Genome wide association analysis identifies genetic variants associated with

reproductive variation across domestic dog breeds and uncovers links to

domestication

Julie Baker Phillips[1,2], Samuel A. Smith[1,3,4], Maddison L. Johnson[1], Patrick Abbot[1], John A.

Capra[1,5,6] and Antonis Rokas[1,5,6,*]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, United States of

America

[2]Department of Biological Sciences, Cumberland University, Lebanon, TN, United States of

America

[3]Center for Computational Molecular Biology, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island,

02912, United States of America

[4]Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912,

United States of America

[5]Department of Biomedical Informatics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37203, United

States of America

[6]Vanderbilt Genetics Institute, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN 37232,

United States of America

Short title: Investigating the genetic basis of reproductive traits across dog breeds

Keywords: life history; artificial selection; trade-off; preterm birth; prematurity;

pregnancy

*Corresponding Author: antonis.rokas@vanderbilt.edu

Abstract

The diversity of eutherian reproductive strategies has led to variation in many traits, such

as number of offspring, age of reproductive maturity, and gestation length. While

reproductive trait variation has been extensively investigated and is well established in

mammals, the genetic loci contributing to this variation remain largely unknown. The

domestic dog, *Canis lupus familiaris* is a powerful model for studies of the genetics of

inherited disease due to its unique history of domestication. To gain insight into the genetic

basis of reproductive traits across domestic dog breeds, we collected phenotypic data for

four traits – cesarean section rate (n = 97 breeds), litter size (n = 60), stillbirth rate (n =

57), and gestation length (n = 23) – from primary literature and breeders' handbooks. By

matching our phenotypic data to genomic data from the Cornell Veterinary Biobank, we

performed genome wide association analyses for these four reproductive traits, using body

mass and kinship among breeds as co-variates. We identified 14 genome-wide significant

associations between these traits and genetic loci, including variants near *CACNA2D3* with

gestation length, *MSRB3* with litter size, *SMOC2* with cesarean section rate, *MITF* with litter

size and still birth rate, *KRT71* with cesarean section rate, litter size, and stillbirth rate, and

HTR2C with stillbirth rate. Some of these loci, such as *CACNA2D3* and *MSRB3*, have been

previously implicated in human reproductive pathologies. Many of the variants that we

identified have been previously associated with domestication-related traits, including

brachycephaly (*SMOC2*), coat color (*MITF*), coat curl (*KRT71*), and tameness (*HTR2C*).

These results raise the hypothesis that the artificial selection that gave rise to dog breeds

also shaped the observed variation in their reproductive traits. Overall, our work

establishes the domestic dog as a system for studying the genetics of reproductive biology

and disease.

Introduction

Mammals exhibit wide variation in traits associated with reproduction (1-3). For example, gestation length can range from 12 days in the Gray dwarf hamster, *Cricetulus migratorius*, to 21 months in the African bush elephant, *Loxodonta africana* (4-6); neonate size can range from less than one gram in the shrew family (Soricidae), to more than a metric ton in the baleen whales (Balaenopteridae) (4,6); and neonates can be either precocial (e.g., cricetid rodents, rabbits, and canids) or altricial (e.g., hystricomorph rodents, ungulates, and cetaceans) (1). This variation in reproductive traits also extends to methods of implantation (7), structure of the placenta (8,9), and lactation strategies (10,11). Not surprisingly, many reproductive traits also exhibit substantial intra-specific variation (5). For example, many mammals exhibit intraspecific variation in gestation length, including primates (12), rat and rabbits (13), as well as the domesticated cattle (14) and thoroughbred horses (15). Similarly, body fat percentages, which are associated with the energetics of reproduction, vary greatly between wild and captive baboons, and intraspecific variation among captive lemurs can vary from 8 – 41% (16).

The existence of phenotypic variation in reproductive traits is well established, and can inform our understanding of the factors that shape patterns of survival and reproduction in both agricultural (17-20) and human populations (21). Not surprisingly, most genome wide association (GWAS) studies of reproductive traits focus on economically important traits in domesticated species, such as reproductive seasonality in rabbits (17), infertility in pigs (18), and dairy traits in cattle (19). GWAS studies focused on understanding human reproductive biology and its associated pathologies have also shed light on the genetic

basis of reproductive traits, including birth weight (22) and gestational duration or length

(23-25). For example, maternal variation in six genomic loci (*ADCY5*, *AGTR2*, *EBF1*, *EEFSEC*,

RAP2C, and *WNT4*) is associated with gestational duration and preterm birth (25). While

these studies contribute to our understanding of the genetic architecture of reproductive

traits, we still understand very little about the molecular pathways underlying this

variation and are unable to explain the majority of the heritability in reproductive traits

(26-29).

To address this challenge, we studied the genetics of reproductive traits in a powerful new

model system: the domestic dog. The dog is well-suited to this question, because the

domestication bottleneck followed by intense artificial selection and inbreeding imposed

over the past 300 years has led to the generation of more than 340 recognized breeds that

exhibit dramatic morphological variation (30-32). Domestic dog breeds also show

substantial variation in their reproductive traits. For example, Pomeranians and Norfolk

Terriers typically have only 2 pups per litter, whereas Dalmatians and Rhodesian

Ridgebacks typically sire 8-9 pups per litter (33). Similarly, 80 – 90% of French Bulldogs

and Boston Terriers are born via cesarean section due to cephalopelvic disproportion,

whereas only 2 – 3% of Australian Shepherds and Shar Peis require cesareans (34). Recent

analyses have begun to study the genetic mechanisms that underlie the remarkable

morphological variation between modern dog breeds in diverse traits such as snout length,

ear erectness, and tail curliness (35-38), as well as genetic disease (39).

To gain insight into the genetic basis of reproductive traits across domestic dog breeds, we

collected phenotypic data for four reproductive traits, namely cesarean section rate, litter

size, stillbirth rate, and gestation length. We synthesized data from the primary literature

and breeders' handbooks to obtain coverage of between 23 (trait) and 97 (trait) dog

breeds, as well as body mass data from 101 dog breeds. By matching our phenotypic data

to genome-wide genotypic data from the Cornell Veterinary Biobank, we performed GWAS

analyses and identified 14 genetic loci that are significantly associated with these

reproductive traits (using body mass as a co-variate). Several of these variants are in or

near genes previously implicated in human reproduction-related pathologies. The majority

of the variants that we discovered to be significantly associated with reproductive trait

variation are also associated with domestication-related traits. For example, we found that:

variation in a gene previously identified to be involved in brachycephaly is also

significantly associated with rates of cesarean sections; variation in a gene previously

associated with docility is also associated with stillbirth rates; and variation in genes

previously linked to coat phenotypes, such as color and curliness, is also associated with

several reproductive traits. These results suggest that selection for breed-specific

morphological traits during dog domestication may have also directly or indirectly

influenced variation in reproductive traits. More broadly, our results establish the domestic

dog as a tractable system for studying the genetics of reproductive traits and underscore

the potential for cryptic interactions between reproductive and other traits favored over

the course of adaptation.

Results

To identify SNPs that are significantly associated with four reproductive traits in domestic

dog breeds, we conducted across-breed GWAS analyses using a multivariate linear mixed

model implemented in the program GEMMA (Zhou & Stephens, 2012). Number of

individuals and distribution of breed varied with analysis. After filtering for MAF (MAF <

0.05; 10,804 SNPs were excluded) and linkage disequilibrium (34,240 additional SNPs

were excluded), 115,683 SNPs were included in the GWAS analysis for each reproductive

trait. To validate our GWAS approach and analytical choices, we first used our collected

values for body mass, a trait whose genetic associations have been previously extensively

studied in dogs (36,37). As expected, our analysis recovered the major genes associated

with dog breed body mass variation, including *IGF1* ($P = 2.1 \times 10^{-31}$), *SMAD2*

($P = 1.2 \times 10^{-17}$) and *IGF2BP2* ($P = 5.1 \times 10^{-11}$) (Supplementary Figure 1,

Supplementary Table 2).

