The expansion of apolipoprotein D genes in cluster in teleost fishes

Langyu Gu[1,2*], Canwei Xia[3]

[1]Key Laboratory of Freshwater Fish Reproduction and Development, Ministry of Education,

Laboratory of Aquatic Science of Chongqing, School of Life Sciences, 400715, Southwest

University, Chongqing, China. langyugu@gmail.com

[2]Zoological Institute, University of Basel, Vesalgasse 1, 4051, Basel, Switzerland.

[3]Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Biodiversity and Ecological Engineering, College of

Life Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China. xiacanwei@126.com

14   **Corresponding author:**

15   *Langyu Gu

16   langyugu@gmail.com

Abstract

Gene and genome duplication play an important role in the evolution of gene functions. Compared

to an individual duplicated gene, gene clusters attract more attention, especially regarding their

associations with innovation and adaptation. Here, we report, for the first time, the expansion of a

gene family specific to teleost fishes, apolipoprotein D (*ApoD*) gene family. The only *ApoD* gene in

the ancestor was expanded in two clusters via genome duplication and tandem gene duplication in

teleost fishes, with a rather dynamic evolutionary pattern. Based on comparative genomic and

transcriptomic analyses, protein 3D structure simulation, evolutionary rate detection and genome

structure detection, subfunctionalization and neofunctionalization after duplication were observed

both at the protein and expression levels, especially for lineage-specific duplicated genes that were

under positive selection. Orthologous genes in the same physical order exhibited conserved

expression patterns but became more specialized with the increasing number of duplicates.

Different *ApoD* genes were expressed in tissues related to sexual selection and adaptation. This was

particularly true for cichlid fishes, whose paralogues in different clusters showed high expression in

anal fin pigmentation patterns (sexual selection related traits) and the lower pharyngeal jaw (related

to feeding strategy), the two novelties famous for adaptive radiation of cichlid fishes. Interestingly,

ApoD clusters are located at the breaking point of genome rearrangement. Since genome

rearrangement can capture locally adapted genes or antagonous sex determining genes to protect

them from introgression by reducing recombination, it can promote divergence and reproductive

isolation. This further suggests the importance of the expansion of *ApoD* genes for speciation and

adaptation in teleost fishes, especially for cichlid fishes.

Introduction

Gene and genome duplication play an important role in evolution by providing new genetic materials [1]. The gene copies emerging from duplication events (including whole genome duplications (WGD)) can undergo different evolutionary fates, and a number of models have been proposed as to what might happen after duplication [2]. In many instances, one of the duplicates becomes silenced via the accumulation of deleterious mutations (i.e. pesudogenization or nonfunctionalization [1]). Alternatively, the original pre-duplication function might be subdivided between the duplicates (i.e. subfunctionalization) [3], or one of the duplicates might gain a new function (i.e. neofunctionalization) [4]. Since the probability to accumulate beneficial substitutions is relatively low, examples for neofunctionalization are sparse. There are, nevertheless, examples for neofunctionalization. For example, the duplication of *dachshund (dac)* in spiders and allies has been associated with the evolution of a novel leg segment [5]; the expansion of repetitive regions in a duplicated trypsinogen-like gene led to a functional antifreeze glycoproteins in Antarctic notothenioid fish [6]; and the duplication of *opsin* genes is implicated with trichromatic vision in primates [7]. Another selective advantage of gene duplication can be attributed to the increased number of gene copies themselves, e.g. in the form of gene dosage effects [8][9].

Gene functional changes after duplication can occur at the protein level [6,10,11]. For example, the physiological division of labour between the oxygen-carrier function of haemoglobin and oxygen-storage function of myoglobin in vertebrate [12]; the acquired enhanced digestive efficiencies of duplicated gene encoding pancreatic ribonuclease in leaf monkey [13]. However, the chance to accumulate beneficial alleles is rather low, and thus the functional changes after duplication in protein level are sparse. Instead, changes in the expression level are more tolerable and efficient, since it does not require the modification of coding sequences and can immediately

offer phenotypic consequences. Many examples have provided evidence that duplicated genes

acquiring new expression domains are linked to the evolution of novel traits (e.g., *dac2*, a novel leg

segment in Arachnid [5]; *elnb*, bulbus arteriosus in teleost fishes [14]; *fhl2b*, egg-spots in cichlid

fishes [15]).

In some cases, gene duplication resulted in so-called gene clusters: genes from the same

gene family physically closely linked in one chromosome [16] have attracted considerable attention,

such as Hox gene clusters [17], globin gene clusters [18], paraHox gene clusters [19], MHC clusters

[20] and opsin gene clusters [21]. Duplicated genes in clusters are usually related to innovations and

adaptation [10,16,21], suggesting their advantageous roles during evolution. The expansion of gene

clusters can be traced back to WGD and/or tandem duplication [12,22], and they are suggested to be

causally linked to genome instability [23,24]. Actually, if genome rearrangement can capture

locally adapted genes or antagonous sex determining genes to protect them from introgression by

reducing recombination, it can promote divergence and reproductive isolation [25] and thus

contribute to speciation and adaptation, such as in butterfly [26], fish [27], mosquitoes [28] and

Atlantic cod [29]. However, few studies investigated the roles of gene clusters at the breaking point

of genome rearrangement in speciation and adaptation.

Here, we report, for the first time, the expansion of a gene family, apolipoprotein D (*ApoD*),

in teleost fishes. *ApoD* gene belongs to the lipocalin superfamily of lipid transport proteins [30,31].

In humans, ApoD was suggested to function as a multi-ligand, multifunctional transporter (e.g.,

hormone and pheromone) [31,32], which is important in homeostasis and housekeeping functions in

most organs [32]. Tetraodons possess only a single *ApoD* gene, which is expressed in multiple

tissues, most notably in brain and testis (see e.g. [31,33,34]) and have been suggested to be involved

in the central and peripheral nervous systems [31]. Interestingly, teleost fishes possess varying

numbers of duplicates located in two chromosomes (http://www.ensembl.org/). However, no

detailed analysis regarding this gene family in fishes has been reported. Recently, we found that the

orthologous *ApoD* gene was highly expressed in convergent evolved innovative anal fin

pigmentation patterns in cichlid fishes [35], which inspired us to further investigate the expansion

of *ApoD* genes in teleost fishes and their roles in speciation and adaptation.

Results

1. The expansion of *ApoD* genes in two clusters in teleost fishes

Based on phylogenetic reconstruction of all *ApoD* genes with available assembly genome

data and *in sillico* screen in teleost fishes, we traced the evolutionary history of *ApoD* gene family

(Figure 1). There is only one *ApoD* gene in coelacanth (*Latimeria chalumnae*). Tandem duplication

of the ancestral *ApoD* gene gave rise to two copies (*A* and *B*) in spotted gar (*Lepisosteus oculatus*)

located in one cluster. In the course of teleost-specific genome duplication (TGD) and tandem

duplication in a lineage specific manner, *ApoD* genes expanded in teleost fishes with different

numbers and are located in two clusters, i.e. with two copies in cavefish (*Astyanax mexicanus*) (*A1*

and *A2*) and pufferfish (*Tetraodon nigroviridis*) (*B2a* and *A2*), three copies (*A1*, *A2*, *B1*) in

zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) and cod (*Gadus morhua*) (*A1, A2* and *B2a*), four copies in platyfish

(*Xiphophorus maculatus*) (*A1*, *A2*, *B2a* and *B2b*), five copies in Amazon molly (*Poecilia formosa*)

(*A1*, *A2*, *B2a*, *B2ba1*, *B2ba2*) and fugu (*Takifugu rubripes*) (*A1*, *A2*, *B1*, *B2a*, *B2b*), six copies in

medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) (*A1*, *A2m1*, *A2m2*, *A2m3*, *B2a*, *B2b*), and eight copies in stickleback

(*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) (*A1*, *A2s1*, *A2s2*, *B1*, *B2a*, *B2bs1*, *B2bs2*, *B2bs3*) and tilapia (*Oreochromis*

niloticus) (*A1*, *A2t1*, *A2t2*, *A2t3*, *A2t4*, *B1*, *B2a*, *B2b*). Orthologous genes in different species are

located in the same physical order and lineage-specific duplicated genes are located next to each

other (except copy *B1*) (Figure 1).

