Microbial diversity and toxin risk in tropical freshwater

reservoirs of Cape Verde

Ana P. Semedo-Aguiar,[1,2,3] José B. Pereira-Leal,[1] Ricardo B. Leite[1*]

[1] Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência, Rua da Quinta Grande nº6, 2780-156 Oeiras,

Portugal

[2] Programa de Pós-Graduação Ciência para o Desenvolvimento (PGCD)

[3] Universidade Jean-Piaget de Cabo Verde

Running Head: Toxin risk survey in Cape Verdean reservoirs

* Corresponding author

E-mail: rleite@igc.gulbenkian.pt (RBL)

ORCID iD: 0000-0002-9622-3895

To be submitted to: PLOS ONE

Abstract

The Cape Verde islands are part of the African Sahelian arid belt that possesses an irregular rainy season between August and October. This erratic rain pattern has prompted the need for water reservoirs, now critical for the country's sustainability. Worldwide, freshwater cyanobacterial blooms are increasing in frequency due to global climate change and eutrophication of water bodies, particularly in reservoirs. To date there have been no risk assessments of cyanobacterial toxin production in these man-made structures. We evaluated this potential risk using 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing and full metagenome sequencing in freshwater reservoirs of Cape Verde.

Our analysis revealed the presence of several potentially toxic cyanobacterial genera in all sampled reservoirs (Poilão, Saquinho and Faveta). In Faveta *Microcystis* sp., a genus well known for toxin production and bloom-formation, dominated our samples, while a green algae of the genus *Cryptomonas* and *Gammaproteobacteria* dominated Saquinho and Poilão.

Taking advantage of the dominance of *Microcystis* in the Faveta reservoir, we were able to reconstruct and assemble its genome, extracted from a metagenome of bulk DNA from Faveta water. We named it *Microcystis* cf. *aeruginosa* CV01, for which a phylogenetic analysis revealed to have a close relationship with other genomes from those taxa, as well as other continental African strains, suggesting geographical coherency. In addition, it revealed several clusters of known toxin-producing genes.

This assessment of Cape Verdean freshwater microbial diversity and potential for

toxin production reinforces the need to better understand the microbial ecology as a

whole of water reservoirs on the rise.

Introduction

The available freshwater in the African archipelago of Cape Verde (DMS coordinates

15°07'12.51" N, 23°36'18.62" W) does not cover its needs. In addition,

overexploitation and saline intrusion can impair the quality of groundwater [1].

Several water storage structures are being planned around the country in order to

collect storm water needed to increase irrigated areas and modernize agriculture.

However, these waters can carry nutrients of natural and anthropogenic origin,

creating conditions for eutrophication and exponential growth of microalgae. These

algal blooms have deleterious impacts on public health, water quality, and

environmental issues, as well as economic costs due to bottom anoxia, release of

noxious products, and toxic metabolites [2,3]. Actually, these events are occurring

more frequently worldwide, and it is thought that global climatic changes are a

major contributor to this problem [4–6].

To evaluate the risk of occurrence of algal blooms in freshwater bodies it is

important to characterize their microbial composition. In non-eutrophic freshwater

systems, the most commonly abundant bacterial groups are, in order of decreasing

relative abundance, *Actinobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Proteobacteria* (Alpha, Beta and

Gamma clades), *Verrucomicrobia* and *Cyanobacteria* [7–12]. In contrast, eutrophic

waters contain microbial communities that include large numbers of cyanobacteria,

which are able to produce toxins and foul odors and discolor the water [13,14]. The

cyanobacterial phylum has many genera that produce toxins, also called cyanotoxins,

and in freshwater bodies, toxic and non-toxic strains can co-exist and dominate at

different times [15,16]. Poisoning with cyanotoxins occurs through consumption of

contaminated food or water, or during aquatic recreational activities, causing many

adverse symptoms like skin irritation, acute diarrhea, and liver and nervous tissue

damage, leading to severe health problems, or death in humans, cattle, domestic

animals and wildlife [6,13,17–19]. Hence, the risk of having toxin production

increases the need for monitoring plans to prevent toxin-related impairments and

costs.

Molecular-based methods combined with sequencing offer the ability to not only

identify possible toxin producers but also target species-specific toxins, validating the

presence or absence of toxin-related pathways. Nevertheless, DNA-based molecular

methods cannot predict if toxins are being produced and released to the

environment.

The leveraging of molecular methods provided by Next Generation Sequencing (NGS)

allows researchers to gain new insights into microbial community structure in

environment samples, identify new community members and discover potential

bioindicators of water quality.

To determine the current risk of toxin production in the Cape Verdean freshwater

reservoirs, we performed NGS analysis of 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequences to

identify microalgae and bacteria in the reservoirs. Our results show the presence of

several cyanobacterial genera well known to produce toxins in all reservoirs. We

were able to reconstruct, for the first time, the full genome of a potentially toxic

cyanobacterium from Cape Verde, based on the full metagenome sequencing data of

Faveta reservoir. Analysis of this genome revealed the presence of genetic

machinery used to synthesize cyanotoxins. The results of our biodiversity survey,

phylogenetic analysis, and genome reconstruction, lead us to conclude that toxin risk

is a reality and potential future threat in these reservoirs.

Materials and methods

Study sites and Sampling

This study was conducted on the Cape Verdean Island of Santiago (Fig 1). During

sampling, in February 2014, only Poilão (B1), Saquinho (B2) and Faveta (B3)

reservoirs had water while Figueira Gorda and Salineiro were empty at the tie of

sampling. Other contextual information on Santiago Island's reservoirs is

summarized in Table 1.

Fig 1. Map of Cape Verde and location of the reservoirs in Santiago Island sampled

in this study.

DMS coordinates of the reservoirs: 1-Poilão (15˚04'25.0''N, 23˚33'25.8''W), 2-

Saquinho (15˚08'11.3''N, 23˚42'27.7''W) and 3-Faveta (15˚05'54.5''N,

23˚37'24.1''W). 4-Figueira Gorda (15˚07'5.8''N, 23˚35'36.5''W) and 5-Salineiro

(14˚57'03.5''N, 23˚38'00.4''W) reservoirs where empty at the time of sampling.

Table 1. Characteristics of the studied reservoirs in February 2014 (Government of Cape Verde)

Reservoir	Location	Start of impoundment	Theoretical maximum volume (m^3)	At full capacity?
Poilão	São Lourenço dos Orgãos	2006	1,200,000	yes
Saquinho	Santa Catarina	2013	563,000	no
Faveta	São Salvador do Mundo	2013	536,565	yes
Figueira Gorda	Santa Cruz	2014	1,455,272	empty
Salineiro	Cidade Velha	2013	561,464	empty

112   Triplicate water samples were collected from 0.5 to 1 m depth at each study site in

113   the same sampling area, using a five-liter bucket. Microbial cells were concentrated

114   by filtering the five liters of water through 0.22 µL Sterivex-GV filters (Millipore,

115   Billerica, MA, USA) by vacuum filtration. Filters where kept on ice and in the dark

116   while transported to the lab for DNA extraction.

