Contrasting patterns of coding and flanking region evolution in mammalian keratin associated protein-1 genes

Huitong Zhou[*,†,1], Tina Visnovska[‡,1], Hua Gong[*,†], Sebastian Schmeier[‡], Jon Hickford[*,†], and Austen R.D. Ganley[‡,§]

* State Key Laboratory of Sheep Genetic Improvement and Heathy Production, Shihezi 832000, China

† Faculty of Agricultural and Life Sciences, Lincoln University, Lincoln 7647, New Zealand

‡ Institute of Natural and Mathematical Sciences, Massey University Auckland, Auckland 0632, New Zealand

§ School of Biological Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland 1142, New Zealand

1 These authors contributed equally to this work

To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Austen Ganley: School of Biological Sciences, University of Auckland, 3A Symonds St,

Building 110N, Auckland 1142, New Zealand; +64 9 923 2906; a.ganley@auckland.ac.nz

Huitong Zhou: Faculty of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Lincoln University,

Cnr Springs Road & Ellesmere Junction Road, Lincoln 7647, New Zealand; +64 3 423 0684;

zhouh@lincoln.ac.nz

Abstract

DNA repeats are common elements in eukaryotic genomes, and their multi-copy nature provides the opportunity for genetic exchange. This exchange can produce altered evolutionary patterns, including concerted evolution where within genome repeat copies are more similar to each other than to orthologous repeats in related species. Here we investigated the genetic architecture of the keratin-associated protein (KAP) gene family, *KRTAP1*. This family encodes proteins that are important components of hair and wool in mammals, and the genes are present in tandem copies. Comparison of *KRTAP1* gene repeats from species across the mammalian phylogeny shows strongly contrasting evolutionary patterns between the coding regions, which have a concerted evolution pattern, and the flanking regions, which have a normal, radiating pattern of evolution. This dichotomy in evolutionary pattern transitions abruptly at the start and stop codons, and we show it is not the result of purifying selection acting to maintain species-specific protein sequences, nor of codon adaptation or reverse transcription of *KRTAP1-n* mRNA. Instead, the results are consistent with short-tract gene conversion events coupled with selection for these events in the coding region driving the contrasting evolutionary patterns found in the *KRTAP1* repeats. Our work shows the power that repeat recombination has to complement selection and finely tune the sequences of repetitive genes. Interplay between selection and recombination may be a more common mechanism than currently appreciated for achieving specific adaptive outcomes in the many eukaryotic multi-gene families, and our work argues for greater emphasis on exploring the sequence structures of these families.

                              INTRODUCTION

Repetitive DNA is widespread in most eukaryote genomes (BRITTEN AND KOHNE 1968;

RICHARD *et al.* 2008; LOPEZ-FLORES AND GARRIDO-RAMOS 2012). There are two basic repeat

DNA types: tandem repeats that are typically arranged in head-to-tail arrays; and dispersed

repeats, and these can occur in either coding or non-coding DNA. Repeats are thought to

arise from recombination-based duplication/amplification events (STEPHAN 1989). Sequence

identity between duplicates will then decay through the diversifying force of mutation, unless

counteracting processes operate (BROWN *et al.* 1972; DOVER 1982). The balance between

duplication, diversification, selection, and counteracting forces thus dictate the evolutionary

dynamics of repeats. Two main paradigms have been proposed to account for the long-term

maintenance of repeat identity: concerted evolution and birth-and-death evolution. Concerted

evolution describes a pattern of evolution where the repeats within a genome show greater

sequence identity to each other than to orthologous repeats in related genomes (ELDER AND

TURNER 1995). The pattern of concerted evolution is proposed to result from recombination-

based processes, such as gene conversion and unequal cross-over events, that replace the

DNA sequence from one repeat with that from another repeat (LIAO 1999). In so doing, these

recombination processes maintain sequence identity between repeat copies in the face of

mutation, and thus homogenize the repeats (DOVER 1982). 'Birth-and-death' evolution

involves purifying selection maintaining sequence identity between repeats that are generated

by occasional duplication events (i.e. birth), as well as death, which results from repeat loss

or pseudogenization (NEI *et al.* 1997; NEI *et al.* 2000). While there has been debate as to

which of these processes best describes the evolutionary dynamics of repetitive DNA (NEI

AND ROONEY 2005; ROONEY AND WARD 2005; EIRIN-LOPEZ *et al.* 2012), a basic

characterization of the evolutionary dynamics of most repeat families is lacking.

The keratin-associated proteins (KAPs) are a diverse group of proteins, and are rich in either

sulphur, or glycine and tyrosine. They are important structural components of hair and wool

fibres, and form a matrix that cross-links the keratin intermediate filaments. The genes

encoding the KAPs are called *KRTAP*s (GONG *et al.* 2012), and can be classified into 27

families, with each family comprising 1-12 members that are usually tandemly arranged

(ROGERS AND SCHWEIZER 2005; ROGERS *et al.* 2006; GONG *et al.* 2016). The *KRTAP*s are

single exon (intron-less) genes, with small coding sequences (less than 1 kb) (ROGERS AND

SCHWEIZER 2005), and they have low numbers of pseudogenes. For example, in humans the

pseudogene:gene *KRTAP* ratio is approximately 1:5 (GONG *et al.* 2016), while across all

human genes the ratio is close to 1:1 (TORRENTS *et al.* 2003; STEIN 2004). In addition, the

*KRTAP*s show high levels of population variation, with all known *KRTAP* genes being

polymorphic in sheep (GONG *et al.* 2010b; GONG *et al.* 2016; ZHOU *et al.* 2016), where they

are well studied because of their roles in determining wool phenotypes (ZHOU *et al.* 2015; LI

et al. 2017a; LI *et al.* 2017b; LI *et al.* 2017c; TAO *et al.* 2017a; TAO *et al.* 2017b). Despite this

variation, it has been reported that at least some *KRTAP* genes show a pattern of concerted

evolution between the paraglogous gene copies (ROGERS *et al.* 1994; WU *et al.* 2008; KHAN

et al. 2014).

