Population genetics of *Paramecium* mitochondrial genomes: recombination, mutation spectrum, and efficacy of selection

by

Parul Johri[*,**,1], Georgi K. Marinov[*,‡,**], Thomas G. Doak[*,†], and

Michael Lynch[*,§]

[*]Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, United States

[†]National Center for Genome Analysis Support, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, United States

[‡]Department of Genetics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, United States

[§]The Biodesign Center for Mechanisms of Evolution, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287, United States

[**]These authors contributed equally to this work.

[1]**Corresponding author:**

Parul Johri
Lynch Lab
Department of Biology
Jordan Hall 327, 1001 E 3rd St
Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405
(812) 856-0115
pjohri@indiana.edu

RUNNING TITLE: Evolution of mitochondrial genomes.

KEYWORDS: *Paramecium*, mitochondria, recombination, mutation spectrum, efficacy of purifying selection.

ABSTRACT

There is a dearth of understanding of evolution of mitochondrial genomes and their population-genetic environment among unicellular eukaryotes. Ciliate mitochondrial genomes exhibit a unique combination of characteristics, including a linear organization and the presence of multiple genes with no known function or detectable homologs in other eukaryotes. Here we study the variation of ciliate mitochondrial genomes both within and across thirteen highly diverged *Paramecium* species, including multiple species from the *P. aurelia* species complex, with four outgroup species: *P. caudatum*, *P. multimicronucleatum,* and two strains that appear to represent novel related species. We observe extraordinary conservation of gene order and protein-coding content in *Paramecium* mitochondria across species. In contrast, significant differences are observed in tRNA content and copy number, which is highly conserved in species belonging to the *P. aurelia* complex but variable among and even within the other *Paramecium* species. There is an increase in GC content from ~20% to ~40% on the branch leading to the *P. aurelia* complex. Patterns of polymorphism in population genomic data and mutation-accumulation experiments suggest that the increase in GC content is primarily due to changes in the mutation spectra in the *P. aurelia* species. Finally, we confirm a complete lack of recombination in *Paramecium* mitochondria and find that the mitochondrial genome appears to experience either similar or stronger levels of purifying selection than the nucleus. We discuss how our results might still be concordant with theoretical predictions.

INTRODUCTION

Mitochondria have played integral roles in furthering our understanding of relationships among species as well as revealing population structure and demographic history. As a consequence, we have obtained insights into the unique population-genetic properties of mitochondrial genomes. In most species, mitochondria are inherited uniparentally (but see BARR *et al.* 2005). Although mitochondrial genomes are known to frequently undergo recombination in plants (STADLER and DELPH 2002; MACKENZIE 2007) as well as fungi (FRITSCH *et al.* 2014), no recombination has been detected in animals (BALLARD and WHITLOCK 2004; PIGANEAU and EYRE-WALKER 2004). Because of the unique mode of transmission and lack of recombination in some species, mitochondria have been suggested to have lower effective population sizes than their nuclear counterparts and therefore to accumulate more deleterious mutations (LYNCH and BLANCHARD 1998; NEIMAN and TAYLOR 2009). In addition, mitochondrial genomes experience much higher spontaneous rates of mutation than their corresponding nuclear genomes in animals but exhibit the opposite trend in plants (LYNCH *et al.* 2006).

Unlike the relatively uniform and conserved properties of metazoan mitogenomes, mitochondrial genomes in unicellular eukaryotes exhibit remarkable variation in genome structure and GC content. Mitochondrial genome structures range from hundreds of short linear segments (0.3-8.3 kb) in *Amoebidium parasiticum* (BURGER *et al.* 2003), an opisthokont, to many small (< 10 kb) circular genomes in the excavate *Diplonema papillatum* (VLCEK *et al.* 2011), to a single larger linear or circular chromosome, and a variety of other states (reviewed in SMITH and KEELING 2015). In addition to variation in organization, mitochondria from unicellular lineages display

widely diverse GC compositions, ranging from as low as 10% in yeast (SMITH 2012) to 60% in

Lobochlamys culleus (BORZA *et al.* 2009), although most species are AT rich, with an average

GC content of 35% (SMITH 2012).

Much has been learned about the structure, evolution and population-genetic environment of

mitochondria in the main model systems, especially in plants and metazoans (LYNCH 2007;

SMITH 2016). However we lack such understanding in mitochondria of most unicellular

eukaryotes, which harbor most eukaryotic phylogenetic diversity. We address this gap by

surveying both within and between-species variation in mitochondrial genomes among highly

diverged ciliate species.

In the large and morphologically and ecologically diverse ciliate lineage, mitochondrial genomes

are organized into single large linear chromosomes, several tens of kilobases in length, with

telomeres at the ends (GODDARD and CUMMINGS 1975; MORIN and CECH 1988; SWART *et al.*

2012). Few mitochondrial genomes have been fully sequenced among the ciliates, with two in

the *Paramecium* genus (*P. tetraurelia* and *P. caudatum*; (BARTH and BERENDONK 2011)), and

only a few others: *Tetrahymena pyriformis* (BURGER *et al.* 2000), *Euplotes minuta* and *Euplotes*

crassus (DE GRAAF *et al.* 2009), *Oxytricha trifallax* (SWART *et al.* 2012), *Stentor coeruleus*

(SLABODNICK *et al.* 2017), *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis* (COYNE *et al.* 2011), and the anaerobic

ciliate *Nyctotherus ovalis* (DE GRAAF *et al.* 2011).

The *Paramecium* genus is a particularly interesting system in which to study the evolution of

mitochondrial genomes. While it contains a number of distinct morphospecies, it is especially

known for including a species complex consisting of multiple morphologically identical but

sexually isolated species – the *P. aurelia* complex (SONNEBORN 1975). Species in the *P. aurelia*

complex are extremely ancient, with the estimated time of divergence for the complex as a whole

being on the order of 300 million years ago (MCGRATH *et al.* 2014b), implying that the genus

Paramecium itself is even more ancient. Interestingly, among *Paramecium* species, there is a

doubling of GC content in the branch leading to the *P. aurelia* complex (BURGER *et al.* 2000;

BARTH and BERENDONK 2011). *Paramecium* therefore also offers an attractive system with

which to study the evolution of nucleotide composition across mitochondrial genomes that are

structurally very similar.

In this study we further the understanding of the biology and the population-genetic

environment of ciliate mitochondria by presenting the complete mitochondrial genomes of

nine species belonging to the *P. aurelia* complex, four outgroup species, and 5-10 isolates

each of four *Paramecium* species. Using phylogenetic and population-genetic analyses, we

investigate variation in protein-coding genes, tRNA content, and causative forces

governing the evolution of nucleotide composition across the phylogeny. In addition, we

estimate the recombination rate across the genome and address the controversy of whether

mitochondrial genomes experience reduced purifying selection in comparison to their

nuclear counterparts.

RESULTS

Mitochondrial genome assembly and SNP detection

We assembled complete mitochondrial genomes of nine species belonging to the *P. aurelia*

complex: *P. biaurelia*, *P. tetraurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, *P. octaurelia*, *P. novaurelia*, *P.*

decaurelia, *P. dodecaurelia*, *P. quadecaurelia*, and *P. jenningsi* as well as four outgroup

species: *P. caudatum*, *P. caudatum-C026*, *P. multimicronucleatum*, and *P.*

multimicronucleatum-Peniche3I (Figure 1). We note that *P. caudatum-C026* and *P.*

multimicronucleatum-Peniche3I were initially sampled as individual isolates belonging to

the *P. caudatum* and *P. multimicronucleatum* species (based on morphological criteria),

respectively. However, the analysis of the resulting sequencing data revealed that they are

highly diverged from the reference strains (see below) and are therefore almost certainly

separate species and were treated as such in subsequent analyses. For all 13 mitochondrial

genomes in this study, Illumina reads were assembled using SPAdes (BANKEVICH *et al.*

2012), and mitochondrial contigs were identified by BLAST searches against the publicly

available *P. caudatum* and *P. tetraurelia* mitochondrial sequences (see the Materials and

Methods section for more details). The assembled sequences are largely complete with the

exception of the *P. multimicronucleatum* and *P. multimicronucleatum* Peniche3I

mitocontigs, which appear to be missing small portions of the 3′ end of the chromosome

(Figure 2).

In addition, we sequenced 10 isolates of both *P. tetraurelia* and *P. sexaurelia*, and 5 isolates

of each *P. caudatum* and *P. multimicronucleatum* (Supplementary Figure 1). Illumina

paired-end reads from these isolates were mapped to the assembled reference genomes and

SNPs were called as in JOHRI *et al.* (2017). These genomes were also assembled *de novo*

(Supplementary Figures 2, 3 and 4) in order to examine large-scale genome organization

mapping.

Genome structure and organization

All *Paramecium* mitochondrial genomes are linear; ~40 kb in the *P. aurelia* species and ~44

kb in *P. caudatum* and *P. caudatum-C026*. Telomeric repeats identified on both ends of the

assembled genomes imply that the full lengths of the linear contigs have been assembled in

most species. While we did not recover complete mitochondrial contigs for *P.*

multimicronucleatum and *P. multimicronucleatum-Peniche3I*, the length of the existing

contigs suggests an overall size closer to that observed in *P. aurelia* than to the larger

mitochondrial genomes in the *P. caudatum* lineage. We also note that the assemblies for two

of the *P. aurelia* species contain extensions (Supplementary Figure 5 and 6). In *P.*

novaurelia, an additional ~18 kb is present at the 5′ end of the mitocontig, while in *P.*

quadecaurelia, a small, ~1 kb extension is seen at the 3′ end. However, the read coverage

over these regions is very different from the rest of the mitocontigs, suggesting either

misassembly or heterogeneity within cell populations. We therefore ignored these

extensions in subsequent analysis.

The organization of the genomes is very similar, with gene order preserved almost perfectly

between all species (Figure 2). The GC content is relatively uniform along the length of

the mitochondrial chromosome in all *Paramecium* species, with the exception of the

immediate subtelomeric areas and the ribosomal RNAs in the non-*aurelia* species

(Supplementary Figures 7-9).

We also identified telomeric repeats based on the sequences at the end of assembled

mitocontigs (see Methods for more details). In most *P. aurelia* species, we identify

almost identical repeats, with a 23-bp consensus sequence

GCCCTGGTGGCCCTAGAAGCTCC (Supplementary Figure 10). However, in *P.*

jenningsi and *P. sexaurelia* the telomere repeat motif is the same length, but has 1 base

pair different from this consensus. Of note, these two species are the earliest diverging

ones within the *P. aurelia* complex species included in our analysis. We observed even

more divergent telomeric sequences in *P. caudatum*

(GCCCTGGTAACGCTGGTCGCCCTTTTAAAATA) and *P. multimicronucleatum*

(GCCCTTGTTACACTTGGTGGCTCTTTAAGCTCT). In these species, the core

telomeric repeat sequence has been expanded by additional 10 bp sequences that are not

present in *P. aurelia*. The *Paramecium* core telomeric repeat is broadly similar to that of

Tetrahymena (ACCCTCGTGTCTCTTTA)*,* the other Oligohymenophorean genus for

which mitochondrial genomes are available, but distinct from the what is observed in

distantly branching ciliates such as the spirotrichean *Oxytricha trifallax* (Supplementary

Figure 10).

Variation in protein coding gene content between and within species

All *Paramecium* mitochondrial genomes contain a core set of 15 genes (Figure 2) involved

in electron transport and ATP synthesis (*atp9*, *cob*, *cox1*, *cox2*, *nadh1*, *nadh2*, *nadh3*,

nadh4, *nadh4L*, *nadh5*, *nadh6*, *nadh7*, nadh9 and *nadh10)*, the heme maturase *YejR*, and 8

ribosomal protein genes (*rpL6*, *rpL12*, *rpL14*, *rpL16*, *rpS3*, *rpS12*, *rpS13*, and *rpS14*). One

ribosomal protein subunit *rpS19* was found to be absent from *P. tetraurelia*, *P. octaurelia*,

P. novaurelia, *P. dodecaurelia*, and *P. quadecaurelia* and therefore appears to have been

independently lost from the mitochondria at least 3 times along the *P. aurelia* phylogeny

(Figure 1). Remarkably, we also find a presence-absence polymorphism of *rpS19* in *P.*

sexaurelia isolates, with the ORF not being identifiable in 2 out of 11 isolates studied

(Supplementary Figure 11). Although this might suggest a mitochondrial gene in the

process of transfer to the nucleus, the closest hit in the nuclear genome has an E value of

0.27, implying either a complete loss or perhaps transfer to a mitochondrial plasmid, which

has not been captured by any study.

Paramecium genomes also contain 16 ciliate-specific genes of unknown function, called

Ymf genes (BURGER *et al.* 2000), named according to the Commission on Plant Gene

Nomenclature (PRICE and REARDON 2001). Each sequenced strain contains all of these

genes, with the exception of *P. multimicronucleatum* strain M13 in which *Ymf80* and

Ymf83 appear to have fused with *nadh4* and *Ymf64*, respectively. In addition, several ORFs

originally identified in *P. tetraurelia*, are observed in only one or a few additional *P.*

aurelia mitogenomes, typically overlapping longer ORFs: *orf189*, *orf285*, and *orf314*

restricted to the three *P. tetraurelia* isolates; *orf221* present in *P. tetraurelia*, *P. octaurelia*

and *P. biaurelia*; *orf265* in *P. biaurelia*; and orf78 found only in *P. tetraurelia* isolate A.

We used HHPred (SODING *et al.* 2005) to predict homologs of the Ymf genes and found

that parts of Ymf genes display homology to standard mitochondrial genes, encoding

ribosomal subunits and proteins involved in electron transport. About 37% of sequence

from *Ymf59* was found to be homologous to *rpS10*, ~22% sequence of *Ymf61* to a 30S

ribosomal protein S24E, ~28% of *Ymf64* sequence to a 30 S *rpS3*, ~79% of *Ymf65*

sequence to the NADH subunit and ~11% of *Ymf67* to cytochrome c oxidase, subunit P.

