1 **TITLE:** Population genetics of *Paramecium* mitochondrial genomes: recombination,
2 mutational spectrum, and efficacy of selection.
3
4

AUTHORS: Parul Johri[*,**,1], Georgi K. Marinov[*,‡,**], Thomas G. Doak[*,†], and Michael
Lynch[*,§]

[*]Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, United States

[†]National Center for Genome Analysis Support, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN
47405, United States

[‡]Current address: Department of Genetics, Stanford University School of Medicine,
Stanford, CA 94305, United States

[§]The Biodesign Center for Mechanisms of Evolution, Arizona State University, Tempe,
AZ 85287, United States

14
15 [**]These authors contributed equally to this work
16
17
18
19 [1]**Corresponding author:**
20
Parul Johri
Center for Evolution and Medicine
Life Sciences C, Room 360
427 East Tyler Mall
Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287
(812) 320-0687
pjohri@indiana.edu

43   **RUNNING TITLE**: Evolution of *Paramecium* mitochondrial genomes.
44
KEYWORDS: *Paramecium*, mitochondria, telomeres, recombination, mutation spectrum,

efficacy of purifying selection.

ABSTRACT

The evolution of mitochondrial genomes and their population-genetic environment among

unicellular eukaryotes are understudied. Ciliate mitochondrial genomes exhibit a unique

combination of characteristics, including a linear organization and the presence of multiple

genes with no known function or detectable homologs in other eukaryotes. Here we study

the variation of ciliate mitochondrial genomes both within and across thirteen highly

diverged *Paramecium* species, including multiple species from the *P. aurelia* species

complex, with four outgroup species: *P. caudatum*, *P. multimicronucleatum,* and two strains

that may represent novel related species. We observe extraordinary conservation of gene

order and protein-coding content in *Paramecium* mitochondria across species. In contrast,

significant differences are observed in tRNA content and copy number, which is highly

conserved in species belonging to the *P. aurelia* complex but variable among and even

within the other *Paramecium* species. There is an increase in GC content from ~20% to

~40% on the branch leading to the *P. aurelia* complex. Patterns of polymorphism in

population-genomic data and mutation-accumulation experiments suggest that the increase

in GC content is primarily due to changes in the mutation spectra in the *P. aurelia* species.

Finally, we find no evidence of recombination in *Paramecium* mitochondria and find that

the mitochondrial genome appears to experience either similar or stronger efficacy of

purifying selection than the nucleus.

INTRODUCTION

Mitochondrial genomes have played integral roles in furthering our understanding of relationships among species as well as revealing population structure and demographic history. As a consequence, we have obtained insights into the unique population-genetic properties of mitochondrial genomes. In most species, mitochondria are inherited uniparentally (but see Barr, et al. 2005). Although mitochondrial genomes are known to frequently undergo recombination in plants (Stadler and Delph 2002; Mackenzie 2007) as well as fungi (Fritsch, et al. 2014), no recombination has been detected in animals (Ballard and Whitlock 2004; Piganeau and Eyre-Walker 2004). Because of the unique mode of transmission and lack of recombination in some species, mitochondria have been suggested to have lower effective population sizes than their nuclear counterparts and therefore to accumulate more deleterious mutations (Lynch and Blanchard 1998; Neiman and Taylor 2009). In addition, mitochondrial genomes experience much higher spontaneous rates of mutation than their corresponding nuclear genomes in animals, but exhibit the opposite trend in plants (Lynch, et al. 2006).

Unlike the relatively uniform and conserved properties of metazoan mitochondrial genomes, mitochondrial genomes in unicellular eukaryotes exhibit remarkable variation in genome structure and GC content. Mitochondrial genome structures range from hundreds of short linear segments (0.3-8.3 kb) in the ichtysporean *Amoebidium parasiticum* (Burger, et al. 2003), an opisthokont, to many small (< 10 kb) circular genomes in the diplonemid *Diplonema papillatum* (Vlcek, et al. 2011), an excavate, to a single larger linear or circular chromosome, and a variety of other states (reviewed in Smith and Keeling 2015). In addition to variation in organization,

mitochondria from unicellular lineages display widely diverse GC compositions, ranging from as

low as 10% in some yeast (Smith 2012) to as high as 60% in *Lobochlamys culleus* (Borza, et al.

2009), although most species are AT rich, with an average GC content of 35% (Smith 2012).

Much has been learned about the structure, evolution and population-genetic environment of

mitochondria in the main model systems, especially in plants and metazoans (Lynch 2007; Smith

2016). However, we lack such understanding of mitochondria of the majority of unicellular

eukaryotes, where the bulk of eukaryotic phylogenetic diversity lies. We address this gap by

surveying both within and between-species variation in mitochondrial genomes among multiple

ciliate species belonging to the genus *Paramecium*.

In the large and morphologically and ecologically diverse ciliate lineage, mitochondrial genomes

sampled so far are organized into large linear chromosomes, several tens of kilobases in length,

with telomeres at the ends (Goddard and Cummings 1975; Morin and Cech 1988; Swart, et al.

2012). However, few mitochondrial genomes have until now been fully sequenced among the

ciliates, with two in the *Paramecium* genus (*P. tetraurelia* and *P. caudatum*; (Barth and

Berendonk 2011)), and only a few others: *Tetrahymena pyriformis* (Burger, et al. 2000),

Euplotes minuta and *Euplotes crassus* (de Graaf, et al. 2009), *Oxytricha trifallax* (Swart, et al.

2012), *Stentor coeruleus* (Slabodnick, et al. 2017), *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis* (Coyne, et al.

2011), and the anaerobic ciliate *Nyctotherus ovalis* (de Graaf, et al. 2011).

While the *Paramecium* genus contains a number of distinct morphospecies, it is especially

known for including a species complex consisting of multiple morphologically identical but

sexually isolated species – the *P. aurelia* complex (Sonneborn 1975). Species in the *P. aurelia*

complex are ancient, with the estimated time of divergence for the complex as a whole being on

the order of 300 million years (McGrath, Gout, Johri, et al. 2014), implying that the genus

Paramecium itself is even more ancient. Interestingly, among *Paramecium* species, there is an

increase of GC content in mtDNA in the branch leading to the *P. aurelia* complex (Burger, et al.

2000; Barth and Berendonk 2011), allowing us to study the evolution of nucleotide composition

across mitochondrial genomes that are structurally very similar.

The *Paramecium* species offer a particularly interesting system in which to study the

evolution of mitochondrial genomes because of the unique population-genetic

environment experienced by their cellular organelles. *Paramecium* cells are

mitochondria-rich: each individual cell in *P. aurelia* species is estimated to contain about 5000

mitochondria, with about 8-10 genomes per mitochondrion (Beale and Tait 1981), which is much

larger than in mammalian cells with 1000-2000 mitochondria (Kukat, et al. 2011) and yeast cells

with 20-30 mitochondria per cell (Visser, et al. 1995). In addition, *Paramecium* lineages, like

other ciliate species, possess two nuclei: the polyploid somatic nucleus (called the

macronucleus), which divides amitotically where the bulk of transcriptional activity

occurs, and the diploid germline nucleus (known as the micronucleus), which is

transcriptionally silent, and which undergoes sexual reproduction. All *Paramecium*

species can proliferate asexually for a limited number of generations, after which they

senesce unless they undergo sexual reproduction or conjugation. During asexual

proliferation, *Paramecium* undergoes binary fission during which mitochondria appear to double

in length, replicate their genomes (Perasso and Beisson 1978), and are presumed to be randomly

distributed between the two daughter cells. It therefore appears that mitochondria do not experience any bottleneck during mitotic division.

During conjugation, *Paramecium* cells exhibit cytoplasmic inheritance (Koizumi and Kobayashi 1989), i.e., despite the exchange of micronuclei between the two conjugants there is almost no exchange of cytoplasm and other organelles (reviewed in Meyer and Garnier 2002). Thus, mitochondria are uniparentally inherited. A distinct aspect of *Paramecium* mitochondrial biology is that *Paramecium* mitochondria appear to exist as independent structural units and do not undergo fusion, unlike the constant flux of organelle fusion and fission in other metazoan mitochondrial populations (Kiefel, et al. 2006). Both uniparental inheritance of mitochondria and the absence of fusion in the cytoplasm suggest a lack of recombination among mitochondria genomes.

In this study, we further the understanding of the biology and the population-genetic environment of ciliate mitochondria by presenting the complete mitochondrial genomes of nine species belonging to the *P. aurelia* complex, four outgroup (relative to *P. aurelia*) species, and additional 5-10 isolates for each of four *Paramecium* species. Using phylogenetic and population-genetic analyses, we investigate variation in protein-coding genes, tRNA content, and the forces governing the evolution of nucleotide composition of mitochondrial genomes across the phylogeny. Finally, we estimate the recombination rate across the genome and address the controversy of whether mitochondrial genomes experience reduced purifying selection in comparison to their nuclear counterparts.

RESULTS

Mitochondrial genome sequencing and assembly

We assembled complete mitochondrial genomes of seven species belonging to the *P. aurelia*

complex: *P. biaurelia*, *P. tetraurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, *P. octaurelia*, *P. novaurelia*, *P.*

decaurelia, *P. dodecaurelia*, *P. quadecaurelia*, and *P. jenningsi.* In addition, we analyzed

previously reported complete mitochondrial genomes of *P. tetraurelia and P. sexaurelia*

belonging to the *P. aurelia complex,* as well as four outgroup species: *P. caudatum*, *P.*

caudatum-C026, *P. multimicronucleatum*, and *P. multimicronucleatum-Peniche3I* (Figure 1;

isolates sequenced in Johri et al., 2017). We note that *P. caudatum-C026* and *P.*

multimicronucleatum-Peniche3I were initially sampled as individual isolates belonging to

the *P. caudatum* and *P. multimicronucleatum* species (based on morphological criteria),

respectively. However, the analysis of the mitochondrial sequences revealed that they are

highly diverged from the reference strains (see below) and are therefore almost certainly

separate species and were treated as such in subsequent analyses. For all 7 new

mitochondrial genomes sequenced and assembled in this study, Illumina reads were

assembled using SPAdes (Bankevich, et al. 2012), and mitochondrial contigs were identified

by BLAST searches against the publicly available *P. caudatum* and *P. tetraurelia*

mitochondrial sequences (see the Materials and Methods section for more details).

In addition, we examined sequenced mitochondrial genomes of 10 isolates of both *P.*

tetraurelia and *P. sexaurelia*, and 5 isolates of each *P. caudatum* and *P.*

multimicronucleatum (Supplementary Figure 1) sampled worldwide (Johri, et al. 2017).

Illumina paired-end reads from these isolates were mapped to the assembled reference

genomes and SNPs were called as in Johri, et al. (2017). In this study, all mitochondrial

genomes of individual isolates were also assembled *de novo* (Supplementary Figures 2, 3

and 4) in order to examine large-scale genome organization mapping.

Genome structure, organization and telomeric repeats

All *Paramecium* mitochondrial genomes are linear (Goddard and Cummings 1975; Morin and

Cech 1988; Swart, et al. 2012). Our assemblies show that they are ~40 kb in the *P. aurelia*

species and ~44 kb in *P. caudatum* and *P. caudatum-C026*. Telomeric repeats and gene

content observed at ends of the assembled genomes imply that the full lengths of the linear

contigs have been assembled in most species with the exception of the *P.*

multimicronucleatum and *P. multimicronucleatum* Peniche3I mitocontigs, which appear to

be missing small portions of the 3′ end of the chromosome (Figure 2). The length of the

assembled contigs in *P. multimicronucleatum* and *P. multimicronucleatum-Peniche3I*

suggests an overall size closer to that observed in *P. aurelia* than to the larger mitochondrial

genomes in the *P. caudatum* lineage. We also note that the raw assemblies for two of the *P.*

aurelia species contain extensions (Supplementary Figure 3, 5, and 6). In *P. novaurelia*, an

additional ~18 kb is present at the 5′ end of the mitocontig, while in *P. quadecaurelia,* a

small, ~1 kb extension is seen at the 3′ end. However, the read coverage over these regions

is very different from the rest of the mitocontigs, suggesting either misassembly or

heterogeneity within cell populations. We therefore ignored these extensions in subsequent

analysis. The organization of the genomes is very similar, with gene order preserved almost

perfectly between all species (Figure 2).

We also identified telomeric repeats based on the sequences at the end of assembled

mitocontigs (see the Methods section for more details). In most *P. aurelia* species, we

identify almost identical repeats, with a 23-bp consensus sequence

GCCCTGGTGGCCCTAGAAGCTCC (Figure 3). However, in *P. jenningsi* and *P.*

sexaurelia the telomere repeat motif is the same length, but has 1 nucleotide difference

from the consensus sequence. Of note, these two species are the earliest diverging ones

within the *P. aurelia* complex species included in our analysis. We observed even more

divergent telomeric sequences in *P. caudatum*

(GCCCTGGTAACGCTGGTCGCCCTTTTAAAATA) and *P. multimicronucleatum*

(GCCCTTGTTACACTTGGTGGCTCTTTAAGCTCT). In these species, the core

telomeric repeat sequence has been expanded by an additional 10-bp of sequence not

present in *P. aurelia*. The *Paramecium* core telomeric repeat is broadly similar to that of

Tetrahymena (ACCCTCGTGTCTCTTTA; Figure 3)*,* the other Oligohymenophorean

genus for which mitochondrial genomes are available, but distinct from what is

observed in distantly branching ciliates such as the spirotrichean *Oxytricha trifallax*

(Figure 3).

Examination of existing RNA-seq datasets for several of the species revealed no

evidence for RNA editing in *Paramecium* mitochondria (data not shown), in

concordance with previous reports (Orr, et al. 1997).

Variation in protein coding gene content between and within species

All *Paramecium* mitochondrial genomes contain a core set of 15 genes (Figure 2) involved in electron transport and ATP synthesis (*atp9*, *cob*, *cox1*, *cox2*, *nadh1*, *nadh2*, *nadh3*, *nadh4*, *nadh4L*, *nadh5*, *nadh6*, *nadh7*, nadh9 and *nadh10)*, the heme maturase *YejR*, and 8 ribosomal protein genes (*rpL6*, *rpL12*, *rpL14*, *rpL16*, *rpS3*, *rpS12*, *rpS13*, and *rpS14*). One ribosomal protein subunit *rpS19* was found to be absent from *P. tetraurelia*, *P. octaurelia*, *P. novaurelia*, *P. dodecaurelia*, and *P. quadecaurelia* and therefore appears to have been independently lost from mitochondrial genomes at least 3 times along the *P. aurelia* phylogeny (Figure 1). Remarkably, we also find a presence-absence polymorphism of *rpS19* within *P. sexaurelia* isolates, with the ORF not being identifiable in 2 out of 11 isolates studied (Supplementary Figure 7). Although this might suggest a mitochondrial gene in the process of transfer to the nucleus, the closest hit in the nuclear genome has an E value of 0.27, implying either a complete loss or perhaps transfer to a mitochondrial plasmid, which has not been captured in existing assemblies.

