Generation of functional human adipose tissue in mice from primed progenitor cells.

Short title: *Human adipose tissue generated in-vivo*

Raziel Rojas-Rodriguez[1,3], Jorge Lujan-Hernandez[2,3], So Yun Min[1,3], Tiffany DeSouza[1,3], Patrick Teebagy[2], Anand Desai[1], Heather Tessier[2], Robert Slamin[2], Leah Siegel-Reamer[2], Cara Berg[1], Angel Baez[2], Janice Lalikos[2,4] and Silvia Corvera[1,4]

[1]Program in Molecular Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, 373 Plantation Street, Worcester MA 016581
[2]Department of Surgery, University of Massachusetts Medical School and UMASS Memorial Medical Center, 281 Lincoln Street, Worcester, MA 01605
[3]Equal contributions
[4]Co-senior authors

Corresponding Author: Silvia Corvera, Program in Molecular Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, 373 Plantation Street, Worcester MA 016581, 508-856-6898, silvia.corvera@umassmed.edu

Abstract

Adipose tissue is used extensively in reconstructive and regenerative therapies, but transplanted fat often undergoes inflammation and cell death, requiring further revision surgery. We report that functional human adipose tissue can be generated from mesenchymal progenitor cells in-vivo, providing an alternative approach to its therapeutic use. We leveraged previous findings that progenitor cells within the vasculature of human adipose tissue robustly proliferate in 3-dimensional culture under pro-angiogenic conditions. Implantation of these progenitor cells into immunocompromised mice results in differentiation towards non-adipocyte fates, incapable of generating a distinct tissue structure. However, priming of these progenitor cells in-vitro towards adipogenic differentiation results in formation of functional adipose tissue in-vivo. Mechanistically, priming induces the expression of genes encoding specific extracellular matrix and remodeling proteins, and induces extensive vascularization by host blood vessels. In comparison, grafts from adipose tissue obtained by liposuction undergo poor vascularization, adipocyte death, cyst formation, calcification and inefficient adiponectin secretion. Thus, primed mesenchymal adipose tissue progenitors reveal mechanisms of human adipose tissue development, and have potential to improve outcomes in reconstructive and regenerative medicine.

Key words: ADSC/ adiponectin/collagen / fat grafting/tissue engineering

Introduction

Adipose tissue (AT) is unique in its capacity to greatly expand as a function of nutrient availability and consumption. Indeed, adipose tissue can expand to comprise up to 70% of human body mass(1). The capacity of AT to grow and promote angiogenesis has fueled the use of fat grafting in numerous medical contexts. (2-6). In some examples, AT is used for volume restoration after surgery for breast cancer (7), for soft tissue reconstruction in acquired or congenital malformations (8, 9) and to fill defects such as cranial fractures or fistulas in cranial neurosurgery (10-12). In the context of regeneration, mesenchymal cells from adipose tissue have been used for numerous purposes including cardiomyocyte (13), bowel (14), tendon and bone regeneration (15). In the setting of volume restoration, reabsorption and necrosis of grafted fat are a frequent complication (16, 17), resulting in the need for repeated surgical procedures in > 25% of cases. However, reabsorption and necrosis of grafted fat are a frequent complication (16, 17), resulting in repeated surgical procedures in > 25% of cases. While empirical methods to improve fat grafting outcomes have been identified (18), a further understanding of the cellular and molecular mechanisms of adult human adipose tissue development are required to fully leverage its therapeutic potential.

Human adult AT is enriched in mesenchymal stem cells (ADSCs) which are capable of differentiation toward the adipogenic, myogenic, chondrogenic and osteogenic lineages (19-21). ADSCs may underlie the capacity of AT to expand, and play an important role in the outcome of AT grafting. Indeed, in some studies supplementation of engrafted AT with cells from the stromovascular fraction (SVF), which contains ADSCs, displayed significant improvements in volume retention (22), and controlled clinical trials support a specific role for ADSCs in graft improvement (23). The mechanisms by which ADSCs

improve grafting are unclear, but they could function by providing new adipocytes or their vascular support (24, 25). ADSCs are obtained from the SVF of adipose tissue by a procedure that entails enzymatic or mechanical disruption of AT, followed by selection of adherent cells on plastic. Relatively few cells can be obtained by this procedure, and they display loss of differentiation potential with passaging (26, 27). Thus, the therapeutic use of ADSCs is restricted to instances in which relatively large amounts of adipose tissue can be collected.

A potential alternative approach to obtaining adipose tissue progenitor cells is to leverage the mechanisms by which adipose tissue expands physiologically. Multiple previous studies indicate that development of adipose tissue is preceded by the formation of a vascular plexus, and lineage-tracing studies have shown that adipocyte progenitors are localized within the adult adipose tissue vascular niche (28-36). Thus, adipose tissue progenitor cell proliferation may be linked to vascular expansion in adult tissue. We recently tested this hypothesis by examining whether culture of human adipose tissue explants under pro-angiogenic conditions that elicited capillary sprout formation led to concomitant proliferation of adipocyte progenitors (37). We found this to be the case, and found that upon adipogenic differentiation these cells could affect glucose metabolism when implanted into immunocompromised mice (38). In this manuscript, we describe the process whereby adipocyte progenitors obtained in this manner (denoted Primed ADipose progenitor cellS=PADS), form functional adipose tissue in-vivo, and compare it to that of implanted AT fragments and to ADSCs prepared by conventional methods. We further describe the results of full genome expression analysis which points to the genes associated with the efficient formation of functional AT in-vivo.

Results

In vivo, human adipocytes contain large lipid droplets, display insulin responsive hypertrophy and leptin secretion, and display long term survival. To determine the extent to which PADS represent functional human adipocytes we quantified mean adipocyte size over a long time in culture in the absence or presence of insulin. We find that PADS survive many weeks in culture, during which they transition from a multilocular to a unilocular phenotype (Figure 1A) and progressively increase in size. At 14 weeks after differentiation, cells are mostly unilocular, with abundant, functional mitochondria as seen by staining with the mitochondrial dye Mitotracker Red (Figure 1B). Insulin promotes adipocyte hypertrophy (Figure 1C), and stimulates leptin secretion (Figure 1D), indicating that PADS maintain the morphological and functional properties of human adipocytes for a long time in culture.

Given the capacity of PADS to develop into functional adipocytes in-vitro, we analyzed the process by which they form adipose tissue in-vivo, compared with mature adipose tissue grafting. A dry liposuction was performed on an excised specimen containing skin and subcutaneous tissue immediately following paniculectomy surgery. Liposuction fragments were then injected bilaterally under the skin of nude mice. In parallel, PADS suspended in Matrigel were injected unilaterally into a separate cohort of mice. Micro CT scans taken after 8 weeks (Figure 2A) revealed areas of low attenuation at the sites of injection of adipose tissue fragments (Figure 2A, bottom panel), and smaller, higher attenuated areas at sites of injection of PADS (Figure 2A, top panel). To measure graft function, we used a human-specific ELISA to measure circulating adiponectin, thereby reflecting viability and vascularization of grafted material.

Human adiponectin was detectable in most engrafted mice (Figure 2B), but remarkably the amount of adiponectin per unit graft volume was 5-10 times higher in PADS compared to liposuction (Figure 2C). To better understand the differences in graft functionality we performed immunohistochemistry on the excised grafts. Visual inspection (Figure 2D) and quantitative analysis (Figure 2E) of the images revealed large de-cellularized regions in all grafts formed from liposuction tissue, probably corresponding to lipid-filled cysts which are a frequent complication of fat grafting. These areas were undetectable in grafts formed from PADS (Figure 2D). These results indicate that PADS are capable of further differentiation and growth in vivo, and of efficient functional integration into the host.