The KAP1 proteins form the best characterised KAP family, and they show a high degree of

sequence heterogeneity compared to other KAP families. These KAP1 proteins appear to be

restricted in expression to the middle to upper cortex region of the hair and wool follicle, and

are absent in the cuticle (POWELL AND ROGERS 1997; SHIMOMURA *et al.* 2002). Their precise

role in hair and wool function, has yet to be determined. The genes encoding the KAP1

proteins (*KRTAP1-n*) have been characterized in a number of mammalian species, where they

are usually arranged as four tandem copies (**Figure 1**) (KHAN *et al.* 2014). The coding

regions of the *KRTAP1-n* genes vary in length within species, predominantly as a

consequence of variation in the number of imperfect tandem decapeptide repeat units (GONG

et al. 2016) (**Figure 1**).

Here we analyse the *KRTAP1* genes from a number of mammalian species, including four

species for which the *KRTAP1-n* loci have not been described. Together with the existing

KRTAP1-n sequences, we reveal that the *KRTAP1-n* coding regions display a pattern of

concerted evolution. In stark contrast to the coding region though, we find that the repeat

flanking regions display no evidence of concerted evolution, and instead appear to be

evolving by normal vertical or radiating evolution. Surprisingly, we find that this pattern of

coding region restricted concerted evolution is not the result of purifying selection, nor does

it result from codon adaptation or reverse transcription/reintegration of *KRTAP1-n* mRNA

sequences. Instead, the results are best explained by a combination of on-going short-tract

gene conversion events between the *KRTAP1-n* copies, and negative selection. We argue that

these gene conversion events act as an unusual mechanism of purifying selection to prevent

excessive intra-genomic divergence between the four gene copies, while also allowing inter-

species diversity. This unusual mode of evolution may apply to other multicopy genes that

encode products subject to diversifying selection.

121   **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Sequence Resources and Gene Identification: All genome sequences were sourced from

the NCBI GenBank. Previously identified *KRTAP1-n* sequences (ITENGE-MWEZA *et al.* 2007;

WU *et al.* 2008; GONG *et al.* 2010a; GONG *et al.* 2011) were used to search the genomes of

cattle, horses, rabbits and African elephants using BLAST with default parameters, and the

genes retrieved were identified by sequence identity within both the coding and flanking

regions (**Table S1**).

Sequence Alignments: *KRTAP1* nucleotide sequences (**Table S1**) for all four paralogs from

the ten species (sheep, cattle, dog, elephant, horse, human, macaque, mouse, rat and rabbit)

were separated into 5' flanking regions, coding sequences, and 3' flanking regions. The

multiple sequence alignment tool *mafft* (v7.123b) (KATOH AND STANDLEY 2013) was used to

separately align the 5' and 3' flanking regions as nucleotide sequences, using the arguments '-

-nuc --localpair --maxiterate 1000'. To align the coding sequences at the predicted amino acid

level, *mafft* with the arguments '--amino --localpair --maxiterate 1000' was run.

The coding sequence alignment was subsequently reverse translated using *revTrans* (v1.4)

(WERNERSSON AND PEDERSEN 2003) with two input files: the sequences of all the coding

regions, and the amino acid sequence alignments. The sequences in the two files were paired

by name using the '-match name' parameter, and default values were used for all other

parameters. A number of regions align poorly and have many indels, therefore we used the

longest continuous coding sequence block (198 nucleotides; covers on average around 40%

of the coding region) where none of the 40 sequences had indels. For the flanking region

alignments, we used *Gblocks* (v0.91b) (TALAVERA AND CASTRESANA 2007) to select blocks

that cover approximately 40% of the flanking regions having the best alignment. We also

used *Gblocks* with less stringent criteria to create multiple sequence alignments of the coding

and flanking regions that included more poorly aligning regions.

Phylogenetic Trees: *PhyML* (v3.1) (GUINDON *et al.* 2010) was used to construct phylogenies

based on the coding and flanking region sequences. The number of resampled bootstrap data

sets was set to 1000 (parameter '-b 1000'), and the additional arguments '-q -s BEST -o tlr'

were employed. The Bioconductor package *ggtree* (v1.9.4) (YU *et al.* 2017) was used to plot

the phylogenies.

Codon Adaptation Index: The CAIcal server (http://genomes.urv.es/CAIcal(PUIGBO *et al.*

2008) was used to calculate CAI values for the *KRTAP1*s, as well as expected CAI values

from permutated sequences using default parameters and published codon usage data

(NAKAMURA *et al.* 2000).

Motifs in the Coding Sequences: We used MEME motif finder (v4.12.0) (BAILEY *et al.*

2006) to explore repetitive elements in the coding sequences. The repetitive structure of the

coding regions reported in the Results was obtained with parameters '-dna -oc . -nostatus -

time 18000 -maxsize 60000 -mod anr -nmotifs 6 -minw 6 -maxw 30 -minsites 20 -maxsites

600 –revcomp' and all the other parameters set to the default values.

KRTAP1-n **Polymorphism in Sheep:** Intra-specific variation was assessed using three

sequences for *KRTAP1-1* (ITENGE-MWEZA *et al.* 2007), eleven sequences for *KRTAP1-2*

(GONG *et al.* 2011; GONG *et al.* 2015), nine sequences for *KRTAP1-3* (ITENGE-MWEZA *et al.*

2007), and nine sequences for *KRTAP1-4* (GONG *et al.* 2010a). These were aligned using

DNAMAN (v5.2.10; Lynnon BioSoft, Canada) with default parameters, and polymorphic

sites were identified manually.

Data Availability Statement: Sequence data are available at GenBank and the accession

numbers and positions are listed in the **Materials and Methods** (sheep polymorphism data)

and Table S1 (*KRTAP1* sequences). Descriptions of the supplemental material are given in

File S1, and the supplemental material are available on figshare.