Paramecium genomes also contain 16 ciliate-specific genes of unknown function, called *Ymf* genes (Burger, et al. 2000), named according to the Commission on Plant Gene Nomenclature (Price and Reardon 2001). Each sequenced strain contains all of these genes, with the exception of *P. multimicronucleatum* strain M13 in which *Ymf80* and *Ymf83* appear to have fused with *nadh4* and *Ymf64*, respectively. In addition, several ORFs originally identified in *P. tetraurelia*, are observed in only one or a few additional *P. aurelia* mitochondrial genomes, typically overlapping longer ORFs: *orf189*, *orf285*, and

orf314 restricted to the three *P. tetraurelia* isolates; *orf221* present in *P. tetraurelia*, *P.*

octaurelia and *P. biaurelia*; *orf265* in *P. biaurelia*; and *orf78* found only in *P. tetraurelia*

isolate A.

In addition, we found a previously unidentified ORF larger than 100 amino acids, which

appears to be present in all *Paramecium* mitochondrial genomes and is located at the very 5′

end of the chromosome, immediately before the large ribosomal RNA. This ORF has

homology to the CRISPR-associated endoribonuclease Cas6; its functional significance is

unclear at present. It could potentially represent a case of horizontal gene transfer from

endosymbiotic bacteria (Preer 1969; Fokin and Gortz 2009) often associated with

Paramecium. Sequencing of more ciliate mitochondrial genomes could provide insight into

its origin.

***Paramecium* species are highly diverged and contain cryptic species complexes**

We found most species to be fairly evolutionarily distant from each other, as measured

by the average number of nonsynonymous (*dN*) and synonymous (*dS*) site substitutions

per site (Supplementary Figure 8) between all pairs of species, using yn00, PAML

(Yang 2007; version 4.9a). *P. decaurelia* and *P. dodecaurelia* (average *dS*: 0.85;

average *dN*: 0.05, average total divergence: 0.23) are the closest species pair, followed

by *P. tetraurelia* and *P. octaurelia* (average *dS*: 0.93; average *dN*: 0.09; average total

divergence: 0.27). All other species pairs exhibit on average *dS* > 1.0, i.e., synonymous

sites in protein coding genes have on average undergone more than 1 substitution each

since the time of divergence. We found that mean *dS* between *P. caudatum* and *P.*

caudatum-C026 is ~2.0 (average *dN*: 0.07; average total divergence: 0.28), implying

that these two isolates possibly represent separate species. A similar observation

confirms that *P. multimicronucleatum-Peniche3I* and *P. multimicronucleatum* are

probably separate species (average *dS* = 1.97; average *dN*: 0.10; average total

divergence: 0.26). Our observations suggest the possibility of cryptic species

complexes in both *P. caudatum* and *P. multimicronucleatum*, as previously suspected

(Hori, et al. 2006; Tarcz, et al. 2012). We also note that several additional *P.*

multimicronucleatum isolates most closely related to *P. multimicronucleatum-*

Peniche3I might also be separate species as they are fairly divergent from the reference

strain as well as *P. multimicronucleatum-Peniche3I* (Supplementary Figure 1). The

phenomenon of species complexes containing numerous morphologically identical

species, already known for *P. aurelia* (Sonneborn 1937) and *T. pyriformis* (Gruchy

1955) is therefore probably much more widespread among ciliates than previously

appreciated.

Selective pressures on ciliate-specific mitochondrial genes

The 16 ciliate-specific mitochondrial genes found in all *Paramecium* species have orthologs

in *Tetrahymena* species as well as in *Oxytricha*. Thus, these genes have been preserved for a

long evolutionary time, and yet have diverged sufficiently that no known homologs exist in

well-studied species in other eukaryotic kingdoms. Across the *Paramecium* species, they

appear to be on average faster evolving (Supplementary Figure 9, Supplementary Table 1)

with average *dN/dS* $\cong$ 0.11, in comparison to genes that encode enzyme components of the

respiratory chains (average *dN/dS* $\cong$ 0.04) and ribosomal proteins (average *dN/dS* $\cong$ 0.06).

The *dN/dS* values here were obtained for each gene under the assumption that *dN/dS*

remains constant across the phylogeny using CODEML, PAML (Yang 2007; version

4.9a). Ciliate-specific *Ymf* genes therefore exhibit a relatively higher rate of evolution than

other genes, with as little as 30% sequence identity between *P. aurelia*, *P. caudatum,* and *P.*

multimicronucleatum, similar to observations in *Tetrahymena* (Moradian, et al. 2007), as

well as with higher values of π_n/π_s in *P. tetraurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, *P. caudatum*, and *P.*

multimicronucleatum (Supplementary Figure 10).

The higher rate of evolution of *Ymf* genes can either be explained by relaxed purifying

selection at the sequence level, or recurrent positive selection over long periods of time,

or a combination of both. To distinguish between these possibilities, we performed a

McDonald-Kreitman test (MK test) in the species *P. tetraurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, *P.*

caudatum, and *P. multimicronucleatum*. As most of these species are highly diverged

from each other, we used ancestral reconstruction over the set of all 13 taxa to first infer

ancestral nucleotides for each internal node. The numbers of synonymous (D_S) and

nonsynonymous (D_N) changes were then inferred along each terminal branch leading to

each of the 4 species mentioned above. We tested for positive selection by determining

whether the proportion of nonsynonymous fixed differences relative to synonymous

ones was significantly larger than the proportion of nonsynonymous relative to

synonymous polymorphic differences. None of the genes in *P. tetraurelia* were found to

have undergone positive selection by this criterion (Holm−Bonferroni corrected $p \geq 0.05$

in each case). In *P. sexaurelia*, *cox1*, *Ymf76*, and *Ymf63* are the only genes that showed

significant positive selection, whereas in *P. caudatum*, only *Ymf64* exhibits positive

selection (Supplementary Table 2). With very few SNPs available in *P.*

multimicronucleatum, the MK test was not significant for any gene. Nevertheless, we

find no statistical enrichment for number of genes evolving under positive selection

among the *Ymf* relative to other genes in *P. sexaurelia* ($p = 0.56$; Fisher's exact test) and

P. caudatum ($p = 0.40$; Fisher's exact test), suggesting that the majority of them are

likely evolving fast due to relaxed sequence constraints.

It is possible that most of the *Ymf* genes are simply highly diverged genes that perform the

same functions as genes found in other mitochondrial genomes, but are not identifiable by

sequence. In order to gain some insight into their possible functions, we used HHPred

(Soding, et al. 2005) to predict their homologs and found that parts of *Ymf* genes display

homology to standard mitochondrial genes, encoding ribosomal subunits and proteins

involved in electron transport. About 37% of sequence from *Ymf59* was found to be

homologous to *rpS10*, ~22% sequence of *Ymf61* to a 30S ribosomal protein S24E, ~28% of

Ymf64 sequence to a 30 S *rpS3*, ~79% of *Ymf65* sequence to the NADH subunit and ~11%

of *Ymf67* to cytochrome c oxidase, subunit P. *Ymf59* and *Ymf64* in *Oxytricha* were also

previously found to be homologous to *rpsS10* and *rpS3* respectively (Swart, et al. 2012).

tRNA content variation across and within species

While the number and identity of protein-coding genes is generally the same across the

genus, tRNAs display significant variation. All species in the *P. aurelia* complex have only

three tRNA loci, at highly conserved locations (Figure 2), most of the time consisting of a

Y, F, and W tRNAs (the latter recognizing the UGA stop codon that has been reassigned to

tryptophan in ciliate mitochondria). The one exception is *P. octaurelia*, in which a T

tRNA has been substituted for the Y tRNA that other species possess. In stark contrast,

large and highly variable sets of tRNA are predicted in mitochondrial genomes in the *P.*

caudatum and *P. multimicronucleatum* lineages (Figure 3), with as many as 38 tRNA

predictions in *P. multimicronucleatum* M05, and with individual *P. caudatum* and *P.*

multimicronucleatum isolates having different tRNAs at multiple loci in their

mitochondrial genomes. These tRNAs often overlap protein-coding genes (in contrast to

what is observed in *P. aurelia*), in particular *Ymf* genes. Of note, the three tRNAs found in

the *P. aurelia* species are also present in all outgroup species. The absolute numbers of

the tRNAs found should be taken with caution, as some of the predicted tRNAs

overlap each other as well as *Ymf64* in the *P. multimicronucleatum* strains. However,

even if these are excluded, the number of tRNAs in both *P. caudatum* and *P.*

multimicronucleatum strains is still large and variable and consist of tRNAs not found

in the *P. aurelia* species. This is a unique finding among mitochondrial genomes and

should be investigated more thoroughly in future studies to better understand the origin

of the tRNAs.

Mutation spectrum and GC-content variation within the *Paramecium* genus

Perhaps the most remarkable discontinuity in *Paramecium* mitochondrial genomes is the

difference between the high GC content observed in species of the *P. aurelia* complex (~40%)

and the low GC content in the outgroup species (~20%). Very low GC content is also observed

in mitochondrial genomes of other ciliates, for instance in the five *Tetrahymena* species

(~18-21%), in *Icthyophthirius multifiliis* (~16%) (Coyne, et al. 2011), and in *Oxytricha*

trifallax (~24%) suggesting a lineage-specific increase in GC content along the branch

leading to *P. aurelia* species. In contrast, nuclear genomes have relatively similar levels of GC

content across all *Paramecium* species (McGrath, Gout, Doak, et al. 2014). The low GC content

of *P. caudatum* and *P. multimicronucleatum* mtDNA is marked by a highly biased codon usage

(Barth and Berendonk 2011), with most synonymous positions exhibiting a strong bias for A or

T nucleotides (Supplementary Figure 11). Indeed, the difference in GC content is most

pronounced at 4-fold degenerate sites, with the GC content at such sites being as low as

3.5% in *P. multimicronucleatum* and as high as 54.5% in *P. decaurelia* (Figure 1). The 0-

fold redundant sites in the outgroup species have much higher GC content (~26-37%) and

the GC content (31-36%) of the rRNAs is similar across the entire genus suggesting strong

selection on rRNA nucleotide content.

At least three forces may be responsible for determining the GC content at 4-fold degenerate

sites: (1) mutational processes, (2) codon usage bias due to selection, and/or (3) genome-

wide selection for higher/lower GC content. We therefore examined GC composition in other

regions of the genomes, such as tRNAs and intergenic regions, which do not experience selection

for codon usage. Both tRNAs and intergenic regions have elevated GC content in the *P. aurelia*

species (~40-45% and ~34-37%) relative to *P. caudatum* and *P. multimicronucleatum* (~14-22%

and ~16-20% respectively; Figure 1), suggesting that either differences in mutational bias or

selection for genome-wide GC content is primarily responsible for changes in nucleotide

composition across the genome.

To distinguish between mutation and selection as the possible explanations for these

observations, we evaluated the mutational pressures acting on *Paramecium* species, using two

approaches. First, we used the mitochondrial mutation spectrum obtained from mutation-

accumulation (MA) studies of *P. tetraurelia* (Sung et al, 2012) to calculate the AT mutation bias,

$m = v/u$, where v is the mutation rate from G/C to A/T and u is the rate of mutation from A/T to

G/C (Table 1). We found m $\cong$ 1.0, showing little mutation bias and corresponding to expected

equilibrium GC content of 51.3% (Table 1). This is very close to the GC content of 4-fold

redundant sites (54%) in *P. tetraurelia*. We also analyzed existing sequencing data from

MA experiments in *P. biaurelia* and *P. sexaurelia* (Long, Doak, et al. 2018;

Supplementary Table 3). These data imply slight mutation bias towards GC in *P. biaurelia*

and *P. sexaurelia*, corresponding to expected equilibrium GC percentages (Sueoka 1993) of

55.8% and 67.0% respectively. Given the relatively small number of mutations (a few tens)

identified in each set of MA experiments, there is considerable uncertainty in these

estimates. Nonetheless, these data do not present evidence that 4-fold degenerate sites in *P.*

aurelia mitochondria are evolving away from mutation equilibrium via selection.

Next, derived singleton alleles at 4-fold degenerate sites were used to quantify the number of

G/C to A/T mutations relative to A/T to G/C mutations and thus infer an estimate of AT

mutation bias from population data. Again, we find that m is close to 1.0 (i.e., no mutation bias

towards A/T) in both *P. tetraurelia* and *P. sexaurelia*, with the predicted GC content under

mutation equilibrium being remarkably close to that of their 4-fold degenerate sites (Table 1).

These results suggest that the composition of 4-fold degenerate sites is mostly determined by

mutation. The same can be seen by calculating the strength of selection ($S = 4N_e s$) favoring

A/T at 4-fold degenerate sites. As S usually takes values between 0.1 and 4.0 (Lynch 2007; Long, Sung, et al. 2018) and we obtain much lower magnitudes (0.0-0.5) in the *P. aurelia* species (Table 1), we infer negligible or weak selection at 4-fold degenerate sites, consistent with absence of codon bias at the third position.

In contrast to the *P. aurelia* species, we infer a significant AT mutation bias in *P. caudatum* (Table 1), yielding a predicted GC content at equilibrium of ~17%, with the observed GC content in intergenic and tRNA regions (16% and 20%) being remarkably close to this value. These calculations suggest that genome-wide GC content in *P. caudatum* mitochondria is mostly governed by mutation bias, and that there has been a major shift in mutation bias along the branch leading to the *P. aurelia* species.

