FASTGenomics: An analytical ecosystem for single-cell RNA sequencing data

Claus J. Scholz[1], Paweł Biernat[1], Matthias Becker[1], Kevin Baßler[1], Patrick Günther[1], Jenny Balfer[2], Henning Dickten[2], Lars Flöer[2], Kathrin Heikamp[2], Philipp Angerer[2,3], Mathias Heilig[2], Ralf Karle[2], Meike Köhler[2], Thomas Mazurkiewicz[2], Martin Mönnighoff[2], Christian Sauer[2], Albrecht Schick[2], Gerhard Schlemm[2], Roland Weigelt[2], Martin Winkler[2], Thomas Ulas[1], Fabian Theis[3], Stephan Huthmacher[2], Christina Kratsch[2,*], Joachim L. Schultze[1,4,*]

1 Genomics and Immunoregulation, Life & Medical Sciences (LIMES) Institute, University of Bonn, 53115 Bonn, Germany

2 Comma Soft AG, 53229 Bonn, Germany

3 Institute of Computational Biology, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Helmholtz Center Munich, 85764 Munich, Germany

4 Platform for Single Cell Genomics and Epigenomics, German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases and the University of Bonn, 53175 Bonn, Germany

* Corresponding authors:

Joachim L. Schultze, Genomics and Immunoregulation, Life & Medical Sciences Institute, Carl Troll Strasse 31, 53115 Bonn, Germany, Email: j.schultze@uni-bonn.de, Tel: +49-228-73-62787

Christina Kratsch, Comma Soft AG, Pützchens Chaussee 202, 53229 Bonn, Germany, Email: christina.kratsch@comma-soft.com

Short title: Single cell RNA sequencing ecosystem

Key words: single cell genomics, single cell RNA-sequencing, ecosystem, systems biology

Introduction

Recent technological advances increased the resolution of transcriptomics from cell populations
("bulk") to single cells[1]. While only few cells were assessed in initial projects[2,3], evolving technologies
now allow the analysis of thousands of cells[4–6], with the largest publicly available dataset currently
comprising more than 1.3 million cells[7]. In contrast to bulk RNA sequencing (RNA-seq), single cell (sc)
technologies are much more demanding due to high technical variation with zero-inflation being a
major property[8]. As a consequence, a myriad of novel computational approaches and tools have been
developed for the different scRNA-seq technologies[9], but these thriving innovations also constitute a
lack of widely accepted gold standards for data analysis. By construction, many of the proposed
algorithms and approaches address only certain steps in the analytical scRNA-seq workflow, are
adapted to certain scRNA-seq technologies, or cannot be easily combined with other tools, limiting
their broad applicability. Notable exceptions are software packages like Monocle[10], Seurat[11] and
Scanpy[12], which are well documented, cover big parts of the analysis workflow, and are flexible in their
application; nevertheless, due to their command line-based environments, they are still restricting
access to scRNA-seq for the broader life and medical sciences community. More user-friendly tools
with graphical user interfaces have been introduced, like Granatum[13], which offers a local installation,
or the online tool ASAP[14] and the commercial solution SeqGeq[15]. In their current versions, they offer
popular analysis algorithms, yet are limited in scalability in a multi-user setting, data security, usability
of data varying in size over several orders of magnitude, and integration of own analytical concepts.
Especially for largest-scale single cell genomics undertakings like the Human Cell Atlas (HCA)[16], existing
tools provide only limited analytical performance due to inefficient resource allocation for exploding
memory and computing requirements for datasets in the magnitude of millions of cells, thus
underscoring the necessity for a powerful software solution tailored to efficiently handle mega-
analyses through distributed computing.