The mechanisms by which PADS integrate so efficiently into the host could involve recruitment of some of the *in-situ* progenitors to form functional vasculature (24). To test this hypothesis we measured the relative abundance of adipocyte and endothelial cells from human or mouse origin using species-specific qRT-PCR primers to the adipocyte lipid droplet marker perilipin 1 (mouse *Plin1,* human *PLIN1*), and to the mature endothelial cell marker VE-cadherin (mouse *Cdh5*, human *CDH5*). While grafts formed from PADS contained equal amount of human *PLIN1* compared to human subcutaneous adipose tissue (Figure 3A), grafts formed from liposuction contained less than half, reflecting loss of functional adipocytes (Figure 3A). This loss of adipocyte content occurred in spite of both types of grafts inducing vascularization from the host, as estimated by their high levels of mouse *Cdh5* (Figure 3B). Interestingly, grafts formed from liposuction tissue contained higher levels of human *CDH5* compared to control human adipose tissue, suggesting that human endothelial cells proliferate within the graft, possibly as a result of local hypoxia (Figure 3C). In contrast, no human CDH5 was detectable in grafts formed from PADS, indicating that progenitor cells from the donor do not differentiate into endothelial cells under these conditions. Mouse Plin1 was very low in both graft types, indicating negligible contamination from the host adipose tissue (Figure 3D). These results indicate that grafts formed from PADS are composed of human adipocytes perfused by mouse vasculature. To verify these results, we stained whole-mounts of the grafts with fluorescent lectins. Grafts formed from PADS were stained with mouse-specific lectin, but not with human lectin, confirming that the vascularization was derived from the mouse host and not the grafted cells (Figure 3E).

The differences between the relative efficiency of engraftment of PADS compared to liposuction described above could be influenced by the large difference in volume between the two types of grafts, or by the use of Matrigel during grafting of PADS. The small volume of PADS compared to liposuction may mitigate hypoxia, thereby enabling adipocyte survival and development, and Matrigel has autonomous properties that facilitate adipogenesis (39). To better compare the inherent properties of PADS and liposuction tissue in the context of grafting, we performed experiments using smaller volumes of liposuction tissue with the inclusion of Matrigel, and also examined whether PADS would affect the engraftment of liposuction tissue. Three cohorts of mice were randomized to be injected unilaterally subcutaneously with 500µl of materials as follows: group A –liposuction tissue (250µl) in Matrigel (250µl); group B –PADS (100µl, ~10^7 cells) in Matrigel (400µl); and group C –liposuction tissue (225µl), PADS (50µl, ~$5x10^6$ cells) in Matrigel (225µl). Five days following implantation micro CT scans revealed areas of low attenuation in all mice where liposuction fragments were engrafted (Figure 4, left top panels), but the regions where PADS were implanted were not distinguishable, probably due to their small size and content of lipid at the time of grafting (Figure 4 left middle panels). After 11 and 16

weeks, grafts formed from liposuction fragments were still clearly detectable, but had reduced in volume and developed small regions of high attenuation consistent with calcifications (Figure 4A, right panels, asterisks). In contrast, regions where PADS were implanted were now less attenuated, consistent with further differentiation and lipid accumulation of implanted cells, and no evidence of calcification was seen. At week 16 mice were sacrificed and grafts collected for further analysis. Grafts containing liposuction fragments were encapsulated, yellow, and surrounded by small blood vessels, whereas PADS were visible as non-encapsulated regions of adipose tissue under the skin resembling normal AT (Figure 4B). Histochemical findings were consistent with the micro CT findings, as grafts containing liposuction fragments displayed basophilic structures characteristic of calcification, and large de-cellularized regions, but grafts formed from PADS appeared as a well-vascularized adipose tissue parenchyma distinguishable from the mouse dermal adipose tissue (Figure 4C).

Quantitative analysis of graft volume over time showed a decreasing trend in grafts formed by liposuction fragments. In contrast, the volume of grafts formed from PADS progressively increased (Figure 5A). Functionally, circulating adiponectin significantly increased between weeks 11 and 16 in mice harboring grafts from PADS, but not in mice harboring liposuction tissue (Figure 5B). Moreover, grafts formed from PADS were much more efficiently integrated, producing over 10x more adiponectin relative to graft volume at 16 weeks after implantation (Figure 5C). These results are consistent with those seen using larger graft volumes (Figure 2), but are more pronounced when volumes of PADS and liposuction tissue are approximated. This is clearly appreciated through analysis of both cohorts using a three-component agonist vs. response fit (Figure 5D), which illustrates that the slope of graft volume to adiponectin production is much steeper for grafts formed from PADS. Therefore, the enhanced ability of PADS to produce adiponectin cannot be attributed to a smaller graft volume, but to an inherent difference in the ability of adipocytes to survive and differentiate.

Vascularization by mouse blood vessels as assessed by mouse *Cdh5* mRNA expression, was lower in this cohort compared to that seen with larger volumes, suggesting that a smaller graft volume elicits overall lower angiogenic stimulation, possibly as a consequence of lessened hypoxia. Interestingly, grafts formed from liposuction tissue supplemented by PADS induced more vascularization compared to liposuction tissue alone, demonstrating a significant pro-angiogenic effect of these cells (Figure 5E). Nevertheless, grafts from liposuction tissue still displayed a large loss of adipocyte viability as estimated by low expression of human *PLIN1* (Figure 5F), despite maintenance of human *CDH5* (Figure 5G). Interestingly, a substantial amount of mouse *Plin1* was detected in PADS (Figure 5H)*;* this may represent mouse AT contamination, or newly forming adipocytes from progenitors carried into the graft by mouse vasculature. This former seems unlikely, as mouse adipose tissue would be expected contaminate all grafts equally. These results indicate that PADS can form functional adipose tissue, by promoting continued growth and differentiation of adipocytes and eliciting vascularization.

To better understand the mechanisms whereby PADS can form functional adipose tissue, we examined the ability of different types of progenitors to form adipose tissue in-vivo. We compared PADS before differentiation (pre-PADS), ADSCs derived from the stromovascular fraction obtained by standardized procedures, and differentiated PADS (Figure 6A). We first conducted RT-PCR to determine levels of a broad panel of surface markers (Figure 6B). Pre-PADS displayed significantly higher levels of numerous

markers, including PDGFRα, which has been associated with adipocyte progenitors in mouse models. In mice implanted with either ADSCs or pre-PADS no circulating human adiponectin could be detected up to 17 weeks post-implantation, indicating that these cells cannot form a functional adipose tissue graft. Fluorescent lectin staining of excised Matrigel remnants revealed the presence of numerous cell nuclei, but no lipid droplet accumulation where ADSCs were implanted (Figure 6C). In contrast, remnants from pre-PADS displayed some lipid droplets. Interestingly, human-specific lectin stained vessel-like structures in remnants from ADSCs (Figure 6C, arrows), but not in remnants from pre-PADS, suggesting that ADSCs are capable of forming micro vessels in vivo. Results from RT-PCR of the remnants (Figure 6D) were consistent with this possibility, as ADSC remnants expressed significantly higher levels of human *CDH5* compared with either pre-PADS or PADS. The levels of expression of human *PLIN1* were also consistent with morphological evidence for adipose differentiation of PADS, followed by pre-PADS but not of ADSCs in-vivo. The levels of mouse *Cdh5* indicate that pre-PADS and ADSCs induced host vascularization poorly compared to PADS. These experiments indicate that PADS and ADSCs differ in that, in-vivo, PADS can form adipocytes but no vascular structures from donor-derived cells, while ADSCs are able to form donor-derived vascular structures.