Recombination in *Paramecium* mitochondrial genomes

Next, we sought to evaluate the evidence for the occurrence of recombination in *Paramecium* mitogenomes by performed multiple tests for its presence. First, we evaluated the relationship between linkage disequilibrium (LD), calculated by r^2 (Hill and Robertson 1968) and distance between sites; r^2 does not decrease with distance in *P. tetraurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, and *P. caudatum* (*P. multimicronucleatum* isolates lacked sufficient number of polymorphic sites; Supplementary Figure 12), consistent with the expectation under no recombination. Next, we conducted the four-gamete test (FGT) (Hudson and Kaplan 1985), which detects recombination by searching for pairs of polymorphic sites with all four segregating haplotypes and assumes that such pairs of sites must have arisen via recombination (under the infinite-sites model). We found all four gametes at 2 pairs of sites (1.45×10^{-5} of all pairs) in *P. tetraurelia*, 312781 pairs ($2.14 \times$

10^{-2} of all pairs) in *P. sexaurelia*, 42647 pairs (1.21×10^{-2} of all pairs) in *P. caudatum*, and 0

pairs of sites in *P. multimicronucleatum*. The observed variation between species correlates well

with levels of total sequence diversity and indicates the bias in power to detect recombination

towards species with more sequence variation. Although results from the FGT suggest the

presence of some recombination, the probability of finding all four gametes does not increase

with the distance between the pairs of sites (slope= -7.64×10^{-9}, $p = 0.765$ for *P. sexaurelia*;

slope $= -1.39 \times 10^{-8}$, $p = 0.792$ for *P. caudatum*), contrary to the expectation under

recombination, and is inconsistent with other distance-based analyses. Violation of the infinite-

sites model (i.e., recurrent mutation at the same site) can result in the presence of pairs of sites

with all four alleles. The FGT works best for species and genomes whose recombination rate is

much larger than the mutation rate, which may not be the case in *Paramecium* mitochondria.

In order to test if the presence of four gametes was more likely to be caused by recombination or

mutation, we used LDhat (McVean, et al. 2002) to test for recombination and estimate $2N_er$,

using values of $2N_e\mu$ estimated by nucleotide diversity as calculated in Johri et al., 2017

(Supplementary Table 4). Here r is the recombination rate, μ is the mutation rate and N_e is the

effective population size of the species under consideration. $2N_er$ estimated under the finite-sites

mutation model (Wakeley 1997; Hudson 2001) was found to be 0.0 for all four species, and is

consistently 0.0 in all non-overlapping windows (1000 bp) spanning the genome. We also used

permutation tests (McVean, et al. 2002) to detect recombination. The idea behind these tests is

that in the absence of recombination the relative position of SNPs would not change inferred

values of statistics used to measure recombination. Thus, the absence of recombination, statistics

like sum-of-distance between sites with all four gametes (G4) (Meunier and Eyre-Walker 2001),

and correlation between r^2 or D' and physical distance, would not be significantly different from

an expectation obtained by randomly shuffling SNPs and computing these statistics. We find no

statistical significance for the presence of recombination using permutation tests (Supplementary

Table 4) and thus conclude that there is no recombination in *Paramecium* mitochondria.

Efficacy of selection in mitochondria versus the nucleus

Finally, we asked if mitochondrial genes experience weaker efficacy of purifying

selection compared to nuclear genes, as would be expected due to smaller effective

population size and the lack of recombination in the mitochondria. We did so by

comparing multiple statistics in each of the two genomes. Because our statistics

included divergence at synonymous sites, we conducted these analyses primarily on *P.*

tetraurelia where values of dS were calculated with respect to the closest outgroup

species *P. biaurelia*, for which we had available sequences in both the nucleus and

mitochondria. Average dS values for the set of nuclear and mitochondrial genes were

found to be 0.885 and 1.174 respectively (Table 2), a small but significant difference. In

order to control for differences in dS driving the patterns, we also conducted all analyses

restricted to genes with dS < 1.0. For the set of genes with dS < 1.0, the average value of

dS is not significantly different between the two genomes, 0.636 among the nuclear and

0.658 among the mitochondrial genes. A potential caveat of comparing the efficacy of

purifying selection between all genes present in the mitochondria vs. nucleus, is that we

might instead be measuring differences in strength of purifying selection. In order to

correct for that, we also compared nuclear genes with similar functions to those in the

mitochondria. We compared the 14 mitochondrial genes with ~13-27 nuclear genes

involved in the oxidative phosphorylation pathway, as well as the 8 ribosomal genes in

the mitochondria with ~77-430 nuclear genes that encode for structural components of

the ribosomes.

Average dN/dS is similar or slightly lower for genes in the nucleus (mt = 0.082, nuc =

0.079, p = 0.307; Table 2), with the exception of the well-conserved ribosomal genes,

which have lower dN/dS in the mitochondria (mt = 0.044, nuc = 0.088, p = 0.208). None

of these differences in dN/dS are significant, suggesting that the average amount of

purifying selection experienced by genes in the nucleus is not significantly different

from that experienced by those in the mitochondria.

The efficacy of purifying selection can also be measured by estimating the fraction of

segregating nonsynonymous polymorphisms that undergo fixation. Such a measure can

be calculated using the neutrality index (NI = $(P_n / P_s) / (D_n / D_s)$, where P_n and P_s are

the number of nonsynonymous and synonymous polymorphisms respectively; D_n and

D_s are the number of nonsynonymous and synonymous fixed differences respectively).

The neutrality index is usually found to be larger than 1.0 for genes experiencing

purifying selection because mildly deleterious variants are allowed to segregate among

individuals, but rarely fix in populations. Higher values of the NI suggest that smaller

proportion of segregating nonsynonymous variants are allowed to fix in the population,

indicating stronger efficacy of purifying selection. The number of branch-specific

substitutions at synonymous (D_s) and nonsynonymous (D_n) sites was calculated by

ancestral reconstruction, using *P. tetraurelia*, *P. biaurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, *P. caudatum*,

and *P. multimicronucleatum*, for both the nucleus and the mitochondria, and restricted

to sites for which changes could be confidently inferred along specific branches. The

advantage of using ancestral reconstruction to infer D_n and D_s is that particular sites that

are very fast evolving can be excluded from the analysis, thus minimizing problems

arising due to saturation of divergence at synonymous sites. Comparing the distributions

of values of NI in the mitochondrion and nucleus shows that overall there is no

significant difference between the two sets when all genes are included (whether we

include or exclude *Ymf* genes) in *P. tetraurelia* (Table 2). However, for genes involved

in oxidative phosphorylation, nuclear genes appear to be experiencing significantly

stronger efficacy of purifying selection than mitochondrial genes (mt NI = 1.696, nuc

NI = 6.087, p = 0.02).

We also calculated the neutrality index as $(\pi_n/\pi_s)/(dN/dS)$ (Betancourt, et al. 2012;

denoted by NIπ below) for each gene with dN/dS obtained from pairwise comparison

with respect to *P. biaurelia*. In this case all sites contribute to the analysis, but the

maximum likelihood estimate of pairwise dN/dS can minimize biases due to saturation

of dS. Again, we observe that neutrality index of nuclear genes is either similar or

higher than those of mitochondrial genes. Genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation

in the nucleus have higher but not significantly different NIπ compared to those in the

mitochondria (mt = 2.384, nuc = 6.712, p = 0.422).

In order to minimize statistical biases that can arise due to sampling, we also estimated a

variation of the neutrality index $NI_{DoS} = D_n/(D_n+D_s) - P_n/(P_n+P_s)$ (Stoletzki and Eyre-Walker

2011), where more negative values represent stronger efficacy of purifying selection. As

previously observed, we find no significant difference between the neutrality index of genes in

the nucleus vs. mitochondria except for Oxphos genes, where nuclear genes have significantly

lower values of NI_{DoS} than mitochondrial genes (mt $NI_{DoS} = 0.001$, nuc $NI_{DoS} = -0.227$, $p = 0.01$)

This suggests that there are more deleterious variants segregating in the nucleus than in

the mitochondrion in *P. tetraurelia*. Overall, mitochondria appear to experience either

similar or stronger efficacy of purifying selection than the nucleus.

Lastly, we used π_n/π_s as a proxy for the efficacy of recent purifying selection. This

comparison can be extended to all four species (Supplementary Table 5). We find that

average π_n/π_s of mitochondrial (mt) and nuclear genes (nuc) is not significantly different

in *P. tetraurelia* (mean mt $= 0.163$; mean nuc $= 0.235$; $p = 0.18$) and *P. caudatum* (mean

mt $= 0.135$; mean nuc $= 0.170$; $p = 0.22$) respectively. However, mean π_n/π_s in the

mitochondrial genes is significantly lower than that of nuclear genes in *P. sexaurelia*

(mean mt $= 0.051$; mean nuc $= 0.268$; $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$) and *P. multimicronucleatum* (mean

mt $= 0.099$; mean nuc $= 0.178$; $p = 1.87 \times 10^{-3}$) respectively, suggesting that

mitochondrial genes might be under stronger purifying selection than nuclear genes.

As side note, values of NI for *P. sexaurelia*, *P. caudatum* and *P. multimicronucleatum*

were consistently found to be much less than 1.0, likely due to under-estimation of

changes at synonymous sites. We aimed to reduce the bias caused by saturation of D_s

by re-calculating NI only in the mitochondrion using all 13 taxa (in order to break up

longer branches). We continue to recover extremely low values of NIs (Supplementary

Table 6) and fail to obtain values close to those obtained using NIπ, suggesting that the

absolute values of NI can be misleading and have to be interpreted with caution.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we greatly expand the set of sequenced ciliate mitochondrial genomes by

presenting a wider sampling of the mitogenome diversity within the *Paramecium* genus.

Using this wealth of sequence data, we characterize the diversity and conservation of

genome organization and gene content, and we study in depth the population genetic

characteristics such as mutational and selection pressures acting on mtDNA within the

genus.

Ciliate-specific mitochondrial genes

Paramecium mitochondrial genomes possess 16 lineage-specific open-reading frames (referred

to as *Ymf* genes) that have no known homologs in non-ciliate species and lack assigned

functions, but are nonetheless conserved across *Paramecium* and *Tetrahymena* species. Other

ciliates like *Oxytricha* have also been found to have unidentified open-reading frames (Swart, et

al. 2012), but not all *Ymf* genes have homologs identified in ciliates outside of

Oligohymenophora (which contains both *Tetrahymena* and *Paramecium*). We find that *Ymf*

genes are evolving faster, mostly due to relaxed purifying selection, as Ymf genes are not

significantly more likely to undergo positive selection than other genes. Shedding light on the

identity of the *Ymf* genes could possibly indicate entirely new sets of genes present in the

ancestor of *Oligohymenophorean* mitochondrial genome.

We found that parts of five of the 16 *Ymf* genes show homology to standard mitochondrial

proteins, especially ribosomal proteins. As ciliates are evolutionarily distant from most model

organisms in the eukaryotic tree, it is possible that some *Ymf* genes are ribosomal subunits or

genes belonging to the NADH complex and are simply not identifiable because of extreme

divergence. Similar findings have been reported for other protozoan mitochondrial genomes in

the past (e.g. de Graaf, et al. 2009; Pombert, et al. 2013; Burger, et al. 2016; Skippington, et al.

2017) that have diverged substantially from the most well-studied mammalian mitochondrial

genomes. In some species, RNA editing (including insertions and deletions) can be substantial

(Gray 2003), which can mask the proteins encoded from identification from genomic sequence

data. We however found no evidence of RNA editing, consistent with a previous report in *P.*

tetraurelia mitochondria (Orr, et al. 1997), thus it is unlikely to account for the observed

divergence. An interesting possibility is that most of the *Ymf* genes are either part of or interact

with the ATP synthase complex. In *Tetrahymena thermophila*, the ATP synthase has been

reported to form an unusual structure possessing completely novel subunits whose orthologs are

not identifiable in other organisms, some of which are *Ymf* genes (Balabaskaran Nina, et al.

2010). It is therefore possible that ciliates possess structurally unique proteins that perform

relatively conventional functions in the mitochondria, but are difficult to identify based on other

known sequences. Although the origin of these genes is unclear, it is intriguing that a set of such

fast evolving genes is preserved across highly diverged species.

Change in mutation spectrum and nucleotide composition

We used a combination of previous mutation-accumulation studies and our population-genomic

data to determine that the change in nucleotide composition of *P. aurelia* mitochondrial genomes

toward higher GC is most likely the result of changes in mutational biases. Unfortunately, due to

our modest sample sizes, some of the SNPs observed as singletons in our data may in fact be

fairly common in the population at large. Our estimates of mutation spectra might thus be biased

by selection. Mutation-accumulation lines in *P. caudatum* would help further disentangle these

forces. Because ciliates have very low mutation rates, their mutation-accumulation study requires

a large number of generations to produce only a handful of mutations, making it very difficult to

obtain precise estimates of the mutation spectrum. The most feasible strategy to more precisely

estimate these spectra in *Paramecium* would thus be to acquire larger population samples in

order to observe lower-frequency variants. We also note that although an alternative explanation

for higher GC content in the *P. aurelia* species could be biased gene conversion, we found no

evidence of mitochondrial recombination (including non-crossovers) in the *P. aurelia* species.

An interesting question raised by our results is how fast the mutation spectrum in mitochondria

evolves across species (Lynch, et al. 2008; Montooth and Rand 2008). Re-analyzing data from

previous work on mutation-accumulation lines in mitochondria of other model organisms

presents a number of interesting observations (Table 1). First, within opisthokonts, there is a

huge variation in mutation bias (m) in the mitochondria, ranging from nearly 0 (strongly biased

towards GC) to values much larger than 1 (biased towards AT; Table 1). However, most species

except *S. cerevisiae* have a mutation bias towards A/T, consistent with most mitochondrial

genomes being AT-rich. Second, across opisthokonts, we find that selection can have a

significant impact on mitochondrial GC content. The effect of selection (S) can be observed by

comparing observed genome-wide nucleotide composition (or specifically at 4-fold degenerate

sites) with the expected composition under mutation equilibrium (Table 1). Again, in most

species selection favors higher G/C, but in *S. cerevisiae* there appears to be strong selection

favoring A/T genome-wide. Thus, there might not be a universal direction for mutation bias or

selection for nucleotide composition in mitochondria. Finally, closely related species in other

lineages have been shown to have significant differences in mutation bias in the mitochondria as

observed for example between *C. elegans* and *C. briggsae* (mutation bias re-calculated by

combining observations from Howe, et al. 2010; Konrad, et al. 2017). All of these observations

suggest that it may not be that such an unusual event for the mutational biases to shift away from

AT along the long branch leading to the *P. aurelia* species. However, the exact nature of the

biochemical mechanisms responsible for remains an intriguing open question for future research.

Similar efficacy of purifying selection experienced by the mitochondria and nucleus

Mitochondrial genomes are often non-recombining and are usually passed on via

uniparental inheritance. Mitochondria are therefore expected to have lower effective

population sizes than that of the nucleus within the same organism (Lynch and Blanchard

1998; Neiman and Taylor 2009). One consequence of reduced effective population sizes is

an increased probability of segregation and fixation of slightly deleterious mutations.

