The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* LasR quorum-sensing receptor balances ligand selectivity and sensitivity

Amelia R. McCready[1], Jon E. Paczkowski[1], Brad R. Henke[2], and Bonnie L. Bassler[1,3,4]

Running Title: LasR balances sensitivity and selectivity

Keywords: crystal structure / LasR / *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* / quorum sensing / receptor specificity

From: The Department of Molecular Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544[1], Opti-Mol Consulting, LLC, Cary, NC 27513[2], Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Chevy Chase, MD 20815[3]

[4]To whom correspondence should be addressed: Prof. Bonnie L. Bassler, Department of Molecular Biology, Princeton University, 329 Lewis Thomas Laboratory, Princeton, NJ 08544.

Email:bbassler@princeton.edu

Abstract

Quorum sensing is a cell-cell communication process that bacteria use to orchestrate group behaviors. Quorum sensing is mediated by extracellular signal molecules called autoinducers. Autoinducers are often structurally similar, raising questions concerning how bacteria distinguish among them. Here, we use the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* LasR quorum-sensing receptor to explore receptor sensitivity and selectivity. Alteration of LasR amino acid S129 increases ligand selectivity and decreases ligand sensitivity. Conversely, the L130F mutation enhances LasR sensitivity while reducing selectivity. We solve crystal structures of LasR ligand binding domains complexed with non-cognate autoinducers. Comparison to existing structures reveals that ligand selectivity/sensitivity is mediated by a flexible loop adjacent to the ligand binding site. We show that *P. aeruginosa* harboring LasR variants with modified selectivity or sensitivity exhibit altered quorum-sensing responses. We suggest that an evolutionary trade-off between ligand selectivity and sensitivity enables LasR to optimally regulate quorum-sensing traits.

Introduction

Quorum sensing is a cell-cell communication process that enables bacteria to collectively control behavior (reviewed in Papenfort & Bassler, 2016). Quorum sensing relies on the production, release, and detection of extracellular signal molecules called autoinducers (Albus, Pesci, RunyenJanecky, West, & Iglewski, 1997; Engebrecht, Nealson, & Silverman, 1983; Latifi et al., 1995). At low cell density, autoinducer

concentration is low, and bacteria act as individuals. As cell density increases,

autoinducer concentration also rises. Under this condition, autoinducers bind to cognate

receptors, initiating the population-wide regulation of genes underlying collective

behaviors.

Many species of Gram-negative bacteria use acylated homoserine lactones

[HSLs] as autoinducers (Brint & Ohman, 1995; Cao & Meighen, 1989; Eberhard et al.,

1981; Hanzelka et al., 1999; Pearson et al., 1994). HSL autoinducers possess identical

lactone head groups, but they vary in acyl tail length and decoration. The tail modifications

promote specificity between particular HSL autoinducers and partner receptors (Churchill

& Chen, 2011). There are two kinds of HSL autoinducer receptors. First, there are LuxR-

type receptors, which are cytoplasmic HSL-binding transcription factors that possess

variable ligand binding domains [LBD] and well-conserved helix-turn-helix DNA binding

domains [DBD] (Nasser & Reverchon, 2007; Vannini et al., 2002). There are also LuxN-

type receptors, which are membrane-spanning two-component signaling proteins that

bind HSL ligands in their periplasmic regions and transduce information regarding ligand

occupancy internally by phosphorylation/dephosphorylation cascades (Bassler, Wright,

Showalter, & Silverman, 1993; Freeman, Lilley, & Bassler, 2000; reviewed in Papenfort

& Bassler, 2016).

Ligand sensitivity and selectivity has been examined in the founding member of

the LuxN receptor family from *Vibrio harveyi* (Ke, Miller, & Bassler, 2015). LuxN is

exquisitely selective for its cognate autoinducer $3OHC_4HSL$. Specific amino acids were

identified in the predicted LuxN transmembrane spanning region that confer selectivity for

tail length and for tail decoration. Longer HSLs competitively inhibit LuxN, suggesting that, in mixed-species consortia, *V. harveyi* monitors the vicinity for competing species, and in response to their presence, exploits LuxN antagonism to delay the launch of its quorum-sensing behaviors, thus avoiding loss of expensive public goods to non-kin.

Some analyses of ligand preference in LuxR-type receptors have been performed. TraR from *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* excludes non-native HSLs (Hawver, Jung, & Ng, 2016; Vannini et al., 2002; You et al., 2006; Zhu & Winans, 2001), LasR from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* detects several long chain HSLs (Gerdt et al., 2017), and SdiA from *Escherichia coli* is highly promiscuous and avidly responds to HSLs with variable chain lengths (Michael, Smith, Swift, Heffron, & Ahmer, 2001; Nguyen et al., 2015; Sitnikov, Schineller, & Baldwin, 1996). Comparison of structures of the LasR and TraR LBDs suggests that increased hydrogen bonding to the ligand in TraR, compared to LasR, accounts for the selectivity difference (Gerdt et al., 2017). Here, we systematically explore the LasR response to $3OC_{12}HSL$ and non-native HSLs with respect to selectivity and sensitivity. We use mutagenesis to establish the amino acid determinants that enable LasR to discriminate between HSLs. We identify LasR S129 as the amino acid residue that, when altered, improves LasR selectivity for HSLs by restricting the set of HSLs capable of activation. Mutations at LasR S129, however, reduce overall affinity for HSL ligands. In contrast, we find that LasR L130F exhibits diminished ligand selectivity, responding to a broader set of HSLs than wildtype LasR, with enhanced sensitivity. Altering LasR sensitivity or selectivity affects the timing and strength of quorum-sensing control of *P. aeruginosa* behaviors. Finally, we solve crystal structures of the LasR LBD

L130F bound to non-native autoinducers to establish the structural basis underlying

ligand selectivity and sensitivity. We find that a flexible loop located near the ligand

binding pocket promotes ligand promiscuity in the wildtype protein. This loop exists in

SdiA, which is promiscuous, but not in TraR which is highly specific for its cognate ligand.

We propose that there is a trade-off between ligand selectivity and sensitivity in LasR and

evolution has established a balance between ligand discrimination and ligand sensitivity.

Because LasR requires higher concentrations of non-cognate autoinducers than its

cognate autoinducer for activation, this tradeoff could allow LasR to robustly respond to

its own signal molecule, even in the presence of other bacteria that are producing HSL

autoinducers. Nonetheless, *P. aeruginosa* would be capable of reacting to the presence

of these other bacteria when it is outnumbered.

Results

LasR responds to multiple HSL autoinducers

To investigate the preference LasR displays for different HSLs, we employed a plasmid

reporter system in which transcription from a LasR-controlled promoter fused to luciferase

(p*lasB-lux*) was assessed in *E. coli*. Arabinose-inducible *lasR* was cloned on a second

plasmid (Paczkowski et al., 2017; Pearson, Pesci, & Iglewski, 1997). A set of HSLs

differing in both carbon chain length and in functionality at the C-3 carbon were

synthesized using a modification of a previously reported method (Chhabra et al., 2003)

(see Appendix). Figure 1A shows reporter output following addition of 100 nM of the

cognate autoinducer, $3OC_{12}HSL$, and four other HSLs ($3OC_{14}HSL$, $3OC_{10}HSL$,

3OC$_8$HSL, and 3OC$_6$HSL). All five HSLs activated LasR, but to differing levels.

3OC$_{12}$HSL, 3OC$_{14}$HSL, and 3OC$_{10}$HSL elicited maximal LasR activity, and 3OC$_8$HSL and

3OC$_6$HSL stimulated 7-fold and 18-fold less activity, respectively. We examined 8 other

HSLs harboring different functionalities on the C-3 carbon in combination with the various

tail lengths. Using dose-response analyses, we obtained EC$_{50}$ values for the compounds

(Table 1 and Table S1). 3OC$_{12}$HSL was the most potent ligand, with an EC$_{50}$ of

approximately 2.8 nM. 3OC$_{14}$HSL was half as potent with an EC$_{50}$ of 5.6 nM. From there,

the EC$_{50}$ values followed the order 3OC$_{10}$HSL < 3OC$_8$HSL < 3OC$_6$HSL. Table EV1 shows

the remainder of the data and that the ketone versions of the molecules are the most

potent for every chain length. For this reason, we used the five HSLs shown in Table 1

for much of the remainder of this work. We measured *in vivo* LasR activity in response to

the test HSLs using an elastase assay (Gambello & Iglewski, 1991). Elastase is encoded

by *lasB*, and as a reminder, we employed the *lasB* promoter in the *E. coli* reporter assay.

For the elastase analyses, we used a Δ*lasI P. aeruginosa* strain that makes no

endogenous 3OC$_{12}$HSL. When supplied at 100 nM, all of the test compounds elicited

some elastase activity, but 3OC$_{12}$HSL stimulated the highest elastase production (Figure

1B). We do note that in *P. aeruginosa*, 3OC$_{14}$HSL and 3OC$_8$HSL stimulated lower activity

than expected based on their EC$_{50}$ values in *E. coli*. Indeed, as shown below, these two

molecules had reduced activity in all assays in all *P. aeruginosa* strains used here. While

we do not know the underlying molecular mechanism, we suspect that perhaps there is

reduced permeability into *P. aeruginosa* and/or there is a factor in *P. aeruginosa* that does

not exist in *E. coli* that binds and titrates out these two molecules. Nonetheless, our results

indicate that, at least with respect to the HSLs we tested, *in vivo,* LasR is most active in

response to its cognate autoinducer $3OC_{12}HSL$, but non-cognate HSLs can induce

production of the quorum-sensing product elastase, and presumably other quorum-

sensing regulated outputs.

LasR and most other LuxR-type receptors fold around their cognate HSL ligands.

Thus, they are only soluble, capable of dimerizing, and binding DNA when ligand is

present (Bottomley, Muraglia, Bazzo, & Carfi, 2007; Zhu & Winans, 2001). The results in

Figure 1A and 1B suggest that LasR can fold around HSLs in addition to $3OC_{12}HSL$. To

verify this notion, we tested whether LasR could be solubilized by non-native HSLs. To

do this, we grew *E. coli* producing the LasR LBD in the presence of the 13 HSL

compounds (Figure 1C shows the five test compounds and Figure EV1A shows the eight

other compounds in the collection). Consistent with previous results, in the absence of

any ligand (DMSO control), the LasR LBD is present in the whole cell lysate, but not in

the soluble fraction indicating that the protein is insoluble (O'Loughlin et al., 2013;

Schuster, Urbanowski, & Greenberg, 2004). All five of the HSL test compounds except

for $3OC_6HSL$ solubilized the LasR LBD (Figure 1C). Nonetheless, we could only purify to

homogeneity the LasR LBD bound to the ligands containing the longer acyl tails:

$3OC_{12}HSL$, $3OC_{14}HSL$, and $3OC_{10}HSL$. Together, the results in Figure 1 suggest that

although $3OC_8HSL$ and $3OC_6HSL$ can bind to and activate LasR, their interactions must

be more transient than ligands with longer acyl tails. To garner evidence for this idea, we

performed thermal shift analyses on LasR LBD-ligand complexes without and with the

addition of either the same or a different HSL. The LasR LBD bound to $3OC_{10}HSL$,

$3OC_{12}HSL$, and $3OC_{14}HSL$ had melting temperatures of 42.3 ^oC, 49.1 ^oC, and 50.5 ^oC,

respectively (Figure 2, black lines) showing that LasR stability increases with increasing

ligand tail length. Notably, the LasR LBD is more stable when bound to the non-cognate

ligand $3OC_{14}HSL$ than when bound to the cognate ligand $3OC_{12}HSL$. The discrepancy

between the enhanced stability of purified LasR LBD:$3OC_{14}HSL$ relative to $3OC_{12}HSL$ in

the thermal shift assay and the higher EC_{50} for $3OC_{14}HSL$ compared to $3OC_{12}HSL$ could

result from increased hydrophobic interactions in the stably purified complex that do not

drive activation and affinity of LasR for a particular ligand.