Because differentiated PADS were significantly more capable of forming functional adipose tissue in-vivo compared to pre-PADS, we performed a gene array comparison to identify those genes that promote in-vivo adipogenesis. Of >33,000 mRNAs detected by the HTA-2 arrays, 269 coding genes were differentially expressed with an adjusted p-value of less than 0.05 (Figure 6E and Supplementary Table 1). Of these, 40 genes containing the GO cellular component term "extracellular" (including "exosomal") were up-regulated in response to differentiation (Figure 6F and Table 1). The top biological processes identified through enrichment analysis of this set were "response to oxygen" and "response to wounding", (Table 2), and included 4 collagen species (COL4A1, COL5A1, COL3A1 and COL12A1), adhesion proteins (MCAM/CD146,CHL1,HSPG2), extracellular matrix remodeling proteins (ADAMTS9,OLFM2,SERPINB2), and secretory hydrolases (PLA2G2A,CH3L2). Also in this list were the expected adipokines and adipocyte secreted proteins ADIPOQ, FABP4, LPL, FABP5 and RBP4. Interestingly, none of these genes corresponded to known classical pro-angiogenic growth factors suggesting that the mechanisms by which PADS are capable of forming functional adipose tissue in-vivo is through the generation of an extracellular matrix niche optimal for adipogenic differentiation and host vascularization.

Discussion

The results shown in this manuscript contribute to our understanding of the mechanisms by which human adipose tissue develops in-vivo, and have practical implications for reconstructive and regenerative therapeutics. To our knowledge, this is the first method by which functional AT can be produced through in-vitro generated progenitors. The capacity of these progenitors to generate functional tissue in-vivo is related to their robust propagation in-vitro and to the priming of adipose differentiation prior to engraftment. These procedures enrich the progenitor population for cells that differentiate into mature adipocytes, and express genes for extracellular matrix components capable of supporting continued adipogenic differentiation and vascularization by host cells.

The limitations of this work are that PADS are heterogeneous and we cannot fully describe their complexity nor identify the specific complement of cells required for adipose tissue formation in-vivo. This next step will require the development of methods to identify and enrich the different progenitor subpopulations, and to examine their ability to engraft singly or in combinations. Nevertheless, the capacity of PADS to form functional adipose tissue has been reproduced in our laboratory with a minimum of 5 different cell preparations from different donors, using both NSG (38) and nude mouse strains (current results), indicating that robust conserved mechanisms are at play.

A remarkable feature of PADS is the number of cells that can be obtained by these methods. Standard methods to obtain ADSCs involve obtaining the stromovascular fraction of adipose tissue by collagenase digestion, and selection of mesenchymal progenitors through their capacity to attach to plastic surfaces. In contrast, PADS are obtained by placing non-digested tissue in 3-dimensional culture in the presence of pro-angiogenic basal media and growth factors. The reported number of cells and ADSCs that can be obtained from liposuction tissue varies widely, from $5x10^5$ to $2x10^6$ cells per gram (g) of adipose tissue, with 1 to 10% of these considered to be ADSCs (15, 40). While cells can be expanded in culture, they cease to replicate after 15 population doublings (41) and display in increasing senescence markers after 4 doublings (27). Based on these reports, the maximal yield of ADSCs from 1g of tissue can be estimated to be a maximum of 6×10^6 after 5 passages. In contrast, explants from 0.25 cm^3 (~200 mg) of adipose tissue yielded 2×10^7 cells after 14 days in culture, and 8×10^7 adherent cells 72 h after plating in plastic. In our hands PADS are passaged in a 1:2 split after this step to yield 1.7×10^8 cells, which are frozen and can be expanded through 5 population doublings with no decrease in adipose differentiation capacity. Therefore ~$5x10^9$ PADS can be obtained from 1 g of human adipose tissue by the procedures described here, representing a 1000x higher yield than ADSCs. It is possible that 3-dimensional organ culture triggers a physiological induction of progenitor cell proliferation, which in combination with minimal damage from mechanical or chemical stress, leads to very high yields of progenitor cells.

The differences between ADSCs and PADS extend beyond the yield of cells obtained through different techniques, as cell surface marker content differs significantly (Figure 6B) as do functional outcomes. It is interesting that ADSCs seem more capable of producing vascular structures in-vivo (Figure 6C), consistent with numerous reports of their pro-angiogenic effects (42-47). In contrast, PADS are more able to differentiate into adipocytes, and can induce host angiogenesis. These functional differences are likely due to the different complement of cells making up the ADSC and PADS populations, or acquired differences in functionality stemming from the stark difference in the way they are obtained.

To better understand the mechanisms that are directly involved in the formation of adipose tissue in-vitro, we leveraged the finding that pre-PADS are much less able to form tissue compared to PADS. This comparison is most informative, as the only difference between these cells is the exposure to a minimal adipogenic cocktail of methylisobutylxanthine, insulin and dexamethasone for three days, followed by 7 days of culture in DMEM. This leads to a dramatic difference in-vivo, where PADS continue to differentiate, accumulate lipids, become vascularized and secrete adiponectin into the circulation, whereas pre-PADS fail to form functional tissue. Comparison of gene expression profiles between these cells reveals that the most significant biological pathways enriched in PADS are related to canonical adipose tissue function, with the top 20 corresponding to lipid metabolic processes including storage and

catabolism. However, the first non-lipid related pathway significantly enriched is that of wound healing (Supplementary Table 2). When the differentially expressed genes are restricted to those associated with the extracellular compartment, the second most enriched pathway corresponds to wound healing (Table 2). Strikingly, none of the genes previously associated with angiogenesis in the context of adipose tissue mesenchymal stem cells, which include VEGF FGF-2, HGF and TGF-ß (48), were found. Rather, extracellular matrix components, including 4 different collagen types were the predominant associated genes. One of these, COL4A1 is essential for small vessel development and pathogenic mutations cause vascular abnormalities (49-52), suggesting it may have an important role in vascularization of PADS for engraftment. Also, mutations in COL3A1 give rise to vascular Ehlers-Danlos syndrome (53), indicating a role for this collagen type in vascular integrity. Other identified genes include MCAM/CD146, which has been implicated in lymphangiogenesis (54), brain endothelial cell functions (55) and angiogenesis (56, 57). The interactions among these genes may also be critically important for tissue development, as has been reported for the morphogenesis of vascular structures through interactions between ADAMTS9 with HSPG2 (58, 59). How these genes exert these specific actions is not known, but the use of PADS grafting as a model may be useful for elucidating their molecular functions.

The therapeutic use of PADS is an exciting prospect in reconstructive surgery, particularly in instances such as wound healing or restoration of soft tissue volume after cancer surgery. PADS could be used alone for small reconstructive requirements or in combination with liposuction tissue to enhance large volume grafting. In our study, supplementation of liposuction tissue with PADS significantly enhanced vascularization, albeit without effect on graft function as assessed by adiponectin secretion. Further experiments will determine whether an optimal ratio of PADS to tissue achieve functionally improved grafting, and will focus on the development of culture systems compliant with good manufacturing practices.

Materials and Methods

Specific reagents: Matrigel (BD biosciences); EGM-2 MV (Lonza); Adiponectin human-specific ELISA (Invitrogen KHP0041); Rhodamine Ulex europaeus Agglutinin 1 (Vector labs RL-1062); Isolectin GS IB4 Alexa Fluor 488 Conjugate (Life Technologies I21411).

