since BCCIP-mediated

activation of BRCA2 promotes RAD51 activation, these data suggest that proteins capable of

directly affecting RAD51 activity are likely to promote high-efficiency IHR. Additionally, the

ability of the FA pathway members BCCIP, USP1/WDR48, and RAD51 to enhance zygotic IHR

implicates the FA pathway in this process.

RAD51 mutations have been identified in multiple human cancers and Fanconi-Anemia

and several of these mutations have been studied in-depth. In an effort to better understand the

role of RAD51 in IHR, we co-injected three different recombinant RAD51 mutant proteins with

Cas9 and $Chd2^{R1684H}$ crRNA into IVF-generated $Chd2^{R1684H/+}$ embryos. The mutants were as

follows: T131P (deficient in interstrand crosslink repair (ICL), but not HR[35,36]), SA208-209ED

(disrupted BRCA2-association[37]), and G151D (gain-of-function mutant with increased strand

exchange activity[38]). T131P injection was not capable of increasing IHR frequency compared to

Cas9-only embryos (**Fig. 4d**), indicating that RAD51 function associated with ICL repair is

required for the induction of IHR. Likewise, SA208-209ED showed unchanged IHR rates

compared to Cas9-only embryos (**Fig. 4d**), supporting a role for BRCA2 in RAD51-mediated

promotion of IHR. Surprisingly, in 27 zygotes injected with the RAD51 gain-of-function variant,

we did not record a single indel event and observed IHR in all edited cells (**Fig. 4d**). However,

the apparent editing efficiency dropped to 22% (**Fig. 4e**), suggesting that G151D either directly

inhibits Cas9 nuclease activity or stimulates IHR at such high levels that the replicated wildtype

maternal allele is often used as a template. To test whether G151D can directly inhibit Cas9

activity, we performed *in vitro* Cas9 digestion assays with and without co-incubation with

G151D and did not observe any direct inhibition of Cas9 activity (**Fig. 4f**). Interestingly, we

observed a similar decrease in editing efficiency with BCCIP-injected embryos that was not due

to direct inhibition of Cas9 activity (**Fig. 4f, Extended Data Fig. 5**). Additional analyses of

overall indel rates and the indel-to-IHR ratios in embryos injected with RAD51, BCCIP, or

RAD51 G151D revealed a drastic decrease in both rates compared to control embryos (**Fig.**

4g,h). Furthermore, both BCCIP and RAD51 G151D embryos showed significant decreases in

these rates compared to embryos injected with wildtype RAD51. Our previous experiments

demonstrated that IHR occurs after S-phase in zygotes, when a second wildtype allele is present

to serve as an IHR template. Therefore, our data argue that rather than inhibiting Cas9-mediated

DSBs, BCCIP and the RAD51 G151D mutant stimulate IHR with nearly 100% efficiency and

indel-to-IHR ratios near zero.

Our data demonstrate that RAD51, the BRCA2-interacting protein BCCIP, and the FA

pathway-associated protein complex of USP1 and WDR48 are capable of significantly

enhancing the rate of zygotic interhomolog repair. Our mechanistic characterization of IHR in

zygotes suggests a model whereby Cas9-induced DSBs are repaired through the use of a

homologous chromosome as a template during G2. At this time, the maternal and paternal

genomes have already undergone replication and the pronuclei undergo fusion prior to the start

of M-phase. This creates a significant hurdle for pure, non-mosaic IHR, as the cell must now edit

two alleles instead of one. This is highlighted by the high degree of mosaicism observed in

embryos exhibiting IHR (**Extended Data Fig. 6**). Interestingly, although BCCIP-injected

embryos exhibited a significant increase in mosaicism, only 1 out of 14 BCCIP-injected embryos

with mosaic IHR was mosaic with an indel (**Extended Data Fig. 6c,d**), suggesting that BCCIP

protects against indels by greatly increasing the likelihood of repairing DSBs through IHR

instead of NHEJ. Together, our mosaicism analyses support our ICC results showing that zygotic

IHR occurs following pronuclear fusion when there are multiple IHR templates available for

repair.

Single-cell RNA-sequencing has shown that *Rad51* mRNA is present in zygotes at

relatively low levels (FPKM <50)[39]. Nonetheless, the existence of endogenous RAD51 in

zygotes begs the question of exactly how exogenous RAD51 enhances IHR. We hypothesize

based on the data presented in this paper that increased concentrations of RAD51 in zygotic

pronuclei increase the probability of RAD51 locating and binding to a DSB. While previous

work has shown that accumulation of endogenous RAD51 at zygotic DSBs can occur in genetic

models of genomic instability, we did not observe any RAD51 puncta in uninjected cells at any

stage of the cell cycle. However, injection of RAD51 protein alone revealed a small number of

cells with RAD51 puncta (**Fig. 4b**), suggesting that increasing RAD51 levels is capable of

biasing repair of endogenous DSBs toward RAD51-dependent pathways. The highly-efficient,

indel-free editing observed in cells injected with the G151D mutant further supports this

mechanism where increased concentrations of RAD51 promote IHR by increasing the likelihood

of RAD51 binding to a DSB. Although we injected the same concentration of G151D into cells

as we did wildtype RAD51, the G151D mutant has a faster on-rate for binding DSBs and binds

DSBs more stably due to its increased strand-exchange activity[38] and decreased rate of ATP

hydrolysis[40], respectively. This could help explain why we do not observe indels in these cells, as

G151D is likely capable of binding Cas9-induced DSBs faster and for longer periods of time

than other DNA repair molecules after the completion of S-phase.

Our insights into the basic mechanisms of IHR in zygotes suggest that enhanced IHR has

the potential to overcome current problems limiting the feasibility of gene drive strategies. Gene

drives are designed to edit wild populations for disease prevention and agricultural purposes by

accelerating the spread of alleles conferring desired traits. They consist of a single locus

encoding a gene of interest, Cas9, and an sgRNA targeting the same wildtype locus. In

heterozygotes, expression of Cas9 and the sgRNA targets Cas9 to the wildtype allele, inducing a

DSB that can be repaired through IHR to generate cells homozygous for the gene drive cassette.