There have been multiple contradictory reports on whether mitochondrial genes

experience stronger or weaker purifying selection than the nuclear genes. Studies

examining a small number of protein-coding loci across a large number of species have

concluded that mitochondria experience less effective purifying selection than the

nucleus (Weinreich and Rand 2000; Betancourt, et al. 2012; Popadin, et al. 2013).

However a recent study conducted on multiple individuals and whole genomes in

Drosophila melanogaster and humans found no significant difference between the

efficacy of purifying selection in the mitochondrial vs. nuclear protein-coding genes

(Cooper, et al. 2015).

We confirm the absence of recombination in mitochondria of three species of

Paramecium. Previous studies in *P. tetraurelia* (Adoutte, et al. 1979; Barth, et al. 2008)

and *P. primaurelia* (Beale, et al. 1972) had reached similar conclusions using a small

number of markers. We also evaluated the extent of purifying selection in ciliate

mitochondria relative to the nucleus primarily for *P. tetraurelia*. We find that despite

the lack of recombination in the mitochondria, the efficacy of selection in the

mitochondria is similar to if not stronger than that of the nucleus.

Our results seem to be in discordance with theoretical predictions according to which

one would expect the efficacy of selection in the mitochondria to be lower than in the

nucleus. One possibility is that we observe stronger efficacy of selection in the

mitochondria because the magnitude of negative selection itself is stronger in the

mitochondrial genes (Popadin, et al. 2013), which differ from the average nuclear gene

in several key ways (Adrion, et al. 2016). Most mitochondrial genes are expressed at

higher levels compared to nuclear genes (Havird and Sloan 2016). Mitochondrial

encoded proteins (*cox1* and *cox2*) that are part of the oxidative phosphorylation

(OXPHOS) pathway are core enzyme catalytic subunits (Tsukihara, et al. 1996; Zhang

and Broughton 2013; Havird and Sloan 2016). Finally, most genes retained in the

mitochondria encode for highly hydrophobic proteins and have a high GC content

(Johnston and Williams 2016). Thus, finding comparable sets of genes between these

two genomes is admittedly difficult (but see Lynch 1996; Lynch 1997).

Lastly, our results need to be evaluated in the light of *Paramecium*-specific life cycle. In

Paramecium, there is almost no exchange of cytoplasm during conjugation (Koizumi

and Kobayashi 1989; Meyer and Garnier 2002). Thus it appears that both parents pass

on their mitochondria to their respective offspring, without exchange or degradation of

mitochondrial genomes. In addition, mitochondria double before binary fission and are

distributed randomly across the daughter cells. Thus, mitochondria do not appear to

undergo bottlenecks during any stage of the life cycle in *Paramecium,* and experience

no associated reduction in effective population size. On the other hand, *Paramecium*

species frequently undergo asexual reproduction, thereby reducing the nuclear effective

population size. Therefore, effective population sizes of mitochondrial and nuclear

genomes may be more similar in *Paramecium* than in many other organisms. Due to the

combination of sexual and asexual reproduction, under equilibrium conditions, expected

heterozygosity in the nucleus would take values between $4N_e\mu_n$ - $2N_e\mu_n$. Similarly, in

the mitochondria, expected hetrozygosity would be $\sim 2N_e\mu_m$. Assuming that the ratio of

divergence at silent sites can be used as a proxy for the ratio of mutation rate between

the two compartments, it is possible to approximately estimate the ratio of effective

population sizes of the two compartments as $N_{e(m)}/N_{e(n)} = y \times (\pi_m/\pi_n)/(d_m/d_n)$, where y

would be some number between 1 and 2. For *P. tetraurelia*, for which we have

relatively closer outgroup species and thus more reliable divergence estimates, our

estimated range of $N_{e(m)}/N_{e(n)}$ is 0.94 − 1.88. Although underestimation of neutral

divergence in the mitochondria relative to the nucleus could skew our inference slightly,

the above calculation suggests that effective population sizes of mitochondria may be

similar or, larger than that of the nucleus in *Paramecium*.

We therefore conclude that our finding of similar or stronger efficacy of selection in the

mitochondria relative to the nucleus in *Paramecium* may lie within theoretical expectations given

Paramecium's unique life cycle and mode of mitochondrial transmission. A better understanding

of the *Paramecium* life cycle in the wild might help build more appropriate null expectations in

the future. Our results suggest the possibility that unicellular eukaryotes in general may have

larger mitochondrial than nuclear effective population sizes and more efficacious purifying

selection in the mitochondria might be more common than believed.

METHODS:

Genome sequencing and assembly

Single isolates of *P. jenningsi* (strain: M), *P. octaurelia*, *P. decaurelia* (strain: 223), *P.*

dodecaurelia (strain: 274), *P. novaurelia* (strain: TE) and *P. quadecaurelia* (strain: 328) were

used to extract macronuclear DNA. DNA extraction, sequencing library preparation and genome

sequencing were previously described (Johri et al. 2017). Sequencing reads were assembled

using SPAdes (Bankevich, et al. 2012; version 3.5.0) after removing potential adapter sequence

with Trimmomatic (Bolger, et al. 2014; version 0.33). Mitochondrial contigs were identified

from the resulting assemblies by BLAST (Altschul, et al. 1997) searches against the published *P.*

caudatum and *P. tetraurelia* mitochondrial genomes. Mitochondrial re-sequenced data from 5-

10 isolates of *P. tetraurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, *P. caudatum* and *P. multimicronucleatum* were

downloaded from SRA (PRJNA490059) and SNPs were called as described in Johri et al. 2017,

using reference genomes of strain 99 for *P. tetraurelia*, strain 130 for *P. sexaurelia*, C104 for *P.*

caudatum, and M04 for *P. multimicronucleatum*.

Mitochondrial genome annotation

Genome annotation was carried out as follows. Protein coding genes were identified by

generating all ORFs longer than 60aa in all six frames, using the Mold, Protozoan, and

Coelenterate Mitochondrial Code (i.e., UGA codes for W instead of being a stop codon) and all

alternative start codons specific to *Paramecium* (AUU, AUA, AUG, AUC, GUG, and GUA),

and retaining the longest ORFs associated with each stop codon. BLASTP was then used to

identify homologs of annotated mitochondrial proteins in *P. tetraurelia* and *P. caudatum*.

Additional ORFs were identified by imposing the requirement that their length exceeds 100aa,

and subsequently annotated using BLASTP against the Non-redundant protein sequences (nr)

database and HMMER3.0 (Eddy 2011) scans against the PFAM 27.0 database (Finn, et al.

2014). tRNA genes were annotated with tRNAscan-SE (Schattner, et al. 2005; version 1.21),

using the "Mito/Chloroplast" source. rRNA genes were identified using Infernal (Nawrocki,

et al. 2009; version 1.1.1).

Identification of telomeres

Telomeric repeats were identified as follows. The first and the last 200bp of each *de novo* assembled mitochondrial genome were used as input to the MEME *de novo* motif finding program (Bailey, et al. 2009; version 4.6.1), which was run with the following parameters: "-*maxw 25 -dna -nmotifs 5 -mod anr*". The repetitive units defined that way were then manually aligned to each other and refined to arrive at final telomeric repeats comparable across all species.

RNA-seq analysis

For each species, sequencing reads were aligned against a combined Bowtie (Langmead, et al. 2009) index containing both the nuclear and mitochondrial genomes using TopHat2 (Kim, et al. 2013; version 2.0.8) with the following settings: "*--bowtie1 --no-discordant --no-mixed --microexon-search --read-realign-edit-dist 0 --read-edit-dist 4 --read-mismatches 4 --min-intron-length 10 --max-intron-length 1000000 --min-segment-intron 10 --min-coverage-intron 10*". Custom python scripts were then used to identify sequence variants relative to the mitogenomes assemblies.

Building phylogenetic trees

Nucleotide sequences were extracted, aligned using MUSCLE, and concatenated. Missing data was encoded as "N". RAxML was used to build the tree with GTRGAMMA as the substitution model. Boostrap values for 1000 replicates were obtained via the fast method recommended by RAxML with the following command line:

raxmlHPC -f a -s sequences.fasta –n sequences_boot -m GTRGAMMA -T 50 -p 31 -x 7777 -N

1000

Estimation of *dN/dS*, D_n, D_s, P_n, P_s, and π_n/π_s

dN/dS was estimated either across the phylogeny using CODEML, PAML (Yang 2007;

version 4.9a) and for the *P. aurelia* species it was also estimated pairwise w.r.t the

closest outgroup species using yn00, PAML. π_n/π_s was obtained for all protein coding

genes in the 4 species- *P. tetraurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, *P. caudatum*, and *P.*

multimicronucleatum, where total number of changes in synonymous sites was greater

than 1. This filter was executed in order to reduce errors in π_n/π_s due to very low values

of synonymous polymorphisms in a gene.

D_n and D_s, the number of nonsynonymous and synonymous changes, were inferred by

performing ancestral reconstruction at each site, and then counting branching-specific

substitutions. Ancestral reconstruction (PAML, baseml, GTR model) was conducted

over the phylogeny of all available taxa. For comparing statistics between mitochondrial

and nuclear genes, the ancestral reconstruction was performed over the same set of taxa

for both, i.e. over *P. tetraurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, *P. caudatum*, and *P.*

multimicronucleatum. For all analyses that involved ancestral reconstruction, only sites

whose posterior probability of the inferred ancestral state $\geq$ 0.85 were used in the

analyses—this filter was imposed for counting synonymous and nonsynonymous

polymorphisms (P_s and P_n, respectively) as well as divergent sites (D_n and D_s). There

may be some concern that ancestral reconstruction could end up biasing the ratio of

D_n/D_s as many more changes at synonymous sites might result in lower confidence in

inferring ancestral states at synonymous but not nonsynonymous sites. Such a bias

would increase D_n relative to D_s and thus decrease values of NI. Therefore, all analyses

involving D_n and D_s were also performed including all sites, with no filter, and results

remained unchanged.

Calculation of multiple estimators of Neutrality Index (NI) and statistical tests

Several estimators of neutrality index have been proposed in order to counter different biases.

The estimators we used were calculated as follows:

Simple neutrality index, $NI = P_n/P_s/D_n/D_s$ (Rand and Kann 1996).

$NI_\pi = \pi_n/\pi_s/d_N/d_S$, where d_N/d_S was calculated pairwise, w.r.t closest outgroup species

(Betancourt, et al. 2012).

$NI_{TG} = \Sigma_i[D_{si}.P_{ni}/(P_{si} + D_{si})] / \Sigma_i [P_{si}.D_{ni}/(P_{si} + D_{si})]$, where i is the ith gene (Tarone 1981;

Greenland 1982).

$NI_{DoS} = D_n/(D_n+D_s) - P_n/(P_n+P_s)$ (Stoletzki and Eyre-Walker 2011).

For McDonald Kreitman test (McDonald and Kreitman 1991), Fisher's exact test in R (R-

Core-Team 2014) was used to test significance. In all cases p value was corrected by

Bonferonni-Holm method (Holm 1979) for multiple tests, using R.

Mutation spectrum from mutation accumulation (MA) lines

MA line experiments for *P. tetraurelia* had previously been published (Sung et al. 2012) and

results from their analysis were used directly in this study.

MA experiments carried out in order to obtain nuclear mutation rates in *P. biaurelia* and *P.*

sexaurelia (Long, Doak, et al. 2018) were reanalyzed as follows. Sequencing reads were

assembled for each MA line individually and mitochondrial contigs identified as described

above. A composite consensus mitochondrial genome sequence was then created from the

individual assemblies by creating multiple sequence alignments of all mitochondrial contigs

using MAFFT (Katoh and Standley 2013; version 7.221) and retaining the most frequent base

for each alignment column (with the exception of telomeres, which were manually curated).

Adapter-trimmed reads were then aligned in a 2×100bp format against a combined Bowtie

index, containing a combination of the nuclear and consensus mitochondrial genomes, allowing

for up to 3 mismatches and retaining only unique reads. Putative mutations were identified by

requiring that any variant is supported by at least 3 non-redundant read pairs on each strand, is

supported by not more than 4 times more reads on one strand than on the other, and is also

observed in ≥5% of reads covering a given position. Telomeric sequences were excluded due to

an excessively high number of sequence variants observed in those regions.

Mutation spectrum from population genomics data

For each SNP, the ancestral allele was inferred by performing ancestral reconstruction on the

13-taxa phylogeny to predict the nucleotides on internal nodes (see above). The ancestral allele

was used to infer the derived allele segregating in *P. tetraurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, *P. caudatum* and

P. multimicronucleatum. This analysis was restricted to sites where the ancestral nucleotide was

inferred with confidence score >= 0.90, where the derived allele was present in only a single

individual, i.e. was a singleton, and was at 4-fold degenerate site. Of these, we counted all

mutations that were from G/C to A/T or from A/T to G/C, with respect to the total number of

utilizable sites that were counted according to the same criteria as above. Care was also taken to

remove all sites that were part of overlapping ORFs.

Calculation of bias in mutation spectrum

Mutation rate of A/T → G/C (u) and G/C → A/T (v) mutations was calculated as follows:

u = (number of A/T → G/C mutations) / (total number of utilizable A/T sites)

v = (number of G/C → A/T mutations) / (total number of utilizable G/C sites)

Mutation bias towards A/T (m) was calculated as, $m = v/u$ and the expected equilibrium G/C

content was calculated as $1/(1+m)$ following Lynch 2007.

$S = 4N_e s$ (or $2N_e s$), is the population-scaled strength of selection towards A/T nucleotides and

can be calculated using the equation, $P_{AT} = 1/(1 + m^{-1}e^{-S})$, where P_{AT} is the observed fraction

of A/T sites and s is the average selective advantage of A/T over G/C nucleotides (Bulmer

1991). Thus $S = -\ln[(m.(1-P_{AT}))/P_{AT}] = \ln(P_{AT}/P_{GC}/v/u)$.

Recombination analyses

All analyses to detect recombination were restricted to SNPs that were biallelic, homozygous,

and had a known ancestral state. The statistic (r^2) to measure linkage disequilibrium was

calculated as $r^2 = (f_{Aa} - f_A \times f_a)^2 / [f_A \times f_a \times (1-f_A) \times (1-f_a)]$. The program "pairwise" in LDhat 2.2

(McVean, et al. 2002) was used to infer recombination rates using the permuted composite

likelihood test as well other permutation tests. These tests were performed under both the gene

conversion (average tract length: 500) and cross-over models with 2 values of θ (= $4N_e\mu$) for

each species: the closest allowed θ value lower than that estimated from nucleotide diversity

values, and the closest higher value.