Adipose Tissue: Subcutaneous adipose tissue for grafting and for derivation of PADS was obtained from panniculectomies with no a-priori selection of individual donors. All specimens were collected in accordance with procedures approved by the University of Massachusetts Institutional Review Board.

Liposuction tissue: Tissue was obtained from panniculectomies performed at our institution, under an approved IRB. Immediately after excision of the panniculus from the patient, adipose tissue was extracted manually in multiple passes with a 3mm cannula, and centrifuged at 3000 RPM for 3 minutes. Aqueous and lipid components were discarded and fat used for grafting directly, or after mixing with an equal volume of Matrigel by gentle inversion, as indicated in each experiment. In some experiments, the 50/50 mixture of liposuction tissue and Matrigel was supplemented with PADS as described in the results section.

Cells: Detailed methods for harvesting adipose tissue, culture of adipose tissue explants in Matrigel, and harvesting of single cells from explant growth are published (60). In brief, explants from human subcutaneous adipose tissue were cultured in EBM-2 media supplemented with endothelial growth factors (EGM-2 MV) (Lonza) fr 14 days. Single cells suspensions from capillary growth were obtained using dispase (yield ~2 x 10^7 cells from one 10 cm plate) and plated into two 15 cm tissue culture dish. After 72 h, cells were split 1:2, grown for an additional 72h, recovered by trypsinization (yield ~8x10^7) and frozen into 10 vials. To generate PADS for grafting, single vials were thawed out and plated into 1 x 150mm dish per graft and grown to confluence in EGM-2 MV. Differentiation was induced by replacement of EGM-2 MV by DMEM + 10%FBS, 0.5 mM 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine, 1μM dexamethasone, and 1μg/ml insulin (MDI). 72h later, the differentiation medium was replaced by DMEM-FBS, which was replaced every 48 hours for 10 days.

Mice. All animal use was in accordance with the guidelines of the Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Massachusetts Medical School. Male nude mice were obtained from the Charles River Laboratories. Liposuction tissue, PADS or a combination as indicated were grafted subcutaneously into the flank 5 cm cephalad to the tail and 3 cm lateral to the spine. Under sterile conditions, a 18G IV cannula was used to penetrate the skin at the base of the tail of each mouse. The needle was withdrawn and the shield of the catheter was advanced to the selected area, creating a tunnel by blunt dissection. A semi-rigid circular-shaped plastic splint with central hole of 1.5 cm diameter was positioned on the skin encircling the selected area to be grafted to ensure proper location and prevent displacement of the graft at the time of injection. The splint was left in place for 3 days and then removed. Serial volumetric analysis by Micro-CT Scanning was performed as described (61). Immediately after euthanasia, grafts were dissected, and half of the graft was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for microscopy and half used for RNA extraction using TRIzol. For RT-PCR, total RNA was reverse-transcribed using iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad). cDNA was used as template for qRT-PCR using the iQ SYBR Green Supermix kit (Bio-Rad) and the CFX96 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad). Human ribosomal protein L4 (RPL4) was used for normalization.

Gene expression. For RT-PCR total RNA was reverse-transcribed using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad). cDNA was used as template for qRT-PCR using the iQ SYBR Green Supermix kit (Bio-Rad) and the CFX96 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad). Ribosomal protein L4 (RPL4) was used for normalization. Human and mouse-specific probe sets are shown in Supplementary table 3. For Affymetrix arrays, total RNA was isolated using TRIzol, from pre-PADS and PADS obtained from 5 independent subjects. Affymetrix protocols were followed for the preparation of cRNA, which was hybridized to HTA-2.0 arrays. Raw expression data collected from an Affymetrix HP GeneArrayScanner was normalized across all data sets using the RMA algorithm as implemented by the Affymetrix Expression Console. Differential expression analysis was performed using the Affymetrix Transcriptome Analysis Console v.3.0. Pathway analysis of transcriptomic data was performed using ToppGene (62).

Histochemistry and quantification. Samples were fixed in 4% formaldehyde and embedded in paraffin. Tissue sections (8-μm), were mounted on Superfrost Plus microscope slides (Fisher Scientific), and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). 10X images were taken using brightfield microscopy (Zeiss Axiovert 35) and AxioCam Icc 1 digital camera (Zeiss). Adipocyte (area) size was determined using an

automated procedure based on the open software platform FIJI (63). In brief, images were imported, local contrast enhanced (CLAHE, blocksize=263, histogram=256, maximum=40), converted to 8-bit grayscale image, binarized (threshold 136, 255, dark background), eroded (iterations=1, count=6, black, pad do=Erode), and object number and size were measured (Analyze Particles, size=100-Infinity circularity=0.10-1.00). Frequency distributions were obtained for each section using a bin size of 1000, and the means and SEM for each bin were calculated to generate the composite histogram for each graft type. For whole mount staining tissue fragments were fixed in 4% formaldehyde, washed and stained with a mixture of 50 ug/ml each Rhodamine Ulex europaeus Agglutinin 1 (Vector labs RL-1062), and Isolectin GS IB4 Alexa Fluor 488 Conjugate (Life Technologies I21411) for 1hr at room temperature. After washing in PBS, fragments were mounted between 1.5mm coverslips sealed with Pro-Long Gold Antifade Reagent (Life Technologies).

Statistical analysis. GraphPad Prism 7.0 was used for all analyses. Parametric or non-parametric test were chosen based on results from the D'Agostino-Pearson omnibus normality test, and are described in each figure.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by grant DK089101-04 to SC, and a Pilot Project grant from UL1 TR000161-05 to SC and JL.

References

1.   Parlee SD, Lentz SI, Mori H, & MacDougald OA (2014) Quantifying size and number of adipocytes in adipose tissue. *Methods Enzymol* 537:93-122.
2.   Simonacci F, Bertozzi N, & Raposio E (2017) Off-label use of adipose-derived stem cells. *Ann Med Surg (Lond)* 24:44-51.
3.   Minteer DM, Marra KG, & Rubin JP (2015) Adipose stem cells: biology, safety, regulation, and regenerative potential. *Clin Plast Surg* 42(2):169-179.
4.   Nery AA*, et al.* (2013) Human mesenchymal stem cells: from immunophenotyping by flow cytometry to clinical applications. *Cytometry A* 83(1):48-61.
5.   Yoshimura K*, et al.* (2008) Cell-assisted lipotransfer for facial lipoatrophy: efficacy of clinical use of adipose-derived stem cells. *Dermatol Surg* 34(9):1178-1185.
6.   Matsumoto D*, et al.* (2006) Cell-assisted lipotransfer: supportive use of human adipose-derived cells for soft tissue augmentation with lipoinjection. *Tissue Eng* 12(12):3375-3382.
7.   van Turnhout AA, Fuchs S, Lisabeth-Brone K, Vriens-Nieuwenhuis EJC, & van der Sluis WB (2017) Surgical Outcome and Cosmetic Results of Autologous Fat Grafting After Breast Conserving Surgery and Radiotherapy for Breast Cancer: A Retrospective Cohort Study of 222 Fat Grafting Sessions in 109 Patients. *Aesthetic Plast Surg* 41(6):1334-1341.
8.   Stewart DA, Taylor KO, Johnstone BR, & Coombs CJ (2012) Structural fat grafting to improve aesthetic outcomes in congenital hand surgery. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 130(2):386e-387e.
9.   Ogino T, Ishigaki D, Satake H, & Iba K (2012) Free fat graft for congenital hand differences. *Clin Orthop Surg* 4(1):45-57.
10.   Zaidi HA, Pendleton C, Cohen-Gadol AA, & Quinones-Hinojosa A (2011) Harvey Cushing's repair of a dural defect after a traumatic brain injury: novel use of a fat graft. *World Neurosurg* 75(5-6):696-699.

