Mercury-methylating bacteria are associated with zooplankton: a proof-of-principle survey in the Baltic Sea
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Abstract

Methylmercury (MeHg) is a potent neurotoxin that biomagnifies in marine food-webs. Inorganic mercury (Hg) methylation is generally considered to be conducted by bacteria associated with sediment or detritus, but endogenous methylation by the gut microbiome of animals in the lower food webs is another possible source. We examined the occurrence of the bacterial gene (hgcA), required for Hg methylation, in the guts of dominant Baltic zooplankters. A qPCR assay targeting the hgcA sequence in three main clades (Deltaproteobacteria, Firmicutes and Archaea) was used in the field-collected specimens of copepods (Acartia bifilosa, Eurytemora affinis, Pseudocalanus acuspes and Limnocalanus macrurus) and cladocerans (Bosmina coregoni maritima and Cercopagis pengoi). All copepods were found to carry hgcA genes in their gut microbiome, whereas no positive amplification was recorded in the cladocerans. In the copepods, hgcA genes belonging to only Deltaproteobacteria and Firmicutes were detected. These findings suggest that endogenous Hg methylation can occur in zooplankton and may contribute to seasonal, spatial and vertical MeHg variability in water column and food webs. Additional molecular and metagenomics studies are needed to identify bacteria carrying hgcA genes and improve their quantification in microbiota.
INTRODUCTION

Mercury (Hg) is a global pollutant adversely affecting human and wildlife health due to its toxicity and distribution in the environment. Various processes, both natural and anthropogenic, lead to the release of primarily inorganic Hg (IHg), which can undergo methylation resulting in formation of neurotoxic monomethylmercury (MeHg). While both IHg and MeHg can be taken up by biota, only MeHg bioaccumulates in aquatic food webs.

The primary pathway for MeHg production is microbial Hg methylation, and a bacterial gene cluster associated with such methylation (hgcAB) has recently been discovered. It was previously thought that mainly sulfate-(SRB) and iron-(FeRB) reducing bacteria methylate Hg in anoxic conditions. However, the hgcAB gene cluster has been identified in a variety of methanogens and syntrophic, acetogenic, and fermentative Firmicutes indicating a broader phylogenetic representation of Hg methylators. Recently, clade-specific quantitative PCR (qPCR) assays were developed to quantify the abundance of hgcA gene of the main methylators. Hence, hgcAB and hgcA distribution can be used to predict occurrence of potential Hg methylators in the environment; moreover, the association between abundance of hgcA and the rate of mercury methylation were found to be strong and present in different environments. Thus, understanding hgcAB and hgcA distribution is essential for estimating MeHg production in the water column and biomagnification in food webs.

Worldwide, great differences in MeHg accumulation have been reported for similarly structured and geographically close food webs. In aquatic environments, MeHg production takes place in both sediment and water column; however, in the oxygenated waters, Hg methylation may occur in anoxic microenvironments on sinking organic matter. In pelagia, MeHg, bioconcentrated from the water column by phytoplankton, enters the food web via zooplankton grazing, with subsequent transfer of zooplankton-associated MeHg to zooplanktivores. An additional source of MeHg and a possible contributor to the variability in food-web bioaccumulation could be endogenous Hg methylation by gastrointestinal microbiota with subsequent MeHg uptake by the host. Therefore, endogenous Hg methylation in primary consumers could constitute an unexplored MeHg source with consequences for higher trophic levels. Exploring the Hg methylation capacity of gut microbiota has been attempted in various animals using both analytical and molecular approaches. While the gene cluster hgcAB has been identified in the gut microbiome of some terrestrial arthropods, its status in aquatic invertebrates is so far unknown.
In the Baltic Sea, Hg sources are historically high, due to both natural and anthropogenic inputs,\textsuperscript{16} which should promote Hg methylation ability in microorganisms\textsuperscript{3} and facilitate establishment of methylators in microbiota of filter-feeders, such as zooplankton. Here, we report that the \textit{hgcA} gene is present in the microbiome of Baltic copepods; this observation represents the first record of potential methylators associated with zooplankton. Our findings imply that endogenous Hg methylation can occur in primary consumers as a pathway by which MeHg can enter the food webs.

