The Shape of the Bacterial Ribosome Exit Tunnel Affects

Cotranslational Protein Folding

Renuka Kudva[1], Pengfei Tian[4], Fatima Pardo Avila[3], Marta Carroni[1,2],

Robert B. Best[4], Harris D. Bernstein[5], Gunnar von Heijne[1,2*]

[1]Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Stockholm University, SE-106 91

Stockholm, Sweden

[2]Science for Life Laboratory Stockholm University, Box 1031, SE-171 21 Solna,

Sweden

[3] Department of Structural Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94085, USA

[4]Laboratory of Chemical Physics and [5]Genetics and Biochemistry Branch,

National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National

Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA

*To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Phone: Int+46-8-16 25 90. E-mail: gunnar@dbb.su.se

Classification: Biological sciences; Biochemistry

Key words: protein folding, ribosome, cotranslational, arrest peptide, uL23, uL24

Running title: Cotranslational protein folding

Abbreviations: AP = arrest peptide, PTC= Peptidyl Transferase Centre

Abstract

The *E.coli* ribosome exit tunnel can accommodate small folded proteins, while

larger ones fold outside. It remains unclear, however, to what extent the

geometry of the tunnel influences protein folding. Here, using *E. coli* ribosomes

with deletions in loops in proteins uL23 and uL24 that protrude into the tunnel,

we investigate how tunnel geometry determines where proteins of different

sizes fold. We find that a 29-residue zinc-finger domain normally folding close to

the uL23 loop folds deeper in the tunnel in uL23 Δloop ribosomes, while two

~100-residue protein normally folding close to the uL24 loop near the tunnel

exit port fold at deeper locations in uL24 Δloop ribosomes, in good agreement

with results obtained by coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations. This

supports the idea that cotranslational folding commences once a protein domain

reaches a location in the exit tunnel where there is sufficient space to house the

folded structure.

Introduction

A large fraction of cellular proteins likely start to fold cotranslationally in the ~100 Å long exit tunnel in the ribosomal large subunit (1-3), before they emerge into the cytosolic environment. In *E. coli* ribosomes, portions of the 23S rRNA and a few universally conserved proteins line the exit tunnel, Fig. 1A. The tunnel proteins uL4, uL22, and uL23 consist of globular domains that are buried within the rRNA, and β-hairpin loops that protrude into the tunnel (4). These loops help stabilize the tertiary structure of 23S rRNA (5) and contribute towards the unique geometry of the tunnel (2, 6, 7). uL24 and uL29 are located near the end of the tunnel, and a hairpin loop in uL24 forms a finger-like structure that partially obstructs the tunnel exit port.

Inspired by observation that protein domains fold in different parts of the exit tunnel depending on their molecular weight (8-12), we now ask what role the geometry of the exit tunnel plays in determining where these domains fold. To explore this question, we employ the same arrest peptide-based approach (and coarse-grained MD simulations) used in our previous studies of cotranslational protein folding (13, 14), but with ribosomes that carry deletions in either the uL23 or the uL24 hairpin loop. Our findings provide strong evidence that the tunnel geometry determines where in the tunnel a protein starts to fold.

Results and Discussion

The folding assay

Our experimental set-up, Fig. 1B, exploits the ability of the SecM translational arrest peptide (AP) (15) to act as a force sensor (16-18), making it possible to detect the folding of protein domains in the exit tunnel (13, 18). In brief, the

domain to be studied is cloned, via a linker, to the AP, L residues away from its C-

terminal proline. The AP is followed by a C-terminal tail, to ensure that arrested

(A) nascent chains can be cleanly separated from full-length (FL) chains by SDS-

PAGE. Constructs with different L are translated in the PURE *in vitro* translation

system (19), and the fraction full-length protein (f_{FL}) is determined for each L.

For linkers that, when stretched, are long enough to allow the protein to reach a

part of the exit tunnel where it can fold, force will be exerted on the AP by the

folding protein, reducing stalling and increasing f_{FL}. (20), Fig. 1C. A plot of f_{FL} vs. L

thus shows where in the exit tunnel a protein starts to fold and at which linker

length folding no longer causes increased tension in the nascent chain. A number

of earlier studies have provided strong support for the notion that the dominant

peak in a f_{FL} profile corresponds to folding into the native state (as opposed to,

e.g., non-specific compaction of the nascent chain), at least for small, single-

domain proteins (11, 13, 14, 20-22).

uL23 Δloop and uL24 Δloop ribosomes

The *E. coli* strains HDB143 (uL23 Δloop; uL23 residues 65-74 deleted) and

HDB144 (uL24 Δloop; uL24 residues 43-57 deleted) have previously been shown

to be viable (23), as is a strain where uL23 has been replaced by a homologue

from spinach chloroplast ribosomes that also lacks the β-hairpin loop (24, 25).

These strains were used to purify high-salt-washed ribosomes that were used to

translate proteins in the commercially available PURExpress® Δ-Ribosome kit.

Analysis of the purified ribosomes by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting

demonstrated the expected size differences compared to wildtype for the uL23

Δloop and uL24 Δloop proteins, Figure 1- figure supplement 1.

 Cryo-EM structure of uL23 Δloop ribosomes

The loop deleted in the uL24 Δloop ribosomes does not interact with

neighboring parts of the ribosome, Fig. 2A, and hence its removal would not be

expected to alter the structure of other parts of the exit tunnel. In contrast, the

loop deleted in uL23 Δloop ribosomes is located deep in the exit tunnel, Fig. 2B,

~ 40-50 Å from the exit and it is not clear *a priori* whether its removal may cause

rearrangements in other tunnel components. For this reason, we determined a

cryoEM structure of the uL23 Δloop 70S ribosome at an average resolution of 3.3

Å, Fig. 2C, Figure 2- figure supplement 1, and found that the shape of the tunnel

remains unchanged in the uL23 Δloop ribosome when compared with wildtype

(WT) *E. coli* ribosomes, except for an increase in volume resulting from the

absence of the uL23 loop Fig. 2C-D. We estimated this increase using the POVME

algorithm (26, 27). Compared to WT *E. coli* ribosomes, the tunnel volume

increases by 2,064 Å^3 in uL23 Δloop ribosomes, see Video 1, about 1/3 of the size

of ADR1a (5,880 Å^3) calculated by the same method.

ADR1a folds deeper in the exit tunnel in uL23 Δloop but not in uL24 Δloop

ribosomes

ADR1a constructs of different linker lengths (*L*) were translated in the

PURExpress® Δ-Ribosome kit supplemented with purified WT, uL23 Δloop, or

uL24 Δloop ribosomes, either in the presence of 50 µM Zn^{2+} (to promote folding

of ADR1a) or in the presence of 50 µM of the zinc-specific chelating agent TPEN

(to prevent folding of ADR1a; TPEN is required to remove residual amounts of

Zn^{2+} from the PURE lysate) (Figure 3A, Figure 3- figure supplements 1-4).

