Inter- and intra-specific genomic divergence in *Drosophila montana* shows evidence for cold adaptation

D. J. Parker[1,2,3], R. A. W. Wiberg[2], U. Trivedi[4], V. I. Tyukmaeva[1], K. Gharbi[4], R. K. Butlin[5], A. Hoikkala[1], M. Kankare[1*], and M. G. Ritchie[2*]

1. Department of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Jyväskylä, P.O. Box 35, FI-40014, Jyväskylä, Finland
2. Centre for Biological Diversity, School of Biology, University of St Andrews, Fife, KY16 9TH, UK.
3. Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, Biophore, Lausanne 1015, Switzerland
4. Edinburgh Genomics, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
5. Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, U.K; and Department of Marine Sciences, University of Gothenburg, 405 30 Göteborg, Sweden

*Corresponding authors: Maaria Kankare: s.maaria.kankare@jyu.fi, Michael Ritchie: mgr@st-andrews.ac.uk

Abstract

The genomes of species that are ecological specialists will likely contain signatures of
genomic adaptation to their niche. However, distinguishing genes related to their ecological
specialism from other sources of selection and more random changes is a challenge. Here
we describe the genome of *Drosophila montana*, the most extremely cold-adapted
Drosophila species. We describe the genome, which is similar in size and gene content to
most Drosophila species. We look for evidence of accelerated divergence from a previously
sequenced relative, and do not find strong evidence for divergent selection on coding
sequence variation. We use branch tests to identify genes showing accelerated divergence in
contrasts between cold- and warm adapted species and identify about 250 genes that show
differences, possibly driven by a lower synonymous substitution rate in cold-adapted
species. Divergent genes are involved in a variety of functions, including cuticular and
olfactory processes. We also re-sequenced three populations of *D. montana* representing its
ecological and geographic range. Outlier loci were more likely to be found on the X
chromosome and there was a greater than expected overlap between population outliers
and those genes implicated in cold adaptation between Drosophila species, implying some
continuity of selective process at these different evolutionary scales.
40

INTRODUCTION

Comparative genomic analyses provide new insights into our understanding of evolutionary processes by helping to identify genes contributing to adaptive divergence. If strong divergent selection due to environmental adaptation or social interactions, such as sexual selection, act as "barrier loci" by influencing species isolation, then identifying them can help to understand the process of speciation [1,2]. However, accurately identifying such genes is a considerable challenge [3–6].

Genomic analysis has often been hampered by poor understanding of the sources of selection contributing to species divergence. However, the increasing availability of genomes from species with distinct ecological specialisations has allowed improved identification of genes and genomic features involved in adaptations to specific ecologies. Examples of this are the cactophilic *Drosophila* [7,8], Asian longhorn beetles with specialized feeding habits [9], climate-mediated adaptations in honey bees [10] and adaptation to high altitude in humans [11]. Here we describe the genome of *Drosophila montana*, a widely-distributed northern member of the virilis group of *Drosophila*, which shows unique adaptations to seasonally varying environmental conditions prevailing at high latitudes and altitudes. *D. montana* is the most cold-tolerant *Drosophila* species known [12,13], and this cold tolerance, as well as a robust photoperiodic diapause [14] and inducible cold acclimation [15], contribute to its ability to survive through cold and dark winters. The daily and seasonal activity patterns of *D. montana*, and the interactions and neurochemistry of the core circadian clock genes behind these patterns, differs from those of more temperate species such as *D. melanogaster* [16,17]. These features have likely played an important role in allowing *D. montana* to colonize and persist in high-latitude environments [17–19].

D. montana belongs to the *virilis* group of *Drosophila*, which comprises 13 species or subspecies divided into two clades, the virilis and montana phylads, the latter being further split into three lineages [20]. These phylads are thought to have diverged in South Asia during the Early Miocene, after which both of them entered the New World by way of Beringia [21]. The virilis phylad is constrained mostly within the temperate zone, while the montana phylad has expanded into a variety of habitats and spread to higher latitudes [21]. Divergence of the two phylads has been estimated to have occurred 7 [22] to 11 [20] million years ago, while the North American, European and Asian *D. montana* populations have diverged within the last 450,000 to 900,000 years [23]. Interestingly, conspecific *D. montana* populations have been shown to diverge in traits that play a role in ecological adaptation (e.g. Lankinen et al. [24] and Tyukmaeva et al. [25]), male sexual cues and female preferences (e.g. Klappert et al. [26]), and also to show sexual and post-mating pre-zygotic reproductive barriers [27]. Information on potential candidate genomic regions and genes for traits involved in adaptation and sexual selection has been accumulated through QTL analyses [25,28], microarray [29,30] and transcriptome [31–33] studies.

Here we compare the genome of *D. montana* to that of a more temperate species of the same group, *D. virilis*, to identify genes showing evidence of divergent selection. We also compare the divergence of these species at candidate gene sets suspected to evolve rapidly,

including genes known to be involved in cold tolerance. We then extend these analyses to
multiple species and identify genes showing elevated nonsynonymous substitution counts
across cold-tolerant species of *Drosophila* to recover broader patterns in the evolution of
insect cold-tolerance genes. In order to examine the continuity of divergence at the
intraspecific level, we also use pooled genomic sequencing to detect outliers between three
D. montana populations known to be divergent in ecology and behaviour [25,34] and to
show evidence of sexual isolation and gametic incompatibilities [27]. We compare
divergence seen at these different evolutionary levels. Our results thus give a novel insight
into genomic patterns of selection-driven divergence at different evolutionary scales, in
addition to providing a well-annotated genome for a uniquely cold adapted insect species.
95
96
97

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples and Sequencing

Genomic DNA for the *D. montana* reference genome was extracted from an inbred
isofemale line originating from Vancouver, Canada (Can3F9) in summer 2003. This line was
inbred via full-sib matings for 37 generations, relaxed for 9 generations and maintained on
malt food [35] at 19°C in constant light. Quality checked DNA extracted from 210 males
using a Gentra Puregene Tissue Kit (Qiagen) was used to produce 3 libraries with different
insert sizes: 200bp, 400bp and 3,000bp. The 200bp and 400bp libraries were sequenced
using an Illumina HiSeq 2000 at Edinburgh Genomics to produce paired-end reads (101 +
101bp). The 3,000bp library was sequenced using an Illumina MiSeq at The Centre for
Genomic Research, University of Liverpool to produce mate-pair reads (101+101bp). This
strategy produced 65107854 paired-end reads for the 200bp library, 25618163 paired end
reads for the 400bp library and 19020110 mate-pair reads for the 3000bp library. Reads
from the 200bp and 400bp libraries were trimmed using scythe [36] to remove adaptors and
sickle [37] to quality trim reads (bases with phred quality of <20 were trimmed from the tail
end of each read). Reads from the 3,000bp library were trimmed in the same manner, with
the addition of a linker sequence removal step.