Homologs of *Ymf59* and *Ymf64* were previously similarly identified in *Oxytricha* (SWART

et al. 2012) and reached the same conclusions.

In addition, we found a previously unidentified ORF larger than 100 AA, which appears to

be present in all *Paramecium* mitochondrial genomes and is located at the very 5′ end of the

chromosome, before the large ribosomal RNA. This ORF has homology to the CRISPR-

associated endoribonuclease Cas6; its functional significance is unclear at present.

A notable Ymf gene is *Ymf64*, which accounts for a significant part of the difference

between the length of *P. aurelia* and *P. caudatum* mitochondrial genomes, being much

longer in the latter (~1700 AA vs. ~600 AA) (BARTH and BERENDONK 2011). In two

isolates of *P. multimicronucleatum* (M04 and M05), the locus appears to have split into

multiple ORFs (Figure 2), with the one most clearly homologous to *Ymf64* being shortened

even relative to *P. aurelia*. However, in the *P. multimicronucleatum* isolate M13, as well as

P. multimicronucleatum-Peniche3I, *Ymf64* is relatively long (1000 and 863 AA

respectively).

Most other ORFs exhibit relatively constant length across all *Paramecium* mitochondrial

genomes, with the exceptions of *atp9*, which is elongated by ~30-60 AA in all *P. sexaurelia*

isolates, *rpS3* and *rps19*, which are specifically elongated in *P. caudatum* and *P.*

caudatum-C026, and *Ymf76*, which is very short in one of the *P. biaurelia* isolates.

Rate of evolution of protein-coding genes across the phylogeny

Most species were found to be fairly evolutionarily distant from each other, as

measured by the average number of nonsynonymous (*dN*) and synonymous (*dS*) site

substitutions per site (Supplementary Figure 12). *P. decaurelia* and *P. dodecaurelia*

(average *dS*: 0.85; average *dN*: 0.05, average total divergence: 0.23) are the closest

species pair, followed by *P. tetraurelia* and *P. octaurelia* (average *dS*: 0.93; average

dN: 0.09; average total divergence: 0.27). All other species pairs have on average *dS* >

1.0, i.e., synonymous sites in protein coding genes have on average undergone more

than 1 substitution each since the time of divergence. We note here that mean *dS*

between *P. caudatum* and *P. caudatum-C026* is ~2.0 (average *dN*: 0.07; average total

divergence: 0.28), implying that these two isolates represent separate species. A similar

observation confirms that *P. multimicronucleatum-Peniche3I* and *P.*

multimicronucleatum are probably separate species (average *dS* = 1.97; average *dN*:

0.10; average total divergence: 0.26). Our observations suggest the possibility of

cryptic species complexes in both *P. caudatum* and *P. multimicronucleatum*, as

previously suspected (HORI *et al.* 2006; TARCZ *et al.* 2012). Thus the phenomenon of

species complexes containing numerous morphologically identical species, already

known for the *P. aurelia* (SONNEBORN 1937) and *T. pyriformis* (GRUCHY 1955)

complex, might be much more widespread among ciliates than previously appreciated.

We next asked whether different functional groups of genes exhibit different sequence

constraints by measuring number of nonsynonymous relative to synonymous substitutions

(*dN/dS*) across the phylogeny. Overall, enzyme components of the respiratory chains exhibit

the highest degree of conservation across the phylogeny (average $dN/dS \cong 0.04$), followed

by ribosomal proteins (average $dN/dS \cong 0.06$) and then *Ymf* genes (average $dN/dS \cong 0.11$)

(Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Figure 13). Ciliate-specific *Ymf* genes therefore

exhibit a relatively higher rate of evolution than other genes, with as little as 30% sequence

identity between *P. aurelia*, *P. caudatum,* and *P. multimicronucleatum*, similar to

observations in *Tetrahymena* (MORADIAN *et al.* 2007), as well as with higher values of π_n/π_s

in *P. tetraurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, *P. caudatum*, and *P. multimicronucleatum*

(Supplementary Figure 14).

The rapid divergence of *Ymf* genes can either be explained by relaxed purifying

selection at the sequence level, or recurrent positive selection over long periods of time,

or a combination of both. To distinguish between these possibilities, we performed a

McDonald Kreitman test (MK test) in the species *P. tetraurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, *P.*

caudatum, and *P. multimicronucleatum*. As most of these species are highly diverged

from each other, we used ancestral reconstruction over the set of all 13 taxa to first infer

ancestral nucleotides for each internal node. The numbers of synonymous (D_S) and

nonsynonymous (D_N) changes were then inferred along each terminal branch leading to

each of the 4 species mentioned above. We tested for positive selection by determining

whether the proportion of nonsynonymous fixed differences relative to synonymous

ones was significantly larger than the proportion of nonsynonymous relative to

synonymous polymorphic differences. None of the genes in *P. tetraurelia* were found to

have undergone positive selection by this criterion (Holm–Bonferroni corrected $p \geq 0.05$

in each case). In *P. sexaurelia*, *cox1*, *Ymf76*, and *Ymf63* were found to be the only genes

that showed significant positive selection, whereas in *P. caudatum*, only *Ymf64*

exhibited positive selection (Supplementary Table 2). It should be noted that *Ymf64* has

also increased in length in *P. caudatum* and might represent an example of gain of

function. With very few SNPs available in *P. multimicronucleatum*, the MK test was not

significant for any gene. Nevertheless, we find no statistical enrichment of number of

genes evolving under positive selection among the *Ymf* vs. other genes in *P. sexaurelia*

(p = 0.56; Fisher's exact test) and *P. caudatum* (p = 0.40; Fisher's exact test),

suggesting that majority of them are likely evolving fast due to relaxed sequence

constraints.

Levels of expression of protein-coding genes in the mitochondria

At present, very little is known about the regulation of transcription and of the

posttranscriptional stability of transcripts in ciliate mitochondria. Given the organization of

Paramecium mitogenomes, with a small group of genes on the forward strand in the middle

of the chromosome separating two other large groups of genes, at least three transcriptional

units are likely to exist (BURGER *et al.* 2000), but the number could be significantly higher.

To address this issue, we examined the abundance of transcripts (generated from PolyA+-

selected RNA samples) obtained from single strains for each of *P. biaurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*,

and *P. caudatum* (see the Materials and Methods section for details). We observe very high

levels of rRNA suggesting that rRNA might be expressed separately, but in addition, we

also notice large differences between the abundances of protein-coding transcripts

(Supplementary Figure 15, 16 and 17), with the most highly expressed genes often varying

both between species and within the same species in different conditions (Supplementary

Figure 18). These observations suggest a complex transcriptional organization and/or

posttranscriptional regulation of RNA levels in ciliate mitochondria. While the available

RNA-seq data are from samples enriched for polyadenylated transcripts, and mitochondrial

transcripts in *Paramecium* may not have polyA tails, this should not have affected the

ratios of mitochondrial transcripts.

Mutation spectrum and GC-content variation within the *Paramecium* genus

Perhaps the most remarkable discontinuity in *Paramecium* mitochondrial genomes is the

difference between the high GC content observed in species of the *P. aurelia* complex (~40%)

and the low GC content in the outgroup species (~20%). Very low GC content is also observed

in mitochondrial genomes of other ciliates, for instance in the five *Tetrahymena* species

(~18-21%), in *Icthyophthirius multifiliis* (~16%) (COYNE *et al.* 2011), and in *Oxytricha*

trifallax (~24%). However, nuclear genomes have relatively similar levels of GC content across

the *Paramecium* species (MCGRATH *et al.* 2014a). The low GC content of *P. caudatum* and *P.*

multimicronucleatum mtDNA is marked by a highly biased codon usage (BARTH and

BERENDONK 2011), with most synonymous positions exhibiting a strong bias for A or T

nucleotides (Supplementary Figure 19). Indeed, the difference in GC content is most

pronounced at 4-fold degenerate sites, with the GC content at such sites being as low as

3.5% in *P. multimicronucleatum* and as high as 54.5% in *P. decaurelia* (Figure 1). The 0-

fold redundant sites in the outgroup species have much higher GC content (~26-37%) and

the GC content (31-36%) of the rRNAs is similar across the entire genus suggesting strong

selection on rRNA nucleotide content. At least three forces may be responsible for

determining the GC content at 4-fold degenerate sites: (1) mutational processes, (2) codon

usage bias, and/or (3) genome-wide selection for higher/lower GC content. We therefore

looked at GC composition in other regions of the genomes like tRNAs and intergenic regions

that do not experience selection for codon usage. Both tRNAs and intergenic regions have

elevated GC content in the *P. aurelia* species (~40-45% and ~34-37%) relative to *P. caudatum*

and *P. multimicronucleatum* (~14-22% and ~16-20%; Figure 1), suggesting that either

mutational changes or selection for GC content is primarily responsible for changes in nucleotide

composition across the genome.

To distinguish between mutation and selection, we evaluated the mutational pressures acting on

Paramecium species, using two approaches. First, we used the mitochondrial mutation spectrum

obtained from mutation-accumulation (MA) studies of *P. tetraurelia* (SUNG *et al.* 2012) to

calculate the AT mutation bias, $m = v/u$, where v is the mutation rate from G/C to A/T and u is

the rate of mutation from A/T to G/C (Table 1). We found m ≅ 1.0, showing little mutation bias

and corresponding to expected equilibrium GC content (SUEOKA 1993) of 51.3% (Table 1). This

is very close to the GC content of 4-fold redundant sites (54%) in *P. tetraurelia*. We also

analyzed existing sequencing data from MA experiments in *P. biaurelia* and *P.*

sexaurelia (LONG *et al.* 2018a; Supplementary Table 5). These data imply slight mutation

bias towards GC in *P. biaurelia* and *P. sexaurelia*, corresponding to expected equilibrium

GC percentages (SUEOKA 1993) of 55.8% and 67.0% respectively. Given the relatively

small number of mutations (a few tens) identified in each set of MA experiments, there is

likely to be considerable uncertainty in these estimates. Nonetheless these data do not

present evidence that 4-fold degenerate sites in *P. aurelia* mitochondria are evolving away

from mutation equilibrium via selection.

Next, derived singleton alleles at 4-fold degenerate sites were used to quantify the number of

G/C to A/T mutations relative to A/T to G/C mutations and thus infer an estimate of AT

mutation bias from population data. Again, we find that *m* is close to 1.0 (i.e. no mutation bias

towards A/T) in both *P. tetraurelia* and *P. sexaurelia*, with the predicted GC content under

mutation equilibrium being remarkably close to that of their 4-fold degenerate sites (Table 1).

These results suggest that the composition of 4-fold degenerate sites is mostly determined by

mutation. The same can also be seen by calculating the strength of selection ($S = 4N_e s$ or

$2N_e s$) favoring A/T at 4-fold degenerate sites. As S usually takes values between 0.1 and 4.0

(LYNCH 2007; LONG *et al.* 2018b) and we obtain much lower magnitudes (0.0-0.5) in the *P.*

aurelia species (Table 1), we infer negligible or weak selection at 4-fold degenerate sites,

consistent with absence of codon bias at the third position.

In contrast to the *P. aurelia* species, we infer a significant AT mutation bias in *P. caudatum*

(Table 1), and predicted GC content at equilibrium of ~17%, with the observed GC content in

intergenic and tRNA regions (16% and 20%) being remarkably close to this value. These

calculations suggest that genome-wide GC content in *P. caudatum* is mostly governed by

mutation bias, and that there has been a decrease in AT mutation bias along the branch leading to

the *P. aurelia* species.

tRNA content variation across and within species

While the number and identity of protein-coding genes is generally the same across the

genus, tRNAs display significant variation. All species in the *P. aurelia* complex have only

three tRNA loci, at highly conserved locations (Figure 2), most of the time consisting of a

Y, F, and W tRNA (the latter one recognizing the UGA stop codon that has been

reassigned in ciliate mitochondria to tryptophan). The one exception is *P. octaurelia*, in

which a T tRNA has been substituted for the Y tRNA that other species possess. In

stark contrast, mitochondrial genomes in the *P. caudatum* and *P. multimicronucleatum*

lineages contain large and highly variable sets of tRNAs (Figure 3), with as many as 38

tRNA predictions in *P. multimicronucleatum* M05, and with individual *P. caudatum* and *P.*

multimicronucleatum isolates having different tRNAs at multiple loci in their

mitogenomes. These tRNAs often overlap protein-coding genes (in contrast to what is

observed in *P. aurelia*), in particular *Ymf* genes. Of note, the three tRNAs found in the *P.*

aurelia species are also present in all outgroup species.

Recombination in the mitochondria

We performed multiple tests for the presence of recombination in the mitochondria. First, we

evaluated the relationship between linkage disequilibrium (LD), calculated by r^2 (HILL and

ROBERTSON 1968) and distance between sites; r^2 does not decrease with distance in any of the

four species, consistent with the expectation under no recombination. Next, we conducted the

four-gamete test (FGT) (HUDSON and KAPLAN 1985), which detects recombination by searching

for pairs of polymorphic sites with all four segregating haplotypes and assumes that such pairs of

sites must have arisen via recombination (under the infinite-sites model). We found all four

gametes at 2 pairs of sites (1.45×10^{-5} of all pairs) in *P. tetraurelia*, 312781 sites (2.14×10^{-2} of

all pairs) in *P. sexaurelia*, 42647 sites (1.21×10^{-2} of all pairs) in *P. caudatum*, and 0 in *P.

multimicronucleatum*. The observed variation between species correlates well with levels of total

sequence diversity and indicates the bias in power to detect recombination towards species with

more sequence variation. Although results from the FGT suggest the presence of some

recombination, the probability of finding all four gametes does not increase with the distance

between the pairs of sites, contrary to expectation under recombination, and is inconsistent with

other distance-based analyses. Violation of the infinite-sites model (i.e. recurrent mutation at the

same site) can result in the presence of pairs of sites with all four alleles. The FGT works best for

species and genomes whose recombination rate is much larger than the mutation rate, which may

not be the case in *Paramecium* mitochondria.