Four genetic loci significantly associate with cesarean section rate

To examine whether there is variation in cesarean section rate among breeds, we first

identified cesarean section rate values for a total of 97 of the 162 dog breeds with

genotypic data (Supplementary Table 1). The cesarean section rate values were derived

from a British survey across 151 breeds covering 13,141 bitches, which had whelped

22,005 litters over the course of a 10 year period (34). The frequency of cesarean sections

was estimated as the percentage of litters reported to be born by cesarean section. Among

the 97 breeds with overlapping genetic data, the median cesarean section rate is 17.1%,

with a minimum of 0% in Curly Coated Retrievers and Silky Terriers and a maximum of

92.3% in Boston Terriers (Supplementary Figure 3A).

To identify SNPs that are significantly associated with the observed variation in cesarean

section rate across domestic dog breeds, we conducted an across-breed GWAS analysis

using 115,683 SNPs and cesarean section values across 95 dog breeds (Figure 1A,

Supplementary Figure 2A). We identified four significant SNPs, three of which mapped to

genes, namely paralemmin 3 (*PALM3*, uncorrected $P = 1.4 \times 10^{-9}$), sparc-related

modular calcium-binding protein 2 (*SMOC2*, uncorrected $P = 2.0 \times 10^{-7}$), and keratin 71

(*KRT71*, uncorrected $P = 2.9 \times 10^{-7}$), and a fourth that mapped to the intergenic region

between the *CD36* glycoprotein and a lincRNA (uncorrected $P = 9.7 \times 10^{-8}$; Figure 1A).

The first significantly associated SNP (chromosome 1: 55,983,871) is found in the intron

between exons 13 and 14 of *SMOC2*, a gene that is associated with brachycephaly in dogs

(38,40); variation in *SMOC2* accounts for 36% of facial length variation in dogs (41). In

humans, *SMOC2* is highly expressed in endometrium as well as other reproductive tissues,

including the fallopian tubes, ovaries and cervix (Figure 2) (42). The 3' intronic location of

the SNP raises the possibility that it might be regulatory (43).

The second SNP is found in the 3' UTR of *PALM3*, which is a member of the paralemmin

gene family that also includes *PALM1*, *PALM2*, and *PALMD* (palmdelphin); members of this

family are implicated in plasma membrane dynamics and as modulators of cellular cAMP

signaling in the brain (44,45). The function of *PALM3* may be slightly different from the rest

of the genes in the family, with recent work suggesting that PALM3 is a binding protein of

the single immunoglobulin IL-1 receptor-related molecule (SIGIRR), which is a negative

regulator of Toll-Interleukin-1 receptor signaling (46). In humans, *PALM3* is primarily

expressed in the membranes of the stomach, kidney, parathyroid gland and epididymis

(Figure 2) (42). The SNP (chromosome 20: 48,454,259) that is significantly associated with

cesarean section rate is found in the first intron of the *PALM3* gene, suggesting that it might

be involved in regulatory actions typically observed in 5' introns (43).

The third SNP (chromosome 27: 2,539,211) results in a missense mutation of exon 2 of

KRT71, which belongs to a family of keratin genes specifically expressed in the inner root

sheath of hair follicles (47). Prior analysis in dogs identified variation in gene *KRT71*, along

with variation in genes *RSPO2* and *FGF5*, accounting for most coat phenotypes (48), such as

curliness.

The fourth significant SNP (chromosome 18: 20,272,961) is found in the intergenic region

between the *CD36* gene and a lincRNA (ENSCAFG00000034312). The protein product of

CD36 is the fourth major glycoprotein of the platelet surface and serves as a receptor for

thrombospondin in platelets (49). Other known functions include transport of long chain

fatty acids (50).

Six genetic loci significantly associate with litter Size

To examine whether there are SNPs that are significantly associated with variation in litter

size among breeds, we retrieved litter size data from 10,810 litters of 224 breeds

registered in the Norwegian Kennel Club (33). For these data, we were able to obtain

average number of pups per litter values for 60 of the 162 dog breeds with overlapping

genetic data (Supplementary Table 1). Among these 60 breeds, median litter size is 5.55

pups, with a maximum 8.9 in Rhodesian Ridgebacks and a minimum of 2.4 in Pomeranians

(Supplementary Figure 3B).

To identify SNPs, and genes proximal to them, that are significantly associated with the

observed variation in litter size across domestic dog breeds, we conducted an across-breed

GWAS analysis using 115,683 SNPs and litter size data from 60 dog breeds (Figure 1B,

Supplementary Figure 2B). We identified three significant SNPs intersecting three genes,

namely keratin 71 (*KRT71,* uncorrected $P = 2.2 \times 10^{-8}$), RNA Terminal Phosphate

Cyclase-Like 1 (*RCL1*, uncorrected $P = 2.6 \times 10^{-8}$) and microphthalmia-associated

transcription factor (*MITF,* uncorrected $P = 3.5 \times 10^{-7}$). The *KRT71* SNP is the same

variant that associated with variation in cesarean section rate described above. Another

three significant SNPs were found in intergenic regions; two were nearby genes *MSRB3*

(methionine sulfoxide reductase B3, uncorrected $P = 1.3 \times 10^{-7}$) and *MSANTD1*

(Myb/SANT DNA binding domain containing, uncorrected $P = 1.5 \times 10^{-9}$), respectively.

The final variant was near an RNA of unknown function (ENSCAFG00000021196,

uncorrected $P = 3.8 \times 10^{-10}$).

The *RCL1* SNP (chromosome 1: 93,189,363) is found in the intron between exons 7 and 8.

RCL1 functions in the maturation of 18s RNA (51) and is associated with cervical cancer;

one role of the gene in this cancer pathology is thought to involve the regulation of insulin

receptors (51). Additionally, a rare missense variation in *RCL1* was recently associated with

depression (52).

The *MITF* SNP (chromosome 20: 21,848,176) is found in the intron between exons 4 and 5.

MITF plays an integral role in the development of neural crest-derived melanocytes and

optic cup-derived retinal pigment epithelial cells. In human melanocytes, *MITF* is a

regulator of *DIAPH1*, a member of the formin gene family whose members are highly

expressed in reproductive tissues and have been associated with a variety of reproductive

phenotypes (53-57). *DIAPH1* expression is increased in spontaneous term and preterm

labor myometrial tissues (58). In domesticated animals, *MITF* is a well characterized gene

associated with coat color (36,59). In humans, *MITF* is expressed in melanocytes, as well as

reproductive tissues including the endometrium and cervix (Figure 2)(42).

Another SNP (chromosome 10: 8,114,328) significantly associated with litter size is found

in the intergenic region downstream of *MSRB3*, whose protein product catalyzes the

reduction of methionine-R-sulfoxides to methionine and repairs oxidatively damaged

proteins (60,61). In humans, mutations in *MSRB3* are associated with deafness (62).

Epigenetic changes of *MSRB3* in the fetus during pregnancy may affect length of gestation,

with increased DNA methylation correlated with increased gestational age (63,64).

Furthermore, *MSRB3* shows an increase in mRNA expression in ripe (at term) versus

unripe human uterine cervix, implying that *MSRB3* functions to ripen the cervix before the

onset of labor (65). In previous morphological studies in dogs, *MSRB3* is associated with

ear erectness (36).

The last SNP (chromosome 6: 61,062,626) that is significantly associated with litter size is

located downstream of *MSANTD1*, which is part of a gene network believed to aid in cell-to-

cell signaling and interaction, hematological system development and function, and

immune cell trafficking (66). *MSANTD1* has been identified in two independent studies as a

candidate gene for the determination of black coat color in goats (67,68).

Five genetic loci significantly associate with stillbirth rate

To examine whether there are SNPs that are significantly associated with variation in

stillbirth rate among breeds, we retrieved data for stillbirth rates for 57 of the 162 dog

breeds (Supplementary Table 1). The data covers 10,810 litters of 224 breeds registered in

the Norwegian Kennel Club and defines perinatal mortality as the sum of stillborn puppies

and puppies that died during the first week after birth (69). Among these 57 breeds with

overlapping genomic data, the median stillbirth rate is 4.2 pups, with a maximum rate of

12.3% in Saint Bernards and a minimum of 0% in Basenjis and Italian Greyhounds

(Supplementary Figure 3C).