Single cell genomics - with scRNA-seq leading the way - will revolutionize the life and medical
sciences[8,17–19]. Here, we postulate that an analytical ecosystem for single cell genomics applications
will foster research and development in this field. Such an ecosystem should give computational
experts a platform to make their tools available to a broader audience in a user-friendly fashion, allow
high-end users to develop individualized workflows, and provide the novice user a computational
environment to get acquainted with the special computational requirements for single cell analysis.
Furthermore, such an ecosystem should serve as a platform for the community to share public datasets
with a broader audience by following the FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and
stewardship[20], provide a scalable infrastructure for projects with large datasets even across numerous
institutions, host benchmarking capabilities for newly developed algorithms for the analysis of scRNA-
seq data, and even serve as a portal for large international projects such as the HCA[16]. Finally, an
analytical ecosystem must implement best practice measures that agree with institutional and
governmental data security regulations. To address all these requirements, we have developed
FASTGenomics (https://fastgenomics.org) as a powerful, efficient, versatile, robust, safe and intuitive
analytical ecosystem for single-cell transcriptomics. Access to the FASTGenomics ecosystem and its
functionality is granted for free upon registration to allow unrestricted interaction with the single cell
genomics community and especially academia. Furthermore, as suggested by the HCA white paper and
guided by representatives of the HCA, the implementation of FASTGenomics as a portal for the HCA is
currently on its way.

44
45

App Store in FASTGenomics serves as platform for novel algorithms

46
At the heart of FASTGenomics is a hybrid app store (**Figure 1A**) optionally composed of public (cloud)
and private (local) app repositories hosting algorithms for calculations and data visualization. Novel
algorithms can be provided as new apps by the computational biology community (**Figure 1B**). The
well-documented application program interface (API) (**Supplementary Information "Description of**
the API of FASTGenomics") defines data input and output (**Figure 1C**) and allows seamless integration
into the FASTGenomics ecosystem. Apps submitted to the public app repository
(https://github.com/fastgenomics) are included in the complete end-user environment
(**Supplementary Information "Detailed description of end-user experience of the FASTGenomics**
ecosystem"). Additionally, designing customized workflows integrating custom-made apps is a major
feature of FASTGenomics (**Figure 1D**). Furthermore, the workflow editor allows to adjust
parametrization of apps, thus providing a maximum of analytical flexibility. Currently, workflow editing
is done via the command line, the next version of the workflow editor is planned to provide an intuitive
graphical user interface with functionality to share custom workflows (**Supplementary Figure S1**).

Architecture, scalability and data security of the Docker-based hybrid model of FASTGenomics
The FASTGenomics ecosystem has been implemented as a Docker[21]-based cloud solution, which can
also be used as a local environment with a community-wide app repository (hybrid design) allowing to
share data, apps and workflows, but also information, expertise and knowledge about single cell
genomic analyses (**Figure 1A,** for a user perspective, **Supplementary Figure S2** for architectural
specifications, for more details see **Supplementary Information "Technical realization of**
FASTGenomics with Docker-based cloud solution"). Alternatively, entirely local installations – as they
might be required within industry – are also possible. While ensuring standardization and reduced
administrative burden, the modular, docker-based hybrid cloud solution of FASTGenomics also
provides the necessary scalability to run projects with very large datasets. A dynamic allocation and
flexible use of available resources will achieved by leveraging Kubernetes technology in the next
release of the platform[22,23].

In its current version, FASTGenomics is being developed according to EU-GDPR (General Data
Protection Regulation) and the German Federal Data Protection Act ("Bundesdatenschutzgesetz",
BDSG), one of the strictest data protection laws in the world. To minimize security issues related to
multi-user access to the platform and the use of custom apps, FASTGenomics implements a rigorous
multi-layer security concept of data encryption, controlled access and transfer to protect study data
(expression tables, sample metadata and analysis results) as well as user data from unauthorized
access and manipulation (**Supplementary Figure S3**). A data protection concept has been developed
accordingly and will be continuously updated according to legal requirements (**Supplementary**
Information "Data Security Concept within FASTGenomics").

User-friendly computational environment
Within the FASTGenomics ecosystem, analyses can be initiated and monitored from essentially any
web-compatible hardware with a web browser, without requiring extensive computing or memory
resources locally. For the end-user following registration, FASTGenomics provides an interface for data
upload (**Supplementary Figure S4A, Supplementary Information "Description of data upload via**
upload Dock in FASTGenomics"), starting from count tables and experimental metadata, followed by
standardized quality checks, e.g. average molecule counts, gene types, and quantification of batch