In order to test if the presence of four gametes was more likely to be caused by recombination or

mutation, we used LDhat (MCVEAN *et al.* 2002) to test for recombination and estimate $4N_er$,

using values of $4N_e\mu$ as close to empirical data as possible. Here r is the recombination rate, μ is

the mutation rate and N_e is the effective population size of the species under consideration. $4N_er$

estimated under the finite-sites mutation model (WAKELEY 1997; HUDSON 2001) was found to be

0.0 for all four species, and is consistently 0.0 in all non-overlapping windows (1000 bp)

spanning the genome. We also used permutation tests (MCVEAN *et al.* 2002) to detect

recombination. The idea behind these tests is that in the absence of recombination the relative

position of SNPs would not change inferred values of statistics used to measure recombination.

Thus, under absence of recombination, statistics like sum-of-distance between sites with all four

gametes (G4) (MEUNIER and EYRE-WALKER 2001), and correlation between r^2 or D' and

physical distance, would not be significantly different from an expectation obtained by randomly

shuffling SNPs and computing these statistics. We find no statistical significance for the

presence of recombination using permutation tests and thus conclude that there is no

recombination in *Paramecium* mitochondria.

438 Efficacy of selection in the mitochondria vs. nucleus

Finally, we asked if genes in the mitochondria compared to the nucleus, experienced

weaker purifying selection, as would be expected due to smaller effective population

size and the lack of recombination in the mitochondria. We did so by evaluating the

strength of selection in each of the two genomes using multiple statistics. The average

value of d_N/d_S over the mitochondrial phylogeny is 0.05 (SD = 0.05; median = 0.03),

while that over the nuclear phylogeny, comprising of the same taxa is 0.05 (SD = 0.04;

median = 0.04). The distributions of these d_N/d_S values are not significantly different (p

= 0.54, Student's t-test), suggesting that the average amount of purifying selection

experienced by genes in the nucleus is not significantly different from that experienced

by those in the mitochondria. We also observe no significant difference when

performing this comparison only examining genes encoding proteins that are part of the

oxidative phosphorylation pathway (mitochondrial: 0.02, nuclear: 0.03, p=0.28) or only

those that encode ribosomal subunits (mitochondrial: 0.05, nuclear: 0.04, p=0.74).

Using π_n/π_s as a proxy for recent purifying selection, we find that the distribution of

π_n/π_s of mitochondrial (mt) and nuclear genes (nuc) is not significantly different in *P.*

tetraurelia (mean mt = 0.174; mean nuc = 0.198; $p = 0.56$) and *P. caudatum* (mean mt =

0.135; mean nuc = 0.170; $p = 0.22$) respectively. However, mean π_n/π_s in the

mitochondrial genes is significantly lower than that of nuclear genes in *P. sexaurelia*

(mean mt = 0.051; mean nuc = 0.268; $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$) and *P. multimicronucleatum* (mean

mt = 0.099; mean nuc = 0.178; $p = 1.87 \times 10^{-3}$) respectively, suggesting that

mitochondrial genes might be under similar or stronger purifying selection than nuclear

genes.

Next, we calculated the neutrality index (NI = $(P_n / P_s) / (D_n / D_s)$; P_n and P_s: number of

nonsynonymous and synonymous polymorphisms respectively; D_n and D_s: number of

nonsynonymous and synonymous fixed differences respectively) of each gene in the

mitochondrion and nucleus for *P. tetraurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, and *P. caudatum*. The

number of branch-specific substitutions at synonymous (D_s) and nonsynonymous (D_n)

sites was calculated by ancestral reconstruction. Comparing the distributions of values

of NI in the mitochondrion and nucleus shows that overall there is no significant

difference between the two means (whether we include or exclude *Ymf* genes) in *P.*

tetraurelia and *P. caudatum* (Table 2). Consistent with the above, distributions of NI

values in *P. sexaurelia* are significantly different between the nucleus and

mitochondrion. We note that the absolute values of NIs seem to indicate pervasive

positive selection in both the nucleus and the mitochondrion. However, underestimation

of the number of synonymous changes (*Ds*) can result in lower NI, mimicking positive

selection. We therefore also calculated neutrality index as $(\pi_n/\pi_s)/ (d_N/d_S)$ (BETANCOURT

et al. 2012; denoted by NIπ below) for each gene with d_N/d_S obtained from pairwise

comparison with respect to the closest species. As a close outgroup species was

required, this analysis was restricted to *P. tetraurelia* and *P. sexaurelia*. Again,

consistent with all analyses above, there is no difference between the mean NI_π value in

the mitochondrion and nucleus in *P. tetraurelia*, but mean NI_π is significantly higher in

the nucleus than the mitochondrion in *P. sexaurelia* (Table 2). This suggests that there

are more deleterious variants segregating in the nucleus than in the mitochondrion in *P.*

sexaurelia; these results do not change upon excluding the *Ymf* genes. Together, these

results suggest species-specific differences in the relative strength of purifying selection

between the mitochondrion and nucleus. Overall, mitochondria appear to experience

either similar or stronger efficacy of purifying selection than the nucleus.

A major caveat of comparing neutrality indices between all genes present in the

mitochondria vs. nucleus, is that we might be measuring differences is strength rather

than efficacy of purifying selection. In order to correct for that, we compared nuclear

genes with similar functions to those in the mitochondria. We thus performed all

analyses noted above in a restricted set of nuclear genes. We compared the 14

mitochondrial genes with ~13-27 nuclear genes involved in the oxidative

phosphorylation pathway, as well as the 8 ribosomal genes in the mitochondria with

~77-430 nuclear genes that encode for structural components of the ribosomes.

Although we fail to get significant p values, as the sample sizes are very small, we

continue to observe similar trends as described above for all genes (Supplementary

Table 3).

As an aside, we tried to reduce the bias caused by saturation of DS by re-calculating NI

only in the mitochondrion: (i) using all 13 taxa (in order to break up longer branches),

(ii) restricting the analysis to the set of genes that have pairwise $d_S < 1.0$ (from their

closest outgroup), (iii) estimating different variations of neutrality indices (see Methods)

in order to reduce various statistical biases (GREENLAND 1982a; STOLETZKI and EYRE-

WALKER 2011). We continued to recover extremely low values of NIs (Supplementary

Table 4) and failed to obtain values close to NI$_n$, suggesting that the absolute values of

NI can be misleading and have to be interpreted with caution.

DISCUSSION

Ciliate-specific mitochondrial genes

Paramecium mitochondrial genomes possess 16 lineage-specific open-reading frames (referred

to as *Ymf* genes) that have no known homologs in non-ciliate species and lack assigned

functions, but are nonetheless conserved across *Paramecium* and *Tetrahymena* species. Other

ciliates like *Oxytricha* have also been found to have unidentified open-reading frames (SWART *et*

al. 2012), but not all *Ymf* genes have homologs identified in ciliates outside of

Oligohymenophora (which contains both *Tetrahymena* and *Paramecium*). We find that *Ymf*

genes are evolving faster, mostly under relaxed purifying selection, and compared to other genes

are also more likely to undergo positive selection. Shedding light on the identity of the *Ymf* genes

could possibly indicate entirely new set of genes present in the ancestor of *Oligohymenophora*

mitochondria.

We found that parts of five of the 16 *Ymf* genes show homology to standard mitochondrial

proteins, especially ribosomal proteins. As ciliates are far from most model organisms in the

eukaryotic tree, it is very likely that some *Ymf* genes are ribosomal subunits or genes belonging

to the NADH complex and are simply not identifiable because of extreme divergence. Similar

findings are reported across protist and other mitochondrial genomes (e.g. DE GRAAF *et al.* 2009;

POMBERT *et al.* 2013; BURGER *et al.* 2016; SKIPPINGTON *et al.* 2017) that have diverged

substantially from the most well-studied mammalian mitochondrial genomes. In some species,

RNA editing (including insertions and deletions) can be substantial (GRAY 2003), which can

mask the identification of the proteins encoded, especially when mostly sequence analyses are

performed. RNA editing, however, has been reported to not occur in *P. tetraurelia* mitochondria

(ORR *et al.* 1997) and is therefore unlikely to be the primary cause of observed divergence. In

Tetrahymena thermophila, the ATP synthase forms an unusual structure and possesses

completely novel subunits whose orthologs are not identifiable in other organisms

(BALABASKARAN NINA *et al.* 2010). It is therefore possible that, through some combination of

the above phenomena, ciliates possess structurally unique proteins that perform similar functions

in the mitochondria, but are difficult to identify based on other known sequences. Although the

origin of these genes is unclear, it is intriguing that a set of such fast evolving genes is preserved

across highly diverged species.

Curiously, we find a previously unidentified ORF at the 5′ end of all *Paramecium*

mitogenomes, which displays homology to Cas6 found widely in bacteria and archaea

(HAFT *et al.* 2005). This could potentially represent a case of horizontal gene transfer from

the endosymbiotic bacteria (PREER 1969; FOKIN and GORTZ 2009) living in *Paramecium*.

Sequencing of more unicellular eukaryotic mitochondrial genomes would provide insight

into the origin of this ORF.

Change in mutation spectrum and nucleotide composition

We used a combination of previous mutation-accumulation studies and our population genomic

data to suggest that the change in nucleotide composition of *P. aurelia* mitochondrial genomes

toward higher GC is a result of change in mutational bias. Unfortunately, due to our modest

sample sizes, some of the singleton SNPs in our analysis are present at fairly high frequencies in

the population and thus might be influenced by selection. Mutation-accumulation lines in *P.*

caudatum would help further disentangle these forces. Because ciliates have very low mutation

rates, their mutation-accumulation study requires a large number of generations to produce only

a handful of mutations, making it very difficult to obtain precise estimates of the mutation

spectrum. The most feasible strategy to more precisely estimate these spectra in *Paramecium*

would thus be to produce larger population samples in order to observe lower-frequency variants.

We also note that although an alternative explanation for higher GC content in the *P. aurelia*

species could be biased gene conversion, we found no evidence of mitochondrial recombination

(including non-crossovers) in the *P. aurelia* species.

An interesting question raised by our results is how fast the mutation spectrum in mitochondria

evolves across species (LYNCH *et al.* 2008; MONTOOTH and RAND 2008). Re-analyzing data from

previous work on mutation-accumulation lines in mitochondria of other model organisms

presents a number of interesting observations (Table 1). First, within opisthokonts, there is a

huge variation in mutation bias (m) in the mitochondria, ranging from nearly 0 (strongly biased

towards GC) to values much larger than 1 (biased towards AT; Table 1). However, most species

except *S. cerevisiae* have a mutation bias towards A/T, consistent with most mitochondrial

genomes being AT-rich. Second, across opisthokonts, we find that selection can have a

significant impact on mitochondrial GC content. The effect of selection (S) can be observed by

comparing observed genome-wide nucleotide composition (or specifically at 4-fold degenerate

sites) with the expected composition under mutation equilibrium (Table 1). Again, in most

species selection favors higher G/C, but in *S. cerevisiae* there appears to be strong selection

favoring A/T genome-wide. Thus there might not be a universal direction for mutation bias or

selection for nucleotide composition in mitochondria. Finally, closely related species can have

significant changes in mutation bias in the mitochondria (HOWE *et al.* 2010; KONRAD *et al.* 2017)

as observed between *C. elegans* and *C. briggsae*. All of these observations suggest that it is

biologically reasonable that there have been changes in mutational biases towards AT along the

long branch leading to the *P. aurelia* species.

It has been observed that higher AT content in mitochondrial genomes is usually accompanied

by the presence of more tRNA genes (SMITH 2012). This correlation holds in ciliates as well,

where the *P. aurelia* species with higher GC content only possess 3 tRNA genes relative to other

Paramecium species that possess many more tRNA genes. Although we have shown that

there have been multiple gains and losses of tRNA genes in *Paramecium* species, the

source of these new tRNA genes is not evident. It may be possible for new tRNA gene

copies to be transferred from the nucleus to the mitochondrial genome. Phylogenetic

analyses and mito-nuclear comparisons may illuminate the mutational and selective

forces driving mitochondrial tRNA gain and loss.

Similar efficacy of purifying selection experienced by the mitochondria and nucleus

Mitochondrial genomes are often non-recombining and are usually passed on via

uniparental inheritance. Mitochondria are therefore expected to have lower effective

population sizes than that of the nucleus within the same organism (LYNCH and

BLANCHARD 1998; NEIMAN and TAYLOR 2009). One consequence of reduced effective

population sizes is an increased probability of segregation and even fixation of slightly

deleterious mutations. There have been multiple contradictory reports on whether

mitochondrial genes experience stronger or weaker purifying selection than the nuclear

genes. Studies examining a few protein-coding loci but with larger number of species

have concluded that mitochondria experience less effective purifying selection than the

nucleus (WEINREICH and RAND 2000; BETANCOURT *et al.* 2012; POPADIN *et al.* 2013).

However a recent study conducted on multiple individuals and whole genomes in

Drosophila melanogaster and humans found no significant difference between the

efficacy of purifying selection in the mitochondrial vs. nuclear protein-coding genes

(COOPER *et al.* 2015).

We confirm the absence of recombination in *Paramecium* mitochondria, which was

previously hinted at, but restricted to a study of a single gene, cytochrome b (BARTH *et*

al. 2008). We also evaluated the extent of purifying selection in ciliate mitochondria

relative to the nucleus for 4 species: *P. tetraurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, *P. caudatum*, and *P.*

multimicronucleatum. We find that despite the lack of recombination in the mitochondria, the efficacy of selection is either similar to or stronger in the mitochondria relative to the nucleus.

