Temperature explains broad patterns of Ross River virus transmission across Australia

Marta S. Shocket[1*] (mshocket@stanford.edu), Sadie J. Ryan[2,3,4] (sjryan@ufl.edu), and Erin A.

Mordecai[1] (emordeca@stanford.edu)

[1]Department of Biology, Stanford University, 371 Serra Mall, Stanford, CA, USA

[2]Department of Geography, University of Florida, Turlington Hall, Gainesville, FL, USA

[3]Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

[4]School of Life Sciences, College of Agriculture, Engineering, and Science, University of

KwaZulu Natal, Scottsville, KwaZulu Natal, South Africa

Statement of authorship: EAM conceived of and designed the study. MSS collected data,

performed statistical analyses, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. SJR performed

geographic analyses. All authors revised the manuscript.

Data accessibility statement: Upon acceptance, all data will be archived in a public repository.

Keywords: *Aedes vigilax*, arbovirus, *Culex annulirostris*, mosquito-borne disease, infectious

disease, Murray Valley Encephalitis virus, Ross River virus, R0 model, temperature, vector-

borne disease

Running Title: Temperature & Ross River virus transmission   **Article type**: Letter

Words in abstract: 147   **Words in main text**: 5000   **Number of references**: 94

Number of figures, tables and text boxes: 6 figures, no tables or text boxes

* Corresponding Author: Marta S. Shocket, mshocket@stanford.edu, phone: 650-723-5923

ABSTRACT

Temperature impacts the physiology of ectotherms, including vectors that transmit

disease. While thermal biology predicts nonlinear effects of temperature on vector and pathogen

traits that drive disease transmission, the empirical relationship between temperature and

transmission remains unknown for most vector-borne pathogens. We built a mechanistic model

to estimate the thermal response of Ross River virus, an important mosquito-borne pathogen of

humans in Australia, the Pacific Islands, and potentially emerging worldwide. Transmission

peaks at moderate temperatures (26.4°C) and declines to zero at low (17.0°C) and high (31.5°C)

temperatures. The model predicted broad patterns of disease across Australia. First, transmission

is year-round endemic in the tropics and sub-tropics but seasonal in temperate zones. Second,

nationwide human cases peak seasonally as predicted from population-weighted seasonal

temperatures. These results illustrate the importance of nonlinear, mechanistic models for

inferring the role of temperature in disease dynamics and predicting responses to climate change.

INTRODUCTION

Temperature impacts mosquito-borne disease transmission via effects on the physiology of mosquitoes and pathogens (Shocket *et al.* n.d.). Transmission requires that mosquitoes be abundant, bite a host and ingest an infectious bloodmeal, survive long enough for pathogen development and within-host migration (the extrinsic incubation period), and bite additional hosts (Shocket *et al.* n.d.). All of these processes depend on temperature. Support for temperature effects on mosquito-borne disease arises from mechanistic models based on thermal biology (Mordecai *et al.* 2013, 2017; Liu-Helmersson *et al.* 2014; Wesolowski *et al.* 2015; Paull *et al.* 2017) and statistical models that analyze variation in climate and disease over time and space (Werner *et al.* 2012; Stewart Ibarra & Lowe 2013; Siraj *et al.* 2015; Paull *et al.* 2017). However, important knowledge gaps remain. First, variation in how transmission responds to temperature across mosquito-borne diseases, and via what mechanisms, remains uncertain. Accordingly, the types of data needed to characterize thermal responses of additional or emerging diseases are unclear. Second, the impacts of temperature on transmission can appear idiosyncratic across locations and studies (Hu *et al.* 2004; Gatton *et al.* 2005; Jacups *et al.* 2008a; Bi *et al.* 2009; Werner *et al.* 2012; Koolhof *et al.* 2017), and inferring causality from field observations and statistical approaches alone remains challenging. Thermal biology may provide an explanation for this variation or a causal link. Filling these gaps is necessary to predict geographic, seasonal, and interannual variation in transmission of mosquito-borne pathogens, especially as the climate changes. Here, we address these gaps by building a model for temperature-dependent transmission of Ross River virus.