EXPERIMENTAL

Field zooplankton collections and sample preparation

Zooplankton were collected at four coastal and open sea stations of the northern Baltic Proper and the Bothnian Sea (Table 1, Figure S1). We focused on microcrustaceans, cladocerans and copepods, which are the major groups of mesozooplankton in the Baltic Sea. These microscopic animals are largely herbivorous, with parthenogenic cladocerans thriving in the mixing layer and reproducing mostly during summer, whereas copepods usually reside at deeper layers performing vertical migrations related to onthogeny, temperature and predation risk.\textsuperscript{17}

Animals retrieved from the cod-end were placed in 0.2-µm filtered aerated seawater and supplied with an excess of the cryptophyte \textit{Rhodomonas salina} (strain CCAP 978/24) to clear the guts of any potential hgCA-containing microorganisms associated with their food items and only retain those microbes closely associated with the gut mucosa. This procedure was applied to all species except \textit{Cercopagis pengoi}, a predatory onychopod, feeding by puncturing exoskeleton of planktonic crustaceans and sucking soft body tissues.\textsuperscript{18} Such feeding mode leaves the chitinous gut of the prey intact in the discarded carcass, hence, the contamination of the predator gut with prey microflora was considered unlikely, and \textit{C. pengoi} were not subjected to the gut clearance procedure. For the rest of the zooplankton, randomly selected individuals with visibly reddish guts (indicating that the animals were active and feeding during the incubation) were selected following two-hour incubation. All specimens were preserved in groups using RNA\textit{later} and stored at \textdegree{}C.\textsuperscript{19}

From the RNA\textit{later}-preserved samples, different species of copepods and cladocerans were picked under a dissecting microscope with forceps, rinsed in artificial seawater, and...
transferred in groups (30-50 ind. sample\(^{-1}\)) into Eppendorf tubes. The following species and developmental stages were selected for the analysis: (1) copepodes (CV–VI) of *Acartia bifilosa* and *Eurytemora affinis*; these are small calanoids, dominant in the study area and present all year round, mostly in the epipelagia; (2) copepodes (CIII-IV) of *Limnocalanus macrurus* and *Pseudocalanus acuspes*; these are large calanoids, dominant zooplankton below the halocline in the Northern Baltic, and important prey for zooplanktivores; (3) cladoceran *Bosmina coregoni maritima* (females, >0.7 mm); a small zooplankter, often reaching high abundance in the surface waters during summer and being occasionally important prey for zooplanktivorous fish, and (4) cladoceran *Cercopagis pengoi* (Barb Stages II and III); a large predatory zooplankter representing a secondary consumers a common prey for fish during summer. Thus, except for *C. pengoi*, all analyzed species are primary consumers and dominant species in the pelagic food web.

Reference samples used as a contamination control were hatched *Artemia* spp. nauplii (San Francisco Bay Brand) grown on axenic culture of *R. salina* (5 × 10\(^4\) cells mL\(^{-1}\)) in artificial seawater (28 g L\(^{-1}\) of Instant Ocean synthetic sea salt; Aquarium Systems Inc., Sarrebourg, France). The animals were sacrificed after reaching a body length of ~2 mm and treated in the same way as the zooplankton samples. As no positive amplification was ever produced in the reference samples with *Artemia* guts (3 replicates, 25 guts sample\(^{-1}\)), we consider bacterial contamination during sample preparation to be either negligible or non-existent.

**DNA extraction**

From each specimen, the gut was excised with a sharp needle, a pair of ultrafine forceps and a dissecting microscope; the instrumentation and glassware were sterile. In total, 36 samples, 25-50 guts sample\(^{-1}\), were prepared (Table 1). The guts were transferred into 1.5 mL centrifuge tubes for Chelex-based DNA extraction\(^{20}\) following a protocol developed for analysis of prokaryotes in zooplankton.\(^{21}\) See Supporting Information for details and Table S1 for DNA yield in different species.