Exogenously supplied autoinducers can shift the melting temperature of an

existing receptor-ligand complex if the added ligand has the ability to stabilize the

unfolding protein as it releases the pre-bound ligand. Importantly, exogenously supplied

HSLs can only stabilize the LasR LBD if they have affinities that are equal to or higher

than the originally bound ligand (Paczkowski et al., 2017). In the case of the LasR

LBD:$3OC_{10}HSL$ complex, when added exogenously, the ligands $3OC_{10}HSL$, $3OC_{12}HSL$,

and $3OC_{14}HSL$ stabilize the LasR LBD, increasing the melting temperature 3.4 ^oC, 6.4

^oC, and 5.5 ^oC, respectively (Figure 2). By contrast, the LasR LBD:$3OC_{12}HSL$ and the

LasR LBD:$3OC_{14}HSL$ complexes could only be further stabilized by exogenously supplied

$3OC_{12}HSL$ and $3OC_{14}HSL$, but not by $3OC_{10}HSL$, indicating that the association rate of

$3OC_{10}HSL$ for the LasR LBD is slower than that of $3OC_{12}HSL$ and $3OC_{14}HSL$ (Figure 2).

While the short acyl chain HSLs were capable of activation of LasR with high micromolar

EC_{50} values in the p*lasB-lux* reporter assay, $3OC_6HSL$ and $3OC_8HSL$ did not stabilize the

LasR LBD protein sufficiently in *E. coli*, presumably due to their low affinities (Figure 1C

and Table 1). Thus, they could not be tested in the traditional thermal shift assay. They

also did not enhance the stabilization of the LasR LBD pre-bound with other ligands,

analogous to the inability of $3OC_{10}HSL$ to stabilize the LasR LBD:$3OC_{12}HSL$ complex as

it melted (Figure 2). Together, our results indicate that in an environment containing a

mixture of HSL autoinducers, LasR will preferentially detect long chain HSLs, with

superior preference for its own autoinducer $3OC_{12}HSL$, followed closely by $3OC_{14}HSL$.

LasR S129 drives ligand selectivity and sensitivity.

To understand how LasR selects HSL ligands with which to interact, we performed

site directed mutagenesis guided by the existing crystal structure of the LasR LBD bound

to $3OC_{12}HSL$ (Bottomley et al., 2007). We first focused on residue S129. Previous work

has demonstrated that alteration of serine to alanine at this site enables some synthetic

LasR activators to transform into inhibitors and vice-versa (Gerdt, McInnis, Schell, &

Blackwell, 2015; Gerdt, McInnis, Schell, Rossi, & Blackwell, 2014). Moreover, the LasR

LBD structure suggests that S129 is part of the network that interacts with the ligand acyl

tail (Bottomley et al., 2007). For these reasons, we predicted that S129 could contribute

to LasR selectivity. We constructed LasR S129C, S129W, S129F, S129T, and S129M

and examined their activities in the *E. coli* p*lasB-lux* reporter system. Table 1 shows the

EC_{50} values for all of the mutants and the five test compounds. The EC_{50} values follow

the order: wildtype < LasR S129C < LasR S129W < LasR S129F < LasR S129T < LasR

S129M. We note there are two exceptions to this trend among the low affinity interactions

(Table 1). However, in those cases, the EC_{50} values are high and we suspect that the

differences are not meaningful. The most severe mutation, LasR S129M responded

exclusively to $3OC_{12}HSL$ but it was the least sensitive of all the mutants to this ligand.

Conversely, among the mutants, LasR S129C responded to the largest range of HSL

varieties and it was the most sensitive. In Figure 3A, we provide the assay results for

LasR S129F with the five test HSLs. We chose LasR S129F as the representative mutant

for in-depth analysis because it had intermediate EC_{50} values among those obtained for

this set of mutants. We assayed LasR S129F at 10 μM of each compound, because

increased ligand concentration was required to activate LasR S129F compared to

wildtype LasR (see Table 1 and Figure 1). At 10 μM ligand, wildtype LasR and LasR

S129F maximally responded to $3OC_{12}HSL$ and $3OC_{14}HSL$. However, compared to

wildtype LasR, LasR S129F was 7-fold less responsive to $3OC_{10}HSL$, 13-fold less

responsive to $3OC_6HSL$ and showed almost no response to $3OC_8HSL$. In the $\Delta lasI$ P.

aeruginosa strain (Figure 3B), LasR S129F displayed a similar pattern. Together, our data

indicate that alteration of LasR S129 restricts the set of HSLs that can activate LasR but

also increases the EC_{50} values of activating HSLs. We conclude that LasR S129 drives

selectivity. While the LasR S129 variants improve selectivity, they diminish sensitivity.

This finding suggests that a trade-off exists between selectivity and EC_{50}.

<u>LasR L130F drives ligand sensitivity</u>

Because LasR S129F improves ligand selectivity and reduces sensitivity, we

suspected that other residues in the vicinity could also affect ligand selectivity. For this

reason, we exchanged L128 and L130 for phenylalanine. As above, we tested their

responses to the set of representative HSLs using the *E. coli* p*lasB-lux* reporter assay.

Table 1 shows that, surprisingly, LasR L130F exhibited a lower EC_{50} for every autoinducer

tested. LasR L128F also conferred improved sensitivity to some short chain HSLs (Table

S1), but its phenotype was less pronounced than that of LasR L130F, so we further

analyzed the LasR L130F mutant here. Figure 3C shows the wildtype LasR and the LasR

L130F responses to a low concentration (50 nM) of the test HSLs. At this concentration,

LasR L130F was roughly equivalent to wildtype LasR with respect to the response to

$3OC_{12}HSL$, $3OC_{14}HSL$, and $3OC_{10}HSL$. However, LasR L130F was approximately 5-fold

more responsive to $3OC_8HSL$ than wildtype LasR and it was modestly more responsive

to $3OC_6HSL$. When we performed the *P. aeruginosa* elastase assay at 50 nM test

compound (Figure 3D), wildtype LasR only responded to $3OC_{12}HSL$, whereas LasR

L130F responded to $3OC_{14}HSL$, $3OC_{10}HSL$, and $3OC_8HSL$ in addition to $3OC_{12}HSL$.

Collectively, these results show that LasR L130F is more sensitive to HSLs than wildtype

LasR but it is less selective.

We used thermal shift analyses to explore the mechanism underlying the

increased sensitivity of the LasR L130F mutant for HSLs. We used $3OC_{12}HSL$ and

$3OC_{14}HSL$ as our test ligands. Compared to the wildtype LasR LBD, the LasR LBD L130F

is more stable when bound to each ligand (Figure 4A), suggesting that the L130F

alteration increases the overall stability of the LasR protein. We exploited this feature to

successfully purify the LasR LBD L130F bound to $3OC_8HSL$. As mentioned above, we

could not purify the wildtype LasR LBD bound to $3OC_8HSL$. We performed thermal shift

analyses on the LasR LBD L130F bound to $3OC_8HSL$, $3OC_{10}HSL$, $3OC_{12}HSL$, and

$3OC_{14}HSL$ to which we added different HSLs. Similar to the wildtype LasR LBD,

exogenous addition of HSLs with long acyl chains further enhanced the stability of the

LasR LBD L130F:HSL complexes compared to when HSLs with shorter acyl chains were

added (Figure 2 and Figure 4B). For instance, the LasR LBD L130F:$3OC_8HSL$ stability

was enhanced by the addition of $3OC_8HSL$, $3OC_{10}HSL$, $3OC_{12}HSL$, and $3OC_{14}HSL$ but

not $3OC_6HSL$ (Figure 4B). Protein solubility analyses track with these findings; long acyl

chain ligands solubilize the LasR LBD L130F protein whereas short chain ligands do not

(Figure 4C, Figure S1B). Consistent with our EC_{50} values, chain length appears to be the

most important factor driving protein solubility and stabilization for both the LasR LBD and

the LasR LBD L130F (Figure S1B and Figure 4B, respectively).

<u>LasR ligand selectivity and sensitivity influence the timing of quorum-sensing-controlled</u>

<u>traits</u>

We have shown that LasR L130F detects and responds to HSLs at lower

concentrations than does wildtype LasR, whereas LasR S129F requires higher

concentrations. Thus, we predicted that introducing these alleles into *P. aeruginosa*

should influence its quorum-sensing responses in opposing manners. To test this

prediction, we assayed pyocyanin production over time as the quorum-sensing readout

in response to $3OC_{12}HSL$, $3OC_{14}HSL$, and $3OC_8HSL$ in a $\Delta lasI$ *P. aeruginosa* strain

containing wildtype *lasR*, *lasR S129F*, or *lasR L130F*. Guided by the EC_{50} values for each

HSL, we tested appropriate low and high concentrations of each HSL to set windows that

would enable us to observe responses from the wildtype and each mutant. Consistent

with their relative EC_{50} values, when a low concentration of $3OC_{12}HSL$ (50 nM) was

added, the strain with LasR L130F made more pyocyanin than the strain with wildtype

LasR at every time point (Figure 5A). At this HSL concentration, the strain carrying LasR

S129F never activated pyocyanin production, presumably because the concentration of

$3OC_{12}HSL$ was far below the EC_{50} for LasR S129F (Figure 5A). When the same assay

was performed with $1\mu M$ $3OC_{12}HSL$, rapid and maximal pyocyanin output occurred for *P.*

aeruginosa carrying both wildtype LasR and LasR L130F. Furthermore, this high ligand

concentration reveals that LasR S129F can drive pyocyanin production in response to

$3OC_{12}HSL$, albeit weakly and only after 5.5 hours (Figure 5B). A similar pattern was

observed for the low concentration of $3OC_{14}HSL$. Specifically, LasR L130F activated

pyocyanin production earlier than wildtype LasR, and LasR S129F failed to activate

pyocyanin production (Figure 5C). At the high concentration of $3OC_{14}HSL$, LasR S129F

did activate pyocyanin production, however later and less strongly than wildtype LasR

and LasR L130F (Figure 5D).   With respect to $3OC_8HSL$, at both low and high

concentrations, all of the responses were considerably weaker than with the longer chain

HSLs, nonetheless, in each case, LasR L130F more strongly activated pyocyanin

production than wildtype LasR (Figure 5E, F).   LasR S129F showed no response in the

$3OC_8HSL$ assays (Figure 5E, F).

