From proteins to polysaccharides: lifestyle and genetic evolution of *Coprothermobacter proteolyticus*.

B.J. Kunath[1#], F. Delogu[1#], M.Ø. Arntzen[1], V.G.H. Eijsink[1], T.R. Hvidsten[1], P.B. Pope[1*]

1. Faculty of Chemistry, Biotechnology and Food Science, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, 1432 Ås, NORWAY.

# **Equal contributors**

*Corresponding Author: Phillip B. Pope

Faculty of Chemistry, Biotechnology and Food Science

Norwegian University of Life Sciences

Post Office Box 5003

1432, Ås, Norway

Phone: +47 6496 6232

Email: phil.pope@nmbu.no

RUNNING TITLE:

The genetic plasticity of *Coprothermobacter*

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare there are no competing financial interests in relation to the work described.

KEYWORDS

CAZymes; Horizontal gene transfer; strain heterogeneity; Metatranscriptomics

ABSTRACT

Microbial communities that degrade lignocellulosic biomass are typified by high levels of species- and strain-level complexity as well as synergistic interactions between both cellulolytic and non-cellulolytic microorganisms. *Coprothermobacter proteolyticus* frequently dominates thermophilic, lignocellulose-degrading communities with wide geographical distribution, which is in contrast to reports that it ferments proteinaceous substrates and is incapable of polysaccharide hydrolysis. Here we deconvolute a highly efficient cellulose-degrading consortium (SEM1b) that is co-dominated by *Clostridium (Ruminiclostridium) thermocellum-* and multiple heterogenic strains affiliated to *C. proteolyticus.* Metagenomic analysis of SEM1b recovered metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) for each constituent population, whilst in parallel two novel strains of *C. proteolyticus* were successfully isolated and sequenced. Annotation of all *C. proteolyticus* genotypes (two strains and one MAG) revealed their genetic acquisition of various carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes), presumably derived from horizontal gene transfer (HGT) events involving *C. thermocellum-* or Thermotogae-affiliated populations that are historically co-located. HGT material included whole saccharolytic operons and dockerin-encoding enzymatic subunits that are synonymous with cellulosomes. Finally, temporal genome-resolved metatranscriptomic analysis of SEM1b revealed expression of *C. proteolyticus* CAZymes at different SEM1b life-stages as well as co-expression of CAZymes from multiple SEM1b populations, inferring deeper microbial interactions that are dedicated towards co-degradation of cellulose and hemicellulose*.* We show that *C. proteolyticus*, a ubiquitous keystone population, consists of closely related strains that have adapted via HGT to degrade both oligo- and longer polysaccharides present in decaying plants and microbial cell walls, thus explaining its dominance in thermophilic anaerobic digesters on a global scale.

INTRODUCTION

The anaerobic digestion of plant biomass profoundly shapes innumerable ecosystems, ranging from the gastrointestinal tracts of humans and other mammals to those that drive industrial applications such as biofuel generation. Biogas reactors are one of the most commonly studied anaerobic systems, yet many keystone microbial populations and their metabolic processes are poorly understood due to a lack of cultured or genome sampled representatives. *Coprothermobacter* spp. are frequently observed in high abundance in thermophilic anaerobic systems, where they are believed to exert strong protease activity whilst generating hydrogen and acetate, key intermediate metabolites for biogas production (Tandishabo *et al.,* 2012). Molecular techniques have shown that their levels range from 10 to 90% of the total microbial community, irrespective of bioreactors being operated on lignocellulose- or protein-rich substrates (**Figure 1**). Despite their promiscuous distribution, global abundance and key role in biogas production, only two species have been described: *Coprothermobacter platensis* (Etchebehere *et al.,* 1998) and *Coprothermobacter proteolyticus* (Ollivier *et al.,* 1985). These two species and their inherent phenotypes have formed the predictive basis for the majority of *Coprothermobacter*-dominated systems described to date. Recent studies have illustrated that *C. proteolyticus* populations in anaerobic biogas reactors form cosmopolitan assemblages of closely related strains that are hitherto unresolved (Hagen *et al.,* 2017).

Frequently in nature, microbial populations are composed of multiple strains with genetic heterogeneity (Kashtan *et al.,* 2014, Schloissnig *et al.,* 2013). Studies of strain-level populations have been predominately performed with the human microbiome and especially the gut microbiota (Bron *et al.,* 2012, Spanogiannopoulos *et al.,* 2016). The reasons for strain diversification and their coexistence remain largely unknown (Ellegaard and Engel 2016), however several mechanisms have been hypothesized, such as: micro-niche selection (Hunt *et al.,* 2008, Kashtan *et al.,* 2014), host selection (McLoughlin *et al.,* 2016), cross-feed interactions (Rosenzweig *et al.,* 1994, Zelezniak *et al.,* 2015) and phage selection (Rodriguez-Valera *et al.,* 2009). Studies of isolated strains have shown that isolates can differ in a multitude of ways, including virulence and drug resistance (Gill *et al.,* 2005, Sharon *et al.,* 2013, Solheim *et al.,* 2009), motility (Zunino *et al.,* 1994) and nutrient utilization (Siezen *et*

al., 2010). Strain-level genomic variations typically consist of single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) as well as acquisition/loss of genomic elements such as genes, operons or plasmids via horizontal gene transfer (HGT) (Koskella and Vos 2015, Tettelin *et al.,* 2005, Treangen and Rocha 2011). Variability in gene content caused by HGT is typically attributed to phage-related genes and other genes of unknown function (Ochman *et al.,* 2000), and can give rise to ecological adaptation, niche differentiation and eventually speciation (Bendall *et al.,* 2016, Biller *et al.,* 2015, Shapiro *et al.,* 2012). Although differences in genomic features can be accurately characterized in isolated strains, it has been difficult to capture such information using culture-independent approaches such as metagenomics. Advances in bioinformatics have improved taxonomic profiling of microbial communities from phylum to species level but it remains difficult to profile similar strains from metagenomes and compare them with the same level of resolution obtained by comparison of isolate genomes (Truong *et al.,* 2017). Since closely-related strains can also differ in gene expression (González-Torres *et al.,* 2015), being able to distinguish the expression profiles of individual strains in a broader ecological context is elemental to understanding the influence they exert towards the overall community function.

In this study, a novel population of *C. proteolyticus* that included multiple closely related strains, was observed within a simplistic biogas-producing consortium enriched on cellulose (hereafter referred to as SEM1b). Using a combined metagenomic and culture-dependent approach, two strains and a metagenome-assembled genome (MAG) affiliated to *C. proteolyticus* were recovered and genetically compared to the only available type strain, *C. proteolyticus* DSM 5265 (Alexiev *et al.,* 2014). Notable genomic differences included the acquisition of carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes), which inferred that *C. proteolyticus* has adapted to take advantage of lignocellulosic polysaccharides. We further examined the saccharolytic potential of our recovered *C. proteolyticus* population in a broader community context, by examining genome-resolved temporal metatranscriptomic data generated from the SEM1b consortium. Collective analysis highlighted the time-specific polysaccharide-degrading activity that *C. proteolyticus* exerts in a cellulolytic microbial community.