This conversion of heterozygotes to homozygotes is key to the success of gene drives, but recent

work has demonstrated the rapid development of gene drive resistance through the production of

undesired indels that prevent cutting and subsequent IHR[41,42]. Therefore, our discovery that

BCCIP and RAD51 G151D promote nearly indel-free IHR in zygotes has enormous potential for

overcoming the barriers to successful gene drive applications. Furthermore, the same advantages

of indel-free IHR could be used to develop safe gene therapies for autosomal dominant disorders

caused by point mutations and small indels. Given that we still know so little about endogenous

mechanisms of IHR, the potential impact of our findings suggests that additional studies of IHR

in zygotes and somatic cells will be fundamental to the development of improved tools and

strategies for gene editing.

Methods

Data Availability: The raw data supporting the findings in this manuscript can be obtained from

the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Animal Care and Use: All mouse work was performed with the supervision of the

Massachusetts Institute for Technology Division of Comparative Medicine (DCM) under

protocol 0416-024-19, which was approved by the Committee for Animal Care (CAC). All

procedures were in accordance with the guidelines set forth by the Guide for Care and Use of

Laboratory Animals, National Research Council, 1996 (institutional animal assurance no. A-

3125-01). All embryos injected for the experiments described in this manuscript were on a

C57BL/6NTac background (Taconic; referred to as C57BL/6N in paper).

Preparation of Injection Mixtures: tracrRNA, crRNAs, and ssODNs were synthesized by

Integrated DNA Technologies (see **Supplementary Table 1** for sequences). All injection

mixtures were prepared in a final volume of 50μL according using the same protocol. Using

RNase-free water, reagents, and consumables, crRNA (final concentration 0.61µM), tracrRNA

(final concentration 0.61µM), and ultrapure Tris-HCl, pH7.39 (final concentration 10mM,

ThermoFisher) were mixed and incubated at 95°C for 5 minutes. The mixtures were cooled to

room temperature for 10 minutes on the benchtop and then EnGen Cas9 NLS, *S. pyogenes* (New

England Biolabs) was added to a final concentration of 30ng/µL. The mixtures were incubated at

37°C for 15 minutes before adding any remaining components: ssODN (final concentration

30ng/µL), RAD51 (Creative Biomart, final concentration 10ng/µL). Injection mixtures were

stored on ice and briefly heated to 37°C prior to injection. For experiments utilizing RS-1,

embryos were cultured in KSOM-AA (EMD Millipore MR-121-D) with 7.5µM RS-1 (Sigma)

dissolved in DMSO or DMSO (Sigma, 1:1000) for 24 hours, washed, and cultured in

EmbryoMax FHM HEPES Buffered Medium (Sigma) until collection for genotyping.

Recombinant Proteins: For experiments using wildtype recombinant proteins, the following

proteins were used: RAD51 (Creative Biomart RAD51-134H), USP1/WDR48 (Creative

Biomart USP1&WDR48-1067H), BCCIP (Origene TP303061), XRCC1 (TP304952), XRCC4

(Origene TP312684), DMC1 (Origene TP318311). For experiments using mutant RAD51,

custom RAD51 preparations were produced by Creative Biomart according to their standard

protocol for producing the wildtype RAD51 used in all other experiments. DNA sequences used

for cloning the mutant forms of *Rad51* are described in **Supplementary Table 2**. All RAD51

mutants were modeled in human *RAD51* transcript variant 4 (NCBI accession NM_001164269),

as this is the transcript variant of the wildtype RAD51-134H protein used in all other

experiments.

Natural Mating for Zygotic Injections: Female mice (4-5 weeks old, C57BL/6N) were

superovulated by IP injection of PMS (5 IU/mouse, three days prior to microinjection) and hCG

(5 IU/mouse, 47 hours after PMS injection) and then paired with males. Plugged females were

sacrificed by cervical dislocation at day 0.5pcd and zygotes were collected into 0.1%

hyaluronidase/FHM (Sigma). Zygotes were washed in drops of FHM and cumulus cells were

removed. Zygotes were cultured in KSOM-AA for one hour and then used for microinjection.

In Vitro Fertilization for Zygotic Injections: *In vitro* fertilization was performed using

FERTIUP® Mouse Preincubation Medium and CARD MEDIA (Kyudo Company) according to

the manufacturer's protocol. Non-virgin *Chd2^{R1684H/R1684H}* males that were >8 weeks old were

used as sperm donors. Following IVF, embryos were cultured for 8 hours and then injected using

the PNI protocol described below.

Zygotic Microinjections: For all experiments except the CPI experiments described in **Fig. 1**,

the male pronucleus was injected. Injections performed for the CPI portion of **Fig. 1** were

targeted to the cytoplasm. All microinjections were performed using a Narishige

Micromanipulator, Nikon Eclipse TE2000-S microscope, and Eppendorf 5242 microinjector.

Individual zygotes were injected with 1-2pL of injection mixture using an "automatic" injection

mode set according to needle size and adjusted for clear increase in pronuclear volume.

Following injections, cells were cultured in KSOM-AA until collection for genotyping. For

experiments giving rise to F_0 animals, embryos were surgically implanted into pseudopregnant

CD-1 females (Charles River Laboratories, Strain Code 022) 24-hours post-injection and allowed

to develop normally until natural birth.

Embryo Collection and DNA Purification: Embryos were collected at morula-to-blastocyst

stage in 4μL nuclease-free water. After collection, 4μL of 2X embryo digestion buffer was added

to each sample (final concentrations: 125μg/mL proteinase K, 100mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 100mM

KCl, 0.02% gelatin, 0.45% Tween-20, 60μg/mL yeast tRNA) and embryos were lysed for 1 hour

at 56°C. Proteinase K was inactivated via incubation at 95°C for 10 minutes and DNA was

stored at -20°C until use.