                                        RESULTS

Mammalian *KRTAP1-n* repeats show a concerted evolution pattern in the coding but

not the flanking regions

To better understand the genetic architecture of the mammalian *KRTAP1* cluster, we selected

the *KRTAP1* genomic region from key members of the mammalian phylogeny for analysis.

The Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) was used to search GenBank with known

KRTAP1-n sequences to identify and retrieve the *KRTAP1* clusters from the genomes of four

species (cattle, horses, rabbits and African elephants) for whom *KRTAP1-n* sequence

information has not been reported (**Figure S1**). We then combined these with previously-

identified *KRTAP1-n* sequences from other mammalian species to obtain sampling across the

mammalian phylogeny (**Figure 2**).

Previously, the *KRTAP1* genes of sheep were shown to contain a variable number of

occurrences of a QTSCCQPXXX decapeptide tandem repeat in the N-terminal region of the

protein (ROGERS *et al.* 1994; GONG *et al.* 2011; GONG *et al.* 2016). We used a motif finding

tool (MEME; (BAILEY *et al.* 2006) to search for repetitive motifs in the coding regions of all

the mammalian *KRTAP1-n* sequences. This revealed that the decapeptide repeat is present at

the N-terminus in all mammalian *KRTAP1-n* genes we obtained (**Figure S2)**, albeit with less

amino acid conservation than that observed in sheep. MEME also identified nucleotide level

tandem copies of this repeat at the C-terminus of the protein. Furthermore, both the N- and C-

terminal repeats vary in copy number, within and between genomes. This copy number

variation is responsible for much of the length variation between *KRTAP1-n* sequences.

To determine the genetic relationships between of the mammalian *KRTAP1-n* genes, we

generated a *KRTAP1* phylogenetic tree from an alignment of our mammalian *KRTAP1-n*

coding region sequences. This revealed that, in most cases, the *KRTAP1* genes are more

related to each other within a species than to their orthologs in other species, thus exhibiting a

concerted evolution pattern. This manifests as clades that group by species, rather than by

repeat, in the phylogenetic tree (**Figure 3**). This concerted evolution pattern breaks down

between the most closely-related species pairs (cattle/sheep, rat/mouse, human/macaque),

presumably because the signal is confounded by these species having more recent shared

ancestry. Nevertheless, for most species there is a clear pattern of concerted evolution.

For concertedly evolving tandem repeat sequences such as the ribosomal RNA gene repeats,

homogenization occurs for the complete repeat unit, including the non-coding regions

(GANLEY AND KOBAYASHI 2007). To test whether the *KRTAP1* clusters display a 'whole-

unit' pattern of concerted evolution, we generated *KRTAP1* phylogenetic trees from multiple

alignments of the 5' and 3' flanking sequences of the mammalian *KRTAP1* genes.

Surprisingly, the phylogenies derived from these flanking sequences did not show any pattern

of concerted evolution, and in contrast to the coding region phylogeny, the clades in these

phylogenetic trees were group by *KRTAP1* repeat number, not by species (**Figure 3**). We

note that bootstrap support is not strong for all the clades in these phylogenetic trees, but the

contrast between the coding region concerted versus flanking region radiating evolutionary

patterns is unmistakable. Furthermore, the topology within many of the *KRTAP1* flanking

region clades is consistent with the reported mammalian phylogeny (refer to **Figures 2** and

3). These phylogenies were generated from multiple sequence alignments that encompass the

regions that align well, but phylogenies derived from sequence alignments that include poorly

aligned regions give qualitatively similar results (**Figure S3**). Overall, in stark contrast to the

coding region, the flanking regions show a phylogenetic pattern expected for normal

radiating evolution, and exhibit no evidence of concerted evolution.

What is responsible for the different evolutionary patterns of the *KRTAP1* coding and flanking regions?

The difference in evolutionary pattern between the coding and flanking regions is striking, hence we sought to identify the mechanism(s) responsible.

Purifying selection: Previous studies have shown that multi-gene loci undergoing birth-and-death evolution can show high levels of identity within the coding region due to strong purifying selection (NEI *et al.* 2000; PIONTKIVSKA *et al.* 2002). It is possible that purifying selection maintains sequence identity between *KRTAP1-n* copies within a species, whilst diversifying selection results in differences between species. If so, we would predict that while the non-synonymous sites would show a concerted evolution pattern, the synonymous sites would instead show a normal radiating pattern of evolution (resembling the flanking regions).

To investigate this, we looked at the pattern of evolution of the synonymous sites in the coding sequences compared to the non-synonymous sites. The number of KAP1 amino acid changes present within and between species makes it difficult to consistently call sites as synonymous or non-synonymous, so third codon positions were used as a proxy for synonymous sites, and first and second codon positions were used as a proxy for non-synonymous sites. We generated phylogenetic trees from multiple sequence alignments of the first-second (which we refer to as "non-synonymous"), and third (which we refer to as "synonymous") codon sites of the *KRTAP1-n* coding regions to test for different evolutionary patterns. Surprisingly, while the non-synonymous sites displayed a pattern of concerted evolution as was expected (**Figure 4A**), the synonymous sites also revealed the same pattern of concerted evolution (**Figure 4B**). The concerted evolution pattern for the synonymous sites seems to be stronger than that of the non-synonymous sites, as they separate sheep and

cattle into separate clades, and also resolve dog, elephant, and rat/mouse into separate clades

(**Figure 4**).

Codon adaptation: We considered whether this pattern of concerted evolution amongst the

synonymous sites might result from codon adaptation (LIN *et al.* 2006), as a result of

synonymous mutations being selected to follow changes in the favoured codons between

species. The *KRTAP1-n* genes display strong evidence for codon adaptation (the degree to

which the favoured codons for that species are used in a gene). For example, the human

KRTAP1-n genes collectively show a codon adaptation index (CAI) of 0.91 (out of a

maximum of 1), higher than the CAI of randomly permutated human *KRTAP1* sequences

(CAI=0.78). Using the *KRTAP1* coding sequence alignment used for the phylogenies

presented in **Figure 3**, we identified nine synonymous differences between human and mouse

that exhibit a concerted evolution pattern (similarity within species versus difference between

species). If codon adaptation can explain this pattern, these synonymous mutations should

change in a manner consistent with a change in codon usage preference for that amino acid.