DNA extraction

119   Genomic DNA was extracted from the individual filters using PowerWater Sterivex

120   DNA Isolation Kit (MO BIO, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. The amount

121   of the DNA extracted was later quantified using NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer

122   (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA) measuring the UV absorption at 260

123   nm and 280 nm wavelengths.

Bacterial diversity: 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing

To assess the bacterial diversity in the Cape Verdean reservoirs, we used the 16S

rRNA gene as a marker for biodiversity.

The extracted environmental DNA was amplified using primers targeting the V3 and

V4 hypervariable regions of the 16S rRNA gene. PCR amplification of the 464 bp

fragments was performed with the general bacterial primer pair 341F/785R [20].

The purified DNA was sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform using a 250 bp

paired-end DNA library to generate at least 100,000 reads per sample. 16S ribosomal

RNA gene amplicon sequencing was performed by Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência

(IGC) Gene Expression Facility.

Taxonomic composition and identification of potentially toxic genus/species

We used the QIIME v1.9.1 workflow [21] for demultiplexing, removing barcodes,

quality filtering, clustering, and taxonomy assignment of the reads. Briefly, merging

of the paired-end reads was done with a defined minimum overlap of 8 bp and a

maximum difference of 20%, followed by quality filtering of the reads using default

parameters [22], for each reservoir. Sequences were then clustered at a 97% identity

threshold and all clusters with less than 4 sequences were removed thus reducing

noisy reads. For each cluster a reference sequence was chosen (the first) and by

comparison with Greengenes v13_8 [23], using UCLUST algorithm [24] with default

parameters into Operational Taxonomic Units - OTUs. Taxonomy assignments were

done with RDP Classifier v2.2 [25]. To assess the consistency of the sampling and the

biodiversity present in each sampling site, diversity indices where calculated:

number of Observed species, Shannon's index and Simpson's Dominance index [26].

151 Graphical representation of the microbial communities'
152 structure detected in the reservoirs

153 Phyloseq package [27] in RStudio 1.1.419 [28] was used to perform a graphical

154 representation of the structure of the microbial community in each of the sampled

155 sites. A complete assignment of the more abundant bacterial genera in our samples,

156 was generated by Kraken running the full Bacterial Genbank Reference sequences

157 [29], excluding the sequences assigned previously as chloroplasts by QIIME.

159 Sequencing and assembly of the *Microcystis* cf. *aeruginosa*
160 CV01 genome

A whole metagenome sequencing of Faveta reservoir was performed using Illumina

MiSeq v3 kit with a 200x coverage. The DNA libraries were created using Nextera

DNA Library Preparation Kit, and sequencing was executed by IGC's Gene Expression

Facility.

The sequenced reads were assembled with SPAdes v3.6.0 [30] using single-cell

mode, and k-mers of 21, 33, 55, 77, 99 and 127. The GC content of the assembled

metagenome from Faveta reservoir revealed two distinct contributions: one clearly

in the range of the GC content typical of *Microcystis aeruginosa* (42-43%) [31] and

the other peak, at approximately 63% for other contributors present in the sample,

such as several GC rich strains of *Gamma, Alpha,* and *Betaproteobacteria* (S1 Fig).

The peak with lower GC was in the range of our target species and most of its reads

have high coverage numbers since they belong to the numerically most abundant

species. Two different approaches were used to isolate this genomic contribution:

one using CONCOCT v0.41 [32] a binning tool that operates based on sequence

coverage and composition, and another exploring the high coverage percentage of a

single genomic contribution by assembling reads from the raw sequencing data that

had coverage above 60x. In both methods, short sequences were filtered out (length

< 1,000 bp). Taxonomy of CONCOCT bins was then determined by Kraken [29] using

the default Minikraken database. BWA 0.7.16 (33) and Samtools 1.3 [34] were used

to map the reads back to isolated genomes while CheckM [35] was used to get

metrics on the quality of the isolation method.

Genome annotation and general features

We used QUAST [36] to determine the statistics of the assembly of the *M.* cf.

aeruginosa CV01 genome. Annotation of the genome was done with PROKKA v1.11

[37] and RAST v2.0 [38] using default parameters to identify putative genes (coding

and non-coding sequences). Protein function prediction and annotation of the

predicted genes was done against KEGG orthologs (KOs) [39] and clusters of

orthologs of proteins with Blast2GO [40] and eggNOG v4.5 [41]. Identification of

CRISPR repeats, typical in *Cyanobacteria* and in the *Microcystis* genus, was

performed with the web server CRISPRFinder [42] and Recognition Tool CRT v1.1

[43], considering a minimum of 3 repeat units. The prediction of transmembrane

topology and signal peptide sites was done using Phobius [44] and SignalP v4.1 [45].

Prediction of transmembrane helices in proteins was accomplished using TMHMM

v2.0 [46], prediction of ribosomal RNA subunits was done with RNAmmer v1.2 [47],

while tRNA and tmRNA genes prediction was done using ARAGORN v1.2.36 [48]. To

evaluate the presence and possible origin of prophage sequences, identification and

annotation of these sequences were performed using PHASTER [49].

Detection of toxin genes in *M.* cf. *aeruginosa* CV01

To identify the presence of toxin genes in *M.* cf. *aeruginosa* CV01, we searched the

genome to identify protein domains common in toxin gene clusters with Pfam v29.0

[50]. Next, we compared these sequences in our genome with putative toxin

synthase genes like microcystin, nodularin, cylindrospermopsin, anatoxin-a,

saxitoxin, microviridin, aeruginosin and micropeptin, which are known to be present

in cyanobacteria. We used the online tool antiSMASH v3.0 [51] to detect the

presence of non-ribosomal peptide synthase (NRPS) and/or polyketide synthase

(PKS) gene clusters and other domains of natural products typical of cyanobacterial

metabolites. Next, by manually curating the sequences that we identified as being

part of toxin synthesis process, we reconstructed the gene clusters ensuring the

overlap of contig ends.