Tail DNA Purification: Tail tissue (~0.5cm length) was collected from individual animals,

placed in 75μL alkaline lysis buffer (25mM NaOH, 0.2mM EDTA), and incubated at 95°C for

30 minutes. Digestion was stopped via addition of 75μL neutralization buffer (40mM Tris-HCl

pH 5.0). Samples were stored at 4°C until genotyping.

Chd2^{R1684H} PCR: *Chd2^{R1684H}* genotyping was performed via a two-step, nested approach. Except

for experiments described in **Figure 3**, all 8μL of embryo DNA were used as input for the initial,

long round of PCR. Genotyping of mouse pups and adult animals was performed using 2μL of

purified tail DNA. For all embryo genotyping, reactions mixtures were set up in a UV-sterilized

laminar flow hood using sterile reagents and filter tips to avoid contamination. All PCR was

performed using Biolase DNA Polymerase (Bioline), fresh aliquots of dNTPs (New England

Biolabs), and 2% DMSO (final concentration). The first PCR reaction of the nested PCR was

performed using the C2RH-Long_F/R primer pairs listed in **Supplementary Table 3**. Primer

pairs C2RH-Long_F1/R1 and C2RH-short_F1/R1 were used for **Figures 1-3**, as well as

369 **Extended Data Figures 1 and 2**. All other experiments utilized primer pairs C2RH-

370 Long_F2/R2 and C2RH-short_F2/R2, which was designed to amplify a larger genomic region as

371 a way to further rule out large deletions that could disrupt PCR primer binding sites. 20 cycles of

372 amplification were performed using 30 second extension and annealing times and an annealing

373 temperature of 67°C. Nested PCR was then performed using 2μL of the initial 25μL PCR

374 reaction as input. The C2RH-Short_F/R primer pairs listed in **Supplementary Table 3** were

375 used for this PCR, with sets 1 and 2 being used as described above. Thirty-five cycles of

376 amplification were performed using 30 second extension and annealing times and an annealing

377 temperature of 68°C.

378 *Tyr^{C89S} PCR:* Initial PCR was performed using 2μL purified tail DNA. The longer amplification

379 of the nested PCR reaction was performed using the Tyr-Long_F/R primer pair listed in

380 **Supplementary Table 3**. PCR was performed using Biolase DNA polymerase (Bioline) and a

381 final concentration of 2% DMSO. Twenty cycles of PCR were run with 30 second annealing and

382 extension steps and an annealing temperature of 66°C. Nested PCR was performed using 2μL of

383 the initial 25μL PCR reaction and the Tyr-Short_F/R primer pair listed in **Supplementary Table**

384 **3**. The same PCR conditions were used for the nested PCR as were used for the initial PCR.

385 *Sanger Sequencing:* For all sequencing reactions, 5μL of PCR product was mixed with 3μL

386 dH$_2$O and 2μL ExoSAP (Exonuclease I, NEB #M0293 and rSAP, NEB #M0371). Products were

387 incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes to degrade primers and dephosphorylate dNTPs and enzymes

388 were then heat inactivated at 80°C for 15 minutes. 2.5μL dH$_2$O and 2.5μL 10μM sequencing

389 primer were then added to each mixture and samples were submitted to Genewiz for Sanger

390 sequencing. Sequence files (.ab1) were blinded using a freely-available Perl script

391 (https://github.com/jimsalterjrs/blindanalysis) and analyzed using SnapGene. To identify IHR

events in mosaic samples, we monitored the genotype at the R1684H locus, as well as the PAM

site and compared the major and minor peak heights using 4Peaks (Nucleobytes). Sequences

showing >2:1 ratio of major allele to minor allele at both sites were called IHR-positive, but

mosaic. Sequencing primers used were: Chd2-Short_R1, Chd2-Short_F2, and Tyr-Short_F (see

Supplementary Table 3).

Total Protein Staining and Western Blotting: 1μg of each recombinant protein was mixed with

2X Laemmli Sample Buffer (Bio-Rad) and heated to 95°C for 5 minutes. After brief

centrifugation, samples were loaded into 4-15% Mini-PROTEAN TGX Pre-Cast gels (Bio-Rad)

along with 5μL PrecisionPlus Protein Kaleidoscope Prestained Protein Standards (Bio-Rad) and

run at 200V for 40 minutes in 1X Tris/Glycine/SDS running buffer. Protein was transferred to

nitrocellulose at 100V for 1 hour at 4°C and total protein was visualized using REVERT Total

Protein Stain (LI-COR Biosciences) according to the manufacturer's protocol. For RAD51

Western blotting in **Supplementary Figure 1a**, REVERT Total Protein Stain was reversed

according to the manufacturer's protocol, the membrane was blocked at room temperature for 1

hour in TBST containing 5% non-fat dry milk. Primary antibody (Rb anti-RAD51, Abcam

ab63801, 1:500) was diluted in blocking buffer and applied to the membrane overnight at 4°C.

Following washes with TBST, secondary antibody (IRDye 800CW Gt anti-rabbit IgG H+L, LI-

COR 925-32211, 1:15,000) was diluted in blocking buffer and applied to the membrane for 1

hour at room temperature in the dark. The membrane was then washed and imaged. For all

experiments, imaging was performed on an Odyssey CLx Imaging System with ImageStudio

(LI-COR Biosciences). Coomassie staining and Western blots in **Supplementary Figure 1b**

were performed by Creative Biomart.

In vitro Cas9 Digestion Assays: Wildtype or $Chd2^{R1684H/R1684H}$ genomic DNA was used as input

for PCR using the conditions described above and the primers specified in **Supplementary**

Table 3. After confirmation of a single band via gel electrophoresis, the PCR reactions were

purified using the DNA Clean & Concentrator kit (Zymo) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. crRNA and tracrRNA were diluted 1µM in Nuclease-free Duplex Buffer (IDT) and

incubated at 95°C for 5 minutes before being allowed to cool to room temperature on the bench.

