An Integrin-Independent Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus Infection Mechanism

Allison Alwan TerBush,[a] Florianne Hafkamp,[b] Ella Hartenian,[a] Hee Jun Lee,[a] Britt A Glaunsinger,[a,c,d]

Laurent Coscoy[a]#

[a]Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, California, USA

[b]Graduate School of Life Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands

[c]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of California, Berkeley, California, USA

[d]Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California, Berkeley, California, USA

Running Title: Integrin-Independent KSHV Infection

#Address correspondence to Laurent Coscoy, lcoscoy@berkeley.edu

ABSTRACT Host receptor usage by KSHV has been best studied using endothelial and fibroblast cells in tissue culture. In these models, KSHV adheres to the cell though heparan sulfate (HS) binding, then interacts with a complex of EphA2, xct, and integrins $\alpha 3\beta 1$, $\alpha V\beta 3$, $\alpha V\beta 5$ to catalyze viral entry. We dissected this receptor complex at the genetic level with CRISPR-Cas9 to precisely determine receptor usage in two epithelial cell lines. Surprisingly, we discovered an infection mechanism that requires HS and EphA2, but is independent of integrin expression. Furthermore, infection appears to be independent of EphA2 signaling, despite the reliance on clathrin-mediated endocytosis. We also demonstrated while two other endogenous Eph receptors were dispensable for infection, transduced EphA4 and EphA5 significantly enhanced KSHV infection of cells lacking EphA2.

IMPORTANCE Our data reveals an integrin-independent endocytic route of KSHV infection and suggests that multiple Eph receptors besides EphA2 can promote and regulate infection. Since integrins and Eph receptors are large protein families with diverse expression patterns across cells and tissues, we propose that KSHV may engage with several proteins from both families in different combinations to negotiate successful entry into diverse cell types.

INTRODUCTION

The rhadinovirus Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus (KSHV) is one of only a handful of human

viruses that cause cancers. In the pathogenic state, KSHV infects and transforms endothelial cells to

form Kaposi's Sarcoma (KS) tumors which are particularly aggressive in the context of AIDS (1). KSHV can

also transform infected B cells to cause Primary Effusion Lymphoma and Multicentric Castleman's

Disease (2, 3), and has been linked to an inflammatory disorder (KICS) (4, 5). Although treatment with

antiretroviral therapy (ART) often leads to resolution of KSHV-related cancers, sudden onset of KS has

been recognized as a serious outcome of immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (KS-IRIS)

following ART (6, 7), and there has been little progress toward controlling KS and KSHV lymphomas in

endemic cases.

In the decades since its discovery, it has been observed that KSHV has broad tropism and can efficiently

infect many types of human primary cells and cell lines (8-10). Two of the most well-studied targets of

KSHV infection are endothelial cells and fibroblasts, which were of particular interest to understand the

origin of the transformed spindle cells that make up the distinct, highly vascularized KS tumors. Infection

of monocytes and dendritic cells has also been observed within KS tumors and in tissue culture models

(11-13). B cells are the latently infected reservoir of KSHV (14, 15), but modeling their infection in a

laboratory setting has proven to be technically challenging.

However, it is reasonable to assume that the first cells infected in a new host upon transmission are

epithelial cells. While KSHV was first considered to be a sexually transmitted infection because of its co-

infection pattern with HIV, it is now widely recognized that KSHV can be transmitted through saliva and

close contact between individuals (reviewed in 16). Multiple studies have shown that KSHV infects

primary human epithelial cells and cell lines including oral keratinocytes (8, 10, 17-20) and another

clinical report provides compelling clinical evidence that infection of the tonsillar epithelium could

provide a gateway through which the virus might access the underlying lymphocytes to establish the

reservoir of latently infected B cells (21).

KSHV interacts with a variety of receptors on the surface of host cells. Heparan sulfate (HS) is a major

cell attachment factor and several KSHV glycoproteins have HS-binding activities (22-28). KSHV also

coordinates a complex of integrins α3β1, αVβ3, αVβ5, erythropoietin-producing hepatocellular (Eph)

receptor A2 (EphA2), and SLC7A11/xct to trigger clathrin-mediated endocytosis or macropinocytosis of

the virion in fibroblasts and endothelial cells, respectively (most recently reviewed in 29, 30). In these

two well-characterized infection models, the interaction between KSHV gB and integrins initiates a

signaling cascade of FAK, Src, and PI-3K (29, 31-33). KSHV gH/gL binds EphA2 which amplifies this

cascade and coordinates endocytosis effectors together with c-Cbl and myosin IIA (34-37). Still, there are

important differences in the infection mechanisms of these model cell lines, hinting that KSHV initiates

different entry processes in different types of cells while using the same receptors.

In this study, we used CRISPR-Cas9 to comprehensively examine the use of this KSHV receptor complex

in two highly infectible epithelial cell lines: Caki-1 kidney epithelial cells, and HeLa cervical epithelial

cells. Caki-1 cells are significant as they have contaminated all known stocks of the SLK cell line used in

KSHV research (38). We found that HS and EphA2 were required for infection of both Caki-1 and HeLa

cells, while integrins were dispensable. Interestingly, we also found that the intracellular domain of

EphA2 was not required for infection of these cells, despite a reliance on clathrin-mediated endocytosis.

Moreover, the ectopic expression of EphA5 and overexpression of EphA4 promoted infection in *EPHA2*

knock out (KO) cells, but knock out of endogenous EphA4 lead to an elevated infection rate in both WT

and *EPHA2* KO contexts. Our studies revealed a novel integrin-independent KSHV infection mechanism

and suggest that Eph receptors play more diverse and complex roles during infection than was

previously known.

RESULTS

Caki-1 and HeLa cells express most known KSHV receptors. It has been shown that KSHV uses a

multimolecular complex of attachment molecules and receptors, including HS, EphA2, xct, DC-SIGN (in

some immune cells), and the integrin heterodimers α3β1, αVβ3, and αVβ5, to enter cells in several

different infection models (29). The expression of these known KSHV receptors on the surface of Caki-1

and HeLa cells was examined by flow cytometry. As expected, most of the KSHV receptors were

expressed on the surface of both cell lines: EphA2, HS, and integrin subunits α3, αV, β1, and β5 (Fig. 1).

Integrin β3 was additionally detected on the surface of Caki-1 cells but not HeLa cells (Fig. 1). However,

neither the myeloid cell marker DC-SIGN nor xct were detected on the surface of either cell line (Fig. 1).

Heparan sulfate is necessary for KSHV infection of Caki-1 and HeLa cells. The role of HS in adhering

virions to the cell surface and promoting viral entry is well documented across many virus families. Caki-

1 and HeLa cells express HS on the cell surface and we expected this proteoglycan to play a major role

during KSHV infection. We have previously shown that a deficiency in the enzyme Ext1 rendered cells

unable to synthesize HS (40), so we could use *EXT1* KO cells to confirm the requirement for HS during

KSHV entry.

An *EXT1*-specific guide sequence was cloned into px330, a Cas9 and sgRNA delivery plasmid, which was

then transfected into both Caki-1 and HeLa cells (Table 1). After four days, a subpopulation of HS-low

mutant cells was discernable by flow cytometry. The mutant population was purified by fluorescence-

activated cell sorting (FACS), then passaged until the immunostaining of HS in the pool decayed to

isotype levels (Fig. 2A). In HeLa cells, the mutant population was left unpurified from the transfected

pool (Fig. 2D).

The purified *EXT1* KO Caki-1 pool, WT Caki-1 cells, and the mixed *EXT1* KO HeLa pool were infected with

KSHV.BAC16 which encodes a constitutive GFP reporter (41), and the infection percentage was

quantified by measuring GFP+ cells by flow cytometry after two days. The infected mixed *EXT1* KO HeLa

pool was additionally stained for HS to differentiate the mutant and WT populations. As expected, the

infection rate of HS-deficient cells was drastically reduced compared to WT cells for both cell lines (Figs.

2B, 2E).

As an orthogonal approach, we used soluble heparin to competitively block KSHV infection, as this has

been used extensively to investigate HS usage in a variety of cell types (22, 25, 42, 43). Purified virus was

pre-incubated with increasing concentrations of heparin and then used to infect Caki-1 cells. Similar to

our results using CRISPR-Cas9 KO cells, soluble heparin inhibited infection in a dose-dependent manner

but approached a non-zero asymptote (Fig. 2C). Collectively, these findings confirm that HS is required

for efficient infection of both Caki-1 and HeLa cells, and underscores the value of CRISPR-Cas9 to study

viral receptor usage.