Figure 1. Global distribution of *Coprothermobacter proteolyticus*-affiliated populations in anaerobic biogas reactors*. Charts indicate relative 16S rRNA gene abundance of OTUs affiliated to *C. proteolyticus* (dark green), in comparison to the total community (light green). The year of publication, reactor temperature and substrate (C: cellulose, FW: food waste, S: sludge, SW: Seaweed, Ac: acetate) is indicated (details in **Table S1**). The SEM1b consortium analyzed in this study is highlighted in yellow.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Origin of samples and generation of the SEM1b consortium

An inoculum (100μl) was collected from a lab-scale biogas reactor (Reactor TD) fed with manure and food waste and run at 55°C. The TD reactor originated itself from a thermophilic biogas plant (Frevar) fed with food waste and manure in Fredrikstad, Norway. Our research groups have previously studied the microbial communities in both the Frevar plant (Hagen *et al.,* 2017) and the TD bioreactor (Zamanzadeh *et al.,* 2016), which provided a detailed understanding of the original microbial community. The inoculum was transferred for serial dilution and enrichment to an anaerobic serum bottle incubated at 65°C and containing the rich ATCC medium 1943, with cellobiose substituted for 10g/L of cellulose in the form of

BALI treated Norway spruce (Rødsrud *et al.,* 2012). After an initial growth cycle, an aliquot
was removed and serially diluted to extinction. Briefly, a 100µl sample was transferred to a
new bottle of anaerobic medium, mixed and 100µl was directly transferred again to a new
one (six serial transfers in total). The consortium at maximum dilution that retained the
cellulose-degrading capability (SEM1b) was retained for the present work, and aliquots
were stored at – 80°C with glycerol (15% v/v). In parallel, continuous SEM1b cultures were
maintained via regular transfers into fresh media (each generation incubated for ~2-3 days).
148

Metagenomic analysis
Two different samples (D1B and D2B) were taken from a continuous SEM1b culture and
were used for shotgun metagenomic analysis. D2B was 15 generations older than D1B and
was used to leverage improvements in metagenome assembly and binning. From 6ml of
culture, cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 14000 x g for 5 minutes and were kept frozen
at -20°C until processing. Non-invasive DNA extraction methods were used to extract high
molecular weight DNA as previously described (Kunath *et al.,* 2017). The DNA was quantified
using a Qubit™ fluorimeter and the Quant-iT™ dsDNA BR Assay Kit (Invitrogen, USA) and the
quality was assessed with a NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).
158

16S rRNA gene analysis was performed on both D1B and D2B samples. The V3-V4 hyper-
variable regions of bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA genes were amplified using the
341F/805R primer set: 5'-CCTACGGGNBGCASCAG-3' / 5'-GACTACNVGGGTATCTAATCC-3'
(Takahashi *et al.,* 2014). The PCR was performed as previously described (Zamanzadeh *et*
al., 2016) and the sequencing library was prepared using Nextera XT Index kit according to
Illumina's instructions for the MiSeq system (Illumina Inc.). MiSeq sequencing (2x300bp
with paired-ends) was conducted using the MiSeq Reagent Kit v3. The reads were quality
filtered (Phred ≥ Q20) and USEARCH61 (Edgar 2010) was used for detection and removal of
chimeric sequences. Resulting sequences were clustered at 97% similarity into operational
taxonomic units (OTUs) and taxonomically annotated with the
pick_closed_reference_otus.py script from the QIIME v1.8.0 toolkit (Caporaso *et al.,* 2010)
using the Greengenes database (gg_13_8). The resulting OTU table was corrected based on
the predicted number of *rrs* operons for each taxon (Stoddard *et al.,* 2015).

D1B and D2B were also subjected to metagenomic shotgun sequencing using the Illumina

HiSeq3000 platform (Illumina Inc) at the Norwegian Sequencing Center (NSC, Oslo, Norway).

Samples were prepared with the TrueSeq DNA PCR-free preparation, and sequenced with

paired-ends (2x125bp) on four lanes (two lanes per sample). Quality trimming of the raw

reads was performed using cutadapt (Martin 2011), removing all bases on the 3' end with a

Phred score lower than 20 (if any present) and excluding all reads shorter than 100nt,

followed by a quality filtering using the FASTX-Toolkit (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_to

olkit/). Reads with a minimum Phred score of 30 over 90% of the read length were retained.

In addition, genomes from two isolated *C. proteolyticus* strains (see below) were used to

decrease the data complexity and to improve the metagenomic assembly and binning. The

quality-filtered metagenomic reads were mapped against the assembled strains using the

BWA-MEM algorithm requiring 100% identity (Li 2013). Reads that mapped the strains

were removed from the metagenomic data and the remaining reads were co-assembled

using MetaSpades v3.10.0 (Nurk *et al.,* 2017) with default parameters and k-mer sizes of 21,

33, 55 and 77. The subsequent contigs were binned with Metabat v0.26.3 (Kang *et al.,* 2015)

in "very sensitive mode", using the coverage information from D1B and D2B. The quality

(completeness, contamination and strain heterogeneity) of the bins (hereafter referred to as

MAGs) was assessed by CheckM v1.0.7 (Parks *et al.,* 2015) with default parameters.

Isolation of *C. proteolyticus* strains

Strains were isolated using the Hungate method (Hungate 1969). In brief: Hungate tubes

were anaerobically prepared with the DSMZ medium 481 with and without agar (15g/L).

Directly after being autoclaved, Hungate tubes containing agar were cooled down to 65°C

and sodium sulfide nonahydrate was added. From the SEM1b culture used for D1B, 100µl

were transferred to a new tube and mixed. From this new tube, 100µl was directly

transferred to fresh medium, mixed and transferred again (six transfers in total). Tubes were

then cooled to 60°C for the agar to solidify, and then kept at the same temperature. After

growth, single colonies were picked and transferred to liquid medium.

DNA was extracted using the aforementioned method for metagenomic DNA, with one
amendment: extracted DNA was subsequently purified with DNeasy PowerClean Pro
Cleanup Kit (Qiagen, USA) following manufacturer's instructions. To insure the purity of the
C. proteolyticus colonies, visual confirmation was performed using light microscopy and long
16S rRNA genes were amplified using the primers pair 27F/1492R (Schumann 1991): 5'-
AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3' / 5'-TACGGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3' and sequenced using
Sanger technology. The PCR consisted of an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 5 min and
30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 55°C for 1 min, and extension at
72°C for 1 min, and a final elongation at 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were purified using
the NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up kit (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) and sent to GATC
Biotech for Sanger sequencing.

The genomes of two isolated *C. proteolyticus* strains (hereafter referred to as *BWF2A* and
SW3C) were sequenced at the Norwegian Sequencing Center (NSC, Oslo, Norway). Samples
were prepared with the TrueSeq DNA PCR-free preparation and sequenced using paired-
ends (2x300bp) on a MiSeq system (Illumina Inc). Quality trimming, filtering and assembly
were performed as described in the aforementioned metagenomic assembly section. All
contigs from the strains and the Metagenome Assembled Genomes (MAGs) were submitted
to the Integrated Microbial Genomes and Microbiomes (IMG/M) system (Chen *et al.,* 2017)
for genomic feature prediction and annotation (pipeline version 4.15.1). Resulting annotated
open reading frames (ORFs) were retrieved, further annotated for carbohydrate-active
enzymes (CAZymes) using dbCAN HMMs v5.0 (Yin *et al.,* 2012), and subsequently used as a
reference database for the metatranscriptomics. The genomes for both Strains and MAGs
corresponding to *C. proteolyticus* were compared to the reference genome from *C.*
proteolyticus DSM 5265 (Accession number: CP001145, Alexiev *et al.,* 2014). Using the BRIG
tool (Alikhan *et al.,* 2011) for mapping and visualization, the different genomes were mapped
against their pan genome generated using Roary (Page *et al.,* 2015).

Phylogenetic analysis
A concatenated ribosomal protein phylogeny was performed on the MAGs and the isolated
strains using 16 ribosomal proteins chosen as single-copy phylogenetic marker genes (RpL2,

3, 4, 5, 6, 14, 15, 16, 18, 22 and 24, and RpS3, 8, 10, 17 and 19) (Hug *et al.,* 2016). The dataset was augmented with metagenomic sequences retrieved from our previous research on the original FREVAR reactor (Hagen *et al.,* 2017) and with sequences from reference genomes identified during the 16S rRNA analysis. Each gene set was individually aligned using MUSCLE v3.8.31 (Edgar 2004) and then manually curated to remove end gaps and ambiguously aligned terminal regions. The curated alignments were concatenated and a maximum likelihood phylogeny was obtained using MEGA7 (Kumar *et al.,* 2016) with 1000 bootstrap replicates. The radial tree was visualized using iTOL (Letunic and Bork 2016). Additionally, an average nucleotide identity (ANI) comparison was performed between each MAG and their closest relative using the ANI calculator (Rodriguez-R and Konstantinidis 2016).