Ross River virus (RRV) is a mosquito-transmitted alphavirus that causes the most common mosquito-borne disease in Australia (1,500–9,500 human cases per year; Koolhof &

Carver 2017). RRV is also endemic in Pacific Island nations, following a 1979-80 epidemic that

infected over 500,000 people (Klapsing *et al.* 2005; Aubry *et al.* 2015; Lau *et al.* 2017). RRV

infection causes acute fever, rash, and joint pain, which can become chronic and cause disability

(Harley *et al.* 2001). In some cases temperature predicts RRV cases (Gatton *et al.* 2005; Bi *et al.*

2009; Werner *et al.* 2012), while other cases it does not (Hu *et al.* 2004; Gatton *et al.* 2005).

Understanding RRV transmission ecology is critical because the virus is a likely candidate for

emergence worldwide (Flies *et al.* 2018). A mechanistic model for temperature-dependent RRV

transmission could help explain these disparate results and predict potential expansion.

Mechanistic models synthesize how environmental factors like temperature influence the

host and parasite traits that drive transmission. For ectotherms, these traits depend nonlinearly on

environmental temperature. Specifically, trait thermal responses are usually unimodal: they peak

at an intermediate temperature optimum and decline towards zero at lower and upper thermal

limits, all of which can vary across traits (Angilletta 2009; Dell *et al.* 2011; Mordecai *et al.* 2013,

2017). Mechanistic models combine the multiple, nonlinear thermal responses that shape

transmission (Rogers & Randolph 2006; Mordecai *et al.* 2013). One commonly-used measure of

disease spread is R_0, the basic reproductive number (expected number of secondary cases from a

single case in a fully susceptible population). For mosquito-borne disease, R_0 is a nonlinear

function of mosquito density, biting rate, vector competence (infectiousness given pathogen

exposure), and adult survival; pathogen extrinsic incubation period; and human recovery rate

(Dietz 1993). To understand the overall impact of temperature on transmission, we can

incorporate empirically-estimated trait thermal responses into an R_0 model. Incorporating the full

suite of nonlinear trait responses often produces predictions that are drastically different than

models that assume linear or monotonic thermal responses or omit important temperature-

dependent processes (Mordecai *et al.* 2013, 2017). Previous mechanistic models have predicted

different optimal temperatures for disease spread across pathogens and vector species: 25 ºC for

falciparum malaria and West Nile virus (Mordecai *et al.* 2013; Paull *et al.* 2017), and 29ºC and

26 ºC for dengue, chikungunya, and Zika viruses in *Ae. aegypti* and in *Ae. albopictus*,

respectively (Liu-Helmersson *et al.* 2014; Wesolowski *et al.* 2015; Mordecai *et al.* 2017).

In this paper, we build the first mechanistic model for temperature-dependent

transmission of RRV and ask whether temperature explains seasonal and geographic patterns of

disease spread. We use data from laboratory experiments with the two most important and well-

studied vector species (*Culex annulirostris* and *Aedes vigilax*) to parameterize the model with

unimodal thermal responses. We then use sensitivity and uncertainty analyses to determine

which traits drive the relationship between temperature and transmission potential and identify

key data gaps. Finally, we illustrate how temperature currently shapes patterns of disease across

Australia. The model correctly predicts that RRV disease should be year-round endemic in

tropical, northern Australia and seasonally epidemic in temperate, southern Australia. It also

accurately predicts the seasonality of human cases nationally. Thus, the temperature-dependent

model for RRV transmission provides a mechanistic link between geographic and seasonal

changes in temperature and broad-scale patterns of disease.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Natural History of RRV

The natural history of RRV is complex: transmission occurs across a range of climates

(tropical, subtropical, and temperate) and habitats (urban and rural, coastal and inland) and via

many vector and vertebrate reservoir species (Claflin & Webb 2015; Stephenson *et al.* 2018).