Based on *in sillico* screen from draft genomes, the expansion of *ApoD* genes showed a very

dynamic evolutionary pattern across the phylogeny (Figure 2). 1) Highly variable copy numbers

were found in different lineages, especially in the Paracanthopterygii lineage, in which gene gain

and lost frequently occurred. Noticeably, *Stylephorus chordatus* lost all of the *ApoD* genes.

Compared to the Paracanthopterygii lineage, the Acanthopterygii lineage possesses fewer tandem

duplicates, except in tilapia, medaka and stickleback. 2) Different *ApoD* duplicates showed variable

evolutionary conservation. For example, copies *A1* and *A2* present almost across the whole

phylogeny. Copy *A1* is more conservative, since no lineage-specific duplicated genes were detected,

but copy *A2* exhibited variable lineage-specific copies in different fishes, with the most numbers in

tilapia (four copies). Copy *B1* could be evolved in the ancestor of Acanthomorphata lineage or

convergently evolved in clade Apercomorphaceae and the two species in the Paracanthopterygii

lineage (*Percopsis transmontana* and *Zeus faber*). Noticeably, copy *B1* was absent in the whole

clade of Gadiformes but was kept in the clade Acanthopterygii. Only species in the basal lineages

(*Danio rerio*, *Osmerus eperlanus* and *Parasudis fraserbrunneri*) possess copy *B*. Copies *B2a* and

B2b both showed varied copies in different species. However, the co-existence of *B2a* and *B2b* was

common in Percomorphaceae. 3) Interestingly, the largest numbers of lineage specific duplicated

genes were found in tilapia (copy *A2*), medaka (copy *A2*) and stickleback (copy *B2b*) in

Percomorphaceae. The evolutionary rates detection, considering lineage effect under branch-site

model, showed that all these lineage-specific duplicated genes were under positive selection (Figure

3 and Table 1).

2. Neofunctionalization and subfunctionalization of *ApoD* genes at the protein level

The protein-protein interaction database analysis showed that the protein domain of *ApoD*

genes in different fishes is very conservative, including ca. 20 amino acids as the signal peptide and

ca. 144 amino acids as the lipocalin domain (Figure 1). The common associations of different *ApoD*

genes are with immunity-related gene *pla2g15* [36,37], high-density lipoprotein biogenesis-related

gene *lcat* [38], different copies of *zg16* related to pathogenic fungi recognition [39] and MAPK

family involved in multiple signaling pathways and pattern formation [40,41]. Different paralogous

ApoD genes in teleost fishes also exhibited subdivided associations. One class (copy *A2* and copy

B2a) is associated with multiple forkhead transcription factors, which play a central role during

embryo and adult development [42]. The other class (copy *A1*, copy *B2b* and copy *B1*) lost this

association, but is associated with a lipoprotein-related gene *apoa1* [43]. However, the *ApoD* gene

at the basal lineage before the duplication in coelacanth possesses both associations, i.e., with

forkhead transcription factors and *apoa1*. In addition, *ApoD* in coelacanth is also associated with

the genes encoding ligands that can activate Notch signaling pathway (*JAG1*, *JAG2*) [44] and gene

belonging to the annexin family (*ANXAII*) (Figure 1). Noticeably, more members of forkhead

transcription factors and MAP kinase family are associated with *ApoD* genes after duplication.

Unlike in other fishes, copy *A1* in zebrafish has unique interactions with bone resorption-related

duplicated genes (*ostf1a*, *ostf1b*, *ostf1c*) [45], cell growth and division-related gene *ppp2cb* [46],

neurodevelopment-related gene *rab3gap2* [47] and guanylate-binding protein *gbp1* [48] (Figure 1).

Homologous protein simulation of different *ApoD* genes showed a conservative structure,

including a cup-like central part made by eight antiparallel *β*-sheet strands and two ends connected

by loops (a wide opening part formed by four loops and a narrow closed bottom formed by three

loops) (Figure 1). The topology of the neighbor-joining (NJ) tree constructed based on the amino

acids composing the cup-like central part is clearly segregated with different duplicates (Figure 1).

Unlike the cup-like central part, the loops at the two ends are highly variable. A morphometric data

analysis of protein 3D structure of all the loops can segregate different copies, although not for copy

B1. Further morphometric analysis of 3D data of the loops only composing the opening part of the

cup showed clear pattern segregating with different copies, especially for lineage-specific

duplicates, although for different loops in different species (Figure 4).

3. Neofunctionalization and subfunctionalization of *ApoD* genes at the expression level

The gene expression profile analysis in different teleost fishes revealed that orthologous

genes showed conserved expression patterns, but lineage-specific duplicated genes evolved more

specific and even new expression profiles. For example, copy *A1* is mainly expressed in skin and

eye in different teleost fishes, and it was also reported to be highly expressed in the convergent

innovative anal fin pigmentation patterns in cichlid fishes [35]. Copy *A2* is mainly expressed in gills

and eyes, but its lineage-specific duplicated genes (*A2a*, *A2b*, *A2c*) in a representative

haplochromine species, *A. burtoni,* evolved a new expression profile in a key innovative tissue, the

lower pharyngeal jaw. Copies *B2a* and *B2b* did not show high expression in adult tissues of we

tested here in tilapia; instead, they showed specific high expression in the early developmental stage

(5day) of gonads in tilapia (Figure 5). The three lineage-specific duplicated genes, *B2bs1*, *B2bs2*,

and *B2bs3*, and their paralogous gene *B2a* are highly expressed in the spleen and liver in

stickleback. In medaka, the lineage-specific duplicated genes, *A2m1, A2m2* and *A2m3*, were highly

expressed in gills. Gene copy *B1* showed highly specific expression in the liver. Unlike in other

teleost fishes, the copies in zebrafish and cavefish showed variable and overlapping expression

profiles, including skin, eyes, gills and gonad tissues (Figure 5).

4. *ApoD* genes at the breaking point of genome rearrangement, especially for cichlid fishes

Syntenic analyses revealed that the two regions containing ApoD clusters in different teleost fishes are syntenic with the linkage group (LG)s/chromosomes containing *ApoD* in gar (LG14) and chicken (chr9), respectively. In addition, genome rearrangement occurred in the chromosomes (or LGs) containing ApoD clusters, involving syntenic regions with chromosomes LG10, LG9, LG19 and LG3 in gar, and chr8, chr2, chr28 and chr1 in chicken (Figure 3). Interestingly, ApoD gene clusters were just located at the breaking point, with conserved neighbour genes across different species (Figure 3). A further analysis of available cichlid genomes showed that genome rearrangement occurred again in the derived lineages of cichlid fishes, with ApoD clusters at the breaking point but not in the basal species (Figure 6).