Digestion mixtures were made in separate tubes by combining nuclease-free water, 10X Cas9

Nuclease Reaction Buffer (final concentration 1X, New England Biolabs), 1µL of the cooled

crRNA/tracrRNA duplex, and, if necessary, 1µL of EnGen Cas9 NLS, *S. pyogenes* (New

England Biolabs). These mixtures were heated to 37°C for 10 minutes before adding 250ng PCR

product and, if necessary, RAD51 G151D (10ng/µL final concentration, custom-produced by

Creative Biomart) or BCCIP (10ng/µL final concentration, Origene TP303061). Digestion was

performed at 37°C for 1 hour, Cas9 was denatured at 95°C, 6X Purple Gel Loading Dye (New

England Biolabs) was added to a final concentration of 1X, and the samples were allowed to

passively cool to room temperature. After cooling, reactions were separated via electrophoresis

with 2% agarose gel, post-stained with GelRed Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (Biotium) according to

manufacturer's instructions and visualized using an InGenius Gel Documentation System

(Syngene).

Genomic qPCR: To determine copy number at specific genomic loci, we developed a strategy

utilizing multiplex nested qPCR. We first performed multiplex amplification of both the edited

Chd2 region and a region of the *Gapdh* promoter, which is located on a different chromosome, in

a short round of PCR (10 cycles). In the case of our *Shank3B* control experiment, we attempted

to match the input DNA concentration used for experiments utilizing DNA from cultured

embryos. Based on an estimation of ~6pg DNA per cell and a PCR input of ~30 cells, we used

180pg *Shank3B*$^{+/+}$ or *Shank3B*$^{+/-}$ genomic DNA per initial reaction using the Shank3-Long-F/R

and Gapdh-Long_F/R primer pairs described in **Supplementary Table 3**. We then used the PCR

products from the initial multiplex PCR as input for nested qPCR using the Shank3-Short_F/R

and Gapdh-Short_F/R primer pairs with Sso Advanced SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad). qPCR

was performed on a CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad) and analyzed

using CFX Maestro software (Bio-Rad). *Shank3B* signal was normalized to *Gapdh* signal to

control for input and a second diploid locus. In a second control experiment to test qPCR

sensitivity at the *Chd2* locus, we isolated DNA from wildtype blastocysts and performed

multiplex PCR for *Chd2* using the C2RH-Long_F1/R1 and Gapdh-Long_F/R primer pairs listed

in **Supplementary Table 3**. We then performed genomic qPCR using the same input amount for

Gapdh nested qPCR (Gapdh-Short_F/R primers), but either 1X or 0.5X input for the *Chd2*

nested qPCR (Chd2-Short_F1/R1 primers). Finally, we used the same strategy to perform nested

genomic qPCR using genomic DNA isolated from 5 randomly-selected blastocysts that had

genotyped positive for interhomolog repair with no evidence of mosaicism.

Immunocytochemistry: Zygotes were collected during G1 (9hpf, 1hpi, PN2), G2 (14.5hpf,

6.5hpi, PN5), or M-phase (16hpf, 8hpi) and fixed overnight at 4°C in 4% PFA + 0.1% Tween-20

in PBS and then briefly transferred to acidified Tyrode's (Sigma T1788) to remove the zona.

Collection times and cell cycle stages were determined empirically based on pronuclear/nuclear

morphology[43]. After washing in PBS + 0.1% Tween-20 (PBST), zygotes were permeabilized in

PBS + 1% Triton X-100 for 1 hour at 4°C and then blocked for 1 hour at room temperature in

blocking solution (PBS + 3% BSA + 5% normal goat serum). Primary antibody (rabbit anti-

RAD51, Abcam ab63801, 1:500) was diluted in blocking solution and applied to coverslips

overnight at 4°C. Cells were washed 3 times in PBST before application of secondary antibody

(goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugate, Alexa Fluor 488, ThermoFisher A-11008) diluted in blocking

solution for 1 hour at room temperature. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI and coverslips

were mounted to slides with Fluoromount Aqueous Mounting Medium (Sigma F4680). Cells

were imaged on an Olympus Fluoview FV1000 confocal microscope with a 60X oil immersion

objective and variable digital zoom. For all cells, we acquired z-stacks incorporating both

pronuclei. Raw image files were exported to FIJI[44] for Z-projection (sum slices), channel

splitting, and scale bar generation.

Statistical Analyses: Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 6 (Graphpad). For chi-

square analyses, one-tailed tests were used in cases where we were testing whether a factor could

specifically increase interhomolog repair. For all other experiments, two-tailed analyses were

performed. Details on statistical tests performed for each experiment are provided in text and

figure legends. All graphs display mean±SEM. *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$, ****$p<0.0001$

References

1.   Aida, T. *et al.* Cloning-free CRISPR/Cas system facilitates functional cassette
477   knock-in in mice. *Genome Biol* **16,** 87 (2015).
478   2.   Aida, T. *et al.* Gene cassette knock-in in mammalian cells and zygotes by enhanced
479   MMEJ. *BMC Genomics* **17,** 183 (2016).
480   3.   Paquet, D. *et al.* Efficient introduction of specific homozygous and heterozygous
481   mutations using CRISPR/Cas9. *Nature* **533,** 125–129 (2016).
482   4.   Li, G. *et al.* Small molecules enhance CRISPR/Cas9-mediated homology-directed
483   genome editing in primary cells. *Sci. Rep.* **7,** 8943 (2017).
484   5.   Yu, C. *et al.* Small Molecules Enhance CRISPR Genome Editing in Pluripotent
485   Stem Cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **16,** 142–147 (2015).
486   6.   Song, J. *et al.* RS-1 enhances CRISPR/Cas9- and TALEN-mediated knock-in
487   efficiency. *Nature Communications* **7,** 10548 (2016).
488   7.   Maruyama, T. *et al.* Increasing the efficiency of precise genome editing with
489   CRISPR-Cas9 by inhibition of nonhomologous end joining. *Nature Biotechnology*
490   **33,** 538–542 (2015).