Although our results seem to be in discordance with theoretical predictions, they are not necessarily surprising. One possibility is that heteroplasmy and bottlenecks during transmission of mitochondria can result in proliferation of a random subset of deleterious mutations, which then get exposed to cell-level selection (STEWART *et al.* 2008; WAI *et al.* 2008; STEWART and LARSSON 2014), eventually leading to stronger purifying selection observed. Another possibility is that we observe stronger efficacy of selection in the mitochondria because the magnitude of negative selection itself is stronger in the mitochondrial genes (POPADIN *et al.* 2013), because they differ from the average nuclear gene in several key ways. Most mitochondrial genes are expressed at high levels compared to the nucleus (HAVIRD and SLOAN 2016). Mitochondrial encoded proteins (cox1 and cox2) that are part of the oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) pathway are core enzyme catalytic subunits (TSUKIHARA *et al.* 1996; ZHANG and BROUGHTON 2013; HAVIRD and SLOAN 2016). And, most genes retained in the mitochondria encode for highly hydrophobic proteins and high GC content (JOHNSTON and WILLIAMS 2016). In order to test theoretical predictions successfully, we stress the importance of comparing equivalent sets of genes—finding comparable sets of genes between these two genomes may be difficult (but see LYNCH 1996; LYNCH 1997). Although we made efforts on this front, by also conducting all analyses with nuclear genes involved in the same pathway as the mitochondrial genes, most of the caveats

described above continue to affect our results.

Lastly, our results need to be evaluated in the light of *Paramecium*-specific life cycle. In

Paramecium, there is cytoplasmic inheritance during conjugation (KOIZUMI and

KOBAYASHI 1989) and there is almost no exchange of cytoplasm during conjugation

(reviewed in MEYER and GARNIER 2002). Thus it appears that both parents pass on their

mitochondria to their offspring, without the degradation of mitochondrial genomes from

any one lineage. On the other hand *Paramecium* species frequently undergo asexual

reproduction, thus reducing the nuclear effective population size. Therefore, effective

population sizes of mitochondria might only be slightly lower or perhaps similar to that

of the nucleus. Due to asexual reproduction, under equilibrium conditions, expected

heterozygosity in the nucleus would fall between $4N_e\mu$ - $2N_e\mu$. Similarly in the

mitochondria, under equilibrium conditions, expected hetrozygosity would be $\sim 2N_e\mu$.

Assuming that the ratio of divergence at silent sites can be used as a proxy for the ratio

of mutation rate between the two compartments, it is possible to approximately estimate

the ratio of effective population sizes of the two compartments as $N_{e(m)}/N_{e(n)} = y \times$

$(\pi_m/\pi_n)/(d_m/d_n)$, where y would be some number between 1 and 2. For both *P.*

tetraurelia and *P. sexaurelia*, for which we have relatively closer outgroup species,

$N_{e(m)}/N_{e(n)}$ turns out to be between 0.94-1.88 and 6.58-13.16 respectively. Although

underestimation of neutral divergence in the mitochondria relative to the nucleus could

skew our inference, the above calculations suggest that perhaps effective population

sizes of mitochondria are larger than that of the nucleus in *Paramecium*.

We therefore conclude, that our results might continue to be consistent with theoretical

predictions. Unicellular eukaryotes, like *Paramecium* with their unique life cycle, might

represent a case where the effective population size of the mitochondria is much larger

than that of the corresponding nucleus and concordantly we observe stronger purifying

selection in the mitochondria relative to the nucleus.

METHODS:

Genome sequencing and assembly

DNA extraction, sequencing library preparation and genome sequencing were previously

described (Johri et al. 2017). Sequencing reads were assembled using SPAdes (BANKEVICH *et al.*

2012; version 3.5.0) after removing potential adapter sequence with Trimmomatic (BOLGER *et al.*

2014; version 0.33). Mitochondrial contigs were identified from the resulting assemblies by

BLAST (ALTSCHUL *et al.* 1997) searches against the published *P. caudatum* and *P. tetraurelia*

mitochondrial genomes.

Mitochondrial genome annotation

Genome annotation was carried out as follows. Protein coding genes were identified by

generating all ORFs longer than 60aa in all six frames, using the Mold, Protozoan, and

Coelenterate Mitochondrial Code (i.e., UGA codes for W instead of being a stop codon) and all

alternative start codons specific to *Paramecium* (AUU, AUA, AUG, AUC, GUG, and GUA),

and retaining the longest ORFs associated with each stop codon. BLASTP was then used to

identify homologs of annotated mitochondrial proteins in *P. tetraurelia* and *P. caudatum*.

Additional ORFs were identified by imposing the requirement that their length exceeds 100aa,

and subsequently annotated using BLASTP against the Non-redundant protein sequences (nr)

database and HMMER3.0 (EDDY 2011) scans against the PFAM 27.0 database (FINN *et al.*

2014). tRNA genes were annotated with tRNAscan-SE (SCHATTNER *et al.* 2005; version 1.21),

using the "Mito/Chloroplast" source. rRNA genes were identified using Infernal (NAWROCKI

et al. 2009; version 1.1.1).

Identification of telomeres

Telomeric repeats were identified as follows. The first and the last 200bp of each mitogenome

were used as input to the MEME *de novo* motif finding program (BAILEY *et al.* 2009; version

4.6.1), which was run with the following parameters: "*-maxw 25 -dna -nmotifs 5 -mod anr*". The

repetitive units defined that way were then manually aligned to each other and refined to arrive at

final telomeric repeats comparable across all species.

Expression level in mitochondria

For each species, sequencing reads were aligned against a combined Bowtie (LANGMEAD *et al.*

2009) index containing both the nuclear and mitochondrial genomes using TopHat2 (KIM *et al.*

2013; version 2.0.8) with the following settings: "*--bowtie1 --no-discordant --no-mixed --*

microexon-search --read-realign-edit-dist 0 --read-edit-dist 4 --read-mismatches 4 --min-intron-

length 10 --max-intron-length 1000000 --min-segment-intron 10 --min-coverage-intron 10".

Custom python scripts were then used to generate normalized coverage tracks over the

mitogenomes.

Estimation of dN/dS, D_n, D_s, P_n, P_s, and π_n/π_s

dN/dS was estimated either across the phylogeny using CODEML, PAML (YANG 2007; version 4.9a) and for the *P. aurelia* species it was also estimated pairwise w.r.t the closest outgroup species using yn00, PAML. π_n/π_s was obtained for all protein coding genes in the 4 species- *P. tetraurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, *P. caudatum*, and *P. multimicronucleatum*, where total number of changes in synonymous sites was greater than 1. This filter was executed in order to reduce errors in π_n/π_s due to very low values of synonymous polymorphisms in a gene.

D_n and D_s, the number of nonsynonymous and synonymous changes, were inferred by performing ancestral reconstruction at each site, and then counting branching-specific substitutions. Ancestral reconstruction (PAML, baseml, GTR model) was conducted over the phylogeny of all available taxa. For comparing statistics between mitochondrial and nuclear genes, the ancestral reconstruction was performed over the same set of taxa for both, i.e. over *P. tetraurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, *P. caudatum*, and *P. multimicronucleatum*. For all analyses that involved ancestral reconstruction, only sites whose posterior probability of the inferred ancestral state ≥ 0.85 were used in the analyses—this filter was imposed for counting synonymous and nonsynonymous polymorphisms (P_s and P_n, respectively) as well as divergent sites (D_n and D_s). There may be some concern that ancestral reconstruction could end up biasing the ratio of D_n/D_s as many more changes at synonymous sites might result in lower confidence in inferring ancestral states at synonymous but not nonsynonymous sites. Such a bias

would increase D_n relative to D_s and thus decrease values of NI. Therefore, all analyses

involving D_n and D_s were also performed including all sites, with no filter, and results

remained unchanged.

Calculation of multiple estimators of Neutrality Index (NI) and statistical tests

Several estimators of neutrality index have been proposed in order to counter different biases.

The estimators we used were calculated as follows:

Simple neutrality index, $NI = P_n/P_s/D_n/D_s$ (RAND and KANN 1996).

$NI_\pi = \pi_n/\pi_s/d_N/d_S$, where d_N/d_S was calculated pairwise, w.r.t closest outgroup species

(BETANCOURT *et al.* 2012).

$NI_{TG} = \Sigma_i[D_{si}.P_{ni}/(P_{si} + D_{si})] / \Sigma_i [P_{si}.D_{ni}/(P_{si} + D_{si})]$, where i is the ith gene (TARONE 1981;

GREENLAND 1982b).

$NI_{DoS} = D_n/(D_n+D_s) - P_n/(P_n+P_s)$ (STOLETZKI and EYRE-WALKER 2011).

For McDonald Kreitman test (MCDONALD and KREITMAN 1991)**,** Fisher's exact test in R (R-

CORE-TEAM 2014) was used to test significance. In all cases p value was corrected by

Bonferonni-Holm method (HOLM 1979) for multiple tests, using R.

Mutation spectrum from mutation accumulation lines

MA line experiments for *P. tetraurelia* had previously been published (SUNG *et al.* 2012) and

results from their analysis were used directly in this study.

MA experiments to obtain nuclear mutation rates in *P. biaurelia* and *P. sexaurelia* are currently

unpublished (LONG *et al.* 2018a). Data from their analysis were used to obtain mitochondrial

mutations as follows:

Sequencing reads were assembled for each MA line individually and mitochondrial contigs

identified as described above. A composite consensus mitogenome sequence was then created

from the individual assemblies by creating multiple sequence alignments of all mitochondrial

contigs using MAFFT (KATOH and STANDLEY 2013; version 7.221) and retaining the most

frequent base for each alignment column (with the exception of telomeres, which were

manually curated). Reads were then aligned in a 2×100bp format against a combined Bowtie

index, containing a combination of the nuclear and consensus mitochondrial genomes, allowing

for up to 3 mismatches and retaining only unique reads. Putative mutations were identified by

requiring that any variant is supported by at least 3 non-redundant read pairs on each strand, by

not more than 4 times more reads on one strand than on the other, and is also observed in ≥5%

of reads covering a given position were included. Telomeric sequences were excluded due to an

excessively high number of sequence variants observed in those regions. Mutation rate of A/T

→ G/C (u) and G/C → A/T (v) mutations was calculated as follows:

u = (number of A/T → G/C mutations) / (total number of utilizable A/T sites)

v = (number of G/C → A/T mutations) / (total number of utilizable G/C sites)

Mutation spectrum from population genomics data

For each SNP, the ancestral allele was inferred by performing ancestral reconstruction on the

13-taxa phylogeny to predict the nucleotides on internal nodes (see above). The ancestral allele

was used to infer the derived allele segregating in *P. tetraurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, *P. caudatum* and

P. multimicronucleatum. This analysis was restricted to sites where the ancestral nucleotide was

inferred with confidence score >= 0.90, where the derived allele was present in only a single

individual, i.e. was a singleton, and was at 4-fold degenerate site. Of these, we counted all

mutations that were from G/C to A/T or from A/T to G/C, with respect to the total number of

utilizable sites that were counted according to the same criteria as above. Care was also taken to

remove all sites that were part of overlapping ORFs.

Recombination analyses

All analyses to detect recombination were restricted to SNPs that were biallelic, homozygous,

and had a known ancestral state. The statistic (r^2) to measure linkage disequilibrium was

calculated as $r^2 = (f_{Aa} - f_A \times f_a)^2 / [f_A \times f_a \times (1-f_A) \times (1-f_a)]$. The program "pairwise" in LDhat 2.2

(MCVEAN *et al.* 2002) was used to infer recombination rates using the permuted composite

likelihood test as well other permutation tests. These tests were performed under both the gene

conversion (average tract length: 500) and cross-over models with 2 values of θ ($= 4N_e\mu$) for

each species: the closest allowed θ value lower than that estimated from nucleotide diversity

values, and the closest higher value.

Identifying nuclear genes belonging to Oxidative Phosphorylation pathway and Ribosomal

complex

KEGG (KANEHISA *et al.* 2017) was used to obtain the full list of genes that are part of complexes

involved in Oxidative Phosphorylation (Complexes I-V) for *P. tetraurelia*. A total number of 87

genes in *P. tetraurelia* were obtained, and their corresponding orthologs were identified in other

species. For genes encoding proteins that are part of the ribosomal complex, we used the

PANTHER (MI *et al.* 2017) annotation obtained in a previous study (MCGRATH *et al.* 2014b) for

all species and selected all genes that were structural constituents of ribosome. This allowed us to

start with a set of 585 genes in *P. tetraurelia*, 564 in *P. sexaurelia*, and 213 genes in *P.*

caudatum. However, analyses requiring orthologs from all 3 species were conducted with a

smaller subset of genes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

We thank Jean-Francois Gout to provide us with DNA sequences, as well as for a critical reading

of the manuscript. We thank Hongan Long for providing us with sequences from *P. biaurelia*

and *P. sexaurelia* nuclear mutation-accumulation experiments. This work was financially

supported by the National Science Foundation (MCB-1050161 and DEB-1257806).

DATA AVAILABILITY:

Mitochondrial genome assemblies will be made publicly available upon acceptance of the

manuscript. Supplementary material is available at FigShare.

REFERENCES

ALTSCHUL, S. F., T. L. MADDEN, A. A. SCHAFFER, J. ZHANG, Z. ZHANG *et al.*, 1997 Gapped
BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic
Acids Res **25:** 3389-3402.
BAILEY, T. L., M. BODEN, F. A. BUSKE, M. FRITH, C. E. GRANT *et al.*, 2009 MEME SUITE: tools
for motif discovery and searching. Nucleic Acids Res **37:** W202-208.
BALABASKARAN NINA, P., N. V. DUDKINA, L. A. KANE, J. E. VAN EYK, E. J. BOEKEMA *et al.*,
2010 Highly divergent mitochondrial ATP synthase complexes in *Tetrahymena*
thermophila. PLoS Biol **8:** e1000418.