An initial assembly using reads from the 200bp and 400bp libraries was made using CLC
assembly cell (4.0.12). Contigs from this were then blasted (blastN) to two subsets of NCBI's
nt database (arthropod and bacteria) with a e-value threshold of 1×10^{-40}. Bit scores of blast
hits from the arthropod and bacterial databases were compared for each contig, and any
with a higher bit score for bacteria than arthropods were considered to be contaminants
(**Supplementary Figure 1**). Reads were mapped to contigs identified as contaminants using
BWA (v. 0.7.12) [38] and then the unmapped reads were assembled using CLC assembly cell
(4.0.12) (default options, minimum contig length = 200bp). Contigs were then scaffolded
using the 3,000bp mate pair library using SSPACE-BASIC-2.0. This assembly contained 68950
scaffolds (N50 = 39341). This assembly was then further screened for contaminants using
DeconSeq (v. 0.4.3) [39]. Bacterial (2786) and viral (4359) genomes were downloaded from
NCBI on January 20th 2016 and used as the contamination databases in DeconSeq along with
the human genome (hg38). The *D. melanogaster* (r6.09) and *D. virilis* (r1.05) genomes were
used as retention databases. DeconSeq identified 5208 scaffolds as contaminants, which
were removed from our assembly. We then used this assembly for all subsequent analyses.
To assess the completeness of our genome assembly we used the CEGMA analysis pipeline
(v. 2.4) [40,41] which identifies the presence of 248 conserved eukaryote genes, and the
BUSCO pipeline (v.1.22) [42] which identifies the presence of 2675 conserved arthropod
genes.

Genome Annotation

Full details of the genome annotation are given in the supplementary methods. Briefly, we used the Maker2 pipeline [43] to first mask putative repeats within the genome, and then used *ab initio* gene predictors SNAP and AUGUSTUS, and gene evidence (from proteins homology and RNA-seq data) to generate gene predictions. Gene predictions from Maker2 were reciprocally blasted to proteins from *D. virilis* (r1.2) with the following cutoffs: e-value < 3 x10^{-13}, query cover > 60% to give reciprocal best blast hits (RBBH). Orthologs for *D. melanogaster, D. sechellia, D. simulans, D. erecta, D. yakuba, D. ananassae, D. persimilis, D. pseudoobscura, D. willistoni, D. mojavensis*, and *D. grimshawi* were then obtained from FlyBase using *D. virilis* FlyBase numbers. Genes without a single ortholog for each species were discarded from multi-species selection analyses (below).

Linkage map construction

For the genetic map construction, we selected 192 samples from a previous QTL study [25], which consisted of two families (four parent individuals and their F2 progeny, females only). We used RAPiD Genomics facilities to develop a set of oligonucleotide probes for 13,975 selected regions in the largest scaffolds of the *Drosophila montana* genome. These probes were used to capture sequence these target loci with 100bp single end reads using HiSeq 2000. A resulting SNP dataset was cleaned with Genotype Checker to eliminate possible errors in pedigree/genotyping [44]. The R/qtl package [45] was used to construct a genetic linkage map after discarding any polymorphic loci that were heterozygous for both parents, duplicated markers, markers showing segregation distortion, and individuals with fewer than 2000 markers. Reads from the 200bp and 400bp genome reference libraries were mapped back to anchored scaffolds using BWA (v. 0.7.12) [38]. Multi-mapping reads were discarded. Since the genome reference libraries were produced from males, X linked regions should have half the coverage of autosomal regions, we used the coverage of these scaffolds to validate our linkage map.

Selection Analyses

Pairwise analysis

To identify protein-coding genes with elevated signatures of selection we estimated pairwise ω (dN/dS) for each gene that had a reciprocal best blast hit (RBBH) to a *D. virilis* gene. The longest coding sequence of each gene and its RBBH ortholog were codon-aligned using PRANK (v.140110) [46], before estimating ω using codeml in PAML (v. 4.8) [47,48]. To determine if any genes showed ω > 1, we compared genes using a Bayesian estimation of ω in codeml (runmode = -3, model = 0, NSsites = 0) [49] with default priors. The p-values were corrected for multiple testing using a strict Bonferroni correction. We further filtered to exclude any genes where estimates of *dN, dS* or ω were greater than 10.

We then compared mean ω values in several candidate gene sets (genes involved in immune function, reproduction, and cold tolerance) against the genomic background. Genes were

classified into two 'immune' classes firstly using the GO term 'immune response' from
FlyBase (version 6.05) and secondly using orthologs of genes identified as being involved in
immune function by Sackton et al. [50]. Next, genes connected to reproduction were
classified into several reproductive classes following Haerty et al. [51]: sex and reproduction
related genes (SRR), female reproductive tract (FRTP) and seminal fluid proteins (SFP).
Finally, cold tolerance genes were classified into two classes with genes differentially
expressed in response to cold in *D. montana* and in *D. virilis* [31]. Parker et al. (2015) found
that from the differentially expressed genes, 42 were the same in both species but 550 were
different, allowing genes to be classified into 'cold tolerance same' and 'cold tolerance
different' groups.
194
195 **Multispecies analysis**
196
13 species with fully annotated genome sequences available were divided into cold-tolerant
and non-cold-tolerant ones; six species with a knockdown temperature <3°C [12] were
classified as cold-tolerant, the remainder as non-cold-tolerant **Fig. 1**). To identify genes
showing elevated signatures of selection in these species we extracted the longest CDS (N =
5,619) for each ortholog and codon-aligned them using PRANK (v.140110) [46]. Sequences
were then analysed in codeml from the PAML (v4.8) package [47,48]. Two models were
compared; the "null" model (clock = 0; fix_omega = 0, model = 0, NSSites = 0) which assumes
a single common value for ω with an alternative model (clock = 0; fix_omega = 0, model = 2,
NSSites = 0) which assumes one value of omega for all the cold-tolerant species and a
separate value of omega for the non-cold-tolerant species. Nested models were compared
using a likelihood ratio test and p-values corrected for multiple testing using a Bonferroni
correction. Additionally, results were filtered to exclude sequences with dN, dS or ω > 10.
This comparison tests whether there is a different rate of molecular evolution in cold-
tolerant species compared to non-cold-tolerant species.
211
212 **Population resequencing**
213
For population comparisons we used *D. montana* flies from 3 populations: Oulanka (Finland;
66°N), Crested Butte, Colorado (USA; 39°N) and Vancouver (Canada; 49°N). These
populations were established from the progenies of fertilized females collected in the
summer of 2008 in Oulanka and Vancouver, and in the summer of 2009 in Colorado.
Population cages were set up using 20 F3 generation individuals from approximately 20
isofemale lines for each population. Population cages were maintained at 19°C in constant
light (for more details see Jennings et al. [52]). In March 2013 Genomic DNA was extracted
from a pool of 50 females for each population and sequenced at Beijing Genomics Institute
using an Illumina HiSeq 2000 to produce paired-end reads (90 + 90bp, insert size = 500bp).
223
Sequencing produced 84938118 paired-end reads for Colorado and 82663801 for Oulanka.
Two runs for Vancouver resulted in 303365095 reads. Reads were quality trimmed (leading
or trailing bases with a phred score of <20, or if two consecutive bases had an average phred
score of <32 the read was trimmed at this point) and screened for adaptor sequence using
trimmomatic (v. 0.30) [53]. Reads containing adaptor sequence or that had a length of less