491   8.   Ma, X. *et al.* CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene manipulation to create single-amino-
492   acid-substituted and floxed mice with a cloning-free method. *Sci. Rep.* **7,** 42244
493   (2017).
494   9.   Quadros, R. M. *et al.* Easi-CRISPR: a robust method for one-step generation of mice
495   carrying conditional and insertion alleles using long ssDNA donors and CRISPR
496   ribonucleoproteins. *Genome Biol* **18,** 103 (2017).
497   10.   Schwacha, A. & Kleckner, N. Interhomolog bias during meiotic recombination:
498   meiotic functions promote a highly differentiated interhomolog-only pathway. *Cell*
499   **90,** 1123–1135 (1997).
500   11.   Stark, J. M. & Jasin, M. Extensive loss of heterozygosity is suppressed during
501   homologous repair of chromosomal breaks. *Mol Cell Biol* **23,** 733–743 (2003).
502   12.   LaRocque, J. R. *et al.* Interhomolog recombination and loss of heterozygosity in
503   wild-type and Bloom syndrome helicase (BLM)-deficient mammalian cells.
504   *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108,** 11971–11976 (2011).
505   13.   Ghodsinejad Kalahroudi, V. *et al.* Two Novel Tyrosinase (TYR) Gene Mutations
506   with Pathogenic Impact on Oculocutaneous Albinism Type 1 (OCA1). *PLoS ONE* **9,**
507   e106656 (2014).
508   14.   Takayama, K. *et al.* Highly efficient biallelic genome editing of human ES/iPS cells
509   using a CRISPR/Cas9 or TALEN system. *Nucleic Acids Research* **45,** 5198–5207
510   (2017).
511   15.   McVey, M. & Lee, S. E. MMEJ repair of double-strand breaks (director's cut):
512   deleted sequences and alternative endings. *Trends in Genetics* **24,** 529–538 (2008).
513   16.   Richardson, C., Moynahan, M. E. & Jasin, M. Double-strand break repair by
514   interchromosomal recombination: suppression of chromosomal translocations.
515   *Genes & Development* **12,** 3831–3842 (1998).
516   17.   Moynahan, M. E. & Jasin, M. Loss of heterozygosity induced by a chromosomal
517   double-strand break. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **94,** 8988–8993 (1997).
518   18.   Ma, H. *et al.* Correction of a pathogenic gene mutation in human embryos. *Nature*
519   **548,** 413–419 (2017).
520   19.   Wu, Y. *et al.* Correction of a Genetic Disease in Mouse via Use of CRISPR-Cas9.
521   *Cell Stem Cell* **13,** 659–662 (2013).
522   20.   Shin, H. Y. *et al.* CRISPR/Cas9 targeting events cause complex deletions and
523   insertions at 17 sites in the mouse genome. *Nature Communications* **8,** 15464
524   (2017).
525   21.   Egli, D. *et al.* Inter-homologue repair in fertilized human eggs? *biorxiv.org*
526   doi:10.1101/181255
527   22.   Peca, J. *et al.* Shank3 mutant mice display autistic-like behaviours and striatal
528   dysfunction. *Nature* **472,** 437–442 (2011).
529   23.   Ladstätter, S. & Tachibana-Konwalski, K. A Surveillance Mechanism Ensures
530   Repair of DNA Lesions during Zygotic Reprogramming. *Cell* **167,** 1774–1787.e13
531   (2016).
532   24.   Sotiriou, S. K. *et al.* Mammalian RAD52 Functions in Break-Induced Replication
533   Repair of Collapsed DNA Replication Forks. *Mol. Cell* **64,** 1127–1134 (2016).
534   25.   Murai, J. *et al.* The USP1/UAF1 complex promotes double-strand break repair
535   through homologous recombination. *Mol Cell Biol* **31,** 2462–2469 (2011).

536   26.   Lu, H. *et al.* The BRCA2-Interacting Protein BCCIP Functions in RAD51 and
537   BRCA2 Focus Formation and Homologous Recombinational Repair. *Mol Cell Biol*
538   **25,** 1949–1957 (2005).

539   27.   Wray, J., Liu, J., Nickoloff, J. A. & Shen, Z. Distinct RAD51 Associations with
540   RAD52 and BCCIP in Response to DNA Damage and Replication Stress. *Cancer*
541   *Research* **68,** 2699–2707 (2008).

542   28.   Kelso, A. A. *et al.* The β-isoform of BCCIP promotes ADP release from the RAD51
543   presynaptic filament and enhances homologous DNA pairing. *Nucleic Acids*
544   *Research* **45,** 711–725 (2017).

545   29.   Moldovan, G.-L. & D'Andrea, A. D. How the fanconi anemia pathway guards the
546   genome. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **43,** 223–249 (2009).

547   30.   Taylor, R. M., Moore, D. J., Whitehouse, J., Johnson, P. & Caldecott, K. W. A cell
548   cycle-specific requirement for the XRCC1 BRCT II domain during mammalian
549   DNA strand break repair. *Mol Cell Biol* **20,** 735–740 (2000).

550   31.   Dutta, A. *et al.* Microhomology-mediated end joining is activated in irradiated
551   human cells due to phosphorylation-dependent formation of the XRCC1 repair
552   complex. *Nucleic Acids Research* **45,** 2585–2599 (2017).

553   32.   Critchlow, S. E., Bowater, R. P. & Jackson, S. P. Mammalian DNA double-strand
554   break repair protein XRCC4 interacts with DNA ligase IV. *Curr Biol* **7,** 588–598
555   (1997).