M. cf. *aeruginosa* CV01 phylogenetic analysis

To reconstruct the phylogenetic relationships of *Microcystis* strains we inferred a

species tree using a set of 12 publicly available fully sequenced genomes. The species

tree was constructed from a concatenation of DNA sequences from a set of seven

single copy housekeeping genes present in all genomes (*ftsZ*, *glnA*, *gltX*, *gyrB*, *pgi*,

recA and *tpi*), using maximum likelihood phylogenies to infer the genetic variation of

the 12 genomes. Gene sequences were separately aligned with MAFFT v.7.220 [52]

and trimmed using Gblocks v0.91b [53,54]. To infer ML phylogenies we used RaxML

v8.1.20 [55], to compute and support the trees, by calculating 1000 non-parametric

bootstraps using GAMMA distribution and GTR+I+G model, model that was

previously estimated using Prottest [56]. Bayesian phylogenies were inferred with

MrBayes v3.2 [57] for 1 million generations using the same model and a discarded

burn-in rate of 25% of the initial generations. To investigate the relationship

between *M.* cf. *aeruginosa* CV01 and other continental African, tropical, and

temperate climate strains, we used the phycocyanin alpha subunit and phycocyanin

beta subunit (cpcA-cpcB) intergenic space region of the phycocyanin gene cluster

(PC-IGS) for a set of publicly available nucleic sequences from *Microcystis* strains

with worldwide distribution. Trees were computed using RaxML (1,000 non-

parametric bootstraps). Bayesian phylogenies were inferred with MrBayes for 3

million generations. Phylogenies were inferred under a GAMMA distribution and

GTR model.

Results

Diversity of the microbial communities in the reservoirs

We profiled the bacterial biodiversity in three reservoirs of the island of Santiago using 16S rRNA gene amplicon next generation sequencing. This marker gene is universal in bacteria and it is also present in chloroplasts of eukaryotes, which allowed us to detect both bacteria and eukaryotic phytoplankton. For Poilão reservoir we obtained 804,043 reads (two replicates), for Saquinho 1,271,923 reads (three replicates) and Faveta 1,354,273 reads (three replicates), which resulted in 315,291, 437,486 and 425,806 16S rRNA reads for Poilão, Saquinho, and Faveta, respectively.

Regarding taxonomic distribution, Poilão had the highest number of operational taxonomic units (OTUs) with 1,356 OTUs, followed by Saquinho with 966 and Faveta with 950 different OTUs. The OTUs identified in Poilão reservoir represented 39 phyla and included 1.5 % of unassigned sequences. *Proteobacteria* was the numerically most abundant phylum with 76% of all OTUs detected, of which 69.7% were *Gammaproteobacteria,* dominated by the genus *Acinetobacter* (51.6%). The second most abundant phylum was *Bacteroidetes*, representing 4.4% of the OTUs, *Betaproteobacteria* accounted for 3.0% and *Actinobacteria* 2.3%. Cyanobacteria in Poilão, which represented 3.5%, are mainly represented by the *Phormidium* genus accounting for 2.5% of the OTUs. In Poilão reservoir, sequences assigned to green algae (derived from chloroplasts) were 7.5% of the OTUs and approximately equal

amounts of *Chlorophyta* and stramenopiles. Main results regarding the composition

of microbial communities are shown in Fig 2.

Fig 2. Composition of the microbe communities surveyed in Cape Verde. (A-B)

Composition of the microbial communities detected in the three sampled Cape

Verdean freshwater reservoirs: Poilão-B1, Saquinho-B2 and Faveta-B3. (C)

Cyanobacteria communities detected in the surveyed reservoirs.

In the Saquinho reservoir, 37 phyla were detected and 0.5% of unassigned

sequences. The *Proteobacteria* represented 20% of the OTUs, *Bacteroidetes* 5.4%,

Actinobacteria accounted for 4.1%, and *Verrucomicrobia* 2.4%. *Cyanobacteria* in this

reservoir represented only 0.6% of the OTUs, the lowest percentage of the three

sampled reservoirs. This site had the highest number of OTUs associated with green

algae (63%), distributed between the *Cryptophyta* with 62% and stramenopiles with

1% of the identified OTUs (Fig 2A). Blastn of the cryptophyte 16S rRNA sequence

revealed a hit for *Cryptomonas curvata* plastid partial ribosomal RNA operon (strain

CCAC 0006) with 97% identity.

Faveta reservoir had the lowest number of phyla, accounting for 29 distinct phyla

and 1.1% of the sequences classified as unknown. The most abundant phylum was

Cyanobacteria with 58.6% of the OTUs and included two genera known to harbor

toxic species: *Microcystis* accounted for 55.7% and *Planktothrix* 2.8% (Fig 2B-C).

Proteobacteria was the second most abundant phylum with 18.6% assignments;

Bacteroidetes was next with 7.0% and *Actinobacteria* third with 5.8%: The green

algae at this site represented 5.1% of the identified OTUs.

In summary, our survey revealed distinct microbial community structures of the

three sampled reservoirs, which is confirmed by the beta diversity analysis in Fig 3D.

Fig 3. – Biodiversity indices per replicate and average. (A) Number of total observed

species, (B) Shannon, (C) Dominance and (D) Principal Coordinates Analysis of Beta

diversity by weighted Unifrac. Levels of significance: *p < 0.05. Poilão (D1); Saquinho

(D2); Faveta (D3).

292 Toxin producing genera/species

We identified several cyanobacterial genera in the three sampled reservoirs, some of

which are known from the literature to produce toxins. In Faveta reservoir we

identified the presence of cyanobacteria from the *Microcystis* and *Planktothrix*

genera, reported to produce cyanotoxins, microcystin and anatoxin-a, as well as

other potentially toxic molecules like chlorinated and sulfated variants of

aeruginosin, cyanopetolin, microginin, and microviridin [58–60]. Poilão reservoir had

smaller relative quantities of cyanobacteria, but more genera were identified (Fig 2

and S2 Fig) some of which were potentially toxic like, *Microcystis, Phormidium,*

Planktothrix and *Cylindrospermopsis.* These cyanobacteria are known to produce

microcystin, homo and anatoxin-a, saxitoxin, and cylindrospermopsin, as well as

other metabolites with different levels of toxicity. In Saquinho reservoir the relative

quantity of cyanobacteria was the lowest (0.6%), but even so the most represented

genus was the potentially toxic *Microcystis*.

M. cf. *aeruginosa* CV01 genome

CONCOCT produced 23 bins, including a bin containing an assembly of *M. aeruginosa*

with a 4.7 Mbp genome. According to CheckM with a completeness level of 98.79%

with a total of 75.6% of the reads mapping back to its contigs. The SPADES

assembled genome was 4.9 Mbp with a completeness level of 99.8% with 84% of the

reads mapping back to contig reads from the sequencing effort (S3 Fig and S1 Table).

The SPADES assembly was chosen due to its estimated completeness level and total

genome size (plotted in a graphical representation in Fig 4), distributed over 262

contigs with length over 1,000 bp and an average GC content of 42.3%. From a total

of 5,484 genes annotated, we identified 45 RNA genes, of which 42 were tRNA

genes, one tmRNA, and one rRNA set (5S/16S/23S), as well as 5051 protein-coding

genes and predicted functions for 91% of all genes (Blast2GO). Considering the

importance of metabolite transport and transduction of signals through membranes

in cyanobacteria, we also searched for and identified 112 genes with signal peptides

and 3,443 genes with transmembrane domains. Major statistical attributes of *M.* cf.

aeruginosa CV01 genome are described in Table 2.