KSHV infection of Caki-1 and HeLa cells is independent of KSHV-associated integrins. KSHV coordinates

several integrin heterodimers to initiate signaling events that are required for infection of fibroblasts

and endothelial cells (29). Because we observed the expression of all proposed integrin receptors for

KSHV at the surface of Caki-1 and all, except integrin β3, on the surface of HeLa cells (Fig 1), we

investigated whether these integrins were required for KSHV to infect these cell lines. Both the α and β

subunits contribute to the unique ligand-binding surface of a given integrin heterodimer. Therefore, we

reasoned that the infection rates of cells with reciprocal subunits of KSHV-associated integrins knocked

out would reveal precisely which heterodimers were required for infection.

Single KO pools of integrins α3, αV, β1, β3, and β5 were created by transfection of Caki-1 cells with

px330 plasmids containing guide sequences that targeted the genes encoding each integrin subunit

(Table 1). The mutant populations were purified as described for *EXT1* to generate integrin KO Caki-1

pools (Fig. 3A). Lacking integrin αV protein, *ITGAV* KO cells lost the ability to adhere to tissue-culture

treated polystyrene dishes, but normal morphology and growth returned when they were plated on

fibronectin-coated plates. The *ITGAV* KO cells were grown on fibronectin for passaging and infection

experiments. The infection rates of both WT Caki-1 and HeLa cells were unchanged in the presence of a

fibronectin coat (data not shown). Unpurified, mixed KO HeLa cell pools were generated for integrins β1

and αV as described for *EXT1* (Fig. 3G). The mixed *ITGAV* KO HeLa pool was also grown on fibronectin.

WT Caki-1, the single integrin KO Caki-1 pools, and the mixed integrin KO HeLa cell pools were then

infected with KSHV. The mixed KO HeLa pools were additionally stained for the appropriate integrin at

the cell surface to allow for gating on WT and KO subpopulations. Overall, the infection rates of the

integrin KO pools or subpopulations were not significantly reduced compared to that of WT cells for

both cell lines (Fig. 3B, 3H). The slight decline in infection rate of the *ITGAV* KO HeLa subpopulation

compared to the WT subpopulation reached statistical significance, but the magnitude of difference was

similar to the other integrin KO Caki-1 pools. These data suggest that KSHV infection of Caki-1 and HeLa

cells does not require integrin α3β1, αVβ3, or αVβ5 alone, or any other single integrin in the αV and β1

families.

Although targeting single integrins has yielded clear infection defects in past studies (31, 44-46), we

considered that our strategy of knocking out individual integrin subunits would not reveal fully

redundant involvement of α3β1, αVβ3, and αVβ5 during infection of Caki-1 cells. To address this, an

ITGA3/ITGAV double KO Caki-1 pool was generated to effectively remove integrin α3β1 and the entire

integrin αV family, including αVβ3 and αVβ5, from the cell surface (Fig. 3C). WT and *ITGA3/ITGAV*

double KO Caki-1 cells were then infected with KSHV. Still, the infection rate of *ITGA3/ITGAV* double KO

Caki-1 cells was not reduced compared to WT cells and was in fact significantly elevated (Fig. 3D). These

results further indicate that integrins α3β1, αVβ3, and αVβ5 are not required for KSHV infection of Caki-

1 cells.

A non-RGD-binding integrin, α9β1, has been shown to bind a disintegrin-like domain (DLD) in KSHV gB

and is important for infection of model fibroblast and endothelial cells (47). Our data demonstrate that

KSHV infection of Caki-1 and HeLa cells is independent of the twelve β1-containing integrins, however

we considered that other integrins could still be required for KSHV infection of Caki-1 cells. There are

eight integrins that do not contain the αV or β1 subunits: αIIbβ3, α6β4, α4β7, αEβ7, and four β2-

containing integrins (Fig. 3F). Neither integrin α6, nor integrin β2 were detected on the surface of WT

Caki-1 cells by flow cytometry (Fig. 3E). Additionally, integrin β3 was lost from the cell surface of *ITGAV*

KO Caki-1 cells implying that the αIIb subunit is not available to form integrin αIIbβ3 (Fig. 3E).

We also examined the expression of all known integrin subunits in an RNA sequencing dataset from

iSLK.219 cells (C. Arias, personal communication), a SLK/Caki-1 cell line latently infected with KSHV, to

identify additional integrins that may be expressed (38, 48). The expression calls from this dataset

corroborated all of the flow cytometry data that was collected from WT Caki-1 cells in this study (Fig.

3F). Surprisingly, the αE subunit appeared to be expressed in the RNA-seq dataset, but not the conjugate

β7 subunit (Fig. 3F). Altogether the data from these two approaches indicate that none of the eight non-

αV, non-β1 integrins are expressed in Caki-1 cells, so these integrins are unlikely to play a role in this

KSHV infection mechanism.

EphA2 is necessary for KSHV infection of Caki-1 and HeLa cells. EphA2 has been well-characterized as a

receptor for KSHV and binds to the envelope glycoprotein complex gH/gL (37, 49, 50). Together with

integrins, EphA2 helps propagate virus-induced signaling and mobilize endocytosis effectors which leads

to viral entry in multiple cell types (34-36, 49, 51, 52). However, we found that KSHV infection of Caki-1

and HeLa cells does not require integrins, so we investigated whether EphA2 was required for infection

in these cell lines.

Caki-1 cells were transfected with a px330 plasmid containing a guide sequence targeting *EPHA2* and an

EPHA2 KO pool was isolated as described for *EXT1* (Fig. 4A). In addition, a mixed WT/*EPHA2* KO pool was

generated in HeLa cells as described for *EXT1* (Fig. 4D)*. WT and *EPHA2* KO Caki-1 cells and the mixed

WT/KO HeLa pool were then infected with KSHV. The mixed WT/KO HeLa pool was additionally stained

for surface EphA2 expression to distinguish the KO and WT subpopulations. *EPHA2* KO cells were

significantly less susceptible to infection compared to WT cells in both cell lines, though they were not

completely resistant to the virus (Figs. 4B, 4E). These results indicate that EphA2 is necessary for

efficient infection of both Caki-1 and HeLa cells.

A prior study demonstrated that KSHV gH/gL has the ability to bind other Eph receptors besides EphA2

in multiple assays (50). We hypothesized that the residual KSHV infection of *EPHA2* KO cells could

depend on other Eph receptors that may be expressed by Caki-1 cells. To test whether this was the case,

EPHA2 KO cells were pre-incubated with either soluble ephrin-A4-Fc or EGFR-Fc chimeric proteins, then

infected with KSHV in the presence of these blocking agents. The infection rate of *EPHA2* KO cells was

further reduced in the presence of ephrin-A4-Fc compared to the unrelated EGFR-Fc (Fig. 4C). Since

ephrin ligands, including ephrin-A4, can broadly bind to and block interactions with Eph receptors of the

same type, these data suggest that another A-type Eph receptor is expressed by Caki-1 cells and

required for infection in the absence of EphA2.

EphA4 and EphB2 are dispensable for KSHV infection in Caki-1 cells. Since we found that residual

infection in *EPHA2* KO Caki-1 cells could be further blocked by a soluble ephrin ligand, we investigated

whether additional Eph receptors were expressed by Caki-1 cells and if they were required for KHSV

infection of Caki-1 cells. EphA4 and EphB2 transcripts were found in the RNA sequencing dataset from

iSLK.219 cells (C. Arias, personal communication) and we confirmed the expression of these two

proteins by western blot (Figs. 5E, 5H).

WT Caki-1 cells were transfected with px330 plasmids containing guide sequences targeting *EPHA4* and

EPHB2 (Table 1). We were unable to find an antibody that reliably detected EphA4 or EphB2 by surface

immunostaining of live cells, so single-cell clones were derived from the transfected populations and

screened for loss of EphA4 or EphB2 by western blot. Two *EPHA4* KO Caki-1 cell lines and one *EPHB2* KO

Caki-1 cell line were isolated (Figs. 5A, 5F). WT, *EPHA4* KO, and *EPHB2* KO Caki-1 cell lines were then

infected with KSHV. Surprisingly, the infection rate of *EPHA4* KO cells was elevated compared to WT

cells, while the infection rate of *EPHB2* KO cells was unchanged (Figs. 5B, 5G). The same results were

observed in *EPHA4* and *EPHB2* KO Caki-1 cell pools created with a lentiviral CRISPR-Cas9 system (data

not shown).