556   33.   Normanno, D. *et al.* Mutational phospho-mimicry reveals a regulatory role for the
557   XRCC4 and XLF C-terminal tails in modulating DNA bridging during classical
558   non-homologous end joining. *eLife* **6,** 1093 (2017).

559   34.   Sehorn, M. G., Sigurdsson, S., Bussen, W., Unger, V. M. & Sung, P. Human meiotic
560   recombinase Dmc1 promotes ATP-dependent homologous DNA strand exchange.
561   *Nature* **429,** 433–437 (2004).

562   35.   Wang, A. T. *et al.* A Dominant Mutation in Human RAD51 Reveals Its Function in
563   DNA Interstrand Crosslink Repair Independent of Homologous Recombination.
564   *Mol. Cell* **59,** 478–490 (2015).

565   36.   Zadorozhny, K. *et al.* Fanconi-Anemia-Associated Mutations Destabilize RAD51
566   Filaments and Impair Replication Fork Protection. *Cell Reports* **21,** 333–340 (2017).

567   37.   Yu, D. S. *et al.* Dynamic control of Rad51 recombinase by self-association and
568   interaction with BRCA2. *Mol. Cell* **12,** 1029–1041 (2003).

569   38.   Marsden, C. G. *et al.* The Tumor-Associated Variant RAD51 G151D Induces a
570   Hyper-Recombination Phenotype. *PLoS Genet* **12,** e1006208 (2016).

571   39.   Deng, Q., Ramsköld, D., Reinius, B. & Sandberg, R. Single-cell RNA-seq reveals
572   dynamic, random monoallelic gene expression in mammalian cells. *Science* **343,**
573   193–196 (2014).

574   40.   Chen, J. *et al.* Tumor-associated mutations in a conserved structural motif alter
575   physical and biochemical properties of human RAD51 recombinase. *Nucleic Acids*
576   *Research* **43,** 1098–1111 (2015).

577   41.   Hammond, A. M. *et al.* The creation and selection of mutations resistant to a gene
578   drive over multiple generations in the malaria mosquito. *PLoS Genet* **13,** e1007039
579   (2017).

580   42.   Champer, J. *et al.* Reducing resistance allele formation in CRISPR gene drive.
581   *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115,** 5522–5527 (2018).

582 43.   Santos, F. *et al.* Active demethylation in mouse zygotes involves cytosine
583   deamination and base excision repair. *Epigenetics & Chromatin* **6,** 39 (2013).
584 44.   Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat*
585   *Meth* **9,** 676–682 (2012).

586
587 **<u>Supplementary Information</u>**

588
589 Additional data and sequences of oligos, proteins, and primers used in this study can be found in

590 Supplementary Information.

592 **<u>Acknowledgements</u>**

593
The experiments described in this manuscript were funded by the Tan-Yang Center for Autism

Research at MIT and the Poitras Center for Affective Disorders Research at MIT. We would like

to thank Tetsushi Sakuma and Takashi Yamamoto of Hiroshima University for sharing their

knock-in enhancer screening data. We would also like to thank Ricardo del Rosario for

assistance with analysis of published single-cell RNA-seq data. Finally, we are grateful for the

helpful discussion and insight provided by Charles Jennings, Sabbi Lall, and members of the

Feng lab.

<u>Author Contributions</u>

602
J.J.W., T.A., M.W., Q.Z., and G.F. designed experiments. J.J.W. and P.Q. performed

experiments. J.J.W., T.A., M.W., and G.F. analyzed data. J.J.W., T.A., and G.F. prepared the

manuscript.

<u>Competing Financial Interests</u>

MIT and J.J.W, T.A., M.W., Q.Z. and G.F. have submitted a provisional patent application (U.S.

Provisional Application No.: 62/623,006) related to the use of enhanced interhomolog repair for

gene editing purposes.

Materials and Correspondence

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to G.F. at fengg@mit.edu

623
624
Figure 1 | Efficient homozygous knock-in with RAD51. a, Schematic of the targeting locus

and HR donor for generating $Chd2^{R1684H}$ mutant mice. HR donor contains both the c.5051G>A

point mutation and a synonymous mutation that destroys the relevant PAM site (HD=homology

domain). **b,** Example chromatograms of wildtype (top) and $Chd2^{R1684H/R1684H}$ animals

(RH=R1684H). **c,** Overall KI efficiency observed in F_0 pups derived from either cytoplasmic

(CPI) or pronuclear (PNI) injection (pups with $\geq$1 KI allele/total pups). **d,** Homozygous KI rates

observed in F_0 pups generated by either CPI or PNI. **e,** Genotyping results from F_1 pups derived

from crosses between F_0 $Chd2^{R1684H/R1684H}$ animals and wildtype C57BL/6N animals.

Figure 2 | RAD51 enhances homozygous KI efficiency at multiple loci. a, Quantification of
KI efficiency (left) and homozygous KI efficiency in embryos generated by *Chd2*[R1684H] PNI with
or without RAD51. All *RH/RH* includes mosaic embryos and denotes embryos with >2:1 ratio of
mutant allele to wildtype allele (KI: p=0.034, one-tailed chi-square test; All *RH/RH*: p<0.0001,
one-tailed chi-square test; Pure *RH/RH*: p=0.015, one-tailed chi-square test). **b,** Schematic of
knock-in strategy for the albinism-associated *Tyr*[C89S] mutation. **c,** Representative examples of
Tyr^{+/+} (black) and *Tyr*[C89S/C89S] (white) littermates derived from three-component CRISPR
injections using exogenous RAD51 protein. **d,** Representative chromatograms from *Tyr*^{+/+} (top)
and *Tyr*[C89S/C89S] animals. **e,** Genotyping of F₀ animals generated by three-component CRISPR
targeting the *Tyr*[C89S] locus with or without RAD51 (KI: p=0.136, one-tailed chi-square test; All
Homo: p=0.0081, one-tailed chi-square test; Pure Homo: p=0.0272, one-tailed chi-square test).