than 85 bp after quality trimming, were discarded. Since coverage depth can influence the
estimation of allele frequency [54], reads for Vancouver were randomly sampled prior to
mapping to the mean number of reads from Colorado and Oulanka. Reads were mapped to
the genome assembly using BWA (v. 0.7.12) [38]. Reads with a mapping quality of <20 were
then removed, and an mpileup file was produced using samtools (v. 0.1.19) [55]. From this, a
sync file was produced using PoPoolation2 pipeline (v 1.201) [56]. Outlier detection was
performed on the raw read count data with BayeScan v. 2.1 [57–59], which performs
comparably alongside other outlier methods in several simulation studies [60–62]. SNPs
were filtered to include only sites with a minimum coverage of 25 and a maximum coverage
of 93 (corresponding to the median 10th and 90th percentiles of the population coverage
distributions). At the same time, SNPs were only considered if the minor allele had a read
count > 4 across all populations. BayeScan was run with 5 pilot runs of 1,000 iterations each
followed by a main run of 2,000 iterations, a thinning interval of 10 and a burn in of 1,500.
Additionally, three pairwise runs of BayeScan were performed with the same parameters as
above. The three pairwise analyses compared Colorado to Vancouver, Vancouver to
Oulanka, and Colorado to Oulanka populations, respectively.
245
246 **Functional Enrichment**
247
To examine functional enrichment of genes for the species level selection analyses and
population level F_{ST} scans, we used GOrilla [63]. For the pairwise selection analyses genes
were ranked by ω (from high to low and low to high). For the multispecies selection
analyses, we ranked genes by p-value and direction so that genes with the lowest p-values
and a higher ω in cold-tolerant species were at the top, and genes with lowest p-values and
have higher ω in non-cold-tolerant species were at the bottom, allowing us to identify
enriched GO terms for genes showing elevated ω in cold-tolerant species. To examine GO
terms for genes showing elevated ω in non-cold-tolerant species the list order was simply
reversed. For population level analyses genes were ranked by the most significantly
differentiated SNP occurring within 1kb, 10kb, or 100kb of a gene for each population.
Results from GOrilla were then visualised using ReviGO [64], using the January 2017 version
of Gene Ontology.
260
We used DAVID (v6.8) [65,66] to identify enriched functional groups of genes. A functional
group was considered to be significantly enriched if its enrichment score (the geometric
mean (in -log scale) of the p-values of the GO terms in the group) was >1 (p < 0.1). For the
pairwise selection analyses we identified functional clusters for genes occurring in the top
and bottom 10% of genes for ω estimates. For the multispecies selection analyses we
identified functional clusters for genes that showed a significantly (FDR < 0.1) higher omega
in cold-tolerant species or in non-cold-tolerant species separately. For population level
analyses we identified functional clusters for genes containing (within 1kb) significantly
differentiated SNPs for each population.
270
271 To take advantage of the superior annotation of *D. melanogaster* [67], we used *D.*
272 *melanogaster* orthologs for all of the above function enrichment analyses. For the DAVID

273   analyses the 'background' list used was the subset of *D. melanogaster* genes available for

274   each analysis.

RESULTS

Genome Sequencing and Assembly

The assembled *D. montana* genome (**Table 1**) has a total length of 183.6 Mb, which falls within the range seen for *Drosophila* species (111-187 Mb), and is similar to that of *D. virilis* (172 Mb), a close relative of *D. montana* with a sequenced genome. CEGMA identified 238 complete orthologs (96%) and 244 partial orthologs (98%) of the 248 CEGMA proteins and BUSCO identified 2457 genes as complete (92%), and failed to identify only 46 (1.7%). RepeatMasker identified that 14.4% of the assembly was composed of repeat elements, the major classes of which were: Simple repeats (4.5%), LTR elements (4.3%), Unclassified (2.9%), and LINEs (1.9%) (**Supplementary Figure 2**). The total percentage of repeat elements identified was around half of that found for related *Drosophila* species (*D. virilis* = 25.9%, *D. mojavensis* = 23.8%, and *D. grimshawi* = 26.1%) likely reflecting the problem of assembling repetitive regions with short reads.

For the genetic map construction, the final dataset contained 5,858 polymorphic SNPs. The median depth of the SNPs in the final dataset was 52.4 and the average missing data rate was 0.003. The initial anaylsis formed five major linkage groups (as expected since *D. montana* has five chromosomes in total). Chromosome number was assigned by blasting genes assigned to the linkage groups to the *D. virilis* genome, which have been localised to chromosomes and is largely syntenic with *D. montana* [28]. While the analysis showed clear linkage groups, the order of markers was not totally resolved, likely due to lack of recombination events among F2 progeny (**Supplementary Figure 3**). The tentative scaffold order and position are given in **Supplementary Table 1**. Using this map we were able to anchor approximately one third of the genome assembly to chromosomes. To validate our linkage map we examined coverage of anchored scaffolds. X-linked regions were found to have approximately half the coverage of autosomal regions, as expected since the reference genome was produced from male-only samples (**Supplementary Figure 4**).

Selection analyses

We obtained 13,683 predicted gene models with a mean coding region length of 1,461 bp. RBBH identified 10898 orthologs with *D. virilis*. Using the FlyBase gene numbers for *D. virilis* for these we then obtained a set of 5619 one-to-one orthologs between *D. montana*, *D. virilis*, *D. melanogaster*, *D. sechellia*, *D. simulans*, *D. erecta*, *D. yakuba*, *D. ananassae*, *D. persimilis*, *D. pseudoobscura*, *D. willistoni*, *D. mojavensis*, and *D. grimshawi*.

We estimated ω (dN/dS) for each of the one-to-one orthologs between *D. montana* and *D. virilis* (**Supplemental Table 20**). No genes had a ω significantly greater than 1 after filtering and multiple-test correction. Comparison of mean ω for several candidate gene sets (genes involved in cold tolerance, immune function, and reproduction) found that none of the candidate genes sets differed significantly from the genomic background (**Fig. 2**). By ranking genes by ω we identified GO terms enriched in genes with relatively high and low ω. For those with high ω we identified 23 enriched GO terms (Biological Processes: Molecular