**qPCR assay**

Three main clades were considered as potential *hgcA*-targets, *Deltaproteobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, and *Archaea*. For each clade, a separate qPCR assay was performed using a clade-specific protocol of Christensen and co-workers.\(^{10}\) As a standard, a synthetic DNA
oligonucleotide\textsuperscript{22} comprising the clade-specific target sequence was constructed using a representative strain: \textit{Dv. desulfuricans}, \textit{Df. metallireducens}, and \textit{Ml. hollandica}, for \textit{Deltaproteobacteria}, \textit{Firmicutes}, and \textit{Archaea}, respectively (Tables S2-S3). The standards were cloned into plasmids and applied in five-step tenfold serial dilutions, 1.5×10\textsuperscript{6} to 1.5×10\textsuperscript{2} apparent copies of target DNA per reaction (Table S4, Figure S2). The qPCR primers and amplification conditions\textsuperscript{10} (Tables S3 and S4) were used for all test samples, reference samples, NTC and standards. Under these conditions, qPCR yielded a single product in each standard and in the test samples within an assay (Figure S3). No product was produced in the reference samples and NTC (non-template control) within the assay range (30 cycles).

\textbf{Data analysis}

The number of \textit{hgcA} copies detected by qPCR was used to calculate the number of \textit{hgcA} copies per individual and per µg of zooplankter wet weight (i.e., weight-specific number of Hg methylators); individual zooplankter weights\textsuperscript{23} were used for these calculations. Due to substantial variations in the amplification efficiency and detection limits for these qPCR assays among different bacterial strains (efficiency: 60 to 90\%, detection limits: 10\textsuperscript{2} to 10\textsuperscript{6} \textit{hgcA} copies)\textsuperscript{10}, any statistical comparisons between species/sites were not meaningful\textsuperscript{24}. Therefore, we consider our results largely descriptive, indicative of the presence/absence of \textit{hgcA} and, to a lesser extent, of the interspecific or geographical variation.

\textbf{RESULTS AND DISCUSSION}

All four copepod species were tested positive for \textit{hgcA} genes (Figure 1), whereas no positive amplification was observed for the two cladocerans. Among the clades tested, the \textit{hgcA} genes of only \textit{Deltaproteobacteria} and \textit{Firmicutes}, but not \textit{Archaea}, were found in the copepod guts. Although there was a substantial imbalance in the sampling effort between copepods and cladocerans (25 vs. 8 samples; Table 1), the occurrence of \textit{hgcA}-positive samples for copepods only is suggestive of a difference. However, the between-clade differences given the variability in the limit of quantification between \textit{Archaea} and the other two clades, and, to a lesser extent, between \textit{Deltaproteobacteria} and \textit{Firmicutes} (Table S4) should be treated as indicative. Moreover, although Archaea are commonly reported to occur in zooplankton guts,\textsuperscript{25} the contribution of this group can be low compared to bacteria.\textsuperscript{26} This may have contributed to the lack of \textit{hgcA}-positive amplification. Also, considering the variability in the amplification
efficiency among bacteria\textsuperscript{10} and unknown composition of the \textit{hgcA}-positive microbiota, only rough interpopulation comparisons are possible. However, the overall findings suggest that microbiota of zooplankters carries \textit{hgcA} genes and thus may be capable of Hg methylation.

Whether bacteria-driven Hg methylation in zooplankton guts takes place depends not only on the occurrence of \textit{hgcA}-carrying bacteria but also on the functional performance of these bacteria. To assess the \textit{hgcA} expression, an analytical effort is required using available molecular tools, such as RT-qPCR, RNA sequencing, and RNA-SIP. Furthermore, a better understanding of community structure is needed. Although our results do not provide any taxonomic identification of the bacteria involved, the observed prevalence of \textit{Firmicutes} among the \textit{hgcA}-carriers (Figure 1) agrees well with a relatively high abundance of this bacterial group in the microbiome of other copepods.\textsuperscript{27–29} In future studies, a 16S rRNA gene diversity profiling and \textit{hgcAB} amplification with high-throughput sequencing should be combined with \textit{hgcA} quantification.\textsuperscript{11} Broad-scale zooplankton sampling, including seasonal, spatial and vertical coverage, should provide material for such an evaluation.