Identifying nuclear genes belonging to Oxidative Phosphorylation pathway and Ribosomal

complex

KEGG (Kanehisa, et al. 2017) was used to obtain the full list of genes that are part of complexes

involved in Oxidative Phosphorylation (Complexes I-V) for *P. tetraurelia*. A total number of 87

genes in *P. tetraurelia* were obtained, and their corresponding orthologs were identified in other

species. For genes encoding proteins that are part of the ribosomal complex, we used the

PANTHER (Mi, et al. 2017) annotation obtained in a previous study (McGrath, Gout, Johri, et

al. 2014) for all species and selected all genes that were structural constituents of ribosome. This

allowed us to start with a set of 585 genes in *P. tetraurelia*, 564 in *P. sexaurelia*, and 213 genes

in *P. caudatum*. However, analyses requiring orthologs from all 3 species were conducted with a

smaller subset of genes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

We thank Jean-Francois Gout for technical help, as well as for a critical reading of the

manuscript. We thank Hongan Long for providing us with sequencing data for *P. biaurelia* and

P. sexaurelia nuclear mutation-accumulation experiments. We also thank Jeffrey Palmer for

critical reading of the manuscript and discussions about the project. This work was financially

supported by the National Science Foundation (MCB-1050161 and DEB-1257806).

DATA AVAILABILITY:

Assembled mitogenomes and protein coding gene annotations can be accessed at

https://github.com/georgimarinov/Paramecium_mitochondrial_genomes.

878
879

REFERENCES

(Xu, et al. 2012), (Haag-Liautard, et al. 2008),

Adoutte A, Knowles JK, Sainsard-Chanet A. 1979. Absence of detectable mitochondrial recombination in *Paramecium*. Genetics 93:797-831.

Adrion JR, White PS, Montooth KL. 2016. The Roles of Compensatory Evolution and Constraint in Aminoacyl tRNA Synthetase Evolution. Mol Biol Evol 33:152-161.

Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res 25:3389-3402.

Bailey TL, Boden M, Buske FA, Frith M, Grant CE, Clementi L, Ren J, Li WW, Noble WS. 2009. MEME SUITE: tools for motif discovery and searching. Nucleic Acids Res 37:W202-208.

Balabaskaran Nina P, Dudkina NV, Kane LA, van Eyk JE, Boekema EJ, Mather MW, Vaidya AB. 2010. Highly divergent mitochondrial ATP synthase complexes in *Tetrahymena thermophila*. PLoS Biol 8:e1000418.

Ballard JW, Whitlock MC. 2004. The incomplete natural history of mitochondria. Mol Ecol 13:729-744.

Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, et al. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455-477.

Barr CM, Neiman M, Taylor DR. 2005. Inheritance and recombination of mitochondrial genomes in plants, fungi and animals. New Phytol 168:39-50.

Barth D, Berendonk TU. 2011. The mitochondrial genome sequence of the ciliate *Paramecium caudatum* reveals a shift in nucleotide composition and codon usage within the genus *Paramecium*. BMC Genomics 12:272.

Barth D, Przybos E, Fokin SI, Schlegel M, Berendonk TU. 2008. Cytochrome b sequence data suggest rapid speciation within the *Paramecium aurelia* species complex. Mol Phylogenet Evol 49:669-673.

Beale GH, Knowles JK, Tait A. 1972. Mitochondrial genetics in Paramecium. Nature 235:396-397.

Beale GH, Tait A. 1981. Mitochondrial genetics of Paramecium aurelia. In: G.H. Bourne JFD, K.W. Jeon, editor. International review of cytology: Academic Press. p. 19-40.

Betancourt AJ, Blanco-Martin B, Charlesworth B. 2012. The relation between the neutrality index for mitochondrial genes and the distribution of mutational effects on fitness. Evolution 66:2427-2438.

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
data. Bioinformatics 30:2114-2120.

Borza T, Redmond EK, Laflamme M, Lee RW. 2009. Mitochondrial DNA in the
Oogamochlamys clade (*Chlorophyceae*): high Gc content and unique genome architecture for
Green algae(1). J Phycol 45:1323-1334.

Bulmer M. 1991. The selection-mutation-drift theory of synonymous codon usage. Genetics
129:897-907.

Burger G, Forget L, Zhu Y, Gray MW, Lang BF. 2003. Unique mitochondrial genome
architecture in unicellular relatives of animals. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100:892-897.

Burger G, Moreira S, Valach M. 2016. Genes in Hiding. Trends Genet 32:553-565.

Burger G, Zhu Y, Littlejohn TG, Greenwood SJ, Schnare MN, Lang BF, Gray MW. 2000.
Complete sequence of the mitochondrial genome of *Tetrahymena pyriformis* and comparison
with *Paramecium aurelia* mitochondrial DNA. J Mol Biol 297:365-380.

Cooper BS, Burrus CR, Ji C, Hahn MW, Montooth KL. 2015. Similar efficacies of selection
shape mitochondrial and nuclear genes in both *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Homo sapiens*. G3
5:2165-2176.

Coyne RS, Hannick L, Shanmugam D, Hostetler JB, Brami D, Joardar VS, Johnson J, Radune D,
Singh I, Badger JH, et al. 2011. Comparative genomics of the pathogenic ciliate *Ichthyophthirius*
multifiliis, its free-living relatives and a host species provide insights into adoption of a parasitic
lifestyle and prospects for disease control. Genome Biol 12:R100.

de Graaf RM, Ricard G, van Alen TA, Duarte I, Dutilh BE, Burgtorf C, Kuiper JW, van der
Staay GW, Tielens AG, Huynen MA, et al. 2011. The organellar genome and metabolic potential
of the hydrogen-producing mitochondrion of *Nyctotherus ovalis*. Mol Biol Evol 28:2379-2391.

de Graaf RM, van Alen TA, Dutilh BE, Kuiper JW, van Zoggel HJ, Huynh MB, Gortz HD,
Huynen MA, Hackstein JH. 2009. The mitochondrial genomes of the ciliates *Euplotes minuta*
and *Euplotes crassus*. BMC Genomics 10:514.

Eddy SR. 2011. Accelerated profile HMM searches. PLoS Comput Biol 7:e1002195.

Finn RD, Bateman A, Clements J, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Heger A, Hetherington K,
Holm L, Mistry J, et al. 2014. Pfam: the protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res 42:D222-
230.

Fokin SI, Gortz HD. 2009. Diversity of *Holospora* bacteria in *Paramecium* and their
characterization. Microbiol Monogr 12:161-199.

Fritsch ES, Chabbert CD, Klaus B, Steinmetz LM. 2014. A genome-wide map of mitochondrial
DNA recombination in yeast. Genetics 198:755-771.

Goddard JM, Cummings DJ. 1975. Structure and replication of mitochondrial DNA from
Paramecium aurelia. J Mol Biol 97:593-609.

Gray MW. 2003. Diversity and evolution of mitochondrial RNA editing systems. IUBMB Life
55:227-233.

Greenland S. 1982. Interpretation of summary measures when interaction is present. Am J
Epidemiol 116:587-587.

Gruchy DF. 1955. The breeding system and distribution of *Tetrahymena pyriformis*. J Protozool
2:178-185.

Haag-Liautard C, Coffey N, Houle D, Lynch M, Charlesworth B, Keightley PD. 2008. Direct
estimation of the mitochondrial DNA mutation rate in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS Biol
6:e204.

Havird JC, Sloan DB. 2016. The roles of mutation, selection, and expression in determining

relative rates of evolution in mitochondrial versus nuclear genomes. Mol Biol Evol 33:3042-3053.

Hill WG, Robertson A. 1968. Linkage disequilibrium in finite populations. Theor Appl Genet 38:226-231.

Holm S. 1979. A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. Scand J Stat 6:65-70.

Hori M, Tomikawa I, Przybos E, Fujishima M. 2006. Comparison of the evolutionary distances among syngens and sibling species of *Paramecium*. Mol Phylogenet Evol 38:697-704.

Howe DK, Baer CF, Denver DR. 2010. High rate of large deletions in *Caenorhabditis briggsae* mitochondrial genome mutation processes. Genome Biol Evol 2:29-38.

Hudson RR. 2001. Two-locus sampling distributions and their application. Genetics 159:1805-1817.

Hudson RR, Kaplan NL. 1985. Statistical properties of the number of recombination events in the history of a sample of DNA sequences. Genetics 111:147-164.

Johnston IG, Williams BP. 2016. Evolutionary inference across eukaryotes identifies specific pressures favoring mitochondrial gene retention. Cell Syst 2:101-111.

Johri P, Krenek S, Marinov GK, Doak TG, Berendonk TU, Lynch M. 2017. Population genomics of *Paramecium* species. Mol Biol Evol 34:1194-1216.

Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M, Sato Y, Morishima K. 2017. KEGG: new perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. Nucleic Acids Res 45:D353-D361.

Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol 30:772-780.

Kiefel BR, Gilson PR, Beech PL. 2006. Cell biology of mitochondrial dynamics. International Review of Cytology - a Survey of Cell Biology 254:151-213.

Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL. 2013. TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. Genome Biol 14:R36.

Koizumi S, Kobayashi S. 1989. Microinjection of plasmid DNA encoding the A surface antigen of *Paramecium tetraurelia* restores the ability to regenerate a wild-type macronucleus. Mol Cell Biol 9:4398-4401.

Konrad A, Thompson O, Waterston RH, Moerman DG, Keightley PD, Bergthorsson U, Katju V. 2017. Mitochondrial mutation rate, spectrum and heteroplasmy in *Caenorhabditis elegans* spontaneous mutation accumulation lines of differing population size. Mol Biol Evol 34:1319-1334.

Kukat C, Wurm CA, Spahr H, Falkenberg M, Larsson NG, Jakobs S. 2011. Super-resolution microscopy reveals that mammalian mitochondrial nucleoids have a uniform size and frequently contain a single copy of mtDNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:13534-13539.

Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. 2009. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biol 10:R25.

Long H, Doak TG, Lynch M. 2018. Consistently low base-substitution mutation rates within the *Paramecium aurelia* species complex.

Long H, Sung W, Kucukyildirim S, Williams E, Miller SF, Guo W, Patterson C, Gregory C, Strauss C, Stone C, et al. 2018. Evolutionary determinants of genome-wide nucleotide composition. Nature ecology & evolution.

Lynch M. 1997. Mutation accumulation in nuclear, organelle, and prokaryotic transfer RNA genes. Mol Biol Evol 14:914-925.

Lynch M. 1996. Mutation accumulation in transfer RNAs: molecular evidence for Muller's

ratchet in mitochondrial genomes. Mol Biol Evol 13:209-220.

Lynch M. 2007. The origins of genome architecture. Sunderland (MA): Sinauer Associates.

Lynch M, Blanchard JL. 1998. Deleterious mutation accumulation in organelle genomes. Genetica 102-103:29-39.

Lynch M, Koskella B, Schaack S. 2006. Mutation pressure and the evolution of organelle genomic architecture. Science 311:1727-1730.

Lynch M, Sung W, Morris K, Coffey N, Landry CR, Dopman EB, Dickinson WJ, Okamoto K, Kulkarni S, Hartl DL, et al. 2008. A genome-wide view of the spectrum of spontaneous mutations in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105:9272-9277.

Mackenzie SA. 2007. The unique biology of mitochondrial genome instability in plants. In: Logan D, editor. Plant Mitochondria: Blackwell Publishing, Oxford. p. 36–46.

McDonald JH, Kreitman M. 1991. Adaptive protein evolution at the Adh locus in *Drosophila*. Nature 351:652-654.

McGrath CL, Gout JF, Doak TG, Yanagi A, Lynch M. 2014. Insights into three whole-genome duplications gleaned from the *Paramecium caudatum* genome sequence. Genetics 197:1417-1428.

McGrath CL, Gout JF, Johri P, Doak TG, Lynch M. 2014. Differential retention and divergent resolution of duplicate genes following whole-genome duplication. Genome Res 24:1665-1675.

McVean G, Awadalla P, Fearnhead P. 2002. A coalescent-based method for detecting and estimating recombination from gene sequences. Genetics 160:1231-1241.

Meunier J, Eyre-Walker A. 2001. The correlation between linkage disequilibrium and distance: implications for recombination in hominid mitochondria. Mol Biol Evol 18:2132-2135.

Meyer E, Garnier O. 2002. Non-Mendelian inheritance and homology-dependent effects in ciliates. Advances in genetics 46:305-337.

Mi H, Huang X, Muruganujan A, Tang H, Mills C, Kang D, Thomas PD. 2017. PANTHER version 11: expanded annotation data from Gene Ontology and Reactome pathways, and data analysis tool enhancements. Nucleic Acids Res 45:D183-D189.

Montooth KL, Rand DM. 2008. The spectrum of mitochondrial mutation differs across species. PLoS Biol 6:e213.

Moradian MM, Beglaryan D, Skozylas JM, Kerikorian V. 2007. Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of three *Tetrahymena* species reveals mutation hot spots and accelerated nonsynonymous substitutions in Ymf genes. PLoS ONE 2:e650.

Morin GB, Cech TR. 1988. Mitochondrial telomeres: surprising diversity of repeated telomeric DNA sequences among six species of *Tetrahymena*. Cell 52:367-374.

Nawrocki EP, Kolbe DL, Eddy SR. 2009. Infernal 1.0: inference of RNA alignments. Bioinformatics 25:1335-1337.

Neiman M, Taylor DR. 2009. The causes of mutation accumulation in mitochondrial genomes. Proc. R. Soc. B 276:1201-1209.

Orr AT, Rabets JC, Horton TL, Landweber LF. 1997. RNA editing missing in mitochondria. Rna 3:335-336.

Perasso R, Beisson J. 1978. Temporal Pattern of Mitochondrial Multiplication during Cell-Cycle of Paramecium. Biol Cellulaire 32:275-290.

Piganeau G, Eyre-Walker A. 2004. A reanalysis of the indirect evidence for recombination in human mitochondrial DNA. Heredity 92:282-288.

Pombert J-F, Smirnov A, James ER, Janouškovec J, Gray MW, Keeling PJ. 2013. The complete mitochondrial genome from an unidentified *Phalansterium* species. Protist Genomics 1:25-32.