The virus has been isolated from over 40 mosquito species in nature, and 10 species transmit it in

laboratory studies (Harley *et al.* 2001; Russell 2002). However, four key species are responsible

for most transmission to humans (*Culex annulirostris*, *Aedes [Ochlerotatus] vigilax*, *Ae. [O.]*

notoscriptus, and *Ae. [O.] camptorhynchus*), with two additional species also implicated in

human outbreaks (*Ae. [Stegomyia] polynesiensis* and *Ae. [O.] normanensis*).

The vectors differ in climate and habitat niches, leading to geographic variation in

associations with outbreaks. We assembled and mapped records of RRV outbreaks in humans

attributed to specific species (Fig. 1, Table S1 in Appendix S1 in Supporting Information; Rosen

et al. 1981; Campbell *et al.* 1989; Russell *et al.* 1991; Lindsay *et al.* 1992, 1993a, b, 1996, 2007;

McManus *et al.* 1992; Merianos *et al.* 1992; Whelan *et al.* 1992, 1995, 1997; McDonnell *et al.*

1994; Russell 1994, 2002; Dhileepan 1996; Ritchie *et al.* 1997; Brokenshire *et al.* 2000; Ryan *et*

al. 2000; Harley *et al.* 2000, 2001; Frances *et al.* 2004; Kelly-Hope *et al.* 2004b; Biggs &

Mottram 2008; Schmaedick *et al.* 2008; Jacups *et al.* 2008b; Lau *et al.* 2017). *Ae. vigilax* and *Ae.*

notoscriptus contribute to transmission more in tropical and subtropical zones, *Ae.*

camptorhynchus in temperate zones, and *Cx. annulirostris* throughout all climatic zones.

Freshwater-breeding *Cx. annulirostris* contributes to transmission in both inland and coastal

areas, while saltmarsh mosquitoes *Ae. vigilax* and *Ae. camptorhynchus* contribute in coastal areas

(Russell 2002) and inland areas affected by salinization from agriculture (Biggs & Mottram

2008; Carver *et al.* 2009). Peri-domestic, container-breeding *Ae. notoscriptus* contributes in

urban areas (Russell 2002; Faull & Williams 2016). The vectors also differ in seasonality: *Ae.*

camptorhynchus populations peak earlier and in cooler temperatures than *Ae. vigilax*, leading to

seasonal succession where they overlap (Lindsay *et al.* 1992; Russell 1998). This latitudinal and

temporal variation suggests that vector species may have different thermal optima and/or niche

breadths. If so, temperature may impact disease transmission differently for each species.

Temperature-Dependent R_0 Models

R_0 depends on a suite of vector, pathogen, and human traits, including vector density.

Vector density in turn depends on reproduction, development rate, and survival at egg, larval,

pupal, and adult stages, all of which are sensitive to temperature. However, mosquito density

also depends on aquatic breeding habitat and other factors (Barton *et al.* 2004; Kokkinn *et al.*

2009; Jacups *et al.* 2015). For this reason, we developed two models of R_0: (eq. 1) the 'Constant

M Model' assumes that mosquito density (*M*) does not depend on temperature (equation from

Dietz 1993); (eq. 2) the 'Temperature-Dependent *M* Model' assumes that temperature drives

mosquito density and includes vector life history trait thermal responses (Parham & Michael

2010; Mordecai *et al.* 2013, 2017; Johnson *et al.* 2015). The relative influence of temperature

versus habitat availability and other drivers varies across settings, and these models capture two

extremes. Because habitat availability is context-dependent and species-specific, and because we

focus on temperature, we do not model vector habitat here.

Constant *M* Model: $R_0(T) = \left(\dfrac{a(T)^2 bc(T) e^{-\frac{\mu(T)}{PDR(T)}} M}{N \, r \, \mu(T)} \right)^{1/2}$ eq. 1

Temperature-dependent *M* Model: $R_0(T) = \left(\dfrac{a(T)^2 bc(T) e^{-\frac{\mu(T)}{PDR(T)}} EFD(T) p_{EA} MDR(T)}{N \, r \, \mu(T)^3} \right)^{1/2}$ eq. 2

In both equations, (*T*) indicates a parameter depends on temperature, *a* is mosquito biting

rate, *bc* is vector competence (proportion of mosquitoes that become infectious given exposure),