We note one curious finding with 10 μM $3OC_{14}HSL$ in Figure 5D. At this

concentration, wildtype LasR activated pyocyanin earlier and more strongly than LasR

L130F, which does not track with the EC_{50} values and all of our above companion

analyses. We propose that this phenotype is due to RsaL accumulation. RsaL is a

quorum-sensing regulator whose expression is activated by LasR (Rampioni et al., 2007;

Schuster & Greenberg, 2007). RsaL represses pyocyanin production genes (Cabeen,

2014; Schuster & Greenberg, 2007). We propose that because LasR L130F is more

active than wildtype LasR, at high autoinducer concentration, LasR L130F possesses

increased activity relative to wildtype LasR, and so LasR L130F stimulates higher RsaL

production than does wildtype LasR. As a consequence, partial pyocyanin inhibition

occurs. This logic suggests that, at high autoinducer concentration, wildtype LasR would

activate sufficient RsaL production to cause pyocyanin inhibition. Indeed, addition of 100

μM 3OC$_{12}$HSL to the ΔlasI strain carrying wildtype LasR induced less pyocyanin

production than when 1 μM 3OC$_{12}$HSL was added (Figure S2). We show that RsaL is

responsible for this phenotype by deleting the rsaL gene. As expected, the ΔlasI ΔrsaL

strain produced increased overall pyocyanin relative to the ΔlasI strain. Importantly,

however, unlike the ΔlasI strain, the ΔlasI ΔrsaL double mutant does not produce more

pyocyanin in response to 1 μM 3OC$_{12}$HSL than in response to 100 μM 3OC$_{12}$HSL (Figure

S2). We never observed pyocyanin reductions in the strains carrying any of the LasR

alleles when 3OC$_8$HSL was added, even at 100 μM. We suspect this is because

3OC$_8$HSL is such a poor agonist that there is not enough LasR activity at any

concentration to stimulate high level RsaL accumulation.

Structural basis underlying LasR ligand preferences

To determine the molecular basis enabling LasR to accommodate non-native

autoinducers, we determined the structures of the LasR LBD L130F bound to 3OC$_{10}$HSL

and $3OC_{14}HSL$. As mentioned, the wildtype LasR LBD structure bound to the native

autoinducer, $3OC_{12}HSL$ already exists (Bottomley et al., 2007). Our rationale for using

the LasR LBD L130F mutant for these studies was that, its inherently enhanced stability,

as judged by the thermal shift data (Figure 4A), suggested that it would be ideal for

crystallographic studies that are not possible with the wildtype LasR LBD. Indeed, we

could determine the structures of LasR LBD $L130F:3OC_{10}HSL$ and LasR LBD

$L130F:3OC_{14}HSL$ to 2.1 Å and 1.9 Å, respectively (Table A1). This resolution is sufficient

to observe ligand occupancy and to compare with the LasR $LBD:3OC_{12}HSL$ structure

(Figure 6A). The L130F residue is buried in a hydrophobic pocket distal to the ligand

binding pocket (Figure S3A). Phenylalanine, rather than leucine at this position, provides

increased hydrophobic interactions with amino acid residues L23, L30, F32, I35, L114,

L118, L128, L151, and L154. We suggest that this arrangement increases the overall

stability of the LasR protein, which in turn, allows it to accommodate an expanded set of

HSLs compared to wildtype LasR.

To understand how LasR can accommodate multiple long chain HSL ligands in its

binding site, we used the crystal structures to examine the key residues and regions of

the binding pocket that interact with the different ligands. In all the structures, the lactone

head groups have the exact same placement, likely due to strong hydrogen bonding

between the lactone ring carbonyl moiety and residue W60 (Figure 6B, displayed in

brown). The lactone head group and the ketone moiety on carbon 3 are further stabilized

by an extensive hydrogen bonding network comprised of residues Y56, K61, D73, T75,

W88, Y93, S129, and S131 (Figure 6B, displayed in brown). In the three structures, the

C_{10}, C_{12}, and C_{14} tails take similar paths, until carbon 6, where C_{10} and C_{14} diverge from

the path taken by the C_{12} tail in the original structure. Remarkably, this departure in tail

path enables both the shorter and longer tails to occupy a similar hydrodynamic volume

as the tail on 3OC$_{12}$HSL (Figure S3B). The volume constraint is likely established by

hydrophobic interactions with residues G38, L40, A50, I52, A70, V76, L125, and A127

(Figure 6B, displayed in pink). These same residues are also responsible for stabilizing

3OC$_{10}$HSL in the ligand binding pocket. However, because the C_{10} tail is two carbons

shorter than that of the native ligand, the terminal carbons in 3OC$_{10}$HSL have higher

intrinsic flexibility at the ligand-protein interface. The measured B-factors suggest

increased flexibility stems from less stable hydrophobic interactions (Figure 6C).

We next investigated whether there were any structural rearrangements in the

crystals that could account for the expanded HSL binding capabilities of LasR L130F

compared to wildtype LasR. An ~2 Å shift occurs in the loop corresponding to residues

40-52 (highlighted by asterisks in Figure 6A) in the LasR LBD L130F:3OC$_{14}$HSL structure

compared to the LasR LBD L130F protein complexed with 3OC$_{10}$HSL and the wildtype

LasR LBD complexed with 3OC$_{12}$HSL (Figure 6A and 6C). This loop corresponds to a

region with above average B-factor, as depicted by magenta coloring in Figure 6C. The

alteration in the positioning of the loop indicates that it could be important for

accommodating different HSLs. To test this possibility, we mutated LasR residue Y47 in

this loop. We chose Y47 because it is the residue that shifts the most among the different

structures. LasR Y47S and LasR Y47R displayed decreased sensitivity to both 3OC$_{12}$HSL

and 3OC$_{14}$HSL in the *E. coli* reporter assay (Figure S4) suggesting that the loop provides

interactions with the ligand tails that foster increased protein stability, allowing LasR to be

activated.

To understand the structural basis underlying specificity and promiscuity in this

family of proteins, we compared the structures of SdiA LBD:$3OC_8HSL$, CviR LBD:C_6HSL,

and TraR LBD:$3OC_8HSL$ to LasR LBD L130F:$3OC_{14}HSL$ (Figure 7A). We chose these

particular structures because the receptors display a range of ligand selection

preferences – from strict to promiscuous. Similar to what we show here for LasR, SdiA is

promiscuous with respect to ligand selectivity (Michael et al., 2001; Nguyen et al., 2015;

Sitnikov et al., 1996). Conversely, CviR and TraR display strict specificity for their native

autoinducers (Chen et al., 2011; Vannini et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2002; Zhu & Winans,

2001). In terms of overall tertiary structure, a loop similar to the one we pinpoint in Figure

6A as critical for LasR to accommodate different ligands, exists in the SdiA LBD (Figure

7A). No such loop exists in the CviR and TraR LBD structures (Figure 7A). This result is

consistent with the idea that this flexible loop confers ligand promiscuity.

In addition to the differences in the overall structures of the receptors, we noted

different conformations for the acyl chains in the LasR LBD structures compared to those

in the other LuxR-type receptors. The autoinducer acyl chains in the CviR, TraR, and

SdiA LBD structures have similar conformations within the ligand binding pockets

terminating between residues Y88 and M89 for CviR, Y61 and F62 for TraR, and Y71 and

Q72 for SdiA. By contrast, the acyl chains of the different ligands in the LasR LBD L130F

structures orient their terminal carbons toward the opposing face of the ligand binding

pocket (Figure 7B). These different paths appear to be driven by the hydrophobic

interactions we described above for the different LasR ligands (Figure 6B). We identified

eight hydrophobic residues (G38, L40, A50, I52, A70, V76, L126, and A127) that dictate

the shape of the ligand binding pocket in LasR. Indeed, these residues have generally

hydrophobic characteristics in all LuxR-type proteins, but the size of each sidechain varies

(Figure 7B). For example, A127 in LasR corresponds to F132 in SdiA. The smaller residue

in LasR accommodates the altered path taken by its autoinducer, allowing the terminal

carbon of the acyl chain to bind proximal to residue A127. A bulkier residue at this

position, as in SdiA, sterically hinders this path for the ligand, forcing the acyl chain to

bend in the opposite direction. Thus, in SdiA, F132 forces the terminal carbon of

$3OC_8HSL$ to bind distally. Indeed, the I153 residue in CviR and the M127 residue in TraR

appear to perform roles analogous to F132 in SdiA in dictating the distal orientations of

their ligands.

Our crystal structures suggest that LasR G38 could also be important for allowing

HSL tails to adopt a conformation different from those in SdiA, TraR, and CviR and, in so

doing, possibly affect LasR ligand selection (Figure 7B). SdiA, TraR, and CviR all contain

larger amino acid sidechains (C45, L45, and A59, respectively) at this position (Figure

7B). LasR G38 lies proximal to carbons 9 and 10 in the acyl chains of all the ligands in all

the LasR LBD structures. Presumably, a bulkier side chain would sterically hinder the

preferred tail paths for $3OC_{12}HSL$ or $3OC_{14}HSL$, but might enhance the binding of short

chain HSLs, such as $3OC_6HSL$, due to increased hydrophobic interactions. To test this

prediction, we mutated G38 in LasR to bulkier amino acids: leucine, isoleucine, and

alanine. We tested these alleles in our *E. coli* p*lasB-lux* reporter in response to both a

short ($3OC_6HSL$) and a long ($3OC_{12}HSL$) chain HSL (Figure 7C). LasR G38I produced

low p*lasB-lux* activity with both molecules suggesting that isoleucine is too bulky to

accommodate any HSL well. Consistent with this interpretation, the EC_{50} values for LasR

G38I were 533 nM for $3OC_{12}HSL$ and ~66 μM for $3OC_6HSL$. By contrast, LasR G38A and

LasR G38L fully activated the reporter in response to both test ligands (Figure 7C). The

EC_{50} values for wildtype LasR, LasR G38A, LasR G38L, and LasR L130F were equivalent

for $3OC_{12}HSL$ (2.8 nM, 2.5 nM, 2.3 nM, and 2.0 nM, respectively, Figure 7C, top panel).

However, the EC_{50} values for LasR G38A and LasR G38L were lower (1.3 μM and 1.6

μM, respectively) than that of wildtype LasR (2.4 μM) for $3OC_6HSL$ (Figure 7C, bottom

panel). These values are comparable to that of LasR L130F for $3OC_6HSL$ (1.4 μM, Figure

7C, bottom panel). Given that we have established that LasR L130F is more stable than

wildtype LasR, irrespective of ligand chain length, we suggest that the findings in Figure

7 support the hypothesis that larger amino acid residues at G38 improve the LasR affinity

for shorter chain HSLs by forming more stable protein-ligand complexes.

Discussion

P. aeruginosa can live in environments in which it encounters other bacterial

species that produce HSL autoinducers (Tashiro, Yawata, Toyofuku, Uchiyama, &

Nomura, 2013). Our results show that LasR can, with reduced affinity, detect non-native

HSLs and in response, activate transcription of quorum-sensing target genes. We

suggest that determining the mechanisms that promote or restrict ligand access to LasR

is important for understanding how the *P. aeruginosa* quorum-sensing response could be

naturally or synthetically manipulated. Here, we identified mutations that alter the

selectivity and sensitivity of LasR. We found that LasR L130F improves LasR sensitivity,

but at the cost of decreased selectivity. In contrast, we find that alterations at LasR S129

can increase selectivity for autoinducer recognition but, that feature is offset by a

reduction in sensitivity. Our findings suggest that LasR balances ligand sensitivity with

selectivity. The LasR S129 mutants show that decreasing the LasR sensitivity to ligands

dampens and delays the quorum-sensing response (Figure 3B and Figure 5). We

presume that inadequate activation decreases the potency of *P. aeruginosa* virulence. By

contrast, the more sensitive LasR L130F allele causes higher and premature activation

of quorum sensing (Figure 3D and Figure 5). In this case, the release of expensive public

goods before the population is at a sufficient cell density to optimally use those goods

could promote cheating. LasR L130F may also negatively influence virulence at high

autoinducer concentration by stimulating overproduction of RsaL (Figure 5D).