Temporal meta-omic analyses of SEM1b

A "meta-omic" time series analysis was conducted over the lifetime span of the SEM1b consortium (≈45hours). A collection of 27 replicate bottles containing ATCC medium 1943 with 10g/L of cellulose, were inoculated from the same SEM1b culture, and incubated at 65°C in parallel. For each sample time point, three culture-containing bottles were removed from the collection and processed in triplicate. Sampling occurred over nine time-points (at 0, 8, 13, 18, 23, 28, 33, 38 and 43 hours) during the SEM1b life-cycle, and are hereafter referred as T0, T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7 and T8, respectively. DNA for 16S rRNA gene analysis was extracted (as above) from T1 to T8 and kept at -20°C until amplification and sequencing, and the analysis was performed using the protocol described above. Due to low cell biomass at the initial growth stages, sampling for metatranscriptomics was performed from T2 to T8. Sample aliquots (6 ml) were treated with RNAprotect Bacteria Reagent (Qiagen, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions and the treated cell pellets were kept at -80°C until RNA extraction.

In parallel, metadata measurements including cellulose degradation rate, monosaccharide production and protein concentration were performed over all the nine time points (T0-T8). For monosaccharide detection, 2 ml samples were taken in triplicates, centrifuged at 16000 x *g* for 5 minutes and the supernatants were filtered with 0.2μm sterile filters and boiled for

15 minutes before being stored at -20°C until processing. Solubilized sugars released during

microbial hydrolysis were identified and quantified by high-performance anion exchange

chromatography (HPAEC) with pulsed amperiometric detection (PAD). A Dionex ICS3000

system (Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) equipped with a CarboPac PA1 column (2 × 250 mm;

Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA, USA), and connected to a guard of the same type (2 × 50 mm), was

used. Separation of products was achieved using a flow rate of 0.25 mL/min in a 30-minute

isocratic run at 1 mM KOH at 30°C. For quantification, peaks were compared to linear

standard curves generated with known concentrations of selected monosaccharides

(glucose, xylose, mannose, arabinose and galactose) in the range of 0.001-0.1 g/L.

Total proteins measurements were taken to estimate SEM1b growth rate. Proteins were

extracted following a previously described method (Hagen *et al.,* 2017) with a few

modifications. Briefly, 30ml culture aliquots were centrifuged at 500 x g for 5 minutes to

remove the substrate and the supernatant was centrifuged at 9000 x g for 15 minutes to

pellet the cells. Cell lysis was performed by resuspending the cells in 1ml of lysis buffer (50

mM Tris-HCl, 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 2mM EDTA) and keeping

them on ice for 30 minutes. Cells were disrupted in 3 x 60 second cycles using a FastPrep24

(MP Biomedicals, USA) and the debris were removed by centrifugation at 16000 x g for 15

minutes. Supernatants containing proteins were transferred into low bind protein tubes and

the proteins were quantified using Bradford's method (Bradford 1976).

Because estimation of cellulose degradation requires analyzing the total content of a sample

to be accurate, the measurements were performed on individual cultures that were prepared

separately. A collection of 18 bottles (9 time points in duplicate) were prepared using the

same inoculum described above, and grown in parallel with the 27-bottle collection used for

the meta-omic analyses. For each time point, the entire sample was recovered, centrifuged

at 5000 x g for 5 minutes and the supernatant was discarded. The resulting pellets were

boiled under acidic conditions as previously described (Zhou *et al.,* 2014) and the dried

weights, corresponding to the remaining cellulose, were measured.

mRNA extraction was performed in triplicate on time points T2 to T8, using previously
described methods (Gifford *et al.,* 2011) with the following modifications in the processing
of the RNA. The extraction of the mRNA included the addition of an *in vitro* transcribed RNA
as an internal standard to estimate the number of transcripts in the natural sample
compared with the number of transcripts sequenced. The standard was produced by the
linearization of a pGem-3Z plasmid (Promega, USA) with ScaI (Roche, Germany). The linear
plasmid was purified with a phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol extraction and digestion of
the plasmid was assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis. The DNA fragment was transcribed
into a 994nt long RNA fragment with the Riboprobe *in vitro* Transcription System (Promega,
USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. Residual DNA was removed using the Turbo
DNA Free kit (Applied Biosystems, USA). The quantity and the size of the RNA standard was
measured with a 2100 bioanalyzer instrument (Agilent).

Total RNA was extracted using enzymatic lysis and mechanical disruption of the cells and
purified with the RNeasy mini kit following the manufacturer's protocol (Protocol 2, Qiagen,
USA). The RNA standard (25ng) was added at the beginning of the extraction in every
sample. After purification, residual DNA was removed using the Turbo DNA Free kit, and free
nucleotides and small RNAs such as tRNAs were cleaned off with a lithium chloride
precipitation solution according to ThermoFisher Scientific's recommendations. To reduce
the amount of rRNAs, samples were treated to enrich for mRNAs using the MICROBExpress
kit (Applied Biosystems, USA). Successful rRNA depletion was confirmed by analyzing both
pre- and post-treated samples on a 2100 bioanalyzer instrument. Enriched mRNA was
amplified with the MessageAmp II-Bacteria Kit (Applied Biosystems, USA) following
manufacturer's instruction and sent for sequencing at the Norwegian Sequencing Center
(NSC, Oslo, Norway). Samples were subjected to the TruSeq stranded RNA sample
preparation, which included the production of a cDNA library, and sequenced with paired-
end technology (2x125bp) on one lane of a HiSeq 3000 system.

RNA reads were assessed for overrepresented features (adapters/primers) using FastQC
(www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/), and ends with detected features
and/or a Phred score lower than 20 were trimmed using Trimmomatic v.0.36 (Bolger *et al.,*

2014). Subsequently, a quality filtering was applied with an average Phred threshold of 30
over a 10nt window and a minimum read length of 100nt. rRNA and tRNA were removed
using SortMeRNA v.2.1b (Kopylova *et al.,* 2012). SortMeRNA was also used to isolate the
reads originating from the pGem-3Z plasmid. These reads were mapped against the specific
portion of the plasmid containing the Ampr gene using Bowtie2 (Langmead 2012) with
default parameters and the number of reads per transcript was quantified. The remaining
reads were pseudoaligned against the metagenomic dataset, augmented with the annotated
strains, using Kallisto pseudo –pseudobam (Bray *et al.,* 2016). The resulting output was used
to generate mapping files with bam2hits, which were used for expression quantification with
mmseq (Turro *et al.,* 2011). Of the 40126 ORFs identified from the assembled SEM1b
metagenome and two *C. proteolyticus* strains, 16060 (40%) were not found to be expressed,
whereas 21482 (54%) were expressed and could be reliably quantified due to unique hits
(reads mapping unambiguously against one unique ORF) (**Figure S1A**). The remaining 2584
ORFs (6%) were expressed, but identified only with shared hits (reads mapping
ambiguously against more than one ORF, resulting in an unreliable quantification of the
expression of each ORF) (**Figure S1B**). Since having unique hits improves the expression
estimation accuracy, the ORFs were grouped using mmseq in order to improve the precision
of expression estimates, with only a small reduction in biological resolution (Turro *et al.,*
2014). The process first collapses ORFs into expression groups if they have 100% sequence
identity and then further collapses ORFs (or expression groups) if they acquire unique hits
as a group (**Figure S1C**). This process generated 39242 expression groups of which 38535
(98%) were singletons (groups composed of single ORF) and 707 (2%) were groups
containing more than one homologous ORF. From the initial 2584 low-information ORFs,
1333 became part of an expression group containing unique hits, 116 became part of
ambiguous group (no unique hits) and 1135 remained singletons (without unique hits). All
expression groups without unique hits were then excluded from the subsequent analysis. A
total of 21482 singletons and 594 multiple homologous expression groups were reliably
quantified between *BWF2A*, *SW3C* and the SEM1b metatranscriptome (**Figure S1C**).
353
In order to normalize the expression estimates, sample sizes were calculated using added
internal standards, as described previously (Gifford *et al.,* 2011). The number of reads