Functions: Cellular Components = 10:4:9) (FDR < 0.1) (**Supplementary Table 2**). Semantic
clustering of these GO terms shows that they fall into the following categories:
Reproduction, detection of chemical binding / olfaction, amino sugar metabolism, and chitin
binding (**Fig. 3**). DAVID identified 9 functional group clusters (**Supplementary Table 3**)
including 2 related to chitin production and 2 related to olfactory functions, congruent with
the findings from the single GO term enrichment analysis (above). In addition DAVID also
identified 2 clusters involved in: immune defence (C-type lectin domain carrying genes, and
Fibrinogen related genes), Transcription factor binding, and a cluster containing genes with
either a CAP (cysteine-rich secretory protein) or SCP (Sperm-coating protein) domain. We
identified 662 enriched GO terms for genes with low ω between *D. montana* and *D. virilis*
(Biological Processes: Molecular Functions: Cellular Components = 485:80:97) (FDR < 0.1). As
expected for genes with very low ω the enriched GO terms are consistant with
housekeeping roles in the cell (cell cycle control, cell communication, cell developmental
process etc.), which are expected to be under strong purifying selection (**Supplementary
Table 4, Supplementary Figures 5-7**).
337
Across the 13 *Drosophila* species we found 250 genes that had significantly different rates of
evolution (ω) in cold- and non-cold-tolerant species (**Fig. 1, Supplemental Table 20**). dS was
on average lower for cold-tolerant species than for non-cold-tolerant species while dN was
very similar (**Fig. 4**, **Supplementary Table 5**). ω was on average greater for cold-tolerant
species, probably driven by generally lower values of dS in these species (**Supplementary
Table 5**). 203 and 47 genes showed higher values of ω for cold-tolerant and for non-cold-
tolerant species, respectively (**Figure 5**). Genes with elevated ω in cold-tolerant species were
enriched for 23 GO terms (Biological Processes: Molecular Functions: Cellular Components =
6:10:7) (FDR < 0.1) (**Supplementary Table 6**), which semantically cluster into the following
categories: response to drug, male courtship behaviour, olfaction, ion-channel activity, and
developmental processes (**Fig. 6**). Of genes with elevated ω in non-cold-tolerant species we
identified 50 enriched GO terms (Biological Processes: Molecular Functions: Cellular
Components = 34:3:13) (FDR < 0.1) (**Supplementary Table 7**), which semantically cluster into
the following categories: proteasome-mediated ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic
process, reproductive processes, response to fungus, animal organ morphogenesis,
regulation of biological and cellular processes (**Fig. 6**). Moreover, DAVID identified 11
functional group clusters for genes with significantly higher ω in cold-tolerant species
(**Supplementary Table 8**) including: Nucleotide-binding, Olfaction, Transmembrane proteins,
Neural development, Leucine-rich repeat containing proteins, GTPase / GTP binding,
Cytoskeleton / Microtubule, and Ion Transport. Finally, DAVID identified 3 functional group
clusters for genes with significantly higher ω in cold-tolerant species (**Supplementary Table
9**) including: calcium-binding EGF domain containing proteins, Transmembrane proteins, and
Cytoskeleton / Microtubule.

Population resequencing

A total of 4,802,266 SNPs were analysed. Of these, 1,669,212 (35%) could be placed on the main linkage groups. Using an FDR threshold of q < 0.05, a total of 29,556 SNPs were identified as outliers (10,113 could be placed on linkage groups, **Supplementary Figures 8-10**). The outlier SNPs that could be placed on linkage groups were not randomly distributed throughout the genome (**Supplementary Figures 9-10**) (Chi-squared = 9087.7, df = 4, p < 0.001). When the proportion of the total genome length of each chromosome was used to calculate the expected numbers of SNPs, there was still a significant deviation (Chi-squared = 9541.1, df = 4, p < 0.001). There were more observed outlier SNPs on the X-chromosome (5,840) than expected (1,977). However, these results should be taken with caution because the SNP counts on each chromosome are not completely independent due to LD between SNPs (**Supplementary Figure 10**). In the three pairwise analyses, results were qualitatively similar. The numbers of SNPs in each comparison along with the number of outlier SNPs are presented in (**Supplementary Table 10)**.

There were fewer polymorphic SNPs between the Colorado and Vancouver populations and there were also fewer outlier SNPs in this comparison (**Supplementary Table 10**, **Supplementary Figure 11**). As above, the outlier SNPs are not randomly distributed throughout the genome (**Fig. 7 and Supplementary Figure 12**). There was a significant excess of outlier SNPs on the X-chromosome in all pairwise comparisons (Colorado: Oulanka – Chi-squared = 3,029.4, d.f. = 4, p < 0.01; Colorado: Vancouver - Chi-squared = 31.9, d.f. = 4, p < 0.01; Oulanka: Vancouver - Chi-squared = 2477.7, d.f. = 4, p < 0.01). These results held when the proportion of the total genome length of each chromosome was taken to calculate the expected numbers of SNPs. There were no shared outlier SNPs between all three pairwise comparisons but there were some shared outlier SNPs between pairs of population comparisons and the majority of outlier SNPs were private to each comparison (**Supplementary Figure 13A**).

To examine patterns of divergence at the gene level we considered a gene to be differentiated if it contained a significantly differentiated SNP (with 1kb) (**Supplemental Table 20**). Significant SNPs were found in 1801, 155, and 1387 genes (from pairwise comparisons between Colorado: Oulanka, Colorado: Vancouver, and Oulanka: Vancouver respectively). 10 genes overlapped between all the three pairwise comparisons (**Supplementary Figure 13B, Supplemental Table 11**). Although this is a relatively small number of genes, it is significantly greater than expected by chance (p = 0.00013). By ranking genes by q-value we could identify GO terms enriched in genes with high divergence for each population comparison (Colorado: Oulanka = 74 (Biological Processes: Molecular Functions: Cellular Components = 27:29:18) (**Supplemental Table 12**), Colorado: Vancouver = 66 (Biological Processes: Molecular Functions: Cellular Components = 19:28:19) (**Supplemental Table 13**), Oulanka: Vancouver = 91 (Biological Processes: Molecular Functions: Cellular Components = 37:39:14) (**Supplemental Table 14**). As with genes, there was a significant overlap of enriched GO-terms between population comparisons (N = 22, p = 1.74 x 10^{-79}, **Supplementary Figure 13B, Supplemental Table 15**). Semantic clustering of GO terms (**Fig. 8**) and functional clustering (**Supplemental Table 16-18**) showed that the

411 dominant terms include: membrane components, ion transport, small molecule binding, and
412 neuron / synaptic associated terms.
413
414 **Intraspecific and Interspecific divergence**
415
We examined whether genes showing significant divergence between populations were the
same as those showing higher rates of evolution between cold-tolerant and non-cold-
tolerant species. We found 68 genes that had both an elevated rate of evolution between
species and significant divergence in at least one population comparison (**Supplemental**
Table 19). This is significantly greater than we would expect by chance (Fisher's exact test =
1.447, p = 0.0006) and implies that genes under divergent selection within species are also
more likely to diverge between species.
423

Discussion

Ecological studies with *Drosophila montana* have shown that it is able to thrive at high latitudes due to a number of adaptations including the evolution of increased cold tolerance and reproductive diapause. By sequencing the genome of this species we were able to use comparative genomics to identify genes and functional processes that differ between *D. montana* and its less cold-adapted relatives. We find evidence for selection acting on neuronal, membrane-transport and ion-transport related genes at both the inter- and intra-specific levels. These findings likely result from selection for an ability to overwinter under harsh environmental conditions, as these processes have clear links to both increased cold tolerance and reproductive diapause.

Genome assembly and features

We assembled the *D. montana* genome using a combination of Illumina paired-end reads and mate-pair reads. We annotated 13,683 genes, which is comparable to other Drosophila species that have been sequenced [68]. 10,898 of these genes (80 %) were then assigned to a *D. virilis* ortholog, comparable to the number of orthologs identified between *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans*. Together with the high BUSCO and CEGMA scores, this suggests that the genic component of the assembled genome is largely complete and successfully annotated.

Inter- and intra- specific comparisons show evidence for cold adaptation

We used three separate comparisons to identify genes potentially involved in adaptive divergence: between cold-tolerant and non-cold-tolerant *Drosophila* species, between *D. montana* and its sister species *D. virilis*, and between three populations of *D. montana* from different biogeographic localities.