11.   Feroze AH, *et al.* (2015) Evolution of cranioplasty techniques in neurosurgery: historical review, pediatric considerations, and current trends. *J Neurosurg* 123(4):1098-1107.

12.   Di Vitantonio H, *et al.* (2016) Dural repair using autologous fat: Our experience and review of the literature. *Surg Neurol Int* 7(Suppl 16):S463-468.

13.   Roura S, Galvez-Monton C, Mirabel C, Vives J, & Bayes-Genis A (2017) Mesenchymal stem cells for cardiac repair: are the actors ready for the clinical scenario? *Stem Cell Res Ther* 8(1):238.

14.   Zhang XM, Zhang YJ, Wang W, Wei YQ, & Deng HX (2017) Mesenchymal Stem Cells to Treat Crohn's Disease with Fistula. *Hum Gene Ther* 28(7):534-540.

15.   Pak J, *et al.* (2017) Current use of autologous adipose tissue-derived stromal vascular fraction cells for orthopedic applications. *J Biomed Sci* 24(1):9.

16.   Laloze J, *et al.* (2017) Cell-assisted lipotransfer: Friend or foe in fat grafting? Systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Tissue Eng Regen Med*.

17.   Simonacci F, Grieco MP, Bertozzi N, & Raposio E (2017) Autologous fat transplantation for secondary breast reconstruction: our experience. *G Chir* 38(3):117-123.

18.   Strong AL, Cederna PS, Rubin JP, Coleman SR, & Levi B (2015) The Current State of Fat Grafting: A Review of Harvesting, Processing, and Injection Techniques. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 136(4):897-912.

19.   De Ugarte DA, *et al.* (2003) Comparison of multi-lineage cells from human adipose tissue and bone marrow. *Cells Tissues Organs* 174(3):101-109.

20.   Zuk PA, *et al.* (2002) Human adipose tissue is a source of multipotent stem cells. *Mol Biol Cell* 13(12):4279-4295.

21.   Gronthos S, *et al.* (2001) Surface protein characterization of human adipose tissue-derived stromal cells. *J Cell Physiol* 189(1):54-63.

22.   Toyserkani NM, Quaade ML, & Sorensen JA (2016) Cell-Assisted Lipotransfer: A Systematic Review of Its Efficacy. *Aesthetic Plast Surg* 40(2):309-318.

23.   Kolle SF, *et al.* (2013) Enrichment of autologous fat grafts with ex-vivo expanded adipose tissue-derived stem cells for graft survival: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 382(9898):1113-1120.

24.   Planat-Benard V, *et al.* (2004) Plasticity of human adipose lineage cells toward endothelial cells: physiological and therapeutic perspectives. *Circulation* 109(5):656-663.

25.   Miranville A, *et al.* (2004) Improvement of postnatal neovascularization by human adipose tissue-derived stem cells. *Circulation* 110(3):349-355.

26.   Dao LT, Park EY, Hwang OK, Cha JY, & Jun HS (2014) Differentiation potential and profile of nuclear receptor expression during expanded culture of human adipose tissue-derived stem cells reveals PPARgamma as an important regulator of Oct4 expression. *Stem Cells Dev* 23(1):24-33.

27.   Legzdina D, Romanauska A, Nikulshin S, Kozlovska T, & Berzins U (2016) Characterization of Senescence of Culture-expanded Human Adipose-derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells. *Int J Stem Cells* 9(1):124-136.

28.   Jiang Y, *et al.* (2017) A PPARgamma transcriptional cascade directs adipose progenitor cell-niche interaction and niche expansion. *Nat Commun* 8:15926.

29.   Corvera S & Gealekman O (2014) Adipose tissue angiogenesis: impact on obesity and type-2 diabetes. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1842(3):463-472.

30.   Tran KV, *et al.* (2012) The vascular endothelium of the adipose tissue gives rise to both white and brown fat cells. *Cell Metab* 15(2):222-229.

31.   Lin G, *et al.* (2011) Tissue distribution of mesenchymal stem cell marker Stro-1. *Stem Cells Dev* 20(10):1747-1752.

32.   Cai X, Lin Y, Hauschka PV, & Grottkau BE (2011) Adipose stem cells originate from perivascular cells. *Biol Cell* 103(9):435-447.

33.   Crisan M, *et al.* (2008) A perivascular origin for mesenchymal stem cells in multiple human organs. *Cell Stem Cell* 3(3):301-313.

34. Cinti S & Morroni M (1995) Brown adipocyte precursor cells: a morphological study. *Ital J Anat Embryol* 100 Suppl 1:75-81.
35. Jiang Y, Berry DC, Tang W, & Graff JM (2014) Independent stem cell lineages regulate adipose organogenesis and adipose homeostasis. *Cell Rep* 9(3):1007-1022.
36. Tang W*, et al.* (2008) White fat progenitor cells reside in the adipose vasculature. *Science* 322(5901):583-586.
37. Gealekman O*, et al.* (2014) Control of adipose tissue expandability in response to high fat diet by the insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-4. *J Biol Chem* 289(26):18327-18338.
38. Min SY*, et al.* (2016) Human 'brite/beige' adipocytes develop from capillary networks, and their implantation improves metabolic homeostasis in mice. *Nat Med* 22(3):312-318.
39. Kitagawa Y & Kawaguchi N (1999) De novo adipogenesis for reconstructive surgery. *Cytotechnology* 31(1-2):29-35.
40. Baer PC & Geiger H (2012) Adipose-derived mesenchymal stromal/stem cells: tissue localization, characterization, and heterogeneity. *Stem Cells Int* 2012:812693.
41. Burrow KL, Hoyland JA, & Richardson SM (2017) Human Adipose-Derived Stem Cells Exhibit Enhanced Proliferative Capacity and Retain Multipotency Longer than Donor-Matched Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells during Expansion In Vitro. *Stem Cells Int* 2017:2541275.
42. Chen YL*, et al.* (2015) Adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells embedded in platelet-rich fibrin scaffolds promote angiogenesis, preserve heart function, and reduce left ventricular remodeling in rat acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Transl Res* 7(5):781-803.
43. Huang H*, et al.* (2017) Preferred M2 Polarization by ASC-Based Hydrogel Accelerated Angiogenesis and Myogenesis in Volumetric Muscle Loss Rats. *Stem Cells Int* 2017:2896874.
44. Kachgal S & Putnam AJ (2011) Mesenchymal stem cells from adipose and bone marrow promote angiogenesis via distinct cytokine and protease expression mechanisms. *Angiogenesis* 14(1):47-59.
45. Liang X, Zhang L, Wang S, Han Q, & Zhao RC (2016) Exosomes secreted by mesenchymal stem cells promote endothelial cell angiogenesis by transferring miR-125a. *J Cell Sci* 129(11):2182-2189.
46. Pinheiro CH*, et al.* (2012) Local injections of adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells modulate inflammation and increase angiogenesis ameliorating the dystrophic phenotype in dystrophin-deficient skeletal muscle. *Stem Cell Rev* 8(2):363-374.
47. Seo E*, et al.* (2017) Exendin-4 in combination with adipose-derived stem cells promotes angiogenesis and improves diabetic wound healing. *J Transl Med* 15(1):35.
48. Rehman J*, et al.* (2004) Secretion of angiogenic and antiapoptotic factors by human adipose stromal cells. *Circulation* 109(10):1292-1298.
49. Trouillet A*, et al.* (2017) Col4a1 mutation generates vascular abnormalities correlated with neuronal damage in a mouse model of HANAC syndrome. *Neurobiol Dis* 100:52-61.
50. Yang W*, et al.* (2016) Coronary-Heart-Disease-Associated Genetic Variant at the COL4A1/COL4A2 Locus Affects COL4A1/COL4A2 Expression, Vascular Cell Survival, Atherosclerotic Plaque Stability and Risk of Myocardial Infarction. *PLoS Genet* 12(7):e1006127.
51. Alavi MV*, et al.* (2016) Col4a1 mutations cause progressive retinal neovascular defects and retinopathy. *Sci Rep* 6:18602.
52. Van Agtmael T*, et al.* (2010) Col4a1 mutation in mice causes defects in vascular function and low blood pressure associated with reduced red blood cell volume. *Hum Mol Genet* 19(6):1119-1128.
53. Frank M*, et al.* (2015) The type of variants at the COL3A1 gene associates with the phenotype and severity of vascular Ehlers-Danlos syndrome. *Eur J Hum Genet* 23(12):1657-1664.
54. Yan H*, et al.* (2017) CD146 is required for VEGF-C-induced lymphatic sprouting during lymphangiogenesis. *Sci Rep* 7(1):7442.