To further understand the infection phenotype of *EPHA4* KO cells, one of the *EPHA4* KO Caki-1 cell lines

was transfected with the *EPHA2*-targeted px330 plasmid (Table 1) and a pool of *EPHA2/EPHA4* DKO cells

was isolated by FACS (Figs. 5A, 5D). When these cells were infected with KSHV, the infection rate was

reduced compared to WT cells, but significantly elevated compared to *EPHA2* single KO cells (Fig. 5C).

These data indicate that either EphA4 is a negative regulator of KSHV infection, or that Caki-1 cells

compensate for the loss of EphA4 in a way that enhances KSHV infection.

EphA4 and EphB2 were detected in Caki-1 lysate and EphA4 was additionally found in 293T lysate.

Importantly, both of these proteins were lacking in HeLa cell lysate, even though EphA2-independent

infection was observed in both Caki-1 and HeLa cells (Figs. 5E, 5H). Furthermore, these results show that

EphA4 and EphB2 are dispensable for KSHV infection and are unlikely to be the functional targets of

ephrin-A4-Fc blocking during infection of *EPHA2* KO Caki-1 cells.

Multiple Eph receptors rescue KSHV infection of EphA2 KO cells. Although we found that two

endogenous Eph receptors besides EphA2 were not required for KSHV infection in WT Caki-1 cells, the

significant infection defect of EPHA2 KO Caki-1 cells provided an ideal platform to test the effects of

transduced Eph receptors on KSHV infection. A clonal EphA2 KO Caki-1 cell line was isolated from single-

cell clones of the EphA2 KO pool and was used for the remainder of the experiments. The clone lacked

surface expression of EphA2 and the infection defect compared to WT cells was similar to the parent

population (Fig. 6F).

To ensure that expression levels of different Eph receptors could be compared, mature forms of *EPHA2*,

EPHA4, and *EPHA5* lacking endogenous signal peptides were cloned into p3xFlag-CMV-9 following the

preprotrypsin leader sequence and a 3xFlag tag (Fig. 6A). This cloning scheme ensured that the proteins

would be properly oriented in the membrane during translation and ultimately be N-terminally tagged

with 3xFlag. The 3xFlag-tagged Eph receptor constructs were cloned into a retroviral vector and

transduced into *EPHA2* KO Caki-1 cells.

The 3xFlag tag was detected on the surface of each cell line by flow cytometry, although the magnitude

of expression varied with each receptor (Fig. 6B). However, when the cell lines were stained for

intracellular 3xFlag, the overall expression levels of the receptors appeared to be similar to each other

(Fig. 6D). Additionally, when the expression of the receptors was examined by western blot, the

intensities of the 3x-Flag-tagged bands were similar across the three transduced cell lines (Fig. 6C).

These data show that all three constructs were expressed to a similar degree but that cell surface

trafficking of the three receptors was differentially regulated.

The expression of the 3x-Flag-tagged Eph receptors was also compared to the corresponding

endogenous protein. The peak of surface expression of transduced 3xFlag-EphA2 was slightly higher

than endogenous EphA2 as measured by flow cytometry, but the range of EphA2 expression in the

population of 3xFlag-EphA2-transduced cells was much greater compared to WT cells (Fig. 6E). EphA5

was not naturally expressed by Caki-1 cells, but EphA5 was readily detected in 3xFlag-EphA5-transduced

cells as a wide peak by flow cytometry and also as a strong band by western blot (Figs. 6E, 6D). In

contrast, EphA4 was found to be expressed endogenously by Caki-1 cells by western blot and the EphA4

band became more pronounced in the 3xFlag-EphA4-transduced cell lysate (Fig. 6D).

WT Caki-1, *EPHA2* KO Caki-1, and the 3xFlag-tagged Eph receptor transduced *EPHA2* KO cell lines and a

vector control were infected with KSHV. The surface 3xFlag expression was measured concurrently with

infection rate by flow cytometry. 3xFlag-EphA2, 3xFlag-EphA4, and 3xFlag-EphA5 all significantly rescued

the infection rate to varying degrees compared to the vector control (Fig. 6F). Because of the broad

range of flag-tagged receptor expression within the populations of the transduced cell lines, we also

examined how the infection rate changed with surface protein level. The histograms of 3xFlag

expression from one replicate well of the experiment were divided into five successive gates (Fig. 6G).

The percent GFP+ cells in each bin was plotted against the fold geometric mean of the fluorescence

intensity (MFI) over isotype MFI of each bin (Fig. 6G). Surprisingly, we found that both EphA4 and EphA5

mediated higher KSHV infection rates at lower amounts of surface protein compared to EphA2.

However, at very high surface expression levels that were only attained by EphA2, the infection rate

surpassed that of WT cells from the same experiment (Fig. 6F).

Altogether these data show that EphA2, EphA4 and EphA5 can rescue the infection rate phenotype of

EPHA2 KO cells which suggests that the function of EphA2 in this infection mechanism may not be

specific to EphA2. Moreover, the overexpression of EphA4 in this context strongly enhanced KSHV

infection, which is not what we expected based on our *EPHA4* KO experiments. The precise role of

endogenous EphA4 cannot be discerned from these studies alone.

Ectodomain of EphA2 is sufficient to rescue KSHV infection in EphA2 KO cells. In endothelial and

fibroblast KSHV infection models, several studies have reported that downstream effector proteins co-

immunoprecipitate and colocalize with EphA2, implying involvement of the cytoplasmic tail of EphA2

which contains a kinase and several protein-protein interaction domains (34-36, 51). Here we have

shown that KSHV infection of Caki-1 and HeLa cells is independent of integrins, but still dependent on

EphA2. Eph receptors can naturally trigger endocytosis in response to ephrin ligand binding by several

mechanisms (reviewed in 53). Since KSHV gH/gL binds to the ephrin-binding domain of EphA2 (37), we

hypothesized that the signaling domains in the cytoplasmic tail of EphA2 would be necessary for

infection and would provide clues about how the virus might use EphA2 to enter cells without integrins.

To this end, a truncation mutant of EphA2 was generated which lacked all cytoplasmic signaling

domains. This cytoplasmic truncation (ΔCT) contained the entire peptide signal and ectodomain (amino

acids 1-537) and the transmembrane (TM) domain (aa 538-558) (Fig. 7A). Full length *EPHA2* (FL) and

EPHA2 ΔCT were cloned into retroviral vectors and stably transduced into *EPHA2* KO Caki-1 and HeLa

cells. Both EphA2 FL and EphA2 ΔCT were expressed on the cell surface to a slightly higher degree than

endogenous EphA2 on Caki-1 (Fig. 7D) and HeLa cells (data not shown). When infected with KSHV, both

EphA2 FL and EphA2 ΔCT significantly rescued infection to nearly identical levels compared to the vector

control (Figs. 7B, 7C).

Eph receptor clustering is essential for natural signaling events, and a homodimerization region has been

found within the transmembrane domain of EphA1 by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (54).

To test whether the transmembrane domain was required for EphA2 ectodomain function during KSHV

infection, we performed additional domain swaps with the EphA2 ΔCT construct and replaced the EphA2

TM domain with that of integrin β1 or HLA-B7, two unrelated single-pass transmembrane proteins (Fig.

7A). These domain-swapped constructs were also cloned into retroviral vectors and transduced into

EPHA2 KO Caki-1 cells. The EphA2/HLA-B7 chimeric CT and EphA2/integrin β1 chimeric ΔCT constructs

were expressed at the cell surface to the same degree as the FL and CT constructs, and they also

significantly rescued KSHV infection of *EPHA2* KO cells (Figs. 7D, 7B). Together, these data show that

only the ectodomain of EphA2 is required to rescue KSHV infection in *EPHA2* KO Caki-1 cells.