Firstly, in the comparison between multiple *Drosophila* species, we identified 250 genes with an elevated rate of evolution between cold-tolerant and non-cold-tolerant *Drosophila* species. Interestingly, the increased rate of evolution was biased toward cold-tolerant species, with 77% of these genes showing a higher rate of evolution in these species. Secondly, we compared *D. montana* to its sequenced relative *D. virilis*. Although *D. montana* and *D. virilis* are both relatively cold-tolerant species, *D. montana* is significantly more cold-tolerant than *D. virilis* [29], and *D. montana* is also more desiccation tolerant (Kellerman et al. 2012). In addition, unlike *D. virilis*, *D. montana* females enter reproductive diapause in late summer, which further increases their chances to survive over the cold season and produce progeny in spring [69]. However, genes in the *D. montana* genome showed little evidence for divergent selection when compared to *D. virilis*, most genes showing evidence for purifying selection. Finally, we compared *D. montana* populations from Oulanka, Colorado and Vancouver. These populations face quite different abiotic and biotic conditions throughout the year, and hence can be expected to vary in several traits affecting flies' life-cycle and stress tolerances. We identified many SNPs that show significant divergence between the three populations; the number of divergent SNPs was smallest between

Colorado and Vancouver populations reflecting the later divergence times of these populations. Although no divergent SNPs were shared between all population comparisons, when SNPs were grouped by gene, we found evidence for a significant number of overlapping genes. Divergent SNPs were overrepresented in the X chromosome which often shows elevated rates of evolution due to a combination of effects including a smaller effective population size, increased efficacy of selection in hemizygous males, and sexual antagonism. However, as some of the populations are known to differ in sexual behaviour and post-mating pre-zygotic reproductive barriers [26,27], as well as ecological adaptations, it is not possible to distinguish among the multiple possible sources of any divergent selection on X-linked SNPs.

In most of these comparisons the genes with elevated dN/dS or F_{ST} were enriched for functional processes previously demonstrated as important in cold adaptation (see below). In particular changes to membrane components and ion transport, as well as in the neurological system were heavily represented in our enrichment analyses in each comparison. In addition, we also found enrichment of many small-molecule binding terms, but these tended to be more varied across the different comparisons. Finally several comparisons were also enriched for many reproduction-associated terms, which are unlikely to be linked to cold adaptation per se. We discuss each of these functional groups below.

Functional processes enriched in inter- and intra- specific comparisons

Cellular membranes

The composition of the cell membrane is critical for maintenance of cellular function in sub-optimal temperatures [70,71]. We found enrichment of many terms associated with membrane structure (e.g. intrinsic component of membrane, integral component of membrane, plasma membrane, transmembrane region, etc) across all our comparisons, providing further evidence for the importance of adjusting cell membrane structure to better survive in cold environments. In addition to these terms we also found enrichment of other key processes that likely contribute to the functioning of cell membranes at low temperatures. The most important of these are functions associated with cellular ionic balance (e.g. ion channel activity, transmembrane transporter activity, calcium transport, ion binding). Many of the mechanisms involved in the maintenance of cellular ion balance are known to be temperature specific [72,73]. Failure to maintain the ionic balance of cells leads to metabolic perturbations which can cause a wide range of negative consequences, including cellular damage and even death [74,75]. One class of cells particularly affected by low temperature are neurons [76–78] which are particularly susceptible to cold injury [79]. In line with this we also found enrichment of several terms related to neuron function (cell projection membrane, dendrite membrane, signal transducer activity, etc.). Finally, we observed that membrane, ion transport, and neuronal terms often functionally clustered together, showing that changes to each of these functions are in fact interrelated. Taken together this suggests that the adjustment of cell membranes for increased cold tolerance is complex, requiring changes to many genes to improve cellular functioning at low temperatures.

Small-molecule binding

We observed enrichment of many small-molecule binding terms (small-molecule binding, ATP-binding, kinase, nucleotide-binding, nucleotide phosphate-binding, carbohydrate derivative binding, ribonucleotide binding, anion binding, etc.), both in the population and in the multi-species comparisons. At low temperatures the activity levels of many reactions are reduced meaning that during cold adaptation there is selection to adjust chemical reactions to work better in cold environments [80]. In particular ATP-binding and associated terms were enriched in most of our comparisons suggesting that adjustments to ATP-binding may be particularly important for cold adaptation. This finding is supported by the fact that low temperatures adversely affect ATP metabolism across a broad range of taxa [81,82].

Reproduction

Genes involved in reproduction typically show faster rates of divergence than other genes [83,84]. Consistent with this we find reproductive-associated terms (male courtship behaviour, single organism reproductive process, reproductive process) are enriched at each comparison level. Different species of Drosophila (including *D. montana* and *D. virilis*) are known to vary for a number of reproductive traits and so this finding is not too surprising. Interestingly, the only pairwise population comparison that shows enrichment for reproductive-associated terms (reproductive structure development, gonad development) is between Colorado and Vancouver. Although all populations show some evidence of reproductive isolation, crosses between Colorado and Vancouver showed the highest proportion of non-developing eggs [27]. Moreover, although the exact cause of non-developing eggs is unknown, one possibility is that it could be due to a negative interaction between sperm and the female reproductive tract. Some support for this idea comes from examining the top differentiated genes between Colorado and Vancouver which include the transcription factor *ken and barbie* which has a major role in the development of genitalia of *D. melanogaster* [85].

Olfaction

Drosophila flies have various kinds of olfaction-driven behaviors including the location of food and mates [86,87] and the genomic repertoire of olfactory loci is correlated with environmental variation [88]. A cold environment may affect the perception of olfactory signals as the detection of odorants at low temperatures is more difficult due to the reduced concentration of olfactory cues in the air. Previous work in *D. melanogaster* has shown that the sensitivity of the olfactory system increases in response to cold temperature (e. g. Dalton [89]), and that this change is accompanied by a change in expression in olfactory genes [90,91]. Since both sexual and non-sexual olfactory signals are likely to be affected by colder temperatures we hypothesize that the changes in olfaction-related genes we observe in the present study are a product of adaptation to living in a colder environment as well as of sexual selection to distinguish conspecific flies from the heterospecific ones.

Intraspecific and interspecific divergence

Phenotypic variation in traits between and within species may or may not arise from the same genes [92]. Here we find that genes showing divergence between populations were also more likely to show differences between species. The functions of these genes mirror those enriched in each of the separate comparisons (transmembrane transport / ion transport (9/68), sexual reproduction (16/68), and neurological system process/neurogenesis (15/68)), implicating these genes' involvement in similar differences in cold adaptation and reproduction between populations and species. Although any of these genes may be important in cold adaptation, one gene in particular, *Task6*, stands out as an interesting candidate. *Task6* encodes a subunit of two-pore domain potassium (K2P) channels, which are important in setting the membrane potential and input resistance of neurons in Drosophila [93]. Temperature impacts a cells ability to maintain ionic balance, and in particular loss of potassium ion balance has been shown to cause membrane depolarization, induction of chill-coma, and cell death [13,94]. As such the changes we observe in *Task6* may be involved in thermal adaptation of species and populations.

Conclusion

D. montana is an exceptional species of Drosophila in terms of cold adaptation, as well as a species used for studies of behavioural variation and reproductive isolation. Here we report the first description of its genome. Although there are few strong signals of divergent selection on coding sequence variation, contrasts between cold-adapted species and intraspecific population sequencing suggest that the genome contains a clear signal of selection for cold tolerance. We identify many genes potential important in adaptation and speciation in this ecological specialist species.