μ is adult mosquito mortality rate (adult lifespan, $lf = 1/\mu$), *PDR* is parasite development rate

($PDR = 1/EIP$, the extrinsic incubation period), N is human density, and r is the rate at which

humans recover from infection and become immune (all rates are measured in days^{-1}). The latter

two terms do not depend on temperature. In the temperature-dependent M model, mosquito

density (M) depends on fecundity (EFD, eggs per female per day), proportion surviving from

egg-to-adult (p_{EA}), and mosquito development rate (MDR), divided by the square of adult

mortality rate (μ; Parham & Michael 2010). We calculated p_{EA} as the product of the proportion

of egg rafts that hatch (pRH), the number of larvae per raft that hatch (nLR, scaled by the

maximum at any temperature to calculate proportional egg survival within-rafts), and the

proportion of larvae surviving to adulthood (p_{LA}). We initially compare results for both models,

then focus on the temperature-dependent M model.

Since R_0 also depends on other factors, we scaled the model output between zero and one

('relative R_0'). Relative R_0 describes the temperature suitability for transmission, which combines

with other factors like breeding habitat availability, vector control, humidity, human and

reservoir host density, host immune status, and mosquito exposure to determine disease

incidence. In this approach, only the relative response of each trait to temperature matters, which

is desirable since traits can differ substantially based on other factors and in the laboratory versus

the field (particularly mosquito survival: MacDonald 1952; Clements & Paterson 1981). With

relative R_0 we cannot use the typical threshold for sustained disease transmission ($R_0 > 1$).

However, relative R_0 preserves the temperature-dependence of R_0, including key temperature

values where transmission is possible ($R_0 > 0$; a conservative threshold where transmission is not

excluded by temperature) and where R_0 is maximized.

We fit functions describing the thermal response of each trait in the R_0 models using

previously published data (Table S2; (McDonald *et al.* 1980; Mottram *et al.* 1986; Russell 1986;

Rae 1990; Kay & Jennings 2002). We exhaustively searched the literature for laboratory studies

with trait measurements at three or more constant temperatures for the major vector species in

Australia (*Cx. annulirostris*, *Ae. vigilax*, *Ae. camptorhynchus*, *Ae. notoscriptus*, and *Ae.*

normanensis). Data were sparse: no species had data for all traits needed to parameterize the R_0

models (Fig. 1). Therefore, we combined mosquito life history traits from *Cx. annulirostris* (*a*, *μ*,

EFD, *pRH*, *nLR*, p_{LA}, *MDR*) and pathogen infection traits measured in *Ae. vigilax* (*bc*, *PDR*) to

build composite R_0 models. We also fit traits for other mosquito and virus species: *MDR* and

pEA from *Ae. camptorhynchus* and *Ae. notoscriptus*, and *PDR* and *bc* from Murray Valley

encephalitis virus in *Cx. annulirostris* (Appendix S1). We use sensitivity analyses to evaluate the

potential impact of this vector species mismatch.

We fit unimodal thermal responses for traits using Bayesian inference with the 'r2jags'

package (Plummer 2003; Su & Yajima 2009) in R (R Core Team 2017). Traits with

asymmetrical thermal responses were fit as Brière functions of temperature: $qT(T - T_0)(T_{max} -$

$T)^{1/2}$ (Brière *et al.* 1999). Traits with symmetrical thermal responses were fit as quadratic

functions of temperature: $-q(T - T_0)(T - T_{max})$. In both functions of temperature (*T*), T_0 and T_m are

the critical thermal minimum and maximum, respectively, and *q* is a rate parameter. For priors

we used gamma distributions where the hyperparameters were derived from thermal responses fit

to data from other mosquito species with similar ecology (*Ae. aegypti*, *Ae. albopictus*, *Ae.*

krombeini, and *Ae. triseriatus*, and *Anopheles pseudopunctipennis*; Table S4), allowing us to

more accurately represent their fit and uncertainty. Our data did not include declining trait values

at high temperatures for biting rate (*a*) and parasite development rate (*PDR*). Nonetheless, data

from other mosquito species (Mordecai *et al.* 2013, 2017) and principles of thermal biology

(Angilletta 2009; Dell *et al.* 2011) imply that these traits must decline at very high temperatures.