55.   Chen J, *et al.* (2017) CD146 coordinates brain endothelial cell-pericyte communication for blood-brain barrier development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114(36):E7622-E7631.
56.   Kebir A, *et al.* (2010) CD146 short isoform increases the proangiogenic potential of endothelial progenitor cells in vitro and in vivo. *Circ Res* 107(1):66-75.
57.   Harhouri K, *et al.* (2010) Soluble CD146 displays angiogenic properties and promotes neovascularization in experimental hind-limb ischemia. *Blood* 115(18):3843-3851.
58.   Nandadasa S, Nelson CM, & Apte SS (2015) ADAMTS9-Mediated Extracellular Matrix Dynamics Regulates Umbilical Cord Vascular Smooth Muscle Differentiation and Rotation. *Cell Rep* 11(10):1519-1528.
59.   Koo BH, *et al.* (2010) ADAMTS9 is a cell-autonomously acting, anti-angiogenic metalloprotease expressed by microvascular endothelial cells. *Am J Pathol* 176(3):1494-1504.
60.   Rojas-Rodriguez R, *et al.* (2014) Adipose tissue angiogenesis assay. *Methods Enzymol* 537:75-91.
61.   Chung MT, *et al.* (2013) Micro-computed tomography evaluation of human fat grafts in nude mice. *Tissue Eng Part C Methods* 19(3):227-232.
62.   Chen J, Bardes EE, Aronow BJ, & Jegga AG (2009) ToppGene Suite for gene list enrichment analysis and candidate gene prioritization. *Nucleic Acids Res* 37(Web Server issue):W305-311.
63.   Schindelin J, *et al.* (2012) Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature methods* 9(7):676-682.

FIGURE 1.

Figure 1. Development and properties of primed adipose progenitor cells (PADS) from human adipose tissue explants. **A.** Representative image of an explant from human subcutaneous adipose tissue (top left corner of each panel) in culture for the time indicated above each panel. **B.** Higher magnification of specific section of well, taken at the times indicated. At week 14 Mitotracker red was added and cells imaged after 30 min. **C.** Mean adipocyte size over time, from explants grown in the presence of absence of additional insulin added to the culture medium (100nM). Symbols are the means and bars SEM of adipocyte sizes, obtained from 5 independent wells per time point as described in Supplementary Figure 1. Statistical significance was estimated using 2-way ANOVA with Dunnet's correction for multiple comparisons. *=$p<0.05$, **** = $p < 0.0001$ versus week 7; #### = $p < 0.0001$ versus no insulin. **D.** Concentration of leptin in media after 24 h of culture at week 14. Shown are means and SEM of 8-10 wells. Statistical significance was estimated using two-tailed unpaired Mann-Whitney test. *** = $p < 0.001$.

FIGURE 2

Figure 2. Comparison of grafts formed from PADS and from liposuction tissue. A. MicroCT scan of mice implanted with PADS (top panel) or liposuction tissue (bottom panel) after 23 or 7 weeks of implantation, respectively. Areas of low attenuation are enclosed by red dotted lines. **B.** Levels of human adiponectin in plasma from mice implanted as in A. Each symbol represents the value for each mouse in the cohort. **C.** Ratio of implant area versus circulating adiponectin. Each symbol represents one mouse, and lines represent the mean and SEM. Statistical significance was estimated using a two-tailed unpaired t-test with Welch's correction for unequal standard deviations. $* = p < 0.05$. **D.** Histochemical staining of implants formed from PADS (top panels) or liposuction tissue (bottom panels). Expanded regions illustrate the algorithm output for selection of particles used for quantification. **E.** Histogram of particle sizes obtained from the mean values of 5 independent grafts from PADS and 7 independent grafts from liposuction tissue. Visual inspection was used to estimate adipocytes as those particles with values lower than between 100 and 5000 μm². Statistical significance was estimated using the Friedman test of frequency distributions as implemented in Prism 7.0 ****=p<0.0001.

FIGURE 3

Figure 3. Human or mouse adipocyte and endothelial cell content in grafts formed from PADS and from liposuction tissue. A-D. RNA from excised grafts was analyzed using species-specific RT-PCR probes for the genes indicated above each panel. Symbols represents values for 3 tissue samples and 6-7 grafts per condition assayed in triplicate, and the mean and SEM of all grafts is shown. Statistical significance was estimated using multiple t-tests corrected for multiple comparisons using the Holm-Sidak method. *=p<0.05, **=p<0.01, ***=p<0.001, ****=p<0.00001. **E.** Whole mount staining of grafts formed from PADS using mouse or human-specific lectins. Controls using human and mouse subcutaneous adipose tissue are illustrated.

FIGURE 4

Figure 4. Development of grafts from PADS and liposuction tissue using comparable volumes. A. MicroCT scans of mice implanted with liposuction tissue (top panels) PADS (middle panels) and liposuction tissue supplemented with PADS (bottom panels) at the times following grafting indicated on top. Areas of low attenuation are outlined with red dotted traces, and examples of high attenuation objects within these areas, possibly corresponding to calcifications, are indicated with asterisks. **B.** Macroscopic appearance of grafts at sacrifice. **C.** Histochemical staining of grafts. Grafted tissue under the skin is outlined with black, segmented traces, and basophilic objects within de-cellularized regions are illustrated with asterisks in the expanded images. Examples are representative of 7 grafts per condition.

FIGURE 5

Figure 5. Quantification of graft volume, functionality and endothelial or adipose cell content. **A.** Means and SEM of graft volumes calculated from microCT scans, and **B.** Means and SEM of human adiponectin in plasma, obtained at the times shown in the x-axes, from 7 mice per conditions implanted with liposuction tissue, PADS, or liposuction tissue supplemented by PADS. Statistical significance was estimated using paired t-tests. *=p<0.05, **=p<0.01. **C.** Means and SEM of the ratios of human adiponectin to graft volumes at 16 weeks post grafting in mice harboring grafts from liposuction tissue, PADS, or liposuction tissue supplemented by PADS. Statistical significance was estimated using one way ANOVA with Tukey's correction for multiple comparisons, **=p<0.01. **D.** Relationship between graft volume and plasma adiponectin in mice from cohorts 1 (squares) and 2 (circles). Each symbol corresponds to values from one mouse. **E-H.** RNA from excised grafts was analyzed using species-specific RT-PCR probes for the genes indicated above each panel. Bars represent the mean, and lines the SEM of all grafts. Statistical significance was estimated using the Krustal-Wallis test with Dunn's correction for multiple comparisons **=p<0.01, ***=p<0.001, ****=p<0.00001.