Translation rates in PURE are ~10-fold slower than *in vivo* (28), but since the

proteins studied here fold on micro-to-millisecond time scales, i.e., considerably

faster than the *in vivo* translation rate, it is safe to assume that the folding

reaction has time to equilibrate between each translation step both *in vivo* and in

the PURE system.

Similar to previous results (13), we saw efficient stalling when ADR1a was

translated in the presence of TPEN at $L \geq 19$ residues, Figure 3- figure

supplement 8. Further, there is a slight but significant increase in f_{FL} at $L = 17$

residues in the presence of TPEN (hence not related to folding); this has been

observed before (13) and we hypothesize that it is due to a weakening in the

arrest potency of SecM by the ADR1a residues that abut the AP in this construct

(see Figure 3- figure supplement 9 for sequences). To correct for this effect, we

calculated $\Delta f_{FL} = f_{FL}(Zn^{2+}) - f_{FL}(TPEN)$, Fig. 3A. In the presence of Zn^{2+}, the Δf_{FL}

profiles for WT and uL24 Δloop ribosomes are very similar: Δf_{FL} starts to

increase around $L = 20$-21 residues and peaks at $L = 25$ residues (grey and blue

curves). In contrast, for the uL23 Δloop ribosomes, Δf_{FL} starts to increase already

at $L = 17$ residues and peaks at $L = 21$-24 residues (red curve). To quantify these

differences, for each f_{FL} curve we calculated the linker lengths characterizing the

onset and end of the peak (L_{onset} and L_{end}; defined as the L-values for which the

curve has half-maximal height, as indicated in Fig. 3A), as well as the L-value

corresponding to the peak of the curve (L_{max}), Table 1.

A previous cryo-EM study demonstrated that the 29-residue ADR1a domain folds

deep inside the ribosome exit tunnel in a location where it is in contact with the

uL23 loop (13), Fig. 2B. The additional space available in uL23 Δloop ribosomes

makes it possible for ADR1a to start to fold at 3-4 residues shorter linker lengths

(L_{onset}). Assuming an extended conformation of the linker segment (~3Å per

residue), ADR1a folds ~9-12 Å deeper in the exit tunnel in uL23 Δloop ribosomes

than in WT ribosomes.

Spectrin and titin domains fold deeper in the exit tunnel in uL24 Δloop ribosomes

The 109-residue α-spectrin R16 domain has been shown to fold cotranslationally

at $L \approx 35$ residues, in close proximity to uL24 in the exit port region (14). As seen

in Fig. 3B and Table 1, with both WT and uL23 Δloop ribosomes, R16 has L_{onset} =

31 residues and L_{max} = 35 residues (gray and red curves). For the uL24 Δloop

ribosomes however, L_{onset} = 29 residues and L_{max} = 33 residues (Table 1),

suggesting that that spectrin R16 folds ~6-7 Å deeper in the exit tunnel when the

uL24 loop does not obstruct the tunnel exit port.

Similar results were obtained for the 89-residue titin I27 domain, Fig. 3C.

Previous studies have shown that the I27 domain folds at linker lengths L = 35-

39 residues and that it folds in about the same location as does spectrin R16, in

close proximity to the uL24 loop (20). The f_{FL} profile is not affected by the uL23

loop deletion, but folding commences at ~4 residues shorter linker lengths in

uL24 Δloop ribosomes, similar to R16 (Table 1).

Coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations

In order to provide a more detailed structural framework for interpreting the f_{FL}

profile results, we performed coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations of

the cotranslational folding of ADR1a, spectrin R16, and titin I27 in WT,

uL23 Δloop, and uL24 Δloop ribosomes, using a recently described model that

allows us to calculate f_{FL} profiles from the simulations (20). The essence of the

method is that the simulations are used to determine folded and unfolded

populations at each linker length, and the forces associated with them.

Combining this information with the experimentally determined force-

dependent escape rate of the AP from the ribosome (18) in a kinetic model

allows f_{FL} to be calculated. Simulated (full lines) and experimental (dashed lines)

f_{FL} profiles are shown in Fig. 3D-F, and detailed simulation results, together with

representative snapshots from the simulations of the folded domains at $L \approx L_{onset}$,

are shown in Figure 3- figure supplement 10. For all three proteins, the L_{onset}

values are well reproduced by the simulations, both in WT and Δloop ribosomes.

L_{max} values are also well captured by the simulations for ADR1a (WT ribosomes)

and I27 (both WT and uL24 Δloop ribosomes), but are shifted to somewhat

lower values in the R16 simulations.

The simulated ADR1a f_{FL} profile for uL23 Δloop ribosomes, while showing an

early onset of folding in agreement with the experimental profile, has a much

smaller L_{max} value. We also performed a simulation using a ribosome model with

a smaller deletion in the uL23 loop (residues 70-72; red curve marked by X's); in

this case, the peak in the simulated profile extends between L_{onset} and L_{end} values

that are more similar to the experimental profile for uL23 Δloop ribosomes. The

shape of the f_{FL} profile for ADR1a is clearly highly sensitive to fine structural

details of the exit tunnel and therefore somewhat difficult to reproduce by

coarse-grained simulations.

In summary, both the experimental and simulation results are consistent with

the idea that proteins start to fold as soon as they reach a part of the exit tunnel

that is large enough to hold the folded protein. Judging from the f_{FL} profiles, the

29-residue ADR1a domain folds approximately ∼9-12 Å deeper in the exit tunnel

in uL23 Δloop ribosomes than in WT and uL24 Δloop ribosomes, while the 89-

and 109-residue titin and spectrin domains fold ∼6-10 Å deeper inside the

tunnel in uL24 Δloop ribosomes than in WT and uL23 Δloop ribosomes; the

corresponding values estimated from the simulations are ∼6Å for ADR1a and

∼13-15 Å for I27 and R16 (Figure 3- figure supplement 10 panel B).

Both the uL23 and uL24 loops thus serve to reduce the space available for

folding, but in different parts of the exit tunnel. The uL24 loop is particularly

interesting in this regard. In bacterial ribosomes, it partially blocks the tunnel

exit port, closing off what would otherwise be a wide, funnel-like opening, Fig.