To test if any SNPs are significantly associated with the observed variation in stillbirth rate

across domestic dog breeds, we conducted an across-breed GWAS analysis using 115,683

SNPs and stillbirth rate data from 56 dog breeds (Figure 1C, Supplementary Figure 2C). We

identified five significant SNPs; four intersecting 4 genes, namely nuclear protein body

SP140 (*SP140*, uncorrected $P = 2.8 \times 10^{-8}$), 5-Hydroxtryptamine receptor 2C (*HTR2C*,

uncorrected $P = 2.0 \times 10^{-7}$), keratin 71 (*KRT71*, uncorrected $P = 3.2 \times 10^{-9}$), and

microphthalmia-associated transcription factor (*MITF*, uncorrected $P = 1.4 \times 10^{-7}$), and

one in an intergenic region near a snoRNA (ENSCAFG00000027305, uncorrected

$P = 1.3 \times 10^{-7}$) of unknown function. The *KRT71* SNP associated with variation in

stillbirth rate is the same one as that associated with variation in cesarean section rate and

litter size described above. Similarly, the *MITF* SNP associated with variation in stillbirth

rate is the same as that associated with litter size.

The *SP140* SNP (chromosome 25: 42,482,266) resides in the intro between exons 4 and 5.

SP140 is the lymphoid-restricted homolog of *SP100* expressed in mature B cells, as well as

some T cells (70). High levels of *SP140* mRNA are detected in human spleen and peripheral

blood leukocytes, but not other human tissues (Bloch et al., 1996). *SP140* expression has

been implicated in innate response to immunodeficiency virus type 1 (71). Finally, *SP140*

was the gene showing the largest difference in expression level between normal and

preeclamptic placentas (72) .

The *HTR2C* SNP (chromosome X: 87,378,551) is located in the intron between exons 3 and

4. *HTR2C* is one of the most important and extensively studied serotonin receptors (73).

HTR2C has ten fixed SNP differences between dogs and wolves, and also belongs to the

behavioral fear response (74). Additionally, *HTR2C* is differentially expressed in the brain

between tame and aggressive mice and foxes (75), providing additional evidence for its

involvement in the tame behaviors of domesticated dogs (74).

Four genetic loci significantly associate with gestation length

To examine whether there is variation in gestation length among breeds, we identified

individual gestation length averages by breed predominantly in breeder handbooks.

Utilizing breeders' handbooks, we were able to identify gestation length means for a total

of 23 of the 162 dog breeds that we had genotypic data for (Supplementary Table 1).

Among these 23 breeds, the median gestation length is 62.2 days, with a maximum length

of 65.3 in beagles and a minimum of 60.1 in the Alaskan Malamute (Supplementary Figure

3D).

To identify SNPs, and genes proximal to them, that are significantly associated with the

observed variation in gestation length across domestic dog breeds, we conducted an

across-breed GWAS analysis using 115,683 SNPs and gestation length data from 23 dog

breeds (Figure 1D, Supplementary Figure 2D). Our analysis identified six significantly

associated SNPs that mapped to 4 genes, namely solute carrier family 9 (*SLC9A8*,

uncorrected $P = 3.7 \times 10^{-11}$), calcium channel, voltage-dependent, alpha-2/delta

Subunit 3 (*CACNA2D3*, uncorrected $P = 3.1 \times 10^{-7}$), microtubule associated tumor

suppressor candidate 2 (*MTUS2*, uncorrected $P = 3.6 \times 10^{-7}$), and helicase family

member 1 (*HFM1*, uncorrected $P = 4.0 \times 10^{-7}$), and two lincRNAs

(ENSCAFG00000037743, uncorrected $P = 4.4 \times 10^{-7}$, and ENSCAFG00000039067,

uncorrected $P = 1.6 \times 10^{-7}$) whose function is unknown.

The first significantly associated SNP (chromosome 24: 36,399,705) resides in intron 78 of

SLC9A8, an integral transmembrane protein that exchanges extracellular Na+ for

intracellular H+. *SLC9A8* serves multiple functions, including intracellular pH homeostasis,

cell volume regulation, and electroneutral NaCl absorption in epithelia (76). Knockout male

mice have impaired luteinizing hormone-stimulated cAMP production and are infertile,

despite normal morphology of their reproductive system and normal behavior (77).

SLC9A8 is expressed ubiquitously (Figure 2) (42), an expression pattern suggestive of

involvement in housekeeping functions.

The second SNP (chromosome 20: 35,206,774) is found in the intron between exons 26 and

27 of *CACNA2D3*. This gene is one of four members of the alpha-2/delta subunit three

family of the voltage-dependent calcium (Ca2+) channel complex, regulating the influx of

Ca2+ ions entering the cell upon membrane polarization (78). The regulation of calcium is a

fundamental process relevant to life at fertilization, and subsequent control of

development and differentiation of cells (79). In previous studies in humans, *CACNA2D3* is

differentially methylated in the amnion between normal and preeclamptic pregnancies

(80) and in blood between extreme preterm and term infants at birth (55,81). Additionally,

CACNA2D3 is one of four genes recently described as influencing cranial morphology in

human populations (82). In other domesticated animals, *CACNA2D3* is downregulated by

Colony Stimulating Factor 2 (*CSF2*) in the trophoectoderm of pregnant cattle, which

increases the ability of the preimplantation embryo to advance to the blastocyst stage (83).

In the closely related wolf, *CACNA2D3* is under diversifying selection associated with

environmental adaptations to altitude (84-86).

The third significantly associated SNP (chromosome 25: 10,481,606) falls in a large

intronic region of the *MTUS2* gene. The protein product of *MTUS2* is cardiac zipper protein

(CAZIP), a member of a class of proteins that interact with angiotensin II receptor

interacting proteins (ATIP) (87). *MTUS2* plays a role in the development and function of the

heart and nervous system in vertebrates (88).

The fourth SNP (chromosome 6: 57,457,184) is located in the 3' intron of *HFM1*, a DNA

helicase that confers genome integrity in germline tissues (89). *HMF1* plays a role in

meiotic recombination implying a major evolutionary role through the creation of diverse

offspring. In mice, deletion of *HFM1* eliminates a major fraction of cross over events (90),

whereas in cattle *HMF1* is associated with both fertility and milk production in Holstein

cattle (91), as well as with alteration of global recombination rates in Holstein, Holstein-

Friesian, Jersey, and crossbred individuals (92).

Discussion

Mammals exhibit a great deal of variation in their reproductive traits, yet remarkably little

is known about the genetic basis of these traits. To begin to address this, we used GWAS

analyses to examine the genetic basis of four reproductive traits (cesarean section rate,

stillbirth rate, litter size, and gestation length) across up to 97 domestic dog breeds. We

identified several significant genetic associations for each trait (Figure 1).

Six of the 14 genetic variants that we found to be associated with reproductive trait

variation have been previously identified to be involved in diverse traits associated with

dog domestication (Table 2), such as brachycephaly and coat curl and color, suggesting that

selection for signature traits of dog breeds may have also directly or indirectly influenced

variation in reproductive traits. For example, one of the variants that we found to be

associated with cesarean section rate is in an intron of *SMOC2*, a gene previously associated

with brachycephaly in dogs (40,41). Brachycephaly, the shortening and widening of the

muzzle and skull, is present in several "fighting" breeds such as Boxer, Boston Terrier, and

Bulldog, and is thought to have been originally artificially selected on the basis that a

shorter and wider cranial shape would enhance the dog's biting power (93). Interestingly,

one of the traits that associated with brachycephaly is cephalopelvic disproportion (94), a

significant medical condition that can result in the death of both the litter and the bitch due

to the inability of the pups to pass through the pelvic canal. The negative effects of

cephalopelvic disproportion are alleviated by cesarean section, which not only allows these

breeds to reproduce but also enables the continued application of artificial selection for the

most extreme cranial morphology (40). Whether the *SMOC2* variant identified directly

influences parturition and birth timing in dogs (in humans, *SMOC2* is highly expressed in

several reproductive tissues; see Figure 2 and Ref. (42) or indirectly leads to adverse

pregnancy outcomes (e.g. brachycephalic cranial morphology leading to cesarean section)

remains unknown. It is highly likely, however, that the association between *SMOC2* and

brachycephaly came first, paving the way for the subsequent association of both with

cesarean section rate.