KSHV requires dynamin and clathrin to infect Caki-1 and HeLa cells. It is well-known that clathrin-

mediated endocytosis and lipid raft-dependent micropinocytosis are the routes of virion internalization

triggered by KSHV-receptor interactions in fibroblasts and endothelial cells, respectively (reviewed in

29). In this study we have discovered an infection mechanism that does not seem to use the same KSHV-

induced integrin/EphA2 signaling axis that has been characterized in these types. However, we

hypothesized that endocytosis would still be a necessary step of this novel infection mechanism

regardless of how it was induced.

Chlorpromazine, dynasore, and nystatin were each tested for their ability to inhibit KSHV infection of

both WT and *EPHA2* KO Caki-1 cells and HeLa cells. Chlorpromazine disrupts the assembly of clathrin

coated vesicles at the cell surface and dynasore inhibits the activity of dynamin which is required for

newly formed endocytic vesicles to pinch off from the plasma membrane. Nystatin sequesters

cholesterol and disrupts lipid raft structures. Cells were pre-treated with the indicated concentrations of

these three drugs, then infected with KSHV while drug concentrations were maintained. In all three cell

lines, we found that chlorpromazine and dynasore significantly reduced KSHV infection (Fig. 8). These

data suggest that KHSV infection in all three cell lines requires clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Notably,

while nystatin did not affect the infection rate in WT Caki-1 and HeLa cells, it seemed to enhance

infection of *EPHA2* KO Caki-1 cells (Fig. 8B).

DISCUSSION

In this report, we describe a novel KSHV infection mechanism in Caki-1 and HeLa cells which requires HS

and the ectodomain of EphA2 but is independent of integrins. We also present compelling evidence that

EphA4 and EphA5 may regulate KSHV infection in various contexts. CRISPR-Cas9 proved to be a valuable

tool to dissect the roles of individual receptors during KSHV infection.

Surprisingly, we found that KSHV infection was completely unaffected by perturbations in the integrin

network in Caki-1 and HeLa cells. Cells lacking expression of both integrin subunits α3 and αV, effectively

lacking all three known KSHV integrin receptors, were even infected at a slightly higher rate compared

to WT cells. Our CRISPR-Cas9 KO studies covered sixteen of the twenty-four known integrin

heterodimers, and we further determined that the remaining eight heterodimers were not expressed in

Caki-1 cells.

This result was unexpected because studies in endothelial and fibroblast cells have demonstrated a

requirement for some combination of the integrins α3β1, αVβ3, or αVβ5 for productive KSHV entry

(reviewed in 29), although there has been some dispute about exactly which integrins are necessary (44,

46, 55, 56). It is still conceivable that an αV-family and one or more β1-family integrins besides α3β1 are

fully redundant receptors of KSHV in this system, although such a situation would not be consistent with

several past studies where a KSHV infection phenotype was recorded after targeting only a single

integrin heterodimer with a blocking antibody (31, 44, 45).

The KSHV glycoprotein gB binds integrins through an RGD domain that mimics natural integrin ligands,

as well as a DLD domain (31, 44, 47, 57). This gB-integrin interaction is required to initiate the KSHV-

induced signaling cascade through the activation of focal adhesion kinase and other downstream

effectors that eventually lead to virion endocytosis (31-33). This leads to the outstanding question of

how KSHV initiates endocytosis in Caki-1 and HeLa cells without the involvement of integrins. In known

infection mechanisms, the cytoplasmic domain of EphA2 is essential to propagate KSHV-induced

signaling events and recruit effectors of macropinocytosis and clathrin-mediated endocytosis (34-36,

51). We hypothesized that KSHV might directly induce endocytosis through EphA2, mimicking natural

ephrin ligand-receptor binding events (37). While we found that infection of Caki-1 and HeLa cells

required EphA2, remarkably an EphA2 construct truncated after the TM domain rescued infection in

EPHA2 KO cells as efficiently as the full-length EphA2 construct.

Together, our results suggest that in Caki-1 and HeLa cells, KSHV does not trigger the same integrin-

EphA2 signaling axis that is so crucial for entry into endothelial cells and fibroblasts. However, this

conclusion must be rectified with the strong infection defect we observed in *EPHA2* KO cells. The KSHV

membrane glycoprotein gH/gL binds to EphA2 (37, 49, 50), so one interpretation of our data is simply

that the ectodomain of EphA2 acts as an adhesion receptor in this setting. It may also play a role in

localizing virions and/or receptors to membrane microdomains that subsequently promote virion

endocytosis, as we observed an increased KSHV infection rate upon lipid raft disruption by nystatin

treatment in *EPHA2* KO cells but not WT cells, while the sensitivity to clathrin and dynamin inhibitors

remained consistent.

Taken together with our experiments investigating potential roles for different Eph receptors during

KSHV infection, more speculative hypotheses can also be made. The result that we were able to further

inhibit infection of *EPHA2* KO cells with ephrin-A4 suggests that another factor which is blocked by

ephrin-A4, most likely an Eph receptor, promotes KSHV infection. We ruled out that endogenous EphA4

and EphB2 were necessary for infection of Caki-1 infection, but also found that these Eph receptors

were not expressed by HeLa cells. This is important because like Caki-1 cells, HeLa cells exhibit a

significant amount of EphA2-independent KSHV infection. It is possible that additional Eph receptors are

expressed by both cell lines and affect KSHV infection in *EPHA2* KO and WT contexts.

In support of this idea, we demonstrated that transduced EphA4 and EphA5 constructs rescued infection

rates in *EPHA2* KO cells to levels comparable with transduced EphA2. In fact, at low amounts of surface

expression, EphA4 and EphA5 constructs outperformed EphA2 in this assay. It is unclear why EphA4 is

dispensable for infection in the endogenous setting, while it promoted KSHV infection in this context.

Spliced or modified forms of EphA4 produced from the endogenous gene could account for this

discrepancy. Alternatively, EphA4 may be part of a homeostatic network that ultimately impacts KSHV

infection efficiency and cellular adaptation to the loss of EphA4 could be responsible for the KO

phenotype. Whether EphA4, EphA5, and other Ephs act as true cellular receptors for KSHV should be

further investigated.

A striking property of Eph receptors is that they form heterotetramers with their ligands as well as large

oligomerized arrays through Eph-Eph interactions in their ectodomains which are critical to trigger

forward signaling in response to ligands (58-61). These signaling arrays can contain multiple types of Eph

receptors, Eph receptors that are not bound to ligands, and Eph receptor ectodomains (58, 59, 61-63).

Moreover, Eph cluster size, composition, and the presence of alternatively spliced Eph receptor forms

may all influence the cellular outcomes of Eph signaling (64-66). Importantly, one study showed that the

ectodomain of EphA2 was sufficient to localize the protein to cell-cell contacts (58), and another study

of chimeric EphA2 and EphA4 constructs suggested that the ectodomain may be a stronger determinant

of cellular responses than the attached cytoplasmic domain (60).

Thus, it is conceivable that in the presence of other signaling-competent Eph receptors, the ectodomain

of EphA2 could promote clustering and signaling during KSHV infection just as well as the full-length

receptor as we observed in our experiments. However, it is also possible that an unknown factor—not

an Eph receptor—is responsible for virion endocytosis and EphA2-independent infection in Caki-1 and

HeLa cells.

Given the importance of epithelial cell infection for host colonization, it will be valuable to further

characterize this infection mechanism and its impact on the viral life cycle. Our data support the notion

that KSHV receptor usage and entry mechanisms vary between cell types. We propose that KSHV

infection is not restricted by integrin and EphA2 expression and that the virus may utilize several

members of both the integrin and Eph receptor families in various combinations for entry into a broad

variety of cell types throughout the body. Modern gene editing technologies such as CRISPR-Cas9 will

facilitate detailed studies of KSHV receptors in the future and have the potential to rapidly expand the

field of virus-host interactions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
442

Cell lines and culture. SLK/Caki-1 (ATCC HTB-46) cells were a gift from D. Ganem. HeLa cells (ATCC CCL-

2) were obtained from the UC Berkeley BDS Cell Culture Facility. 293T (ATCC CRL-1573) and Phoenix

(ATCC CRL-3213) cells were purchased from the ATCC. Cells were grown in high glucose Dulbecco's

Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM, Gibco) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS, SeraDigm) at

37°C with 5% CO_2.