2a, and thereby prevents domains of $M_w \geq 10$ kDa from folding inside the exit

tunnel. It is conserved (in length, if not in sequence) in bacterial ribosomes,

Figure 1- figure supplement 2, suggesting that this will be the case not only for *E.*

coli ribosomes but for bacterial ribosomes in general. Eukaryotic ribosome

tunnels have different geometries owing to expansion segments in their rRNA as

well as an increased number of proteins and a wider exit port (29, 30); uL24 is

among the most divergent proteins compared to bacteria (31). We therefore

expect the precise relation between the onset of folding and protein M_w to be

somewhat different in eukaryotic ribosomes, as also suggested by a recent study

(32).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the Knut and Alice Wallenberg

Foundation, the Swedish Cancer Foundation, and the Swedish Research Council

to GvH, and PT, RBB and HDB were supported by the Intramural Research

program of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases

of the NIH. FPA was supported by NIH grant R35GM122543. The cryo-EM data

were collected at the Swedish National Cryo-EM Facility funded by the Knut and

Alice Wallenberg Foundation, the Family Erling Persson Foundation and the

Science for Life Laboratory. This work utilized the computational resources of

the NIH HPC Biowulf cluster (http://hpc.nih.gov).

Materials and Methods

Key Resources

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
strain, strain background (*Escherichia coli*)	HDB140, HDB143, HDB144, Strain background MC4100	10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07325.x	CGSC#: 6152	Strains used to isolate high-salt washed ribosomes in this study.
antibody	uL23, uL24	10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07325.x	NA	1:8000 dilution used (incubated for one hour). Secondary antibody: Mouse (1:20,000 dilution incubated for one hour). Nitrocellulose membrane blocked with 5% Milk in TBS-T for an hour.
peptide, recombinant protein	uL23 Δ loop, uL24 Δ loop	10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07325.x	UniProtKB-P0ADZ0 (rplW) UniProtKB-P60624 (rplX)	Referred to as HDB 143 and HDB 144 in original paper. Refers to genes rplWΔ65-74 and rplXΔ43-57 respectively.
commercial assay or kit	GeneJET Plasmid miniprep kit	Thermo Fisher Scientific RRID:SCR_008452	Cat no. K0502	Used to purify plasmids
commercial assay or kit	PURExpress ® Δ Ribosome kit	New England Biolabs	Cat no. E3313S	Kit was supplemented with ribosomes purified in the lab.

				Translation carried out at 37°C for 20 min.
software, algorithm	EasyQuant	doi: 10.1038/nsmb.2376	NA	Used to quantify relative fraction full-length of translated protein from SDS-PAGE
software, algorithm	cryoSPARC version v2	Structura Biotechnology Inc	NA	Used for ab-initio reconstruction of uL23Δloop ribosomes. The following operations were carried out as part of the cryoSPARC workflow: 2D classification, Ab initio reconstruction, Homogeneous refinement, Sharpening and map flipping to correct for handedness, local resolution.
software, algorithm	UCSF ChimeraX		SCR_015872	Used to make Figure 2A
software, algorithm	UCSF Chimera v. 1.12	J Comput Chem. 2004 Oct;25(13): 1605-12.	SCR_004097	Used to visualise the cryoEM map, fit PDB models 3JBU, 4YBB to check for differences in maps. Used to make figures.
software, algorithm	Jalview v 2.10.4	doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp033	SCR_006459	Use for generating multiple sequence alignments of uL23 and uL24 in the supplementary figures.
chemical	Potassium acetate	Sigma-Aldrich (SCR_008988)	Cat no. P1190	Source of potassium ions to stabilise ribosomes
chemical	Magnesium acetate	Sigma-Aldrich (SCR_008988)	Cat no. M5661	Source of Magnesium ions to stabilise ribosomes
chemical	cOmplete protease	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat no. 04693116001	Used as a protease inhibitor during cell

	inhibitor cocktail	(SCR_008988)		lysis to obtain ribosomes
chemical	N,N,N′,N′-Tetrakis(2-pyridylmethyl)ethylenediamine	Sigma Aldrich (SCR_008988)	Cat no. P4413	Used to chelate Zn for the -Zn reactions in the ADR1 plot.
chemical	threo-1,4-Dimercapto-2,3-butanediol DL-Dithiothreitol	Sigma Aldrich (SCR_008988)	Cat no. DTT-RO	Reducing agent added to buffers during ribosome purification and as a reductant for SDS-PAGE
chemical	Tris Base	Sigma-Aldrich (SCR_008988)	Cat no. T1503	Buffering agent during ribosome preparation
chemical	35S Methionine	Perkin-Elmer	Cat no. NEG009T001MC	35S Methionine is incorporated into the protein during in vitro translation and aids detection by phosphorimaging.

Enzymes and Chemicals

The PURExpress® Δ Ribosome kit was purchased from New England Biolabs

(Cat no. E3313S). The components used to prepare Lysogeny Broth (LB

Medium) for ribosome isolation were obtained from BD Biosciences and all other

chemicals used were sourced from Merck Sigma Aldrich. (^{35}S) Methionine was

purchased from Perkin Elmer. Bis-Tris gels and plasmid isolation kits were

obtained from Thermo Scientific.

Plasmids

All ADR1, spectrin and titin constructs fused to the *E. coli* SecM AP via a variable

linker were expressed from the pET19b vector, as described previously (13, 14,

20). The spectrin constructs used in this study lacked the soluble domain of LepB

at the N-terminus.

Strains and antisera

Strains HDB140 (referred to as WT), HDB143 (referred to as uL23 Δloop) and

HDB144 (referred to as uL24 Δloop), as well as rabbit polyclonal antisera against

uL23 and uL24, are described in (23).

Isolation of ribosomes

Ribosomes were purified from the strains HDB140, HDB143, and HDB144. The

strains were cultured in Lysogeny broth (LB) to an A_{600} of 1.0 at 37°C and chilled

on ice for 15 min before they were harvested by centrifugation at 4000 g for 10

min. The cell pellet was washed twice with Buffer A at pH 7.5 (10 mM Tris-OAc,

14 mM Mg(OAc)$_2$, 60 mM KOAc, 1 mM DTT, 0.1% Complete Protease Inhibitor)

and lysed using the Emulsifex (Avestin) at a pressure of 8000 psi. The cell lysate

was loaded on a sucrose cushion at pH 7.5 (50 mM Tris-OAc, 1 M KOAc, 15 mM

Mg(OAc)$_2$, 1.44 M Sucrose, 1 mM DTT, 0.1% Complete Protease Inhibitor) and

centrifuged at 80,000xg in a Ti70 rotor (Beckman-Coulter) for 17 hours. The

obtained ribosomal pellet was resuspended in Buffer B at pH 7.5 (50 mM Tris-

OAc, 50 mM KOAc, 5 mM Mg(OAc)$_2$, 1 mM DTT), flask frozen in liquid nitrogen

and stored at -80°C. This suspension of ribosomes is presumed to consist of a

pool of non-translating 30S, 50S and 70S particles due to the concentration of

Mg^{2+} in the buffer they are in. Each batch of ribosomes that was prepared was

tested for optimal translation by titrating different volumes in the PURExpress®

Δ-Ribosome kit.