BALLARD, J. W., and M. C. WHITLOCK, 2004 The incomplete natural history of mitochondria.
Mol Ecol **13:** 729-744.
BANKEVICH, A., S. NURK, D. ANTIPOV, A. A. GUREVICH, M. DVORKIN *et al.*, 2012 SPAdes: a
new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput
Biol **19:** 455-477.
BARR, C. M., M. NEIMAN and D. R. TAYLOR, 2005 Inheritance and recombination of
mitochondrial genomes in plants, fungi and animals. New Phytol **168:** 39-50.
BARTH, D., and T. U. BERENDONK, 2011 The mitochondrial genome sequence of the ciliate
Paramecium caudatum reveals a shift in nucleotide composition and codon usage within
the genus *Paramecium*. BMC Genomics **12:** 272.
BARTH, D., E. PRZYBOS, S. I. FOKIN, M. SCHLEGEL and T. U. BERENDONK, 2008 Cytochrome b
sequence data suggest rapid speciation within the *Paramecium aurelia* species complex.
Mol Phylogenet Evol **49:** 669-673.
BETANCOURT, A. J., B. BLANCO-MARTIN and B. CHARLESWORTH, 2012 The relation between the
neutrality index for mitochondrial genes and the distribution of mutational effects on
fitness. Evolution **66:** 2427-2438.
BOLGER, A. M., M. LOHSE and B. USADEL, 2014 Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. Bioinformatics **30:** 2114-2120.
BORZA, T., E. K. REDMOND, M. LAFLAMME and R. W. LEE, 2009 Mitochondrial DNA in the
Oogamochlamys clade (*Chlorophyceae*): high Gc content and unique genome
architecture for Green algae(1). J Phycol **45:** 1323-1334.
BURGER, G., L. FORGET, Y. ZHU, M. W. GRAY and B. F. LANG, 2003 Unique mitochondrial
genome architecture in unicellular relatives of animals. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **100:**
892-897.
BURGER, G., S. MOREIRA and M. VALACH, 2016 Genes in Hiding. Trends Genet **32:** 553-565.
BURGER, G., Y. ZHU, T. G. LITTLEJOHN, S. J. GREENWOOD, M. N. SCHNARE *et al.*, 2000
Complete sequence of the mitochondrial genome of *Tetrahymena pyriformis* and
comparison with *Paramecium aurelia* mitochondrial DNA. J Mol Biol **297:** 365-380.
COOPER, B. S., C. R. BURRUS, C. JI, M. W. HAHN and K. L. MONTOOTH, 2015 Similar efficacies
of selection shape mitochondrial and nuclear genes in both *Drosophila melanogaster* and
Homo sapiens. G3 **5:** 2165-2176.
COYNE, R. S., L. HANNICK, D. SHANMUGAM, J. B. HOSTETLER, D. BRAMI *et al.*, 2011
Comparative genomics of the pathogenic ciliate *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis*, its free-living
relatives and a host species provide insights into adoption of a parasitic lifestyle and
prospects for disease control. Genome Biol **12:** R100.
DE GRAAF, R. M., G. RICARD, T. A. VAN ALEN, I. DUARTE, B. E. DUTILH *et al.*, 2011 The
organellar genome and metabolic potential of the hydrogen-producing mitochondrion of
Nyctotherus ovalis. Mol Biol Evol **28:** 2379-2391.
DE GRAAF, R. M., T. A. VAN ALEN, B. E. DUTILH, J. W. KUIPER, H. J. VAN ZOGGEL *et al.*, 2009
The mitochondrial genomes of the ciliates *Euplotes minuta* and *Euplotes crassus*. BMC
Genomics **10:** 514.
DENVER, D. R., K. MORRIS, M. LYNCH, L. L. VASSILIEVA and W. K. THOMAS, 2000 High direct
estimate of the mutation rate in the mitochondrial genome of *Caenorhabditis elegans*.
Science **289:** 2342-2344.
EDDY, S. R., 2011 Accelerated profile HMM searches. PLoS Comput Biol **7:** e1002195.
FINN, R. D., A. BATEMAN, J. CLEMENTS, P. COGGILL, R. Y. EBERHARDT *et al.*, 2014 Pfam: the

protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res **42:** D222-230.

FOKIN, S. I., and H. D. GORTZ, 2009 Diversity of *Holospora* bacteria in *Paramecium* and their characterization. Microbiol Monogr **12:** 161-199.

FRITSCH, E. S., C. D. CHABBERT, B. KLAUS and L. M. STEINMETZ, 2014 A genome-wide map of mitochondrial DNA recombination in yeast. Genetics **198:** 755-771.

GODDARD, J. M., and D. J. CUMMINGS, 1975 Structure and replication of mitochondrial DNA from *Paramecium aurelia*. J Mol Biol **97:** 593-609.

GRAY, M. W., 2003 Diversity and evolution of mitochondrial RNA editing systems. IUBMB Life **55:** 227-233.

GREENLAND, S., 1982a Interpretation and estimation of summary ratios under heterogeneity. Stat Med **1:** 217-227.

GREENLAND, S., 1982b Interpretation of summary measures when interaction is present. Am J Epidemiol **116:** 587-587.

GRUCHY, D. F., 1955 The breeding system and distribution of *Tetrahymena pyriformis*. J Protozool **2:** 178-185.

HAAG-LIAUTARD, C., N. COFFEY, D. HOULE, M. LYNCH, B. CHARLESWORTH *et al.*, 2008 Direct estimation of the mitochondrial DNA mutation rate in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS Biol **6:** e204.

HAFT, D. H., J. SELENGUT, E. F. MONGODIN and K. E. NELSON, 2005 A guild of 45 CRISPR-associated (Cas) protein families and multiple CRISPR/Cas subtypes exist in prokaryotic genomes. PLoS Comput Biol **1:** e60.

HAVIRD, J. C., and D. B. SLOAN, 2016 The roles of mutation, selection, and expression in determining relative rates of evolution in mitochondrial versus nuclear genomes. Mol Biol Evol **33:** 3042-3053.

HILL, W. G., and A. ROBERTSON, 1968 Linkage disequilibrium in finite populations. Theor Appl Genet **38:** 226-231.

HOLM, S., 1979 A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. Scand J Stat **6:** 65-70.

HORI, M., I. TOMIKAWA, E. PRZYBOS and M. FUJISHIMA, 2006 Comparison of the evolutionary distances among syngens and sibling species of *Paramecium*. Mol Phylogenet Evol **38:** 697-704.

HOWE, D. K., C. F. BAER and D. R. DENVER, 2010 High rate of large deletions in *Caenorhabditis briggsae* mitochondrial genome mutation processes. Genome Biol Evol **2:** 29-38.

HUDSON, R. R., 2001 Two-locus sampling distributions and their application. Genetics **159:** 1805-1817.

HUDSON, R. R., and N. L. KAPLAN, 1985 Statistical properties of the number of recombination events in the history of a sample of DNA sequences. Genetics **111:** 147-164.

JOHNSTON, I. G., and B. P. WILLIAMS, 2016 Evolutionary inference across eukaryotes identifies specific pressures favoring mitochondrial gene retention. Cell Syst **2:** 101-111.

JOHRI, P., S. KRENEK, G. K. MARINOV, T. G. DOAK, T. U. BERENDONK *et al.*, 2017 Population genomics of *Paramecium* species. Mol Biol Evol **34:** 1194-1216.

KANEHISA, M., M. FURUMICHI, M. TANABE, Y. SATO and K. MORISHIMA, 2017 KEGG: new perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. Nucleic Acids Res **45:** D353-D361.

KATOH, K., and D. M. STANDLEY, 2013 MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol **30:** 772-780.

KIM, D., G. PERTEA, C. TRAPNELL, H. PIMENTEL, R. KELLEY *et al.*, 2013 TopHat2: accurate

alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. Genome Biol **14:** R36.

KOIZUMI, S., and S. KOBAYASHI, 1989 Microinjection of plasmid DNA encoding the A surface antigen of *Paramecium tetraurelia* restores the ability to regenerate a wild-type macronucleus. Mol Cell Biol **9:** 4398-4401.

KONRAD, A., O. THOMPSON, R. H. WATERSTON, D. G. MOERMAN, P. D. KEIGHTLEY *et al.*, 2017 Mitochondrial mutation rate, spectrum and heteroplasmy in *Caenorhabditis elegans* spontaneous mutation accumulation lines of differing population size. Mol Biol Evol **34:** 1319-1334.

LANGMEAD, B., C. TRAPNELL, M. POP and S. L. SALZBERG, 2009 Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biol **10:** R25.

LONG, H., T. G. DOAK and M. LYNCH, 2018a Consistently low base-substitution mutation rates within the *Paramecium aurelia* species complex.

LONG, H., W. SUNG, S. KUCUKYILDIRIM, E. WILLIAMS, S. F. MILLER *et al.*, 2018b Evolutionary determinants of genome-wide nucleotide composition. Nature ecology & evolution.

LYNCH, M., 1996 Mutation accumulation in transfer RNAs: molecular evidence for Muller's ratchet in mitochondrial genomes. Mol Biol Evol **13:** 209-220.

LYNCH, M., 1997 Mutation accumulation in nuclear, organelle, and prokaryotic transfer RNA genes. Mol Biol Evol **14:** 914-925.

LYNCH, M., 2007 *The origins of genome architecture*. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland (MA).

LYNCH, M., and J. L. BLANCHARD, 1998 Deleterious mutation accumulation in organelle genomes. Genetica **102-103:** 29-39.

LYNCH, M., B. KOSKELLA and S. SCHAACK, 2006 Mutation pressure and the evolution of organelle genomic architecture. Science **311:** 1727-1730.

LYNCH, M., W. SUNG, K. MORRIS, N. COFFEY, C. R. LANDRY *et al.*, 2008 A genome-wide view of the spectrum of spontaneous mutations in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **105:** 9272-9277.

MACKENZIE, S. A., 2007 The unique biology of mitochondrial genome instability in plants, pp. 36–46 in *Plant Mitochondria*, edited by D. LOGAN. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford.

MCDONALD, J. H., and M. KREITMAN, 1991 Adaptive protein evolution at the Adh locus in *Drosophila*. Nature **351:** 652-654.

MCGRATH, C. L., J. F. GOUT, T. G. DOAK, A. YANAGI and M. LYNCH, 2014a Insights into three whole-genome duplications gleaned from the *Paramecium caudatum* genome sequence. Genetics **197:** 1417-1428.

MCGRATH, C. L., J. F. GOUT, P. JOHRI, T. G. DOAK and M. LYNCH, 2014b Differential retention and divergent resolution of duplicate genes following whole-genome duplication. Genome Res **24:** 1665-1675.

MCVEAN, G., P. AWADALLA and P. FEARNHEAD, 2002 A coalescent-based method for detecting and estimating recombination from gene sequences. Genetics **160:** 1231-1241.

MEUNIER, J., and A. EYRE-WALKER, 2001 The correlation between linkage disequilibrium and distance: implications for recombination in hominid mitochondria. Mol Biol Evol **18:** 2132-2135.

MEYER, E., and O. GARNIER, 2002 Non-Mendelian inheritance and homology-dependent effects in ciliates. Advances in genetics **46:** 305-337.

MI, H., X. HUANG, A. MURUGANUJAN, H. TANG, C. MILLS *et al.*, 2017 PANTHER version 11: expanded annotation data from Gene Ontology and Reactome pathways, and data

analysis tool enhancements. Nucleic Acids Res **45:** D183-D189.

MONTOOTH, K. L., and D. M. RAND, 2008 The spectrum of mitochondrial mutation differs across species. PLoS Biol **6:** e213.

MORADIAN, M. M., D. BEGLARYAN, J. M. SKOZYLAS and V. KERIKORIAN, 2007 Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of three *Tetrahymena* species reveals mutation hot spots and accelerated nonsynonymous substitutions in Ymf genes. PLoS ONE **2:** e650.

MORIN, G. B., and T. R. CECH, 1988 Mitochondrial telomeres: surprising diversity of repeated telomeric DNA sequences among six species of *Tetrahymena*. Cell **52:** 367-374.

NAWROCKI, E. P., D. L. KOLBE and S. R. EDDY, 2009 Infernal 1.0: inference of RNA alignments. Bioinformatics **25:** 1335-1337.

NEIMAN, M., and D. R. TAYLOR, 2009 The causes of mutation accumulation in mitochondrial genomes. Proc. R. Soc. B **276:** 1201-1209.

ORR, A. T., J. C. RABETS, T. L. HORTON and L. F. LANDWEBER, 1997 RNA editing missing in mitochondria. Rna **3:** 335-336.

PIGANEAU, G., and A. EYRE-WALKER, 2004 A reanalysis of the indirect evidence for recombination in human mitochondrial DNA. Heredity **92:** 282-288.

POMBERT, J.-F., A. SMIRNOV, E. R. JAMES, J. JANOUŠKOVEC, M. W. GRAY *et al.*, 2013 The complete mitochondrial genome from an unidentified *Phalansterium* species. Protist Genomics **1:** 25-32.

POPADIN, K. Y., S. I. NIKOLAEV, T. JUNIER, M. BARANOVA and S. E. ANTONARAKIS, 2013 Purifying selection in mammalian mitochondrial protein-coding genes is highly effective and congruent with evolution of nuclear genes. Mol Biol Evol **30:** 347-355.

PREER, L. B., 1969 Alpha, an infectious macronuclear symbiont of *Paramecium aurelia*. J Protozool **16:** 570-578.

PRICE, C. A., and E. M. REARDON, 2001 Mendel, a database of nomenclature for sequenced plant genes. Nucleic Acids Res **29:** 118-119.

R-CORE-TEAM, 2014 R: A language and environment for statistical computing. **R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.**

RAND, D. M., and L. M. KANN, 1996 Excess amino acid polymorphism in mitochondrial DNA: contrasts among genes from *Drosophila*, mice, and humans. Mol Biol Evol **13:** 735-748.