If gut Hg methylation occurs, zooplankton may serve as a primary MeHg entrance point of global significance and affect variability in MeHg transfer to secondary consumers.\textsuperscript{3} A mass-balance budget for the herbivorous marine copepod \textit{Calanus hyperboreus} suggested that endogenous Hg methylation could account for up to 70\% of the annual MeHg uptake in this copepod.\textsuperscript{30} If these estimates are correct, they might explain why reported drivers of MeHg variability are often contradictory. Indeed, MeHg concentrations in herbivorous zooplankton vary among taxa,\textsuperscript{31,32} demographic population structure\textsuperscript{33} and growth stoichiometry.\textsuperscript{34} In wild populations, however, these factors are difficult to disentangle,\textsuperscript{35} partly due to their ultimate dependence on body size. Todorova et al.\textsuperscript{35} speculated that higher bioaccumulation of MeHg in larger species resulted from higher filtration efficiency being a function of body size, whereas Kainz et al.\textsuperscript{32} attributed this size dependence to large zooplankton having larger anaerobic intestinal niches, where Hg methylation can take place.\textsuperscript{36} We found that larger copepods (but not equally large \textit{Cercopagis}) carried a greater number of \textit{hgcA} copies, and not only in terms of the individual-- (thus supporting the view of Kainz et al.\textsuperscript{32}) but also weight-specific values; the latter implies phylogenetic differences. In the large-bodied \textit{L. macrurus} and \textit{P. acuspes}, our estimate of \textit{hgcA} genes yeilded up to 10-fold higher values compared to the small-bodied \textit{A. bifilosa} and \textit{E. affinis}, with the difference being most pronounced for \textit{Firmicutes} (Figure 1). The group-specific variability may affect spatial and seasonal contribution of endogenous MeHg to secondary consumers, because different zooplankton groups that vary in their ability
to methylate Hg would have different capacity to contribute MeHg to bulk zooplankton. For example, the relative importance of gut Hg methylation and MeHg uptake by zooplankton would increase in winter due to the higher contribution of copepods to bulk zooplankton biomass.\(^\text{37}\)

The gut of copepods is likely to have anoxic conditions (at least in some species)\(^\text{36}\) and thus a suitable habitat for methylating microbes. Notably, the morphology of cladoceran gut predisposes it to active oxygenation, and gut microbiota in these animals is dominated by clones affiliated to aerobic or facultative anaerobic bacteria,\(^\text{38}\) which may explain the lack of the positive \textit{hgcA} amplification in our cladoceran samples. Hg methylating genes have been detected in invertebrate microbiota, including termites, beetles, and oligochaetes,\(^\text{4,15}\) and in some invertebrates the endogenous MeHg production has been documented.\(^\text{39}\) As a life form, intestinal microbiota exists in biofilms, and such communities are increasingly recognized as important sites for environmental Hg methylation.\(^\text{40,41}\) Commensal biofilms are present in both planktonic and benthic animals that actively exchange gut and body-surface microbiota with the ambient microbial communities and other animals.\(^\text{42}\) We found no \textit{hgcA} genes in the gut of the predatory \textit{Cercopagis pengoi}, which may indicate that the digestive system of predators with this feeding mode (puncturing exoskeleton of planktonic crustaceans and sucking soft body tissues) is less likely to become populated by methylating bacteria compared to filter-feeders that have a more active exchange with diverse microbial communities of seston.