We investigated the published sequences of hundreds of clinical isolates of *P.*

aeruginosa and we did not find any strain that possessed a mutation at either S129 or

L130 (Feltner et al., 2016). We conclude that it is detrimental for LasR to become either

more sensitive to or less selective for ligands. Perhaps, striking the ideal balance between

selectivity and sensitivity in LasR means that detection of some non-native HSLs must be

tolerated, although, importantly, only at higher concentrations relative to the native

autoinducer.

We propose that LasR promiscuity could serve an important function in the

environment (i.e., soil) and in eukaryotic hosts where *P. aeruginosa* encounters other

species of bacteria. We suggest that LasR detection of non-native HSLs enables it to

"eavesdrop" on its competitors. However, our finding that $3OC_{12}HSL$ is the most potent

agonist indicates that *P. aeruginosa* prioritizes detecting its own autoinducer over those

of other species. Amongst our set of test compounds, $3OC_{14}HSL$ is the second best LasR

agonist and it is also highly potent, indicating that the LasR receptor has not optimized

against detection of longer chain HSLs. Possibly, *P. aeruginosa* readily interacts with

$3OC_{14}HSL$-producing bacteria. Alternatively, *P. aeruginosa* might not encounter

$3OC_{14}HSL$ in its natural surroundings as, presently, scant evidence exists for natural

production of $3OC_{14}HSL$ by bacteria. There are two preliminary reports of soil-dwelling

nitrogen-fixing bacteria that are capable of making $3OC_{14}HSL$, $3OHC_{14}HSL$ and/or

$C_{14}HSL$ (Gao, Ma, Zhuang, & Zhuang, 2014; Mellbye, Spieck, Bottomley, & Sayavedra-

Soto, 2017), but if, when, and how much they do remains mysterious. Specifically, mass

spectrometry and bioassay show that *Nitrosospira briensis* produces $3OHC_{14}HSL$, but

only at concentrations of 1 nM (Mellbye et al., 2017), too low for detection by wildtype

LasR. Second, *Nitrospira multiformis* has a LuxI-type synthase (NmuI) that produces

$3OC_{14}HSL$ and $C_{14}HSL$ in recombinant *E. coli*. However, neither of these molecules

solubilized the putative receptor, NmuR, and no conditions were identified under which

Nitrospira multiformis produced the molecules (Gao et al., 2014). Future study will be

necessary to determine the prevalence of HSLs longer than C_{12} in nature and whether *P.*

aeruginosa encounters such molecules.

With the one exception of $3OC_{14}HSL$, wildtype LasR detects $3OC_{12}HSL$ far more

efficiently than other HSLs. Therefore, it is likely that, in mixed-species consortia,

$3OC_{12}HSL$ out-competes all other autoinducers. This finding suggests that while LasR is

capable of detecting non-cognate autoinducers, it does not do so when *P. aergino*sa is

the majority species. However, we propose that there could exist conditions under which

low-affinity "eavesdropping" would benefit *P. aeruginosa.* First, when *P. aeruginosa* is at

low cell density, provision of non-cognate ligands made by other bacterial species that

are at higher cell density could induce *P. aeruginosa* to activate its quorum-sensing

behaviors prematurely relative to when it is at low cell density in mono-species culture. If

so, *P. aeruginosa* could synthesize toxic defensive products such as pyocyanin and

rhamnolipids that endow it with an advantage over competing species (Baron & Rowe,

1981; Smalley, An, Parsek, Chandler, & Dandekar, 2015). Beyond defensive products,

perhaps some of the quorum-sensing-controlled products produced under such

conditions can be used exclusively by *P. aeruginosa* and not by competing species. If so,

LasR promiscuity could grant *P. aeruginosa* a "last ditch" opportunity to survive in

environments in which it is vastly outnumbered by competing species.

Our combined genetic, biochemical, and structural work revealed the molecular

basis for non-native autoinducer recognition by LasR. There exists a key flexible loop

that, if present (LasR, SdiA), endows particular LuxR-type receptors with the ability to

bind to multiple HSL ligands, but if absent (TraR, CviR), the LuxR-type receptor is highly

specific for a particular HSL ligand. Indeed, this structure-function analysis could explain

why a competitive inhibitor of CviR, chlorolactone (CL), behaves as an agonist in *P.*

aeruginosa (O'Loughlin et al., 2013). These findings are particularly enlightening when

considering attempts to design inhibitors that specifically target different LuxR-type

receptors. The flexible loop and hydrophobic residues in LasR near the acyl chain binding

site that we pinpoint here will need to be taken into account when developing small

molecule inhibitors that target LasR or other LuxR-type proteins that possess this feature.

Designing molecules that target the flexibility of the loop region and/or that destabilize the

protein could be explored for promiscuous receptors, such as LasR. By contrast, targeted

screening around molecules that resemble CL could yield inhibitors for LuxR-type

proteins that display strict specificity for their cognate autoinducers, such as CviR.

Materials and Methods

<u>Site directed mutagenesis:</u>

Mutations in *lasR* were constructed on the pBAD-A-*lasR* plasmid (Paczkowski et al.,

2017). Primers were designed using the Agilent Quikchange primer design tool and PCR

with pFUltra polymerase (Agilent). PCR reactions were treated with DpnI to eliminate

parental plasmid DNA and the plasmids with the mutant *lasR* genes were transformed

into One Shot TOP10 chemically competent *E. coli* cells (Invitrogen). Reactions were

plated on LB agar plates containing ampicillin (50 μg/mL) and individual mutants were

verified via sequencing with primers for the *lasR* gene (ARM203 and ARM204). Primers

and strains used in this work are listed in Table A2 and Table A3, respectively.

<u>*P. aeruginosa* strain construction:</u>

In-frame, marker-less *lasR* mutations were engineered onto the chromosome of *P.*

aeruginosa PA14 using pEXG2-suicide constructs with gentamicin selection and *sacB*

counter selection (Borlee, Geske, Blackwell, & Handelsman, 2010; Kukavica-Ibrulj et al.,

2008). The *lasR* gene and 500 bp of flanking regions were cloned into pUCP18

(Schweizer, 1991). Site directed mutagenesis was performed as described above to

construct point mutations in plasmid-borne *lasR*. The DNA carrying the mutant *lasR*

genes was obtained from pUCP18 by restriction enzyme digestion with BamHI and EcoRI

(NEB), and subsequently, the fragments were ligated into pEXG2. The recombinant

pEXG2 plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* SM10λ*pir* and, from there, the plasmids

were mobilized into *P. aeruginosa* PA14 via biparental mating (Mukherjee, Moustafa,

Smith, Goldberg, & Bassler, 2017; Simon, Priefer, & Puhler, 1983). Exconjugants were

selected on LB plates containing 30 μg/mL gentamicin and 100 μg/mL irgasan after

overnight growth at 37° C. After recovery, 5% sucrose was used to select for loss of the

plasmid. Candidate mutants were patched onto LB plates and LB plates containing 30

μg/mL gentamicin to select against the resistance marker. Colony PCR was performed

on gentamicin sensitive patches with primers that annealed 500 bp [base pairs] upstream

and downstream of *lasR* (ARM455 and ARM456). These PCR products were sequenced

with *lasR* forward and reverse primers (ARM203 and ARM204).

E. coli p*lasB-lux* reporter assay for LasR activity:

The development of an assay that reports on LasR activity in response to exogenous

ligands using luciferase as the readout has been described previously (Paczkowski et al.,

2017). In brief, 2 μL of overnight cultures containing p*lasB-luxCDABE* and pBAD-A with

either wildtype *lasR* or mutant *lasR* alleles were back diluted into 200 μL LB medium and

placed into clear-bottom 96-well plates (Corning). The plates were shaken at 30° C for 4

h and 0.1% arabinose was added to each well along with a test HSL at the concentrations

designated in the text and figures. To perform dose response analyses, 1 mM of each

HSL was serially diluted 3-fold 10 times, and 2 μL of each dilution was added to the wells.

Higher or lower HSL concentrations were assayed when EC_{50} values did not fall into this

range. Plates were shaken at 30° C for 4 h. Bioluminescence and OD_{600} were measured

using a Perkin Elmer Envision Multimode plate reader. Relative light units were calculated

by dividing the bioluminescence measurement by the OD_{600} nm measurement. Non-linear

regression was performed in Graphpad Prism6 to obtain EC_{50} values.

Elastase assay:

The *P. aeruginosa* PA14 $\Delta lasI$ strains carrying either wildtype or mutant *lasR* genes were

grown overnight with shaking at 37° C in LB medium. Cultures were back diluted 1:50 in

3 mL of LB and grown for an additional 8 h with shaking at 37° C. Strains were back

diluted 1:1000 into 3 mL of LB medium and test HSLs or an equivalent volume of DMSO

were added to each culture. These cultures were grown overnight at 37° C with shaking.

1 mL of each culture was removed and the cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 16,100

x g. The supernatant was removed and filtered through a .22 μm filter (Millipore) and 100

μL of supernatant was added to 900 μL of 10 mM Na_2HPO_4 containing 10 mg of elastin-

Congo red substrate (Sigma-Aldrich). These preparations were incubated at 37° C for 2

h. The mixtures were subjected to centrifugation at 16,100 x g for 10 min. The resulting

supernatants were removed and OD_{495} nm measured with a Beckman Coulter DU730

spectrophotometer against a blank of H_2O.

<u>Thermal shift assay:</u>

Thermal shift analyses of 6xHis-LasR LBD and 6xHisLasR L130F LBD bound to HSLs

were performed as previously described (Paczkowski et al., 2017). In short, ligand-bound

protein was diluted to 5 μM in reaction buffer (20 mM Tris-HCL pH 8, 200 mM NaCl, and

1 mM DTT [dithiothreitol]) containing DMSO or 10 μM HSL test compound in 18 μL total

volume. The mixtures were incubated at room temperature for 15 min. 5000x SYPRO

Orange (Thermo-Fisher) in DMSO was diluted to 200x in reaction buffer and used at 20x

final concentration. 2 μL of 200x SYPRO Orange was added to the 18 μL sample

immediately prior to analysis. Samples were subjected to a linear heat gradient of 0.05

^{o}C/s, from 25 ^{o}C to 99 ^{o}C in a Quant Studio 6 Flex System (Applied Biosystems) using

the melting curve setting. Fluorescence was measured using the ROX reporter setting.

<u>Pyocyanin time course:</u>

Overnight cultures of the *P. aeruginosa* Δ*lasI*, Δ*lasI lasR S129F*, Δ*lasI lasR L130F*, and

Δ*lasI* Δ*rsaL* strains were grown in LB medium with shaking at 37° C. 1.5 mL of each

culture was diluted into 50 mL of fresh LB medium. HSLs were added at the

concentrations described in the text and figures and the cultures were shaken at 37° C

for an additional 3 h. From there forward, 1 mL aliquots were removed every 30 min for

300 min and cell density (OD_{600} nm) was measured immediately using a Beckman Coulter

DU730 Spectrophotometer. The aliquots were subjected to centrifugation at 16,100 x g

for 2 min and the clarified supernatants were removed. The OD_{695} nm of the supernatants

were measured. Pyocyanin activity was determined by plotting the OD_{695} nm/OD_{600} nm

over time for each strain.