Discussion

This study is the first one that report the expansion of *ApoD* genes in teleost fishes. Followed by TGD, lineage-specific duplication and genome rearrangement, different numbers of duplicated *ApoD* genes are located in two clusters in different teleost fishes. Protein 3D structure simulation and gene expression profile analyses revealed that subfunctionalization and neofunctionalization occurred at both the protein and expression levels after duplication. Lineage-specific duplicated *ApoD* genes were under positive selection, suggesting their advantageous role during evolution. Genome rearrangement with ApoD clusters at the breaking points further suggest the importance of the expansion of *ApoD* genes for speciation and adaptation in teleost fishes, especially for cichlid fishes.

Dynamic evolutionary pattern of *ApoD* genes in teleost fishes

Based on *in sillico* screen, phylogenetic reconstruction and syntenic analysis, *ApoD* gene clusters showed highly dynamic evolutionary patterns in teleost fishes. Copy *A1* showed the most conservative pattern. Considering its shortest branch in the phylogeny, conserved number and expression pattern, copy *A1* resembles the ancestral status of the *ApoD* gene. Unlike the parental copy *B2*, which only showed up in the basal lineages, paralogues *B2a* and *B2b*, with tandem duplicates, evolved in the derived lineages. Interestingly, the largest number of lineage-specific duplicated genes evolved in stickleback, medaka and cichlid fishes were under positive selection, indicating their advantageous roles. In addition, Copy *B1* is absent in the basal lineage and the whole Gadiformes lineage, but it was kept in most species of Euacanthomorphacea. Although we do not know its function yet, the expression profile showed that this gene was highly specifically expressed in the liver.

It has been suggested that the additional TGD help fuel the phenotypic diversification by providing raw genetic materials and may be related to adaptive radiation in teleost fishes [1,49,50]. However, the expansion of teleost fishes actually is after TGD, compatible with the "*time lag model*" [51]. The role of tandem duplication should not be ignored. For example, most gene families occurred independently in different lineages, and only a small fraction of gene families with duplications arose with a common ancestor [52]. In our study, new gene expression profiles and protein structures also occurred in lineage-specific genes. However, noticeably, it is after TGD that one of the two clusters evolve relatively freely. It has been suggested that fixation of duplications is much more common in genome regions where rates of mutations are elevated due to the presence of already-fixed duplication, such as the so called "snow-ball" effect [53]. Therefore, TGD could be a

crucial prerequisite to prompt the following tandem duplication, which can explain the expansion of

the *ApoD* gene family specific to teleost fishes.

Subfunctionalization and neofunctionalization of *ApoD* genes at the protein level

Functional divergence occurred in *ApoD* genes at the protein level after duplication. The

common associations with multiple members of MAP kinase family involved in multiple signaling

pathways and pattern formation [40,41], indicating the realted roles in *ApoD* genes. The *ApoD* gene

at the basal lineage, before the duplication in coelacanth, possesses both associations with forkhead

transcription factors and *apoa1* compared to the subdivided associations in the paralogues after

duplicaiton in teleost fishes, indicating subfunctionalization. The association with forkhead

transcription factors suggests the important roles of *ApoD* genes during embryo and adult

development [42]. Noticeably, more members of forkhead transcription factors and MAPK family

associated with *ApoD* genes after duplication; therefore, neofunctionalization could also occur in

this case, for example, but not limited to, the dosage effect.

Detailed analyses of simulated protein 3D structures further supported functional divergence

after duplication. Different ApoDs showed very conservative backbone conformation. Indeed, one

feature of lipocalin family is their conservative protein structure in spite of sequence divergence

[54]. The cup-like central part is used to bind and transport large varieties of ligands, such as

hormones, pheromones, etc [55]. The NJ tree constructed based on the amino acids composing this

part are clearly segregated with different duplicates, indicating a ligand-binding capabilities

subdivision. Noticeably, unlike the conservative cup-like central core, the loops are highly variable.

Actually, reshaping the loop region can efficiently generate novel ligand specificities, similar to the

binding functions of antibodies [56]. Indeed, as we showed here, morphomotric analyses of the

loops can subdivide different duplicates, especially for lineage-specific duplicates. Interestingly,

most of the amino acid sites that are under positive selection are located on the loops in cichlid

fishes (Figure 6). Reshaping different parts of the protein structure can be an efficient mechansim

for functional divergence at the protein level in a short time period. Indeed, this is one of the

reasons why lipocalin genes are well adapted to the recognition of individual ligands [55].

Subfunctionalization and neofunctionalization of *ApoD* genes at the expression level

Functional divergence of *ApoD* genes also occurred at the expression level. The relaxed

environment induced by changing expression profiles can further prompt the following mutations

accumulation at the protein level. In our study, different copies of *ApoD* genes at the basal lineages

(zebrafish and cavefish) exhibited overlapping expression domains but became much more specific

as the numbers of tandem duplicates increased, indicating subfunctionalization. For examples, copy

A1 showed a conserved expression pattern in skin and eye in different fishes. Copies *B2a* and *B2b*

are highly specifically expressed at the early developmental stage of gonad tissues in tilapia, which

are good candidates of sex determination [57]. Copy *B1* is highly specifically expressed in the liver,

and copy *A2* is mainly expressed in the gills. Lineage-specific duplicated genes showed redundancy

and even new expression profiles. Noticeably, copy *A2s* in *A. burtoni*, a representative of

haplochromine lineage that is famous for species richness, showed highly specific expression in the

lower pharyngeal jaw. The lower pharyngeal jaw is an apparatus related to feeding stragegy and one

of the key innovations related to adaptive radiation in cichlid fishes [58]. Acquiring new expression

profiles indicates the neofunctionalization of copy *A2* in *A. burtoni*. Indeed, it has been suggested

that with expression changes, tandem duplication can be an important source for novelty emergence

[59], especially if they are adaptive.

The roles of *ApoD* genes in speciation and adaptation, especially in cichlid fishes

Noticeably, the tissues that the *ApoD* genes expressed, such as eye, skin, gill, lower pharyngeal jaw and spleen are related to neural crest cells , a key innovation in vertebrates [60–63]. Combining the associations with the MAPK signaling pathway and forkhead transcription factors, as well as their function as pheromone and hormone transporters, the expansion of *ApoD* genes specific to teleost fishes can be important for the key development of fishes. Another important feature of ApoD clusters is that the tissues they expressed are related to sexual selection and natural selection. For example, in cluster II, *ApoD* duplicates were expressed in the tissues that were supposed to be related to ecological adaptation, such as gills, which are important for freshwater-marine water transition [64,65], and the lower pharyngeal jaw, which is related to feeding strategy [58,66]. Thus, we named cluster II as the "adaptation cluster" (Figure 5). In cluster I, *ApoD* genes are mainly expressed in tissues related to sexual selection, e.g., skin [67], eye [68,69], anal fin pigmentation patterns [35] and gonads. This is especially true for the innovative anal fin pigmentation patterns in cichlid fishes, which is one of the key innovations related to adaptive radiation [70]. Thus, we named cluster I as the "sexy cluster" (Figure 5).