mapping on the defined region of the internal standard molecule were calculated to be
2.2x10^7 +/- 2.2x10^6 reads per sample out of 6.2x10^9 molecules added. Using this
information, the estimated number of transcript molecules per sample was computed to be
5.1x10^12 +/- 3.7x10^12 transcripts. The resulting estimates for the sample sizes were used
to scale the expression estimates from mmseq collapse and to obtain absolute expression
values. During initial screening the sample T7C (time point T7, replicate C) was identified as
an outlier using principle component analysis (PCA) and removed from downstream
analysis.

The expression groups were clustered using hierarchical clustering with Euclidean distance.
Clusters were identified using the Dynamic Tree Cut algorithm (Langfelder *et al.,* 2008) with
hybrid mode, deepsplit=1 and minClusterSize=7. Eigengenes were computed for the clusters
and clusters with a Pearson Correlation Coefficient (PCC) greater than 0.8 were merged. The
MAG/strain enrichment of the clusters was assessed using the BiasedUrn R package. The p-
values were corrected with the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure and the significance
threshold was set to 0.01. Expression groups composed of multiple MAGs/strains were
included in several enrichment tests.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The SEM1b consortium is a simplistic community, co-dominated by Clostridium
thermocellum and heterogeneic C. proteolyticus strains

Molecular analysis of a reproducible, cellulose-degrading and biogas-producing consortium
(SEM1b) revealed a stable and simplistic population structure that contained approximately
seven populations, several of which consisted of multiple strains (**Figure 2**, **Table S2-S3**).
16S rRNA gene analysis showed that the SEM1b consortium was co-dominated by OTUs
affiliated to the genera *Clostridium* (52%) and *Coprothermobacter* (41%), with closest
representatives identified as *Clostridium (Ruminiclostridium) thermocellum,* an
uncharacterized *Clostridium spp.* and three *Coprothermobacter* phylotypes (**Table S2**).
Previous meta-omic analysis on the parent Frevar reactor, revealed a multitude of
numerically dominant *C. proteolyticus* strains, which created significant assembly and
binning related issues (Hagen *et al.,* 2017). In this study, multiple oligotypes of *C.*

proteolyticus were also found (**Table S2**). We therefore sought to isolate and recover axenic

representatives to complement our meta-omic approaches, and using traditional anaerobic

isolation techniques, we were successful in recovering two novel axenic strains (hereafter

referred to as *BWF2A* and *SW3C*). The genomes of *BWF2A* and *SW3C* were sequenced and

assembled and subsequently incorporated into our metagenomic and metatranscriptomic

analysis below.

Figure 2. Phylogeny of *C. proteolyticus* strains and other MAGs recovered from the SEM1b consortium.

Concatenated ribosomal protein tree of reference isolate genomes (green), MAGs from the previous Frevar

study (blue, Hagen et al., 2017) and MAGs and isolate genomes recovered in this study (red). Average

nucleotide identities (percentage indicated in parenthesis) were generated between SEM1b MAGs and their

closest relative (indicated by dotted arrows). Bootstrap values are based on 1000 bootstrap replicates and the

completeness of the MAGs are indicated by green (>90 %) and yellow (>80 %) colored dots.

Shotgun metagenome sequencing of two SEM1b samples (D1B and D2B), generated 290Gb (502M paired-end reads) and 264Gb (457M paired-end reads) of data, respectively. Co-assembly of both datasets using strain-depleted reads with Metaspades produced 20760 contigs totalizing 27Mbp with a maximum contig length of 603Kbp. Functional annotation resulted in 36292 annotated ORFs and taxonomic binning revealed 11 MAGs and a community structure similar to the one observed by 16S analysis (**Figure 2**, **Table S3**). A total of eight MAGs exhibited good completeness > 80% and a low level of contamination (< 10%). Three MAGs, COPR2, COPR3 and SYNG2 corresponded to small and incomplete MAGs, although Blastp analysis suggest COPR2 and COPR3 likely represent *Coprothermobacter*-affiliated strain elements.

All near-complete MAGs (> 80%) as well as *BWF2A* and *SW3C* were phylogenetically compared against their closest relatives using average nucleotide identities (ANI) and a phylogenomic tree was constructed via analysis of 16 concatenated ribosomal proteins (**Figure 2**). The COPR1 MAG was observed to cluster together with *C. proteolyticus* DSM 5265 and the two strains *BWF2A* and *SW3C.* Two MAGs (RCLO1-CLOS1) clustered together within the *Clostridium*; RCLO1 with the well-known *C. thermocellum*, whereas CLOS1 grouped together with another *Clostridium* MAG generated from the FREVAR dataset and the isolate *C. stercorarium* (ANI: 79.1%). Both RCLO1 and CLOS1 encoded broad plant polysaccharide degrading capabilities, containing 290 and 160 carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes), respectively (**Table S4**). RCLO1 in particular encoded cellulolytic (e.g. glycosyl hydrolase (GH) families GH5, GH9, GH48) and cellulosomal features (dockerins and cohesins), whereas CLOS1 appears more specialized towards hemicellulose degradation (e.g. GH3, GH10, GH26, GH43, GH51, GH130). Surprisingly, CAZymes were also identified in COPR1 (n=30) and both *BWF2A* (n=37) and *SW3C* (n=33) at levels higher than what has previously been observed in *C. proteolyticus* DSM 5265 (n=14) (**Table S4**). Several MAGs were also affiliated with other known lineages associated with biogas processes, including *Tepidanaerobacter* (TEPI1-2), *Synergistales* (SYNG1-2), *Tissierellales* (TISS1) and Methanothermobacter (METH1).

Figure 3. Comparative genome content of *C. proteolyticus* representatives including isolated strains, a recovered MAG (COPR1) and the reference strain DSM 5265. The innermost ring corresponds to the pangenome of the three *C. proteolyticus* spp. genomes and one MAG as produced by Roary (Page et al., 2015) and the second innermost ring represents the GC content. Outer rings represent the reference strain DSM 5265 (purple), the isolated strains BWF2A (blue) and SW3C (green) and the recovered COPR1 MAG (orange). Genes coding for carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes) and flagellar proteins are indicted in black on the outermost ring. Genomic region-A is indicated by purple shading.