Popadin KY, Nikolaev SI, Junier T, Baranova M, Antonarakis SE. 2013. Purifying selection in
mammalian mitochondrial protein-coding genes is highly effective and congruent with evolution
of nuclear genes. Mol Biol Evol 30:347-355.

Preer LB. 1969. Alpha, an infectious macronuclear symbiont of *Paramecium aurelia*. J Protozool
16:570-578.

Price CA, Reardon EM. 2001. Mendel, a database of nomenclature for sequenced plant genes.
Nucleic Acids Res 29:118-119.

R-Core-Team. 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Rand DM, Kann LM. 1996. Excess amino acid polymorphism in mitochondrial DNA: contrasts
among genes from *Drosophila*, mice, and humans. Mol Biol Evol 13:735-748.

Schattner P, Brooks AN, Lowe TM. 2005. The tRNAscan-SE, snoscan and snoGPS web servers
for the detection of tRNAs and snoRNAs. Nucleic Acids Res 33:W686-689.

Skippington E, Barkman TJ, Rice DW, Palmer JD. 2017. Comparative mitogenomics indicates
respiratory competence in parasitic Viscum despite loss of complex I and extreme sequence
divergence, and reveals horizontal gene transfer and remarkable variation in genome size. BMC
Plant Biol 17:49.

Slabodnick MM, Ruby JG, Reiff SB, Swart EC, Gosai S, Prabakaran S, Witkowska E, Larue GE,
Fisher S, Freeman RM, Jr., et al. 2017. The macronuclear genome of *Stentor coeruleus* reveals
tiny introns in a giant cell. Current biology : CB 27:569-575.

Smith DR. 2016. The past, present and future of mitochondrial genomics: have we sequenced
enough mtDNAs? Brief Funct Genomics 15:47-54.

Smith DR. 2012. Updating our view of organelle genome nucleotide landscape. Front Genet
3:175.

Smith DR, Keeling PJ. 2015. Mitochondrial and plastid genome architecture: Reoccurring
themes, but significant differences at the extremes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:10177-10184.

Soding J, Biegert A, Lupas AN. 2005. The HHpred interactive server for protein homology
detection and structure prediction. Nucleic Acids Res 33:W244-248.

Sonneborn TM. 1975. *Paramecium aurelia* complex of 14 sibling species. Trans Am Microsc
Soc 94:155-178.

Sonneborn TM. 1937. Sex, sex inheritance and sex determination in *Paramecium aurelia*. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A 23:378-385.

Stadler T, Delph LF. 2002. Ancient mitochondrial haplotypes and evidence for intragenic
recombination in a gynodioecious plant. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99:11730-11735.

Stoletzki N, Eyre-Walker A. 2011. Estimation of the neutrality index. Mol Biol Evol 28:63-70.

Sueoka N. 1993. Directional mutation pressure, mutator mutations, and dynamics of molecular
evolution. J Mol Evol 37:137-153.

Swart EC, Nowacki M, Shum J, Stiles H, Higgins BP, Doak TG, Schotanus K, Magrini VJ, Minx
P, Mardis ER, et al. 2012. The *Oxytricha trifallax* mitochondrial genome. Genome Biol Evol
4:136-154.

Tarcz S, Potekhin A, Rautian M, Przybos E. 2012. Variation in ribosomal and mitochondrial
DNA sequences demonstrates the existence of intraspecific groups in *Paramecium*
multimicronucleatum (Ciliophora, Oligohymenophorea). Mol Phylogenet Evol 63:500-509.

Tarone RE. 1981. On summary estimators of relative risk. J Chronic Dis 34:463-468.

Tsukihara T, Aoyama H, Yamashita E, Tomizaki T, Yamaguchi H, Shinzawa-Itoh K, Nakashima
R, Yaono R, Yoshikawa S. 1996. The whole structure of the 13-subunit oxidized cytochrome c

oxidase at 2.8 A. Science 272:1136-1144.

Visser W, van Spronsen EA, Nanninga N, Pronk JT, Gijs Kuenen J, van Dijken JP. 1995. Effects
of growth conditions on mitochondrial morphology in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Antonie van
Leeuwenhoek 67:243-253.

Vlcek C, Marande W, Teijeiro S, Lukes J, Burger G. 2011. Systematically fragmented genes in a
multipartite mitochondrial genome. Nucleic Acids Res 39:979-988.

Wakeley J. 1997. Using the variance of pairwise differences to estimate the recombination rate.
Genet Res 69:45-48.

Weinreich DM, Rand DM. 2000. Contrasting patterns of nonneutral evolution in proteins
encoded in nuclear and mitochondrial genomes. Genetics 156:385-399.

Xu S, Schaack S, Seyfert A, Choi E, Lynch M, Cristescu ME. 2012. High mutation rates in the
mitochondrial genomes of Daphnia pulex. Mol Biol Evol 29:763-769.

Yang Z. 2007. PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Mol Biol Evol 24:1586-
1591.

Zhang F, Broughton RE. 2013. Mitochondrial-nuclear interactions: compensatory evolution or
variable functional constraint among vertebrate oxidative phosphorylation genes? Genome Biol
Evol 5:1781-1791.

1115

Figure 1: Mitochondrial phylogeny and change in nucleotide composition across *Paramecium* species. The species in green belong to the *P. aurelia* complex. Numbers on branches indicate total number of substitutions per site and bold numbers on nodes show bootstrap values. Black solid circles show the inferred event of loss of the ribosomal protein *rpS19*. The numbers on the right show the total assembly size (in bp) and mean GC content of the whole mitochondrial genome (Total), at 4-fold degenerate sites, at intergenic regions, and of tRNAs respectively. Starred (*) numbers indicate incomplete assembly of mitochondrial genomes.

Figure 2: Structure of mitochondrial genomes in *Paramecium*. All *Paramecium* mitochondrial genomes are linear with 24 protein-coding genes (shown in reddish orange), 2 rRNA genes (yellow), lineage-specific genes referred to as *Ymf* genes (in green) and varying numbers and content of tRNA genes (shown in light blue).

Figure 3: Telomeric repeat sequences in the *Paramecium* genus. Nucleotides in red show the single base pair differences among the *P. aurelia* species. The phylogenetic tree on the left is built using the telomeric repeat sequences.

AA	Anticodon	*Tetrahymena species*	*P. multimicronucleatum* (reference)	*P. multimicronucleatum* (strain: M05)	*P. multimicronucleatum* (strain: M13)	*P. multimicronucleatum-Peniche3I*	*P. caudatum* (reference)	*P. caudatum* (strain: C065)	*P. caudatum* (strain: C083)	*P. caudatum-C026*	*Paramecium aurelia species*
C	GCA					1	1				
E	TTC	1	1			1	1				
F	AAA		3	2	3	2	1	1	3	3	
F	GAA	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
I	AAT					1				1	
I	GAT		2	3							
I	TAT		2	1		1	1		1	1	
K	TTT		4	11	6	5	4	4	2	2	
H	GTG	1									
L	CAA		2	2	1						
L	TAA	2	5	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	
L	TAG					1	1				
Met	CAT	1									
N	ATT					1					
N	GTT				1	1					
Q	TTC		1	1				1			
R	TCT		2	3	1	2					
S	AGA								1		
S	TGA						1	1			
W (SeC)	TCA	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1
Sup	CTA		1	1	1		1	1			
Sup	TTA		4	3		6	2	2	2	1	
T	AGT		1	1	1						
T	GTA										
T	TGT		1	2	1					1	
Undet	Undet					1			1		
V	AAC					1	1	2	1	1	
V	TAC		1			1			1		
Y	ATA		1	1		1				1	
Y	GTA	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1

Figure 4: tRNA content variation in *P. caudatum* and *P. multimicronucleatum* strains. tRNAs shaded in grey are present in all *Paramecium* and *Tetrahymena* species. tRNAs whose anticodons were ambiguous are displayed as "Undet".

Table 1: Mutation bias towards AT (*m*) in the mitochondrial genomes of *Paramecium* and other species, where *v* and *u* are mutation rates from G/C to A/T, and A/T to G/C respectively. Values of *m* less than 1 indicate that mutation spectrum is biased towards G/C. $S = 4N_es$ (or $2N_es$) for diploids (or haploids) represents the strength of selection favoring A/T composition, where negative values of S represent selection favoring G/C. S is calculated using the equation, $P_{AT} = 1/(1 + m^{-1}e^{-S})$, where P_{AT} is the fraction of AT nucleotides.

Species	Type of study	Total number of base-substitution mutations or SNPs	AT mutation bias ($m = v/u$)	Expected equilibrium GC content [1/(1+*m*)]	Whole-genome GC content	4-fold site GC content	S (avg)	S (4-fold)
P. tetraurelia[1]	MA	Not known	0.88	0.53	0.42	0.54	0.197	-0.013
P. tetraurelia	population	37	0.95	0.51	0.42	0.54	0.163	-0.047
P. biaurelia[2]	MA	55	0.79	0.56	0.40	0.51	0.278	0.085
P. sexaurelia[2]	MA	87	0.49	0.67	0.39	0.47	0.504	0.368
P. sexaurelia	population	112	1.56	0.39	0.39	0.47	0.000	-0.137
P. caudatum	population	96	5.02	0.17	0.22	0.07	-0.151	0.397
Other species from previous studies:								
S. cerevisiae[3]	MA	13	0.00	1.00	0.17	0.08	>> 0	>> 0
Caenorhabditis Elegans[4,5]	MA	25	3.79	0.21	0.24	0.14	-0.073	0.224
Daphnia pulex[6]	MA	6	2.48	0.29	0.38	0.30	-0.182	-0.026
Caenorhabditis Briggsae[7]	MA	15	18.10	0.05	0.25	0.14	-0.780	-0.451
Drosophila melanogaster[8]	MA	28	381.25	0.00	0.18	0.06	-1.923	-1.386

1: Sung et al., 2012; **2** : Long et al., 2018 ; **3**: Lynch et al., 2008; **4:** Denver et al., 2000; **5**: Konrad et al., 2017; **6:** Xu et al., 2012; **7**: Howe et al., 2010; **8:** Haag-Liautard et al., 2008

Table 2: Neutrality index (NI) of mitochondrial and nuclear genes in *P. tetraurelia*, calculated using multiple statistics. All *p* values (corrected for multiple testing by Holm-Bonferroni method) represent comparisons between nuclear and mitochondrial statistics. Statistically significant scores ($p < 0.05$) are underlined.

	All (excluding Ymf)	dS < 1.0	Oxphos	Ribo
dS				
nuclear	0.885	0.636	0.774	0.404
mitochondrial	1.174	0.658	1.324	1.581
p	2.74×10^{-6}	0.807	0.035	4.22×10^{-5}
dN/dS				
nuclear	0.079	0.086	0.029 [18]	0.088
mitochondrial	0.082	0.142	0.052 [15]	0.044
p	0.307	0.210	0.208	0.208
π_N/π_S				
nuclear	0.235	0.235	0.200	0.092
mitochondrial	0.163	0.180	0.127	0.062
p	0.183	0.618	0.223	0.387
NIπ [(π_N/π_S)/(dN/dS)]				
nuclear	4.018	3.436	6.712 [14]	1.653 [88]
mitochondrial	2.294 (2.495)	1.218	2.384	2.248
p	0.124 (0.537)	0.024	0.422	0.262
NI [(P_N/P_S)/(D_N/D_S)]				
nuclear	2.145	2.271	6.087 [18]	2.873 [80]
mitochondrial	1.528 (1.855)	1.294	1.696 [15]	2.195 [9]
p	0.272 (0.817)	0.208	0.018	0.072
NI$_{DoS}$ [($D_N/(D_N + D_S)$) − ($P_N/(P_N + P_S)$)]				
nuclear	-0.041	-0.053	-0.227	-0.136
mitochondrial	0.026 (0.024)	0.046	0.001	0.073
p	0.272 (0.738)	0.208	0.010	0.072

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURES

Supplementary Figure 1: Mitochondrial phylogeny of the *Paramecium* genus including individual isolates within each species. The phylogeny was built using RAxML (version 8.2.11), under the substitution model GTRGAMMA. Numbers on branches indicate total number of substitutions per site.

Supplementary Figure 2: Mitochondrial genomes of isolates belonging to the *P. caudatum* and *P. multimicronucleatum* outgroup lineages. Red: protein coding genes; white: novel predicted ORFs longer than 100aa; yellow: ribosomal RNAs; blue: tRNAs. Top layers: forward-strand genes; bottom layers: reverse-strand genes.

Supplementary Figure 3: Mitochondrial genomes of isolates belonging to the *P. aurelia* species complexes (except for *P. sexaurelia* , shown in the next figure). Red: protein coding genes; white: novel predicted ORFs longer than 100aa; yellow: ribosomal RNAs; blue: tRNAs. Top layers: forward-strand genes; bottom layers: reverse-strand genes.

Supplementary Figure 4: Mitochondrial genomes of isolates belonging to *P. sexaurelia*. Red: protein coding genes; white: novel predicted ORFs longer than 100aa; yellow: ribosomal RNAs; blue: tRNAs. Top layers: forward-strand genes; bottom layers: reverse-strand genes.

Supplementary Figure 5: **Raw mitogenome assembly and sequencing read coverage for *P. novaurelia*.** An ~19kb 3′ extension is present in the raw assembly. However, read coverage over that region is significantly higher than what is observed over the rest of the mitochondrial genome, thus the two regions most likely exist in different copy numbers and the extension might be the result of missassembly.

Supplementary Figure 6: Raw mitogenome assembly and sequencing read coverage for *P. quadecaurelia*. A small 5′ extension is present in the raw assembly. However, read coverage over that region is very low relative to what is observed over the rest of the mitochondrial genome, thus the extension is probably be the result of missassembly.