It has been assumed that if genome rearrangement can capture local adaptive genes or genes related to sexual antagonism, it will accelerate divergence by reducing recombination rates, and thus prompt speciation and adaptation, even evolve neo-sex chromosome [71]. ApoD clusters satisfy all of these requirements. The genes in the two clusters under positive selection and related to sexual antagonism are just located at the breaking point of genome rearrangement. Especially for cichlid fishes, inversion occurred again in the derived lineages but not for the basal lineage. As we mentioned above, different paralogues in two clusters (copy *A1* in cluster I and copy *A2* in cluster II)

are expressed in anal fin pigmentation patterns and the lower pharyngeal jaw in cichlid fishes. These are two well-known evolutionary novelties related to adaptive radiation [61]. The Location at the breaking point of genome rearrangement can protect these genes from recombination and prompt divergence and speciation. Further detection across the whole phylogeny of cichlid fishes when the 500-genome data come out and functional experiments will provide detailed evidence about the roles of ApoD clusters in teleost fishes, which are our ongoing projects.

Conclusion

Our study is the first one that report the expansion of *ApoD* genes in teleost fishes. Different duplicated genes are located in two clusters with neo-function and sub-function evolved. Lineage-specific duplicated genes locating at the breaking point of genome rearrangement were under positive selection. This indicates their evolutionary advantages and could speed up speciation. Especially in cichlid fishes, whose paralogous in different clusters were expressed in anal fin pigmentation patterns (related to sexual selection) and the lower pharyngeal jaw (related to feeding strategy), respectively, the two novelties that were supposed to be related to cichlid fish adaptive radiation. The expansion of *ApoD* gene family in teleost fishes thus provides an ideal model to study gene duplication, cluster maintenance, as well as speciation and adaptation.

Methods

***In silico* screening and phylogeny reconstruction to infer gene duplication**

To retrieve *ApoD* gene duplication in teleost fishes, we first extracted orthologues and paralogues of fishes with available genome data from the Ensembl Release 84 [72] and NCBI

database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/). To confirm gene copy numbers, all these orthologues and paralogues were used as a query in a tblastx search against the corresponding genomes. For all unannotated positive hits, a region spanning ca. 2 kb were extracted, and open reading frames (ORF) were predicted using Augustus (http://augustus.gobics.de/) [73]. The predicted coding sequence were then BLAST to the existing transcriptome database to retrieve the corresponding cDNA sequences. The cDNAs were then re-mapped to the corresponding predicted genes to recheck the predicted exon-intron boundary. Coding sequence of genes from human and gar and their neighbour genes were used to perform tblastx searches against the genomes of Amphioxus and Lamprey, respectively, to check whether there are unannotated genes or gene losses in Amphioxus and Lamprey. To infer gene duplication, a maximum likelihood (ML) tree was built first with *ApoD* genes retrieved from available assembled genomes using PAUP4.0 [74] with coelacanth as the outgroup. The best-fitting model of nucleotide substitution was determined in jModeltest v2.1.4 [75,76] applying Akaike Information Criterion with bootstrap value of 200.

To further retrieve *ApoD* genes in other fishes across the whole phylogeny with draft genomes [20], all the sequences retrieved above were used as a query in a tblastx search with threshold *e* value 0.001. The hit scaffolds were retrieved, and genes within scaffolds were predicted using Augustus. The predicted *ApoD* genes were then translated and re-aligned with known *ApoD* ORF to further confirm exon-intron boundary. All the predicted orthologues and paralogues were used as a query again in a tblastx search against the corresponding genome data until no more *ApoD* genes were predicted. NJ trees were built with Mega7 [77] to infer gene duplication.

Positive selection detection

To examine whether *ApoD* duplicates underwent adaptive sequence evolution in a specific

branch, branch-site model was used to test positive selection affecting a few sites along particular

lineages (foreground branches) in codeml within PAML [78,79]. Rates of non-synonymous to

synonymous substitutions (ω or dN/dS) with a priori partitions for foreground branches (see PAML

manual). All the model comparisons in PAML were performed with fixed branch lengths

(fix_blength=2) derived under M0 model in PAML. Alignment gaps and ambiguity characters were

removed (Cleandata=1). A likelihood ratio test was used to calculate a *chi*-square approximation.

The Bayes empirical Bayes (BEB) was used to identify sites that are under positive selection.

Protein-protein interaction prediction, protein 3D structure simulation and morphometric

analyses

Protein domains of *ApoD* genes and protein-protein interactions were predicted with Simple

Modular Architecture Research Tool (SMART) [80,81] and stringdb database http://string-db.org/

[82]. Protein 3D structures were predicted with Swiss-model [83–85] using human ApoD crystal

protein structure (PDB ID 2hzr) as the template. The results were further visualized, evaluated and

analyzed with Swiss-PdbViewer [86]. The amino acids composing the cup-like central part of each

ApoD protein structure were extracted to build the NJ tree. To get morphometric 3D data of

different ApoD loops, the protein structures were first imposed to human ApoD as the reference.

Then, the locations of α-carbon were extracted and further analyzed using hcluster function in R

package [87].

Gene expression profile analysis

Raw transcriptome data from zebrafish, cavefish, cod, medaka, tilapia and *A. burtoni* were

retrieved from NCBI https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ (Additional file 1). Raw reads were mapped to

the corresponding cDNAs (http://www.ensembl.org/) to calculate RPKM (Reads Per Kilobase per

Million mapped reads) value. Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) was used to detect

expression profiles of different *ApoD* duplicates in the tissues that are not included in the existing

transcriptomes (Additional file 1). Prior to tissue dissection, specimens were euthanized with MS

222 (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) following an approved procedure (permit nr. 2317 issued by the

cantonal veterinary office, Switzerland; Guidelines for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals

prescribed by the Regulation of Animal Experimentation of Chongqing, China). RNA isolation was

performed according to the TRIzol protocol (Invitrogen, USA). DNase treatment was performed

with DNA-free™ Kit (Ambion, Life Technologies). RNA quantity and quality was determined with

a NanoDrop1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA). cDNA was produced using the

High Capacity RNA-to-cDNA Kit (Applied Biosystems, USA). Housekeeping gene elongation

factor 1 alpha *(elfa*1*)* [88], ubiquitin (*ubc*) [89] and ribosomal protein L7 (*rpl7*) [15] [90] were used

as endogenous control. qPCR were performed on a StepOnePlusTM Real-Time PCR system

(Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies) using the SYBR Green master mix (Roche, Switzerland)

with an annealing temperature of 58°C and following the manufacture's protocols. Primers are

available in Additional file 2.

Syntenic analyses and inversion detection

To further confirm that gene duplication is resulted from TGD, gene region adjacent to the

duplicates, as well as outgroup species that did not experience TGD, such as gar and chicken were

retrieved. To this end, a window of 5Mb around ApoD clusters in teleost fishes as well as the

corresponding chromosomes in gar and chicken were retrieved from Ensemble database and NCBI,

respectively. Syntenic analyses in these chromosomes were performed using SyMap [91]. To

further detect the structural variation around *ApoD* genes in cichlid fishes, we retrieved the

available cichlid genomes raw data from [92]. Delly [93] was used to detect the inversion and the

corresponding breaking point around ApoD clusters in tilapia as the reference.

Author's contributions

423   LG discovered the *ApoD* gene family, did the data analysis and wrote the manuscript. LG

424 did cluster analysis of morphometric 3D loop data with the help of CX. This work was supported by

425 the PhD grant from University of Basel, Switzerland and Postdoctoral funding from Southwest

426 University, China.

Acknowledgements

We particularly thank Prof. Walter Salzburger for the valuable suggestions and support. We

also thank Prof. Deshou Wang for the sampling of tilapia and laboratory support. Many thanks to

Dario Moser, Heinz-Georg Belting, Jing Wei and Hua Ruan for the sampling support. Many thanks

for the help from Yang Zhao, Fabrizia Ronco, Attila Rüegg, Adrian Indermaur, Xianbo Zhang and

He Ma. Many thanks for the discussion and help from Lukas Zimmerman, Peter Fields, Yuchen

Sun, Yanyan Xu, Zihui Zhang and De Chen.