Novel strains of C. proteolyticus reveal acquisition of carbohydrate-active enzymes

Genome annotation of COPR1, *BWF2A* and *SW3C* identified both insertions and deletions in comparison to the only available reference genome, sequenced from the type strain DSM 5265 (**Figure 3**). Functional annotation showed that most of the genomic differences were

sporadic and are predicted not to affect the metabolism of the strains. However, several
notable differences were observed, which might represent a significant change in the
lifestyle of the isolates. Both isolated strains lost the genes encoding flagellar proteins, while
in contrast, they both acquired numerous extra CAZymes. Although both strains encoded a
slightly different collection of CAZymes, they both contained a particular genomic region that
encoded a cluster of three CAZymes: GH16, GH3 and GH18-CBM35 (region-A, **Figure 3**).
Other notable CAZy families found throughout the genome included GH36, GH74, GH109,
CBM3, CE1 and CE10 as well as GH9, GH8 and GH18 domains co-located with a dockerin. The
putative function of these GHs, suggests that both *BWF2A* and *SW3C* are capable of
hydrolyzing amorphous forms of cellulose (GH9: endoglucanase, CBM3: cellulose-binding)
and/or different hemicellulosic substrates (GH16: endo-1,3(4)-β-glucanase / xyloglucanase;
GH3: β-glucosidase / 1,4-β-xylosidase; GH36: α-galactosidase) (Álvarez *et al.,* 2016), which
are significant fractions of the spruce-derived cellulose substrate (glucan: 88.3%, xylan:
4.5%, mannan: 4.8%, (Chylenski *et al.,* 2017)). Regarding the putative GH18s encoded in both
strains (2 ORFs, with and without a dockerin), it could play a role in bacterial cell wall
recycling (Johnson *et al.,* 2013) as an endo-β-N-acetylglucosaminidase. Indeed, *C.*
proteolyticus has previously been considered to be a scavenger of dead cells, even though
this feature was mainly highlighted in term of proteolytic activities (Lü *et al.,* 2014).

Taking a closer look, the region-A of CAZymes (GH16, GH3, GH18-CBM35) in *BWF2A* and
SW3C was located on the same chromosomal cassette but organized onto two different
operons with opposite directions (**Figure S2A**). Comparison of the genes and their
organization, revealed a high percentage of gene similarity and synteny with genome
representatives of *Fervidobacterium nodosum* (Phylum: Thermotogae) and *C. thermocellum*
(**Table S5**).   Both populations were previously identified in the original Frevar reactor
(Hagen *et al.,* 2017), and *C. thermocellum* representatives are also found in SEM1b (RCLO1).
Examination of the flanking regions surrounding the CAZymes in region-A, reveals the
presence of an incomplete prophage composed of a phage lysis holin and two recombinases
located downstream (**Figure 3, Figure S2A**). Further comparisons with *F. nodosum* and *C.*
thermocellum illustrated that only the later encodes the same prophage elements, together
with an additional terminase and more phage component proteins on the 5' region (**Figure**

S2B). Aside from region-A, most CAZymes encoded within *BWF2A* and *SW3C* genomes also exhibited high similarity to representatives from *C. thermocellum* (**Table S5**). Considering the high sequence homology and presence of phage-genes, it could be hypothesized that genomic regions encoding CAZymes in *BWF2A* and *SW3C* are the result of HGT originating from *C. thermocellum* and *F. nodosum*. HGT within anaerobic digesters has been reported for antibiotic resistance genes (Miller *et al.,* 2016), whereas HGT of CAZymes have been detected previously among gut microbiota (Hehemann *et al.,* 2010, Ricard *et al.,* 2006, Song *et al.,* 2016). Since many microbes express only a specific array of carbohydrate-degrading capabilities, bacteria that acquire CAZymes from phages may gain additional capacities and consequently, a selective growth advantage (Modi *et al.,* 2013).

Interestingly, several *BWF2A* and *SW3C* CAZymes were found to encode a GH domain coupled with a dockerin (GH-doc) (**Table S5**), which are typical building block of cellulosomes. Cellulosomes are multi-enzyme complexes produced by anaerobic cellulolytic bacteria for the degradation of lignocellulosic biomass, and were first discovered in *C. thermocellum* (Lamed et al., 1983). These complexes are composed of two main types of building blocks: dockerin-containing enzymatic subunits and cohesin-containing structural proteins called scaffoldins (Artzi *et al.,* 2017). Surprisingly, no cohesins were detected in *BWF2A* or *SW3C*. Dockerin-only containing genomes have previously been observed, however they remain poorly understood (Dassa *et al.,* 2014). In addition to cellulosome building blocks, examination of the genome regions flanking a GH8 encoded in *BWF2A* (GH8, IMG geneID: 2731989313), revealed both anti-sigma and RNA polymerase sigma factors (**Figure S3**). Previous studies have shown that cellulosomal genes are regulated by anti-sigma factors and alternative sigma factors in a substrate-dependent way (Artzi *et al.,* 2017, Nataf *et al.,* 2010), and are unique to *C. thermocellum (Kahel-Raifer et al., 2010)*. The anti-sigma system encoded upstream to the *BWF2A* GH8 exhibited 99% sequence identity to the corresponding *C. thermocellum* genes that harbor the same gene organization (**Figure S3**). Together, the high gene similarity, and presence of GH-doc domains and the anti-sigma factors supports our previous assertions that many of the GHs present in *BWF2A* and *SW3C* originated from *C. thermocellum*. Since the anti-sigma factor has an exocellular CBM-like component to detect the presence of a particular substrate, it is tempting to speculate that

GHs from *C. proteolyticus* could be expressed and bind the complementary cohesin modules
produced by *C. thermocellum.*

C. proteolyticus expresses CAZymes and is implicit in polysaccharide degradation within
the SEM1b consortium

To better understand the role(s) played by *C. proteolyticus* in a saccharolytic consortium, a
temporal metatranscriptomic analyses of SEM1b over a complete life cycle was performed.
16S rRNA gene analysis of eight time points (T1-8) over a 43hr period reaffirmed that *C.*
thermocellum- and *C. proteolyticus*-affiliated populations dominate SEM1b over time (**Figure**
4A). Highly similar genes from different MAGs/genomes were grouped together in order to
obtain "expression groups" with discernable expression profiles (see **Methods** and **Figure**
S1A/B). A total of 408 singleton CAZyme expression groups and 13 multiple ORF groups
were collectively detected in the two *C. proteolyticus* strains and MAGs suspected of
contributing to polysaccharide degradation (RCLO1, CLOS1*,* COPR1-3*,* and TISS1, **Figure**
S1D, **Table S6**). In several instances, expressed CAZymes from *BWF2A* and *SW3C* could be
distinguished from their original sources (i.e. *C. thermocellum* and/or *F. nodosum*), but could
not be resolved between the two strains and/or the COPR1 MAG. For example, all GHs within
region-A could be identified as expressed by at least one of the isolated strains but could not
be resolved further between the strains. In contrast, the GH9-doc and GH8-doc ORFs were
unambiguously expressed and could not be resolved between *BWF2A* and *SW3C* and the
RCLO1 MAG, whereas GH8, GH18 and CBM3 ORFs were expressed by at least one of the *C.*
proteolyticus strains but could not be resolved further.

From the CAZymes subset of expression groups, a cluster analysis was performed to reveal
eight expression clusters (I-VIII, **Figure 4B**). Clusters I and II comprised 13 and 10
expression groups (respectively) and followed a similar profile over time (**Figure 4C**),
increasing at earlier stages (T2-3) and again at later stationary/death stages (T6-8). Both
clusters were enriched for *C. proteolyticus*-affiliated MAGs and isolated strains and
predominately consisted of CAZymes targeting linkages associated with N-
acetylglucosamine (CE9, CE14), peptidoglycan (GH23, GH18, GH73) and chitosan (GH8),
suggesting a role in bacterial cell wall hydrolysis (**Table S6**). This hypothesis was supported

by 16S rRNA gene data, which illustrated that *C. proteolyticus*-affiliated populations (OTU2)

were high at initial stages of the SEM1b life-cycle when cell debris was likely present in the

inoculum that was sourced from the preceding culture at stationary phase (**Figure 4A**). At

T2, the abundance of *C. thermocellum*-affiliated populations (OTU-1) was observed to

outrank *C. proteolyticus* as the community predictably shifted to cellulose-utilization.

However, towards stationary phase (T6-8) when dead cell debris is expected to be

increasing, expression levels in clusters I and II were maintained at high levels (**Figure 4B**),

which was consistent with high *C. proteolyticus* 16S rRNA gene abundance at the same time-

points.

Cluster IV, which was the second largest with 161 expression groups, was enriched with the

RCLO1 MAG that was closely related to *C. thermocellum*. As expected, numerous expressed

genes in cluster IV were inferred in cellulosome assembly (via cohesin and dockerin

domains) as well as cellulose (e.g. GH5, GH9, GH44, GH48, CBM3) and hemicellulose (e.g.