DATA AVAILABILITY

This project has been deposited at NCBI under the BioProject accession PRJNA312336. The accession number for the assembly is LUVX00000000. Raw reads were deposited in the SRA under the following accession numbers: mate-pair reads: SRX1604922, paired-end reads: SRX1602883, SRX1602879, population resequencing reads: SRX1625831, SRX1625832, SRX1625834.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Paris Veltsos for help with DNA extractions, and RAPiD Genomics (FL, USA) for help with probe design, library preparation, sequencing and initial analysis of the SNP data for the linkage map. The work has been supported by the Academy of Finland to AH (projects 132619 and 267244) and to MK. (projects 268214 and 272927) and NERC (UK) funding to MGR (grants NE/E015255/1 and NE/J020818/1) and PhD studentship to DJP (NE/I528634/1).

REFERENCES

1. Nosil P, Funk DJ, Ortiz-Barrientos D. Divergent selection and heterogeneous genomic divergence. Mol Ecol. 2009;18:375–402.

2. Smadja CM, Butlin RK. A framework for comparing processes of speciation in the presence of gene flow. Mol Ecol. 2011;20:5123–40.

3. Noor MA, Bennett SM. Islands of speciation or mirages in the desert? Examining the role of restricted recombination in maintaining species. Heredity. 2009;103:439.

4. Cruickshank TE, Hahn MW. Reanalysis suggests that genomic islands of speciation are due to reduced diversity, not reduced gene flow. Mol Ecol. 2014;23:3133–3157.

5. Ravinet M, Faria R, Butlin RK, Galindo J, Bierne N, Rafajlović M, et al. Interpreting the genomic landscape of speciation: a road map for finding barriers to gene flow. J Evol Biol. 2017;30:1450–1477.

6. Wolf JBW, Ellegren H. Making sense of genomic islands of differentiation in light of speciation. Nat Rev Genet. 2017;18:87–100.

7. Matzkin LM, Watts TD, Bitler BG, Machado CA, Markow TA. Functional genomics of cactus host shifts in *Drosophila mojavensis*. Mol Ecol. 2006;15:4635–4643.

8. Smith G, Fang Y, Liu X, Kenny J, Cossins AR, Oliveira CC, et al. Transcriptome-wide expression variation associated with environmental plasticity and mating success in cactophilic *Drosophila mojavensis*. Evolution. 2013;67:1950–1963.

9. McKenna DD, Scully ED, Pauchet Y, Hoover K, Kirsch R, Geib SM, et al. Genome of the Asian longhorned beetle (*Anoplophora glabripennis*), a globally significant invasive species, reveals key functional and evolutionary innovations at the beetle–plant interface. Genome Biol. 2016;17:227.

10. Chen C, Liu Z, Pan Q, Chen X, Wang H, Guo H, et al. Genomic analyses reveal demographic history and temperate adaptation of the newly discovered honey bee subspecies *Apis mellifera sinisxinyuan* n. ssp. Mol Biol Evol. 2016;33:1337–1348.

11. Foll M, Gaggiotti OE, Daub JT, Vatsiou A, Excoffier L. Widespread signals of convergent adaptation to high altitude in Asia and America. Am J Hum Genet. 2014;95:394–407.

12. Kellermann V, Loeschcke V, Hoffmann AA, Kristensen TN, Flojgaard C, David JR, et al. Phylogenetic constraints in key functional traits behind species' climate niches: patterns of desiccation and cold resistance across 95 *Drosophila* species. Evolution. 2012;66:3377–3389.

13. Andersen JL, Manenti T, Sørensen JG, MacMillan HA, Loeschcke V, Overgaard J. How to assess Drosophila cold tolerance: chill coma temperature and lower lethal temperature are the best predictors of cold distribution limits. Funct Ecol. 2015;29:55–65.

14. Tyukmaeva VI, Salminen TS, Kankare M, Knott KE, Hoikkala A. Adaptation to a seasonally varying environment: a strong latitudinal cline in reproductive diapause combined with high gene flow in *Drosophila montana*. Ecol Evol. 2011;1:160–168.

15. Vesala L, Hoikkala A. Effects of photoperiodically induced reproductive diapause and cold
hardening on the cold tolerance of *Drosophila montana*. J Insect Physiol. 2011;57:46–51.

16. Kauranen H, Menegazzi P, Costa R, Helfrich-Förster C, Kankainen A, Hoikkala A. Flies in
the north: locomotor behavior and clock neuron organization of *Drosophila montana*. J Biol
Rhythms. 2012;27:377–387.

17. Kauranen H, Ala-Honkola O, Kankare M, Hoikkala A. Circadian clock of *Drosophila*
montana is adapted to high variation in summer day lengths and temperatures prevailing at
high latitudes. J Insect Physiol. 2016;89:9–18.

18. Terhzaz S, Teets NM, Cabrero P, Henderson L, Ritchie MG, Nachman RJ, et al. Insect capa
neuropeptides impact desiccation and cold tolerance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet].
2015   [cited   2015   Feb   21];   Available   from:
http://www.pnas.org/content/early/2015/02/12/1501518112.short

19. Menegazzi P, Dalla Benetta E, Beauchamp M, Schlichting M, Steffan-Dewenter I, Helfrich-
Förster C. Adaptation of circadian neuronal network to photoperiod in high-latitude
European drosophilids. Curr Biol. 2017;27:833–839.

20. Spicer GS, Bell CD. Molecular phylogeny of the *Drosophila virilis* species group (Diptera:
Drosophilidae) inferred from mitochondrial 12S and 16S ribosomal RNA genes. Ann Entomol
Soc Am. 2002;95:156–161.

21. Throckmorton LH. The virilis species group. In: Ashburner M, Carson HL, Thompson JN,
editors. Genet Biol Drosoph. London, UK: Academic Press; 1982. p. 227–296.

22. Ostrega MS. Restriction endonuclease analysis of the relatedness of *D. montana* and *D.*
virilis lines. Drosoph Inf Serv. 1985;61:132–133.

23. Mirol PM, Schäfer MA, Orsini L, Routtu J, Schlötterer C, Hoikkala A, et al.
Phylogeographic patterns in *Drosophila montana*. Mol Ecol. 2007;16:1085–1097.

24. Lankinen P, Tyukmaeva VI, Hoikkala A. Northern *Drosophila montana* flies show variation
both within and between cline populations in the critical day length evoking reproductive
diapause. J Insect Physiol. 2013;59:745–751.

25. Tyukmaeva VI, Veltsos P, Slate J, Gregson E, Kauranen H, Kankare M, et al. Localization of
quantitative trait loci for diapause and other photoperiodically regulated life history traits
important in adaptation to seasonally varying environments. Mol Ecol. 2015;24:2809–19.

26. Klappert K, Mazzi D, Hoikkala A, Ritchie MG. Male courtship song and female preference
variation between phylogeographically distinct populations of *Drosophila montana*.
Evolution. 2007;61:1481–1488.

27. Jennings JH, Snook RR, Hoikkala A. Reproductive isolation among allopatric *Drosophila*
montana populations. Evolution. 2014;68:3095–3108.

28. Schäfer MA, Mazzi D, Klappert K, Kauranen H, Vieira J, Hoikkala A, et al. A microsatellite
linkage map for *Drosophila montana* shows large variation in recombination rates, and a
courtship song trait maps to an area of low recombination. J Evol Biol. 2010;23:518–527.