The presence of Hg methylating bacteria in copepod guts and, hence, in their carcasses and fecal pellets, could be an important and yet unquantified source for MeHg production in the water column.\(^\text{43}\) Remineralization of organic matter is associated with elevated MeHg production,\(^\text{43,44}\) and Hg methylation potential is higher in fresh organic matter than in decomposed material.\(^\text{8,44}\) Zooplankton fecal pellets, a considerable fraction of marine organic matter, are almost completely remineralized in the water column, while degraded phytoplankton and terrestrial organic matter aggregates are more likely to reach the sea floor.\(^\text{45}\) The presence of active Hg methylating bacteria in fecal pellets could increase Hg methylation efficiency compared to non-fecal organic matter, where a lag phase related to colonization time is expected. In the latter case, the ecological niche for Hg methylating bacteria might not become available until the most labile parts are already remineralized, resulting in lower MeHg production. Ingestion of fecal pellets by mesopelagic zooplankters and benthic animals could also facilitate spread of methylators among invertebrates and enrich these consumers with microflora of epipelagic zooplankters. In addition, these pellets can become enriched in Hg
methylators during the time spent in the water column. In line with this, we found higher hgcA abundances in P. acuspes and L. macrurus residing in deeper water layers compared with A. bifilosa and E. affinis inhabiting the epipelagic zone (Figure 1).

Endogenous Hg methylation in zooplankton could help explain spatial and temporal trends of fish MeHg concentrations in the Baltic Sea. The strong decrease in Hg inputs to the Baltic Sea during the last decades has not resulted in a consistent decrease in fish Hg levels across the sea. During this time, significant and basin-specific changes occurred in zooplankton communities in concert with alterations in climate, nutrient inputs and terrestrial runoff. It is plausible that synchronous shifts in the methylation capacity of zooplankton, at both the individual microbiome and community levels, have taken place contributing to the MeHg dynamics in the food web. Quantitative analysis of the interactions between biotic and abiotic processes governing endogenous MeHg production is therefore essential if we are to understand uptake and bioaccumulation of MeHg in water column and food webs.
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Table 1. Summary of zooplankton samples used for qPCR analysis. Samples were taken by vertical tows with a WP2 net (mesh size 90 or 100 μm; diameter 57 cm) equipped with a cod end. At some stations, bottom to surface tows were taken, and at others, we used either stratified tows or sampled only an upper part of the water column. Species abbreviations for copepods: *Acartia bifilosa* (Ab, adults), *Eurytemora affinis* (Ea, adults), *Limnocalanus macrurus* (Lm, CIV), and *Pseudocalanus acuspes* (Pa, CIV), and cladocerans: *Bosmina coregoni maritima* (Bm, body length > 0.7 mm) and *Cercopagis pengoi* (Cp, > 2mm, excluding the tail spine). In total, 33 field-collected zooplankton samples and 3 reference samples (*Artemia* spp.) were analyzed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Location, area</th>
<th>Geographic coordinates and bottom depth</th>
<th>Month, Year</th>
<th>Sampling depth, m</th>
<th>Number of samples per species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>Himmerfjärden Bay, Northern Baltic Proper, Swedish coast</td>
<td>N 58°59', E 17°43'; 30 m</td>
<td>Jun 2007</td>
<td>28-0</td>
<td>3, 3, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BY31</td>
<td>Landsort Deep, Northern Baltic Proper, open sea</td>
<td>58°35' N, 18°14' E; 454 m</td>
<td>Jun 2009</td>
<td>100-60</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F64</td>
<td>Åland Sea, open sea</td>
<td>N 60°11', E 19°08'; 285 m</td>
<td>Sep 2009</td>
<td>100-0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US5b</td>
<td>Bothnian Sea, open sea</td>
<td>N 62°35', E 19°58'; 214 m</td>
<td>Aug 2006</td>
<td>100-0</td>
<td>3, 4, 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Fig. 1.** Abundance of hgcA gene (mean ± SD; n = 3 in all cases, except *Limnocalanus macrurus*, where n = 4) in the Baltic copepods collected in different areas, ordered south to north; see Table 1 for the number of replicates and Figure S1 for sampling site map. No positive amplification was observed in any of the cladoceran samples. The individual-specific abundance (number of hgcA copies per individual) is shown in the upper panels and the weight-specific abundance (number of hgcA copies per µg wet weight of zooplankter) is shown in the lower panels (A and C: *Deltaproteobacteria* and B and D: *Firmicutes*; no positive amplification was observed for *Archaea*). Observe that selection of species is unique for every station; no value implies that no samples for the particular species was available for the analysis.
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