Fig 4. Representation of the genome of *M*. cf. *aeruginosa* CV01, sequenced from

the environmental sample of Faveta reservoir. (A) The shades of blue in the circle

are indicative of pairwise genomic sequence similarity according to blastn, using as

reference the genome of *M. aeruginosa* NIES-843. The location and structure of

three gene clusters of potentially toxin-producing secondary metabolites are

represented. (B) The histogram represents the GC content of the assembled *M*. cf.

aeruginosa CV01 genome.

Table 2. Genome statistics for *M*. cf. *aeruginosa* CV01.

Attribute	Value	% of total
Genome size (bp)	4,918,369	100.0
DNA coding (bp)	3,900,077	79.3
DNA G+C (bp)	2,080,470	42.3
DNA scaffolds	262	-
Total genes	5,484	100.0
Protein coding genes	5,051	92.1
RNA genes	45	0.8
Genes with function prediction	4,996	91.1
Genes assigned to COGs	4,166	76.0
Genes with Pfam domains	3,407	62.1
Genes with signal peptides	112	2.0
Genes with transmembrane helices	3,443	62.8
CRISPR repeats	9	-

Four partial prophage regions were detected in *M.* cf. *aeruginosa* CV01. The first

region, with GC content 45.3%, is 9.4 Kb, encodes for 8 proteins and harbors protein

coding sequences (CDS) from a previously described infecting phage, P-TIM68,

usually associated with *Prochlorococcus* Myoviridae virus that contains photosystem

I gene sequences [61] and transposase sequences. A second partial phage region

with 12 CDS and GC content of 43.0% spans over 11.8 Kb and contains phage

sequences from the *Microcystis* infecting Myoviridae phage MaMv-DC [62].

Sequences of this phage and of phage Ma-LMM01 [63] are present in a third region

with 7.1 Kb in length, 40.4% GC content and 8 CDS. Finally, the forth prophage region

of 5.8 Kb in length, coding for 9 proteins and GC content of 40.6% was detected, and

CDS from a ssDNA marine virus reported to infect *Synechococcus* [64] were

identified. Regarding CRISPR arrays, the defense mechanism of *Cyanobacteria*

[65,66] we detected eight CRISPR repetitive units, varying from 0.3Kb and 11.8Kb in

length, with direct repeat lengths from 35 to 38 bp. The CRISPR regions and the

prophage were not overlapping but two clusters of CRISPR direct repeats (DR) were

identical to the *Microcystis* phage Ma-LMM01 portion, a memory mechanism to

their introduction into the CRISPR locus, providing immunity to further infection by

that phage. Other characteristics of the *M.* cf. *aeruginosa* CV01 genome, such as

KEGG orthologs and COG functional categories, are summarized in S2-S3 Tables.

Phylogenetic analysis

Our phylogenetic analysis using seven cyanobacterial genes, as described previously

in Materials and methods, identified the dominant cyanobacterium in Faveta

reservoir as a *M. aeruginosa* strain, which we named *M.* cf. *aeruginosa* CV01 (Fig

5A). *M.* cf. *aeruginosa* CV01 is placed close to two strains collected in African water

bodies: *M. aeruginosa* PCC 9443 collected from a fishpond in Landjica, Central

African Republic and *M. aeruginosa* PCC 9807 collected in Hartbeespoort Dam in

Pretoria, South Africa (Pasteur Culture Collection of Cyanobacteria). Phylogenetic

studies using the PC-IGS intergenic spacer region confirmed the clustering of *M.* cf.

aeruginosa CV01 with other continental African strains, as shown in Fig 5B, in a

branch that contains both microcystin producers and non-producers collected from

Ugandan and Kenyan water bodies [67].

Fig 5. Phylogeny of the Cape Verdean strain *M.* cf. *aeruginosa* CV01. (A) represents

the location of *M.* cf. *aeruginosa* CV01 sequenced in this study among a set of fully

sequenced genomes of *Microcystis*. The phylogenetic tree was inferred with

MrBayes for 1 million generations, using a GAMMA distribution and GTR substitution

matrix for a set of 7 housekeeping genes (*ftsZ*, *glnA*, *gltX*, *gyrB*, *pgi*, *recA* and *tpi*).

Dots represent posterior probability values higher than 85. (B) A phylogenetic tree of

69 *Microcystis* strains from all over the world locating the Cape Verdean strain

among other continental African *M. aeruginosa* strains. ML phylogenies were

inferred with RaxML with 1,000 bootstraps and Bayesian phylogenies were inferred

with MrBayes for 3 million generations, using a GAMMA distribution and GTR

substitution matrix for the intergenic spacer region of the phycocyanin cluster PC-IGS

region of 69 globally distributed *Microcystis* strains. Dots represent posterior

probability values higher than 75. The African strains are represented in blue in (A)

and (B).

Toxin genes and toxic species

In order to identify the risk of production of toxic cyanobacterial metabolites, we

searched the *M.* cf. *aeruginosa* CV01 genome for non-ribosomal peptide synthases

(NRPS) and NRPS/polyketyde synthases (PKS) hybrid gene clusters.

Genes that contribute to the synthesis of aeruginosin (NRPS/PKS/saccharide),

cyanopeptolin (micropeptin) and microviridin molecules were detected. Aeruginosin

and cyanopeptolin gene clusters were present and were non-halogenated (*aerJ* and

mcnD genes where not detected) (Fig 4). Regarding microcystin gene clusters, some

genes were present, nevertheless the full gene cluster was not represented.

Putative genes for the synthesis of cyanopetide metabolites like spumigin

(aeruginosin), aeruginoside (aeruginosin), ambiguine (terpene-alkaloid), and

piricyclamide (post-translational modified peptides) were also detected, but not

their complete gene clusters. Finally, one unknown NRPS/PKS gene cluster was

identified, showing the potential to produce peptide molecules that are yet

unknown.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers

402 The nucleotide sequence data are available at DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under the

403 accession number SUB2733390.

Discussion

The studied reservoirs show three distinct microbial/microalgae community profiles,

despite being located on the same island and in a radius of 15 kilometers from each

other: in two sites, bacteria were dominant (*Proteobacteria* and *Cyanobacteria*) and

in the other reservoir microalgae belonging to the cryptophytes were the most

abundant taxa. The dominant species from one of the reservoirs was identified as a

M. aeruginosa strain through phylogenetic studies, placing it closer to other strains

collected in continental Africa. *Microcystis* spp. were detected in all three reservoirs,

as well as other cyanobacteria known to bloom and produce cyanotoxins. Our

analysis of the assembled *M.* cf. *aeruginosa* CV01 genome revealed that it can

produce toxins and therefore, a potential risk of toxin production can exist in Cape

Verdean reservoirs.