29. Vesala L, Salminen TS, Laiho A, Hoikkala A, Kankare M. Cold tolerance and cold-induced
modulation of gene expression in two *Drosophila* virilis group species with different
distributions. Insect Mol Biol. 2012;21:107–118.

30. Salminen TS, Vesala L, Laiho A, Merisalo M, Hoikkala A, Kankare M. Seasonal gene
expression kinetics between diapause phases in *Drosophila virilis* group species and
overwintering differences between diapausing and non-diapausing females. Sci Rep. 2015;5.

31. Parker DJ, Vesala L, Ritchie MG, Laiho A, Hoikkala A, Kankare M. How consistent are the
transcriptome changes associated with cold acclimation in two species of the *Drosophila
virilis* group? Heredity. 2015;

32. Kankare M, Parker DJ, Merisalo M, Salminen TS, Hoikkala A. Transcriptional differences
between diapausing and non-diapausing *D. montana* females reared under the same
photoperiod and temperature. PloS One. 2016;11:e0161852.

33. Parker DJ, Ritchie MG, Kankare M. Preparing for Winter: the Transcriptomic Response
Associated with Different Day Lengths in *Drosophila montana*. G3 GenesGenomesGenetics.
2016;g3.116.027870.

34. Routtu J, Mazzi D, Van Der Linde K, Mirol P, Butlin RK, Hoikkala A. The extent of variation
in male song, wing and genital characters among allopatric *Drosophila montana* populations.
J Evol Biol. 2007;20:1591–1601.

35. Lakovaara S. Malt as a culture medium for Drosophila species. Drosoph Inf Serv.
1969;44:128.

36. Buffalo V. Scythe. Available at https://github.com/vsbuffalo/scythe; 2014.

37. Joshi NA, Fass JN. Sickle: A sliding-window, adaptive, quality-based trimming tool for
FastQ files. Available at https://github.com/najoshi/sickle.; 2011.

38. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform.
Bioinformatics. 2009;25:1754–1760.

39. Schmieder R, Edwards R. Fast Identification and Removal of Sequence Contamination
from Genomic and Metagenomic Datasets. PLOS ONE. 2011;6:e17288.

40. Parra G, Bradnam K, Korf I. CEGMA: a pipeline to accurately annotate core genes in
eukaryotic genomes. Bioinformatics. 2007;23:1061–1067.

41. Parra G, Bradnam K, Ning ZM, Keane T, Korf I. Assessing the gene space in draft
genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009;37:289–297.

42. Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO: assessing
genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinforma Oxf
Engl. 2015;31:3210–2.

43. Holt C, Yandell M. MAKER2: an annotation pipeline and genome-database management
tool for second-generation genome projects. BMC Bioinformatics. 2011;12:491.

44. Paterson T, Law A. Genotypechecker: an interactive tool for checking the inheritance
consistency of genotyped pedigrees. Anim Genet. 2011;42:560–2.

45. Broman KW, Wu H, Sen Ś, Churchill GA. R/qtl: QTL mapping in experimental crosses.
Bioinformatics. 2003;19:889–90.

46. Löytynoja A, Goldman N. An algorithm for progressive multiple alignment of sequences
with insertions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005;102:10557–10562.

47. Yang ZH. PAML: a program package for phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood.
Comput Appl Biosci. 1997;13:555–556.

48. Yang ZH, Bielawski JP. Statistical methods for detecting molecular adaptation. Trends
Ecol Evol. 2000;15:496–503.

49. Angelis K, Dos Reis M, Yang Z. Bayesian estimation of nonsynonymous/synonymous rate
ratios for pairwise sequence comparisons. Mol Biol Evol. 2014;31:1902–13.

50. Sackton TB, Lazzaro BP, Schlenke TA, Evans JD, Hultmark D, Clark AG. Dynamic evolution
of the innate immune system in Drosophila. Nat Genet. 2007;39:1461–1468.

51. Haerty W, Jagadeeshan S, Kulathinal RJ, Wong A, Ravi Ram K, Sirot LK, et al. Evolution in
the fast lane: rapidly evolving sex-related genes in *Drosophila*. Genetics. 2007;177:1321–
1335.

52. Jennings JH, Mazzi D, Ritchie MG, Hoikkala A. Sexual and postmating reproductive
isolation between allopatric *Drosophila montana* populations suggest speciation potential.
BMC Evol Biol. 2011;11:68.

53. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
data. Bioinformatics. 2014;30:2114–2120.

54. Zhu Y, Bergland AO, González J, Petrov DA. Empirical validation of pooled whole genome
population re-sequencing in *Drosophila melanogaster*. PloS One. 2012;7:e41901.

55. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The sequence
alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009;25:2078–2079.

56. Kofler R, Pandey RV, Schlötterer C. PoPoolation2: identifying differentiation between
populations using sequencing of pooled DNA samples (Pool-Seq). Bioinformatics.
2011;27:3435–6.

57. Foll M, Gaggiotti O. A genome-scan method to identify selected loci appropriate for both
dominant and codominant markers: a bayesian perspective. Genetics. 2008;180:977–993.

58. Foll M, Fischer MC, Heckel G, Excoffier L. Estimating population structure from AFLP
amplification intensity. Mol Ecol. 2010;19:4638–4647.

59. Fischer MC, Foll M, Excoffier L, Heckel G. Enhanced AFLP genome scans detect local
adaptation in high-altitude populations of a small rodent (*Microtus arvalis*). Mol Ecol.
2011;20:1450–1462.

60. Pérez-Figueroa A, García-Pereira MJ, Saura M, Rolán-Alvarez E, Caballero A. Comparing
three different methods to detect selective loci using dominant markers. J Evol Biol.
2010;23:2267–2276.

61. Vilas A, Pérez-Figueroa A, Caballero A. A simulation study on the performance of
differentiation-based methods to detect selected loci using linked neutral markers. J Evol
Biol. 2012;25:1364–1376.

62. Villemereuil P, Frichot É, Bazin É, François O, Gaggiotti OE. Genome scan methods
against more complex models: when and how much should we trust them? Mol Ecol.
2014;23:2006–2019.

63. Eden E, Navon R, Steinfeld I, Lipson D, Yakhini Z. GOrilla: a tool for discovery and
visualization of enriched GO terms in ranked gene lists. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009;10:48.

64. Supek F, Bošnjak M, Škunca N, Šmuc T. REVIGO Summarizes and Visualizes Long Lists of
Gene Ontology Terms. PLOS ONE. 2011;6:e21800.

65. Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward the
comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009;37:1–13.

66. Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene
lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc. 2009;4:44–57.

67. Tweedie S, Ashburner M, Falls K, Leyland P, McQuilton P, Marygold S, et al. FlyBase:
enhancing *Drosophila* Gene Ontology annotations. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009;37:D555–D559.

68. Clark AG, Eisen MB, Smith DR, Bergman CM, Oliver B, Markow TA, et al. Evolution of
genes and genomes on the Drosophila phylogeny. Nature. 2007;450:203–218.

69. Watabe H. Photoperiodic responses in the *Drosophila virilis* species group (Diptera,
Drosophilidae) from Japan. Kontyo. 1983;51:628–634.

70. Hazel JR. Thermal adaptation in biological membranes - is homeoviscous adaptation the
explanation? Annu Rev Physiol. 1995;57:19–42.