SCHATTNER, P., A. N. BROOKS and T. M. LOWE, 2005 The tRNAscan-SE, snoscan and snoGPS web servers for the detection of tRNAs and snoRNAs. Nucleic Acids Res **33:** W686-689.

SKIPPINGTON, E., T. J. BARKMAN, D. W. RICE and J. D. PALMER, 2017 Comparative mitogenomics indicates respiratory competence in parasitic Viscum despite loss of complex I and extreme sequence divergence, and reveals horizontal gene transfer and remarkable variation in genome size. BMC Plant Biol **17:** 49.

SLABODNICK, M. M., J. G. RUBY, S. B. REIFF, E. C. SWART, S. GOSAI *et al.*, 2017 The macronuclear genome of *Stentor coeruleus* reveals tiny introns in a giant cell. Current biology : CB **27:** 569-575.

SMITH, D. R., 2012 Updating our view of organelle genome nucleotide landscape. Front Genet **3:** 175.

SMITH, D. R., 2016 The past, present and future of mitochondrial genomics: have we sequenced enough mtDNAs? Brief Funct Genomics **15:** 47-54.

SMITH, D. R., and P. J. KEELING, 2015 Mitochondrial and plastid genome architecture: Reoccurring themes, but significant differences at the extremes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **112:** 10177-10184.

SODING, J., A. BIEGERT and A. N. LUPAS, 2005 The HHpred interactive server for protein homology detection and structure prediction. Nucleic Acids Res **33:** W244-248.

SONNEBORN, T. M., 1937 Sex, sex inheritance and sex determination in *Paramecium aurelia*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **23:** 378-385.

SONNEBORN, T. M., 1975 *Paramecium aurelia* complex of 14 sibling species. Trans Am Microsc Soc **94:** 155-178.

STADLER, T., and L. F. DELPH, 2002 Ancient mitochondrial haplotypes and evidence for intragenic recombination in a gynodioecious plant. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **99:** 11730-11735.

STEWART, J. B., C. FREYER, J. L. ELSON, A. WREDENBERG, Z. CANSU *et al.*, 2008 Strong purifying selection in transmission of mammalian mitochondrial DNA. PLoS Biol **6:** e10.

STEWART, J. B., and N. G. LARSSON, 2014 Keeping mtDNA in shape between generations. PLoS Genet **10:** e1004670.

STOLETZKI, N., and A. EYRE-WALKER, 2011 Estimation of the neutrality index. Mol Biol Evol **28:** 63-70.

SUEOKA, N., 1993 Directional mutation pressure, mutator mutations, and dynamics of molecular evolution. J Mol Evol **37:** 137-153.

SUNG, W., A. E. TUCKER, T. G. DOAK, E. CHOI, W. K. THOMAS *et al.*, 2012 Extraordinary genome stability in the ciliate *Paramecium tetraurelia*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **109:** 19339-19344.

SWART, E. C., M. NOWACKI, J. SHUM, H. STILES, B. P. HIGGINS *et al.*, 2012 The *Oxytricha trifallax* mitochondrial genome. Genome Biol Evol **4:** 136-154.

TARCZ, S., A. POTEKHIN, M. RAUTIAN and E. PRZYBOS, 2012 Variation in ribosomal and mitochondrial DNA sequences demonstrates the existence of intraspecific groups in *Paramecium multimicronucleatum* (Ciliophora, Oligohymenophorea). Mol Phylogenet Evol **63:** 500-509.

TARONE, R. E., 1981 On summary estimators of relative risk. J Chronic Dis **34:** 463-468.

TSUKIHARA, T., H. AOYAMA, E. YAMASHITA, T. TOMIZAKI, H. YAMAGUCHI *et al.*, 1996 The whole structure of the 13-subunit oxidized cytochrome c oxidase at 2.8 A. Science **272:** 1136-1144.

VLCEK, C., W. MARANDE, S. TEIJEIRO, J. LUKES and G. BURGER, 2011 Systematically fragmented genes in a multipartite mitochondrial genome. Nucleic Acids Res **39:** 979-988.

WAI, T., D. TEOLI and E. A. SHOUBRIDGE, 2008 The mitochondrial DNA genetic bottleneck results from replication of a subpopulation of genomes. Nat Genet **40:** 1484-1488.

WAKELEY, J., 1997 Using the variance of pairwise differences to estimate the recombination rate. Genet Res **69:** 45-48.

WEINREICH, D. M., and D. M. RAND, 2000 Contrasting patterns of nonneutral evolution in proteins encoded in nuclear and mitochondrial genomes. Genetics **156:** 385-399.

XU, S., S. SCHAACK, A. SEYFERT, E. CHOI, M. LYNCH *et al.*, 2012 High mutation rates in the mitochondrial genomes of Daphnia pulex. Mol Biol Evol **29:** 763-769.

YANG, Z., 2007 PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Mol Biol Evol **24:** 1586-1591.

ZHANG, F., and R. E. BROUGHTON, 2013 Mitochondrial-nuclear interactions: compensatory evolution or variable functional constraint among vertebrate oxidative phosphorylation genes? Genome Biol Evol **5:** 1781-1791.

FIGURES and TABLES

Figure 1: Mitochondrial phylogeny and change in nucleotide composition across *Paramecium* species. The species in green belong to the *P. aurelia* complex. Numbers on branches indicate total number of substitutions per site. Black solid circles show the inferred event of loss of the ribosomal protein *rpS19*. The numbers on the right show the total assembly size (in bp) and mean GC content of the whole mitochondrial genome (Total), at 4-fold degenerate sites, at intergenic regions, and of tRNAs respectively. Starred (*) numbers indicate incomplete assembly of mitochondrial genomes.

Figure 2: Structure of mitochondrial genomes in *Paramecium*. All *Paramecium* mitochondrial genomes are linear with 24 protein-coding genes (shown in reddish orange), 2 rRNA genes (yellow), lineage-specific genes referred to as *Ymf* genes (in green) and varying numbers and content of tRNA genes (shown in light blue).

AA	Anticodon	*Tetrahymena* species	*P. multimicronucleatum* (reference)	*P. multimicronucleatum* (strain: M05)	*P. multimicronucleatum* (strain: M13)	*P. multimicronucleatum-Peniche3I*	*P. caudatum* (reference)	*P. caudatum* (strain: C065)	*P. caudatum* (strain: C083)	*P. caudatum-C026*	*Paramecium aurelia* species
C	GCA				1	1					
E	TTC	1	1			1	1				
F	AAA		3	2	3	2	1	1	3	3	
F	GAA	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
I	AAT				1					1	
I	GAT		2	3							
I	TAT		2	1		1	1		1	1	
K	TTT		4	11	6	5	4	4	2	2	
H	GTG	1									
L	CAA		2	2	1						
L	TAA	2	5	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	
L	TAG				1	1					
Met	CAT	1									
N	ATT					1					
N	GTT				1	1					
Q	TTC		1	1				1			
R	TCT		2	3	1	2					
S	AGA								1		
S	TGA						1	1			
W (SeC)	TCA	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1
Sup	CTA		1	1	1		1	1			
Sup	TTA		4	3		6	2	2	2	1	
T	AGT		1	1	1						
T	GTA										
T	TGT		1	2	1					1	
Undet	Undet				1				1		
V	AAC				1	1	2	1	1		
V	TAC		1			1			1		
Y	ATA		1	1		1				1	
Y	GTA	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1

Figure 3: tRNA content variation in *P. caudatum* and *P. multimicronucleatum* strains. tRNAs shaded in grey are present in all *Paramecium* and *Tetrahymena* species. tRNAs whose anticodons were ambiguous are displayed as "Undet".

Table 1: Mutation bias towards AT (*m*) in the mitochondrial genomes of *Paramecium* and other species, where *v* and *u* are mutation rates from G/C to A/T, and A/T to G/C respectively. Values of *m* less than 1 indicate that mutation spectrum is biased towards G/C. $S = 4N_e s$ (or $2N_e s$) for diploids (or haploids) represents the strength of selection favoring A/T composition, where negative values of S represent selection favoring G/C. S is calculated using the Bulmer equation, $P_{AT} = 1/(1 + me^{-S})$, where P_{AT} is the fraction of AT nucleotides.

Species	Type of study	Total number of base-substitution mutations or SNPs	AT mutation bias ($m = v/u$)	Expected equili-brium GC content [1/(1+*m*)]	Whole-genome GC content	4-fold site GC content	S (avg)	S (4-fold)
P. tetraurelia[1]	MA	Not known	0.88	0.53	0.42	0.54	0.197	-0.013
P. tetraurelia	population	37	0.95	0.51	0.42	0.54	0.163	-0.047
P. biaurelia	MA	55	0.79	0.56	0.40	0.51	0.278	0.085
P. sexaurelia	MA	87	0.49	0.67	0.39	0.47	0.504	0.368
P. sexaurelia	population	112	1.56	0.39	0.39	0.47	0.000	-0.137
P. caudatum	population	96	5.02	0.17	0.22	0.07	-0.151	0.397
Other species from previous studies:								
S. cerevisiae[2]	MA	13	0.00	1.00	0.17	0.08	>> 0	>> 0
Caenorhabditis elegans[3,4]	MA	25	3.79	0.21	0.24	0.14	-0.073	0.224
Daphnia pulex[5]	MA	6	2.48	0.29	0.38	0.30	-0.182	-0.026
Caenorhabditis briggsae[6]	MA	15	18.10	0.05	0.25	0.14	-0.780	-0.451
Drosophila melanogaster[7]	MA	28	381.25	0.00	0.18	0.06	-1.923	-1.386

1: Sung et al., 2012; **2**: Lynch et al., 2008; **3**: Denver et al., 2000; **4**: Konrad et al., 2017; **5:** Xu et al., 2012; **6:** Howe et al., 2010; **7:** Haag-Liautard et al., 2008

Table 2: Neutrality index (NI) of mitochondrial and nuclear genes, calculated using multiple statistics. All p values represent comparisons between nuclear and mitochondrial NIs within the same species. Statistically significant scores ($p < 0.05$) are underlined.

	P. tetraurelia	*P. sexaurelia*	*P. caudatum*
NI [$(P_N/P_S)/(D_N/D_S)$]			
nuclear	2.145	0.810	0.314
mitochondrial	1.528	0.351	0.324
mitochondrial excluding Ymf	1.855	0.430	0.281
p	0.272	0.005	0.141
p (excluding Ymf)	0.817	0.007	0.047
NI DoS [$(D_N/(D_N + D_S)) - (P_N/(P_N + P_S))$]			
nuclear	-0.041	0.125	0.286
mitochondrial	0.026	0.268	0.373
mitochondrial excluding Ymf	0.024	0.229	0.428
p	0.272	0.001	0.260
p (excluding Ymf)	0.738	0.272	0.094
NIπ [$(\pi_N/\pi_S)/(d_N/d_S)$]			
nuclear	4.018	4.639	-
mitochondrial	2.294	2.353	-
mitochondrial excluding Ymf	2.495	3.419	-
p	0.124	3.52×10^{-10}	-
p (excluding Ymf)	0.537	2.89×10^{-5}	-

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1: Mitochondrial phylogeny of the Paramecium genus including individual isolates within each species. The phylogeny was built using RAxML (version 8.2.11), under the substitution model GTRGAMMA. Numbers on branches indicate total number of substitutions per site.

Supplementary Figure 2: Mitochondrial genomes of isolates belonging to the *P. caudatum* and *P. multimicronucleatum* outgroup lineages. Red: protein coding genes; white: novel predicted ORFs longer than 100aa; yellow: ribosomal RNAs; blue: tRNAs. Top layers: forward-strand genes; bottom layers: reverse-strand genes.

Supplementary Figure 3: Mitochondrial genomes of isolates belonging to the *P. aurelia* species complexes (except for *P. sexaurelia*, shown in the next figure). Red: protein coding genes; white: novel predicted ORFs longer than 100aa; yellow: ribosomal RNAs; blue: tRNAs. Top layers: forward-strand genes; bottom layers: reverse-strand genes.

Supplementary Figure 4: Mitochondrial genomes of isolates belonging to *P. sexaurelia*. Red: protein coding genes; white: novel predicted ORFs longer than 100aa; yellow: ribosomal RNAs; blue: tRNAs. Top layers: forward-strand genes; bottom layers: reverse-strand genes.

Supplementary Figure 5: Raw mitogenome assembly and sequencing read coverage for *P. novaurelia*. An ~19kb 3′ extension is present in the raw assembly. However, read coverage over that region is significantly higher than what is observed over the rest of the mitochondrial genome, thus the two regions most likely exist in different copy numbers and the extension might be the result of missassembly. See also Figure 3. Raw sequencing reads were mapped to the assembled mitogenome using Bowtie (Langmead et al. 2009).

Supplementary Figure 6: Raw mitogenome assembly and sequencing read coverage for *P. quadecaurelia*. An small 5′ extension is present in the raw assembly. However, read coverage over that region is very low relative to what is observed over the rest of the mitochondrial genome, thus the extension is probably be the result of missassembly. See also Figure 3. Raw sequencing reads were mapped to the assembled mitogenome using Bowtie (Langmead et al. 2009).

Supplementary Figure 7: GC content in *P. aurelia* species. Shown is the 100bp average of the GC content along the mitochondrial chromosome.

Supplementary Figure 8: GC content in *P. caudatum* and related species. Shown is the 100bp average of the GC content along the mitochondrial chromosome.

Supplementary Figure 9: GC content in *P. multimicronucleatum* and related species. Shown is the 100bp average of the GC content along the mitochondrial chromosome.

Supplementary Figure 10: Telomeric repeat sequences in the *Paramecium* genus.

Supplementary table — Presence/absence of proteins encoded in mitochondrial genomes in species and individual isolates of species of the *Paramecium* genus.