In vitro transcription and translation

The generated constructs were translated for 20 min. in the PURExpress® Δ-Ribosome kit supplemented with high-salt-washed ribosomes isolated from HDB140, HDB143 (uL23 Δloop), or HDB144 (uL24 Δloop). Plasmid DNA of each construct (300 ng) was used as a template for polypeptide synthesis, and translation was carried out in the presence of (^{35}S) Methionine at 37°C for 20 min and shaking at 500 r.p.m. For ADR1a constructs, the translation reactions also included either 50 μM ZnCl$_2$ or 50 μM of the Zn^{2+} chelator TPEN. Translation was stopped by treating the sample with a final concentration of 5% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and incubated on ice for 30 min. The TCA precipitated samples were subsequently centrifuged at 20,000 g for 10 min in a tabletop centrifuge (Eppendorf) and the pellet obtained was solubilized in sample buffer, supplemented with RNaseA (400 μg/ml), and incubated at 37°C for 15 minutes. The samples were resolved on 12% Bis-Tris gels (Thermo Scientific) in MOPS buffer for ADR1 and MES buffer for Spectrin and Titin. Gels were dried and subjected to autoradiography and scanned using the Fujifilm FLA-9000 phosphorimager for visualization of radioactively labeled translated proteins.

Quantification of radioactively labelled proteins

The protein bands on the gel were quantified using MultiGauge (Fujifilm) from which one-dimensional intensity profiles of each gel lane was extracted. This information was subsequently fit to a Gaussian distribution using EasyQuant (Rickard Hedman, Stockholm University). The sum of the arrested and full-length bands was calculated, and this was used to estimate the fraction full-length protein for each construct.

Cryo-EM sample preparation and data processing

The uL23 Δloop ribosomes (4 A_{260}/ml) diluted in grid buffer (20 mM HEPES-

KOH, 50 mM KOAc, 10 mM Mg(OAc)$_2$, 125 mM sucrose, 2 mM Trp, 0.03% DDM)

were loaded on Pelco® TEM 400 mesh Cu grids pre-coated with 2 nm thick

carbon and frozen using the Vitrobot Mark IV (FEI). Data was collected on the

Titan Krios (FEI) microscope operated at 300 keV and equipped with a Falcon II

direct electron detector. The camera was set to a nominal magnification of

75,000X, which resulted in a pixel size of 1.09 Å at the sample level and a defocus

range of -1 to -3 μm.

The frame dose used was 1.17 e/Å^2, and 20 frames were aligned using

MotionCor2 (33) within the Scipion software suite (34). The micrographs were

visually inspected and those within a resolution threshold of 5 Å were selected,

yielding 3522 micrographs.

471272 particles were picked using Xmipp manual-pick followed by particle

extraction within Scipion and further processing in CryoSPARC (35). Two rounds

of 2D classification were done, and particles resembling 30S and 50S subunits

alone were discarded after visual inspection of the classes. The remaining

297,363 particles of the 70S ribosome were subjected to *ab initio* reconstruction

into 3 classes to further sort out heterogeneity. A single homogeneous class

consisting of 132,029 particles was used for final homogeneous refinement that

resulted in a final map with an average FSC resolution at 0.143 of 3.28 Å. The

obtained map of the 70S ribosome was sharpened and corrected for handedness

in CryoSPARC fitted with PDBs 3JBU and 4YBB in Chimera (36). Local resolution

and FSC at 0.143 was estimated in cryoSPARC. The electron microscopy map was

deposited in the Electron Microscopy Data Bank.

The initial model for uL23 Δloop was built with Coot, and improved by energy

minimization in a solvated dodecahedron box of explicit TIP3P waters,

neutralized with chloride ions and using the Amber 99SB-ILDN force field (37).

The steepest descent minimization method implemented in GROMACS 2016.1

was used (38) (39). Even after minimization, the backbone of the new loop

formed after the deletion of residues 65-74 still showed improper geometry and

Ramachandran outliers, so we used kinematic sampling (40) to model

alternative loop conformations, and then we selected the loop that could fit the

electron density and had the best Ramachandran score.

Figures were prepared using MacPymol 1.8.6.2 (Schrödinger LLC), Chimera (36),

and ChimeraX (41).

Calculation of tunnel volume

The volume calculations were performed with POVME 2.0 (1). We used the *E. coli*

SecM structure PDB 3JBU as our reference. To determine the inclusion region, we

generated a series of overlapping spheres- eight with a 20 Å radius, and one with

a 40 Å radius. In order to have a complete coverage of the exit tunnel, the centers

of the spheres were chosen to match the coordinates corresponding to

alternating Cα atoms of the amino acids of the SecM arrest peptide located within

the exit tunnel (for the 20 Å radius spheres the residues use as centers were D11,

F13, T15, V17, I19, Q21, Q23, I25, A27, G28 and for the 40 Å radius sphere the

residue was E3). Grid Spacing was set to 2.0 Å, and the distance cut-off to 1.09 Å.

For all three cases (WT, uL24 Δ loop, uL23 Δ loop), we used the same inclusion

region. We also removed the SecM arrest peptide located within the exit tunnel.

For uL23 Δloop ribosomes residues 65-75 were removed from uL23, and for

uL24 Δloop ribosomes residues 42-57 were removed from uL24 (numbering

based on PDB 3JBU) prior to the calculation.

Kinetic model to calculate fraction full length protein $f_{FL}(t)$

The theoretical force profiles (Fig. 3d-f) for ADR1a, I27, and R16 were calculated

based on a kinetic model introduced in our previous study (20). Briefly, the rate,

k_e, of the arrest peptide sequence escape from the peptidyl transfer center with a

force (F) exerted by the folding protein can be calculated using the Bell model:

329
$$k_e(F) = k_0 e^{F\Delta x^{\ddagger}/k_B T},$$

where $\Delta x^{\ddagger}$ is the distance from the free energy minimum to the transition state,

k_0 the rupture rate when force equals to zero, k_B is Boltzmann's constant, and T

the absolute temperature. In this study, k_0 and $\Delta x^{\ddagger}$ are set to be 3.4×10^{-4} s^{-1} and

4.5 Å, respectively, based on a previous experimental study (18) in which k_0 and

$\Delta x^{\ddagger}$ were estimated to be in the range of 0.5×10^{-4} to 20×10^{-4} s^{-1} and 1-8 Å,

respectively.

We assume that the folding and unfolding of the protein is much faster than the

escape from the ribosome. Then the time-dependent force profile $f_{FL}(t)$ can be

obtained approximately by the mean pulling forces exerted when the protein is

unfolded, F_u, or folded, F_f, and the unfolded and folded populations of P_u and P_f

respectively,

341
$$f_{FL}(t) \approx 1 - \exp\left[-t[P_u k_e(F_u) + P_f k_e(F_f)]\right].$$

Note that the values of F_u, F_f, P_u, P_f are dependent on the linker length L, and can

be determined by molecular dynamics simulations.