Several of the significantly associated genes that we identified in dogs appear to also be

associated with reproductive phenotypes in humans. This suggests the possibility that the

artificial selection that gave rise to dog breeds may have also contributed to the observed

variation in their reproductive traits. For example, a member of the gene family for a

subunit of the voltage-dependent calcium channel complex, *CACNA2D3,* which is associated

with gestation length in our study, has been shown to be both differentially methylated in

amnion between normal and preeclamptic human pregnancies (80), and in blood between

extreme preterm and term infants at birth (55,81). Furthermore, expression of *MSRB3*,

which is associated with litter size in our study, is elevated in ripe (at term) versus unripe

human uterine cervix and may be involved in the onset of labor (65). Finally, a few of the

other genes significantly associated with reproductive traits (*SMOC2* and *MITF*) are also

known to be expressed in human reproductive tissues (42)(Figure 2).

Methods

Genotypic and Phenotypic Data. To identify SNPs that are significantly associated with

reproductive traits, we used a previously published data set containing 160,727 SNPs from

4,342 individual dogs across 162 breeds genotyped using the Illumina 173k CanineHD

array that were downloaded from

http://datadryad.org/resource/doi:10.5061/dryad.266k4 (35,95). Following the original

authors, SNPs with a genotyping rate (i.e., the proportion of genotypes per marker with

non-missing data) below 95% and heterozygosity ratios (i.e., the ratio of the number of

heterozygous SNPs divided by the number of non-reference homozygous SNPs) below 0.25

or above 1.0 were removed.

Phenotypic reproductive trait data for litter size (number of pups), cesarean rate, stillbirth

rate, and gestation length across 128 breeds were collected from a variety of breeder's

handbook and primary journal articles (33,69,94,96-104) (see also Supplementary

Material 1). We also included body mass as a control trait. Each breed was assigned the

average breed value for each phenotype; the full list of the values for all four reproductive

traits and body mass across the 128 breeds is provided in supplementary table 1. For the

body mass control, our collected trait values overlapped with the genotypic data (35,95)

for 101 breeds corresponding to 3,384 individuals (Table 1). For the reproductive traits,

our collected cesarean section rate trait values overlapped with the genotypic data for 95

breeds (3,194 individuals), our litter size trait values for 60 breeds (2,617 individuals), our

stillbirth rate values for 56 breeds (2,590 individuals), and our gestation length values for

23 breeds (1,908 individuals) (Table 1).

Genome Wide Association (GWAS) Analyses. To test SNPs for associations with the four

reproductive traits of interest, we conducted a GWAS analysis for each individual trait

using body mass as a covariate, and accounting for kinship, as well as for body mass as a

proof of concept. All GWAS analyses were run using a linear-mixed model as implemented

in the program GEMMA, version 0.94 (105). Numerous studies have shown that the vast

majority of morphological, ecological and physiological traits vary as a function of an

organism's body mass (106-108) as well as a function of kinship (35,36). Most notably for

the purpose of this study, body mass has been previously shown to be strongly correlated

with litter weight (109-111), neonate weight (109-112), and gestation length

(6,109,110,113,114).

To ensure our analysis reflected the reproductive trait of interest and not SNPs associated

with body mass, we used body mass as a covariate for all reproductive trait analyses. To be

able to do so, we pruned our genotypic data so that they included only dog breeds (and

individuals) for which we had both body mass and reproductive trait of interest values (see

Supplementary Table 1).

To account for population stratification, we calculated a kinship matrix of the included

breeds using GEMMA and included it as a random effect in each association analysis. Each

value of a kinship matrix describes the probability that a particular allele from two

randomly chosen individuals at a given locus is identical by descent (115). Finally, to

control for inflated P value significance from the testing of multiple hypotheses, we used a

significance threshold of $P = 4.3 \times 10^{-7}$ (Bonferroni cutoff of $\alpha = 0.05, N = 115{,}574$) for

all analyses. All reported P values are uncorrected Wald's P values as calculated in PLINK.

Finally, to reduce potential error stemming from SNP misidentification in our analyses, we

included only SNPs with a minor allele frequency (MAF) > 0.05, since SNPs with very low

minor allele frequencies are more prone to error due to the small number of samples that

have the called nucleotide. Furthermore, we pruned SNPs not in complete or near-complete

linkage disequilibrium using a variance inflation factor of 10, using the PLINK command --

indep 100 10 10 (116).

To gain insight into the genetic elements putatively involved with the traits of interest, we

mapped all SNPs found to be significantly associated with each trait of interest using

custom perl and R scripts to the CanFam3.1.87 dog genome assembly (117,118). Transcript

IDs were mapped to gene names using bioconductor biomaRt interface to the ENSEML

biomart (119). If the significant SNP was outside gene boundaries, we reported the nearest

upstream or downstream gene. Manhattan plots and quantile-quantile plots were

generated using R 3.1.2 (120) with the qqman package (121). Calculation of the λ inflation

parameter, a metric of any existing systematic bias in the data set, was calculated using the

GenABEL R package (122) and was used to interpret Type I error rate in the multiple

testing of GWAS analyses (123).

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Rokas lab and members of the March of Dimes Prematurity

Research Center Ohio Collaborative for useful discussions of this work.

Funding Statement

This research was supported by the March of Dimes through the March of Dimes

Prematurity Research Center Ohio Collaborative (to A.R., P.A. and J.A.C) and by the

Burroughs Wellcome Fund (to J.A.C and A.R.). MLJ received partial support through a

Littlejohn Summer Research Scholarship at Vanderbilt University. The funders had no role

in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the

manuscript.

TABLES

Table 1. Numbers of breeds and individuals with overlapping phenotypes and genotypes

included in our analysis.

Trait	Number of Overlapping Breeds	Number of Overlapping Individuals
Body Mass	101	3,384
Cesarean Section Rate	97	3,194
Litter Size	60	2,617
Stillbirth Rate	57	2,590
Gestation Length	23	1,908

460   **Table 2.** Summary of genes that contain or are adjacent to the SNPs that are significantly

461   associated with variation in reproductive traits across dog breeds.

Gene ID	Gene Name	Chr.	rs Number	Variant	Reproductive Trait(s)	Domestication-related Trait(s)
SMOC2	SPARC related modular calcium binding 2	1	rs21966904	Non-coding (Intron 13)	Cesarean section rate	Brachycephaly (Dogs)
PALM3	paralemmin 3	20	rs22853767	Non-coding (3' UTR)	Cesarean section rate	-
KRT71	keratin	27	rs23373415	Coding (exon 2)	Cesarean section rate, litter size, stillbirth rate	Coat phenotypes (Dogs)
CD36	CD36 glycoprotein	18	rs22664051	Intergenic variant (downstream)	Cesarean section rate	-
RCL1	RNA terminal phosphate cyclase	1	rs21894066	Non-coding (Intron 7)	Litter size	-

	like 1					
MITF	melanogenesis associated transcription factor	20	rs20848176	Coding (exon 5)	Litter size, stillbirth rate	Coat color (Dogs)
MSRB3	methionine sulfoxide reductase B3	10	rs22060533	Intergenic variant (downstream)	Litter size	Ear erectness (Dogs)
MSANTD1	Myb/SANT DNA binding domain containing	6	rs9084938	Intergenic variant (downstream)	Litter size	Black coat color (Goats)
SP140	nuclear protein body SP140	25	rs8856304	Non-coding (intron 4)	Stillbirth rate	-
HTR2C	5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 2	X	rs24622199	Non-Coding (intron 2)	Stillbirth rate	Tameness (Dogs, Foxes, Mice)
SLC9A8	solute carrier family 9 member A8	24	rs23219089	Non-coding (intron 7)	Gestation length	-
CACNA2D3	calcium voltage-	20	rs22853845	Non-coding	Gestation	Blastocyst

				(intron 9)	length	development (Cattle)
	gated channel auxiliary subunit alpha2delta 3					
MTUS2	microtubule associated tumor suppressor candidate 2	25	10481606	Non-coding (intron 6)	Gestation length	-
HFM1	ATP dependent DNA helicase homolog	6	rs24306896	Non-coding (intron 4)	Gestation length	Fertility and milk production (Cattle)

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Significant GWAS results for reproductive traits in domestic dogs.