effects (**Supplementary Figure S4C-E**), and two pre-defined data analysis and visualization workflows,
'Subtype Discovery' and 'Pseudo Time Analysis' (**Figure 1D**). The former includes a neural network
approximation of the parametric tSNE[24] and a 3D visualization of cells with coloring according to cluster
assignments, gene expression and metadata (see also **Supplementary Table 1**). Each analysis results
in the definition of genes of interest and a functional categorization with the help of external
databases, e.g. Gene Ontology (GO[25]). Workflows in FASTGenomics end with a summary, a detailed
description of all analysis steps including information about algorithms, software, versions, and
parametrizations used as well as input data and results produced (**Figure 1D, Supplementary Figure**
S5A, S5B, Supplementary Information "Description of Summary of any given analysis"). The summary
is intended to maximize reproducibility and transparency of the analysis, which could be made
available e.g. in scientific publications or within documentation required in regulatory environments.

Platform for sharing datasets for further public exploitation
Another important feature of FASTGenomics is a standardized package for public dataset presentation,
which we utilized to present 10 recently published datasets ranging from 482 to 68,579 cells per
dataset (**Supplementary Table 2**)[5,26–34]. Available datasets can be connected with standard workflows
provided by FASTGenomics, but also with customized apps and workflows as exemplified for a previous
MARS-Seq dataset (**Supplementary Figure S6**)[31]. By combining a dataset with the initial analysis, the
data can be examined by anybody following the same algorithmic settings as previously reported in
the literature. Moreover, this also allows to compare different analysis strategies directly on the same
platform. We also performed concordance analyses for selected datasets presented in FASTGenomics
(**Figure 2A**) and focus here on a dataset with 3,005 cells published by Zeisel et al.[34]. Using the BACKSPIN
clustering algorithm, a total of 9 clusters that were assigned to 7 classes of cell types were previously
identified in the dataset, while after our neural network-based dimensionality reduction a subset of
2,375 cells could be assigned to 16 clusters. Thus, the FASTGenomics 'subtype discovery' standard
workflow revealed a more fine-grained cluster structure than the BACKSPIN algorithm while preserving
the co-clustering of functionally closely related cell types. In particular, neuronal and glial cell types
were clearly distinguished from each other as well as from vasculature; in more detail,
oligodendrocytes and pyramidal neurons were each assigned to one FASTGenomics cluster, while
interneurons were clustered to six main classes. Quantitatively this translates to an adjusted mutual
information value of 0.75 and median concordance rates of 96.5% for FASTGenomics and 90% for
BACKSPIN (**Figure 2AB, Supplementary Information**). Such measures might be also used to estimate
specialized analyses settings in previously published datasets. Collectively, the option to freely share
previously published large datasets on FASTGenomics allows intuitive and interactive cross-
examination, which goes far beyond the current options in scientific publications.

FASTGenomics provides higher flexibility and scalability compared to existing platforms
Next, we intended to compare FASTGenomics to the three currently available GUI-based platforms
ASAP[14], Granatum[13] and SeqGeq[15] (for detailed setup see **Supplementary Information "Setup of ASAP,**
Granatum and SeqGeq for comparison with FASTGenomics"). We utilized five datasets ranging from
1,920[33] to 68,579 cells[29] and compared for data upload, pre-processing cell clustering, differential gene
expression analysis, pseudo time analysis and analysis summary. In their default configuration, among
the four evaluated tools, only FASTGenomics performed all steps with all datasets (**Figure 2C**). We
furthermore determined the resources needed by FASTGenomics to compute analyses with different

dataset sizes (experiment details in **"Resource Requirements of a FASTGenomics Analysis**
Workflow"). Analysis runtime and memory requirements are both strongly correlated and depend on
the number of cells analyzed; furthermore, analysis of all datasets across the tested size range is
feasible with a contemporary desktop computer (**Figure 2D**).

Outlook

In upcoming versions of FASTGenomics, datasets, apps and workflows can be shared in private
spaces/sections between collaboration partners prior to publishing, thus providing the infrastructure
for multi-institutional collaboration projects. Furthermore, import/export apps will be implemented
to be fully interoperable with established analysis software tools like Monocle[10], Scanpy[12], Scater[35],
Seurat[11], etc., but also with data repositories like Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO)[36]. Finally, a
connection of FASTGenomics to major laboratory information management systems (LIMS) for the
import of experimental variables as metadata for new datasets as well as the export of the analysis
summary back to the experimenters' LIMS is currently evaluated and discussed with future users.