KSHV production and infection. iSLK.Bac16 (gift from J. Jung, USC) cells harboring latent KSHV.BAC16

infection were cultured under selection with 1.2 mg/mL of hygromycin B (Invitrogen). The cells were

induced to produce virus with 1 mM sodium butyrate (Alfa Aesar) and 1 ug/mL doxycycline (Sigma-

Aldrich). Three days after reactivation, supernatant was collected and filtered through a 0.45 μm syringe

filter. The unconcentrated viral supernatant was stored at 4°C and diluted with standard culture medium

for use in infection experiments. The dilution was calculated for each batch to produce an approximate

infection rate of 30% on WT Caki-1 cells, measured in GFP+ events by flow cytometry. Cells were

incubated with virus for 12-24 hours, then viral supernatant was removed and replaced with fresh

medium until analysis two days post infection.

CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing. Guide sequences were designed using the online tool crispr.mit.edu and

are provided in Table 1. A 5' G was added to sequences that didn't already contain one and then the

appropriate adaptors were appended to both forward and reverse oligos to facilitate cloning into px330

(Addgene #42230) according to the protocol provided at genomeengineering.org. Assembled px330

plasmids were transfected into cells of interest and mutant cells were sorted by FACS or subcloned to

obtain genetic KO cell pools or cell lines, respectively.

Antibodies. Heparan sulfate antibody (F58-10E4) was purchased from Amsbio, integrin α3 antibody

(P1B5 from Calbiochem, integrin αV and EphA5 antibodies (MAB12191 and MAB541, respectively) from

R&D Systems, integrin β1 and integrin β3 antibodies (T2S/16 and PM6/13, respectively) from Novus

Biologicals, integrin β5 and EphA2 antibodies (AST-3T and SHM16, respectively) from BioLegend, xct and

GAPDH antibodies (ab37185 and ab181602, respectively) from Abcam, DC-SIGN antibody (DCN47.5)

from Miltenyi Biotec, EphA4 antibody (4C8H5) from ThermoFisher, EphB2 antibody (2D12C6) from Santa

Cruz Biotech, and Flag antibody (M2) from Sigma-Aldrich. Purified isotype control antibodies (MAB002,

MAB003, MAB004, AB-105-C, MAB006) were purchased from R&D Systems except mouse IgM, κ (MM-

30) was from BioLegend.

Endocytosis Inhibitors. Cells were pretreated with Chlorpromazine (Chem-Impex), Dynasore (Sigma-

Aldrich), or Nystatin (Chem-Impex) for 30 minutes. Following pretreatment, cells were infected with

KSHV for 4 hours while maintaining the indicated drug concentration. Cells were washed and infection

rate was measured two days later.

Ephrin-A4 Blocking. Cells were preblocked with ephrin-A4-Fc or EGFR-Fc (R&D Systems) for 30 minutes

prior to infection at the indicated concentration, then virus was added in a 1:1 volume ratio and the cells

were infected overnight. The cells were then washed, given fresh culture medium, and grown for one

additional day before analyzing infection rate.

Constructs and Cloning. Eph receptors were amplified from BJAB (EphA4, EphA5) or Caki-1 (EphA2)

cDNA and directly cloned into pQCXIN (Clontech) or cloned into p3xFlag-CMV-9 (Sigma-Aldrich) and

subsequently cloned into pQCXIN to add an N-terminal 3xFlag tag preceded by the preprotrypsin leader

sequence. Truncation mutants were amplified with a reverse primer in the indicated position containing

an artificial stop codon. Chimeric TM domain EphA2 constructs were made using SOEing PCR.

Transfection and Transduction. Caki-1 and HeLa cells were transfected with px330 and phoenix cells

were transfected with pQCXIN-based constructs using Fugene transfection reagent (Promega) and

Optimem (Gibco) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After 2-3 days, retrovirus was collected

from the phoenix cell supernatant and filtered through a 0.45 μm filter. Filtered retroviral supernatant

was applied to target cells with 6 μg/mL polybrene (Santa Cruz) and spinfected at 500x g for 2 hours at

room temperature. Transduced cells were selected with neomycin (Fisher Scientific) at 1.2 mg/mL.

Flow Cytometry and Sorting. Cells were harvested with trypsin (Gibco) or PBS (Gibco) + 2 μM EDTA

(Fisher) when staining for trypsin-sensitive epitopes. Cells were blocked, stained, and washed in 1% BSA

(Fisher) in PBS. When applicable, cells were fixed in 4% PFA (ThermoFisher Pierce) in PBS and

permeabilized with 0.25% Triton X-100 (EM Science) in PBS. Live cells were stained with DAPI

(BioLegend) and fixed cells with Ghost Dye Violet 510 (Tonbo Biosciences) for viability according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Cells were analyzed using an LSR Fortessa or LSR Fortessa X-20 cell analyzer

(BD Biosciences) and sorted using a BD Influx or BD FACSARIA Fusion cell sorter (BD Biosciences). Data

was processed and visualized with FlowJo 10 (BD Biosciences).

Western Blotting. Cells were harvested by scraping in PBS and lysed in RIPA buffer (150 mM sodium

chloride, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 50 mM Tris, and a

protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)). Protein concentration in lysate was quantified by BCA assay

(ThermoFisher Pierce). Lysates were run on a 10% polyacrylamide gel and transferred to a nitrocellulose

membrane. A buffer containing 3% BSA and 10% FBS in TBST (20 mM Tris, 150 mM sodium chloride,

0.1% Tween 20) was used for blocking and primary antibody incubation. Plain TBST was used for

washing and secondary antibody incubation. Blots were visualized with IRDye 800CW and 680RD

secondary antibodies from LI-COR Biosciences using a LI-COR Odyssey infrared scanner and analyzed in

ImageStudio Lite 5.2 (LI-COR Biosciences).

Statistical Analysis. The indicated data sets were compared using the student's t-test in Prism 7

(GraphPad). A p value < 0.05 was denoted with a *.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-

profit sectors. The authors would like to thank Hector Nolla and Alma Valeros from the University of

California, Berkeley Flow Cytometry Core Facility for technical assistance and advice and Dr. Carolina

Arias from University of California, Santa Barbara, for graciously sharing her RNA-seq data. The authors

would like to additionally thank Dr. Ellen Robey, Dr. Eva Harris, Dr. Trever Greene, Andrew Birnberg,

Kristina Geiger, and Valerie Vargas-Zapata for enlightening discussion about this project.

REFERENCES

1.   Chang Y, Cesarman E, Pessin MS, Lee F, Culpepper J, Knowles DM, Moore PS. 1994.

Identification of herpesvirus-like DNA sequences in AIDS-associated Kaposi's sarcoma. Science

266:1865-1869.

2.   Cesarman E, Chang Y, Moore PS, Said JW, Knowles DM. 1995. Kaposi's sarcoma-associated

herpesvirus-like DNA sequences in AIDS-related body-cavity-based lymphomas. N Engl J Med

332:1186-1191.

3.   Soulier J, Grollet L, Oksenhendler E, Cacoub P, Cazals-Hatem D, Babinet P, d'Agay MF, Clauvel JP,

Raphael M, Degos L. 1995. Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus-like DNA sequences in

multicentric Castleman's disease. Blood 86:1276-1280.

4.   Uldrick TS, Wang V, O'Mahony D, Aleman K, Wyvill KM, Marshall V, Steinberg SM, Pittaluga S,

Maric I, Whitby D, Tosato G, Little RF, Yarchoan R. 2010. An interleukin-6-related systemic

inflammatory syndrome in patients coinfected with Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus and

HIV but without multicentric Castleman disease. Clin Infect Dis 51:350-358.

5.   Polizzotto MN, Uldrick TS, Wyvill KM, Aleman K, Marshall V, Wang V, Whitby D, Pittaluga S, Jaffe

ES, Millo C, Tosato G, Little RF, Steinberg SM, Sereti I, Yarchoan R. 2016. Clinical Features and

Outcomes of Patients With Symptomatic Kaposi Sarcoma Herpesvirus (KSHV)-associated

Inflammation: Prospective Characterization of KSHV Inflammatory Cytokine Syndrome (KICS).

Clin Infect Dis 62:730-738.

6.   Bower M, Nelson M, Young AM, Thirlwell C, Newsom-Davis T, Mandalia S, Dhillon T, Holmes P,

Gazzard BG, Stebbing J. 2005. Immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome associated with

Kaposi's sarcoma. J Clin Oncol 23:5224-5228.