Protein	M13	Peniche3I	M04	M05	C026	C065	C083	C104	379	USBL-3611	291	99	A	126	127	128	130	131	132	133	134	137	Indo1-7I	Moz13BIII	P. octaurelia	P. novaurelia	P. decaurelia	P. dodecaurelia	P. quadecaurelia	P. jenningsi
			P. multimicronucleatum			*P. caudatum*			*P. biaurelia*		*P. tetraurelia*			*P. sexaurelia*																
atp9	75	75	80	80	78	77	77	77	78	78	72	72	72	136	136	136	136	136	104	104	136	136	136	136	72	136	75	86	80	85
cob	444	444	444	444	457	466	463	466	442	442	442	442	430	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442
cox1	747	709	746	747	757	757	728	757	729	729	746	746	746	729	729	729	729	729	739	739	729	729	729	729	813	729	733	748	728	711
cox2	579	550	582	582	567	584	580	584	567	567	594	594	594	567	591	567	591	591	591	591	591	591	567	567	592	591	567	567	613	567
nadh10	141	141	141	141	157	157	141	157	156	156	156	156	156	178	189	178	189	189	177	177	189	189	178	189	156	156	156	156	156	156
nadh1-a	338	327	325	325	336	323	323	323	328	328	336	336	336	327	352	339	339	339	338	338	339	339	327	339	345	307	330	310	303	326
nadh1-b	63	63	61	61	64	63	63	63	64	64	64	64	64	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	64	64	64	63	63
nadh2	170	170	154	154	191	186	186	191	162	162	166	166	166	173	173	173	173	173	257	257	173	173	173	173	276	162	162	162	205	367
nadh3	nd	nd	nd	nd	134	nd	132	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	145	120	120	120
nadh4	607	512	536	536	512	512	518	512	517	514	534	534	534	514	517	517	517	517	517	517	517	517	517	517	517	517	517	517	512	512
nadh4L	nd	nd	nd	nd	115	nd	115	115	96	113	113	113	78	113	139	113	139	113	139	139	139	113	113	113	113	113	113	113	122	113
nadh5	622	622	627	627	641	641	641	641	638	638	637	637	637	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	648	638	638	638	638	638
nadh6	259	243	266	266	267	318	256	256	230	230	245	245	245	275	249	275	249	275	249	275	275	275	170	275	170	254	238	263	221	262
nadh7	423	423	442	442	411	433	423	433	411	411	400	400	400	411	411	411	411	411	411	411	411	411	411	411	411	411	411	400	411	411
nadh9	nd	nd	nd	nd	223	nd	215	215	270	229	256	256	256	229	269	229	229	229	229	229	249	229	205	229	175	229	218	229	218	229
rpL12	262	262	262	262	223	223	223	223	232	232	265	265	265	232	232	232	232	232	232	232	232	232	232	232	265	232	265	265	356	232
rpL14	150	125	119	119	128	119	119	119	134	134	119	119	119	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	119	119	125	128	119	125
rpL16	168	168	178	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168
orf178/rpL6	181	181	182	182	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181
rpS12	144	144	149	149	156	153	143	153	143	143	139	139	139	143	143	143	143	143	139	139	143	143	143	143	139	174	143	139	157	143
rpS13	237	271	249	249	302	261	261	261	244	244	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241
rpS14	103	103	103	103	103	103	76	103	105	105	102	102	102	103	103	103	103	103	103	103	103	103	103	103	103	105	102	183	115	105
rpS19	68	68	68	68	92	92	92	92	69	69	-	-	-	66	66	66	66	66	-	66	66	66	66	66	-	-	66	-	-	66
rpS3	166	166	165	165	381	368	382	368	127	127	125	125	125	144	144	144	144	144	141	141	144	144	144	144	125	127	146	139	127	127
yejR	120	439	nd	nd	453	190	452	452	432	432	429	429	429	429	429	429	429	429	429	429	429	429	429	429	370	429	370	370	433	429
Ymf56	105	107	94	94	106	106	114	106	82	82	189	189	63	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	146	150	103	146	78	82
Ymf57	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	76	76	93	93	93	77	76	77	77	76	77	77	77	77	77	80	77	80	80	80	80	80
Ymf59	122	122	122	122	128	128	128	128	116	116	113	113	113	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	123	116	116
Ymf61	nd	nd	nd	nd	186	nd	176	227	185	185	228	228	228	182	182	182	182	182	185	185	182	182	182	182	182	182	182	185	185	179
Ymf63	538	540	450	450	642	641	647	641	561	561	563	563	563	561	561	561	561	561	561	561	561	561	561	561	561	561	561	559	559	551
Ymf64/orf234	1,000	863	442	288	1,614	1,742	1,679	1,783	572	572	613	613	613	666	666	666	666	666	666	666	666	666	666	666	557	572	668	613	619	671
Ymf65/orf156	374	365	370	370	371	366	397	367	365	365	423	423	423	365	365	365	365	365	365	365	365	365	365	365	422	365	385	434	365	365
Ymf66	428	428	447	409	427	419	427	427	445	445	445	445	445	466	466	466	466	456	413	413	466	466	466	466	429	413	428	428	398	398
Ymf67	354	354	344	344	354	354	354	354	366	378	348	348	348	355	355	355	355	355	355	355	355	355	355	355	345	345	402	345	362	355
Ymf68	387	387	387	387	395	389	389	389	387	387	387	387	387	387	387	387	387	387	387	387	357	387	387	387	387	387	390	387	387	387
Ymf76/orf105	385	385	405	405	405	405	405	405	61	369	405	405	405	324	321	327	317	321	366	380	310	320	326	324	410	372	453	409	379	441
Ymf78	72	63	73	73	69	73	73	73	72	72	122	122	122	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	72	72	72	70	66	66
Ymf79	78	99	104	104	75	75	75	75	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70
Ymf80	-	89	96	96	90	92	104	90	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89
Ymf83	-	167	172	172	192	172	188	187	166	166	250	250	250	166	166	166	175	166	166	166	175	175	166	175	183	166	233	166	166	250
Ymf84	188	188	188	188	245	186	186	186	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	186	188
orf189	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
orf221	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	97	97	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	92	90	-	129	116	90	-
orf265	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	88	-	-	-	-	-
orf285	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	108	108	108	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
orf314	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	73	73	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
orf78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
newORF	191	191	192	192	191	191	191	186	191	-	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	132	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	-

Supplementary Figure 11: Presence/absence of proteins encoded in mitochondrial genomes in species and individual isolates of species of the *Paramecium* genus. The numbers indicate the length of each protein in amino acids.

mean_dS	bi	tet	sex	oct	nov	dec	dodec	quadec	jenningsi	caudatum	caudatum C026	multimicronucleatum
bi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
tet	1.22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
sex	1.31	1.39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
oct	1.17	0.93	1.42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
nov	1.07	1.18	1.41	1.20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
dec	1.32	0.99	1.27	1.12	1.11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
dodec	1.20	0.94	1.30	1.04	1.23	0.85	-	-	-	-	-	-
quadec	1.19	1.27	1.29	1.20	1.06	1.24	1.21	-	-	-	-	-
jenningsi	1.42	1.38	1.37	1.41	1.26	1.31	1.27	1.28	-	-	-	-
caudatum	2.30	2.56	2.45	2.39	2.77	2.56	2.44	2.62	2.61	-	-	-
caudatum C026	4.86	2.48	2.37	2.53	2.48	2.46	5.05	2.48	2.45	1.36	-	-
multimicronucleatum	2.75	2.69	2.80	2.71	2.85	2.77	2.73	2.71	2.76	7.69	5.12	-
multimicronucleatum Peniche3I	2.67	2.88	2.79	2.57	2.84	2.86	2.69	2.76	2.79	4.95	2.45	1.97

Supplementary Figure 12: Mean pairwise dS between all *Paramecium* species. Species pairs with $dS < 1.0$ are shown in shaded boxes.

Supplementary Figure 13: Conservation and divergence of mitochondrial protein sequences in the _Parame-cium_ genus. Shown is the percent sequence identity for each protein within all species included in this study (A), within the _P. aurelia_ species complex (B), and between the 11 individual _P. sexaurelia_ isolates (C). Only one isolate per species was included in (A) and (B). The whiskers of the box plot correspond to the 5–95 percentile range.

Supplementary Figure 14: Relationship between observed π_n/π_s and pairwise dN/dS with respect to the closest outgroup species. This analysis was performed for all protein-coding genes, with the conserved protein coding genes in black and the divergent *Ymf* genes indicated in red, for *P. tetraurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, *P. caudatum* and *P. multimicronucleatum*. Only genes with $dS < 1.0$ and $\pi_s > 1$, where π_s is the total number of polymorphic sites at synonymous positions, were used in this analysis, resulting in 208 total pairs of observations. This filter was executed in order to reduce errors in π_n/π_s due to very low values of synonymous polymorphisms in a gene.

Supplementary Figure 15: Mitochondrial gene expression in *P. biaurelia*. Shown is polyA+ RNA-seq coverage (in RPM, Read Per Million) over the *P. biaurelia* mitochondrial genome. As the coverage is dominated by rRNA and a few genes, it is shown using the full signal range (top) and a compressed signal range (bottom).

Supplementary Figure 16: Mitochondrial gene expression in *P. sexaurelia*. Shown is polyA+ RNA-seq coverage (in RPM, Read Per Million) over the *P. sexaurelia* mitochondrial genome. As the coverage is dominated by rRNA and a few genes, it is shown using the full signal range (top) and a compressed signal range (bottom).

Supplementary Figure 17: Mitochondrial gene expression in *P. caudatum*. Shown is polyA+ RNA-seq coverage (in RPM, Read Per Million) over the *P. caudatum* mitochondrial genome. As the coverage is dominated by rRNA and a few genes, it is shown using the full signal range (top) and a compressed signal range (bottom).

Supplementary Figure 18: Expression levels of mitochondrial genes in different *Paramecium* species under varying conditions. Expression values S_{gi} for gene g in library i were calculated as follows: $S_{gi} = 100 \times \dfrac{RPKM_{gi}}{\sum_{g \in \text{chrM}} RPKM_{gi}}$

.

Supplementary Figure 19 — Codon usage in *Paramecium* species.