Figures

Figure 1 (A) Gene expansion of *ApoD* genes in different teleost fishes in two cluster after teleost-specific duplication (TGD). Each block represents a single gene copy. Phylogeny reconstruction was based on the consensus fish phylogenies [21]. (B) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree reconstruction to infer gene duplication. Bootstrap value larger than 50 were marked on the branch. (C) *ApoD* gene structure and association prediction. a. All *ApoD* genes showed conserved domains, with ca. 20 amino acids (AA) as the signal peptide and ca. 144 AA as the lipocalin domain. b. Different paralogous exhibited subdivided associations. The common association is with MAP kinase family members. One class (copy *A2* and copy *B2a*) is associated with multiple forkhead transcription factors. The other class (copy *A1*, copy *B2b* and copy *B1*) lost this association, instead, is associated with a lipoprotein related gene *apoa1*. The *ApoD* gene in coelacanth possesses both associations. (D) Protein structure simulation and analyses. a. 3D structure simulation of ApoD

448 proteins. b. Cluster analysis based on morphometric 3D data of all the loops. c. Neighbour-joining

449 (NJ) tree construction based on amino acids composing the cup-like central part.

Figure 2 A dynamic evolutionary pattern of Apolipoprotein D (*ApoD*) gene family across the

whole phylogeny in teleost fishes. The expansion of *ApoD* genes showed a very dynamic

evolutionary pattern across the phylogeny. Highly variable copy numbers were found in different

lineages, especially in Paracanthopterygii lineage. Noticeably, species *Stylephorus chordatus* lost

all the *ApoD* genes. Different *ApoD* duplicates showed variable evolutionary conservation.

Compared to copy *A1*, copy *A2* exhibited more variable lineage-specific duplicates in different

fishes, with the most numbers appears in tilapia (four copies). Copy *B1* was absent in the whole

clade of Gadiformes. Copy *B* showed up only in species (*Danio rerio*, *Osmerus eperlanus* and

Parasudis fraserbrunneri) in the basal lineages. The co-existence of *B2a* and *B2b* was common in Percomorphaceae. The largest numbers of lineage-specific duplicated genes were found in species tilapia (copy *A2*), medaka (copy *A2*) and stickleback (copy *B2b*) in Percomorphaceae. Evolutionary rates detection considering lineage effect under branch-site model showed that these lineage specific duplicated genes were under positive selection.

Figure 3 (A) Syntenic analyses among teleost fishes, gar and chicken to infer genome duplication. Top is the analyses between teleost fishes and gar. Bottom is the analyses between teleost fishes and chicken. Same color between gar and chicken represents the orthologous chromosome. (B) Hypothesis of ApoD clusters as the breaking points of genome rearrangement before teleost-specific genome duplication (TGD). (C) Lineage-specific duplicated *ApoD* genes at the breaking

472 points of genome rearrangement are under positive selection under branch-site model within

473 codeml in PAML.

476 **Figure 4** Morphometric 3D data analysis of protein loops of Apolipoprotein D (ApoD).

477 Morphometric analysis of 3D data of the loops only composing the opening part of the cup showed

478 clear pattern segregating with different copies, especially for lineage-specific duplicates.

Figure 5 Gene expression profiles for different duplicates in teleost fishes. (a) Schematic figure showing gene expression profiles of different ApoD duplicates in different teleost fishes in two cluster after teleost-specific duplication (TGD). Each block represents a single gene copy. Phylogeny reconstruction was based on the consensus fish phylogenies [21]. (b) Detailed results of gene expression profiles of different ApoD duplicates in different tissues among teleost fishes. Red color, tissues with high expression level. Dark grey, data unavailable (either because the tissue does not exist in the species, or undetected in this study). Light grey, tissues with low expression level. The high expression level in anal fin pigmentation patterns were based on the study from [35]. LPJ, lower pharyngeal jaw in cichlid fishes. (c) Gene expression profiles of ApoD genes in different developmental stages of gonad tissues in tilapia based on available transcriptomic data from [94]. RPKM, Reads Per Kilobase per Million mapped reads ; dh, days.

Figure 6 (A) Most amino acid sites that are under positive selection of Apolipoprotein D (ApoD) composed the loops in cichlid fishes. (B) Inversion happened in derived lineages of cichlid fishes with ApoD clusters at the breaking point.

Table 1 Statistics of branch-site model comparisons of ApoD genes in different fishes

foreground branch	branch-site model		
	model A	model B	p/2
A2m2,A2m3	-3429.42	-3431.09	0.034
A2m3	-3435.38	-3436.83	0.045
A2s1, A2s2	-3829.49	-3834.59	<0.01
A2s1	-3831.05	-3836.99	<0.01
A2s2	-3834.94	-3837.34	0.014
B2a,B2bs1,B2bs2,B2bs3	-3822.26	-3825	0.01
B2bs1,B2bs2,B2bs3	-3833.06	-3834.86	0.029
B2bs1,B2bs2	-3831.39	-3834.1	0.01
B2bs2	-3835.96	-3838.07	0.02
A2t1,A2t2,A2t3,A2t4	-5340.2	-5342.63	0.014
A2t2,A2t3,A2t4	-5345.24	-5349.93	<0.01
A2t2,A2t4	-5347.45	-5353.7	<0.01
A2t3	-5351.84	-5354.38	0.012
A2t2	-5353.18	-5356.22	<0.01

References

1. Ohno S. Evolution by gene duplication. Springer Berlin Heidelb. 1970;

2. Innan H, Kondrashov F. The evolution of gene duplications: classifying and distinguishing

between models. Nat. Rev. Genet. [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2016 Jan 3];11:97–108. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20051986

3. Force A, Lynch M, Pickett FB, Amores A, Yan YL, Postlethwait J. Preservation of duplicate

genes by complementary, degenerative mutations. Genetics [Internet]. 1999 [cited 2016 Apr

13];151:1531–45. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1460548&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype

=abstract

4. Rastogi S, Liberles DA. Subfunctionalization of duplicated genes as a transition state to

neofunctionalization. BMC Evol. Biol. [Internet]. 2005 [cited 2016 Apr 13];5:28. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15831095

5. Turetzek N, Pechmann M, Schomburg C, Schneider J, Prpic N-M. Neofunctionalization of a

Duplicate dachshund Gene Underlies the Evolution of a Novel Leg Segment in Arachnids. Mol.

Biol. Evol. [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2016 May 28];33:109–21. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26443673

6. Chen L, DeVries AL, Cheng C-HC. Evolution of antifreeze glycoprotein gene from a

trypsinogen gene in Antarctic notothenioid fish. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. [Internet]. 1997 [cited 2016

Apr 13];94:3811–6. Available from: http://www.pnas.org/content/94/8/3811.full

7. Dulai KS, von Dornum M, Mollon JD, Hunt DM. The Evolution of Trichromatic Color Vision

by Opsin Gene Duplication in New World and Old World Primates. Genome Res. [Internet]. 1999

[cited 2016 Apr 13];9:629–38. Available from: http://genome.cshlp.org/content/9/7/629.long

8. Tang Y-C, Amon A. Gene copy-number alterations: a cost-benefit analysis. Cell [Internet]. 2013

[cited 2016 Feb 22];152:394–405. Available from:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0092867412014316

9. Lin Z, Li W-H. Expansion of hexose transporter genes was associated with the evolution of

aerobic fermentation in yeasts. Mol. Biol. Evol. [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2016 May 4];28:131–42.