Manhattan plots showing the statistical significance of each SNP as a function of genomic

position for (A) cesarean section rate (n = 3,194 individuals, n = 97 breeds), (B) litter size

(n = 2,617 individuals, n = 60 breeds), (C) stillbirth (n = 2,590 individuals, n = 57 breeds),

and (D) gestation length (n =1,908 individuals, n = 23 breeds). Horizontal line indicates the

significance threshold at $P = 4.3 \times 10^{-7}$. Significant SNPs are labels with the intersecting

or nearest gene. Plots were generated in R using the qqman package.

Figure 2. Gene expression in human female reproductive tissues of genes that

contain or are adjacent to SNPs significantly associated with reproductive traits in

domestic dogs. Raw data were obtained from the Human Protein Atlas database (42).

Supplementary Material

Supplementary Figure 1. Recapitulation of SNPs associated with body mass in 101

domesticated dog breeds. (A) Body mass distribution for 101 breeds. (B) Manhattan plots

showing the statistical significance of each SNP as a function of genomic position for body

mass. Plot generated in R using the qqman package. (C) Quantile-quantile plot showing the

effectiveness of the stratification correction ($\lambda = 1.17$). Plot generated in R; inflation factor

was calculated using the GenABEL package implemented in R.

Supplementary Figure 2. Distribution of phenotypic values of the four reproductive

traits examined in this study across dog breeds. (A) cesarean section rate (n = 97

breeds), (B) litter size (n = 60 breeds), (C) stillbirth rate (n = 57 breeds), and (D) gestation

length (n = 23 breeds). Plots were generated in R using the gglplot2 package.

Supplementary Figure 3. Quantile-quantile plots for the GWAS analyses of the four

reproductive traits. The range for the inflation factor (λ) for all GWAS analyses is between

1.05 – 1.09, indicating the effectiveness of the stratification correction. (A) cesarean section

rate ($\lambda = 1.05$), (B) litter size ($\lambda = 1.05$), (C) stillbirth rate ($\lambda = 1.05$), and (D) gestation

length ($\lambda = 1.09$). Plots generated in R, and inflation factors were calculated using the

GenABEL package implanted in R.

Supplementary Table 1. Summary of raw phenotypes for breeds included in analysis.

Supplementary Table 2. Summary of top 50 SNPs associated with body mass.

Supplementary Table 3. Summary of top 50 SNPs associated with cesarean section

rate.

Supplementary Table 4. Summary of top 50 SNPs associated with litter size.

Supplementary Table 5. Summary of top 50 SNPs associated with stillbirth rate.

Supplementary Table 6. Summary of top 50 SNPs associated with gestation length.

References

1. Derrickson EM. Comparative reproductive strategies of altricial and precocial
eutherian mammals. Funct Ecol. 1992;6(1):57–65.

2. Harrison RM. Reproduction in Mammals: General Overview. Chichester, UK: John
Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2001.

3. Behringer RR, Eakin GS, Renfree MB. Mammalian diversity: gametes, embryos and
reproduction. Reprod Fertil Dev. 2006;18(2):99–9.

4. Jones KE, Bielby J, Cardillo M, Fritz SA, O'Dell J, Orme CDL, et al. PanTHERIA: a
species-level database of life history, ecology, and geography of extant and recently
extinct mammals. Ecology. Ecological Society of America; 2009;90(9):2648.

5. Kiltie RA. Intraspecific Variation in the Mammalian Gestation Period. Journal of
Mammalogy. 1982;63(4):646–52.

6. Martin RD, MacLarnon AM. Gestation Period, Neonatal Size and Maternal
Investment in Placental Mammals. Nature. 1985;313(5999):220–3.

7. Cross JC, Werb Z, Fisher SJ. Implantation and the placenta: key pieces of the
development puzzle. Science. 1994 Dec 2;266(5190):1508–18.

8. Enders AC, Carter AM. What Can Comparative Studies of Placental Structure Tell
Us?—A Review. Placenta. 2004 Apr;25:S3–S9.

9. Elliot MG, Crespi BJ. Phylogenetic Evidence for Early Hemochorial Placentation in
Eutheria. Placenta. Elsevier Ltd; 2009 Nov 1;30(11):949–67.

10. Pond CM. The Significance of Lactation in the Evolution of Mammals. Evolution.
1977 Mar;31(1):177–99.

11. Lefèvre CM, Sharp JA, Nicholas KR. Evolution of Lactation: Ancient Origin and
Extreme Adaptations of the Lactation System. http://dxdoiorg/101146/annurev-
genom-082509-141806. Annual Reviews; 2010 Sep 7;11(1):219–38.

12. Cross JF, Martin RD. Calculation of gestation period and other reproductive
parameters for primates. Dodo: Journal of the Jersey Wildlife Preservation Trust.
Durrell Wildlife Conservation Trust; 1981;18:30–43.

13. Hudson R, Cruz Y, Lucio A, Ninomiya J, Martínez-Gómez M. Temporal and
behavioral patterning of parturition in rabbits and rats. Physiol Behav. 1999
Jun;66(4):599–604.

14. Burris MJ, Blunn CT. Some factors affecting gestation length and birth weight of
beef cattle. J Anim Sci. American Society of Animal Science; 1952;11(1):34–41.

15.   Davies Morel MCG, Newcombe JR, Holland SJ. Factors affecting gestation length in
549   the Thoroughbred mare. Animal Reproduction Science. 2002 Dec 16;74(3-4):175–
550   85.

551   16.   Dufour DL, Sauther ML. Comparative and evolutionary dimensions of the energetics
552   of human pregnancy and lactation. Am J Hum Biol. Wiley-Blackwell; 2002 Aug
553   21;14(5):584–602.

554   17.   Carneiro M, Piorno V, Rubin C-J, Alves JM, Ferrand N, Alves PC, et al. Candidate
555   genes underlying heritable differences in reproductive seasonality between wild
556   and domestic rabbits. Animal Genetics. 2015 May 22;46(4):418–25.

557   18.   Sironen A, Uimari P, Nagy S, Paku S, Andersson M, Vilkki J. Knobbed acrosome
558   defect is associated with a region containing the genes STK17b and HECW2 on
559   porcine chromosome 15. BMC Genomics. BioMed Central; 2010 Dec 9;11(1):699.

560   19.   Bolormaa S, Pryce JE, Hayes BJ, Goddard ME. Multivariate analysis of a genome-
561   wide association study in dairy cattle. Journal of Dairy Science. Elsevier; 2010 Aug
562   1;93(8):3818–33.

563   20.   Maltecca C, Gray KA, Weigel KA, Cassady JP, Ashwell M. A genome-wide association
564   study of direct gestation length in US Holstein and Italian Brown populations.
565   Animal Genetics. 2011 May 6;42(6):585–91.

566   21.   Walker R, Gurven M, Hill K, Migliano A, Chagnon N, De Souza R, et al. Growth rates
567   and life histories in twenty-two small-scale societies. Am J Hum Biol.
568   2006;18(3):295–311.

569   22.   Horikoshi M, Beaumont RN, Day FR, Warrington NM, Kooijman MN, Fernandez-
570   Tajes J, et al. Genome-wide associations for birth weight and correlations with adult
571   disease. Nature. 2016 Sep 28;538(7624):248–52.

572   23.   Plunkett J, Doniger S, Orabona G, Morgan T, Haataja R, Hallman M, et al. An
573   Evolutionary Genomic Approach to Identify Genes Involved in Human Birth Timing.
574   Barsh GS, editor. PLoS Genetics. 2011 Apr 14;7(4):e1001365.

575   24.   Zhang H, Baldwin DA, Bukowski RK, Parry S, Xu Y, Song C, et al. A genome-wide
576   association study of early spontaneous preterm delivery. Genet Epidemiol. 2015
577   Mar;39(3):217–26.