Molecular dynamics simulations of ribosome-nascent chain complex

A coarse-grained model was employed to simulate folding of ADR1a, I27, and

R16 on the ribosome. The ribosome was modelled on the 50S subunit of the *E.*

coli ribosome (PDB 3OFR; (42)) Each amino acid in the nascent chain and

ribosome was represented by one bead at the position of the α-carbon atom,

each RNA residue was modelled by three beads which represent phosphate P,

sugar C4', and base N3 (43). The uL23 Δloop ribosome was modelled by

replacing the coordinates of the wildtype uL23 protein with the cryo-EM

structure of the uL23 Δloop protein from this study (PDB 6FU8), after being

aligned to the wild type protein. The uL24 Δloop ribosome was modelled by

replacing the coordinates of the wildtype uL24 protein with the structure of the

uL24 Δloop protein built by homology modelling with Modeller (44).

The interactions within the nascent chain were governed by a standard

structure-based model (45), which allowed it to reversibly fold to the native

state and unfold. Interactions between the protein and ribosome beads were

purely repulsive. The pulling force (F) exerted on the arrest peptide by the

folding of the protein (ADR1a, R16, or I27) was measured by the extension of the

harmonic pseudobond potential between the last and the second last amino acid

of the SecM arrest peptide. More details can be found in our previous study (20).

References

1.　Milligan RA & Unwin PN (1986) Location of exit channel for nascent

protein in 80S ribosome. *Nature* 319:693-695.

2.　Ban N, Nissen P, Hansen J, Moore PB, & Steitz TA (2000) The complete

atomic structure of the large ribosomal subunit at 2.4 A resolution.

Science 289:905-920.

3.　Nissen P, Hansen J, Ban N, Moore PB, & Steitz TA (2000) The structural

basis of ribosome activity in peptide bond synthesis. *Science* 289:920-930.

4.　Klein DJ, Moore PB, & Steitz TA (2004) The roles of ribosomal proteins in

the structure assembly, and evolution of the large ribosomal subunit. *J*

Mol Biol 340:141-177.

5.　Lawrence MG, *et al.* (2016) The extended loops of ribosomal proteins uL4

and uL22 of Escherichia coli contribute to ribosome assembly and protein

translation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44:5798-5810.

6.　Nissen P, Hansen J, Ban N, Moore P, & Steitz T (2000) The structural basis

of ribosome activity in protein synthesis. *Science* 289:920-930.

7.　Harms J, *et al.* (2001) High resolution structure of the large ribosomal

subunit from a mesophilic eubacterium. *Cell* 107:679-688.

8.　O'Brien EP, Hsu ST, Christodoulou J, Vendruscolo M, & Dobson CM (2010)

Transient tertiary structure formation within the ribosome exit port. *J Am*

Chem Soc 132:16928-16937.

9.　O'Brien EP, Christodoulou J, Vendruscolo M, & Dobson CM (2011) New

scenarios of protein folding can occur on the ribosome. *J Am Chem Soc*

133:513-526.

10.   Trovato F & O'Brien EP (2016) Insights into Cotranslational Nascent

Protein Behavior from Computer Simulations. *Annu Rev Biophys* 45:345-

369.

11.   Farias-Rico JA, Ruud Selin F, Myronidi I, Frühauf M, & von Heijne G (2018)

Effects of protein size, thermodynamic stability, and net charge on

cotranslational folding on the ribosome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* in press.

12.   Samelson AJ, *et al.* (2018) Kinetic and structural comparison of a protein's

cotranslational folding and refolding pathways. *Sci Adv* 4:eaas9098.

13.   Nilsson OB, *et al.* (2015) Cotranslational protein folding inside the

ribosome exit tunnel. *Cell reports* 12:1533-1540.

14.   Nilsson OB, *et al.* (2017) Cotranslational folding of spectrin domains via

partially structured states. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 24:221-225.

15.   Nakatogawa H & Ito K (2001) Secretion monitor, SecM, undergoes self-

translation arrest in the cytosol. *Mol Cell* 7:185-192.

16.   Ismail N, Hedman R, Lindén M, & von Heijne G (2015) Charge-driven

dynamics of nascent-chain movement through the SecYEG translocon. *Nat

Struct Mol Biol* 22:145-149.

17.   Ismail N, Hedman R, Schiller N, & von Heijne G (2012) A biphasic pulling

force acts on transmembrane helices during translocon-mediated

membrane integration. *Nature Struct Molec Biol* 19:1018-1022.

18.   Goldman DH, *et al.* (2015) Mechanical force releases nascent chain-

mediated ribosome arrest *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Science* 348:457-460.

19.   Shimizu Y, Kanamori T, & Ueda T (2005) Protein synthesis by pure

translation systems. *Methods* 36:299-304.

20. Tian P, *et al.* (2018) The folding pathway of an Ig domain is conserved on

and off the ribosome. *BioRxiv* doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/253013.

21. Farias-Rico JA, Goetz SK, Marino J, & von Heijne G (2017) Mutational

analysis of protein folding inside the ribosome exit tunnel. *FEBS Lett*

591:155-163.

22. Notari L, Martinez-Carranza M, Farias-Rico JA, Stenmark P, & von Heijne G

(2018) Cotranslational folding of a pentarepeat β-helix protein. *bioRxiv*

https://doi.org/10.1101/255810.

23. Peterson JH, Woolhead CA, & Bernstein HD (2010) The conformation of a

nascent polypeptide inside the ribosome tunnel affects protein targeting

and protein folding. *Mol Microbiol* 78:203-217.

24. Bubunenko MG, Schmidt J, & Subramanian AR (1994) Protein substitution

in chloroplast ribosome evolution. A eukaryotic cytosolic protein has

replaced its organelle homologue (L23) in spinach. *J Mol Biol* 240:28-41.

25. Bieri P, Leibundgut M, Saurer M, Boehringer D, & Ban N (2017) The

complete structure of the chloroplast 70S ribosome in complex with

translation factor pY. *EMBO J* 36:475-486.

26. Durrant JD, de Oliveira CA, & McCammon JA (2011) POVME: an algorithm

for measuring binding-pocket volumes. *J Mol Graph Model* 29:773-776.

27. Durrant JD, Votapka L, Sorensen J, & Amaro RE (2014) POVME 2.0: An

Enhanced Tool for Determining Pocket Shape and Volume Characteristics.

J Chem Theory Comput 10:5047-5056.

28. Capece MC, Kornberg GL, Petrov A, & Puglisi JD (2015) A simple real-time

assay for in vitro translation. *RNA* 21:296-305.

29. Wilson DN & Doudna Cate JH (2012) The structure and function of the

eukaryotic ribosome. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 4.