<u>Protein production, purification, and crystallography:</u>

Recombinant 6xHis-LasR LBD and 6xHis-LasR LBD L130F proteins bound to $3OC_8HSL$,

$3OC_{10}HSL$, $3OC_{12}HSL$, or $3OC_{14}HSL$ were purified as previously described for LasR

LBD:$3OC_{12}HSL$ using Ni-NTA affinity columns followed by size exclusion

chromatography (Paczkowski et al., 2017). 6xHis-LasR LBD bound to $3OC_{10}HSL$ and

6xHis-LasR LBD bound to $3OC_{14}HSL$ were crystallized by the hanging drop diffusion

method. Diffraction data were processed using the HKL-3000 software package (Minor,

Cymborowski, Otwinowski, & Chruszcz, 2006). The structures were solved using Phaser

in Phenix (Adams et al., 2011; Afonine et al., 2012) by molecular replacement, with the

structure of LasR LBD:$3OC_{12}HSL$ used as the search model (Bottomley et al., 2007).

Model building was performed using Coot (Emsley & Cowtan, 2004; Emsley, Lohkamp,

Scott, & Cowtan, 2010) and further refinement was accomplished using Phenix (Adams

et al., 2011). Images of the structures were generated with PyMOL (DeLano, 2009). When

we describe specific amino acid or amino acid-ligand interactions, we provide the image

for the best resolved example in the asymmetric unit.

<u>Protein solubility assay:</u>

E. coli BL21 DE3 (Invitrogen) containing plasmid-borne 6xHis-LasR LBD or 6xHis-LasR

LBD L130F were grown overnight and back diluted 1:500 in 20 mL of LB medium

containing ampicillin (100 μg/mL). Cultures were grown to OD_{600} of 0.5 and protein

production was induced with 1 mM IPTG [Isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside]. Upon

addition of IPTG, the desired test HSL was also added at a final concentration of 10 μM,

and the cultures were incubated at 25 °C with shaking for 4 h. Cells were harvested at

3,000 x g and resuspended in lysis buffer (500 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCL pH 8, 20 mM

imidazole, 5% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT). The cells were lysed using

sonication (1 s pulses for 15 s with a 50% duty cycle). The fraction we call the whole cell

lysate was harvested after sonication. The soluble fraction was isolated by centrifugation

at 32,000 x g. Samples were subjected to electrophoresis on SDS-PAGE gels (Biorad)

and imaged with an Image Quant LAS4000 gel dock using the trans-illumination setting

(GE Healthcare).

<u>Homoserine lactone synthesis:</u>

Unless otherwise indicated, all temperatures are expressed in °C (degrees Centigrade).

All reactions were conducted at room temperature unless otherwise noted. ^{1}H-NMR

spectra were recorded on a Varian VXR-400, or a Varian Unity-400 at 400MHz

[megahertz] field strength. Chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million (ppm, δ

units). Coupling constants (*J*) are in units of hertz (Hz). Splitting patterns describe

apparent multiplicities and are designated as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet),

m (multiplet), quin (quintet) or br (broad). Mass spectrometry analyses were performed

on a Sciex API 100 using electrospray ionization (ESI). LCMS was carried out using a C-

18 reverse phase column (2.1 ID, 3.5 micron, 50 mm). The column conditions were 98%

water with 0.05%TFA and 2% MeOH [methanol] to 100% MeOH over 5.5 min. Analytical

thin layer chromatography was used to verify the purity as well as to follow the progress

of reaction(s). Unless otherwise indicated, all final products were at least 95% pure as

judged by HPLC / MS. Synthesis is diagrammed in Appendix Figure 1.

<u>General procedure for the synthesis of homoserine lactones.</u> To a solution of (3S)-3-

aminotetrahydrofuran-2-one (1.00 eq, HBr salt) and Et_3N (3.00 eq) in DCM was added a

solution of the acid chloride (1.00 eq) in DCM. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred

at room temperature for 3 h. The reaction mixture was then diluted with H_2O (5 mL), and

extracted with DCM (3 x 5 mL). The organic layers were combined, washed with brine

(10 mL), dried over Na_2SO_4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a

residue. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography to give the desired

homoserine lactone as a white solid.

<u>(S)-N-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)hexanamide (BB0189).</u> Gradient elution with Petroleum

ether/EtOAc = 3/1 to 1/1 afforded the title compound (425 mg, 96% yield, 98% purity by

LC/MS) as a white solid. ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ = 6.26 (s, 1H), 4.63 (m, 1H), 4.50 (td, J = 1.0,

5.6, 1H), 4.33 (m, 1H), 2.87 (m, 1H), 2.27 (t, J = 7.4, 2H), 2.20 (m, 1H), 1.71 (t, J = 7.4,

2H), 1.34 (m, 4H), 0.90 (t, J = 7.1, 3H); MS (ESI) calculated for $C_{10}H_{17}NO_3$: m/z = 199;

found: m/z = 200 (M+H).

(S)-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)octanamide (BB0192). Gradient elution with Petroleum

ether/EtOAc = 3/1 to 1/1 afforded the title compound (270 mg, 96% yield, 99% purity by

LC/MS) as a white solid. ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ = 5.94 (s, br, 1H), 4.54 (m, 2H), 4.29 (ddd, *J*

= 5.9, 9.5, 11.3, 1H), 2.88 (m, 1H), 2.26 (t, *J* = 7.7, 2H), 2.13 (m, 1H), 1.63 (m, 2H), 1.30

(m, 8H), 0.89 (t, *J* = 6.6, 3H); MS (ESI) calculated for C$_{12}$H$_{21}$NO$_3$: m/z = 227; found: m/z

= 228 (M+H).

(*S*)-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)decanamide (BB0195). Gradient elution with Petroleum

ether/EtOAc = 10/1 to 1/1 afforded the title compound (632 mg, 94% yield, 99% purity by

LC/MS) as a white solid. ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ = 6.01 (s, br, 1H), 4.55 (ddd, *J* =5.7, 8.6,

11.6, 1H), 4.47 (t, *J* = 9.2, 1H), 4.29 (ddd, *J* = 6.1, 9.4, 11.2, 1H), 2.87 (m, 1H), 2.25 (t, *J*

= 7.7, 2H), 2.12 (m, 1H), 1.66 (m, 2H), 1.30 (m, 12H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 6.8, 3H); MS (ESI)

calculated for C$_{14}$H$_{25}$NO$_3$: m/z = 255; found: m/z = 256 (M+H).

(*S*)-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)dodecanamide   (BB0198).   Gradient   elution   with

Petroleum ether/EtOAc = 10/1 to 1/1 afforded the title compound (632 mg, 94% yield,

99% purity by LC/MS) as a white solid. ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) δ = 5.94 (s, br, 1H), 4.60-4.45

(m, 2H), 4.29 (m, 1H), 2.90 (m, 1H), 2.25 (t, *J* = 7.2, 2H), 2.14 (m, 1H), 1.64 (m, 2H), 1.35-

1.22 (m, 16H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 6.7, 3H); MS (ESI) calculated for C$_{16}$H$_{29}$NO$_3$: m/z = 283; found:

m/z = 284 (M+H).

General Procedures for the synthesis of 3-oxo homoserine lactones.

<u>Procedure A:</u> To a stirring solution of 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione (Meldrum's

acid) (1.00 eq) and DMAP (2.00 eq) in DCM at 0°C was added a solution of the acid

chloride (1.00 eq) in DCM. The resulting reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room

temperature and stirred for 12 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM (30 mL) and

washed with cold 2N HCl (3 x 30 mL). The organic layer was separated, dried over

Na_2SO_4, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a crude product. The

crude product was dissolved in anhydrous 1,4 dioxane (5 mL), and then (3S)-3-

aminotetrahydrofuran-2-one (1.20 eq, HBr salt) and Et_3N (1.00 eq) were added. The

resulting reaction mixture was degassed by purging with N_2 3 times, then heated to 100°C

for 12 h under an N_2 atmosphere. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature,

diluted with H_2O (10 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic

layers were washed with brine (15 mL), dried over Na_2SO_4, filtered, and concentrated

under reduced pressure to give a residue. The residue was purified by silica gel column

chromatography to give the desired 3-oxo homoserine lactone as a white solid.

<u>Procedure B:</u> To a stirring solution of 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione (Meldrum's

acid) (1.00 eq) and DMAP (1.05 eq) in DCM at 0°C was added DCC (1.10 eq) followed

by the requisite carboxylic acid (1.00 eq). The resulting reaction mixture was allowed to

warm to room temperature and stirred for 12 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through

a pad of Celite to remove precipitated solids and concentrated under vacuum. The crude

material was diluted with EtOAc (30 mL) and washed with cold 2N HCl (3 x 30 mL). The

organic layer was separated, dried over Na_2SO_4, filtered and concentrated under reduced

pressure to give a crude product. The crude product was dissolved in anhydrous 1,4

dioxane, and then (3*S*)-3-aminotetrahydrofuran-2-one (1.00 eq, HBr salt) and Et$_3$N (1.00

eq) were added. The resulting reaction mixture was degassed by purging with N$_2$ 3 times,

then heated to 100°C for 12 h under an N$_2$ atmosphere. The reaction mixture was cooled

to room temperature, diluted with H$_2$O (10 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). The

combined organic layers were washed with brine (15 mL), dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered,

and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a residue. The residue was purified by

silica gel column chromatography to give the desired 3-oxo homoserine lactone as a white

solid.

(*S*)-3-oxo-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)hexanamide (BB0187). Following Procedure A,

elution with Petroleum ether/EtOAc = 1/1 afforded the title compound (285 mg, 29% yield,

97% purity by LC/MS) as a white solid. ^{1}H NMR (400MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ = 1H NMR (300 MHz,

CDCl3) δ=7.85 (s, 1H), 4.67 (ddd, *J* = 6.7, 1H), 4.57 (td, *J* = 1.3, 4.2, 1H), 4.34 (m, 1H),

3.52 (s, 2H), 2.82 (m, 1H), 2.56 (t, *J* = 7.2, 2H) 2.33 (m, 1H), 1.64 (m, 2H), 0.98 (t, *J* =

6.5, 3H); MS (ESI) calculated for C$_{10}$H$_{15}$NO$_4$: m/z = 213; found: m/z = 214 (M+H).

(*S*)-3-oxo-*N*-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)octanamide (BB0190). Following Procedure A,

elution with Petroleum ether/EtOAc = 1/1 afforded the title compound (220 mg, 37% yield,

99% purity by LC/MS) as a white solid. ^{1}H NMR (400MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ = 7.66 (s, br, 1H),

4.60 (m, 1H), 4.48 (t, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (m, 1H), 3.47 (s, 2H), 2.77 (m, 1H), 2.53 (t, *J*

= 7.3, 2H), 2.23, m, 1H), 1.61 (m, 2H), 1.31 (m, 4H), 0.90 (t, J = 6.6, 3H); MS (ESI)

calculated for $C_{12}H_{19}NO_4$: m/z = 241; found: m/z = 242 (M+H).

(S)-3-oxo-N-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)decanamide (BB0193). Following Procedure A,

gradient elution with Petroleum ether/EtOAc = 10/1 to 2/1 afforded the title compound (75

mg, 15% yield, 99% purity by LC/MS) as a white solid. ^{1}H NMR (400MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ =

7.68 (s, br, 1H), 4.60 (m, 1H), 4.48 (t, J = 9.1, 1H), 4.28 (m, 1H), 3.47 (s, 2H), 2.77 (m,

1H), 2.52 (t, J = 7.3, 2H), 2.22 (m, 1H), 1.59 (m, 2H), 1.27 (m, 8H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.2, 3H);

MS (ESI) calculated for $C_{14}H_{23}NO_4$: m/z = 269; found: m/z = 270 (M+H).