578   25.   Zhang G, Feenstra B, Bacelis J, Liu X, Muglia LM, Juodakis J, et al. Genetic
579   Associations with Gestational Duration and Spontaneous Preterm Birth. N Engl J
580   Med. 2017 Sep 21;377(12):1156–67.

581   26.   Cassady JP, Johnson RK, Pomp D, Rohrer GA, Van Vleck LD, Spiegel EK, et al.
582   Identification of quantitative trait loci affecting reproduction in pigs. J Anim Sci.
583   2001 Mar;79(3):623–33.

584   27.   Langlois B, Blouin C, Chaffaux S. Analysis of several factors of variation of gestation
585   loss in breeding mares. Animal. 2012 Aug 9;6(12):1925–30.

586   28.   Johanson JM, Berger PJ, Tsuruta S, Misztal I. A Bayesian threshold-linear model
587   evaluation of perinatal mortality, dystocia, birth weight, and gestation length in a
588   Holstein herd. Journal of Dairy Science. 2011 Jan;94(1):450–60.

589   29.   Bagnicka E, Wallin E, Łukaszewicz M, Ådnøy T. Heritability for reproduction traits
590   in Polish and Norwegian populations of dairy goat. Small Ruminant Research. 2007
591   Apr;68(3):256–62.

592   30.   Neff MW, Rine J. A Fetching Model Organism. Cell. 2006 Jan;124(2):229–31.

593   31.   Beale H, Ostrander EA. Sizing up dogs. Current Biology. Elsevier; 2012 May
594   8;22(9):R315–6.

595   32.   Marsden CD, Ortega-Del Vecchyo D, O'Brien DP, Taylor JF, Ramirez O, Vilà C, et al.
596   Bottlenecks and selective sweeps during domestication have increased deleterious
597   genetic variation in dogs. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2016 Jan 5;113(1):152–7.

598   33.   Borge KS, Tønnessen R, Nødtvedt A, Indrebø A. Litter size at birth in purebred dogs-
599   -a retrospective study of 224 breeds. Theriogenology. 2011 Mar 15;75(5):911–9.

600   34.   Evans KM, Adams VJ. Proportion of litters of purebred dogs born by caesarean
601   section. J Small Anim Pract. Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2010 Feb;51(2):113–8.

602   35.   Hayward JJ, Castelhano MG, Oliveira KC, Corey E, Balkman C, Baxter TL, et al.
603   Complex disease and phenotype mapping in the domestic dog. Nature
604   Communications. Nature Publishing Group; 1AD;7:1–11.

605   36.   Boyko AR, Quignon P, Li L, Schoenebeck JJ, Degenhardt JD, Lohmueller KE, et al. A
606   Simple Genetic Architecture Underlies Morphological Variation in Dogs. Hoekstra
607   HE, editor. Plos Biol. Public Library of Science; 2010 Aug 10;8(8):e1000451.

608   37.   Vaysse A, Ratnakumar A, Derrien T, Axelsson E, Pielberg GR, Sigurdsson S, et al.
609   Identification of Genomic Regions Associated with Phenotypic Variation between
610   Dog Breeds using Selection Mapping. Akey JM, editor. PLoS Genetics. Public Library
611   of Science; 2011 Oct 13;7(10):e1002316.

612   38.   Marchant TW, Johnson EJ, McTeir L, Johnson CI, Gow A, Liuti T, et al. Canine
613   Brachycephaly Is Associated with a Retrotransposon-Mediated Missplicing of
614   SMOC2. Curr Biol. Elsevier; 2017 Jun 5;27(11):1573–6.

615   39.   Karlsson EK, Lindblad-Toh K. Leader of the pack: gene mapping in dogs and other
616   model organisms. Nature Publishing Group. Nature Publishing Group; 2008
617   Sep;9(9):713–25.

40.   Bannasch D, Young A, Myers J, Truvé K, Dickinson P, Gregg J, et al. Localization of
Canine Brachycephaly Using an Across Breed Mapping Approach. Fairhead C,
editor. PLoS ONE. Public Library of Science; 2010 Mar 10;5(3):e9632.

41.   Marchant TW, Johnson EJ, McTeir L, Johnson CI, Gow A, Liuti T, et al. Canine
Brachycephaly Is Associated with a Retrotransposon-Mediated Missplicing of
SMOC2. Current Biology. Elsevier Ltd; 2017 May 22;:1–35.

42.   Uhlén M, Fagerberg L, Hallström BM, Lindskog C, Oksvold P, Mardinoglu A, et al.
Tissue-based map of the human proteome. Science. American Association for the
Advancement of Science; 2015 Jan 23;347(6220):1260419–9.

43.   Rose AB. Intron-mediated regulation of gene expression. Curr Top Microbiol
Immunol. 2008;326:277–90.

44.   Basile M, Lin R, Kabbani N, Karpa K, Kilimann M, Simpson I, et al. Paralemmin
interacts with D3 dopamine receptors: implications for membrane localization and
cAMP signaling. Arch Biochem Biophys. 2006 Feb 1;446(1):60–8.

45.   Kutzleb C, Sanders G, Yamamoto R, Wang X, Lichte B, Petrasch-Parwez E, et al.
Paralemmin, a prenyl-palmitoyl-anchored phosphoprotein abundant in neurons
and implicated in plasma membrane dynamics and cell process formation. J Cell
Biol. The Rockefeller University Press; 1998 Nov 2;143(3):795–813.

46.   Chen X, Wu X, Zhao Y, Wang G, Feng J, Li Q, et al. A novel binding protein of single
immunoglobulin IL-1 receptor-related molecule: Paralemmin-3. Biochem Biophys
Res Commun. 2011 Jan 28;404(4):1029–33.

47.   Langbein L, Rogers MA, Praetzel S, Winter H, Schweizer J. K6irs1, K6irs2, K6irs3,
and K6irs4 Represent the Inner-Root-Sheath-Specific Type II Epithelial Keratins of
the Human Hair Follicle1. J Invest Dermatol. Elsevier Masson SAS; 2003 Jan
1;120(4):512–22.

48.   Cadieu E, Neff MW, Quignon P, Walsh K, Chase K, Parker HG, et al. Coat variation in
the domestic dog is governed by variants in three genes. Science. American
Association for the Advancement of Science; 2009 Oct 2;326(5949):150–3.

49.   Tandon NN, Kralisz U, Jamieson GA. Identification of glycoprotein IV (CD36) as a
primary receptor for platelet-collagen adhesion. J Biol Chem. 1989 May
5;264(13):7576–83.

50.   Febbraio M, Hajjar DP, Silverstein RL. CD36: a class B scavenger receptor involved
in angiogenesis, atherosclerosis, inflammation, and lipid metabolism. J Clin Invest.
American Society for Clinical Investigation; 2001 Sep;108(6):785–91.

51.   Lyng H, Brøvig RS, Svendsrud DH, Holm R, Kaalhus O, Knutstad K, et al. Gene
expressions and copy numbers associated with metastatic phenotypes of uterine

cervical cancer. BMC Genomics. BioMed Central; 2006 Oct 20;7(1):268.

52. Amin N, de Vrij FMS, Baghdadi M, Brouwer RWW, van Rooij JGJ, Jovanova O, et al. A
rare missense variant in RCL1 segregates with depression in extended families.
Molecular Psychiatry. Nature Publishing Group; 2017 Mar 21;58:1323.

53. Carreira S, Goodall J, Denat L, Rodriguez M, Nuciforo P, Hoek KS, et al. Mitf
regulation of Dia1 controls melanoma proliferation and invasiveness. Genes Dev.
2006 Dec 15;20(24):3426–39.

54. Lamm KYB, Johnson ML, Baker Phillips J, Muntifering MB, James JM, Jones HN, et al.
Inverted formin 2 regulates intracellular trafficking, placentation, and pregnancy
outcome. Elife. eLife Sciences Publications Limited; 2018 Jan 8;7:742.