30. Filipovska A & Rackham O (2013) Specialization from synthesis: how

ribosome diversity can customize protein function. *FEBS Lett* 587:1189-

1197.

31. Melnikov S, Ben-Shem A, Yusupova G, & Yusupov M (2015) Insights into

the origin of the nuclear localization signals in conserved ribosomal

proteins. *Nat Commun* 6:7382.

32. Schiller N, Magoulopoulou A, Cymer F, & von Heijne G (2017) Mutational

analysis of the human Xbp1 translational arrest peptide and construction

of arrest-enhanced variants. *bioRxiv* https://doi.org/10.1101/201913.

33. Li X, *et al.* (2013) Electron counting and beam-induced motion correction

enable near-atomic-resolution single-particle cryo-EM. *Nat Methods*

10:584-590.

34. de la Rosa-Trevin JM, *et al.* (2016) Scipion: A software framework toward

integration, reproducibility and validation in 3D electron microscopy.

Journal of structural biology 195:93-99.

35. Punjani A, Rubinstein JL, Fleet DJ, & Brubaker MA (2017) cryoSPARC:

algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat*

Methods 14:290-296.

36. Pettersen EF, *et al.* (2004) UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for

exploratory research and analysis. *Journal of computational chemistry*

25:1605-1612.

37. Lindorff-Larsen K, *et al.* (2010) Improved side-chain torsion potentials for

the Amber ff99SB protein force field. *Proteins* 78:1950-1958.

38. Abraham M, *et al.* (2015) GROMACS: High performance molecular

simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to

supercomputers. *SoftwareX* 1:19-25.

39. Pal S, Abraham MJ, Kutzner C, Hess B, & Lindahl E (2014) *Solving software*

challenges for exascale (Springer, Cham, Switzerland).

40. Bhardwaj G, *et al.* (2016) Accurate de novo design of hyperstable

constrained peptides. *Nature* 538:329-335.

41. Goddard TD, *et al.* (2018) UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in

visualization and analysis. *Protein Sci* 27:14-25.

42. Dunkle JA, Xiong L, Mankin AS, & Cate JH (2010) Structures of the

Escherichia coli ribosome with antibiotics bound near the peptidyl

transferase center explain spectra of drug action. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*

107:17152-17157.

43. Voss NR & Gerstein M (2005) Calculation of standard atomic volumes for

RNA and comparison with proteins: RNA is packed more tightly. *J Mol Biol*

346:477-492.

44. Eswar N, *et al.* (2006) Comparative protein structure modeling using

Modeller. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics* Chapter 5:Unit-5 6.

45. Karanicolas J & Brooks CL, 3rd (2002) The origins of asymmetry in the

folding transition states of protein L and protein G. *Protein Sci* 11:2351-

2361.

46. Zhang J, *et al.* (2015) Mechanisms of ribosome stalling by SecM at multiple

elongation steps. *eLife* DOI 10.7554/eLife.09684.

 Figure legends

Figure 1. (A) Front view of the 50S subunit of the *E. coli* ribosome adapted from

PDB 3JBU (46), with tunnel proteins uL4 and uL22 indicated in gray. The

globular domain of uL23 is indicated in orange with the β-hairpin loop depicted

in yellow. uL24 is shown in dark blue, with the loop at the tunnel exit shown in

light blue. The exit tunnel, outlined by a stalled SecM nascent chain (purple), is

~100 Å in length. (B) The arrest-peptide assay (13). The domain to be studied is

placed *L* residues upstream of the critical proline at the C-terminal end of the 17-

residue long arrest peptide (AP) from the *E. coli* SecM protein. A 23-residue long

stretch of the *E. coli* LepB protein is attached downstream of the AP, allowing us

to separate the arrested (A) and full-length (FL) products by SDS-PAGE after

translation. Constructs are translated in the PURExpress® *in vitro* translation

system supplemented with WT, uL23 Δloop, or uL24 Δloop high-salt washed

ribosomes for 20 min. The relative amounts of arrested and full-length protein

were estimated by quantification of SDS-PAGE gels, and the fraction of full-length

protein was calculated as $f_{FL} = I_{FL}/(I_A + I_{FL})$ where I_A and I_{FL} are the intensities of

the bands corresponding to the A and FL products. (c) f_{FL} is a proxy for the force

F that cotranslational folding of a protein domain exerts on the AP. At short

linker lengths, both *F* and $f_{FL} \approx 0$ because the domain is unable to fold due to lack

of space in the exit tunnel. At intermediate linker lengths, *F* and $f_{FL} > 0$ because

the domain pulls on the nascent chain as it folds. At longer linker lengths, *F* and

$f_{FL} \approx 0$ because the domain is already folded when the ribosome reaches the end

of the AP.

Figure 1- figure supplement 1. A_{260} = 300 units (6.9 μM) of high-salt washed

ribosomes were separated on a 12% Bis-Tris gel and transferred by Western

blotting onto a nitrocellulose membrane and detected with antibodies against

uL24 (panel A) or uL23 (panel B).

Figure 1- figure supplement 2. Multiple sequence alignment of uL23 (A) and

uL24 (B). The uL23 and uL24 b-hairpin loops, boxed, respectively, in orange and

blue, are conserved among Gram-negative bacteria, but are short or absent in

archaea and eukaryotes. In eukaryotes and archaea, part of the function of uL23

is proposed to be fulfilled by ribosomal protein eL39.

Figure 2. Structural consequences of removing the hairpin loops in uL24 and

uL23 modeled after PDB 3JBU of the SecM stalled ribosome. (A) In wildtype

ribosomes, the loop in uL24 partially obstructs the exit tunnel (top panel). Its

removal in uL24 Δloop ribosomes creates a wide opening into the tunnel

(bottom panel). (B) In wildtype ribosomes, the loop in uL23 extends into the exit

tunnel (top panel). Its removal in uL23 Δloop ribosomes creates an open space

around the area where the ADR1a domain is known to fold (13). The ADR1a

structure is from PDB 5A7U. (C) Cryo-EM structure of the uL23 Δloop 70 S

ribosome (EMD-4319), fitted to PDB 3JBU (that includes a Gly-tRNA and a 26-

residue long arrested SecM AP) to locate uL23 (orange) and the exit tunnel. The

enlarged region shows a difference map (in mesh) obtained by subtracting the

cryo-EM map of the uL23 Δloop 70 S ribosome from a map generated from 3JBU

in Chimera. The difference map shows that the only difference in volume

between the two maps is the tRNA (in pink), the SecM AP (in magenta), and the

loop deleted from uL23. (D) Extracted cryo-EM density (in mesh) for uL23 in the

uL23 Δloop ribosome EMD-4319. Wildtype uL23 (orange) and a *de novo*-built

model for the mutant uL23 Δloop protein (PDB 6FU8; red) are shown in ribbon

representation.