Five of these mutations show the pattern expected, given the change in codon usage between

human and mouse (synonymous change creates the more favoured codon in the species it is

found in). However, four of these mutations show the opposite pattern, and most of the codon

usage preference changes between human and mouse are small (**Table S2**). These results

provide no evidence for adaptation to different codon usage preferences driving the pattern of

KRTAP1 concerted evolution.

Reverse transcription of *KRTAP1* mRNA: Another potential explanation for the

incongruence in evolutionary pattern between the *KRTAP1* coding and flanking regions is

reverse transcription of *KRTAP1-n* mRNAs, followed by homologous recombination-

mediated replacement of a genomic *KRTAP1-n* with the reverse transcribed copy

(COULOMBE-HUNTINGTON AND MAJEWSKI 2007). This is feasible given that *KRTAP1-n* are

single-exon genes. If reverse transcription events occur, the 5' and particularly 3' flanking

regions should show a concerted evolution pattern that is similar to the coding region.

Inspection of the 5' and 3' flanking regions revealed that sequence similarity between

KRTAP1-n sequences within a genome tends to decay immediately upstream of the ATG

codon and downstream of the stop codon (**Figure 5**). This suggests that reverse

transcription/integration of *KRTAP1-n* mRNA is unlikely to explain the pattern of *KRTAP1*

concerted evolution, as the transcribed flanking regions of the gene would be expected to

'hitch-hike' with the coding regions through such a mechanism.

We also considered whether the *KRTAP1-n* sequences might have arisen through a pure

birth-and-death process by independent gene duplication events. However, we think this is

improbable as it would require the same number of duplications to occur in at least seven of

the species, and, independently, that each of these duplications would not involve any

flanking sequence (including promoter and terminator sequences) and have inserted into the

same site in each species.

Gene conversion: Finally, we considered whether gene conversion could explain the pattern

of *KRTAP1* repeat evolution. Gene conversion events within a genome that convert a section

of one repeat to the sequence of another can create homogeneity (CHEN *et al.* 2007), and the

degree of homogeneity depends on the relative rates of gene conversion and mutation

(TESHIMA AND INNAN 2004; HARPAK *et al.* 2017). Our results imply that if gene conversion

does occur, it is somehow restricted to the coding region. This pattern could occur if there is

selective pressure to maintain a degree of intra-genome homogeneity between the repeat

copies. If so, under the assumption that gene conversion occurs in both the coding and

flanking regions, those events occurring in the flanking region will not have a selective

advantage, while those occurring in the coding region will. Therefore, the probability of gene

conversion events becoming fixed in the population will be greater for events that involve the

coding region. There is considerable intra-genomic variation between *KRTAP1* repeats

(**Figure 3**), but this incomplete level of homogenization can be explained by relatively

infrequent gene conversion events and/or relative infrequent fixation of these events.

Therefore, the sequence features of the *KRTAP1* repeats that we document here can all be

accounted for by gene conversion coupled with selection.

Evidence for gene conversion events in the *KRTAP1-n* repeats

Inspection of the *KRTAP1* coding region multiple sequence alignment provides evidence for

tracts of gene conversion. Specifically, sites where there are mutations that are shared

between copies within a species, but that differ between species, are frequently clustered

together rather than scattered throughout the gene (**Figure 6**). Such patches of homogeneity

are expected if there has been occasional, short-tract gene conversion events. The patches we

observe are small, but are within the expected range for mammalian gene conversion events

(CHEN *et al.* 2007). In addition, we collected population polymorphism data for *KRTAP1-n*

sequences in sheep, as comprehensive sequence variation data are scarce in other species. For

many of the sites that are polymorphic, the polymorphism is shared across some, or all, of the

KRTAP1-n sequences (**Figure 7**). While we cannot rule out independent mutation events in

each *KRTAP1* copy, we think that gene conversion is a more parsimonious explanation for

this observation, particularly for the polymorphisms at synonymous sites. Gene conversion

has also previously been suggested as an explanation for the pattern of polymorphism in the

ovine *KRTAP1* genes (ROGERS *et al.* 1994). Collectively, our results suggest that the unusual

evolutionary pattern of the *KRTAP1* repeats, where the coding region evolutionary dynamics

are uncoupled from those of the flanking region, is the result of occasional short-tract gene conversion events that are selected for in the coding region but not the flanking regions, and that drive partial homogenization.

DISCUSSION

Here we have shown that *KRTAP1-n* genes are conserved as a block of four tandem repeats in mammalian species, and this suggests they derive from a relatively ancient gene-amplification event or events that probably pre-date mammalian speciation. These four tandem copies display a strong pattern of concerted evolution in the coding regions, yet the regions flanking show a normal radiating pattern of evolution. We suggest that this dichotomous pattern of evolution is not the result of purifying selection acting to retard changes to the amino acid sequence, but instead results from short gene conversion tracts that periodically homogenize sequences between the four *KRTAP1* genes.

The role of gene conversion is supported by two key pieces of evidence: 1) unique amino acid tracts that are shared by KAP1 copies within a species, but are unique to that species/group of related species; and 2) the possession of shared nucleotide variants between *KRTAP1* gene copies in sheep populations. These results extend previous reports of homogenization via ongoing short-tract gene conversion events in other protein coding genes (NOONAN *et al.* 2004; LAMPING *et al.* 2017).