55. Cruickshank MN, Oshlack A, Theda C, Davis PG, Martino D, Sheehan P, et al. Analysis
of epigenetic changes in survivors of preterm birth reveals the effect of gestational
age and evidence for a long term legacy. Genome Med. BioMed Central;
2013;5(10):96.

56. Elovitz MA, Brown AG, Anton L, Gilstrop M, Heiser L, Bastek J. Distinct cervical
microRNA profiles are present in women destined to have a preterm birth. Am J
Obstet Gynecol. Elsevier; 2014 Mar 1;210(3):221.e1–221.e11.

57. Montenegro D, Romero R, Kim SS, Tarca AL, Draghici S, Kusanovic JP, et al.
Expression patterns of microRNAs in the chorioamniotic membranes: a role for
microRNAs in human pregnancy and parturition. J Pathol. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd;
2009 Jan;217(1):113–21.

58. Lartey J, Smith M, Pawade J, Strachan B, Mellor H, Bernal AL. Up-Regulation of
Myometrial RHO Effector Proteins (PKN1 and DIAPH1) and CPI-17 (PPP1R14A)
Phosphorylation in Human Pregnancy Is Associated with Increased GTP-RHOA in
Spontaneous Preterm Labor. Biol Reprod. Oxford University Press; 2007 Jun
1;76(6):971–82.

59. Wang X, Liu J, Zhou G, Guo J, Yan H, Niu Y, et al. Whole-genome sequencing of eight
goat populations for the detection of selection signatures underlying production
and adaptive traits. Sci Rep. Nature Publishing Group; 2016 Dec 9;:1–10.

60. Brot N, Weissbach L, Werth J, Weissbach H. Enzymatic reduction of protein-bound
methionine sulfoxide. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. National Academy of Sciences; 1981
Apr;78(4):2155–8.

61. Kim H-Y, Gladyshev VN. Characterization of mouse endoplasmic reticulum
methionine-R-sulfoxide reductase. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2004 Aug
6;320(4):1277–83.

62. Ahmed ZM, Yousaf R, Lee BC, Khan SN, Lee S, Lee K, et al. Functional null mutations

of MSRB3 encoding methionine sulfoxide reductase are associated with human
deafness DFNB74. Am J Hum Genet. 2011 Jan 7;88(1):19–29.

63.   Lee H, Jaffe AE, Feinberg JI, Tryggvadottir R, Brown S, Montano C, et al. DNA
methylation shows genome-wide association of NFIX, RAPGEF2 and MSRB3 with
gestational age at birth. International Journal of Epidemiology. 2012
Feb;41(1):188–99.

64.   Schierding W, O'Sullivan JM, Derraik JGB, Cutfield WS. Genes and post-term birth:
late for delivery. BMC Res Notes. BioMed Central; 2014 Oct 14;7(1):720.

65.   Hassan SS, Romero R, Tarca AL, Nhan-Chang C-L, Vaisbuch E, Erez O, et al. The
transcriptome of cervical ripening in human pregnancy before the onset of labor at
term: identification of novel molecular functions involved in this process. J Matern
Fetal Neonatal Med. 2009 Dec;22(12):1183–93.

66.   Yu F, Shen X-Y, Fan L, Yu Z-C. Genome-wide analysis of genetic variations assisted
by Ingenuity Pathway Analysis to comprehensively investigate potential genetic
targets associated with the progression of hepatocellular carcinoma. Eur Rev Med
Pharmacol Sci. 2014;18(15):2102–8.

67.   Benjelloun B, Alberto FJ, Streeter I, Boyer F, Coissac E, Stucki S, et al. Characterizing
neutral genomic diversity and selection signatures in indigenous populations of
Moroccan goats (Capra hircus) using WGS data. Front Genet. Frontiers Media SA;
2015;6:4447.

68.   Wang X, Liu J, Zhou G, Guo J, Yan H, Niu Y, et al. Whole-genome sequencing of eight
goat populations for the detection of selection signatures underlying production
and adaptive traits. Sci Rep. Nature Publishing Group; 2016 Dec 12;6(1):38932.

69.   Tønnessen R, Borge KS, Nødtvedt A, Indrebø A. Canine perinatal mortality: A cohort
study of 224 breeds. THE. Elsevier; 2012 Jun 1;77(9):1788–801.

70.   Bloch DB, la Monte de SM, Guigaouri P, Filippov A, Bloch KD. Identification and
characterization of a leukocyte-specific component of the nuclear body. J Biol Chem.
1996 Nov 15;271(46):29198–204.

71.   Madani N, Millette R, Platt EJ, Marin M, Kozak SL, Bloch DB, et al. Implication of the
lymphocyte-specific nuclear body protein Sp140 in an innate response to human
immunodeficiency virus type 1. J Virol. American Society for Microbiology (ASM);
2002 Nov;76(21):11133–8.

72.   Heikkilä A, Tuomisto T, Häkkinen S-K, Keski-Nisula L, Heinonen S, Ylä-Herttuala S.
Tumor suppressor and growth regulatory genes are overexpressed in severe early-
onset preeclampsia--an array study on case-specific human preeclamptic placental
tissue. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. Munksgaard International Publishers; 2005
Jul;84(7):679–89.

73.   Drago A, Serretti A. Focus on HTR2C: A possible suggestion for genetic studies of
complex disorders. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B: Neuropsychiatric
Genetics. Wiley Subscription Services, Inc., A Wiley Company; 2009 Jul
5;150B(5):601–37.

74.   Li Y, vonHoldt BM, Reynolds A, Boyko AR, Wayne RK, Wu D-D, et al. Artificial
selection on brain-expressed genes during the domestication of dog. Molecular
Biology and Evolution. 2013 Aug;30(8):1867–76.

75.   Kukekova AV, Johnson JL, Teiling C, Li L, Oskina IN, Kharlamova AV, et al. Sequence
comparison of prefrontal cortical brain transcriptome from a tame and an
aggressive silver fox (Vulpes vulpes). BMC Genomics. Third. BioMed Central; 2011
Oct 3;12(1):482.

76.   Xu H, Chen H, Dong J, Lynch R, Ghishan FK. Gastrointestinal distribution and kinetic
characterization of the sodium-hydrogen exchanger isoform 8 (NHE8). Cell Physiol
Biochem. Karger Publishers; 2008;21(1-3):109–16.

77.   Xu H, Chen H, Li J, Zhao Y, Ghishan FK. Disruption of NHE8 expression impairs
Leydig cell function in the testes. American Journal of Physiology- Cell Physiology.
American Physiological Society; 2015 Feb 15;308(4):C330–8.

78.   Jin Y, Cui D, Ren J, Wang K, Zeng T, Gao L. CACNA2D3 is downregulated in gliomas
and functions as a tumor suppressor. Mol Carcinog. 2017 Mar;56(3):945–59.

79.   Berridge MJ, Bootman MD, Lipp P. Calcium--a life and death signal. Nature. 1998
Oct 15;:645–8.

80.   Suzuki M, Maekawa R, Patterson NE, Reynolds DM, Calder BR, Reznik SE, et al.
Amnion as a surrogate tissue reporter of the effects of maternal preeclampsia on
the fetus. Clin Epigenetics. BioMed Central; 2016;8(1):67.

81.   Eidem HR, Ackerman WE, McGary KL, Abbot P, Rokas A. Gestational tissue
transcriptomics in term and preterm human pregnancies: a systematic review and
meta-analysis. BMC Med Genomics. BioMed Central; 2015 Jun 5;8(1):27.

82.   Paternoster L, Zhurov AI, Toma AM, Kemp JP, St Pourcain B, Timpson NJ, et al.
Genome-wide association study of three-dimensional facial morphology identifies a
variant in PAX3 associated with nasion position. Am J Hum Genet. 2012 Mar
9;90(3):478–85.

83.   Ozawa M, Sakatani M, Dobbs KB, Kannampuzha-Francis J, Hansen PJ. Regulation of
gene expression in the bovine blastocyst by colony stimulating factor 2. BMC Res
Notes. BioMed Central; 2016 Apr 29;9(1):250.

84.   Pilot M, Greco C, vonHoldt BM, Jędrzejewska B, Randi E, Jędrzejewski W, et al.
Genome-wide signatures of population bottlenecks and diversifying selection in

European wolves. Heredity. Nature Publishing Group; 2014 Apr;112(4):428–42.