Figure 2- figure supplement 1. Resolution map of the uL23Δ loop ribosome. (A)

Calculation of the local resolution using cryoSPARC. (B) Resolution histogram at

FSC 0.143. (C) Fourier-Shell correlation (FSC) curve of the refined map at 0.143

indicating an average resolution of 3.28 Å.

Figure 3. (A) Δf_{FL} profiles ($\Delta f_{FL} = f_{FL}(50~\mu M~Zn^{2+}) - f_{FL}(50~\mu M~TPEN)$) for ADR1a

constructs translated in the PURE system supplemented with in-house purified

WT (gray), uL23 Δloop (red), and uL24 Δloop (blue) *E. coli* ribosomes. (B) f_{FL}

profiles for spectrin R16 constructs translated in the PURE system supplemented

with in-house purified WT (gray), uL23 Δloop (red), and uL24 Δloop (blue) *E.*

coli ribosomes. (C) f_{FL} profiles for titin I27 constructs translated in the PURE

system supplemented with in-house purified WT (gray), uL23 Δloop (red), and

uL24 Δloop (blue) *E. coli* ribosomes. Error bars in panels a-c show SEM values

calculated from at least 3 independent experiments. Dashed lines indicate L_{onset}

and L_{end} values, c.f., Table 1. f_{FL} profiles for non-folding mutants of R16 and I27

are found in (14, 20). (D) Simulated f_{FL} profiles (full lines) for ADR1a, spectrin

R16, and titin I27 obtained with WT (gray), uL23 Δloop (red), and uL24 Δloop

(blue) ribosomes. The corresponding experimental f_{FL} profiles from panels a-c

are shown as dashed lines. The simulated ADR1a f_{FL} profile marked by X's was

obtained with a uL23 Δloop(70-72) ribosome model. Simulated f_{FL} profiles for

ADR1a with uL24 Δloop ribosomes, and for R16 and I27 with uL23 Δloop

ribosomes, are essentially identical to the corresponding profiles obtained with

WT ribosomes, and are shown in Figure 3-figure supplement 10.

Figure 3- figure supplement 1-4. SDS PAGE showing ADR1 constructs

translated in PURExpress® Δ-Ribosome kit supplemented with high-salt-washed

ribosomes isolated from HDB140, HDB143 (uL23 Δloop), or HDB144 (uL24

Δloop) as indicated. Translations were run on 12% Bis-Tris gels with MOPS

running buffer.

Figure 3- figure supplement 5-6. SDS PAGE showing Spectrin R16 constructs

translated in PURExpress® Δ-Ribosome kit supplemented with high-salt-washed

ribosomes isolated from HDB140, HDB143 (uL23 Δloop), or HDB144 (uL24

Δloop) as indicated. Translations were run on 12% Bis-Tris gels with MES

running buffer.

Figure 3- figure supplement 6-7. SDS PAGE showing Titin I27 constructs

translated in PURExpress® Δ-Ribosome kit supplemented with high-salt-washed

ribosomes isolated from HDB140, HDB143 (uL23 Δloop), or HDB144 (uL24

Δloop) as indicated. Translations were run on 12% Bis-Tris gels with MES

running buffer.

Figure 3- figure supplement 8. f_{FL} profiles for ADR1a constructs translated in

PURE by WT, uL23 Δloop, and uL24 Δloop ribosomes, either in the presence of

Zn^{2+} or of the Zn^{2+} chelator TPEN. Averages and standard errors calculated from

three independent translation reactions are shown.

Figure 3- figure supplement 9. Sequences of the longest and the shortest

constructs used for each protein and, a depiction of the location of the sequences

the ribosome exit tunnel when the last residue of the AP is in the P-site (lower

panel, yellow box). ADR1 is indicated in red, spectrin R16 in blue, titin I27 in

pink, and the SecM AP in magenta. The linker between each domain and the AP is

in grey. The part of LepB added to the N-terminus of ADR1a is in black**.**

Figure 3- figure supplement 10. Summary of results from coarse-grained MD

simulations. (A) Average forces exerted on the AP by the folded state (first

column), fraction folded protein (second column), and f_{FL} values (last column)

for ADR1a, I27, and R16 at different linker lengths $L.$ (B) Snapshots of folded

ADR1a, I27, and R16 domains in wildtype (WT), uL23 Δloop, and uL24 Δloop

ribosomes at L values ≈ L_{onset}. Note that the folded proteins are located at similar

depths in the exit tunnel in the cryo-EM structures and the simulations for WT

ribosomes (this holds also for ADR1a in uL24 Δloop ribosomes as well as for I27

and R16 in uL23 Dloop ribosomes, c.f. panel A). The C terminus of folded ADR1a

is located ∼6 Å deeper in the exit tunnel in uL23 Δloop than in WT ribosomes,

while folded I27 and R16 are located, respectively, ∼15 Å and ∼13 Å deeper in

the exit tunnel in uL24 Δloop ribosomes (dashed guide lines). Note that the

linker is more stretched in the WT ribosome simulations compared to the Δloop

ribosomes, consistent with the higher force and lower fraction folded protein

seen for the WT ribosome data at the same L values (panel a).

Video 1. The ribosome exit tunnel (mesh), as calculated for PDB 3JBU, uL23 Δloop

and uL24 Δloop ribosomes by POVME. See Fig. 1A for coloring scheme. The b-

hairpin loops deleted in uL23 Δloop and uL24 Δloop ribosomes are shown in

yellow and light blue, respectively. To facilitate the visualization of the exit

tunnel, spheres left outside the exit tunnel after POVME processing were

manually removed.

608
609   **Table 1**
610   L_{onset}, L_{max}, and L_{end} values calculated from the f_{FL} profiles in Fig. 3.
611

	ADR1a			R16			I27		
	WT	uL23 Δloop	uL24 Δloop	WT	uL23 Δloop	uL24 Δloop	WT	uL23 Δloop	uL24 Δloop
L_{onset}	21	17	20	31	31	29	32	33	28
L_{max}	25	22	25	35	35	33	35	35	35
L_{end}	27	26	27	42	39	40	38	38	41