Available from:

http://mbe.oxfordjournals.org/content/28/1/131?ijkey=95bcc58fb94a2349718a4dc5c2802d9a66f3d

05e&keytype2=tf_ipsecsha

10. Baalsrud HT, Voje KL, Tørresen OK, Solbakken MH, Matschiner M, Malmstrøm M, et al.

Evolution of Hemoglobin Genes in Codfishes Influenced by Ocean Depth. Sci. Rep. [Internet].

2017 [cited 2017 Nov 24];7:7956. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28801564

11. Zhang J, Zhang Y, Rosenberg HF. Adaptive evolution of a duplicated pancreatic ribonuclease

gene in a leaf-eating monkey. Nat. Genet. [Internet]. 2002 [cited 2016 Mar 9];30:411–5. Available

from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11925567

12. Storz JF, Opazo JC, Hoffmann FG. Gene duplication, genome duplication, and the functional

diversification of vertebrate globins. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2017 Dec

23];66:469–78. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22846683

13. Zhang J. Parallel adaptive origins of digestive RNases in Asian and African leaf monkeys. Nat.

Genet. [Internet]. 2006 [cited 2016 Oct 15];38:819–23. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16767103

14. Moriyama Y, Ito F, Takeda H, Yano T, Okabe M, Kuraku S, et al. Evolution of the fish heart by

sub/neofunctionalization of an elastin gene. Nat. Commun. [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2016 May

28];7:10397. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4735684&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype

=abstract

15. Santos ME, Braasch I, Boileau N, Meyer BS, Sauteur L, Böhne A, et al. The evolution of

cichlid fish egg-spots is linked with a cis-regulatory change. Nat. Commun. [Internet]. Nature

Publishing Group; 2014 [cited 2016 Apr 12];5:5149. Available from:

http://www.nature.com/ncomms/2014/141009/ncomms6149/full/ncomms6149.html

16. Garcia-Fernàndez J. The genesis and evolution of homeobox gene clusters. Nat. Rev. Genet.

[Internet]. Nature Publishing Group; 2005 [cited 2016 Apr 27];6:881–92. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrg1723

17. Carrasco AE, McGinnis W, Gehring WJ, De Robertis EM. Cloning of an X. laevis gene

expressed during early embryogenesis coding for a peptide region homologous to Drosophila

homeotic genes. Cell [Internet]. 1984 [cited 2017 Mar 4];37:409–14. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6327066

18. Proudfoot NJ, Shander MH, Manley JL, Gefter ML, Maniatis T. Structure and in vitro

transcription of human globin genes. Science [Internet]. 1980 [cited 2016 Apr 27];209:1329–36.

Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6158093

19. Brooke NM, Garcia-Fernàndez J, Holland PWH. The ParaHox gene cluster is an evolutionary

sister of the Hox gene cluster. Nature [Internet]. 1998 [cited 2017 Mar 4];392:920–2. Available

from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9582071

20. Malmstrøm M, Matschiner M, Tørresen OK, Star B, Snipen LG, Hansen TF, et al. Evolution of

the immune system influences speciation rates in teleost fishes. Nat. Genet. [Internet]. 2016 [cited

2017 Mar 28];48:1204–10. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27548311

21. Cortesi F, Musilová Z, Stieb SM, Hart NS, Siebeck UE, Malmstrøm M, et al. Ancestral

duplications and highly dynamic opsin gene evolution in percomorph fishes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.

[Internet]. 2015 [cited 2017 Mar 28];112:1493–8. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25548152

22. Rennison DJ, Owens GL, Taylor JS. Opsin gene duplication and divergence in ray-finned fish.

Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2018 Jan 14];62:986–1008. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22178363

23. Otto SP. The Evolutionary Consequences of Polyploidy. Cell [Internet]. 2007 [cited 2016 Nov

25];131:452–62. Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0092867407013402

24. Hufton AL, Groth D, Vingron M, Lehrach H, Poustka AJ, Panopoulou G. Early vertebrate

whole genome duplications were predated by a period of intense genome rearrangement. Genome

Res. [Internet]. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 2008 [cited 2016 Nov 25];18:1582–91.

Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18625908

25. Kirkpatrick M, Barton N. Chromosome inversions, local adaptation and speciation. Genetics

[Internet]. 2006 [cited 2016 Sep 24];173:419–34. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16204214

26. Joron M, Frezal L, Jones RT, Chamberlain NL, Lee SF, Haag CR, et al. Chromosomal

rearrangements maintain a polymorphic supergene controlling butterfly mimicry. Nature [Internet].

2011 [cited 2016 Sep 24];477:203–6. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21841803

27. Jones RT, Salazar PA, ffrench-Constant RH, Jiggins CD, Joron M. Evolution of a mimicry

supergene from a multilocus architecture. Proc. Biol. Sci. [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2016 Sep

24];279:316–25. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21676976

28. Ayala D, Fontaine MC, Cohuet A, Fontenille D, Vitalis R, Simard F. Chromosomal inversions,

natural selection and adaptation in the malaria vector Anopheles funestus. Mol. Biol. Evol.

[Internet]. 2011 [cited 2016 Sep 24];28:745–58. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20837604

29. Barth JMI, Berg PR, Jonsson PR, Bonanomi S, Corell H, Hemmer-Hansen J, et al. Genome

architecture enables local adaptation of Atlantic cod despite high connectivity. Mol. Ecol.

[Internet]. 2017 [cited 2017 Nov 24];26:4452–66. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28626905

30. Ayrault Jarrier M, Levy G, Polonovski J. ETUDE DES ALPHA-LIPOPROT'EINES

S'ERIQUES HUMAINES PAR. Bull. Soc. Chim. Biol. (Paris). [Internet]. 1963;45:703–13.

Available from: http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-

0000602532&partnerID=tZOtx3y1

31. Rassart E, Bedirian A, Do Carmo S, Guinard O, Sirois J, Terrisse L, et al. Apolipoprotein D.

Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Protein Struct. Mol. Enzymol. [Internet]. 2000 [cited 2016 May

3];1482:185–98. Available from:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S016748380000162X

32. Weech P, Provost P, Tremblay N, Camato R, Milne R, Marcel Y, et al. Apolipoprotein D—An

atypical apolipoprotein. Prog. Lipid Res. [Internet]. 1991 [cited 2016 May 3];30:259–66. Available

from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/016378279190023X

33. Drayna D, Fielding C, McLean J, Baer B, Castro G, Chen E, et al. Cloning and expression of

human apolipoprotein D cDNA. J. Biol. Chem. [Internet]. 1986;261:16535–9. Available from:

http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-0022869267&partnerID=tZOtx3y1

34. Provost PR, Villeneuve L, Weech PK, Milne RW, Marcel YL, Rassart E. Localization of the

major sites of rabbit apolipoprotein D gene transcription by in situ hybridization. J. Lipid Res.

[Internet]. 1991;32:1959–70. Available from: http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-

s2.0-0026321849&partnerID=tZOtx3y1

35. Gu L, Xia C. Revelation of the Genetic Basis for Convergent Innovative Anal Fin Pigmentation

Patterns in Cichlid Fishes. bioRxiv [Internet]. 2017; Available from:

http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2017/12/24/165217.abstract

36. Gilleron M, Lepore M, Layre E, Cala-De Paepe D, Mebarek N, Shayman JA, et al. Lysosomal

Lipases PLRP2 and LPLA2 Process Mycobacterial Multi-acylated Lipids and Generate T Cell

Stimulatory Antigens. Cell Chem. Biol. [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2017 Nov 25];23:1147–56.

Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27662254

37. Bailey SD, Xie C, Do R, Montpetit A, Diaz R, Mohan V, et al. Variation at the NFATC2 Locus

Increases the Risk of Thiazolidinedione-Induced Edema in the Diabetes REduction Assessment

with ramipril and rosiglitazone Medication (DREAM) Study. Diabetes Care [Internet]. 2010 [cited

2017 Nov 25];33:2250–3. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20628086

38. Fotakis P, Kuivenhoven JA, Dafnis E, Kardassis D, Zannis VI. The Effect of Natural LCAT

Mutations on the Biogenesis of HDL. Biochemistry [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2017 Nov 25];54:3348–

59. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25948084

39. Tateno H, Yabe R, Sato T, Shibazaki A, Shikanai T, Gonoi T, et al. Human ZG16p recognizes

pathogenic fungi through non-self polyvalent mannose in the digestive system. Glycobiology

[Internet]. 2012 [cited 2017 Nov 25];22:210–20. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21893569

40. Plestant C, Anton ES. Scaling the MAPK Signaling Threshold during CNS Patterning. Dev.

Cell [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2017 Jun 28];25:221–2. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23673327

41. Shvartsman SY, Coppey M, Berezhkovskii AM. MAPK signaling in equations and embryos.

Fly (Austin). [Internet]. [cited 2018 Jan 14];3:62–7. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19182542

42. Ho KK, Myatt SS, Lam EW-F. Many forks in the path: cycling with FoxO. Oncogene

[Internet]. 2008 [cited 2018 Jan 14];27:2300–11. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18391972

43. Mangaraj M, Nanda R, Panda S. Apolipoprotein A-I: A Molecule of Diverse Function. Indian J.

Clin. Biochem. [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2017 Nov 25];31:253–9. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27382195

44. Fiaschetti G, Schroeder C, Castelletti D, Arcaro A, Westermann F, Baumgartner M, et al.

NOTCH ligands JAG1 and JAG2 as critical pro-survival factors in childhood medulloblastoma.

Acta Neuropathol. Commun. [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2017 Nov 25];2:39. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24708907

45. Reddy S, Devlin R, Menaa C, Nishimura R, Choi SJ, Dallas M, et al. Isolation and

characterization of a cDNA clone encoding a novel peptide (OSF) that enhances osteoclast

formation and bone resorption. J. Cell. Physiol. [Internet]. 1998 [cited 2017 Nov 25];177:636–45.

Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10092216

46. Wong C-H, Fung Y-WW, Ng EK-O, Lee SM-Y, Waye MM-Y, Tsui SK-W. LIM domain

protein FHL1B interacts with PP2A catalytic β subunit - A novel cell cycle regulatory pathway.

FEBS Lett. [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2017 Nov 25];584:4511–6. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20969868

47. Ng EL, Tang BL. Rab GTPases and their roles in brain neurons and glia. Brain Res. Rev.

[Internet]. 2008 [cited 2017 Nov 25];58:236–46. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18485483

48. Pandita E, Rajan S, Rahman S, Mullick R, Das S, Sau AK. Tetrameric assembly of hGBP1 is

crucial for both stimulated GMP formation and antiviral activity. Biochem. J. [Internet]. 2016 [cited

2017 Nov 25];473:1745–57. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27071416

49. Venkatesh B. Evolution and diversity of fish genomes. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. [Internet]. 2003

[cited 2016 Apr 26];13:588–92. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14638319

50. Postlethwait J, Amores A, Cresko W, Singer A, Yan Y-L. Subfunction partitioning, the teleost

radiation and the annotation of the human genome. Trends Genet. [Internet]. 2004 [cited 2016 Apr

13];20:481–90. Available from:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168952504002136

51. Schranz ME, Mohammadin S, Edger PP. Ancient whole genome duplications, novelty and

diversification: the WGD Radiation Lag-Time Model. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. [Internet]. 2012 [cited

2016 Apr 13];15:147–53. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22480429

52. Robinson-Rechavi M, Marchand O, Escriva H, Laudet V. An ancestral whole-genome

duplication may not have been responsible for the abundance of duplicated fish genes. Curr. Biol.

[Internet]. 2001 [cited 2016 Apr 13];11:R458–9. Available from:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960982201002809

53. Kondrashov FA, Kondrashov AS. Role of selection in fixation of gene duplications. J. Theor.

Biol. [Internet]. 2006 [cited 2016 May 27];239:141–51. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16242725

54. Flower DR. The lipocalin protein family: structure and function. Biochem. J. [Internet].

Portland Press Ltd; 1996 [cited 2018 Feb 5];318 (Pt 1):1–14. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8761444

55. Flower DR. Multiple molecular recognition properties of the lipocalin protein family. J. Mol.

Recognit. [Internet]. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.; 1995 [cited 2018 Feb 5];8:185–95. Available from:

http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/jmr.300080304

56. Skerra A. Engineered protein scaffolds for molecular recognition. J. Mol. Recognit. [Internet].

John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.; 2000 [cited 2018 Feb 5];13:167–87. Available from:

http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/1099-1352%28200007/08%2913%3A4%3C167%3A%3AAID-
32

JMR502%3E3.0.CO%3B2-9

57. Tao W, Sun L, Shi H, Cheng Y, Jiang D, Fu B, et al. Integrated analysis of miRNA and mRNA

expression profiles in tilapia gonads at an early stage of sex differentiation. BMC Genomics

[Internet]. 2016 [cited 2017 Mar 12];17:328. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27142172

58. Muschick M, Barluenga M, Salzburger W, Meyer A. Adaptive phenotypic plasticity in the

Midas cichlid fish pharyngeal jaw and its relevance in adaptive radiation. BMC Evol. Biol.

[Internet]. BioMed Central; 2011 [cited 2016 May 3];11:116. Available from:

http://bmcevolbiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2148-11-116

59. Rogers RL, Shao L, Thornton KR. Tandem duplications lead to novel expression patterns

through exon shuffling in Drosophila yakuba. Begun DJ, editor. PLOS Genet. [Internet]. Public

Library of Science; 2017 [cited 2018 Feb 5];13:e1006795. Available from:

http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006795

60. Green SA, Simoes-Costa M, Bronner ME. Evolution of vertebrates as viewed from the crest.

Nature [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2016 Jan 13];520:474–82. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25903629

61. Salzburger W. The interaction of sexually and naturally selected traits in the adaptive radiations

of cichlid fishes. Mol. Ecol. [Internet]. 2009 [cited 2016 Apr 12];18:169–85. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18992003

62. Barlow-Anacker AJ, Fu M, Erickson CS, Bertocchini F, Gosain A. Neural Crest Cells

Contribute an Astrocyte-like Glial Population to the Spleen. Sci. Rep. [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018

Feb 14];7:45645. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28349968

63. Bailey AP, Bhattacharyya S, Bronner-Fraser M, Streit A. Lens Specification Is the Ground State

of All Sensory Placodes, from which FGF Promotes Olfactory Identity. Dev. Cell [Internet]. 2006

[cited 2018 Feb 14];11:505–17. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17011490

64. Kang C-K, Yang S-Y, Lin S-T, Lee T-H. The inner opercular membrane of the euryhaline

teleost: a useful surrogate model for comparisons of different characteristics of ionocytes between

seawater- and freshwater-acclimated medaka. Histochem. Cell Biol. [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2016

Nov 7];143:69–81. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00418-014-1266-2

65. Miyanishi H, Inokuchi M, Nobata S, Kaneko T. Past seawater experience enhances seawater

adaptability in medaka, Oryzias latipes. Zool. Lett. [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2016 Nov 7];2:12.