FIGURE 6

Figure 6. A. Schematic representing the methods to obtain pre-PADS, PADS and ADSCs**. B.** RNA from two independent preparations of ADSCs and pre-PADS was probed for the mesenchymal stem cell markers depicted in the x-axis. Values are expressed as the fold over the lowest value for each probe set. Statistical significance was estimated using multiple t-tests corrected for multiple comparisons using the Holm-Sidak method. Double headed arrows are placed over sets of probes that differed significantly, at the levels indicated **=p<0.01, ***=p<0.001, ****=p<0.00001. **C.** Whole mount staining of grafts formed from ADSCs and pre-PADS using DAPI, and mouse or human specific lectins. Control human and mouse adipose tissue are included for comparison. Linear structures stained with human-specific lectin in grafts formed from ADSCs are indicated with red arrows. **D.** RNA from excised grafts was analyzed using species-specific RT-PCR probes for the genes indicated in the x-axis. Bars represent the mean, and lines the SEM of 7 grafts per condition assayed in duplicate. Statistical significance was estimated using the Krustal-Wallis test with Dunn's correction for multiple comparisons **=p<0.01, ***=p<0.001, ****=p<0.00001. **E.** Heat map of differentially expressed genes between pre-PADS and PADS, obtained from AT from 5 different individuals. **F.** Heat map of differentially expressed genes containing the GO term "extracellular".

TABLE 1. Genes containing the GO:cell component term "extracellular" that are upregulated in PADS compared to pre-PADS. The signals are means from 5 independent arrays, and values are sorted based on intensity of signal in PADS.

Gene Symbol	Bi-weight Average signal (Log2)		Fold Change (linear)	ANOVA p-value	FDR p-value	Description
	pre-PADS	PADS				
COL12A1	15.62	16.63	2.02	0.034278	0.738561	collagen, type XII, alpha 1
COL3A1	14.76	15.83	2.1	0.014497	0.706983	collagen, type III, alpha 1
ADIPOQ	6.02	14.15	278.53	0.001938	0.63372	adiponectin
PPP2R1B	9.85	12.13	4.83	0.012173	0.706983	protein phosphatase 2, regulatory subunit A, beta
ERV3-1	9.04	11.89	7.22	0.001606	0.63372	endogenous retrovirus group 3, member 1; zinc finger protein 117
HSPG2	10.6	11.75	2.22	0.011174	0.702326	heparan sulfate proteoglycan 2
ACACA	8.75	11.6	7.2	0.035299	0.738561	acetyl-CoA carboxylase alpha
CAT	9.87	11.22	2.56	0.01749	0.717727	catalase
LPL	4.61	11.06	87.66	0.008961	0.697682	lipoprotein lipase
ECHDC1	9.19	11.01	3.52	0.030393	0.730714	ethylmalonyl-CoA decarboxylase 1
HP	5	10.98	63.29	0.007908	0.694757	haptoglobin
C7	7.59	10.97	10.39	0.023862	0.725644	complement component 7
RBP4	7.18	10.59	10.6	0.019018	0.720701	retinol binding protein 4, plasma
FZD4	8.85	10.56	3.27	0.035148	0.738561	frizzled class receptor 4
OLFM2	8.37	10.53	4.47	0.003836	0.640504	olfactomedin 2
COL4A1	8.43	10.19	3.37	0.049268	0.765581	collagen, type IV, alpha 1
PLA2G2A	7.93	10.06	4.38	0.011995	0.70568	phospholipase A2, group IIA (platelets, synovial fluid)
CHI3L2	6.32	9.95	12.39	0.000282	0.453651	chitinase 3-like 2
MCAM	5.94	9.87	15.28	0.012533	0.706983	melanoma cell adhesion molecule
GHR	6.74	9.76	8.12	0.014529	0.706983	growth hormone receptor
LBP	5.63	9.7	16.82	0.011861	0.70411	lipopolysaccharide binding protein
GPD1	5.6	9.42	14.16	0.009315	0.69817	glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase 1
PRKAR2B	6.2	9.41	9.23	0.007145	0.68923	protein kinase, cAMP-dependent, regulatory, type II, beta
CHL1	7.79	9.02	2.35	0.042315	0.752979	cell adhesion molecule L1-like
COL5A1	7.58	8.62	2.06	0.045381	0.756446	collagen, type V, alpha 1
FABP5	5.73	8.46	6.6	0.011525	0.702953	fatty acid binding protein 5 (psoriasis-associated)
ADIRF	6.54	8.29	3.36	0.020917	0.724127	adipogenesis regulatory factor
EFNA5	6.89	8.14	2.37	0.016816	0.717167	ephrin-A5
PRL	5.67	7.91	4.72	0.001102	0.625574	prolactin
APOE	6.45	7.71	2.4	0.038401	0.742836	apolipoprotein E
ITIH5	6.35	7.67	2.51	0.005753	0.666918	inter-alpha-trypsin inhibitor heavy chain family, member 5
HPR	5.36	7.32	3.9	0.012947	0.706983	haptoglobin-related protein; haptoglobin
SERPINB2	5.66	7.13	2.78	0.043889	0.752979	serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade B (ovalbumin), member 2;
MAOB	5.48	6.65	2.24	0.0157	0.711636	monoamine oxidase B
ADAMTS9	4.89	6.57	3.23	0.003176	0.63372	ADAM metallopeptidase with thrombospondin type 1 motif 9
TRHDE	4.77	6.51	3.36	0.014351	0.706983	thyrotropin-releasing hormone degrading enzyme
CKMT1B	5.17	6.22	2.07	0.042988	0.752979	creatine kinase, mitochondrial 1B; creatine kinase, mitochondrial 1A
PPP1R1A	5.19	6.21	2.03	0.015221	0.711636	protein phosphatase 1, regulatory (inhibitor) subunit 1A
CKMT1A	4.42	5.53	2.15	0.049139	0.765232	creatine kinase, mitochondrial 1A; creatine kinase, mitochondrial 1B

TABLE 2. Biological processes showing enrichment of genes containing the GO:cell component term "extracellular" that are upregulated in PADS compared to pre-PADS.