7.   Volkow P, Cesarman-Maus G, Garciadiego-Fossas P, Rojas-Marin E, Cornejo-Juárez P. 2017.

Clinical characteristics, predictors of immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome and long-

term prognosis in patients with Kaposi sarcoma. AIDS Res Ther 14:30.

8.   Renne R, Blackbourn D, Whitby D, Levy J, Ganem D. 1998. Limited Transmission of Kaposi's

Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus in Cultured Cells. J Virol 72:5182-5188.

9.   Blackbourn DJ, Lennette E, Klencke B, Moses A, Chandran B, Weinstein M, Glogau RG, Witte MH,

Way DL, Kutzkey T, Herndier B, Levy JA. 2000. The restricted cellular host range of human

herpesvirus 8. AIDS 14:1123-1133.

10.   Bechtel JT, Liang Y, Hvidding J, Ganem D. 2003. Host Range of Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated

Herpesvirus in Cultured Cells. J Virol 77:6474-6481.

11.   Blasig C, Zietz C, Haar B, Neipel F, Esser S, Brockmeyer NH, Tschachler E, Colombini S, Ensoli B,

Stürzl M. 1997. Monocytes in Kaposi's sarcoma lesions are productively infected by human

herpesvirus 8. J Virol 71:7963-7968.

12.   Rappocciolo G, Jenkins FJ, Hensler HR, Piazza P, Jais M, Borowski L, Watkins SC, Rinaldo CR.

2006. DC-SIGN is a receptor for human herpesvirus 8 on dendritic cells and macrophages. J

Immunol 176:1741-1749.

13.   Rappocciolo G, Jais M, Piazza PA, DeLucia DC, Jenkins FJ, Rinaldo CR. 2017. Human Herpesvirus 8

Infects and Replicates in Langerhans Cells and Interstitial Dermal Dendritic Cells and Impairs

Their Function. J Virol 91:e00909-17.

14.   Mesri EA, Cesarman E, Arvanitakis L, Rafii S, Moore MA, Posnett DN, Knowles DM, Asch AS.

573   1996. Human herpesvirus-8/Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus is a new transmissible

574   virus that infects B cells. J Exp Med 183:2385-2390.

575   15.   Blackbourn DJ, Ambroziak J, Lennette E, Adams M, Ramachandran B, Levy JA. 1997. Infectious

576   human herpesvirus 8 in a healthy North American blood donor. Lancet 349:609–611.

577   16.   Minhas V, Wood C. 2014. Epidemiology and Transmission of Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated

578   Herpesvirus. Viruses 6:4178-4194.

579   17.   Cerimele F, Curreli F, Ely S, Friedman-Kien AE, Cesarman E, Flore O. 2001. Kaposi's Sarcoma-

580   Associated Herpesvirus Can Productively Infect Primary Human Keratinocytes and Alter Their

581   Growth Properties. J Virol 75:2435-2443.

582   18.   Duus K, Lentchitsky V, Wagenaar T, Grose C, Webster-Cyriaque J. 2004. Wild-Type Kaposi's

583   Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus Isolated from the Oropharynx of Immune-Competent

584   Individuals Has Tropism for Cultured Oral Epithelial Cells. J Virol 78:4074-4084.

585   19.   Johnson AS, Maronian N, Vieira J. 2005. Activation of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus

586   lytic gene expression during epithelial differentiation. J Virol 79:13769-13777.

587   20.   Gong D, Wu NC, Xie Y, Feng J, Tong L, Brulois KF, Luan H, Du Y, Jung JU, Wang CY, Kang MK, Park

588   NH, Sun R, Wu TT. 2014. Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus ORF18 and ORF30 are

589   essential for late gene expression during lytic replication. J Virol 88:11369-11382.

590   21.   Chagas CA, Endo LH, Sakano E, Pinto GA, Brousset P, Vassallo J. 2006. Detection of herpesvirus

591   type 8 (HHV8) in children's tonsils and adenoids by immunohistochemistry and in situ

592   hybridization. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 70:65-72.

593   22.   Akula SM, Wang FZ, Vieira J, Chandran B. 2001. Human herpesvirus 8 interaction with target

594   cells involves heparan sulfate. Virology 282:245-255.

23.   Akula SM, Pramod NP, Wang FZ, Chandran B. 2001. Human herpesvirus 8 envelope-associated

glycoprotein B interacts with heparan sulfate-like moieties. Virology 284:235-249.

24.   Wang FZ, Akula SM, Pramod NP, Zeng L, Chandran B. 2001. Human herpesvirus 8 envelope

glycoprotein K8.1A interaction with the target cells involves heparan sulfate. J Virol 75:7517-

7527.

25.   Birkmann A, Mahr K, Ensser A, Yağuboğlu S, Titgemeyer F, Fleckenstein B, Neipel F. 2001. Cell

surface heparan sulfate is a receptor for human herpesvirus 8 and interacts with envelope

glycoprotein K8.1. J Virol 75:11583-11593.

26.   Mark L, Lee WH, Spiller OB, Villoutreix BO, Blom AM. 2006. The Kaposi's sarcoma-associated

herpesvirus complement control protein (KCP) binds to heparin and cell surfaces via positively

charged amino acids in CCP1-2. Mol Immunol 43:1665-1675.

27.   Spiller OB, Mark L, Blue CE, Proctor DG, Aitken JA, Blom AM, Blackbourn DJ. 2006. Dissecting the

regions of virion-associated Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus complement control

protein required for complement regulation and cell binding. J Virol 80:4068-4078.

28.   Hahn A, Birkmann A, Wies E, Dorer D, Mahr K, Stürzl M, Titgemeyer F, Neipel F. 2009. Kaposi's

sarcoma-associated herpesvirus gH/gL: glycoprotein export and interaction with cellular

receptors. J Virol 83:396-407.

29.   Kumar B, Chandran B. 2016. KSHV Entry and Trafficking in Target Cells-Hijacking of Cell Signal

Pathways, Actin and Membrane Dynamics. Viruses 8:305.

30.   Kumar B, Roy A, Veettil MV, Chandran B. 2018. Insight into the Roles of E3 Ubiquitin Ligase c-

Cbl, ESCRT Machinery, and Host Cell Signaling in Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus Entry

and Trafficking. J Virol 92.

31.   Akula SM, Pramod NP, Wang FZ, Chandran B. 2002. Integrin alpha3beta1 (CD 49c/29) is a

cellular receptor for Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV/HHV-8) entry into the

target cells. Cell 108:407-419.

32.   Naranatt PP, Akula SM, Zien CA, Krishnan HH, Chandran B. 2003. Kaposi's sarcoma-associated

herpesvirus induces the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-PKC-zeta-MEK-ERK signaling pathway in

target cells early during infection: implications for infectivity. J Virol 77:1524-1539.

33.   Sharma-Walia N, Naranatt PP, Krishnan HH, Zeng L, Chandran B. 2004. Kaposi's sarcoma-

associated herpesvirus/human herpesvirus 8 envelope glycoprotein gB induces the integrin-

dependent focal adhesion kinase-Src-phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-rho GTPase signal pathways

and cytoskeletal rearrangements. J Virol 78:4207-4223.

34.   Chakraborty S, Veettil MV, Bottero V, Chandran B. 2012. Kaposi's sarcoma-associated

herpesvirus interacts with EphrinA2 receptor to amplify signaling essential for productive

infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109:E1163-1172.

35.   Dutta D, Chakraborty S, Bandyopadhyay C, Valiya Veettil M, Ansari MA, Singh VV, Chandran B.

2013. EphrinA2 regulates clathrin mediated KSHV endocytosis in fibroblast cells by coordinating

integrin-associated signaling and c-Cbl directed polyubiquitination. PLoS Pathog 9:e1003510.

36.   Bandyopadhyay C, Valiya-Veettil M, Dutta D, Chakraborty S, Chandran B. 2014. CIB1 synergizes

with EphrinA2 to regulate Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus macropinocytic entry in

human microvascular dermal endothelial cells. PLoS Pathog 10:e1003941.

37.   Hahn AS, Desrosiers RC. 2014. Binding of the Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus to the

ephrin binding surface of the EphA2 receptor and its inhibition by a small molecule. J Virol

88:8724-8734.