(S)-3-oxo-N-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)dodecanamide (BB0196). Following Procedure

A, gradient elution with Petroleum ether/EtOAc = 5/1 to 2/1 afforded the title compound

(940 mg, 56% yield, 99% purity by LC/MS) as a white solid. ^{1}H NMR (400MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ

= 7.67 (s, br, 1H), 4.58 (m, 1H), 4.48 (m, 1H), 4.28 (m, 1H), 3.47 (s, 2H), 2.75 (m, 1H),

2.52 (t, J = 7.3, 2H), 2.22 (m, 1H), 1.59 (m, 2H), 1.27 (m, 12H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.2, 3H); MS

(ESI) calculated for $C_{16}H_{27}NO_4$: m/z = 297; found: m/z = 298 (M+H).

(S)-3-oxo-N-(2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)tetradecanamide (BB0219). Following Procedure

B, gradient elution with Petroleum ether/EtOAc = 20/1 to 1/1 afforded the title compound

(3.73 g, 46% yield, 98% purity by LC/MS) as a white solid. ^{1}H NMR (400MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ

= 7.67 (d, J = 5.3, 1H), 4.60 (ddd, J = 6.8, 8.6, 11.4, 1H), 4.48 (dd, J = 9.2, 9.2, 1H), 4.28

(ddd, J = 5.9, 9.4, 11.0, 1H), 3.47 (s, 2H), 2.74 (m, 1H), 2.53 (t, J = 7.5, 2H), 2.23 (m, 1H),

1.59 (m, 2H), 1.26 (m, 16H), 0.91 (t, J = 6.8, 3H); MS (ESI) calculated for $C_{18}H_{31}NO_4$:

m/z = 325; found: m/z = 326 (M+H).

<u>General procedure for the synthesis of 3-hydroxy homoserine lactones.</u> To a stirring

solution of 3-oxo homoserine lactone (1.00 eq) in DME (3 mL) at 0 °C was added $NaBH_4$

(0.35 eq). The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture

was concentrated under reduced pressure to give a residue. The residue was purified by

silica gel column chromatography to give the desired 3-hydroxy homoserine lactone as a

white solid.

<u>3-hydroxy-*N*-((*S*)-2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)hexanamide   (BB0188).</u>   Elution   with

$EtOAc/CH_3CN$ = 50/1 afforded the title compound (96 mg, 45% yield, 96% purity by

LC/MS) as a white solid. ^{1}H NMR (400MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ = 6.64 (dd, br, J = 5.2, 20.0, 1H),

4.61 (m, 1H), 4.49 (t, J = 8.8, 2H), 4.30 (ddd, J = 6.0, 9.5, 11.0, 1H), 4.04 (br s, 1H), 3.18

(dd, J = 3.0, 17.1 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (m, 1H), 2.37 (m, 1H), 2.20(m, 1H), 1.53 - 1.39 (m, 4H),

0.94 (t, J = 6.8, 3H); MS (ESI) calculated for $C_{10}H_{17}NO_4$: m/z = 215; found: m/z = 216

(M+H).

<u>3-hydroxy-*N*-((*S*)-2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)octanamide (BB0191).</u> Gradient elution with

$EtOAc/CH_3CN$ = 80/1 to 70/1 afforded the title compound (96 mg, 32% yield, 99% purity

by LC/MS) as a white solid. ^{1}H NMR (400MHz, DMSO-d_6) δ = 8.31 (s, br, 1H), 4.57 (m,

1H), 4.48 (m, 1H), 4.33 (m, 1H), 4.20 (m, 1H), 3.79 (m, 1H), 2.37 (m, 1H), - 2.16 (m, 3H),

1.40-1.11 (m, 8H), 0.86 (t, J = 6.6, 3H); MS (ESI) calculated for $C_{12}H_{21}NO_4$: m/z = 243;

found: m/z = 244 (M+H).

<u>3-hydroxy-*N*-((*S*)-2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)decanamide (BB0194).</u> Gradient elution with

EtOAc/CH$_3$CN = 80/1 to 70/1 afforded the title compound (106 mg, 38% yield, 99% purity

by LC/MS) as a white solid. ^{1}H NMR (400MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ = 6.63 (d, br, J = 20.4, 1H), 4.59

(m, 1H), 4.49 (m, 1H), 4.30 (m, 1H), 4.03 (m, 1H), 3.15 (d, br, J = 14.0, 1H), 2.81 (m, 1H),

2.44 (m, 1H), 2.38 (m, 1H), 2.20 (m, 1H), 1.53 - 1.22 (m, 12H), 0.89 (t, J = 6.2, 3H); MS

(ESI) calculated for $C_{14}H_{25}NO_4$: m/z = 271; found: m/z = 272 (M+H).

<u>3-hydroxy-*N*-((*S*)-2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)dodecanamide   (BB0197).</u>   Elution   with

EtOAc/CH$_3$CN = 100/1 afforded the title compound (137 mg, 47% yield, 99% purity by

LC/MS) as a white solid. ^{1}H NMR (400MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ = 8.30 (dd, J = 8.1, 11.6, 1H),

4.54 (m, 2H), 4.33 (dt, J = 1.4, 8.8, 1H), 4.19 (m, 1H), 3.79 (m, 1H), 2.38 (m, 1H), 2.22-

2.06 (m, 3H), 1.38-1.18 (m, 16H), 0.86 (t, J = 6.7, 3H); MS (ESI) calculated for $C_{16}H_{29}NO_4$:

m/z = 299; found: m/z = 300 (M+H).

<u>Statistical methods:</u>

In all experiments, values are the average of 3 biological replicates, each of which was

assessed in 2 or 3 technical replicates as noted. For EC$_{50}$ analyses, wildtype LasR was

used as the control. EC$_{50}$ values that appear in multiple experiments represent the mean

from all experiments (Table 1, Figure 7, Figure S4 and Table S1). In these cases, 3

technical replicates of 3 biological replicates were assayed for every protein/molecule in

each experiment. Biological replicates are defined as distinct samples analyzed on

separate days. Technical replicates are defined as multiple measurements made on the

same sample. Error bars represent standard deviations of the means. 2-tailed T-tests

were performed to compare experimental groups. P values: *<.05, **<.01, ***<.0001

Accession Number

The coordinates and structure factors will be deposited in the Protein Data Bank upon

acceptance of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Fred Hughson and Dr. Philip Jeffrey for assistance with crystallography. We

also thank Dr. Chari Smith and the entire Bassler group for insightful ideas about this

research. This work was supported by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, National

Institutes of Health Grant 5R37GM065859, and National Science Foundation Grant MCB

1713731 (B.L.B.), NIGMS T32GM007388 (A.R.M), and a Jane Coffin Childs Memorial

Fund for Biomedical Research Postdoctoral Fellowship (J.E.P.). The authors declare that

they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article. The content is solely the

responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the

National Institutes of Health.

Author Contributions

A.R.M. performed the experiments and interpreted the data in Figures 1, 3, 5, 7, S2, S4

and Tables 1 and S1. J.E.P. performed the experiments and interpreted the data in

Figures 2, 4, 6, 7, S1, and S3. B.R.H designed the synthesis for all the homoserine

lactones used. B.L.B., A.R.M., and J.E.P conceived of the study. B.L.B coordinated the

study and helped with interpretation of results. All of the authors contributed to the writing

of the manuscript

Literature Cited

Adams, P. D., Afonine, P. V., Bunkóczi, G., Chen, V. B., Echols, N., Headd, J. J., . . . Zwart, P. H. (2011). The Phenix software for automated determination of macromolecular structures. *Methods, 55*(1), 94-106. doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2011.07.005

Afonine, P. V., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Echols, N., Headd, J. J., Moriarty, N. W., Mustyakimov, M., . . . Adams, P. D. (2012). Towards automated crystallographic structure refinement with phenix.refine. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr, 68*(Pt 4), 352-367. doi:10.1107/S0907444912001308

Albus, A. M., Pesci, E. C., RunyenJanecky, L. J., West, S. E. H., & Iglewski, B. H. (1997). Vfr controls quorum sensing in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Journal of Bacteriology, 179*(12), 3928-3935.

Baron, S. S., & Rowe, J. J. (1981). Antibiotic action of pyocyanin. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 20*(6), 814-820. doi:10.1128/aac.20.6.814

Bassler, B. L., Wright, M., Showalter, R. E., & Silverman, M. R. (1993). Intercellular Signaling in Vibrio-Harveyi - Sequence and Function of Genes Regulating Expression of Luminescence. *Molecular Microbiology, 9*(4), 773-786. doi:DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2958.1993.tb01737.x

Borlee, B. R., Geske, G. D., Blackwell, H. E., & Handelsman, J. (2010). Identification of Synthetic Inducers and Inhibitors of the Quorum-Sensing Regulator LasR in Pseudomonas aeruginosa by High-Throughput Screening. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 76*(24), 8255-8258. doi:10.1128/aem.00499-10

Bottomley, M. J., Muraglia, E., Bazzo, R., & Carfi, A. (2007). Molecular insights into quorum sensing in the human pathogen Pseudomonas aeruginosa from the structure of the virulence regulator LasR bound to its autoinducer. *Journal of Biological Chemistry, 282*(18), 13592-13600. doi:10.1074/jbc.M700556200

Brint, J. M., & Ohman, D. E. (1995). Sythnesis of multiple exoproducts in Pseudomonas-aeruginosa is under the control of the RhlR-RHll, another set of regulators in strain PAO1 with homology to the autoinducer-responsive LuxR-LuxI Family *Journal of Bacteriology, 177*(24), 7155-7163. doi:10.1128/jb.177.24.7155-7163.1995

Cabeen, M. T. (2014). Stationary Phase-Specific Virulence Factor Overproduction by a lasR Mutant of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Plos One, 9*(2), 9. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088743

Cao, J. G., & Meighen, E. A. (1989). Purification and structural identification of an autoinducer for the luminescence system of Vibrio harveyi. *J Biol Chem, 264*(36), 21670-21676.

Chen, G., Swem, L. R., Swem, D. L., Stauff, D. L., O'Loughlin, C. T., Jeffrey, P. D., . . . Hughson, F. M. (2011). A strategy for antagonizing quorum sensing. *Mol Cell, 42*(2), 199-209. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2011.04.003

Chhabra, S. R., Harty, C., Hooi, D. S. W., Daykin, M., Williams, P., Telford, G., . . . Bycroft, B. W. (2003). Synthetic analogues of the bacterial signal (quorum sensing) molecule N-(3-oxododecanoyl)-L-homoserine lactone as immune modulators. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, 46*(1), 97-104. doi:10.1021/jm020909n

Churchill, M. E. A., & Chen, L. L. (2011). Structural Basis of Acyl-homoserine Lactone-Dependent Signaling. *Chemical Reviews, 111*(1), 68-85. doi:10.1021/cr1000817

DeLano, W. L. (2009). PyMOL molecular viewer: Updates and refinements. *Abstracts of Papers of the American Chemical Society, 238*, 1.