85. Schweizer RM, vonHoldt BM, Harrigan R, Knowles JC, Musiani M, Coltman D, et al.
Genetic subdivision and candidate genes under selection in North American grey
wolves. Mol Ecol. 2016 Jan;25(1):380–402.

86. Zhang W, Fan Z, Han E, Hou R, Zhang L, Galaverni M, et al. Hypoxia adaptations in
the grey wolf (Canis lupus chanco) from Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. Akey JM, editor.
PLoS Genetics. 2014 Jul;10(7):e1004466.

87. Rodrigues-Ferreira S, Nahmias C. An ATIPical family of angiotensin II AT2 receptor-
interacting proteins. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2010 Nov;21(11):684–90.

88. Puy Du L, Beqqali A, Monshouwer-Kloots J, Haagsman HP, Roelen BAJ, Passier R.
CAZIP, a novel protein expressed in the developing heart and nervous system. Dev
Dyn. Wiley-Liss, Inc; 2009 Nov;238(11):2903–11.

89. Tanaka K, Miyamoto N, Shouguchi-Miyata J, Ikeda J-E. HFM1, the human homologue
of yeast Mer3, encodes a putative DNA helicase expressed specifically in germ-line
cells. DNA Sequence. 2009 Jul 11;17(3):242–6.

90. Guiraldelli MF, Eyster C, Wilkerson JL, Dresser ME, Pezza RJ. Mouse HFM1/Mer3 is
required for crossover formation and complete synapsis of homologous
chromosomes during meiosis. Hawley RS, editor. PLoS Genetics. Public Library of
Science; 2013 Mar;9(3):e1003383.

91. Pimentel ECG, Bauersachs S, Tietze M, Simianer H, Tetens J, Thaller G, et al.
Exploration of relationships between production and fertility traits in dairy cattle
via association studies of SNPs within candidate genes derived by expression
profiling. Animal Genetics. Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2011 Jun;42(3):251–62.

92. Kadri NK, Harland C, Faux P, Cambisano N, Karim L, Coppieters W, et al. Coding and
noncoding variants in HFM1, MLH3, MSH4, MSH5, RNF212, and RNF212B affect
recombination rate in cattle. Genome Research. Cold Spring Harbor Lab; 2016
Oct;26(10):1323–32.

93. Ellis JL, Thomason J, Kebreab E, Zubair K, France J. Cranial dimensions and forces of
biting in the domestic dog. J Anat. Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2009 Mar
1;214(3):362–73.

94. Evans KM, Adams VJ. Proportion of litters of purebred dogs born by caesarean
section. Journal of Small Animal Practice. 2010 Feb;51(2):113–8.

95. Hayward JJ, Castelhano MG, Oliveira KC, Corey E, Balkman C, Baxter TL, et al. Data
from: Complex disease and phenotype mapping in the domestic dog. Dryad Data
Repository [Internet]. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.266k4

96.   Chatdarong K, Tummaruk P, Sirivaidyapong S, Raksil S. Seasonal and breed effects
799   on reproductive parameters in bitches in the tropics: a retrospective study. J Small
800   Anim Pract. Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2007 Aug;48(8):444–8.

801   97.   Concannon P, Whaley S, Lein D, Wissler R. Canine gestation length: variation
802   related to time of mating and fertile life of sperm. Am J Vet Res. 1983
803   Oct;44(10):1819–21.

804   98.   Eilts BE, Davidson AP, Hosgood G, Paccamonti DL, Baker DG. Factors affecting
805   gestation duration in the bitch. Theriogenology. 2005 Jul;64(2):242–51.

806   99.   Evans JM, White K. The Book of the Bitch. Interpet; 1997. 1 p.

807   100.   Gavrilovic BB, Andersson K, Linde Forsberg C. Reproductive patterns in the
808   domestic dog—A retrospective study of the Drever breed. Theriogenology. 2008
809   Sep;70(5):783–94.

810   101.   Okkens AC, Hekerman TWM, de Vogel JWA, van Haaften B. Influence of litter size
811   and breed on variation in length of gestation in the dog. Veterinary Quarterly. 1993
812   Dec;15(4):160–1.

813   102.   Son CH, Jeong KA, Kim JH, Park IC, Kim SH, Lee CS. Establishment of the prediction
814   table of parturition day with ultrasonography in small pet dogs. J Vet Med Sci. 2001
815   Jul;63(7):715–21.

816   103.   Kim BS, Son CH. Time of initial detection of fetal and extra-fetal structures by
817   ultrasonographic examination in Miniature Schnauzer bitches. J Vet Sci. The Korean
818   Society of Veterinary Science; 2007 Sep;8(3):289–93.

819   104.   Linde Forsberg C, Wikström C, Lundeheim N. Matings by season.

820   105.   Zhou X, Stephens M. Genome-wide efficient mixed-model analysis for association
821   studies. Nature Genetics. 2012 Jun 17;44(7):821–4.

822   106.   Gould SJ. Allometry and size in ontogeny and phylogeny. Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc.
823   1966 Nov;41(4):587–640.

824   107.   Kleiber M. Body size and metabolism. Hilgardia. 1932 Jan;6(January):315–53.

825   108.   Shingleton A. Allometry: the study of biological scaling. Nature Education
826   Knowledge. 2010;3(10):2.

827   109.   Sacher GA, Staffeldt EF. Relation of gestation time to brain weight for placental
828   mammals: implications for the theory of vertebrate growth. Am Nat. JSTOR;
829   1974;963:593–615.

830   110.   Blueweiss L, Fox H, Kudzma V, Nakashima D, Peters R, Sams S. Relationships
831   between body size and some life history parameters. Oecologia. Springer;

1978;37(2):257–72.

111. Tuomi J. Mammalian reproductive strategies: a generalized relation of litter size to
body size. Oecologia. Springer; 1980;45(1):39–44.

112. Ross C. The intrinsic rate of natural increase and reproductive effort in primates.
Journal of Zoology. Wiley Online Library; 1988;214(2):199–219.

113. Kihlström JE. Period of Gestation and Body-Weight in Some Placental Mammals.
Comp Biochem Physiol. 1972;43(NA3):673–&.

114. Phillips JB, Abbot P, Rokas A. Is Preterm Birth a Human-Specific Syndrome?
Evolution, Medicine, and Public Health. 2015 Jun 14.

115. Lange K. Mathematical and Statistical Methods for Genetic Analysis. New York, NY:
Springer Science & Business Media; 2002. 1 p.

116. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D, et al. PLINK:
A Tool Set for Whole-Genome Association and Population-Based Linkage Analyses.
The American Journal of Human Genetics. 2007 Sep;81(3):559–75.

117. Lindblad-Toh K, Wade CM, Mikkelsen TS, Karlsson EK, Jaffe DB, Kamal M, et al.
Genome sequence, comparative analysis and haplotype structure of the domestic
dog. Nature. 2005 Dec 8;438(7069):803–19.

118. Hoeppner MP, Lundquist A, Pirun M, Meadows JRS, Zamani N, Johnson J, et al. An
Improved Canine Genome and a Comprehensive Catalogue of Coding Genes and
Non-Coding Transcripts. Chadwick BP, editor. PLoS ONE. Public Library of Science;
2014 Mar 13;9(3):e91172.

119. Durinck S, Spellman PT, Birney E, Huber W. Mapping identifiers for the integration
of genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. Nat Protoc.
2009;4(8):1184–91.

120. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna,
Austria; 2013.

121. Turner SD. qqman: an R package for visualizing GWAS results using Q-Q and
manhattan plots. bioRxiv. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory; 2014 May 14;:005165.

122. Aulchenko YS, Ripke S, Isaacs A, van Duijn CM. GenABEL: an R library for genome-
wide association analysis. Bioinformatics. Oxford University Press; 2007 May
15;23(10):1294–6.

123. Rao TJ, Province MA. A Framework for Interpreting Type I Error Rates from a
Product-Term Model of Interaction Applied to Quantitative Traits. Genet Epidemiol.
2016 Feb 1;40(2):144–53.