Our microbial biodiversity survey revealed distinct community structures in the three

reservoirs (Fig 3D). In fact, *Proteobacteria* dominated Poilão reservoir, mainly

bacteria from the *Acinetobacter* genus, while in Saquinho reservoir microalgae from

the *Cryptomonas* genus were dominant, and in Faveta reservoir the cyanobacterial

strain *M.* cf. *aeruginosa* CV01 was the most abundant. Despite being located on the

same island and having common microbial groups, we found that each phyla's

quantitative distribution varied substantially between reservoirs (Fig 2A).

Nevertheless, the profiles from the reservoirs identify groups that are common in

other water bodies studied worldwide despite the differences in relative quantities

as is the case of *Cyanobacteria*, *Cryptophyta*, *Actinobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*,

Verrucomicrobia, and the three clades of *Proteobacteria* (Alpha, Beta and Gamma)

[14,68]. Actually the microbial profile of Poilão reservoir resembles those of lakes

close to urban areas, where *Acinetobacter* is the dominant genus [69].

In each reservoir, one genus prevailed with a relative abundance above 50%: in

Poilão was this was *Acinetobacter*, in Saquinho it was *Cryptomonas,* and in Faveta

the *Microcystis* genus.

The analysis of the local diversity indices of the replicates from each reservoir

revealed consistency and reinforced the sites' distinct microbial communities (Fig

3A-C). The indices also showed that microbial communities presented different

dominant genera in each of the reservoirs, as well as abundance of different taxa in

all sites as typically found in freshwater bodies around the world [7–10,12].

Besides the operational starting date differences between the reservoirs (Table 1)

and no physical communication between lakes, abiotic factors specific for each site

might be involved in the dominance variations within the microbe communities.

The dominance of one cyanobacterial strain in Faveta, allowed us to assemble and

fully study its genome, and to identify genes, allowing the reconstruction of toxin

pathways and assessing the toxin risk inherent in this specific strain. Exploration of

the assembled genome also revealed genomic features in common with other *M.*

aeruginosa genomes (Table 2). Some phage genes were found integrated in the

genome of *M. cf. aeruginosa*. Myoviridae "photosynthetic" freshwater cyanophages

(Ma-LMM01 and MaMV-DC) were also found. These genes are thought to play an

important role during phage infection by supplementing the host with the

production of photosynthesis proteins, a process that can be also beneficial to the

host during the infection process as suggested by some authors [61,70,71]. These

horizontal gene transfer events are shaping the genome architecture of the

Microcystis genus, providing a supplementary advantage that can be important

during cyanobacteria blooms. A region containing chlorophyll a apoproteins A1 and

A2 synthesis genes was also identified, but since these are single copy genes located

near transposase sequences in this new genome, they were probably misidentified

as having phage origin.

We identified four NRPS/PKS gene clusters that could synthesize potentially toxic

metabolites: three well-known metabolites (aeruginosin, cyanopeptolin, and

microviridin, represented in Fig 4A) and another metabolite from one yet unknown

gene cluster. The real toxic potential of these metabolites is difficult to determine, as

the actual synthesis pathways are not fully known, so further toxicological screenings

need to be performed.

Phylogenetic markers placed the Cape Verdean strain among others from freshwater

bodies from Africa, albeit Cape Verde being a distant archipelago from the

continental Africa. The identification in all reservoirs of other cyanobacterial genera

known to be toxin producers like *Phormidium, Planktothrix, and Cylindrospermopsis*,

increases the potential risk of toxin production. Other studies in African water bodies

have identified these and other potentially cyanotoxin producers, raising the

possibility of future occurrence of other cyanobacterial genera in Cape Verdean

freshwater reservoirs.

Cyanobacterial blooms occur in freshwater reservoirs distributed worldwide where

M. aeruginosa is one of the most frequently detected species. Actually, many long-

term studies have reported toxic blooms in lakes and rivers from Kenya, Uganda,

Senegal, Morocco, and South Africa [67,72–77], often dominated by *M. aeruginosa,*

as we also detected in the island of Santiago. Moreover a recent review on the

occurrence of cyanobacterial blooms in Africa [78] shows that there is limited

information from western African countries, including Cape Verde, exposing the

need for further studies in countries were water quality is threatened and scarce.

Therefore, our study increases the available information on cyanobacterial

communities described for the western African region. The scarcity of renewable

freshwater resources of archipelagic states like Cape Verde is aggravated by the

terrain that favors torrential water flows and strong anthropogenic pressures on the

environment leading to eutrophication of its freshwater bodies and increased risk of

toxic algal blooms.

The main threat and concern from our analysis, was the identification of a bacterial

community dominated by *M.* cf. *aeruginosa* CV01, which signals the possibility of

toxic blooms in Cape Verdean reservoirs, since exponential growth is typical of this

species.

The occurrence of blooms and toxin production are potential life-threatening risks to

public health, so monitoring plans are very important. The costs involved in these

control and containment strategies can be prohibitive especially for low and middle-

income countries. Hence, approaches like the one proposed in this work, which

enabled the identification of potentially toxic cyanobacterial genera through 16S

rRNA gene markers could be an interesting alternative, without the time-consuming

and expertise-dependent microscope identification of toxin-producing organisms or

mass spectrometry-base identification of toxins. NGS is still not widely available but

DNA sampling kits are easy to use and can be sent to sequencing facilities at cost-

effective prices. Other more sophisticated technics are possible such as lab on a chip,

mass spectrometry or even portable NGS devices, that can be adapted to use our

workflow in the field, but if a simple molecular lab is available, a PCR assay could also

be efficient to detect the presence of specific putative cyanotoxin genes. These

strategies can alert authorities and populations before bloom formation and toxin

production.

Cyanobacteria are currently being developed and used for bio-production of

metabolites and biomass in algal farms, for example in the production of human

dietary supplements, fertilizers, animal feed or biodiesel, to name a few uses [79–

83]. Cape Verde has little land suitable for agriculture, but it has temperature and

light conditions that are speculated to be suitable for simple bioreactors for biomass

production using photosynthetic microorganisms like green algae or cyanobacteria.

The observation of spontaneous blooms of cyanobacteria in freshwater reservoirs

lends credibility to this hypothesis, and opens the way for a new productive industry

in the archipelago.

The present work identifies the existence of real risk for cyanotoxin production in

Cape Verdean freshwater reservoirs. Similar structures are planned, which will also

need to be studied and monitored. Future work should include studies on the

dynamics of the local microbial communities, as well as characterize how

environmental factors are affecting their organization, in order to predict and

control the impacts of water impairment and toxin production on public health and

on the economy. In this study we made use of many freely available open source

tools, which represent, to our knowledge, innovative research strategies in Cape

Verde. This study will open the way for further research on microbial biodiversity

and other genomic studies in the archipelago, and raise questions relevant for

different areas of research and application.