Available from: http://zoologicalletters.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40851-016-0047-2

66. Muschick M, Indermaur A, Salzburger W. Convergent evolution within an adaptive radiation of

cichlid fishes. Curr. Biol. [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2016 Apr 13];22:2362–8. Available from:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960982212012699

67. Wellenreuther M, Svensson EI, Hansson B. Sexual selection and genetic colour polymorphisms

in animals. Mol. Ecol. [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2016 Apr 12];23:5398–414. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25251393

68. CARLETON KL, PARRY JWL, BOWMAKER JK, HUNT DM, SEEHAUSEN O. Colour

vision and speciation in Lake Victoria cichlids of the genus Pundamilia. Mol. Ecol. [Internet]. 2005

[cited 2018 Feb 5];14:4341–53. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16313597

69. Flamarique IN, Bergstrom C, Cheng CL, Reimchen TE. Role of the iridescent eye in

stickleback female mate choice. J. Exp. Biol. [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2018 Feb 5];216:2806–12.

Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23580716

70. Salzburger W, Braasch I, Meyer A. Adaptive sequence evolution in a color gene involved in the

formation of the characteristic egg-dummies of male haplochromine cichlid fishes. BMC Biol.

[Internet]. BioMed Central; 2007 [cited 2016 Apr 12];5:51. Available from:

http://bmcbiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1741-7007-5-51

71. Charlesworth D. Evolution of recombination rates between sex chromosomes. Philos. Trans. R.

Soc. B Biol. Sci. [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Feb 5];372:20160456. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29109220

72. Flicek P, Amode MR, Barrell D, Beal K, Billis K, Brent S, et al. Ensembl 2014. Nucleic Acids

Res. [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2014 Jul 12];42:D749–55. Available from:

http://nar.oxfordjournals.org/content/42/D1/D749

73. Stanke M, Diekhans M, Baertsch R, Haussler D. Using native and syntenically mapped cDNA

alignments to improve de novo gene finding. Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2008 [cited 2018 Jan

14];24:637–44. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-

lookup/doi/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn013

74. Swofford D. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and Other Methods). Version 4. Sinauer

Assoc. Sunderland, Massachusetts. 2002;

75. Guindon S, Gascuel O. A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by

maximum likelihood. Syst. Biol. [Internet]. 2003 [cited 2016 Apr 30];52:696–704. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14530136

76. Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D. jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and

parallel computing. Nat. Methods [Internet]. Nature Publishing Group, a division of Macmillan

Publishers Limited. All Rights Reserved.; 2012 [cited 2015 Apr 5];9:772. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2109

77. Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version

7.0 for Bigger Datasets. Mol. Biol. Evol. [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2017 Mar 26];33:1870–4. Available

from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27004904

78. Yang Z. PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Mol. Biol. Evol. [Internet].

2007 [cited 2014 Jul 9];24:1586–91. Available from:

http://mbe.oxfordjournals.org/content/24/8/1586.abstract

79. Yang Z. PAML: a program package for phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Comput.

Appl. Biosci. [Internet]. 1997 [cited 2016 Apr 16];13:555–6. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9367129

80. Letunic I, Doerks T, Bork P. SMART: recent updates, new developments and status in 2015.

Nucleic Acids Res. [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2018 Jan 14];43:D257–60. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25300481

81. Letunic I, Bork P. 20 years of the SMART protein domain annotation resource. Nucleic Acids

Res. [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2018 [cited 2018 Jan 14];46:D493–6. Available from:

http://academic.oup.com/nar/article/46/D1/D493/4429069

82. Szklarczyk D, Morris JH, Cook H, Kuhn M, Wyder S, Simonovic M, et al. The STRING

database in 2017: quality-controlled protein–protein association networks, made broadly accessible.

Nucleic Acids Res. [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2018 Jan 14];45:D362–8. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27924014

83. Biasini M, Bienert S, Waterhouse A, Arnold K, Studer G, Schmidt T, et al. SWISS-MODEL:

modelling protein tertiary and quaternary structure using evolutionary information. Nucleic Acids

Res. [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2017 Nov 3];42:W252–8. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24782522

84. Bordoli L, Kiefer F, Arnold K, Benkert P, Battey J, Schwede T. Protein structure homology

modeling using SWISS-MODEL workspace. Nat. Protoc. [Internet]. Nature Publishing Group;

2008 [cited 2017 Nov 3];4:1–13. Available from:

http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nprot.2008.197

85. Arnold K, Bordoli L, Kopp J, Schwede T. The SWISS-MODEL workspace: a web-based

environment for protein structure homology modelling. Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2006 [cited 2017

Nov 3];22:195–201. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16301204

86. Guex N, Peitsch MC, Schwede T. Automated comparative protein structure modeling with

SWISS-MODEL and Swiss-PdbViewer: A historical perspective. Electrophoresis [Internet]. 2009

[cited 2017 Nov 3];30:S162–73. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19517507

87. R Developmental Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing

[Internet]. Vienna, Austria. 2011. Available from: http://www.r-project.org/

88. McCurley AT, Callard G V. Characterization of housekeeping genes in zebrafish: male-female

differences and effects of tissue type, developmental stage and chemical treatment. BMC Mol. Biol.

[Internet]. BioMed Central; 2008 [cited 2016 Apr 12];9:102. Available from:

http://bmcmolbiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2199-9-102

89. Hibbeler S, Scharsack JP, Becker S. Housekeeping genes for quantitative expression studies in

the three-spined stickleback Gasterosteus aculeatus. BMC Mol. Biol. [Internet]. BioMed Central;

2008 [cited 2016 May 3];9:18. Available from:

http://bmcmolbiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2199-9-18

90. Zhang Z, Hu J. Development and validation of endogenous reference genes for expression

profiling of medaka (Oryzias latipes) exposed to endocrine disrupting chemicals by quantitative

real-time RT-PCR. Toxicol. Sci. [Internet]. 2007 [cited 2016 Oct 27];95:356–68. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17093204

91. Soderlund C, Bomhoff M, Nelson W. SyMAP v3.4: a turnkey synteny system with application

to plant genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011;39:e68.

92. Brawand D, Wagner CE, Li YI, Malinsky M, Keller I, Fan S, et al. The genomic substrate for

adaptive radiation in African cichlid fish. Nature [Internet]. Nature Publishing Group, a division of

Macmillan Publishers Limited. All Rights Reserved.; 2014 [cited 2016 May 5];513:375–81.

Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature13726

93. Rausch T, Zichner T, Schlattl A, Stutz AM, Benes V, Korbel JO. DELLY: structural variant

discovery by integrated paired-end and split-read analysis. Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2012 [cited

2018 Jan 14];28:i333–9. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-

lookup/doi/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts378

94. Tao W, Sun L, Shi H, Cheng Y, Jiang D, Fu B, et al. Integrated analysis of miRNA and mRNA

expression profiles in tilapia gonads at an early stage of sex differentiation. BMC Genomics

[Internet]. 2016 [cited 2018 Feb 5];17:328. Available from:

http://bmcgenomics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12864-016-2636-z