71. Koštál V, Berková P, Šimek P. Remodelling of membrane phospholipids during transition
to diapause and cold-acclimation in the larvae of *Chymomyza costata* (Drosophilidae). Comp
Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol. 2003;135:407–419.

72. Heitler WJ, Goodman CS, Fraserrowell CH. Effects of temperature on threshold of
identified neurons in locust. J Comp Physiol. 1977;117:163–182.

73. Kivivuori L, Lehti S, Lagerspetz KYH. Effect of temperature-acclimation on thermal-
dependence and hysteresis of the resting membrane-potential of the stretch-receptor
neuron in crayfish *Astacus astacus* (L). J Therm Biol. 1990;15:9–14.

74. Hochachka PW. Defense strategies against hypoxia and hypothermia. Science.
1986;231:234–241.

75. Koštál V, Vambera J, Bastl J. On the nature of pre-freeze mortality in insects: water
balance, ion homeostasis and energy charge in the adults of *Pyrrhocoris apterus*. J Exp Biol.
2004;207:1509–1521.

76. Montgomery JC, Macdonald JA. Effects of temperature on nervous system: implications
for behavioral performance. Am J Physiol - Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 1990;259:R191–6.

77. Janssen R. Thermal influences on nervous system function. Neurosci Biobehav Rev.
1992;16:399–413.

78. Robertson RM, Money TG. Temperature and neuronal circuit function: compensation,
tuning and tolerance. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2012;22:724–34.

79. Hochachka PW, Somero GN. Temperature. Biochem Adapt Mech Process Physiol Evol.
New York: Oxford University Press; 2002. p. 290–449.

80. Margesin R. Psychrophiles: From Biodiversity to Biotechnology. London, UK: Springer;
2017.

81. Napolitano MJ, Shain DH. Four kingdoms on glacier ice: convergent energetic processes
boost energy levels as temperatures fall. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2004;271:S273–6.

82. Morrison BA, Shain DH. An AMP nucleosidase gene knockout in *Escherichia coli* elevates
intracellular ATP levels and increases cold tolerance. Biol Lett. 2008;4:53–6.

83. Swanson WJ, Vacquier VD. Reproductive Protein Evolution. Annu Rev Ecol Syst.
2002;33:161–79.

84. Clark NL, Aagaard JE, Swanson WJ. Evolution of reproductive proteins from animals and
plants. Reproduction. 2006;131:11–22.

85. Lukacsovich T, Yuge K, Awano W, Asztalos Z, Kondo S, Juni N, et al. The *ken and barbie*
gene encoding a putative transcription factor with a BTB domain and three zinc finger motifs
functions in terminalia development of Drosophila. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol.
2003;54:77–94.

86. Amrein H. Pheromone perception and behavior in Drosophila. Curr Opin Neurobiol.
2004;14:435–442.

87. Libert S, Zwiener J, Chu X, VanVoorhies W, Roman G, Pletcher SD. Regulation of
Drosophila life span by olfaction and food-derived odors. Science. 2007;315:1133–1137.

88. Gardiner A, Barker D, Butlin RK, Jordan WC, Ritchie MG. Drosophila chemoreceptor gene
evolution: selection, specialization and genome size. Mol Ecol. 2008;17:1648–1657.

89. Dalton P. Psychophysical and behavioral characteristics of olfactory adaptation. Chem
Senses. 2000;25:487–492.

90. Riveron J, Boto T, Alcorta E. The effect of environmental temperature on olfactory
perception in *Drosophila melanogaster*. J Insect Physiol. 2009;55:943–51.

91. Riveron J, Boto T, Alcorta E. Transcriptional basis of the acclimation to high
environmental temperature at the olfactory receptor organs of *Drosophila melanogaster*.
BMC Genomics. 2013;14:259.

92. Wittkopp PJ, Stewart EE, Arnold LL, Neidert AH, Haerum BK, Thompson EM, et al.
Intraspecific polymorphism to interspecific divergence: genetics of pigmentation in
Drosophila. Science. 2009;326:540–544.

93. Döring F, Scholz H, Kühnlein RP, Karschin A, Wischmeyer E. Novel Drosophila two-pore
domain K+ channels: rescue of channel function by heteromeric assembly. Eur J Neurosci.
2006;24:2264–2274.

94. Andersen MK, Jensen SO, Overgaard J. Physiological correlates of chill susceptibility in
Lepidoptera. J Insect Physiol. 2017;98:317–326.

836
837

838   **Table 1 | Summary statistics of *D. montana* genome assembly**

Metric	Value
Total assembled length (bp)	183585048
Scaffolds (n)	63742
Scaffold N50 (bp)	40647
Largest scaffold (bp)	515352
GC content (%)	40.57
Number of predicted gene models	13683
Number of predicted gene models with RBBH to *D. virilis* genome	10898
CEGMA pipeline analysis (% complete/partial)	95.97/98.39
BUSCO (% complete/missing)	91.85/1.72

845 **Figure 1 |** Tree used for multi-species PAML analyses. Cold-tolerant species (species that
846 have a knockdown temperature of <3°C) are shown in blue (data from Kellermann et al.
847 (2012)).
848

851
852
853 **Figure 2 |** Average values of ω between *D. montana* and *D. virilis* for candidate gene sets.
854 FRTP = female reproductive tract SFP = seminal fluid proteins SRR = sex and reproduction
855 related genes CA = cold acclimation genes. The red and dashed lines indicate the median
856 and mean ω of the genomic background respectively.
857

Figure 3 | Semantic clustering of significantly (FDR < 0.1) enriched GO-terms for genes
showing high dN/dS between *D. montana* and *D. virilis*. Circle size corresponds to the
number of genes annotated to the term in the reference database. Circle colour indicates
$\log_{10}$ FDR of the GO term.

864
865 **Figure 4 |** Distributions of dN and dS estimates for each of the 250 genes from 13 *Drosophila*
866 species with significant differences in omega between cold-tolerant and non-cold-tolerant
867 species.

Figure 5 | The relationship between values of omega estimated for 5,619 genes in cold-
tolerant and non-cold-tolerant species of Drosophila. 250 genes with significantly different
estimates of omega are shown in black with red outline. Diagonal line indicates the 1-1
diagonal, points below the diagonal line show elevated levels of omega in cold-tolerant
species compared to non-cold-tolerant species, while points above the diagonal show
elevated levels of omega in non-cold-tolerant species.

Figure 6 | Semantic clustering of significantly (FDR < 0.1) enriched GO-terms for genes
showing significantly elevated dN/dS in cold-tolerant or non-cold-tolerant species. Circle size
corresponds to the number of genes annotated to the term in the reference database. Circle
colour indicates log$_{10}$ FDR of the GO term

882
883 **Figure 7 |** Manhattan plot of q-values from the three pairwise BayeScan analyses for the
884 SNPs on the mapped chromosomes. Red points denote SNPs which passed the 0.05 q-value
885 FDR threshold. Alternating grey and black points denote different scaffolds that have been
886 anchored to the chromosomes. The order of the mapped scaffolds is established but not
887 their orientation.
888

Figure 8 | Semantic clustering of significantly (FDR < 0.1) enriched GO-terms for genes
showing significant divergence between populations of *D. montana*. Circle size corresponds
to the number of genes annotated to the term in the reference database. Circle colour
indicates $\log_{10}$ FDR of the GO term

895