GH10, GH26, GH43, GH74) hydrolysis (**Table S6**). This cluster was increasing throughout the

consortium's exponential phase (time points T1-4, **Figure 4A**), whilst 16S rRNA data also

shows *C. thermocellum*-affiliated populations at high levels during the same stages (**Figure**

4A). Interestingly, the *BWF2A* and *SW3C* GH18-doc was also found in cluster IV. A GH9-doc

and GH8-doc encoded in *BWF2A* and *SW3C* were also expressed, however they exhibited 99-

100% identity to *C. thermocellum* representatives (**Table S5**) and formed expression groups

without unique hits, hence they were not part of the clustering (**Table S6**). Since

cellulosomal genes are seemingly expressed collectively in order to facilitate coordinated

assembly, finding the GH18 in the same cluster is not overly surprising. However, the fact

that the gene is expressed by a different bacterium to the one creating the bulk of the

cellulosome machinery (i.e. RCLO1) makes it extremely interesting and supports the

possibility that "multi-species" cellulosomes putatively exist. Although species-specific high-

affinity cohesin-dockerin interactions are required for cellulosome assembly (Pagès *et al.,*

1997), the *C. thermocellum*-origin and high homology of the *C. proteolyticus* GH18 gene lends

itself to the hypothesis that once expressed, the GH18 will bind to a RCLO1 cohesin domain

and be part of the resulting cellulosomes. Obviously, much more experimental validation is

 required to confirm physical interactions between *C. proteolyticus* GH-doc representatives

566 and cellulosome assemblies.

Figure 4. Temporal meta-analysis of the SEM1b consortium. (A) 16S rRNA gene amplicon and metadata analysis was performed over a 43-hour period, which was segmented into 9 time-points. OTU IDs are detailed in **Table S2**. Cellulose degradation rate, monosaccharide accumulation and growth rate (estimated by total protein concentration) is presented. (B) Gene expression dendrogram and clustering of CAZymes from BWF2A, SW3C and MAGs: RCLO1, CLOS1, COPR1-3, and TISS1. Eight expression clusters (I-VIII) are displayed in different colors on the outer ring. (C) Clusters I-VIII show characteristic behaviors over time summarized by the median (solid line) and the shaded area between the first and third quartile of the standardized expression. Bacteria that are statistically enriched (p-value < 0.01) in the clusters are displayed in the subpanels.

ORFs from both *BWF2A* and *SW3C* as well as CLOS1 were enriched in cluster VI, which was determined as the largest with 193 expression groups. CLOS1 in particular expressed many

genes involved in hemicellulose deconstruction (e.g. GH3, GH5, GH10, GH29, GH31, GH43
and GH130) and carbohydrate deacetylation (e.g. CE1, CE4, CE7, CE9, CE12) (**Table S6**).
Expressed cluster VI genes from *C. proteolyticus* -affiliated genomes/MAGs were also
inferred in hemicellulose-degradation, including GH3, GH16, GH74, CE1, CE4, CE9 and CE10
(**Table S6**). In particular, the GH16 and GH3-encoding ORFs from region-A within *BWF2A*
and *SW3C* were detected in cluster VI, which reaffirms our earlier predictions that certain *C.*
proteolyticus populations in SEM1b are capable of degrading hemicellulosic substrates. The
expression profile of cluster VI over time was observed to slightly lag after cluster IV (**Figure
4**), suggesting that hemicellulases in cluster VI genes are expressed once the hydrolytic
effects of the RCLO1-cellulosome (expressed in cluster IV) have liberated hemicellulosic
substrates (Zverlov *et al.,* 2005b). Although *C. thermocellum* cannot readily utilize other
carbohydrates besides cellodextrins (Demain *et al.,* 2005), the cellulosome is composed of a
number of hemicellulolytic enzymes such as GH10 endoxylanases, GH26 mannanases and
GH74 xyloglucanases (Zverlov *et al.,* 2005a), which are involved in the deconstruction of the
underlying cellulose-hemicellulose matrix (Zverlov *et al.,* 2005b). Representatives of GH10,
GH26 and GH74 from RCLO1 were all expressed in cluster IV and are presumably acting on
the hemicellulose fraction present in the spruce-derived cellulose (Chylenski *et al.,* 2017).
Furthermore, detection of hydrolysis products (**Figure 4A**), revealed that xylose increased
significantly at T5-7, indicating that hemicellulosic polymers containing beta-1-4-xylan were
likely available at these stages. In addition to cluster VI, clusters V, VII and VIII also exhibited
expression profiles that gradually increased after the initial peak of cluster IV. These clusters
were all found to contain many enzymes putatively targeting medium and short length
carbohydrate chains, including those derived from xylan sources (**Table S6**).

All in all, the SEM1b expression data shows sequential community progression that co-
ordinates hydrolysis of cellulose and hemicellulose as well as carbohydrates that are found
in the microbial cell wall. In particular, *C. proteolyticus* populations in SEM1b were suspected
to play key roles degrading microbial cell wall carbohydrates as well as hemicellulosic
substrates, possibly in cooperation or in parallel to other clostridium populations at the later
stages of the SEM1b growth cycle. The detection and expression of *C. proteolyticus* -affiliated

GH-doc enzymes also raises intriguing questions regarding the possibility of multi-species
cellulosomes and their potential role in saccharolytic consortia.

CONCLUSIONS

Unraveling the interactions occurring in a complex microbial community composed of
closely related species or strains is an arduous task. Here, we have leveraged culturing
techniques, metagenomics and time-resolved metatranscriptomics to describe a novel *C.*
proteolyticus population that is comprised of closely related strains that have acquired sets
of CAZymes via HGT and putatively evolved to incorporate a saccharolytic lifestyle. The co-
expression patterns of *C. proteolyticus* CAZymes in clusters I and II supports the adaptable
role of this bacterium as a scavenger that is able to hydrolyze cell wall polysaccharides
during initial phases of growth and in the stationary / death phase, when available sugars
are low. Moreover, the acquisition of hemicellulases by *C. proteolyticus*, and their expression
in cluster VI at time points when hemicellulose is available, further enhances its metabolic
versatility and provides substantial evidence as to why this population dominates
thermophilic reactors on a global scale, even when substrates are poor in protein.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All sequencing reads have been deposited in the sequence read archive (SRP134228), with
specific numbers listed in **Table S7**. All microbial genomes are publicly available on JGI
under the analysis project numbers listed in **Table S7**.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful for support from The Research Council of Norway (FRIPRO program, PBP:
250479 / NorZymeD, VGHE: 221568), as well as the European Research Commission
Starting Grant Fellowship (awarded to PBP; 336355 - MicroDE). The sequencing service was
provided by the Norwegian Sequencing Centre (www.sequencing.uio.no), a national
technology platform hosted by the University of Oslo and supported by the "Functional
Genomics" and "Infrastructure" programs of the Research Council of Norway and the
Southeastern Regional Health Authorities.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare there are no competing financial interests in relation to the work described.

REFERENCES

Alexiev A, Coil DA, Badger JH, Enticknap J, Ward N, Robb FT *et al* (2014). Complete Genome Sequence of *Coprothermobacter proteolyticus* DSM 5265. *Genome Announcements* **2:** e00470–14.

Alikhan NF, Petty NK, Ben Zakour NL, Beatson SA (2011). BLAST Ring Image Generator (BRIG): Simple prokaryote genome comparisons. *BMC Genomics* **12:** 402.

Álvarez C, Reyes-Sosa FM, Díez B (2016). Enzymatic hydrolysis of biomass from wood. *Microbial Biotechnology* **9:** 149-156.