Conclusion

Taken together, FASTGenomics is designed as a secure, flexible, scalable but also standardized
platform for single cell RNA-seq data, open to the scientific community. A major feature is to provide
highest reproducibility and transparency for single cell data analysis to the whole community. Due to
its modular and open structure it could also serve as a platform for community-wide benchmarking for
novel algorithms and even serve as one of the tertiary portals planned within the HCA data
coordination platform of the Human Cell Atlas[16]. Furthermore, by design, it scales already routinely to
more than $5x10^4$ cells per project and prototype apps suggest that scaling to 10^6 cells is also possible.
Moreover, its hybrid design will also allow using FASTGenomics on premise, which might be of interest
to clinical research and the pharmaceutical industry.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: FAST Genomics ecosystem. (A) Hybrid app store concept. To provide both the advantages of
community access to the FASTGenomics framework as well as the security of a private working
environment, FASTGenomics runs in the cloud and can also be installed on premise. The cloud
installation allows the usage of public apps and exchange with the global research community, whereas
the on-premise installation could run on a local cluster. Additional local app repositories and data
storage can be added for private access only. **(B)** Typical structure of a FASTGenomics workflow. All
FASTGenomics workflows consist of calculation apps (such as quality checks, data normalization,
dimensionality reduction, clustering, …) that take inputs and consecutively produce new results for
upstream calculation apps. Selected outputs of the calculation workflow are displayed in the browser
with the help of visualization apps in the according visualization workflow. **(C)** Structure of a
FASTGenomics app. Apps are Docker containers that interact with the FASTGenomics framework using
an interface for data input and a configuration file providing necessary parameters for the analysis.
Each FASTGenomics app dynamically generates a summary of the analysis performed by the app that
is collected by the FASTGenomics summary service. Depending on app type, different channels are
used for results, calculation apps write output to disk, whereas visualization apps send output to the

176 web browser. The use of the Docker framework enables app developers to implement algorithms in
177 any programming language of choice. A detailed tutorial for the development of calculation and
178 visualization apps as well as sample code can be found at the public FASTGenomics app repository
179 (https://github.com/fastgenomics). **(D)** Workflow definitions and app concept: workflow definitions
180 are configuration files that describe the calculation and visualization apps used for a specific workflow.
181 User-defined workflows can be added simply by creating new workflow definitions, which may recycle
182 previously defined apps. In particular, apps for the exploration of gene candidate lists with the help of
183 DE analysis and functional annotation are typical candidates for multi-workflow apps. All workflows
184 end with a detailed summary of the analyses performed to ensure maximum transparency and
185 reproducibility.

187 **Fig 2: Reproducibility of workflows and performance of FASTGenomics. (A)** Clustering results of
188 individual cells generated with the standard 'subtype discovery' workflow in FASTGenomics were
189 compared to published findings by determination of the adjusted mutual information (AMI). Immune
190 cell datasets[29,31] displayed a lower degree of concordance than neuronal[34], cancer[27] and retinal tissue[5]
191 datasets, presumably due to the lower RNA content of immune cells[29] and the lower number of genes
192 expressed[27]. **(B)** FASTGenomics (FG) cluster assignments compared to published cell types[34]. For each
193 FG cluster, the proportion of main cell types (inner circle) and subtypes (outer circle) are shown. The
194 FASTGenomics standard 'subtype discovery' workflow clearly distinguished single-cell transcriptomes
195 at higher resolution than main cell types, but with lower resolution than the published subclustering
196 approach. Based on single-cell transcriptomic data, biologically meaningful subclasses were generated
197 by the FASTGenomics 'subtype discovery' workflow, classifying neuronal and glial cells, vasculature
198 and immune cell types in distinct units. **(C)** Performance comparison between FASTGenomics and three
199 additional GUI-based platforms for single cell analysis. FASTGenomics (https://fastgenomics.org) was
200 compared to the online tool ASAP (https://asap.epfl.ch/) and local installations of Granatum
201 (http://garmiregroup.org/granatum/app) and SeqGeq (https://www.flowjo.com/solutions/seqgeq)
202 installed on a 64 bit Windows 10 machine with Intel i7 6700K CPU and 32 GB RAM). Comparison was
203 performed in 7 categories (data upload, data preprocessing, cell clustering, differential gene
204 expression, functional analysis, pseudotime analysis, analysis summary). Datasets of various sizes,
205 ranging from 1,920 to 68,579 cells[5,29,32–34] were used to assess scalability of the platforms. The size of
206 the largest dataset, for which an analysis task could be accomplished is shown for all evaluated
207 pipelines. **(D)** Required resources for analysis of data sets of various sizes[5,29,32–364]. Maximum memory
208 usage (blue dots) and overall analysis runtime (red dots) to complete data normalization,
209 dimensionality reduction and cell clustering are shown depending on the number of cells contained in
210 each analyzed dataset.