We propose that gene conversion is being utilized as an unusual form of purifying selection that prevents accumulation of too much divergence between *KRTAP1* gene copies. We speculate that homogeneity of the *KRTAP1* coding sequences is beneficial as it enables the

production of more homogenous components of the hair and wool fibre matrix, and thus

potentially facilitates better associations with the keratin intermediate filaments. We cannot,

however, rule out the possibility that individual *KRTAP1* repeats might have functional

differences, the signal of which is overwhelmed by the concerted evolution signal from the

majority of the gene. However, we note that, particularly in dogs, some of the *KRTAP1-n*

genes are very similar in sequence. Therefore, we favour the explanation that *KRTAP1*

concerted evolution results from ongoing, stochastic gene conversion events coupled with

selection within the coding region against inter-repeat heterogeneity.

Purifying selection is evident in the *KRTAP1-n* coding regions, as the rate of synonymous

change is about twice that of the non-synonymous rate (**Figure 4**). While this may seem to

contradict the similarity in the synonymous and non-synonymous concerted evolution tree

topologies, it can be simply explained by purifying selection acting on residues that are

conserved between species, and thus not contributing to the synapomorphies that influence

the tree topologies. Any gene conversion events that homogenize unfavourable amino acids

will be selected against, thereby preventing deleterious mutations from spreading between

copies. However, this same process also allows tolerable and advantageous amino acid

changes to sweep through the copies (DOVER 1982). The *KRTAP1-n* sequences from closely

related species (i.e. human and macaque, rat and mouse, sheep and cattle) were not separated

into different clades for most of the phylogenetic trees we generated (**Figures 3 and 4**). This

suggests that the rate of homogenization is relatively slow, and insufficient to drive

substantial homogeneity over the evolutionary time frames separating these species pairs. In

this context, the shared polymorphisms that we observe in sheep (that are evidence for gene

conversion events) are likely intermediate stages in the accumulation of homogenized

KRTAP1-n sequences.

The sharp border between a concerted evolution pattern in the coding region and a radiating

evolution pattern in the immediate flanking regions is striking. This can partially be explained

by the selection for gene conversion events within the coding region, as we have proposed.

However, it is intriguing to speculate that this may also be a consequence of differential

expression between the *KRTAP1* genes that is mediated by copy-specific differences in the

regulatory regions. Although not direct, some evidence for differential regulation of

KRTAP1-n gene expression was found in two transcriptome studies looking for differentially

expressed genes (FAN *et al.* 2013; CHANG *et al.* 2014). If the *KRTAP1-n* genes do have

functionally distinct roles, gene conversion events in the *KRTAP1* regulatory regions that

perturb their differential regulation may be maladaptive and therefore selected against. Thus,

selective pressure for coding region homogeneity versus regulatory region diversity, coupled

with ongoing gene conversion, may be a powerful way to achieve the dichotomy in

evolutionary patterns we observe. Clearly, a better understanding of the transcriptional

regulation of the *KRTAP1* genes is required to address this hypothesis.

Gene conversion is frequently viewed through the lens of impeding sub-functionalization of

gene duplicates. This view is consistent with the well characterized case of the opsin gene

duplicates in primates, where there is a much stronger signal of gene conversion/concerted

evolution in the introns, than in the exons (SHYUE *et al.* 1994; HIWATASHI *et al.* 2011). The

interpretation is that selection has largely rejected gene conversion events that include the

coding (exon) regions, whilst allowing those occurring in the non-coding (intron) regions to

spread in the population (SHYUE *et al.* 1994). This is the opposite of what we observe, and

illustrates how gene conversion and selection can intersect to produce a constellation of

evolutionary patterns: homogenization of the non-coding but not the coding regions in the

opsin paralogs (SHYUE *et al.* 1994); homogenization of the coding but not the non-coding

regions in the *KRTAP1* genes (this study); and homogenisation of both coding and non-

coding regions equally in the ribosomal RNA gene repeats (GANLEY AND KOBAYASHI 2007).

The extent to which gene conversion acts to homogenize gene duplicates remains

controversial (GAO AND INNAN 2004; CASOLA *et al.* 2012; HARPAK *et al.* 2017). Furthermore,

even in examples where recurrent gene conversion events can be detected, they are often not

sufficient to produce a strong concerted evolution pattern (PETRONELLA AND DROUIN 2011;

PETRONELLA AND DROUIN 2014). There are two potential explanations for why such a strong

pattern of concerted evolution is observed in the case the *KRTAP1* genes, despite the

relatively high levels of divergence between copies. First, unlike many of the examples that

have aroused controversy (GAO AND INNAN 2004; CASOLA *et al.* 2012; HARPAK *et al.* 2017),

the *KRTAP1-n* repeats are tandemly-arranged. Proximity effects as a consequence of tandem

arrangement may increase the chances of unequal alignment of the repeats during DNA

repair-based homologous recombination compared to dispersed repeats, and thus may

increase the chances of conversion events. However, this does not explain examples where

tandemly repeated paralogs do not show a strong concerted evolution pattern (NEI *et al.* 2000;

PERINA *et al.* 2011). A second explanation relates to the imperfect decapeptide tandem repeat

motif found in the coding region. Variation in the copy number of decapeptide repeats

between *KRTAP1* genes is possibly the result of unequal recombination (LIAO AND WEINER

1995; GANLEY AND SCOTT 1998; MORRILL *et al.* 2016). If so, the *KRTAP1* genes may

harbour a recombination hotspot that drives both decapeptide repeat copy number variation

and gene conversion at higher than average levels.