Eberhard, A., Burlingame, A. L., Eberhard, C., Kenyon, G. L., Nealson, K. H., & Oppenheimer, N. J. (1981). Structural identification of autoinducer of Photobacterium fischeri luciferase. *Biochemistry, 20*(9), 2444-2449. doi:10.1021/bi00512a013

Emsley, P., & Cowtan, K. (2004). Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr, 60*(Pt 12 Pt 1), 2126-2132. doi:10.1107/S0907444904019158

Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G., & Cowtan, K. (2010). Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr, 66*(Pt 4), 486-501. doi:10.1107/S0907444910007493

Engebrecht, J., Nealson, K., & Silverman, M. (1983). Bacterial bioluminescence: isolation and genetic analysis of functions from Vibrio fischeri. *Cell, 32*(3), 773-781. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(83)90063-6

Feltner, J. B., Wolter, D. J., Pope, C. E., Groleau, M. C., Smalley, N. E., Greenberg, E. P., . . . Dandekar, A. A. (2016). LasR Variant Cystic Fibrosis Isolates Reveal an Adaptable Quorum-Sensing Hierarchy in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Mbio, 7*(5), 9. doi:10.1128/mBio.01513-16

Freeman, J. A., Lilley, B. N., & Bassler, B. L. (2000). A genetic analysis of the functions of LuxN: a two-component hybrid sensor kinase that regulates quorum sensing in Vibrio harveyi. *Molecular Microbiology, 35*(1), 139-149. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2958.2000.01684.x

Gambello, M. J., & Iglewski, B. H. (1991). Cloning and characterization of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa lasR gene, a transcriptional activator of elastase expression. *J Bacteriol, 173*(9), 3000-3009.

Gao, J., Ma, A. Z., Zhuang, X. L., & Zhuang, G. Q. (2014). An N-Acyl Homoserine Lactone Synthase in the Ammonia-Oxidizing Bacterium Nitrosospira multiformis. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 80*(3), 951-958. doi:10.1128/aem.03361-13

Gerdt, J. P., McInnis, C. E., Schell, T. L., & Blackwell, H. E. (2015). Unraveling the contributions of hydrogen-bonding interactions to the activity of native and non-native ligands in the quorum-sensing receptor LasR. *Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry, 13*(5), 1453-1462. doi:10.1039/c4ob02252a

Gerdt, J. P., McInnis, C. E., Schell, T. L., Rossi, F. M., & Blackwell, H. E. (2014). Mutational Analysis of the Quorum-Sensing Receptor LasR Reveals Interactions that Govern Activation and Inhibition by Nonlactone Ligands. *Chemistry & Biology, 21*(10), 1361-1369.

Gerdt, J. P., Wittenwyler, D. M., Combs, J. B., Boursier, M. E., Brummond, J. W., Xu, H., & Blackwell, H. E. (2017). Chemical Interrogation of LuxR-type Quorum Sensing Receptors Reveals New Insights into Receptor Selectivity and the Potential for Interspecies Bacterial Signaling. *Acs Chemical Biology, 12*(9), 2457-2464. doi:10.1021/acschembio.7b00458

Hanzelka, B. L., Parsek, M. R., Val, D. L., Dunlap, P. V., Cronan, J. E., & Greenberg, E. P. (1999). Acylhomoserine lactone synthase activity of the Vibrio fischeri AinS protein. *Journal of Bacteriology, 181*(18), 5766-5770.

Hawver, L. A., Jung, S. A., & Ng, W. L. (2016). Specificity and complexity in bacterial quorum-sensing systems. *FEMS Microbiol Rev, 40*(5), 738-752. doi:10.1093/femsre/fuw014

Ke, X. B., Miller, L. C., & Bassler, B. L. (2015). Determinants governing ligand specificity of the Vibrio harveyi LuxN quorum-sensing receptor. *Molecular Microbiology, 95*(1), 127-142. doi:10.1111/mmi.12852

Kukavica-Ibrulj, I., Bragonzi, A., Paroni, M., Winstanley, C., Sanschagrin, F., O'Toole, G. A., & Levesque, R. C. (2008). In vivo growth of Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains PAO1 and PA14 and the hypervirulent strain LESB58 in a rat model of chronic lung infection. *Journal of Bacteriology, 190*(8), 2804-2813. doi:10.1128/jb.01572-07

Latifi, A., Winson, M. K., Foglino, M., Bycroft, B. W., Stewart, G. S. A. B., Lazdunski, A., & Williams, P. (1995). Multiple Homologs of Luxr and Luxl Control Expression of Virulence Determinants and Secondary Metabolites through Quorum Sensing in Pseudomonas-Aeruginosa Pao1. *Molecular Microbiology, 17*(2), 333-343. doi:DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2958.1995.mmi_17020333.x

Mellbye, B. L., Spieck, E., Bottomley, P. J., & Sayavedra-Soto, L. A. (2017). Acyl-Homoserine Lactone Production in Nitrifying Bacteria of the Genera Nitrosospira, Nitrobacter, and Nitrospira Identified via a Survey of Putative Quorum-Sensing Genes. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 83*(22), 13. doi:10.1128/aem.01540-17

Michael, B., Smith, J. N., Swift, S., Heffron, F., & Ahmer, B. M. (2001). SdiA of Salmonella enterica is a LuxR homolog that detects mixed microbial communities. *J Bacteriol, 183*(19), 5733-5742. doi:10.1128/JB.183.19.5733-5742.2001

Minor, W., Cymborowski, M., Otwinowski, Z., & Chruszcz, M. (2006). HKL-3000: the integration of data reduction and structure solution--from diffraction images to an initial model in minutes. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr, 62*(Pt 8), 859-866. doi:10.1107/S0907444906019949

Mukherjee, S., Moustafa, D., Smith, C. D., Goldberg, J. B., & Bassler, B. L. (2017). The RhlR quorum-sensing receptor controls Pseudomonas aeruginosa pathogenesis and biofilm development independently of its canonical homoserine lactone autoinducer. *Plos Pathogens, 13*(7), 25. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006504

Nasser, W., & Reverchon, S. (2007). New insights into the regulatory mechanisms of the LuxR family of quorum sensing regulators. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 387*(2), 381-390. doi:10.1007/s00216-006-0702-0

Nguyen, Y., Nguyen, N. X., Rogers, J. L., Liao, J., MacMillan, J. B., Jiang, Y., & Sperandio, V. (2015). Structural and mechanistic roles of novel chemical ligands on the SdiA quorum-sensing transcription regulator. *MBio, 6*(2). doi:10.1128/mBio.02429-14

O'Loughlin, C. T., Miller, L. C., Siryaporn, A., Drescher, K., Semmelhack, M. F., & Bassler, B. L. (2013). A quorum-sensing inhibitor blocks Pseudomonas aeruginosa virulence and biofilm formation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 110*(44), 17981-17986. doi:10.1073/pnas.1316981110

Paczkowski, J. E., Mukherjee, S., McCready, A. R., Cong, J. P., Aquino, C. J., Kim, H., . . . Bassler, B. L. (2017). Flavonoids Suppress Pseudomonas aeruginosa Virulence through Allosteric Inhibition of Quorum-sensing Receptors. *J Biol Chem, 292*(10), 4064-4076. doi:10.1074/jbc.M116.770552

Papenfort, K., & Bassler, B. L. (2016). Quorum sensing signal-response systems in Gram-negative bacteria. *Nature Reviews Microbiology, 14*(9), 576-588. doi:10.1038/nrmicro.2016.89

Pearson, J. P., Gray, K. M., Passador, L., Tucker, K. D., Eberhard, A., Iglewski, B. H., & Greenberg, E. P. (1994). Structure of the autoinducer required for expression of Pseudomonas aeruginosa virulence genes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 91*(1), 197-201. doi:10.1073/pnas.91.1.197

Pearson, J. P., Pesci, E. C., & Iglewski, B. H. (1997). Roles of Pseudomonas aeruginosa las and rhl quorum-sensing systems in control of elastase and rhamnolipid biosynthesis genes. *Journal of Bacteriology, 179*(18), 5756-5767.

Rampioni, G., Schuster, M., Greenberg, E. P., Bertani, I., Grasso, M., Venturi, V., . . . Leoni, L. (2007). RsaL provides quorum sensing homeostasis and functions as a global regulator of gene expression in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Molecular Microbiology, 66*(6), 1557-1565. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2007.06029.x

Schuster, M., & Greenberg, E. P. (2007). Early activation of quorum sensing in Pseudomonas aeruginosa reveals the architecture of a complex regulon. *Bmc Genomics, 8*, 11. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-8-287

Schuster, M., Urbanowski, M. L., & Greenberg, E. P. (2004). Promoter specificity in Pseudomonas aeruginosa quorum sensing revealed by DNA binding of purified LasR. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 101*(45), 15833-15839. doi:10.1073/pnas.0407229101

Schweizer, H. P. (1991). Escherichia-Pseudomonas shuttle vectors derived from pUC18/19. *Gene, 97*(1), 109-121. doi:10.1016/0378-1119(91)90016-5

Simon, R., Priefer, U., & Puhler, A. (1983). A Broad Host Range Mobilization System for Invivo Genetic-Engineering - Transposon Mutagenesis in Gram-Negative Bacteria. *Bio-Technology, 1*(9), 784-791. doi:DOI 10.1038/nbt1183-784

Sitnikov, D. M., Schineller, J. B., & Baldwin, T. O. (1996). Control of cell division in Escherichia coli: regulation of transcription of ftsQA involves both rpoS and SdiA-mediated autoinduction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 93*(1), 336-341.

Smalley, N. E., An, D. D., Parsek, M. R., Chandler, J. R., & Dandekar, A. A. (2015). Quorum Sensing Protects Pseudomonas aeruginosa against Cheating by Other Species in a Laboratory Coculture Model. *Journal of Bacteriology, 197*(19), 3154-3159. doi:10.1128/jb.00482-15

Tashiro, Y., Yawata, Y., Toyofuku, M., Uchiyama, H., & Nomura, N. (2013). Interspecies Interaction between Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Other Microorganisms. *Microbes and Environments, 28*(1), 13-24. doi:10.1264/jsme2.ME12167

Vannini, A., Volpari, C., Gargioli, C., Muraglia, E., Cortese, R., De Francesco, R., . . . Di Marco, S. (2002). The crystal structure of the quorum sensing protein TraR bound to its autoinducer and target DNA. *Embo Journal, 21*(17), 4393-4401. doi:10.1093/emboj/cdf459

You, Y. S., Marella, H., Zentella, R., Zhou, Y. Y., Ulmasov, T., Ho, T. H. D., & Quatrano, R. S. (2006). Use of bacterial quorum-sensing components to regulate gene expression in plants. *Plant Physiology, 140*(4), 1205-1212. doi:10.1104/pp.105.074666

Zhang, R. G., Pappas, K. M., Pappas, T., Brace, J. L., Miller, P. C., Oulmassov, T., . . . Joachimiak, A. (2002). Structure of a bacterial quorum-sensing transcription factor complexed with pheromone and DNA. *Nature, 417*(6892), 971-974. doi:10.1038/nature00833

Zhu, J., & Winans, S. C. (2001). The quorum-sensing transcriptional regulator TraR requires its cognate signaling ligand for protein folding, protease resistance, and dimerization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 98*(4), 1507-1512. doi:10.1073/pnas.98.4.1507

Figures and Figure Legends

Figure 1.