GO Biological Process ID	Name	p-value	q-value Bonferroni	Hit Count in Query List	Hit Count in Genome	Hit in Query List
GO:1901700	response to oxygen-containing compound	6.49E-09	1.23E-05	17	1614	COL3A1,FZD4,COL4A1,GHR,GPD1,PRKAR2B,CAT,LBP,ADIPOQ,EFNA5,APOE,ACACA,MAOB,HP,PRL,LPL,RBP4
GO:0009611	response to wounding	4.24E-08	8.06E-05	13	967	CHL1,COL3A1,MCAM,PLA2G2A,PRKAR2B,COL5A1,LBP,ADIPOQ,C7,APOE,LPL,FABP5,SERPINB2
GO:0044236	multicellular organism metabolic process	5.09E-07	9.68E-04	6	144	COL3A1,COL4A1,GHR,COL5A1,COL12A1,ACACA
GO:0044255	cellular lipid metabolic process	1.06E-06	2.01E-03	12	1064	GHR,GPD1,PLA2G2A,PRKAR2B,HSPG2,CAT,ADIPOQ,APOE,ACACA,LPL,FABP5,RBP4
GO:0006600	creatine metabolic	1.39E-06	2.63E-03	3	11	GHR,CKMT1B,CKMT1A
GO:0006641	triglyceride metabolic process	4.01E-06	7.62E-03	5	115	GPD1,CAT,APOE,LPL,FABP5
GO:1901701	cellular response to oxygen-containing compound	4.27E-06	8.12E-03	11	1001	COL3A1,FZD4,COL4A1,GHR,GPD1,PRKAR2B,LBP,ADIPOQ,EFNA5,ACACA,PRL
GO:0044259	multicellular organismal macromolecule	6.52E-06	1.24E-02	5	127	COL3A1,COL4A1,COL5A1,COL12A1,ACACA
GO:0006639	acylglycerol metabolic process	6.77E-06	1.29E-02	5	128	GPD1,CAT,APOE,LPL,FABP5

FIGURE AND TABLE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Development and properties of primed adipose progenitor cells (PADS) from human adipose tissue explants. A. Representative image of an explant from human subcutaneous adipose tissue (top left corner of each panel) in culture for the time indicated above each panel. **B.** Higher magnification of specific section of well, taken at the times indicated. At week 14 Mitotracker red was added and cells imaged after 30 min. **C.** Mean adipocyte size over time, from explants grown in the presence of absence of additional insulin added to the culture medium (100nM). Symbols are the means and bars SEM of adipocyte sizes, obtained from 5 independent wells per time point as describes in Materials and Methods. Statistical significance was estimated using 2-way ANOVA with Dunnet's correction for multiple comparisons. *=p<0.05, **** = $p < 0.0001$ versus week 7; #### = $p < 0.0001$ versus no insulin. **D.** Concentration of leptin in media after 24 h of culture at week 14. Shown are means and SEM of 8-10 wells. Statistical significance was estimated using two-tailed unpaired Mann-Whitney test. *** = $p < 0.001$.

Figure 2. Comparison of grafts formed from PADS and from liposuction tissue. A. MicroCT scan of mice implanted with PADS (top panel) or liposuction tissue (bottom panel) after 23 or 7 weeks of implantation, respectively. Areas of low attenuation are enclosed by red dotted lines. **B.** Levels of human adiponectin in plasma from mice implanted as in A. Each symbol represents the value for each mouse in the cohort. **C.** Ratio of implant area versus circulating adiponectin. Each symbol represents one mouse, and lines represent the mean and SEM. Statistical significance was estimated using a two-tailed unpaired t-test with Welch's correction for unequal standard deviations. * = $p < 0.05$. **D.** Histochemical staining of implants formed from PADS (top panels) or liposuction tissue (bottom panels). Expanded regions illustrate the algorithm output for selection of particles used for quantification. **E.** Histogram of particle sizes obtained from the mean values of 5 independent grafts from PADS and 7 independent grafts from liposuction tissue. Visual inspection was used to estimate adipocytes as those particles with values lower than between 100 and 5000 μm^2. Statistical significance was estimated using the Friedman test of frequency distributions as implemented in Prism 7.0. ****=p<0.0001.

Figure 3. Human or mouse adipocyte and endothelial cell content in grafts formed from PADS and from liposuction tissue. A-D. RNA from excised grafts was analyzed using species-specific RT-PCR probes for the genes indicated above each panel. Symbols represents values for 3 tissue samples and 6-7 grafts per condition assayed in triplicate, and the mean and SEM of all grafts is shown. Statistical significance was estimated using multiple t-tests corrected for multiple comparisons using the Holm-Sidak method. *=p<0.05, **=p<0.01, ***=p<0.001, ****=p<0.00001. **E.** Whole mount staining of grafts formed from PADS using mouse or human-specific lectins. Controls using human and mouse subcutaneous adipose tissue are illustrated.

Figure 4. Development of grafts from PADS and liposuction tissue using comparable volumes. A. MicroCT scans of mice implanted with liposuction tissue (top panels) PADS (middle panels) and liposuction tissue supplemented with PADS (bottom panels) at the times following grafting indicated on top. Areas of low attenuation are outlined with red dotted traces, and examples of high attenuation objects within these areas, possibly corresponding to calcifications, are indicated with asterisks. **B.** Macroscopic appearance of grafts at sacrifice. **C.** Histochemical staining of grafts. Grafted tissue under the skin is outlined with black, segmented traces, and basophilic objects within de-cellularized regions are illustrated with asterisks in the expanded images. Examples are representative of 7 grafts per condition.

Figure 5. Quantification of graft volume, functionality and endothelial or adipose cell content. **A.** Means and SEM of graft volumes calculated from microCT scans, and **B.** Means and SEM of human adiponectin in plasma, obtained at the times shown in the x-axes, from 7 mice per conditions implanted with liposuction tissue, PADS, or liposuction tissue supplemented by PADS. Statistical significance was estimated using paired t-tests. *=p<0.05, **=p<0.01. **C.** Means and SEM of the ratios of human adiponectin to graft volumes at 16 weeks post grafting in mice harboring grafts from liposuction tissue, PADS, or liposuction tissue supplemented by PADS. Statistical significance was estimated using one way ANOVA with Tukey's correction for multiple comparisons, **=p<0.01. **D.** Relationship between graft volume and plasma adiponectin in mice from cohorts 1 (squares) and 2 (circles). Each symbol corresponds to values from one mouse. **E-H.** RNA from excised grafts was analyzed using species-specific RT-PCR probes for the genes indicated above each panel. Bars represent the mean, and lines the SEM of all grafts. Statistical significance was estimated using the Krustal-Wallis test with Dunn's correction for multiple comparisons **=p<0.01, ***=p<0.001, ****=p<0.00001

Figure 6. A. Schematic representing the methods to obtain pre-PADS, PADS and ADSCs**. B.** RNA from two independent preparations of ADSCs and pre-PADS was probed for the mesenchymal stem cell markers depicted in the x-axis. Values are expressed as the fold over the lowest value for each probe set. Statistical significance was estimated using multiple t-tests corrected for multiple comparisons using the Holm-Sidak method. Double headed arrows are placed over sets of probes that differed significantly, at the levels indicated **=p<0.01, ***=p<0.001, ****=p<0.00001. **C.** Whole mount staining of grafts formed from ADSCs and pre-PADS using DAPI, and mouse or human specific lectins. Control human and mouse adipose tissue are included for comparison. Linear structures stained with human-specific lectin in grafts formed from ADSCs are indicated with red arrows. **D.** RNA from excised grafts was analyzed using species-specific RT-PCR probes for the genes indicated in the x-axis. Bars represent the mean, and lines the SEM of 7 grafts per condition assayed in duplicate. Statistical significance was estimated using the Krustal-Wallis test with Dunn's correction for multiple comparisons **=p<0.01, ***=p<0.001, ****=p<0.00001. **E.** Heat map of differentially expressed genes between pre-PADS and PADS, obtained from AT from 5 different individuals. **F.** Heat map of differentially expressed genes containing the GO term "extracellular".

TABLE 1. Genes containing the GO:cell component term "extracellular" that are upregulated in PADS compared to pre-PADS. The signals are means from 5 independent arrays, and values are sorted based on intensity of signal in PADS.

TABLE 2. Biological processes showing enrichment of genes containing the GO:cell component term "extracellular" that are upregulated in PADS compared to pre-PADS.