Repeats are ubiquitous denizens of eukaryote genomes, where they exist in different forms

(coding, non-coding) and organizations (tandem, dispersed). Our results add to the growing

list of examples that illustrate how different molecular and evolutionary processes can

impinge on repeats to structure their sequences and create distinctive patterns of evolution

(SHYUE *et al.* 1994; NOONAN *et al.* 2004; GANLEY AND KOBAYASHI 2007; STORZ *et al.* 2007;

HIWATASHI *et al.* 2011; LAMPING *et al.* 2017). However, it is unclear how widespread these

sorts of evolutionary dynamics are for eukaryotic gene repeats, largely because the patterns of

evolution have not been investigated for the vast majority of multi-gene families. The

increasing availability of high quality genome sequences for a wide range of eukaryotes puts

us in an excellent position to determine, on a much more systematic and wide-ranging basis,

the patterns of repeat sequence dynamics and evolution. This will, in turn, make it clear

whether the impact of recombination on the *KRTAP1*s is unusual, or highlights a common

mechanism to finely scale patterns of homogeneity and divergence between repeat copies

over time.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

425 This work was supported by a Marsden Fund award (14-MAU-053) to ARDG, an

426 AGMARDT Postdoctoral Fellowship to HG, and a Vernon Willey Trust Fellowship to HZ.

REFERENCES

Bailey, T. L., N. Williams, C. Misleh and W. W. Li, 2006 MEME: discovering and analyzing DNA

and protein sequence motifs. Nucleic Acids Res. 34**:** W369-373.

Britten, R. J., and D. E. Kohne, 1968 Repeated sequences in DNA. Science 161**:** 529-540.

Brown, D. D., P. C. Wensink and E. Jordan, 1972 A comparison of the ribosomal DNA's of

Xenopus laevis and *Xenopus mulleri*: the evolution of tandem genes. J. Mol. Biol. 63**:**

57-73.

Casola, C., G. C. Conant and M. W. Hahn, 2012 Very low rate of gene conversion in the yeast

genome. Mol. Biol. Evol. 29**:** 3817-3826.

Chang, T. H., H. D. Huang, W. K. Ong, Y. J. Fu, O. K. Lee *et al.*, 2014 The effects of actin

cytoskeleton perturbation on keratin intermediate filament formation in

mesenchymal stem/stromal cells. Biomaterials 35**:** 3934-3944.

Chen, J. M., D. N. Cooper, N. Chuzhanova, C. Ferec and G. P. Patrinos, 2007 Gene

conversion: mechanisms, evolution and human disease. Nature Reviews Genetics 8**:**

762-775.

Coulombe-Huntington, J., and J. Majewski, 2007 Characterization of intron loss events in

mammals. Genome Res. 17**:** 23-32.

Dover, G. A., 1982 Molecular drive: a cohesive mode of species evolution. Nature 299**:** 111-

117.

Eirin-Lopez, J. M., L. Rebordinos, A. P. Rooney and J. Rozas, 2012 The birth-and-death

evolution of multigene families revisited. Genome Dynamics 7**:** 170-196.

Elder, J. F., Jr., and B. J. Turner, 1995 Concerted evolution of repetitive DNA sequences in

eukaryotes. Q. Rev. Biol. 70**:** 297-320.

Fan, R., J. Xie, J. Bai, H. Wang, X. Tian *et al.*, 2013 Skin transcriptome profiles associated with

coat color in sheep. BMC Genomics 14**:** 389.

Ganley, A. R. D., and T. Kobayashi, 2007 Highly efficient concerted evolution in the

ribosomal DNA repeats: total rDNA repeat variation revealed by whole-genome

shotgun sequence data. Genome Res. 17**:** 184-191.

Ganley, A. R. D., and B. Scott, 1998 Extraordinary ribosomal spacer length heterogeneity in a

Neotyphodium endophyte hybrid: implications for concerted evolution. Genetics

150**:** 1625-1637.

Gao, L.-Z., and H. Innan, 2004 Very low gene duplication rate in the yeast genome. Science

306**:** 1367-1370.

Gong, H., H. Zhou, R. H. J. Forrest, S. Li, J. Wang *et al.*, 2016 Wool keratin-associated protein

genes in sheep—a review. Genes 7**:** 24.

Gong, H., H. Zhou and J. G. H. Hickford, 2010a Polymorphism of the ovine keratin-associated

protein 1-4 gene (KRTAP1-4). Mol. Biol. Rep. 37**:** 3377-3380.

Gong, H., H. Zhou, S. Hodge, J. M. Dyer and J. G. H. Hickford, 2015 Association of wool traits

with variation in the ovine KAP1-2 gene in Merino cross lambs. Small Rumin. Res.

124**:** 24-29.

Gong, H., H. Zhou, G. W. McKenzie, J. G. Hickford, Z. Yu *et al.*, 2010b Emerging issues with

the current keratin-associated protein nomenclature. International Journal of

Trichology 2**:** 104-105.

Gong, H., H. Zhou, G. W. McKenzie, Z. Yu, S. Clerens *et al.*, 2012 An updated nomenclature

for keratin-associated proteins (KAPs). Int. J. Biol. Sci. 8**:** 258-264.

Gong, H., H. Zhou, Z. Yu, J. Dyer, J. E. Plowman *et al.*, 2011 Identification of the ovine

keratin-associated protein KAP1-2 gene (KRTAP1-2). Exp. Dermatol. 20**:** 815-819.

Guindon, S., J. F. Dufayard, V. Lefort, M. Anisimova, W. Hordijk *et al.*, 2010 New algorithms

and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the

performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst. Biol. 59**:** 307-321.

Harpak, A., X. Lan, Z. Gao and J. K. Pritchard, 2017 Frequent nonallelic gene conversion on

the human lineage and its effect on the divergence of gene duplicates. PNAS 114**:**

12779-12784.

Hiwatashi, T., A. Mikami, T. Katsumura, B. Suryobroto, D. Perwitasari-Farajallah *et al.*, 2011

Gene conversion and purifying selection shape nucleotide variation in gibbon L/M

opsin genes. BMC Evol. Biol. 11**:** 312.

Itenge-Mweza, T. O., R. H. Forrest, G. W. McKenzie, A. Hogan, J. Abbott *et al.*, 2007

Polymorphism of the KAP1.1, KAP1.3 and K33 genes in Merino sheep. Mol. Cell.

Probes 21**:** 338-342.