38. Stürzl M, Gaus D, Dirks WG, Ganem D, Jochmann R, G S. 2013. Kaposi's sarcoma-derived cell line

SLK is not of endothelial origin, but is a contaminant from a known renal carcinoma cell line. Int J

Cancer 132:1954–1958.

40. Jarousse N, Chandran B, Coscoy L. 2008. Lack of heparan sulfate expression in B-cell lines:

implications for Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus and murine gammaherpesvirus 68

infections. J Virol 82:12591-12597.

41. Brulois KF, Chang H, Lee AS, Ensser A, Wong LY, Toth Z, Lee SH, Lee HR, Myoung J, Ganem D, Oh

TK, Kim JF, Gao SJ, Jung JU. 2012. Construction and manipulation of a new Kaposi's sarcoma-

associated herpesvirus bacterial artificial chromosome clone. J Virol 86:9708-9720.

42. Akula SM, Naranatt PP, Walia NS, Wang FZ, Fegley B, Chandran B. 2003. Kaposi's sarcoma-

associated herpesvirus (human herpesvirus 8) infection of human fibroblast cells occurs through

endocytosis. J Virol 77:7978-7990.

43. Kerur N, Veettil MV, Sharma-Walia N, Sadagopan S, Bottero V, Paul AG, Chandran B. 2010.

Characterization of entry and infection of monocytic THP-1 cells by Kaposi's sarcoma associated

herpesvirus (KSHV): role of heparan sulfate, DC-SIGN, integrins and signaling. Virology 406:103-

116.

44. Garrigues HJ, Rubinchikova YE, Dipersio CM, Rose TM. 2008. Integrin alphaVbeta3 Binds to the

RGD motif of glycoprotein B of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus and functions as an

RGD-dependent entry receptor. J Virol 82:1570-1580.

45. Veettil MV, Sadagopan S, Sharma-Walia N, Wang FZ, Raghu H, Varga L, Chandran B. 2008.

Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus forms a multimolecular complex of integrins

(alphaVbeta5, alphaVbeta3, and alpha3beta1) and CD98-xCT during infection of human dermal

microvascular endothelial cells, and CD98-xCT is essential for the postentry stage of infection. J

Virol 82:12126-12144.

46.   Garrigues HJ, DeMaster LK, Rubinchikova YE, Rose TM. 2014. KSHV attachment and entry are

dependent on αVβ3 integrin localized to specific cell surface microdomains and do not correlate

with the presence of heparan sulfate. Virology 464-465:118-133.

47.   Walker LR, Hussein HA, Akula SM. 2014. Disintegrin-like domain of glycoprotein B regulates

Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection of cells. J Gen Virol 95:1770-1782.

48.   Myoung J, Ganem D. 2011. Generation of a doxycycline-inducible KSHV producer cell line of

endothelial origin: maintenance of tight latency with efficient reactivation upon induction. J

Virol Methods 174:12-21.

49.   Hahn AS, Kaufmann JK, Wies E, Naschberger E, Panteleev-Ivlev J, Schmidt K, Holzer A, Schmidt

M, Chen J, König S, Ensser A, Myoung J, Brockmeyer NH, Stürzl M, Fleckenstein B, Neipel F. 2012.

The Ephrin Receptor Tyrosine Kinase A2 is a Cellular Receptor for Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated

Herpesvirus. Nat Med 18:961-966.

50.   Hahn AS, Desrosiers RC. 2013. Rhesus Monkey Rhadinovirus Uses Eph Family Receptors for Entry

into B Cells and Endothelial Cells but Not Fibroblasts. PLoS Pathog 9:e1003360.

51.   Bandyopadhyay C, Veettil MV, Dutta S, Chandran B. 2014. p130Cas scaffolds the signalosome to

direct adaptor-effector cross talk during Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus trafficking in

human microvascular dermal endothelial cells. J Virol 88:13858-13878.

52.   Wang X, Zou Z, Deng Z, Liang D, Zhou X, Sun R, Lan K. 2017. Male hormones activate EphA2 to

facilitate Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection: Implications for gender disparity in

Kaposi's sarcoma. PLoS Pathog 13:e1006580.

53.   Pitulescu ME, Adams RH. 2010. Eph/ephrin molecules—a hub for signaling and endocytosis.

Genes Dev 24:2480-2492.

54.   Bocharov EV, Mayzel ML, Volynsky PE, Goncharuk MV, Ermolyuk YS, Schulga AA, Artemenko EO,

Efremov RG, Arseniev AS. 2008. Spatial structure and pH-dependent conformational diversity of

dimeric transmembrane domain of the receptor tyrosine kinase EphA1. J Biol Chem 283:29385-

29395.

55.   Inoue N, Winter J, Lal RB, Offermann MK, Koyano S. 2003. Characterization of entry mechanisms

of human herpesvirus 8 by using an Rta-dependent reporter cell line. J Virol 77:8147-8152.

56.   Kaleeba JA, Berger EA. 2006. Broad target cell selectivity of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated

herpesvirus glycoprotein-mediated cell fusion and virion entry. Virology 354:7-14.

57.   Wang FZ, Akula SM, Sharma-Walia N, Zeng L, Chandran B. 2003. Human herpesvirus 8 envelope

glycoprotein B mediates cell adhesion via its RGD sequence. J Virol 77:3131-3147.

58.   Seiradake E, Harlos K, Sutton G, Aricescu AR, Jones EY. 2010. An extracellular steric seeding

mechanism for Eph-ephrin signalling platform assembly. Nat Struct Mol Biol 17:398-402.

59.   Himanen JP, Yermekbayeva L, Janes PW, Walker JR, Xu K, Atapattu L, Rajashankar KR, Mensinga

A, Lackmann M, Nikolov DB, Dhe-Paganon S. 2010. Architecture of Eph receptor clusters. Proc

Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:10860-10865.

60.   Seiradake E, Schaupp A, del Toro Ruiz D, Kaufmann R, Mitakidis N, Harlos K, Aricescu AR, Klein R,

Jones EY. 2013. Structurally encoded intraclass differences in EphA clusters drive distinct cell

responses. Nat Struct Mol Biol 20:958-964.

61.   Xu K, Tzvetkova-Robev D, Xu Y, Goldgur Y, Chan YP, Himanen JP, Nikolov DB. 2013. Insights into

Eph receptor tyrosine kinase activation from crystal structures of the EphA4 ectodomain and its

complex with ephrin-A5. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:14634-14639.

62.   Wimmer-Kleikamp SH, Janes PW, Squire A, Bastiaens PIH, Lackmann M. 2004. Recruitment of

Eph receptors into signaling clusters does not require ephrin contact. J Cell Biol 164:661-666.

63.   Janes PW, Griesshaber B, Atapattu L, Nievergall E, Hii LL, Mensinga A, Chheang C, Day BW, Boyd

AW, Bastiaens PI, Jørgensen C, Pawson T, Lackmann M. 2011. Eph receptor function is

modulated by heterooligomerization of A and B type Eph receptors. J Cell Biol 195:1033-1145.

64.   Holmberg J, Clarke DL, Frisén J. 2000. Regulation of repulsion versus adhesion by different splice

forms of an Eph receptor. Nature 408:203-206.

65.   Greene AC, Lord SJ, Tian A, Rhodes C, Kai H, Groves JT. 2014. Spatial organization of EphA2 at

the cell-cell interface modulates trans-endocytosis of ephrinA1. Biophys J 106:2196-2205.

66.   Schaupp A, Sabet O, Dudanova I, Ponserre M, Bastiaens P, Klein R. 2014. The composition of

EphB2 clusters determines the strength in the cellular repulsion response. J Cell Biol 204:409-

422.

67.   Hynes RO. 2002. Integrins: bidirectional, allosteric signaling machines. Cell 110:673-687.

735   **FIGURES**

737   Figure 1. Surface expression of known KSHV receptors on Caki-1 and HeLa cells.

739   Live Caki-1 (A) and HeLa (B) cells were tested for surface expression of known KSHV receptors by

740   immunostaining and flow cytometry. The mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of each receptor stain was

741   normalized to that of the appropriate primary antibody isotype control. ND, not detected.

Figure 2. Heparan sulfate is required for efficient infection of Caki-1 and HeLa cells.