Artzi L, Bayer EA, Moraïs S (2017). Cellulosomes: Bacterial nanomachines for dismantling plant polysaccharides. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **15:** 83-95.

Bendall ML, Stevens SLR, Chan LK, Malfatti S, Schwientek P, Tremblay J *et al* (2016). Genome-wide selective sweeps and gene-specific sweeps in natural bacterial populations. *ISME Journal* **10:** 1589-1601.

Biller SJ, Berube PM, Lindell D, Chisholm SW (2015). Prochlorococcus: The structure and function of collective diversity. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **13:** 13-27.

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014). Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30:** 2114-2120.

Bradford MM (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical Biochemistry* **72:** 248-254.

Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, Pachter L (2016). Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantification. *Nature Biotechnology* **34:** 525-527.

Bron PA, Van Baarlen P, Kleerebezem M (2012). Emerging molecular insights into the interaction between probiotics and the host intestinal mucosa. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **10:** 66-78.

Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK *et al* (2010). QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nature methods* **7:** 335-336.

Chen IMA, Markowitz VM, Chu K, Palaniappan K, Szeto E, Pillay M *et al* (2017). IMG/M:
Integrated genome and metagenome comparative data analysis system. *Nucleic Acids*
Research **45:** D507-D516.

Chylenski P, Petrović DM, Müller G, Dahlström M, Bengtsson O, Lersch M *et al* (2017).
Enzymatic degradation of sulfite-pulped softwoods and the role of LPMOs. *Biotechnology for*
Biofuels **10:** 1-13.

Dassa B, Borovok I, Ruimy-Israeli V, Lamed R, Flint HJ, Duncan SH *et al* (2014). Rumen
cellulosomics: Divergent fiber-degrading strategies revealed by comparative genome-wide
analysis of six ruminococcal strains. *PLoS ONE* **9**.

Demain AL, Newcomb M, Wu JHD, Demain AL, Newcomb M, Wu JHD (2005). Cellulase,
Clostridia, and Ethanol. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* **69:** 124-154.

Edgar RC (2004). MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research* **32:** 1792-1797.

Edgar RC (2010). Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST.
Bioinformatics **26:** 2460-2461.

Ellegaard KM, Engel P (2016). Beyond 16S rRNA community profiling: Intra-species
diversity in the gut microbiota. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **7:** 1-16.

Etchebehere C, Pavan ME, Zorzópulos J, Soubes M, Muxí L (1998). Coprothermobacter
platensis sp. nov., a new anaerobic proteolytic thermophilic bacterium isolated from an
anaerobic mesophilic sludge. *International journal of systematic bacteriology* **48:** 1297-1304.

Gifford SM, Sharma S, Rinta-Kanto JM, Moran MA (2011). Quantitative analysis of a deeply
sequenced marine microbial metatranscriptome. *ISME Journal* **5:** 461-472.

Gill SR, Fouts DE, Archer GL, Mongodin EF, Deboy RT, Ravel J *et al* (2005). Insights on
Evolution of Virulence and Resistance from the Complete Genome Analysis of an Early
Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Strain and a Biofilm-Producing Methicillin-
Resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis Strain. *J Bacteriol* **187:** 2426-2438.

González-Torres P, Pryszcz LP, Santos F, Martínez-García M, Gabaldón T, Antón J (2015).
Interactions between closely related bacterial strains are revealed by deep transcriptome
sequencing. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **81:** 8445-8456.

Hagen LH, Frank JA, Zamanzadeh M, Eijsink VGH, Pope PB, Horn SJ *et al* (2017). Quantitative
metaproteomics highlight the metabolic contributions of uncultured phylotypes in a
thermophilic anaerobic digester. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **83**.
727

Hehemann JH, Correc G, Barbeyron T, Helbert W, Czjzek M, Michel G (2010). Transfer of carbohydrate-active enzymes from marine bacteria to Japanese gut microbiota. *Nature* **464:** 908-912.

Hug LA, Baker BJ, Anantharaman K, Brown CT, Probst AJ, Castelle CJ *et al* (2016). A new view of the tree of life. *Nature Microbiology* **1:** 1-6.

Hungate RE (1969). Chapter IV A Roll Tube Method for Cultivation of Strict Anaerobes. In: Norris JR, Ribbons DWBTMiM (eds). *Methods in Microbiology*. Academic Press. pp 117-132.

Hunt DE, David LA, Gevers D, Preheim SP, Alm EJ, Polz MF (2008). Resource Partitioning and Sympatric Differentiation Among Closely Related Bacterioplankton. *Science* **320:** 1081 LP-1085.

Johnson JW, Fisher JF, Mobashery S (2013). Bacterial cell wall recycling. *Annals of the new york academy* **1277:** 54-75.

Kahel-Raifer H, Jindou S, Bahari L, Nataf Y, Shoham Y, Bayer EA *et al* (2010). The unique set of putative membrane-associated anti-σ factors in Clostridium thermocellum suggests a novel extracellular carbohydrate-sensing mechanism involved in gene regulation. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **308:** 84-93.

Kang DD, Froula J, Egan R, Wang Z (2015). MetaBAT, an efficient tool for accurately reconstructing single genomes from complex microbial communities. *PeerJ* **3:** e1165-e1165.

Kashtan N, Roggensack SE, Rodrigue S, Thompson JW, Biller SJ, Coe A *et al* (2014). Single-Cell Genomics Reveals Hundreds of coexisting subpopulations in wild Prochlorococcus. *Science (New York, NY)* **344:** 416-420.

Kopylova E, Noé L, Touzet H (2012). SortMeRNA: Fast and accurate filtering of ribosomal RNAs in metatranscriptomic data. *Bioinformatics* **28:** 3211-3217.

Koskella B, Vos M (2015). Adaptation in Natural Microbial Populations. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **46:** 503-522.

Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K (2016). MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. *Molecular biology and evolution* **33:** 1870-1874.

Kunath BJ, Bremges A, Weimann A, McHardy AC, Pope PB (2017). Metagenomics and CAZyme Discovery. In: Abbott DW, Lammerts van Bueren A (eds). *Protein-Carbohydrate Interactions: Methods and Protocols*. Springer New York: New York, NY. pp 255-277.

Lamed R, Setter E, Bayer EA (1983). Characterization of a cellulose-binding, cellulase-containing complex in Clostridium thermocellum. *Journal of Bacteriology* **156:** 828-836.

Langfelder P, Zhang B, Horvath S (2008). Defining clusters from a hierarchical cluster tree:
The Dynamic Tree Cut package for R. *Bioinformatics* **24:** 719-720.

Langmead (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature methods* **9:** 357-359.

Letunic I, Bork P (2016). Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the display and
annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. *Nucleic acids research* **44:** W242-W245.

Li H (2013). Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-
MEM. *arxiv* **00:** 1-3.

Lü F, Bize A, Guillot A, Monnet V, Madigou C, Chapleur O *et al* (2014). Metaproteomics of
cellulose methanisation under thermophilic conditions reveals a surprisingly high
proteolytic activity. *ISME Journal* **8:** 88-102.

Martin M (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
reads. *EMBnetjournal* **17:** 10-10.

McLoughlin K, Schluter J, Rakoff-Nahoum S, Smith AL, Foster KR (2016). Host Selection of
Microbiota via Differential Adhesion. *Cell Host and Microbe* **19:** 550-559.

Miller JH, Novak JT, Knocke WR, Pruden A (2016). Survival of antibiotic resistant bacteria
and horizontal gene transfer control antibiotic resistance gene content in anaerobic
digesters. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **7:** 1-11.

Modi SR, Lee HH, Spina CS, Collins JJ (2013). Antibiotic treatment expands the resistance
reservoir and ecological network of the phage metagenome. *Nature* **499:** 219-222.

Nataf Y, Bahari L, Kahel-Raifer H, Borovok I, Lamed R, Bayer EA *et al* (2010). Clostridium
thermocellum cellulosomal genes are regulated by extracytoplasmic polysaccharides via
alternative sigma factors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107:** 18646-
18651.