AA	codon	P. multimicronucleatum M13	Peniche3I	M04	M05	C026	P. caudatum C083	C065	C104	P. octaurelia 1038	P. tetraurelia 291	99	A	P. biaurelia 379	USBL-36I	P. sexaurelia 126	128	Indo1-7I	Moz13BIII	127	131	137	130	134	132	133	P. decaurelia 223	P. dodecaurelia 274	P. jenningsi M	P. novaurelia TE	P. quadecaurelia N1A
A	GCA	0.45	0.45	0.44	0.44	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.39	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.20	0.26	0.21	0.22	0.19
	GCC	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.36	0.35	0.36	0.35	0.37	0.38	0.38	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.36	0.36	0.34	0.34
	GCG	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.15
	GCU	0.52	0.52	0.53	0.53	0.55	0.54	0.53	0.54	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.37	0.36	0.35	0.35	0.31	0.29	0.35	0.36	0.32
C	UGC	0.18	0.21	0.20	0.18	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.17	0.67	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.60	0.60	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.53	0.56	0.55	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.63	0.64	0.59	0.72	0.65	0.59	0.57
	UGU	0.82	0.79	0.80	0.82	0.80	0.81	0.81	0.83	0.33	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.40	0.40	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.47	0.44	0.45	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.37	0.36	0.41	0.28	0.35	0.41	0.43
D	GAC	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.17	0.15	0.20	0.17	0.62	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.56	0.55	0.55	0.56	0.55	0.53	0.60	0.59	0.58	0.58	0.58	0.63	0.64	0.55	0.61	0.58	0.61	0.54
	GAU	0.87	0.87	0.88	0.89	0.83	0.85	0.80	0.83	0.38	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.44	0.45	0.45	0.44	0.45	0.47	0.40	0.41	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.37	0.36	0.45	0.39	0.42	0.39	0.46
E	GAA	0.80	0.86	0.93	0.93	0.79	0.85	0.83	0.82	0.39	0.36	0.37	0.37	0.36	0.35	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.40	0.37	0.37	0.38	0.39	0.38	0.37	0.36	0.35	0.30	0.37	0.31	0.37
	GAG	0.20	0.14	0.07	0.07	0.21	0.15	0.17	0.18	0.61	0.64	0.63	0.63	0.64	0.65	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.60	0.63	0.63	0.62	0.61	0.62	0.63	0.64	0.65	0.70	0.63	0.69	0.63
F	UUC	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.34	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.34	0.35	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.32	0.32	0.31
	UUU	0.95	0.96	0.97	0.97	0.94	0.95	0.94	0.95	0.66	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.66	0.65	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.68	0.68	0.69
G	GGA	0.32	0.33	0.38	0.38	0.21	0.23	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.19	0.20
	GGC	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.32	0.33	0.31	0.30	0.31	0.30	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.30	0.31	0.30	0.33	0.34	0.32	0.33
	GGG	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.20	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.25	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.29	0.24	0.20	0.27	0.25
	GGU	0.64	0.61	0.59	0.59	0.72	0.72	0.71	0.69	0.21	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.22	0.21	0.28	0.29	0.28	0.29	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.21	0.21	0.24	0.23	0.23
H	CAC	0.24	0.17	0.12	0.12	0.33	0.25	0.28	0.28	0.60	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.57	0.57	0.59	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.65	0.64	0.65	0.64	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.68	0.61	0.60
	CAU	0.76	0.83	0.88	0.88	0.67	0.75	0.72	0.72	0.40	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.43	0.43	0.41	0.40	0.41	0.37	0.34	0.34	0.35	0.36	0.35	0.36	0.37	0.37	0.38	0.32	0.39	0.40
I	AUA	0.39	0.39	0.40	0.39	0.41	0.42	0.43	0.43	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.26	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.26	0.23	0.23	0.26	0.29	0.25
	AUC	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.39	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.39	0.39	0.38	0.37	0.38	0.38	0.40	0.40	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.41	0.41	0.40	0.40	0.42	0.37	0.36
	AUU	0.58	0.59	0.58	0.59	0.52	0.54	0.52	0.50	0.36	0.34	0.34	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.38	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.34	0.34	0.38	0.37	0.33	0.34	0.39
K	AAA	0.90	0.92	0.96	0.96	0.88	0.91	0.88	0.89	0.47	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.43	0.43	0.49	0.49	0.48	0.50	0.47	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.44	0.45	0.45	0.43	0.42	0.40	0.43
	AAG	0.10	0.08	0.04	0.04	0.12	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.53	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.57	0.57	0.51	0.51	0.52	0.50	0.53	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.56	0.55	0.55	0.57	0.58	0.60	0.57
L	CUA	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.15	0.15	0.11	0.13	0.10
	CUC	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.26	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.27	0.28	0.26	0.27	0.25	0.26	0.24
	CUG	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.10
	CUU	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.27	0.27	0.28	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.23	0.23	0.20	0.20	0.26	0.23	0.25	0.25
	UUA	0.69	0.70	0.75	0.75	0.69	0.73	0.68	0.68	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.20	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.15	0.18	0.15
	UUG	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.16	0.13	0.16
M	AUG	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
N	AAC	0.20	0.21	0.14	0.14	0.25	0.20	0.23	0.24	0.56	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.53	0.54	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.55	0.55	0.56	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.55	0.46	0.67	0.59	0.62
	AAU	0.80	0.79	0.86	0.86	0.75	0.80	0.77	0.76	0.44	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.47	0.46	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.45	0.45	0.44	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.45	0.54	0.33	0.41	0.38
P	CCA	0.49	0.50	0.48	0.48	0.44	0.48	0.43	0.42	0.19	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.18	0.17	0.22	0.18
	CCC	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.42	0.42	0.43	0.41	0.43	0.41	0.45	0.44	0.44	0.43	0.43	0.40	0.40	0.43	0.50	0.45	0.43	0.36
	CCG	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.12	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.17
	CCU	0.48	0.48	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.48	0.48	0.50	0.32	0.30	0.31	0.31	0.33	0.33	0.34	0.35	0.34	0.34	0.32	0.33	0.32	0.33	0.32	0.37	0.36	0.30	0.26	0.32	0.29	0.29
Q	CAA	0.91	0.91	0.93	0.93	0.84	0.88	0.85	0.85	0.57	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.42	0.42	0.54	0.53	0.54	0.53	0.49	0.49	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.56	0.56	0.47	0.47	0.48	0.45	0.40
	CAG	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.16	0.12	0.16	0.15	0.43	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.58	0.58	0.46	0.47	0.46	0.47	0.51	0.51	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.44	0.44	0.53	0.53	0.52	0.55	0.60
R	AGA	0.88	0.86	0.87	0.87	0.73	0.79	0.73	0.76	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.22	0.22	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.20	0.18	0.22	0.20	0.14
	AGG	0.06	0.08	0.04	0.04	0.16	0.10	0.15	0.14	0.36	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.43	0.43	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.50	0.53	0.53	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.49	0.50	0.41	0.40	0.47	0.51	0.37
	CGA	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.12	0.12	0.09	0.08	0.09
	CGC	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.10	0.12	0.18
	CGG	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.03	0.04	0.12
	CGU	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.10
S	AGC	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.08	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.26	0.26	0.24	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.23	0.25	0.24	0.27	0.24
	AGU	0.25	0.28	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.27	0.21	0.21	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.09
	UCA	0.23	0.23	0.28	0.28	0.21	0.22	0.24	0.24	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.11
	UCC	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.18	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.21	0.21	0.18	0.18	0.18
	UCG	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.12	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.10	0.12
	UCU	0.42	0.41	0.42	0.42	0.44	0.43	0.42	0.42	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.23	0.23	0.26	0.25	0.25
T	ACA	0.51	0.49	0.48	0.49	0.50	0.46	0.46	0.47	0.23	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.22	0.21	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.22	0.20
	ACC	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.32	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.34	0.35	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.35	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.34	0.33	0.33	0.35	0.35	0.38	0.38	0.37
	ACG	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.20	0.20	0.17	0.18	0.17	0.18	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.21	0.17	0.20
	ACU	0.45	0.47	0.48	0.48	0.44	0.49	0.46	0.45	0.22	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.25	0.25	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.23	0.23
V	GUA	0.37	0.37	0.40	0.40	0.35	0.37	0.37	0.36	0.25	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.23	0.21	0.22	0.24	0.22
	GUC	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.24	0.26	0.27	0.27	0.22	0.22	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.25	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.23
	GUG	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.18	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.19	0.21	0.19	0.16	0.17
	GUU	0.57	0.57	0.54	0.55	0.58	0.57	0.53	0.54	0.32	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.37	0.37	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.35	0.34	0.32	0.32	0.33	0.35	0.38
W	UGA	0.96	0.95	0.98	0.98	0.95	0.98	0.96	0.97	0.57	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.58	0.58	0.52	0.51	0.53	0.55	0.51	0.50	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.52	0.51	0.52	0.54	0.47	0.45	0.43
	UGG	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.43	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.42	0.42	0.48	0.49	0.47	0.45	0.49	0.50	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.48	0.49	0.48	0.46	0.53	0.55	0.57
Y	UAC	0.19	0.18	0.12	0.12	0.22	0.15	0.20	0.21	0.58	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.57	0.57	0.55	0.54	0.55	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.61	0.63	0.52	0.60	0.59
	UAU	0.81	0.82	0.88	0.88	0.78	0.85	0.80	0.79	0.42	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.43	0.43	0.45	0.46	0.45	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.39	0.37	0.48	0.40	0.41

Supplementary Figure 19: Codon usage in *Paramecium* species. Shown is the frequency of each codon for each amino acid across the *Paramecium* species included in this study.

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1: *dN/dS* **across the** *Paramecium* **phylogeny (sorted by lowest to highest value of** *dN/dS*)**..**

gene	dN/dS
NADH dehydrogenase subunit 10	0.0071
NADH dehydrogenase subunit 1 b	0.0159
NADH dehydrogenase subunit 7	0.0177
ribosomal protein L14	0.0220
apocytochrome b	0.0221
Ymf68	0.0234
NADH dehydrogenase subunit 3	0.0296
NADH dehydrogenase subunit 6	0.0305
cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1	0.0337
NADH dehydrogenase subunit 4	0.0338
Ymf57	0.0342
Ymf80	0.0347
NADH dehydrogenase subunit 1 a	0.0396
ribosomal protein S12	0.0406
cytochrome c oxidase subunit 2	0.0433
ribosomal protein L16	0.0482
ribosomal protein L12	0.0483
Ymf66	0.0491
ribosomal protein L6	0.0522
ribosomal protein S13	0.0544
NADH dehydrogenase subunit 4L	0.0559
NADH dehydrogenase subunit 5	0.0623
NADH dehydrogenase subunit 2	0.0661
Ymf79	0.0663
Ymf56	0.0703
Ymf78	0.0805
ribosomal protein S14	0.0818
Ymf65	0.0894
Ymf61	0.1018
Ymf67	0.1105
NADH dehydrogenase subunit 9	0.1158
Ymf84	0.1200
yejR	0.1241
Ymf59	0.1476
ribosomal protein S3	0.1574
Ymf63	0.1632
ATP synthase F0 subunit 9	0.1781
Ymf83	0.1803
Ymf76	0.1962
Ymf64	0.2154

Supplementary Table 2: List of genes under positive selection in *P. sexaurelia* and *P. caudatum.* All p values are corrected for multiple tests by Holm method.

P. sexaurelia				P. caudatum			
all sites included		Using well conserved sites		all sites included		Using well conserved sites	
gene	p	gene	p	gene	p	gene	p
Ymf64	1.69×10^{-13}	*Cox1*	5.01×10^{-3}	*Ymf63*	5.71×10^{-9}	*Ymf64*	3.48×10^{-7}
Ymf67	2.71×10^{-7}	*Ymf76*	9.49×10^{-3}	*Ymf64*	2.12×10^{-8}		
Ymf63	3.56×10^{-6}	*Ymf63*	2.99×10^{-2}	*rpS3*	2.78×10^{-4}		
Ymf84	1.84×10^{-5}			*Ymf59*	7.79×10^{-3}		
Cox1	2.28×10^{-4}			*Ymf61*	1.18×10^{-2}		
Nadh5	3.58×10^{-4}			*Ymf67*	2.65×10^{-2}		
rpL6	1.91×10^{-3}						
yejR	4.22×10^{-3}						
Ymf65	6.72×10^{-3}						
rpS13	8.23×10^{-3}						
cox2	1.05×10^{-2}						
Ymf76	2.72×10^{-2}						
Ymf61	2.79×10^{-2}						

Supplementary Table 3: Comparison of neutrality indices between the mitochondrial and nuclear genes that encode for proteins that are part of the oxidative phosphorylation pathway and those that form the structural components of the ribosome..

	Oxidative phosphorylation			Ribosomal proteins		
	π_n/π_s	$P_N/P_S/D_N/D_S$	$\pi_n/\pi_s/d_N/d_S$	π_n/π_s	$P_N/P_S/D_N/D_S$	$\pi_n/\pi_s/dN/dS$
P. tetraurelia						
Number of nuclear genes	27	13	14	336	77	88
Mitochondrial	0.127	0.781	2.384	0.062	1.935	2.248
Nuclear	0.200	2.896	6.712	0.092	0.737	1.653
p	0.223	0.285	0.422	0.387	0.352	0.262
P. sexaurelia						
Number of nuclear genes	22	18	16	429	119	138
Mitochondrial	0.124	0.328	5.180	0.035	0.089	0.754
Nuclear	0.146	1.243	7.579	0.158	1.047	3.759
p	0.535	0.444	0.145	0.093	0.124	0.308
P. caudatum						
Number of nuclear genes	12	3	–	422	9	–
Mitochondrial	0.105	0.364	–	0.067	0.051	–
Nuclear	0.133	0.211	–	0.133	0.260	–
p	0.726	0.107	–	0.513	0.650	–

Supplementary Table 4: Neutrality indices (defined in the Methods section) in the mitochondria of *Paramecium* species (divergence estimated using all 13 taxa)

	P. tetraurelia		*P. sexaurelia*		*P. caudatum*	
	NI [SD]	NI_{TG}	NI [SD]	NI_{TG}	NI [SD]	NI_{TG}
All mitochondrial genes	1.521 [2.031]	0.765	0.281 [0.475]	0.213	0.500 [0.592]	0.314
Excluding *Ymf* genes	1.794 [2.515]	0.690	0.339 [0.601]	0.265	0.645 [0.706]	0.403
All mitochondrial genes with $dS < 1.0$	1.379 [1.454]	0.829	0.539 [0.843]	0.371	0.513 [0.618]	0.314
All mitochondrial genes with $dS < 1.0$, excluding *Ymf* genes	1.607 [1.890]	0.715	0.859 [1.211]	0.495	0.674 [0.894]	0.253

Supplementary Table 5: Mitochondrial mutations identified in *P. biaurelia* and *P. sexaurelia* MA experiments

P. biaurelia			*P. sexaurelia*		
position	genome	variant	position	genome	variant
490	C	A	1577	A	G
606	C	A	1645	T	C
2646	T	A	3466	A	T
2887	T	G	3790	A	G
3155	A	G	4674	T	A
3155	A	T	4742	T	A
4779	C	A	4770	G	A
4794	G	A	4847	A	T
4811	T	G	5065	T	A
7442	A	T	5417	A	G
7498	A	G	6096	G	A
7529	T	A	6454	T	A
7728	T	A	6491	T	A
7753	T	A	6635	G	A
7979	T	A	6642	T	A
7998	A	G	6903	A	C
8071	T	A	7007	A	G
8076	T	A	7424	A	T
8077	A	T	7522	G	A
13086	T	A	7538	G	A
13140	A	C	7568	G	A
17709	T	A	7721	T	A
17709	T	C	7734	T	A
17761	T	A	7858	A	C
17787	G	A	8152	T	A
17790	C	A	8172	T	G
17841	T	A	8405	T	C
17841	T	G	8439	A	C
18090	A	T	8620	A	G
22843	T	A	8850	T	A
22843	T	G	9044	G	A
22955	A	T	9955	A	G
26509	T	A	10023	T	C
26657	T	C	10382	A	G
26668	A	G	10444	A	G
26702	T	A	10919	T	A
26703	T	A	11283	T	A
26744	C	A	11900	T	A

Continued on next page

P. biaurelia			P. sexaurelia		
position	genome	variant	position	genome	variant
26766	G	A	12902	A	G
26768	T	A	13649	T	C
28321	T	A	15256	T	A
28378	T	A	15771	A	T
28498	T	G	15786	T	C
32849	T	A	15799	A	G
32849	T	G	16239	A	G
33094	T	G	16394	T	C
33096	T	G	17103	A	T
33139	A	G	18194	A	G
35080	T	C	19729	T	G
35485	T	A	20044	T	G
35512	A	G	20398	A	G
35564	T	C	20529	T	C
35723	C	T	21060	A	G
37061	G	A	21204	A	T
37104	T	G	21423	A	T
			21615	A	T
			22111	T	C
			23241	A	G
			24268	C	T
			24806	A	G
			25196	T	C
			25199	A	C
			25227	A	G
			25290	T	A
			25347	T	A
			26548	T	A
			26672	T	G
			26934	T	A
			27174	T	C
			27714	T	G
			27924	A	C
			29490	A	T
			30667	T	C
			31217	C	T
			31870	A	G
			32870	T	G
			33090	T	A
			33794	A	G
			33800	T	G
			34300	C	T
			34334	G	A
			34348	G	A
			34736	T	A
			35045	T	A
			35700	C	T
			36667	T	A
			38460	T	A

Supplementary References

Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. 2009. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol* **10**(3):R25.

Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**(9):1312–1313.