(A) WT and *EXT1* KO Caki-1 cells were immunostained for surface heparan sulfate (HS) expression. Grey

histograms represent isotype controls. (B) WT and *EXT1* KO Caki-1 cells were infected with KSHV in

duplicate and infection rates were measured by flow cytometry. The infection rate of the KO was

normalized to the average WT infection rate and data was pooled from multiple experiments. (C)

Purified KSHV was pre-incubated with the indicated concentrations of soluble heparin which was

maintained during infection. Infection rates were measured by flow cytometry. (D) Mixed *EXT1* KO HeLa

cells were immunostained for surface HS expression. Grey histogram represents isotype control. (E) The

mixed *EXT1* KO HeLa pool was infected with KSHV in triplicate. The pool was immunostained for HS

while infection rate was quantified by flow cytometry and cells were gated on HS-high or -low

expression as indicated in (D). The infection rate of HS-low cells was normalized to HS-high cells in each

well and data was pooled from multiple experiments. *, $p < 0.05$.

Figure 3. Integrins are not required for infection of Caki-1 and HeLa cells.

(A, E) WT and indicated integrin subunit KO Caki-1 cells were immunostained for surface expression of

the indicated integrins. Grey histograms represent isotype controls. (B) WT and integrin KO Caki-1 pools

were infected with KSHV in duplicate and infection rate was quantified by flow cytometry. The infection

rates of the KO pools were normalized to the average WT infection rate and data was pooled from

multiple experiments. (C) *ITGA3/ITGAV* double KO Caki-1 cells were immunostained for surface integrin

α3 and αV expression. Grey histograms represent the isotype controls. (D) WT and *ITGA3/ITGAV* double

KO Caki-1 cells were infected with KSHV in triplicate and infection rate was quantified by flow

cytometry. The infection rate of the DKO pool was normalized to the average WT infection rate and data

was pooled from multiple experiments. (F) Schematic integrin pairing diagram (adapted from 67)

showing expression data measured by surface immunostaining and flow cytometry or RNA-seq analysis.

Bold connections denote heterodimers previously implicated in KSHV infection. (G) Mixed *ITGAV* and

ITGB1 KO HeLa cells were immunostained for surface integrin αV and β1 expression. Grey histograms

represent the isotype controls. (H) Mixed integrin KO HeLa pools were infected with KSHV in triplicate

and infection rates were quantified by flow cytometry. The pools were also immunostained for the

corresponding integrins and gated on integrin-high or -low populations as indicated in (G). The infection

rates of integrin-low cells were normalized to integrin-high cells in each well and data was pooled from

multiple experiments. *, $p < 0.05$.

Figure 4. EphA2 is required for efficient infection of Caki-1 and HeLa cells.

(A) WT and *EPHA2* KO Caki-1 cells were immunostained for surface EphA2 expression. Grey histogram

represents isotype control. (B) WT and *EPHA2* KO Caki-1 cells were infected with KSHV in duplicate and

infection rates were quantified by flow cytometry. The infection rate of the *EPHA2* KO pool was

normalized to the average WT infection rate and data was pooled from multiple experiments. (C) *EPHA2*

KO Caki-1 cells were pre-blocked with EGFR-Fc, ephrin-A4-Fc, or an equal volume of PBS in media at 10

µg/mL and then infected in triplicate in the presence of EGFR-Fc or ephrin-A4-Fc at 5 µg/mL. Infection

rate was measured by flow cytometry and infection rates were normalized to the average *EPHA2* KO

infection rate. (D) Mixed *EPHA2* KO HeLa cells were immunostained for surface EphA2 expression. Grey

histograms represent the isotype controls. (E) The mixed *EPHA2* KO HeLa cells were infected with KSHV

in triplicate and GFP+ cells were quantified by flow cytometry. The cells were also immunostained for

EphA2 and gated on EphA2-high or -low as indicated in (D). The infection rates of EphA2-low cells were

normalized to EphA2-high cells in each well and data was pooled from multiple experiments. *, $p < 0.05$.

Figure 5. EphA4 and EphB2 are dispensable for infection of Caki-1 cells.

(A, E, H) 120 μg or (F) 50 ug of the indicated cell lysates were run on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel and blotted for

EphA4 (A, E) or EphB2 (F, H) and GAPDH as a loading control. WT and *EPHA4* KO Caki-1 cells (B), or WT,

EPHA2 KO, and *EPHA4/EPHA2* DKO Caki-1 cells (C) were infected with KSHV in triplicate and infection

rate was quantified by flow cytometry. The infection rates of KO cell lines were normalized to the

average infection rate of WT cells and a representative experiment is shown. (D) *EPHA4* KO and

EPHA4/EPHA2 DKO Caki-1 cells were immunostained for surface EphA2 expression. Grey histograms

represent isotype controls. (G) WT and *EPHB2* KO Caki-1 cells were infected with KSHV in triplicate and

infection rates were quantified by flow cytometry. The infection rates of the KO line were normalized to

the average WT infection rate and a representative experiment is shown. *, p < 0.05.

Figure 6. EphA2, EphA4, and EphA5 rescue KSHV infection in *EPHA2* KO Caki-1 cells.

(A) Diagram of generalized full-length and PPT-3xFlag-mature ephrin receptor constructs. Live (B) or

fixed and permeabilized (C) 3xFlag-tagged ephrin receptor transduced *EPHA2* KO cells and a vector

control were immunostained for surface (B) or intracellular (C) 3xFlag expression and analyzed by flow

cytometry. Grey histograms represent isotype controls. (D) The indicated cell lysates were run on 10%

SDS-PAGE gels and blotted for 3xFlag, EphA4, and EphA5 with matched GAPDH as a loading control. For

the Flag and EphA5 blots, 15 μg of lysate was loaded. For the EphA4 blot, 120 μg of lysate was loaded.

(E) The indicated cell lines were immunostained for surface EphA2 or EphA5 expression and analyzed by

flow cytometry. Grey histograms represent isotype controls. (F) The indicated cell lines were infected

with KSHV in triplicate and infection rate was quantified by flow cytometry. A representative experiment

is shown. (G) The 3xFlag expression histograms of infected 3xFlag-tagged ephrin receptor transduced

cell lines were divided into five successive gates as shown. The infection rate within each gate was

plotted against the fold MFI over isotype of each gate. *, $p < 0.05$.

Figure 7. EphA2 ectodomain is sufficient to rescue infection rate in *EPHA2* KO cells.

(A) Diagram of EphA2 truncation and domain swap constructs. (B, C) WT, *EPHA2* KO, and *EPHA2* KO cells

transduced with the EphA2 constructs indicated in (A) were infected with KSHV in triplicate and

infection rate was quantified by flow cytometry. The infection rates were normalized to the average

infection rate of WT cells and a representative experiment is shown. (D) WT, *EPHA2* KO, and the

indicated transduced *EPHA2* KO Caki-1 cells were immunostained for surface EphA2 expression and

analyzed by flow cytometry. Grey histograms represent the isotype controls. *, $p < 0.05$.

Figure 8. KSHV infection of Caki-1 and HeLa cells requires clathrin and dynamin.

WT Caki-1 (A), *EPHA2* KO Caki-1 (B), and WT HeLa (C) cells were pretreated with the indicated

concentrations of chlorpromazine, dynasore, nystatin, or an appropriate volume control of DMSO, then

infected with KSHV in triplicate while maintaining the indicated drug concentrations. Infection rate was

quantified by flow cytometry. The infection rates of the drug-treated cells were normalized to the

average infection rate of the corresponding DMSO-treated cells and a representative experiment is

shown for each cell line. *, $p < 0.05$.

903 **TABLES**

Gene	Exon	Guide sequence 5'-3'
EXT1	1	GTGGACGAACGACTACTCCA
ITGA3	4	GTCAGAAGACCAGCGGCGCA
ITGAV	2	GTGACTGGTCTTCTACCCGC
ITGB1	3	AATGTAACCAACCGTAGCAA
ITGB3	3	GAGCCGGAGTGCAATCCTCT
ITGB5	1	GCAGGCGTACAGCGGCGCCG
EPHA2	4	GTGTGCAAGGCATCGACGCT
EPHA4	3	TCCACTCACAGTCCGCAATC
	4	TCTGAAAAAGCCTCGGTCAC
EPHB2	3	GAACACGATCCGCACGTACC

905 Table 1. CRISPR-Cas9 guide RNA sequences used to target the indicated genes.