Nurk S, Meleshko D, Korobeynikov A, Pevzner PA (2017). MetaSPAdes: A new versatile
metagenomic assembler. *Genome Research* **27:** 824-834.

Ochman H, Lawrence JG, Grolsman EA (2000). Lateral gene transfer and the nature of
bacterial innovation. *Nature* **405:** 299-304.

Ollivier BM, Mah Ra, Ferguson TJ, Boone DR, Garcia JL, Robinson R (1985). Emendation of
the Genus Thermobacteroides: Thermobacteroides proteolyticus sp. nov., a proteolytic
acetogen from a methanogenic enrichment. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology*
35: 425-428.

Page AJ, Cummins CA, Hunt M, Wong VK, Reuter S, Holden MTG *et al* (2015). Roary: Rapid
large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis. *Bioinformatics* **31:** 3691-3693.

Pagès S, Bélaïch A, Bélaïch J-P, Morag E, Lamed R, Shoham Y *et al* (1997). Species-specificity
of the cohesin-dockerin interaction between Clostridium thermocellum and Clostridium
cellulolyticum: Prediction of specificity determinants of the dockerin domain. *Proteins:*
Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics **29:** 517-527.

Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW (2015). CheckM: Assessing
the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes.
Genome Research **25:** 1043-1055.

Ricard G, McEwan NR, Dutilh BE, Jouany JP, Macheboeuf D, Mitsumori M *et al* (2006).
Horizontal gene transfer from bacteria to rumen ciliates indicates adaptation to their
anaerobic, carbohydrates-rich environment. *BMC Genomics* **7:** 1-13.

Rodriguez-R LM, Konstantinidis KT (2016). The enveomics collection: a toolbox for
specialized analyses of microbial genomes and metagenomes. *PeerJ Preprints* **4:**
e1900v1901.

Rodriguez-Valera F, Martin-Cuadrado A-B, Rodriguez-Brito B, Pašić L, Thingstad TF, Rohwer
F *et al* (2009). Explaining microbial population genomics through phage predation. *Nature*
Reviews Microbiology **7:** 828-828.

Rosenzweig RF, Sharp RR, Treves DS, Adams J (1994). Microbial evolution in a simple
unstructured environment: Genetic differentiation in Escherichia coli. *Genetics* **137:** 903-
917.

Rødsrud G, Lersch M, Sjöde A (2012). History and future of world's most advanced
biorefinery in operation. *Biomass and Bioenergy* **46:** 46-59.

Schloissnig S, Arumugam M, Sunagawa S, Mitreva M, Tap J, Zhu A *et al* (2013). Genomic
variation landscape of the human gut microbiome. *Nature* **493:** 45-50.

Schumann P (1991). Nucleic Acid Techniques in Bacterial Systematics (Modern
Microbiological Methods). *Journal of Basic Microbiology* **31:** 479-480.

Shapiro BJ, Timberlake SC, Szabó G, Polz MF, Alm EJ (2012). Population Genomics of Early
Differentiation of Bacteria. *Science* **336:** 48-51.

Sharon I, Morowitz MJ, Thomas BC, Costello EK, Relman DA, Banfield JF (2013). Time series
community genomics analysis reveals rapid shifts in bacterial species, strains, and phage
during infant gut colonization. *Genome Research* **23:** 111-120.

Siezen RJ, Tzeneva VA, Castioni A, Wels M, Phan HTK, Rademaker JLW *et al* (2010).
Phenotypic and genomic diversity of Lactobacillus plantarum strains isolated from various
environmental niches. *Environmental Microbiology* **12:** 758-773.

Solheim M, Aakra Å, Snipen LG, Brede DA, Nes IF (2009). Comparative genomics of Enterococcus faecalis from healthy Norwegian infants. *BMC Genomics* **10:** 1-11.

Song T, Xu H, Wei C, Jiang T, Qin S, Zhang W *et al* (2016). Horizontal Transfer of a Novel Soil Agarase Gene from Marine Bacteria to Soil Bacteria via Human Microbiota. *Scientific Reports* **6:** 1-10.

Spanogiannopoulos P, Bess EN, Carmody RN, Turnbaugh PJ (2016). The microbial pharmacists within us: A metagenomic view of xenobiotic metabolism. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **14:** 273-287.

Stoddard SF, Smith BJ, Hein R, Roller BRK, Schmidt TM (2015). rrnDB: Improved tools for interpreting rRNA gene abundance in bacteria and archaea and a new foundation for future development. *Nucleic Acids Research* **43:** D593-D598.

Takahashi S, Tomita J, Nishioka K, Hisada T, Nishijima M (2014). Development of a prokaryotic universal primer for simultaneous analysis of Bacteria and Archaea using next-generation sequencing. *PLoS ONE* **9**.

Tandishabo K, Nakamura K, Umetsu K, Takamizawa K (2012). Distribution and role of Coprothermobacter spp. in anaerobic digesters. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering* **114:** 518-520.

Tettelin H, Masignani V, Cieslewicz MJ, Donati C, Medini D, Ward NL *et al* (2005). Genome analysis of multiple pathogenic isolates of Streptococcus agalactiae: Implications for the microbial "pan-genome". *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **102:** 13950-13955.

Treangen TJ, Rocha EPC (2011). Horizontal transfer, not duplication, drives the expansion of protein families in prokaryotes. *PLoS Genetics* **7**.

Truong DT, Tett A, Pasolli E, Huttenhower C, Segata N (2017). Microbial strain-level population structure & genetic diversity from metagenomes. *Genome Research* **27:** 626-638.

Turro E, Su SY, Gonçalves Â, Coin LJM, Richardson S, Lewin A (2011). Haplotype and isoform specific expression estimation using multi-mapping RNA-seq reads. *Genome Biology* **12:** 1-15.

Turro E, Astle WJ, Tavaré S (2014). Flexible analysis of RNA-seq data using mixed effects models. *Bioinformatics* **30:** 180-188.

Yin Y, Mao X, Yang J, Chen X, Mao F, Xu Y (2012). DbCAN: A web resource for automated carbohydrate-active enzyme annotation. *Nucleic Acids Research* **40:** 445-451.

909 Zamanzadeh M, Hagen LH, Svensson K, Linjordet R, Horn SJ (2016). Anaerobic digestion of
910 food waste - Effect of recirculation and temperature on performance and microbiology.
911 *Water Research* **96:** 246-254.
912
913 Zelezniak A, Andrejev S, Ponomarova O, Mende DR, Bork P, Patil KR (2015). Metabolic
914 dependencies drive species co-occurrence in diverse microbial communities. *Proceedings of*
915 *the National Academy of Sciences* **112:** 6449-6454.
916
917 Zhou Y, Pope PB, Li S, Wen B, Tan F, Cheng S *et al* (2014). Omics-based interpretation of
918 synergism in a soil-derived cellulose-degrading microbial community. *Scientific Reports* **4:** 1-
919 6.
920
921 Zunino P, Piccini C, Legnani-Fajardo C (1994). Flagellate and non-flagellate Proteus mirabilis
922 in the development of experimental urinary tract infection. *Microbial Pathogenesis* **16:** 379-
923 385.
924
925 Zverlov VV, Kellermann J, Schwarz WH (2005a). Functional subgenomics of Clostridium
926 thermocellum cellulosomal genes: Identification of the major catalytic components in the
927 extracellular complex and detection of three new enzymes. *Proteomics* **5:** 3646-3653.
928
929 Zverlov VV, Schantz N, Schmitt-Kopplin P, Schwarz WH (2005b). Two new major subunits in
930 the cellusome of Clostridium thermocellum: Xyloglucanase Xgh74A and endoxylanase
931 Xyn10D. *Microbiology* **151:** 3395-3401.
932
933