Aknowledgements

We wish to thank Dr. W. Szymaniak for help in accessing the sample sites and the

Gene Expression Facility from Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência for all the technical

support. Ana P. Semedo-Aguiar was recipient of fellowship

SFRH/BD/113752/2015 from the Portuguese Science and Technology Foundation

(FCT), under the auspices of the Programa de Pós-Graduação Ciência para o

Desenvolvimento (PGCD) and RBL was recipient of fellowship

SFRH/BPD/91518/2012 from FCT.

Author Contributions

537 Conceived and designed the experiments: APSA JBPL RBL. Performed the

538 experiments: APSA RBL. Analyzed the data: APSA RBL. Contributed

539 reagents/materials/analysis tools: JBPL RBL. Wrote the paper: APSA JBPL RBL.

References

1. Lobo de Pina A. Hidroquímica e qualidade das águas subterrâneas da ilha de Santiago - Cabo Verde. Universidade de Aveiro;2009.

2. Dodds WK, Bouska WW, Eitzmann JL, Pilger TJ, Pitts KL, Riley AJ, et al. Eutrophication of U.S. freshwaters: analysis of potential economic damages. Environ Sci Technol. 2009;43(1):12–9.

3. Heisler J, Glibert PM, Burkholder JM, Anderson DM, Cochlan W, Dennison WC, et al. Eutrophication and harmful algal blooms: A scientific consensus. Harmful Algae. 2008;8(1):3–13.

4. Paerl HW, Paul VJ. Climate change: Links to global expansion of harmful cyanobacteria. Water Res. 2012;46(5):1349–63.

5. Paerl HW, Scott JT. Throwing fuel on the fire: Synergistic effects of excessive nitrogen inputs and global warming on harmful algal blooms. Environ Sci Technol. 2010;44(20):7756–8.

6. O'Neil JM, Davis TW, Burford MA, Gobler CJ. The rise of harmful cyanobacteria blooms: The potential roles of eutrophication and climate change. Harmful Algae. 2012;14:313–34.

7. Jones SE, Newton RJ, McMahon KD. Evidence for structuring of bacterial community composition by organic carbon source in temperate lakes. Environ Microbiol. 2009;11(9):2463–72.

8. Newton RJ, Jones SE, Eiler A, McMahon KD, Bertilsson S. A Guide to the Natural History of Freshwater Lake Bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2011;75(1):14–49.

9. Oh S, Caro-Quintero A, Tsementzi D, DeLeon-Rodriguez N, Luo C, Poretsky R,

et al. Metagenomic insights into the evolution, function, and complexity of the

planktonic microbial community of Lake Lanier, a temperate freshwater

ecosystem. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011;77(17):6000–11.

10. Eiler A, Drakare S, Bertilsson S, Pernthaler J, Peura S, Rofner C, et al. Unveiling

Distribution Patterns of Freshwater Phytoplankton by a Next Generation

Sequencing Based Approach. PLoS One. 2013;8(1):1–10.

11. Yan Q, Bi Y, Deng Y, He Z, Wu L, Van Nostrand JD, et al. Impacts of the Three

Gorges Dam on microbial structure and potential function. Nat Sci Reports.

2015;5(8605):1–9.

12. Eiler A, Bertilsson S. Flavobacteria blooms in four eutrophic lakes: Linking

population dynamics of freshwater bacterioplankton to resource availability.

Appl Environ Microbiol. 2007;73(11):3511–8.

13. Kosten S, Huszar VLM, Bécares E, Costa LS, van Donk E, Hansson LA, et al.

Warmer climates boost cyanobacterial dominance in shallow lakes. Glob

Chang Biol. 2012;18(1):118–26.

14. Eiler A, Bertilsson S. Composition of freshwater bacterial communities

associated with cyanobacterial blooms in four Swedish lakes. Environ

Microbiol. 2004;6(12):1228–43.

15. Conradie KR, Barnard S. The dynamics of toxic Microcystis strains and

microcystin production in two hypertrofic South African reservoirs. Harmful

Algae. 2012;20:1–10.

16. Davis TW, Berry DL, Boyer GL, Gobler CJ. The effects of temperature and

nutrients on the growth and dynamics of toxic and non-toxic strains of

Microcystis during cyanobacteria blooms. Harmful Algae. 2009;8(5):715–25.

17. Chorus I, Falconer IR, Salas HJ, Bartram J. Health risks caused by freshwater

cyanobacteria in recreational waters. J Toxicol Environ Heal Part B.

2000;3(4):323–47.

18. Paerl HW, Hall NS, Calandrino ES. Controlling harmful cyanobacterial blooms

in a world experiencing anthropogenic and climatic-induced change. Sci Total

Environ. 2011;409(10):1739–45.

19. Paerl HW, Huisman J. Blooms Like It Hot. Science. 2008;320(5872):57–8. doi:

10.1126/science.1155398 PMID: 18388279

20. Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, et al.

Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and

next-generation sequencing-based diversity studies. Nucleic Acids Res.

2013;41(1):e1–e1.

21. Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK,

et al. QIIME allows analysis of high- throughput community sequencing data.

Nat Methods. 2010;7(5):335–6.

22. Bokulich NA, Subramanian S, Faith JJ, Gevers D, Gordon I, Knight R, et al.

Quality-filtering vastly improves diversity estimates from Illumina amplicon

sequencing. Nat Methods. 2013;10(1):57–9.

23. McDonald D, Price MN, Goodrich J, Nawrocki EP, DeSantis TZ, Probst A, et al.

An improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for ecological and

evolutionary analyses of bacteria and archaea. ISME J. 2012;6(3):610–8.

24. Edgar RC. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST.

Bioinformatics. 2010;26(19):2460–1.

25. Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR. Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid

assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Appl Environ

Microbiol. 2007;73(16):5261–7.

26. Morris EK, Caruso T, Fischer M, Hancock C, Obermaier E, Prati D, et al.

Choosing and using diversity indices: insights for ecological applications from

the German Biodiversity Exploratories. Ecol Evol. 2014;4(18):3514–24.

27. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. Phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive

Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. PLoS One. 2013;8(4).

28. Team Rs. RStudio: Integrated Development for R. RStudio, Inc., Boston, MA;

2016.

29. Wood DE, Salzberg SL. Kraken: ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification

using exact alignments. Genome Biol. 2014;15(3):R46.

30. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich A a., Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, et al.

SPAdes: A New Genome Assembly Algorithm and Its Applications to Single-Cell

Sequencing. J Comput Biol. 2012;19(5):455–77.

31. Humbert J-F, Barbe V, Latifi A, Gugger M, Calteau A, Coursin T, et al. A tribute

to disorder in the genome of the bloom-forming freshwater cyanobacterium

Microcystis aeruginosa. PLoS One. 2013;8(8):e70747.

32. Alneberg J, Bjarnason BS, de Bruijn I, Schirmer M, Quick J, Ijaz UZ, et al.

Binning metagenomic contigs by coverage and composition. Nat Methods.

2014;11(11):1144–46.

33. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler

transform. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(14):1754–60.

34. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The Sequence

Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(16):2078–9.

35.   Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. CheckM :

assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates , single

cells , and metagenomes. 2015;1043–55.

36.   Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. QUAST: quality assessment tool for

genome assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2013;29(8):1072–5.

37.   Seemann T. Prokka: Rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics.

2014;30(14):2068–9.

38.   Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards R a, et al. The RAST

Server: rapid annotations using subsystems technology. BMC Genomics.

2008;9:75.

39.   Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Kawashima M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M. KEGG as a

reference resource for gene and protein annotation. Nucleic Acids Res.

2016;44(D1):D457–62.

40.   Conesa A, Goetz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, Talón M, Robles M. Blast2GO: A

universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics

research. Bioinformatics. 2005;21(18):3674–6.

41.   Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Forslund K, Cook H, Heller D, Walter MC, et al.

EGGNOG 4.5: A hierarchical orthology framework with improved functional

annotations for eukaryotic, prokaryotic and viral sequences. Nucleic Acids Res.

2016;44(D1):D286–93.

42.   Grissa I, Vergnaud G, Pourcel C. CRISPRFinder: a web tool to identify clustered

regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats. Nucleic Acids Res.

2007;35(Web Server):W52–7.

43.   Bland C, Ramsey TL, Sabree F, Lowe M, Brown K, Kyrpides NC, et al. CRISPR

663   Recognition Tool (CRT): a tool for automatic detection of clustered regularly

664   interspaced palindromic repeats. BMC Bioinformatics. 2007;8(1):209.

665   44.   Kall L, Krogh A, Sonnhammer ELL. Advantages of combined transmembrane

666   topology and signal peptide prediction--the Phobius web server. Nucleic Acids

667   Res. 2007;35(Web Server):W429–32.

668   45.   Petersen TN, Brunak S, von Heijne G, Nielsen H. SignalP 4.0: discriminating

669   signal peptides from transmembrane regions. Nat Methods. 2011;8(10):785–

670   6.

671   46.   Krogh a, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer E. Predicting transmembrane

672   protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete

673   genomes. J Mol Biol. 2001;305(3):567–80.

674   47.   Lagesen K, Hallin P, Rødland EA, Stærfeldt HH, Rognes T, Ussery DW.

675   RNAmmer: Consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes. Nucleic

676   Acids Res. 2007;35(9):3100–8.

677   48.   Laslett D, Canback B. ARAGORN, a program to detect tRNA genes and tmRNA

678   genes in nucleotide sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32(1):11–6.

679   49.   Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A, Liang Y, et al. PHASTER: a better,

680   faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. Nucleic Acids Res.

681   2016;44(W1):W16–21.

682   50.   Finn RD, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Mistry J, Mitchell AL, et al. The Pfam

683   protein families database: towards a more sustainable future. Nucleic Acids

684   Res. 2016;44(D1):D279–85.

51.   Weber T, Blin K, Duddela S, Krug D, Kim HU, Bruccoleri R, et al. antiSMASH 3.0-

-a comprehensive resource for the genome mining of biosynthetic gene

clusters. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43(Web server issue):W237–43.

52. Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version

7: Improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30(4):772–

80.

53. Castresana J. Selection of Conserved Blocks from Multiple Alignments for

Their Use in Phylogenetic Analysis. Mol Biol Evol. 2000;17(4):540–52.

54. Talavera G, Castresana J. Improvement of phylogenies after removing

divergent and ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments.

Syst Biol. 2007;56(4):564–77.

55. Stamatakis A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-

analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(9):1312–3.

56. Darriba D, Taboada GL, Posada D. ProtTest 3 : fast selection of best-fit models

of protein evolution. Bioinformatics. 2011;28(8):1164–5.

57. Ronquist F, Teslenko M, Van Der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, et al.

Mrbayes 3.2: Efficient bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice

across a large model space. Syst Biol. 2012;61(3):539–42.

58. Kohler E, Grundler V, Haussinger D, Kurmayer R, Gademann K, Pernthaler J, et

al. The toxicity and enzyme activity of a chlorine and sulfate containing

aeruginosin isolated from a non-microcystin-producing Planktothrix strain.

Harmful Algae. 2014;39:154–60.

59. Quiblier C, Wood S, Echenique Subiabre I, Heath M, Villeneuve A, Humbert J-F.

A review of current knowledge on toxic benthic freshwater cyanobacteria -

Ecology, toxin production and risk management. Water Res.

2013;47(15):5464–79.

60. Rounge TB, Rohrlack T, Nederbragt AJ, Kristensen T, Jakobsen KS. A genome-

wide analysis of nonribosomal peptide synthetase gene clusters and their

peptides in a Planktothrix rubescens strain. BMC Genomics. 2009;10:396.

61. Sharon I, Alperovitch A, Rohwer F, Haynes M, Glaser F, Atamna-Ismaeel N, et

al. Photosystem I gene cassettes are present in marine virus genomes. Nature.

2009;461(7261):258–62.

62. Ou T, Gao XC, Li SH, Zhang QY. Genome analysis and gene nblA identification

of microcystis aeruginosa myovirus (MaMV-DC) reveal the evidence for

horizontal gene transfer events between cyanomyovirus and host. J Gen Virol.

2015;96(12):3681–97.

63. Yoshida T, Nagasaki K, Takashima Y, Shirai Y, Tomaru Y, Takao Y, et al. Ma-

LMM01 infecting toxic Microcystis aeruginosa illuminates diverse cyanophage

genome strategies. J Bacteriol. 2008;190(5):1762–72.

64. Huang S, Wang K, Jiao N, Chen F. Genome sequences of siphoviruses infecting

marine Synechococcus unveil a diverse cyanophage group and extensive

phage–host genetic exchanges. Environ Microbiol. 2012;14(2):540–58.

65. Cai F, Axen SD, Kerfeld C a. Evidence for the widespread distribution of

CRISPR-Cas system in the Phylum Cyanobacteria. RNA Biol. 2013;10(5):687–

93.

66. Yang C, Lin F, Li Q, Li T, Zhao J. Comparative genomics reveals diversified

CRISPR-Cas systems of globally distributed Microcystis aeruginosa, a

freshwater bloom-forming cyanobacterium. Front Microbiol. 2015;6(394). doi:

10.3389/fmicb.2015.00394 PMID: 26029174

67. Haande S, Ballot A, Rohrlack T, Fastner J, Wiedner C, Edvardsen B. Diversity of

Microcystis aeruginosa isolates (Chroococcales, Cyanobacteria) from East-

African water bodies. Arch Microbiol. 2007;188(1):15–25.

68. Humbert J-F, Dorigo U, Cecchi P, Le Berre B, Debroas D, Bouvy M. Comparison

of the structure and composition of bacterial communities from temperate

and tropical freshwater ecosystems. Environ Microbiol. 2009;11(9):2339–50.