Protein	P. multimicronucleatum					P. caudatum			P. biaurelia		P. tetraurelia			P. sexaurelia											P. octaurelia	P. novaurelia	P. decaurelia	P. dodecaurelia	P. quadecaurelia	P. jenningsi
	M13	Peniche3I	M04	M05	C026	C065	C083	C104	379	USBL-3611	291	99	A	126	127	128	130	131	132	133	134	137	Indo1-7I	Moz13BIII						
atp9	75	75	80	80	78	77	77	77	78	78	72	72	72	136	136	136	136	136	104	104	136	136	136	136	72	136	75	86	80	85
cob	444	444	444	444	457	466	463	466	442	442	442	442	430	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442
cox1	747	709	746	747	757	757	728	757	729	729	746	746	746	729	729	729	729	739	739	729	729	729	729	729	813	729	733	748	728	711
cox2	579	550	582	582	567	584	580	584	567	567	594	594	594	567	591	567	591	591	591	591	591	591	567	567	592	591	567	567	613	567
nadh10	141	141	141	141	157	157	141	157	156	156	156	156	156	178	189	178	189	189	177	177	189	189	178	189	156	156	156	156	156	156
nadh1-a	338	327	325	325	336	323	323	323	328	328	336	336	336	327	352	339	339	339	338	338	339	339	327	339	345	307	330	310	303	326
nadh1-b	63	63	61	61	64	63	63	63	64	64	64	64	64	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	64	64	64	64	63
nadh2	170	170	154	154	191	186	186	191	162	162	166	166	166	173	173	173	173	173	257	257	173	173	173	173	276	162	162	162	205	367
nadh3	nd	nd	nd	nd	134	nd	132	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	120	145	120	120	120
nadh4	607	512	536	536	512	512	518	512	517	514	534	534	534	514	517	517	517	517	517	517	517	517	517	517	517	517	517	517	512	512
nadh4L	nd	nd	nd	nd	115	nd	115	115	96	113	113	113	78	113	139	113	139	113	139	139	139	113	113	113	113	113	113	113	122	113
nadh5	622	622	627	627	641	641	641	641	638	638	637	637	637	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	638	648	638	638	638	638	638
nadh6	259	243	266	266	267	318	256	256	230	230	245	245	245	275	249	275	249	275	249	275	275	275	275	275	170	254	238	263	221	262
nadh7	423	423	442	442	411	433	423	433	411	411	400	400	400	411	411	411	411	411	411	411	411	411	411	411	411	411	400	411	411	411
nadh9	nd	nd	nd	nd	223	nd	215	215	270	229	256	256	256	229	269	229	229	229	229	229	229	249	229	229	175	229	218	229	218	229
rpL12	262	262	262	262	223	223	223	223	232	232	265	265	265	232	232	232	232	232	232	232	232	232	232	232	265	232	265	265	356	232
rpL14	150	125	119	119	128	119	119	119	134	134	119	119	119	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	119	119	125	128	119	125
rpL16	168	168	178	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	168
orf178/rpL6	181	181	182	182	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181	181
rpS12	144	144	149	149	156	153	143	153	143	143	139	139	139	143	143	143	143	143	139	139	143	143	143	143	139	174	143	139	157	143
rpS13	237	271	249	249	302	261	261	261	244	244	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241	241
rpS14	103	103	103	103	103	103	76	103	105	105	102	102	102	103	103	103	103	103	103	103	103	103	103	103	102	105	102	183	115	105
rpS19	68	68	68	68	92	92	92	92	69	69	-	-	-	66	66	66	66	66	-	-	66	66	66	66	-	-	66	-	-	66
rpS3	166	166	165	165	381	368	382	368	127	127	125	125	125	144	144	141	144	144	144	144	144	144	144	144	125	127	146	139	127	127
yejR	120	439	nd	nd	453	190	452	452	432	432	429	429	429	429	429	429	429	429	429	429	429	429	429	429	370	429	370	370	433	429
Ymf56	105	107	94	94	106	106	114	106	82	82	189	189	63	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	78	146	150	103	146	78	82
Ymf57	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	76	76	93	93	93	77	76	77	77	76	77	77	77	77	77	80	77	80	80	80	80	80
Ymf59	122	122	122	122	128	128	128	128	123	123	113	113	113	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	116	123	116	116
Ymf61	nd	nd	nd	nd	186	nd	176	227	185	185	228	228	228	182	182	182	182	182	182	185	185	182	182	182	182	182	182	185	185	179
Ymf63	538	540	450	450	642	641	647	641	561	561	563	563	563	561	561	561	561	561	561	561	561	561	561	561	561	561	561	559	559	551
Ymf64/orf234	1,000	863	442	288	1,614	1,742	1,679	1,783	572	572	613	613	613	666	666	666	666	666	666	666	666	666	666	666	557	572	668	613	619	671
Ymf65/orf156	374	365	370	370	371	366	397	367	365	365	423	423	423	365	365	365	365	365	365	365	365	365	365	365	422	365	385	434	365	365
Ymf66	428	428	447	409	427	419	427	427	445	445	445	445	445	466	466	466	466	456	413	413	466	466	466	466	429	413	428	428	398	398
Ymf67	354	354	344	344	354	354	354	354	366	378	348	348	348	355	355	355	355	355	355	355	355	355	355	355	345	345	402	345	362	355
Ymf68	387	387	387	387	395	389	389	389	387	387	387	387	387	387	387	387	387	387	387	387	357	387	387	387	387	387	390	387	387	387
Ymf76/orf105	385	385	405	405	405	405	405	405	61	369	405	405	405	324	321	327	317	321	366	380	310	320	326	324	410	372	453	409	379	441
Ymf78	72	63	73	73	69	73	73	73	72	72	122	122	122	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	72	72	72	70	66	66
Ymf79	78	99	104	104	75	75	75	75	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70	70
Ymf80	-	89	96	96	90	92	104	90	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89
Ymf83	-	167	172	172	192	172	188	187	166	166	250	250	250	166	166	166	166	166	166	166	175	175	166	175	183	166	233	166	166	250
Ymf84	188	188	188	188	245	186	186	186	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	186	188
orf189	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	67	67	67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
orf221	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	97	97	84	84	84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	92	90	-	129	116	90	-
orf265	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	88	-	-	-	-	-
orf285	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	108	108	108	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
orf314	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	73	73	73	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
orf78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
newORF	191	191	192	192	191	191	191	186	191	-	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	132	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	191	-

Supplementary Figure 7: Presence/absence of proteins encoded in mitochondrial genomes in species and individual isolates of species of the *Paramecium* genus. The numbers indicate the length of each protein in amino acids. Most other ORFs exhibit relatively constant length across all *Paramecium* mitochondrial genomes, with the exceptions of *atp9*, which is elongated by ~30-60 AA in all *P. sexaurelia* isolates, *rpS3* and *rps19*, which are specifically elongated in *P. caudatum* and *P. caudatum-C026*, and *Ymf76*, which is very short in one of the *P. biaurelia* isolates. *Ymf64* accounts for a significant part of the difference between the length of *P. aurelia* and *P. caudatum* mitochondrial genomes, being much longer in the latter (~1700 AA vs. ~600 AA). In two isolates of *P. multimicronucleatum* (M04 and M05), the locus appears to have split into multiple ORFs, with the one most clearly homologous to *Ymf64* being shortened even relative to *P. aurelia*. However, in the *P. multimicronucleatum* isolate M13, as well as *P. multimicronucleatum-Peniche3I*, *Ymf64* is relatively long (1000 and 863 AA respectively).

mean_dS	bi	tet	sex	oct	nov	dec	dodec	quadec	jenningsi	caudatum	caudatum C026	multimicronucleatum
bi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
tet	1.22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
sex	1.31	1.39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
oct	1.17	0.93	1.42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
nov	1.07	1.18	1.41	1.20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
dec	1.32	0.99	1.27	1.12	1.11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
dodec	1.20	0.94	1.30	1.04	1.23	0.85	-	-	-	-	-	-
quadec	1.19	1.27	1.29	1.20	1.06	1.24	1.21	-	-	-	-	-
jenningsi	1.42	1.38	1.37	1.41	1.26	1.31	1.27	1.28	-	-	-	-
caudatum	2.30	2.56	2.45	2.39	2.77	2.56	2.44	2.62	2.61	-	-	-
caudatum C026	4.86	2.48	2.37	2.53	2.48	2.46	5.05	2.48	2.45	1.36	-	-
multimicronucleatum	2.75	2.69	2.80	2.71	2.85	2.77	2.73	2.71	2.76	7.69	5.12	-
multimicronucleatum Peniche3I	2.67	2.88	2.79	2.57	2.84	2.86	2.69	2.76	2.79	4.95	2.45	1.97

Supplementary Figure 8: Mean pairwise *dS* between all *Paramecium* species. Species pairs with *dS* < 1.0 are shown in shaded boxes.

Supplementary Figure 9: **Conservation and divergence of mitochondrial protein sequences in the *Paramecium* genus.** Shown is the percent sequence identity for each protein within all species included in this study (A), within the *P. aurelia* species complex (B), and between the 11 individual *P. sexaurelia* isolates (C). Only one isolate per species was included in (A) and (B). The whiskers of the box plot correspond to the 5-95 percentile range.

Supplementary Figure 10: **Relationship between observed π_n/π_s and pairwise *dN/dS* with respect to the closest outgroup species.** This analysis was performed for all protein-coding genes, with the conserved genes in black and the *Ymf* genes indicated in red, for *P. tetraurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, *P. caudatum* and *P. multimicronucleatum*. Only genes with *dS* < 1.0 and θ_s > 1, where θ_s is the total number of polymorphic sites at synonymous positions, were used in this analysis, resulting in 208 total pairs of observations. This filter was executed in order to reduce errors in π_n/π_s due to very low values of synonymous polymorphisms in a gene.

Supplementary Figure 11: Codon usage in *Paramecium* species.

Species groupings (strain columns): *P. multimicronucleatum* (M13, Peniche3I, M04, M05, C026); *P. caudatum* (C083, C065, C104); *P. octaurelia* (1038); *P. tetraurelia* (291, 99, A); *P. biaurelia* (379, USBL-36I1); *P. sexaurelia* (126, 128, Indo1-7I, Moz13BII, 127, 131, 137, 130, 134, 132, 133); *P. decaurelia* (223); *P. dodecaurelia* (274); *P. jenningsi* (M); *P. novaurelia* (TE); *P. quadecaurelia* (N1A).

AA	codon	M13	Peniche3I	M04	M05	C026	C083	C065	C104	1038	291	99	A	379	USBL-36I1	126	128	Indo1-7I	Moz13BII	127	131	137	130	134	132	133	223	274	M	TE	N1A
A	GCA	0.45	0.45	0.44	0.44	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.39	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.20	0.26	0.21	0.22	0.19
	GCC	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.36	0.35	0.36	0.35	0.37	0.38	0.38	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.36	0.36	0.34	0.34
	GCG	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.15
	GCU	0.52	0.52	0.53	0.53	0.55	0.54	0.53	0.54	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.37	0.36	0.35	0.35	0.31	0.29	0.35	0.36	0.32
C	UGC	0.18	0.21	0.20	0.18	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.17	0.67	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.60	0.60	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.53	0.56	0.55	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.63	0.64	0.59	0.72	0.65	0.59	0.57
	UGU	0.82	0.79	0.80	0.82	0.80	0.81	0.81	0.83	0.33	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.40	0.40	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.47	0.44	0.45	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.37	0.36	0.41	0.28	0.35	0.41	0.43
D	GAC	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.17	0.15	0.20	0.17	0.62	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.56	0.55	0.55	0.56	0.55	0.53	0.60	0.59	0.58	0.58	0.58	0.63	0.64	0.55	0.61	0.58	0.61	0.54
	GAU	0.87	0.87	0.88	0.89	0.83	0.85	0.80	0.83	0.38	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.44	0.45	0.45	0.44	0.45	0.47	0.40	0.41	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.37	0.36	0.45	0.39	0.42	0.39	0.46
E	GAA	0.80	0.86	0.93	0.93	0.79	0.85	0.83	0.82	0.39	0.36	0.37	0.37	0.36	0.35	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.40	0.37	0.37	0.38	0.39	0.38	0.37	0.36	0.35	0.30	0.37	0.31	0.37
	GAG	0.20	0.14	0.07	0.07	0.21	0.15	0.17	0.18	0.61	0.64	0.63	0.63	0.64	0.65	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.60	0.63	0.62	0.61	0.62	0.63	0.64	0.65	0.70	0.63	0.69	0.63	—
F	UUC	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.34	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.34	0.35	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.32	0.32	0.31
	UUU	0.95	0.96	0.97	0.97	0.94	0.95	0.94	0.95	0.66	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.66	0.65	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.68	0.68	0.69
G	GGA	0.32	0.33	0.38	0.38	0.21	0.23	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.21	0.22	0.19	0.20
	GGC	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.32	0.33	0.31	0.30	0.31	0.30	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.30	0.31	0.30	0.33	0.34	0.32	0.33
	GGG	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.20	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.25	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.23	0.23	0.29	0.24	0.20	0.27	0.25
	GGU	0.64	0.61	0.59	0.59	0.72	0.72	0.71	0.69	0.21	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.22	0.21	0.28	0.29	0.28	0.29	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.21	0.21	0.24	0.23	0.23
H	CAC	0.24	0.17	0.12	0.12	0.33	0.25	0.28	0.28	0.60	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.57	0.57	0.59	0.60	0.59	0.63	0.66	0.66	0.65	0.64	0.65	0.64	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.68	0.61	0.60
	CAU	0.76	0.83	0.88	0.88	0.67	0.75	0.72	0.72	0.40	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.43	0.43	0.41	0.40	0.41	0.37	0.34	0.34	0.35	0.36	0.35	0.36	0.37	0.37	0.38	0.32	0.39	0.40
I	AUA	0.39	0.39	0.40	0.39	0.41	0.42	0.43	0.43	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.26	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.26	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.26	0.29	0.25
	AUC	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.39	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.39	0.39	0.38	0.37	0.38	0.38	0.40	0.40	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.41	0.41	0.40	0.40	0.42	0.37	0.36
	AUU	0.58	0.59	0.58	0.59	0.52	0.54	0.52	0.50	0.36	0.34	0.34	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.38	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.34	0.34	0.38	0.37	0.33	0.34	0.39
K	AAA	0.90	0.92	0.96	0.96	0.88	0.91	0.88	0.89	0.47	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.43	0.43	0.49	0.49	0.48	0.50	0.47	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.44	0.45	0.45	0.43	0.42	0.40	0.43
	AAG	0.10	0.08	0.04	0.04	0.12	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.53	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.57	0.57	0.51	0.51	0.52	0.50	0.53	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.56	0.55	0.55	0.57	0.58	0.60	0.57
L	CUA	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.15	0.15	0.11	0.13	0.10
	CUC	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.26	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.27	0.28	0.26	0.27	0.25	0.26	0.24
	CUG	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.07	0.10
	CUU	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.27	0.27	0.28	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.23	0.23	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.26	0.23	0.25
	UUA	0.69	0.70	0.75	0.75	0.69	0.73	0.68	0.68	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.20	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.15	0.18	0.15
	UUG	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.16	0.13	0.16
M	AUG	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
N	AAC	0.20	0.21	0.14	0.14	0.25	0.20	0.23	0.24	0.56	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.53	0.54	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.55	0.55	0.56	0.63	0.63	0.55	0.54	0.67	0.59	0.62
	AAU	0.80	0.79	0.86	0.86	0.75	0.80	0.77	0.76	0.44	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.47	0.46	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.43	0.45	0.45	0.44	0.37	0.37	0.45	0.46	0.33	0.41	0.38
P	CCA	0.49	0.50	0.48	0.48	0.44	0.48	0.43	0.42	0.19	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.18	0.17	0.22	0.18
	CCC	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.42	0.42	0.43	0.41	0.43	0.41	0.44	0.44	0.43	0.43	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.43	0.50	0.45	0.43	0.36
	CCG	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.12	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.17
	CCU	0.48	0.48	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.48	0.48	0.50	0.32	0.30	0.31	0.31	0.33	0.33	0.34	0.35	0.34	0.34	0.32	0.33	0.32	0.33	0.32	0.37	0.36	0.30	0.26	0.32	0.29	0.29
Q	CAA	0.91	0.91	0.93	0.93	0.84	0.88	0.85	0.85	0.57	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.42	0.42	0.54	0.53	0.54	0.53	0.49	0.49	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.56	0.56	0.47	0.47	0.48	0.45	0.40
	CAG	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.16	0.12	0.16	0.15	0.43	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.58	0.58	0.46	0.47	0.46	0.47	0.51	0.51	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.44	0.44	0.53	0.53	0.52	0.55	0.60
R	AGA	0.88	0.86	0.87	0.87	0.73	0.79	0.73	0.76	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.22	0.22	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.20	0.18	0.22	0.20	0.14
	AGG	0.06	0.08	0.04	0.04	0.16	0.10	0.15	0.14	0.36	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.43	0.43	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.50	0.53	0.53	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.49	0.50	0.41	0.40	0.47	0.51	0.37
	CGA	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.12	0.12	0.09	0.08	0.09
	CGC	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.10	0.12	0.18
	CGG	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.03	0.04	0.12
	CGU	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.10
S	AGC	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.08	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.26	0.26	0.24	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.23	0.25	0.24	0.27	0.24
	AGU	0.25	0.28	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.27	0.21	0.21	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.09
	UCA	0.23	0.23	0.28	0.28	0.21	0.22	0.24	0.24	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.11
	UCC	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.18	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.19	0.19	0.21	0.21	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18
	UCG	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.12	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.10	0.12
	UCU	0.42	0.41	0.42	0.42	0.44	0.43	0.42	0.42	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.23	0.23	0.26	0.25	0.25
T	ACA	0.51	0.49	0.48	0.49	0.50	0.46	0.46	0.47	0.23	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.22	0.21	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.22	0.20
	ACC	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.32	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.34	0.35	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.35	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.34	0.33	0.33	0.35	0.35	0.38	0.38	0.37
	ACG	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.20	0.20	0.17	0.18	0.17	0.18	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.21	0.17	0.20
	ACU	0.45	0.47	0.48	0.48	0.44	0.49	0.46	0.45	0.22	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.22	0.22	0.23	0.23	0.23
V	GUA	0.37	0.37	0.40	0.40	0.35	0.37	0.37	0.36	0.25	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.23	0.21	0.22	0.24	0.22
	GUC	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.24	0.26	0.27	0.27	0.22	0.22	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.25	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.23
	GUG	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.18	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.19	0.21	0.19	0.16	0.17
	GUU	0.57	0.57	0.54	0.55	0.58	0.57	0.53	0.54	0.32	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.38	0.37	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.33	0.32	0.32	0.33	0.35	0.38
W	UGA	0.96	0.95	0.98	0.98	0.95	0.98	0.96	0.97	0.57	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.58	0.58	0.52	0.51	0.53	0.55	0.51	0.50	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.52	0.51	0.52	0.54	0.47	0.45	0.43
	UGG	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.43	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.42	0.42	0.48	0.49	0.47	0.45	0.49	0.50	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.48	0.49	0.48	0.46	0.53	0.55	0.57
Y	UAC	0.19	0.18	0.12	0.12	0.22	0.15	0.20	0.21	0.58	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.57	0.57	0.55	0.54	0.55	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.55	0.55	0.61	0.63	0.52	0.60	0.59
	UAU	0.81	0.82	0.88	0.88	0.78	0.85	0.80	0.79	0.42	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.43	0.43	0.45	0.46	0.45	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.45	0.45	0.39	0.37	0.48	0.40	0.41