Katoh, K., and D. M. Standley, 2013 MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:

improvements in performance and usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30**:** 772-780.

Khan, I., E. Maldonado, V. Vasconcelos, J. O. Stephen, W. E. Johnson *et al.*, 2014 Mammalian

keratin associated proteins (KRTAPs) subgenomes: disentangling hair diversity and

adaptation to terrestrial and aquatic environments. BMC Genomics 15**:** 779.

Lamping, E., J. Y. Zhu, M. Niimi and R. D. Cannon, 2017 Role of ectopic gene conversion in

the evolution of a *Candida krusei* pleiotropic drug resistance transporter family.

Genetics 205**:** 1619-1639.

Li, S., H. Zhou, H. Gong, F. Zhao, J. Hu *et al.*, 2017a Identification of the ovine keratin-

associated protein 26-1 gene and its association with variation in wool traits. Genes

8**:** 225.

Li, S., H. Zhou, H. Gong, F. Zhao, J. Wang *et al.*, 2017b Identification of the ovine keratin-

associated protein 22-1 (KAP22-1) gene and its effect on wool traits. Genes 8**:** 27.

Li, S., H. Zhou, H. Gong, F. Zhao, J. Wang *et al.*, 2017c Variation in the ovine KAP6-3 gene

(KRTAP6-3) is associated with variation in mean fibre diameter-associated wool

traits. Genes 8**:** 204.

Liao, D., 1999 Concerted evolution: molecular mechanism and biological implications. Am. J.

Hum. Genet. 64**:** 24-30.

Liao, D., and A. M. Weiner, 1995 Concerted evolution of the tandemly repeated genes

encoding primate U2 small nuclear RNA (the RNU2 locus) does not prevent rapid

diversification of the (CT)n.(GA)n microsatellite embedded within the U2 repeat unit.

Genomics 30**:** 583-593.

Lin, Y.-S., J. K. Byrnes, J.-K. Hwang and W.-H. Li, 2006 Codon-usage bias versus gene

conversions in the evolution of yeast duplicate genes. PNAS 103**:** 14412-14416.

Lopez-Flores, I., and M. A. Garrido-Ramos, 2012 The repetitive DNA content of eukaryotic

genomes. Genome Dynamics 7**:** 1-28.

Morrill, S. A., A. E. Exner, M. Babokhov, B. I. Reinfeld and S. M. Fuchs, 2016 DNA instability

maintains the repeat length of the yeast RNA polymerase II C-terminal domain. J.

Biol. Chem. 291**:** 11540-11550.

Nakamura, Y., T. Gojobori and T. Ikemura, 2000 Codon usage tabulated from international

DNA sequence databases: status for the year 2000. Nucleic Acids Res. 28**:** 292.

Nei, M., X. Gu and T. Sitnikova, 1997 Evolution by the birth-and-death process in multigene

families of the vertebrate immune system. PNAS 94**:** 7799-7806.

Nei, M., I. B. Rogozin and H. Piontkivska, 2000 Purifying selection and birth-and-death

evolution in the ubiquitin gene family. PNAS 97**:** 10866-10871.

Nei, M., and A. P. Rooney, 2005 Concerted and birth-and-death evolution of multigene

families. Annu. Rev. Genet. 39**:** 121-152.

Noonan, J. P., J. Grimwood, J. Schmutz, M. Dickson and R. M. Myers, 2004 Gene conversion

and the evolution of protocadherin gene cluster diversity. Genome Res. 14**:** 354-366.

Perina, A., D. Seoane, A. M. Gonzalez-Tizon, F. Rodriguez-Farina and A. Martinez-Lage, 2011

Molecular organization and phylogenetic analysis of 5S rDNA in crustaceans of the

genus Pollicipes reveal birth-and-death evolution and strong purifying selection.

BMC Evol. Biol. 11**:** 304.

Petronella, N., and G. Drouin, 2011 Gene conversions in the growth hormone gene family of

primates: stronger homogenizing effects in the Hominidae lineage. Genomics 98**:**

173-181.

Petronella, N., and G. Drouin, 2014 Purifying selection against gene conversions in the folate

receptor genes of primates. Genomics 103**:** 40-47.

Piontkivska, H., A. P. Rooney and M. Nei, 2002 Purifying selection and birth-and-death

evolution in the histone H4 gene family. Mol. Biol. Evol. 19**:** 689-697.

Powell, B. C., and G. E. Rogers, 1997 The role of keratin proteins and their genes in the

growth, structure and properties of hair, pp. 59-148 in *Formation and structure of*

human hair. Birkhäuser Verlag.

Puigbo, P., I. G. Bravo and S. Garcia-Vallve, 2008 CAIcal: a combined set of tools to assess

codon usage adaptation. Biol. Direct 3**:** 38.

Richard, G. F., A. Kerrest and B. Dujon, 2008 Comparative genomics and molecular dynamics

of DNA repeats in eukaryotes. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 72**:** 686-727.

Rogers, G. R., J. G. H. Hickford and R. Bickerstaffe, 1994 Polymorphism in two genes for B2

high sulfur proteins of wool. Anim. Genet. 25**:** 407-415.

Rogers, M. A., L. Langbein, S. Praetzel-Wunder, H. Winter and J. Schweizer, 2006 Human hair

keratin-associated proteins (KAPs). Int. Rev. Cytol. 251**:** 209-263.

Rogers, M. A., and J. Schweizer, 2005 Human KAP genes, only the half of it? Extensive size

polymorphisms in hair keratin-associated protein genes. J. Invest. Dermatol. 124**:** vii-

ix.

Rooney, A. P., and T. J. Ward, 2005 Evolution of a large ribosomal RNA multigene family in

filamentous fungi: birth and death of a concerted evolution paradigm. PNAS 102**:**

5084-5089.

Shimomura, Y., N. Aoki, J. Schweizer, L. Langbein, M. A. Rogers *et al.*, 2002 Polymorphisms in

the human high sulfur hair keratin-associated protein 1, KAP1, gene family. J. Biol.