References

1.   Picelli, S. Single-cell RNA-sequencing: The future of genome biology is now. *RNA Biol.* 1–14

(2016). doi:10.1080/15476286.2016.1201618

2.   Shalek, A. K. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptomics reveals bimodality in expression and splicing in

immune cells. *Nature* **498,** 236–240 (2013).

3.   Islam, S. *et al.* Characterization of the single-cell transcriptional landscape by highly multiplex

RNA-seq. *Genome Res.* **21,** 1160–1167 (2011).

4.   Jaitin, D. A. *et al.* Massively parallel single-cell RNA-seq for marker-free decomposition of tissues

into cell types. *Science* **343,** 776–779 (2014).

5.   Macosko, E. Z. *et al.* Highly Parallel Genome-wide Expression Profiling of Individual Cells Using

Nanoliter Droplets. *Cell* **161,** 1202–1214 (2015).

6.   Gierahn, T. M. *et al.* Seq-Well: portable, low-cost RNA sequencing of single cells at high

throughput. *Nat. Methods* **14,** 395–398 (2017).

7.   10X Genomics. Megacell 1.3 Mio dataset. (2017). Available at:

https://community.10xgenomics.com/t5/10x-Blog/Our-1-3-million-single-cell-dataset-is-ready-

to-download/ba-p/276. (Accessed: 6th November 2017)

8.   Stegle, O., Teichmann, S. A. & Marioni, J. C. Computational and analytical challenges in single-cell

transcriptomics. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **16,** 133–145 (2015).

9.   Poirion, O. B., Zhu, X., Ching, T. & Garmire, L. Single-Cell Transcriptomics Bioinformatics and

Computational Challenges. *Front. Genet.* **7,** 163 (2016).

10.   Trapnell, C. *et al.* The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions are revealed by

pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **32,** 381–386 (2014).

11.   Satija, R., Farrell, J. A., Gennert, D., Schier, A. F. & Regev, A. Spatial reconstruction of single-cell

gene expression data. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **33,** 495–502 (2015).

12.   Wolf, F. A., Angerer, P. & Theis, F. J. SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene expression data

analysis. *Genome Biol.* **19,** 15 (2018).

13. Zhu, X. *et al.* Granatum: a graphical single-cell RNA-Seq analysis pipeline for genomics scientists.

Genome Med. **9,** 108 (2017).

14. Gardeux, V., David, F. P. A., Shajkofci, A., Schwalie, P. C. & Deplancke, B. ASAP: a Web-based

platform for the analysis and interactive visualization of single-cell RNA-seq data. *Bioinforma.*

Oxf. Engl. (2017). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btx337

15. SeqGeq® | FlowJo, LLC. Available at: https://www.flowjo.com/solutions/seqgeq. (Accessed: 2nd

February 2018)

16. Human Cell Atlas. The Human Cell Atlas White Paper. Available at:

https://www.humancellatlas.org/news/13. (Accessed: 6th November 2017)

17. Shapiro, E., Biezuner, T. & Linnarsson, S. Single-cell sequencing-based technologies will

revolutionize whole-organism science. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **14,** 618–630 (2013).

18. Jaitin, D. A., Keren-Shaul, H., Elefant, N. & Amit, I. Each cell counts: Hematopoiesis and immunity

research in the era of single cell genomics. *Semin. Immunol.* **27,** 67–71 (2015).