Figure 3 | RAD51 enhances interhomolog repair. a, Schematic of strategy for testing RAD51-

enhanced IHR. Wildtype C57BL/6N eggs were fertilized *in vitro* by sperm collected from male

Chd2^{R1684H/R1684H} mice and cultured for 8 hours. At 8hpf, PNI was performed with Cas9 protein,

crRNA, and tracrRNA with or without RAD51 protein. Since *R1684H* mutants carry a mutation

in the PAM associated with the crRNA utilized in these experiments, Cas9 is only capable of

cutting the maternal allele. Injected embryos were then cultured for 48 hours and collected at

morula stage. Half of the purified DNA was used for nested PCR and Sanger sequencing and the

other half was used for multiplex PCR and subsequent qPCR to analyze genomic copy number at

the *Chd2* editing locus. **b,** Representative chromatograms showing the wildtype reference

sequence (Ref., top), an uninjected *Chd2$^{R1684H/+}$* embryo generated by IVF (Uninj., middle), and

a pure *Chd2$^{R1684H/R1684H}$* homozygous mutant (IHR, bottom) generated via donor-free, RAD51-

enhanced three-component CRISPR. **c,** Quantification of Sanger sequencing results from IVF-

derived embryos co-injected with or without RAD51. 'All IHR' includes mosaic embryos and

was determined by identifying embryos with >2:1 ratio of R1684H-to-wildtype alleles (one-

tailed chi-square test, p<0.0001). Pure IHR refers to embryos without mosaicism (p=0.018, one-

tailed chi-square test). **d,** Genomic qPCR targeting *Shank3B* using 180pg genomic DNA from

wildtype and *Shank3B$^{+/-}$* mice as a control for copy-number sensitivity (lanes 1-2, p=0.00295,

unpaired t-test, t=6.47, df=4, *n*=3 technical replicates per sample, error bars=SEM). Lanes 3-4

illustrate genomic qPCR for the *Chd2^{R1684H}* locus using 100% and 50% input of DNA derived

from wildtype blastocysts for *Chd2* quantification (but 100% input for *Gapdh*) as a control for

copy-number sensitivity at the locus (p=0.0099, unpaired t-test, t=5.355, df=3.302, *n*=4 technical

replicates per condition, error bars=SEM). Lanes 5-10 illustrate genomic qPCR for the

Chd2^{R1684H} locus using DNA from an uninjected embryo and 5 randomly selected pure

homozygous *Chd2^{R1684H}* embryos (p>0.05, unpaired t-test, t=4.60, df=6, *n=4* technical replicates

per sample, error bars=SEM). **e,** F_1 genotyping results of litters derived from crosses between

wildtype C57BL/6N mice and 5 pure *Chd2$^{R1684H/R1684H}$* F_0 animals generated by IVF with

RAD51.

Figure 4 | RAD51 G151D and BCCIP promote zygotic IHR and suppress indel formation.

a, Representative images of RAD51 immunostaining from Cas9 + RAD51-injected embryos

during G1, G2, and M-phase. Arrowheads indicate RAD51 puncta. Dotted lines highlight

pronuclear nucleoli. **b,** Quantification of immunocytochemistry for RAD51 displaying the

number of zygotes positive for RAD51 puncta during G1, G2, or M-phase. **c,** Quantification of

IHR efficiency based on $Chd2^{R1684H}$ genotyping of blastocysts derived from embryos injected

with Cas9/crRNA/tracrRNA and the indicated DSB repair-related proteins. Quantification

includes mosaic embryos (one-tailed chi-square test). **d,** Quantification of IHR efficiency in

blastocysts derived from Cas9/crRNA/tracrRNA-injected embryos co-injected with the indicated

RAD51 variants (one-tailed chi-square test). **e,** Quantification of editing efficiency at the

$Chd2^{R1684H}$ locus in embryos injected with Cas9/crRNA/tracrRNA and the indicated RAD51

variants (one-tailed chi-square test). **f,** *In vitro* Cas9 nuclease activity assay using a PCR

amplimer of the $Chd2^{R1684H}$ locus and Cas9 alone or co-incubated with either RAD51 G151D or

BCCIP. **g,** Quantification of the percent of total injected embryos carrying an indel after injection

with the specified proteins. **h,** Quantification of the ratio of indel-positive embryos to IHR-

positive embryos derived from injections with the specified proteins.

710
711
712 **Extended Data Figure 1 | RS-1 does not alter KI efficiency with ssODNs in mouse zygotes.**
713 $Chd2^{R1684H}$ KI and homozygous KI efficiency in embryos cultured in either DMSO or 7.5μM
714 RS-1 for 24 hours (two-tailed chi-square test).

Extended Data Figure 2 | RAD51 stimulates the generation of homozygous indels. a,
Representative Sanger sequencing traces of putative homozygous indels observed in F_0 animals
obtained from $Chd2^{R1684H}$ knock-in experiments. **b,** Representative Sanger sequencing traces of
putative homozygous indels observed in F_0 animals obtained from Tyr^{C89S} knock-in experiments.
c, Genotyping traces for a putative homozygous $Chd2$ indel and an F_1 offspring from a cross
between the founder and a wildtype C57BL/6N mate. The F_1 genotyping, which was consistent
for all 7 pups in the litter, indicates heterozygous presence of the indel observed in the founder.

752
753
754 **Extended Data Figure 3 |** *Chd2* **crRNA specifically recognizes wildtype allele.** PCR was
755 performed using the Chd2-RH_F/R primer pair with DNA purified from either wildtype or
756 *Chd2^{R1684H/R1684H}* mice and the PCR product was used as a template for *in vitro* digestion assays
757 with or without Cas9.