Supplementary Figure 11: Codon usage in *Paramecium* species. Shown is the frequency of each codon for each amino acid across the Paramecium species included in this study.

Supplementary Figure 12: Decay of linkage disequilibrium across the mitochondrial genomes of *P. tetraurelia*, *P. sexaurelia*, and *P. caudatum*.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Supplementary Table 1: *dN/dS* across the *Paramecium* phylogeny (sorted by lowest to highest value of *dN/dS*).

gene	dN/dS
NADH_dehydrogenase_subunit_10	0.0071
NADH_dehydrogenase_subunit_1_b	0.0159
NADH_dehydrogenase_subunit_7	0.0177
ribosomal_protein_L14	0.0220
apocytochrome_b	0.0221
Ymf68	0.0234
NADH_dehydrogenase_subunit_3	0.0296
NADH_dehydrogenase_subunit_6	0.0305
cytochrome_c_oxidase_subunit_1	0.0337
NADH_dehydrogenase_subunit_4	0.0338
Ymf57	0.0342
Ymf80	0.0347
NADH_dehydrogenase_subunit_1_a	0.0396
ribosomal_protein_S12	0.0406
cytochrome_c_oxidase_subunit_2	0.0433
ribosomal_protein_L16	0.0482
ribosomal_protein_L12	0.0483
Ymf66	0.0491
ribosomal_protein_L6	0.0522
ribosomal_protein_S13	0.0544
NADH_dehydrogenase_subunit_4L	0.0559
NADH_dehydrogenase_subunit_5	0.0623
NADH_dehydrogenase_subunit_2	0.0661
Ymf79	0.0663
Ymf56	0.0703
Ymf78	0.0805
ribosomal_protein_S14	0.0818
Ymf65	0.0894
Ymf61	0.1018
Ymf67	0.1105
NADH_dehydrogenase_subunit_9	0.1158
Ymf84	0.1200
yejR	0.1241
Ymf59	0.1476
ribosomal_protein_S3	0.1574
Ymf63	0.1632
ATP_synthase_F0_subunit_9	0.1781
Ymf83	0.1803
Ymf76	0.1962
Ymf64	0.2154

Supplementary Table 2: List of genes under positive selection in *P. sexaurelia* and *P. caudatum*. All p values are corrected for multiple tests by Holm Bonferroni method.

P. sexaurelia				P. caudatum			
all sites included		Using well conserved sites (see methods)		all sites included		Using well conserved sites (see methods)	
gene	p	gene	p	gene	p	gene	p
Ymf64	1.69×10^{-13}	Cox1	5.01×10^{-3}	Ymf63	5.71×10^{-9}	Ymf64	3.48×10^{-7}
Ymf67	2.71×10^{-7}	Ymf76	9.49×10^{-3}	Ymf64	2.12×10^{-8}		
Ymf63	3.56×10^{-6}	Ymf63	2.99×10^{-2}	rpS3	2.78×10^{-4}		
Ymf84	1.84×10^{-5}			Ymf59	7.79×10^{-3}		
Cox1	2.28×10^{-4}			Ymf61	1.18×10^{-2}		
Nadh5	3.58×10^{-4}			Ymf67	2.65×10^{-2}		
rpL6	1.91×10^{-3}						
yejR	4.22×10^{-3}						
Ymf65	6.72×10^{-3}						
rpS13	8.23×10^{-3}						
cox2	1.05×10^{-2}						
Ymf76	2.72×10^{-2}						
Ymf61	2.79×10^{-2}						

Supplementary Table 3: Mitochondrial mutations identified in *P. biaurelia* and *P. sexaurelia* mutation accumulation experiments.

P. biaurelia				P. sexaurelia		
position	genome	variant		position	genome	variant
490	C	A		1577	A	G
606	C	A		1645	T	C
2646	T	A		3466	A	T
2887	T	G		3790	A	G
3155	A	G		4674	T	A
3155	A	T		4742	T	A
4779	C	A		4770	G	A
4794	G	A		4847	A	T
4811	T	G		5065	T	A
7442	A	T		5417	A	G
7498	A	G		6096	G	A
7529	T	A		6454	T	A
7728	T	A		6491	T	A
7753	T	A		6635	G	A
7979	T	A		6642	T	A
7998	A	G		6903	A	C
8071	T	A		7007	A	G

8076	T	A		7424	A	T
8077	A	T		7522	G	A
13086	T	A		7538	G	A
13140	A	C		7568	G	A
17709	T	A		7721	T	A
17709	T	C		7734	T	A
17761	T	A		7858	A	C
17787	G	A		8152	T	A
17790	C	A		8172	T	G
17841	T	A		8405	T	C
17841	T	G		8439	A	C
18090	A	T		8620	A	G
22843	T	A		8850	T	A
22843	T	G		9044	G	A
22955	A	T		9955	A	G
26509	T	A		10023	T	C
26657	T	C		10382	A	G
26668	A	G		10444	A	G
26702	T	A		10919	T	A
26703	T	A		11283	T	A
26744	C	A		11900	T	A
26766	G	A		12902	A	G
26768	T	A		13649	T	C
28321	T	A		15256	T	A
28378	T	A		15771	A	T
28498	T	G		15786	T	C
32849	T	A		15799	A	G
32849	T	G		16239	A	G
33094	T	G		16394	T	C
33096	T	G		17103	A	T
33139	A	G		18194	A	G
35080	T	C		19729	T	G
35485	T	A		20044	T	G
35512	A	G		20398	A	G
35564	T	C		20529	T	C
35723	C	T		21060	A	G
37061	G	A		21204	A	T
37104	T	G		21423	A	T
				21615	A	T
				22111	T	C
				23241	A	G
				24268	C	T
				24806	A	G
				25196	T	C
				25199	A	C

					25227	A	G
					25290	T	A
					25347	T	A
					26548	T	A
					26672	T	G
					26934	T	A
					27174	T	C
					27714	T	G
					27924	A	C
					29490	A	T
					30667	T	C
					31217	C	T
					31870	A	G
					32870	T	G
					33090	T	A
					33794	A	G
					33800	T	G
					34300	C	T
					34334	G	A
					34348	G	A
					34736	T	A
					35045	T	A
					35700	C	T
					36667	T	A
					38460	T	A

Supplementary Table 4: Summary of results obtained from LDhat (pairwise) to detect the presence of recombination in mitochondrial genomes of *Paramecium* species. The *p* values (not corrected for multiple tests) indicate whether values of statistics calculated on observed data are significantly different from the same values obtained for permuted SNPs.

Species	Model	θ	p (Composite Likelihood Test)	p (G4)	p (correlation between r^2 and distance)	p (correlation between D' and distance)	$4N_er$ (Hudson's method with finite sites)	$4N_er$ (estimated by Wakely, 1997)
P. tetraurelia	cross-over	0.001	0.333	0.000	0.194	0.000	0	0
		0.01	1.000	0.000	0.211	0.000	0	0
	gene conversion	0.001	0.322	0.000	0.559	0.043	0	0

		0.01	1.000	0.000	0.549	0.043	0	0
P. sexaurelia	cross-over	0.01	1.000	0.965	0.980	1.000	0	0
		0.1	1.000	0.974	0.971	1.000	0	0
	gene conversion	0.01	1.000	0.107	0.043	0.990	0	0
		0.1	1.000	0.099	0.050	0.998	0	0
P. caudatum	cross-over	0.01	0.273	1.000	0.995	0.998	0	0
		0.1	0.000	1.000	0.998	0.999	0	0
	gene conversion	0.01	0.276	1.000	1.000	1.000	0	0
		0.1	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	0	0
P. multimicronucleatum	cross-over	0.01	0.236	0.811	0.782	0.466	0	0
	gene conversion	0.01	0.225	0.879	0.806	0.502	0	0

Supplementary Table 5: Comparison of neutrality indices between the mitochondrial and nuclear genes that encode for proteins that are part of the oxidative phosphorylation pathway and those that form the structural components of the ribosome.

	Oxidative phosphorylation			Ribosomal proteins		
	π_n/π_s	$P_N/P_S/D_N/D_S$	$\pi_n/\pi_s/dN/dS$	π_n/π_s	$P_N/P_S/D_N/D_S$	$\pi_n/\pi_s/dN/dS$
***P. tetraurelia*:**						
Number of nuclear genes	27	13	14	336	77	88
Mitochondrial	0.127	0.781	2.384	0.062	1.935	2.248
Nuclear	0.200	2.896	6.712	0.092	0.737	1.653
p	0.223	0.285	0.422	0.387	0.352	0.262
***P. sexaurelia*:**						
Number of nuclear genes	22	18	16	429	119	138
Mitochondrial	0.124	0.328	5.180	0.035	0.089	0.754
Nuclear	0.146	1.243	7.579	0.158	1.047	3.759
p	0.535	0.444	0.145	0.093	0.124	0.308
***P. caudatum*:**						
Number of nuclear genes	12	3	-	422	9	-
Mitochondrial	0.105	0.364	-	0.067	0.051	-
Nuclear	0.133	0.211	-	0.133	0.260	-
p	0.726	0.107	-	0.513	0.650	-

Supplementary Table 6: Neutrality indices (defined in the Methods section) in the mitochondria of *Paramecium* species (divergence estimated using all 13 taxa).

	P. tetraurelia		*P. sexaurelia*		*P. caudatum*	
	NI [SD]	NI_{TG}	NI [SD]	NI_{TG}	NI [SD]	NI_{TG}
All mitochondrial genes	1.521 [2.031]	0.765	0.281 [0.475]	0.213	0.500 [0.592]	0.314
Excluding Ymf genes	1.794 [2.515]	0.690	0.339 [0.601]	0.265	0.645 [0.706]	0.403
All mitochondrial genes with dS < 1.0	1.379 [1.454]	0.829	0.539 [0.843]	0.371	0.513 [0.618]	0.314
All mitochondrial genes with dS < 1.0, excluding Ymf genes	1.607 [1.890]	0.715	0.859 [1.211]	0.495	0.674 [0.894]	0.253