69. McLellan SL, Fisher JC, Newton RJ. The microbiome of urban waters. Int

Microbiol. 2015;18(3):141–9.

70. Lindell D, Jaffe JD, Johnson ZI, Church GM, Chisholm SW. Photosynthesis genes

in marine viruses yield proteins during host infection. Nature.

2005;438(7064):86–9.

71. Yoshida-Takashima Y, Yoshida M, Ogata H, Nagasaki K, Hiroishi S, Yoshida T.

Cyanophage Infection in the Bloom-Forming Cyanobacteria Microcystis

aeruginosa in Surface Freshwater. Microbes Environ. 2012;27(4):350–5.

72. Okello W, Portmann C, Erhard M, Gademann K, Kurmayer R. Occurrence of

microcystin-producing cyanobacteria in Ugandan freshwater habitats. Environ

Toxicol. 2010;25(4):367–80.

73. Thomazeau S, Houdan-Fourmont A, Couté A, Duval C, Couloux A, Rousseau F,

et al. The contribution of sub-saharan african strains to the phylogeny of

cyanobacteria: Focusing on the nostocaceae (nostocales, cyanobacteria). J

Phycol. 2010;46(3):564–79.

74. Haande S, Rohrlack T, Ballot A, Røberg K, Skulberg R, Beck M, et al. Genetic

characterisation of Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii (Nostocales, Cyanobacteria)

isolates from Africa and Europe. Harmful Algae. 2008;7(5):692–701.

75. Berger C, Ba N, Gugger M, Bouvy M, Rusconi F, Couté A, et al. Seasonal

dynamics and toxicity of Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii in Lake Guiers

(Senegal, West Africa). FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2006;57(3):355–66.

76. Douma M, Ouahid Y, Campo FF Del, Loudiki M, Mouhri K, Oudra B.

Identification and quantification of cyanobacterial toxins (microcystins) in two

Moroccan drinking-water reservoirs (Mansour Eddahbi, Almassira). Environ

Monit Assess. 2010;160(1–4):439–50.

77. Matthews M, Bernard S. Eutrophication and cyanobacteria in South Africa's

standing water bodies: A view from space. S Afr J Sci. 2015 May

28;111(5/6):1–8.

78. Ndlela LL, Oberholster PJ, Van Wyk JH, Cheng PH. An overview of

cyanobacterial bloom occurrences and research in Africa over the last decade.

Harmful Algae. 2016;60:11–26. doi: 10.1016/j.hal.2016.10.001

79. Englund E, Pattanaik B, Ubhayasekera SJK, Stensjö K, Bergquist J, Lindberg P.

Production of Squalene in Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803. Neilan B, editor. PLoS

One. 2014;9(3):e90270.

80. Zanchett G, Oliveira-Filho E. Cyanobacteria and Cyanotoxins: From Impacts on

Aquatic Ecosystems and Human Health to Anticarcinogenic Effects. Toxins

(Basel). 2013;5(10):1896–917.

81. Enzing C, Ploeg M, Barbosa M. Microalgae-based products for the food and

feed sector: an outlook for Europe. Luxembourg; 2014. doi: 10.2791/3339

82. Simas-Rodrigues C, Villela HDM, Martins AP, Marques LG, Colepicolo P, Tonon

AP. Microalgae for economic applications: advantages and perspectives for

bioethanol. J Exp Bot. 2015;66(14):4097–108.

83.   Brennan L, Owende P. Biofuels from microalgae—A review of technologies for

production, processing, and extractions of biofuels and co-products. Renew

Sustain Energy Rev. 2010;14(2):557–77.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. - The GC content of the raw reads and the final assembled metagenome from Faveta reservoir. GC content of the raw reads and of the assembled genomes in the histograms of panels A and B, respectively.

S2 Fig. – Rarefaction curves per number of reads for each reservoir sampled. Representation based in Chao1, Observed OTUs and Phylogenetic distance - PD whole tree of the 16sRNA gene amplicons of Illumina sequencing. D1-Poilão; D2-Saquinho; D3-Faveta.

S3 Fig. – Faveta reservoir. Degree of completeness and contamination of the *M. aeruginosa* bin detected using CONCOCT and assembly produced by SPADES (panel A), Graphical representation of a PCA of clusters based on composition and coverage (bin 19 in yellow). Left Panel C - Histogram of GC distribution of sequences within bin 19, Right Panel C - Deviation of the average of the entire assembly, x-axis represents GC and y-axis the size. Left Panel D - Histogram of Manhattan distance between tetranucleotide signature of bin 19 and the entire assembly, Right Panel D - Deviation of the average of the entire assembly, x axis represents GC and y axis the size.

S1 Online Fig. – Kraken analyses of taxon distribution in whole genome reads and 16S rRNA from Faveta reservoir.

Supplementary tables legends

S1 Table. Degree of completeness and contamination and percentage of mapped

reads

S2 Table. KEGG functional annotation of the predicted transcriptome of *Microcystis*

cf. *aeruginosa* CV01

S3 Table. COG functional categories of the predicted transcriptome of *Microcystis*

cf. *aeruginosa* CV01

A

Phylum Composition of Bacterial Communities

B

Genus Composition of the Bacterial Communities

★   The area represents the sum of Chloroplasts (7.5%, 63% and 5.1% per site) and *Cyanobacteria* (3.8%, 0.6% and 58.6% per site)
★★ *Actinobacteria*, *Burkolderiales* and *Rhodobacteriales* with no assigned genus.

C

		Cyanobacterial communities of the reservoirs		
		Poilão	Saquinho	Faveta
Total number of 16S rRNA sequences		315 291	437 486	452 806
Total *Cyanobacteria* (%)		3.8	0.6	58.6
Order	Genus		%	
Chroococcales	***Microcystis***	0.1	0.4	**55.7**
Oscillatoriales	*Planktothrix*	0.1	<0.1	2.8
Oscillatoriales	*Phormidium*	2.5	<0.1	nd
Oscillatoriales	na[1]	<0.1	0.1	0.1
Synechococcales	*Synechococcus*	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Chroococcales	na[2]	0.1	<0.1	nd
Pseudanabaenales	*Halomicronema*	0.1	nd	nd
Pseudanabaenales	*Leptolyngbya*	0.1	nd	nd
Oscillatoriales	*Planktothricoides*	0.1	nd	nd
Nostocales	*Cylindrospermopsis*	<0.1	nd	nd

nd: not detected; na[1]: genus not assigned, *Phormidiaceae* Family; na[2]: genus not assigned, *Xenococcaceae* Family.

Microcystis aeruginosa CV01

GC content
100% identity
80% identity
50% identity

Aeruginosin

A B choi G1 orf1 G2 M orf2 N

Cyanopeptolin

orf2-3 orf1 E C B A

Microviridin

C orf D A

GC content of
Microcystis aeruginosa CV01

Total GC/Total length
42,3%