Extended Data Figure 4 | Cas9 injection alters the number of RAD51 puncta in G2. a, Quantification of RAD51 puncta number observed in control G2 zygotes and cells injected with RAD51 alone, Cas9/crRNA/tracrRNA alone, or Cas9/crRNA/tracrRNA and RAD51. Each point represents a single cell. **b,** Representative images of cells with one (top left), two (top right), three (bottom left), or four (bottom right) RAD51 puncta (arrowheads indicate puncta; DAPI, blue; RAD51, green; scale=10μm).

801
802

803
804 **Extended Data Figure 5 | Decreased editing efficiency in BCCIP-injected cells.** Editing
805 efficiency observed in IVF-derived embryos injected with Cas9/crRNA/tracrRNA only (no
806 enhancer) or the indicated HR- and DSB repair-associated proteins (BCCIP: p=0.0016, two-
807 tailed chi-square test; XRCC4: p=0.031, two-tailed chi-square test).

Extended Data Figure 6 | Effects of IHR enhancers on mosaicism. a, Representative
chromatograms showing examples traces for genotypes characterized as unedited (top left),
100% IHR (top right), mosaic with wildtype (bottom left), and mosaic with indel (bottom right).
b, Quantification of mosaicism in embryos displaying IHR for all conditions analyzed. Data
includes all IVF-derived embryos **(Figs. 3c**, **4c**, and **4d**; BCCIP: p=0.0041, two-tailed chi-square
test). **c,** Distribution of types of mosaicism observed in mosaic embryos described in panel b
(BCCIP: p=0.034, two-tailed chi-square test). **d,** Numbers for observed mosaicism used to
generate panel **c**.

Sex	Injection Method	Genotype	Notes
Female	CPI	RH/RH	
Female	CPI	RH/Indel	
Female	CPI	RH/RH	
Male	CPI	RH/RH	
Male	CPI	RH/RH	
Female	CPI	Indel/Indel	Same Indel
Female	CPI	RH/Indel	
Female	CPI	RH/RH	
Male	CPI	Indel/Indel	Different Indels
Female	PNI	RH/RH	
Male	PNI	RH/RH	
Male	PNI	RH/RH	
Female	PNI	Indel/Indel	Different Indels
Male	PNI	Indel/Indel	Same Indel
Male	PNI	RH/RH	
Male	PNI	Indel/Indel	Same Indel
Female	PNI	Indel/Indel	Same Indel
Female	PNI	RH/RH	

836 **Extended Data Table 1 | Genotyping Results for Individual F$_0$ Pups from CPI and PNI**
837 **Experiments in Figure 1**. Genotyping was performed using the C2RH-Long_F1/R1 primer pair
838 described in Supplementary Table 3.

Condition	Coat Color	Genotype
-RAD51	White	C89S/C89S
-RAD51	White	C89S/Indel
-RAD51	White	C89S/Indel
-RAD51	White	C89S/Indel
-RAD51	White	C89S/Indel
-RAD51	White	C89S/Indel
-RAD51	White	C89S/Indel
-RAD51	White	Indel/Indel (Different)
-RAD51	White	Indel/Indel (Different)
-RAD51	White	Indel/Indel (Different)
-RAD51	White	Indel/Indel (Different)
-RAD51	Mixed	C89S/C89S
-RAD51	Mixed	C89S/C89S
-RAD51	Mixed	C89S/Indel
-RAD51	Mixed	C89S/Indel
-RAD51	Mixed	C89S/Indel
-RAD51	Mixed	C89S/Indel
-RAD51	Mixed	C89S/Indel
-RAD51	Mixed	Indel/Indel (Different)
-RAD51	Mixed	Indel/Indel (Different)
-RAD51	Mixed	Indel/Indel (Different)
-RAD51	Black	C89S/+
-RAD51	Black	C89S/+
-RAD51	Black	Indel/+
-RAD51	Black	Indel/+
+RAD51	White	C89S/C89S
+RAD51	White	C89S/C89S
+RAD51	White	C89S/C89S
+RAD51	White	C89S/C89S
+RAD51	White	C89S/C89S
+RAD51	White	C89S/C89S
+RAD51	White	C89S/Indel
+RAD51	White	C89S/Indel
+RAD51	White	C89S/Indel
+RAD51	White	Indel/Indel (Same)
+RAD51	Mixed	C89S/C89S
+RAD51	Mixed	C89S/C89S
+RAD51	Mixed	C89S/C89S
+RAD51	Mixed	C89S/C89S
+RAD51	Mixed	C89S/C89S
+RAD51	Mixed	C89S/C89S
+RAD51	Mixed	C89S/Indel
+RAD51	Mixed	C89S/Indel
+RAD51	Mixed	C89S/Indel
+RAD51	Mixed	Indel/Indel (Different)
+RAD51	Mixed	Indel/Indel (Same)
+RAD51	Black	C89S/+
+RAD51	Black	C89S/+
+RAD51	Black	C89S/+
+RAD51	Black	Indel/+
+RAD51	Black	Indel/+
+RAD51	Black	Indel/+

854 **Extended Data Table 2 | Genotype-phenotype analyses of Tyr^{C89S} pups from Figure 2b-e.**
855 Phenotype analysis (coat color) and corresponding genotyping data from genomic DNA purified
856 from albino tissue (if available).

Condition	Positive	Negative	Total	p-Value vs. Uninjected	p-Value vs. RAD51-only	p-Value vs. Cas9-only	p-Value vs. Cas9 + RAD51
Uninjected	0	15	15	N/A	0.0677	0.002	<0.0001
RAD51-only	6	25	31	0.0677	N/A	0.016	0.0003
Cas9-only	17	22	39	0.002	0.016	N/A	0.0646
Cas9 + RAD51	22	14	36	<0.0001	0.0003	0.0646	N/A

869 **Extended Data Table 3 | Chi-square analyses of immunocytochemistry data from Figure**
870 **4b.**

871