Chem. 277**:** 45493.

Shyue, S. K., L. Li, B. H. Chang and W.-H. Li, 1994 Intronic gene conversion in the evolution of

human X-linked color vision genes. Mol. Biol. Evol. 11**:** 548-551.

Stein, L. D., 2004 End of the beginning. Nature 431**:** 915-916.

Stephan, W., 1989 Tandem-repetitive noncoding DNA: Forms and forces. Mol. Biol. Evol. 6**:**

198-212.

Storz, J. F., M. Baze, J. L. Waite, F. G. Hoffmann, J. C. Opazo *et al.*, 2007 Complex signatures

of selection and gene conversion in the duplicated globin genes of house mice.

Genetics 177**:** 481–500.

Talavera, G., and J. Castresana, 2007 Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent

and ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. Syst. Biol. 56**:**

564-577.

Tao, J., H. Zhou, H. Gong, Z. Yang, Q. Ma *et al.*, 2017a Variation in the KAP6-1 gene in

Chinese Tan sheep and associations with variation in wool traits. Small Rumin. Res.

154**:** 129-132.

Tao, J., H. Zhou, Z. Yang, H. Gong, Q. Ma *et al.*, 2017b Variation in the KAP8-2 gene affects

wool crimp and growth in Chinese Tan sheep. Small Rumin. Res. 149**:** 77-80.

Teshima, K. M., and H. Innan, 2004 The effect of gene conversion on the divergence

between duplicated genes. Genetics 166**:** 1553-1560.

Torrents, D., M. Suyama, E. Zdobnov and P. Bork, 2003 A genome-wide survey of human

pseudogenes. Genome Res. 13**:** 2559-2567.

Wernersson, R., and A. G. Pedersen, 2003 RevTrans: Multiple alignment of coding DNA from

aligned amino acid sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 31**:** 3537-3539.

Wu, D. D., D. Irwin and Y. P. Zhang, 2008 Molecular evolution of the keratin associated

protein gene family in mammals, role in the evolution of mammalian hair. BMC Evol.

Biol. 8**:** 241.

Yu, G., D. K. Smith, H. Zhu, Y. Guan and T. T.-Y. Lam, 2017 GGTREE: an R package for

visualization and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other

associated data. Methods Ecol. Evol. 8**:** 28–36.

Zhou, H., H. Gong, S. Li, Y. Luo and J. Hickford, 2015 A 57-bp deletion in the ovine KAP6-1

gene affects wool fibre diameter. Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics.

Zhou, H., H. Gong, J. Wang, J. M. Dyer, Y. Luo *et al.*, 2016 Identification of four new gene

members of the KAP6 gene family in sheep. Sci. Rep. 6**:** 24074.

Figure 1. Tandem repeat organization of the keratin associated protein-1 (*KRTAP1*) genes
The organization of mammalian *KRTAP1* genes is illustrated by the arrangement found in sheep. The four *KRTAP1-n* paralogs are represented by arrows that indicate the direction of transcription. Diagram is drawn to scale, with *KRTAP1-n* lengths bracketed below the genes. These repeats are numbered *KRTAP1-1, 3, 4*, and *5* in human.

Figure 2. Mammalian *KRTAP1-n* gene phylogenetic relationships
Representative phylogenetic tree illustrating the relationships between
the *KRTAP1-n* genes in the species used in this study. Branch lengths
are not to scale. The phylogeny is adapted from that presented in
McCormack et al. (2012).

Figure 3. Phylogenetic trees of *KRTAP1-n* coding and flanking region sequences

Phylogenetic trees were constructed for the mammalian *KRTAP1-n* 5' flanking region, coding region, and 3' flanking region using PhyML. The species are indicated by three-letter abbreviations. The number following this for the coding regions indicates the *KRTAP1-n* gene name. The major clades within the trees are indicated by coloured boxes. The 5' and 3' flanking region phylogenies group by repeat number, while the coding region phylogeny tends to group by species. Numbers on nodes indicate bootstrap supports over 50%, and substitution rates are indicated at the top left. Human *KRTAP1-n* gene names have been altered for consistency with other species.

Figure 4. The *KRTAP1-n* concerted evolution pattern is not explained by purifying selection
Phylogenetic trees were constructed for the 1st and 2nd codon positions ("non-synonymous"; **A**), and the 3rd codon position ("synonymous"; **B**), as per **Figure 3**. The major clades in both phylogenies tend to group by species, with this concerted evolution pattern being stronger for the synonymous phylogeny. Numbers on nodes indicate bootstrap supports with values over 50%, and substitution rates are indicated at the top left.

Figure 5. The switch between concerted and radiating evolution patterns is located close to the start/stop sites

A) Alignment of the region flanking the *KRTAP1-1* gene start site. The boundary between the 5' flanking and coding regions is marked by a vertical line (followed by the ATG). Underneath is an alignment of the same region for all four *KRTAP1-n* sequences from sheep. Mismatches have a white background, conservation is indicated graphically above each alignment, and consensus sequences are shown at the top. **B)** As in **(A)**, except the region flanking the stop site is shown, with the vertical line marking the boundary between the coding and 3' flanking regions (preceded by the stop codon).

Figure 6. Evidence for short gene conversion tracts between *KRTAP1-n* sequences within species

Alignment of KAP1 amino acid sequences from the ten mammalian species. Amino acid tracts boxed in green represent sequences unique to a species or related species pairs. The grey vertical box represents the conserved decapeptide repeat sequences (which have been removed). Dots represent gaps in the alignment.

Figure 7. Shared polymorphisms between *KRTAP1-n* sequences in sheep
Alignment of the four sheep *KRTAP1-n* coding region sequences. Dashes represent nucleotides identical to the top sequence, and dots represent gaps. The 30 bp repeats are not shown, as the insertion/deletion positions cannot be precisely determined. Shared nucleotide substitutions between repeat copies are highlighted in red.