Figure 1. *LasR is activated by multiple homoserine lactone autoinducers.* A) LasR-dependent bioluminescence was measured in *E. coli*. Arabinose-inducible LasR was produced from one plasmid and the p*lasB-lux* reporter construct was carried on a second plasmid. 0.1% arabinose was used for LasR induction. B) Elastase activity was measured from Δ*lasI P. aeruginosa* using elastin-Congo red as the substrate. In A and B, 100 nM of the designated HSLs were tested. Two technical replicates were performed for each biological sample and 3 biological replicates were assessed. Error bars denote standard deviations of the mean. Paired 2-tailed t-tests were performed comparing each compound to the DMSO control. P-values: * <.05, ** <.01, *** <.0001. In panels A and B, we have separated the DMSO control and the results with the cognate autoinducer $3OC_{12}HSL$ by the dotted vertical line. C) Comparison of LasR LBD protein levels in whole cell lysates (WCL) and in the soluble fractions (S) of *E. coli* cells that harbor the DNA encoding the

LasR LBD on a plasmid. 1 mM IPTG was used for LasR LBD induction and either 1% DMSO or 10 μM of the indicated HSL was supplied. In all lanes, protein from .05 OD of cells was loaded. Results are representative of 3 trials.

Figure 2.

Figure 2. *LasR is stable when bound to long chain HSLs.* Thermal shift analyses of purified LasR LBD bound to $3OC_{10}HSL$ (top), $3OC_{12}HSL$ (middle), and $3OC_{14}HSL$ (bottom) without (designated DMSO) and following supplementation with an additional 10 μM of the indicated HSLs. Each line represents the average of 3 replicates.

Figure 3. *LasR S129F and LasR L130F alter LasR sensitivity to HSL autoinducers.* A) Wildtype LasR and LasR S129F-driven bioluminescence from the *E. coli* p*lasB-lux* reporter (see Figure 1, panel A for detail). B) Wildtype LasR and LasR S129F-driven elastase activity in Δ*lasI P. aeruginosa* (see Figure 1, panel B for detail). In A and B, 10 μM of the indicated HSLs were provided. C and D) As in panels A and B, respectively, with wildtype LasR and LasR L130F and 50 nM of the indicated HSLs. Black bars, wildtype LasR; white bars, LasR S129F; gray bars, LasR L130F. Two technical replicates were performed for each biological sample and 3 biological replicates were assessed. Error bars denote standard deviations of the mean. Unpaired 2-tailed T-tests were performed comparing WT LasR to the mutant LasR for each compound. p-values: * < .05,

*** <.001. In all panels, we have separated the DMSO control and the results with the cognate autoinducer $3OC_{12}HSL$ by the dotted vertical line.

Figure 4

Figure 4. *The LasR LBD L130F is more stable than the wildtype LasR LBD.* A) Thermal shift analyses of purified LasR LBD (solid lines) and LasR LBD L130F (dotted lines) bound to $3OC_{12}HSL$ (orange) or $3OC_{14}HSL$ (green). Each line represents the average of 3 replicates. B) Thermal shift analyses of purified LasR LBD L130F bound to $3OC_8HSL$ (top left), $3OC_{10}HSL$ (bottom left), $3OC_{12}HSL$ (top right), and $3OC_{14}HSL$ (bottom right) without (designated DMSO) and following supplementation with an additional 10 μM of the indicated HSLs. C) Comparison of LasR L130F levels in the whole cell lysates (WCL) and the soluble fractions (S) of *E. coli* cells harboring the DNA encoding LasR LBD L130F on

a plasmid (see Figure 1, panel C for details). Either 1% DMSO or 10 μM of the indicated

HSL molecule was added.

Figure 5. *Wildtype LasR, LasR S129F, and LasR L130F display distinct pyocyanin production phenotypes in response to different HSL autoinducers.* Pyocyanin production was measured spectophotometrically in Δ*lasI P. aeruginosa* over the growth curve. Y-axis "Pyocyanin" is the amount of pyocyanin pigment (OD_{695} nm) over cell density (OD_{600} nm). Designations are: red, DMSO control; blue, wildtype LasR; purple, LasR L130F;

green, LasR S129F. Data show the mean of 3 biological replicates. Error bars denote standard deviations of the mean. Concentrations and ligands used are: A) 50 nM $3OC_{12}HSL$, B) 1 μM $3OC_{12}HSL$, C) 100 nM $3OC_{14}HSL$, D) 10 μM $3OC_{14}HSL$, E) 10 μM $3OC_8HSL$, and F) 100 μM $3OC_8HSL$

Figure 6.

Figure 6. *Crystal structures of LasR LBD L130F bound to $3OC_{10}HSL$ and $3OC_{14}HSL$.* A) Crystal structures of LasR LBD L130F:$3OC_{10}HSL$ (gold) and LasR LBD L130F:$3OC_{14}HSL$ (magenta) compared to the wildtype LasR LBD:$3OC_{12}HSL$ structure (blue, modified from Bottomley et al. 2007, PBD: 2UVO). The bottom images show 90-degree rotations of the crystal structures relative to the images above. In the top right-most 2 structures, the asterisks highlight the LasR loop region that includes residues 40-52 and that undergoes a conformational shift when $3OC_{14}HSL$ is bound. B) LasR LBD L130F:$3OC_{14}HSL$ crystal structure (protein: magenta, ligand: green). Amino acids drawn in stick format show

important residues for lactone head binding (Y56, W60, K61, D73, T75, W88, Y93, S129, and S131, all colored in brown) and acyl tail binding (G38, L40, A50, I52, A70, V76, L125, and A127, all colored in pink). C) Comparison of the average B-factors for LasR LBD L130F bound to $3OC_{10}HSL$ and bound to $3OC_{14}HSL$. The structures are colored from gold to magenta with gold representing the lowest average B-factor and magenta representing the highest average B-factor.

Figure 7.

Figure 7. *A conserved flexible loop region confers promiscuity to LuxR-type receptors.*

A) Top images: structural comparison of the LuxR-type receptors LasR LBD L130F:3OC14HSL (magenta) and SdiA LBD:3OC8HSL (green, modified from Nguyen et al., 2015, PDB: AY17) that exhibit promiscuity with respect to ligand binding. Bottom images: structural comparison of the LuxR-type receptors CviR LBD:C6HSL (pink,

modified from Chen et al., 2011, PDB: 3QP1) and TraR LBD:3OC$_8$HSL (silver, modified from Zhang et al., 2002, PDB: 1L3L) that display strict ligand specificity. B) Structural comparison of the protein:ligand interfaces for LasR LBD L130F:3OC$_{14}$HSL (top left, magenta), SdiA LBD:3OC$_8$HSL (top right, green), CviR LBD:C$_6$HSL (bottom left, pink), and TraR LBD:3OC$_8$HSL (bottom right, silver). Residues that make important hydrophobic sidechain interactions in each protein:ligand complex are shown in stick format and named. C) Dose response curves from the *E. coli* p*lasB-lux* reporter assay (see Figure 1, panel A) were used to determine EC$_{50}$ values for 3OC$_{12}$HSL (top panel) and 3OC$_6$HSL (bottom panel) for wildtype LasR (blue), LasR G38A (dark red), LasR G38I (orange), LasR G38L (dark green), and LasR L130F (magenta). Two technical replicates were performed for each biological sample and 3 biological replicates were assessed. Error bars denote standard deviations of the mean.

Table 1. EC$_{50}$ (nM) values for LasR and HSL compounds in the *plasB-lux* assay

LasR Allele

	WT	S129C	S129W	S129F	S129T	S129M	L130F
3OC$_{12}$HSL	2.8	5.9	76.8	177	870	6570	2.0
3OC$_{14}$HSL	6.2	11.8	12.8	41.2	4070	NR	5.3
3OC$_{10}$HSL	8.0	31.5	2620	4980	4510	NR	5.0
3OC$_8$HSL	885	2860	2180	NR	NR	NR	80.7
3OC$_6$HSL	2370	4400	NR	55600	71700	NR	1390

NR denotes non-responsive

Supplementary Figures and Figure Legends

Figure S1. *Long acyl chain HSLs solubilize the LasR LBD and the LasR LBD L130F.* Comparison of wildtype LasR LBD protein levels in whole cell lysates (WCL) and in the soluble fractions (S) of *E. coli* cells harboring the DNA encoding the LasR LBD on a plasmid. B) As in panel A with LasR LBD L130F. In both panels, 1 mM IPTG was used for LasR induction and either 1% DMSO or 10 μM of the indicated HSL was added. See Figure 1, panel C of the main text for details.

Table S1. EC$_{50}$ (nM) values for LasR and HSL compounds in the *plasB-lux* assay

				LasR Allele				
	WT	S129C	S129W	S129F	S129T	S129M	L128F	L130F
3OC$_{12}$HSL	2.8	5.9	76.8	177	870	6570	3.12	2.0
3OHC$_{12}$HSL	39.3	174	319	2920	NR	NR	37.3	23.4
C$_{12}$HSL	10.1	71.0	629	941	970	NR	5.1	6.3
3OC$_{14}$HSL	6.2	11.8	12.8	41.2	4070	NR	2.9	5.3
C$_{14}$HSL	25.9	57.5	64.2	NR	NR	NR	9.5	13.2
3OC$_{10}$HSL	8.0	31.5	2620	4980	4510	NR	5.3	5.0
3OHC$_{10}$HSL	271	1470	38700	NR	NR	NR	563	112
C$_{10}$HSL	401	4220	NR	NR	NR	NR	1192	172
3OC$_{8}$HSL	885	2860	2180	NR	NR	NR	1127	80.7
3OHC$_{8}$HSL	37300	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	67000	17100
C$_{8}$HSL	27000	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	4000	5930
3OC$_{6}$HSL	2370	4400	NR	55600	71700	NR	1230	1390
3OHC$_{6}$HSL	19900	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	20100	2220

(Row label axis: Ligand)

NR denotes non-responsive

Figure S2.

Figure S2. *RsaL is required for inhibition of pyocyanin production by high HSL concentrations in P. aeruginosa.* Pyocyanin production was measured spectophotometrically from *P. aeruginosa* Δ*lasI* and Δ*lasI* Δ*rsaL* strains over the growth curve following addition of DMSO, 1 μM 3OC$_{12}$HSL, or 100 μM 3OC$_{12}$HSL as indicated. Y-axis "Pyocyanin" is the amount of pyocyanin pigment (OD$_{695}$ nm) over cell density (OD$_{600}$ nm). Data show the mean of 3 biological replicates. Error bars denote standard deviations of the mean.

Figure S3.

A

B

Figure S3. *Electron density for LasR LBD L130F near residue F130 and the 3OC$_{14}$HSL ligand.* A) A simulated annealing omit map, contoured at 1σ, shows the electron density around LasR residue F130 and the surrounding hydrophobic residues. F130 interacts with L23, L30, F32, I35, L114, L118, L128, L151, and L154 in the LasR LBD L130F:3OC$_{14}$HSL structure. The panel depicts the perspective highlighting the F130 interactions with L23, F32, I35, L128, and L154, and these residues are labeled. B) A

simulated annealing omit map, contoured at 1σ, shows the electron density around the

HSLs in the LasR LBD bound to $3OC_{10}HSL$ (green), $3OC_{14}HSL$ (red), or $3OC_{12}HSL$ (blue,

from data in Bottomley *et al.*, 2007).

Figure S4.

Figure S4. *LasR Y47R and LasR Y47S have lower affinities for $3OC_{12}HSL$ and $3OC_{14}HSL$ than wildtype LasR.* Bioluminescence from the p*lasB-lux* reporter driven by wildtype LasR (blue), LasR Y47R (red), and LasR Y47S (green) (See Figure 1, panel A of the main text for details). $3OC_{12}HSL$ (A) or $3OC_{14}HSL$ (B) were added at the designated

concentrations. Two technical replicates were performed for each biological sample and

3 biological replicates were assessed. Error bars depict standard deviations of the mean.