19. Wagner, A., Regev, A. & Yosef, N. Revealing the vectors of cellular identity with single-cell

genomics. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **34,** 1145–1160 (2016).

20. Wilkinson, M. D. *et al.* The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and

stewardship. *Sci. Data* **3,** 160018 (2016).

21. Beaulieu-Jones, B. K. & Greene, C. S. Reproducibility of computational workflows is automated

using continuous analysis. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **35,** 342–346 (2017).

22. Burns, B., Grant, B., Oppenheimer, D., Brewer, E. & Wilkes, J. Borg, Omega, and Kubernetes.

Queue **14,** 10:70–10:93 (2016).

23. Schulz, W. L., Durant, T. J. S., Siddon, A. J. & Torres, R. Use of application containers and

workflows for genomic data analysis. *J. Pathol. Inform.* **7,** (2016).

24. Maaten, L. Learning a Parametric Embedding by Preserving Local Structure. in *PMLR* 384–391

(2009).

25. Gene Ontology Consortium. Gene Ontology Consortium: going forward. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43,**

D1049-1056 (2015).

26. Tirosh, I. *et al.* Single-cell RNA-seq supports a developmental hierarchy in human

oligodendroglioma. *Nature* **539,** 309–313 (2016).

27. Tirosh, I. *et al.* Dissecting the multicellular ecosystem of metastatic melanoma by single-cell RNA-

seq. *Science* **352,** 189–196 (2016).

28. Ziegenhain, C. *et al.* Comparative Analysis of Single-Cell RNA Sequencing Methods. *Mol. Cell* **65,**

631–643.e4 (2017).

29. Zheng, G. X. Y. *et al.* Massively parallel digital transcriptional profiling of single cells. *Nat.*

Commun. **8,** 14049 (2017).

30. Moignard, V. *et al.* Decoding the regulatory network of early blood development from single-cell

gene expression measurements. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **33,** 269–276 (2015).

31. Mass, E. *et al.* Specification of tissue-resident macrophages during organogenesis. *Science* **353,**

(2016).

32. Paul, F. *et al.* Transcriptional Heterogeneity and Lineage Commitment in Myeloid Progenitors.

Cell **163,** 1663–1677 (2015).

33. Nestorowa, S. *et al.* A single-cell resolution map of mouse hematopoietic stem and progenitor

cell differentiation. *Blood* **128,** e20-31 (2016).

34. Zeisel, A. *et al.* Brain structure. Cell types in the mouse cortex and hippocampus revealed by

single-cell RNA-seq. *Science* **347,** 1138–1142 (2015).

35. McCarthy, D. J., Campbell, K. R., Lun, A. T. L. & Wills, Q. F. Scater: pre-processing, quality control,

normalization and visualization of single-cell RNA-seq data in R. *Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl.* **33,** 1179–

1186 (2017).

36. Barrett, T. *et al.* NCBI GEO: archive for functional genomics data sets--update. *Nucleic Acids Res.*

41, D991-995 (2013).

Acknowledgements
We would like to thank John Marioni for fruitful interactions concerning the project to implement
FASTGenomics as one of the portals for the HCA. This work was supported by a grant from the Federal
Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy (BMWi Project FASTGENOMICS). JLS is a member of the
excellence cluster immunosensation. JLS is further supported by the DFG (Sachbeihilfe SCHU 950/9-1;
SFB 704, projects A13, Z5; excellence cluster immunosensation).

Author contributions
CJS wrote the manuscript; CJS, PB, MB, KB, PG, JB, HD, LF, KH, PA, MK and TU designed analyses; CJS,
PB, JB, HD, LF, KH, PA, MK, MM, CS, AS and GS implemented apps; HD, MH, RK, TM, MM, CS, AS, GS,
RW and MW developed the platform; JB, HD, KH, RK, TM and CS contributed to the manuscript; KH
and JB managed the project; SH perceived idea, managed and supervised the project; CK managed and
supervised project, wrote the manuscript; JLS, FT discussed and improved the project and the
manuscript; JLS perceived idea for the project, managed and supervised the project, wrote and
designed the manuscript.

Figure 1

Figure 2