B

C

A

B

A

uL23
uL24
Exit port

uL23
uL24
Exit port

B

Figure 2

uL23
uL23
ADR1a
uL24

uL23
uL23
ADR1a
uL24

C

mRNA
Gly-tRNA
SecM
uL23

D

uL23
uL23Δ loop

A

B

C

Translation of ADR1a with NEB commercial ribosomes
Single replicate

a 17 17 19 19 20 20 21 21 22 22 23

FL ▶
A ▶

Zn - + - + - + - + - + -

b 23 24 24 25 25 27 27 28 28 30 30

FL ▶
A ▶

Zn + - + - + - + - + - +

Translation of ADR1a with WT ribosomes from strain HDB140

c 17 17 19 19 20 20 21 21

FL ▶
A ▶

Zn - + - + - + - +

d 22 22 23 23 24 24 25 25 27 27

FL ▶
A ▶

Zn - + - + - + - + - +

e 17 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 30

FL ▶
A ▶

Zn - - - - - - - - - - -

f 17 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 30

FL ▶
A ▶

Zn + + + + + + + + + + +

Translation of ADR1a with WT ribosomes from strain HDB140 (except gel 'a' lane indicated 24*, see note below gel)

a 17 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 30 24*

FL ▶
A ▶

Zn − − − − − − − − − − − −

24* = Linker length 24 translated with uL23Δloop ribosomes

b 17 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 30

FL ▶
A ▶

Zn + + + + + + + + + + +

c 28 28 30 30

FL ▶
A ▶

Zn − + − +

Translation of ADR1a with uL23Δloop ribosomes from strain HDB143

d 17 17 19 19 20 20 21 21

FL ▶
A ▶

Zn − + − + − + − +

e 22 22 23 23 24 24 25 25 27 27

FL ▶
A ▶

Zn − + − + − + − + + −

Translation of ADR1a with uL23Δloop ribosomes from strain HDB143

a 17 19 20 21 22 23 25 27 28 30

FL ▶
A ▶

Zn - - - - - - - - - -

b 17 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 30

FL ▶
A ▶

Zn + + + + + + + + + + +

c 17 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 30

FL ▶
A ▶

Zn - - - - - - - - - - -

d 17 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 30

FL ▶
A ▶

Zn + + + + + + + + + + +

e 28 28 30 30

FL ▶
A ▶

Zn - + - +

Translation of ADR1a with uL24Δloop ribosomes from strain HDB144

a 17 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27   b 17   19   20   21   c 22   23   24   25   27

FL
A

Zn -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   +   +   +   +   +   +   +   +   +

d 28 28 30 30   e 17 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 30   f 17 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 30

FL
A

Zn -   +   -   +   Zn - - - - - - - - - - -   Zn + + + + + + + + + +   +

g 17 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 30   h 17 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 27 28 30

FL
A

Zn - - - - - - - - - - -   Zn + + + + + + + + + + +

Translation of R16 Spectrin with WT ribosomes

Translation of R16 Spectrin with uL23Δloop ribosomes

Translation of R16 Spectrin with uL24Δloop ribosomes

Translation of I27 Titin with WT ribosomes

Translation of I27 Titin with uL23Δloop ribosomes

Translation of I27 Titin with uL23Δloop ribosomes

Translation of I27 Titin with uL24Δloop ribosomes

ADR1a

L=17

MANRSFIYEPFQIPSGSMMPTLNSTDFILVEKFAYGIKDPIYQKTLIETGHPKRGDIVVFKYPEDPK
LDYIKRAVGLPGDKVTYDPVSKELTIQPGCSSGQACENALPVTYSNVEPSDFVQTFSRRNGGEATSG
FFEVPKQETKENGIRLSETSGSGSKPYPCGLCNRCFTRRDLLIRHAQKIHSGNFSTPVWISQAQGIR
AGPGSSDKQEGEWPTGLRLSRIGGIH*

L=30

MANRSFIYEPFQIPSGSMMPTLNSTDFILVEKFAYGIKDPIYQKTLIETGHPKRGDIVVFKYPEDPK
LDYIKRAVGLPGDKVTYDPVSKELTIQPGCSSGQACENALPVTYSNVEPSDFVQTFSRRNGGEATSG
FFEVPKQETKENGIRLSETSGSGSKPYPCGLCNRCFTRRDLLIRHAQKIHSGNSGSGVPGQQWMSSF
STPVWISQAQGIRAGPGSSDKQEGEWPTGLRLSRIGGIH*

Spectrin R16

L=21

MKLNESHRLHQFFRDMDDEESWIKEKKLLVSSEDYGRDLTGVQNLRKKHKRLEAELAAHEPAIQGVL
DTGKKLSDDNTIGKEEIQQRLAQFVDHWKELKQLAAARGQRLESGSGFSTPVWISQAQGIRAGPGSS
DKQEGEWPTGLRLSRIGGIH*

L=51

MKLNESHRLHQFFRDMDDEESWIKEKKLLVSSEDYGRDLTGVQNLRKKHKRLEAELAAHEPAIQGVL
DTGKKLSDDNTIGKEEIQQRLAQFVDHWKELKQLAAARGQRLESGSGYQKTLVPGQQNATWIVPPGQ
YFMMGDWMSSFSTPVWISQAQGIRAGPGSSDKQEGEWPTGLRLSRIGGIH*

Titin I27

L=23

MRGSHHHHHHGLVPRGSGSLIEVEKPLYGVEVFVGETAHFEIELSEPDVHGQWKLKGQPLAASPDCE
IIEDGKKHILILHNCQLGMTGEVSFQAANTKSAANLKVKELSGSGFSTPVWISQAQGIRAGPGSSDK
QEGEWPTGLRLSRIGGIH**

L=53

MRGSHHHHHHGLVPRGSGSLIEVEKPLYGVEVFVGETAHFEIELSEPDVHGQWKLKGQPLAASPDCE
IIEDGKKHILILHNCQLGMTGEVSFQAANTKSAANLKVKELSGSGKFAYGIKDPIYQKTLVPGQQNA
TWIVPPGQFSTPVWISQAQGIRAGPGSSDKQEGEWPTGLRLSRIGGIH**

KPYPCGLCNRCFTRRDLLIRHAQKIHSGNFSTPVWISQAQGIRAG L=17
KPYPCGLCNRCFTRRDLLIRHAQKIHSGNSGSGVPGQQWMSSFSTPVWISQAQGIRAG L=30

........................RLAQFVDHWKELKQLAAARGQRLESGSGFSTPVWISQAQGIRAG L=21
............RLAQFVDHWKELKQLAAARGQRLESGSGYQKTLVPGQQNATWIVPPGQYFMMGDWMSSFSTPVWISQAQGIRAG L=51

............CQLGMTGEVSFQAANTKSAANLKVKELSGSGFSTPVWISQAQGIRAG L=23
............CQLGMTGEVSFQAANTKSAANLKVKELSGSGKFAYGIKDPIYQKTLVPGQQNATWIVPPGQFSTPVWISQAQGIRAG L=53

Ribosome

A

B

ADR1a, CryoEM, WT, *L* = 25   MD, WT, *L* = 19   MD, uL23 Δloop, *L* = 19

I27, CryoEM, WT, *L* = 35   MD, WT, *L* = 31   MD, L24 Δloop, *L* = 31

R16, CryoEM, WT, *L* = 33   MD, WT, *L* = 29   MD, uL24 Δloop, *L* = 29