Thus, for those traits we included an artificial data point where the trait value approached zero at

a very high temperature (40ºC), allowing us to fit the Brière function. We used strongly

informative priors to limit the effect of these traits on the upper thermal limit of R_0 (by

constraining them to decline near 40ºC). For comparison, we also fit all thermal responses with

uniform priors; these results illustrate how our choices of priors impacted the results (Appendix

S1).

Sensitivity and uncertainty analyses

We conducted sensitivity and uncertainty analyses of the temperature-dependent M

model (eq. 2) to understand how trait thermal responses shape the thermal response of R_0. We

examined the sensitivity of R_0 in two ways. First, we evaluated the impact of each trait by setting

it constant while allowing all other traits to vary with temperature. Second, we calculated the

partial derivative of R_0 with respect to each trait across temperature (i.e., $\partial R_0/\partial X \cdot \partial X/\partial T$ for trait

X and temperature T; Appendix S1). We also calculated the effect of each trait on uncertainty in

$R_0(T)$—the proportion of total uncertainty due to each trait across temperature—to understand

what data would most improve the model. To do so, we first propagated posterior samples from

all trait thermal response distributions through to $R_0(T)$ and calculated the width of the 95%

highest posterior density interval (HPD interval; a type of credible interval) of this distribution at

each temperature: the 'full $R_0(T)$ uncertainty'. Next, we sampled each trait from its posterior

distribution while setting all other trait thermal responses to their posterior medians, and

calculated the posterior distribution of $R_0(T)$ and the width of its 95% HPD interval across

temperature: the 'single-trait $R_0(T)$ uncertainty'. Finally, we divided each single-trait $R_0(T)$

uncertainty by the full $R_0(T)$ uncertainty.

Field Observations: Seasonality of Temperature-Dependent R_0 Across Australia

221  We used the temperature-dependent M model (eq. 2) to predict the seasonality of $R_0(T)$

across Australia. We took monthly mean temperatures from WorldClim for seven cities spanning

a latitudinal and temperature gradient (from tropical North to temperate South: Darwin, Cairns,

Brisbane, Perth, Sydney, Melbourne, and Hobart) and calculated the posterior median $R_0(T)$ for

each month at each location. We also compared the seasonality of a population-weighted $R_0(T)$

with the seasonality of nationally aggregated RRV cases. We used 2016 census estimates for the

fifteen most populous urban areas, which together contain 76.6% of Australia's population

(Australian Bureau of Statistics 2017). We calculated relative $R_0(T)$ for each location and

weighted by population to estimate a country-wide average. We compared this country-scale

estimate of relative $R_0(T)$ with data on mean monthly human cases of RRV nationwide from

1992-2013 obtained from the National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System.

232  We expected a substantial time lag between temperature suitability and reported human

cases as mosquito populations increase, bite humans and reservoir hosts, acquire RRV, become

infectious, and bite subsequent hosts, which then undergo an incubation period before

(potentially) becoming symptomatic, seeking treatment, and reporting cases. Empirical work on

dengue vectors in Ecuador identified a six-week time lag between temperature and mosquito

oviposition (Stewart Ibarra *et al.* 2013). Subsequent mosquito development and virus incubation

periods in mosquitoes and humans likely add another two- to four-week lag before symptomatic

cases appear, resulting in an 8-10 week lag between temperature and observed cases (Hu *et al.*

2006; Jacups *et al.* 2008b; Mordecai *et al.* 2017). With monthly case data, we hypothesize a two-

month time lag between $R_0(T)$ and RRV disease cases.

Mapping Temperature-Dependent R_0 Across Australia

To illustrate temperature suitability for RRV transmission across Australia, we mapped the number of months for which relative $R_0(T)$>0 and >0.5 for the posterior median, 2.5%, and 97.5% credibility bounds (Fig. S7) based on the temperature-dependent M model (eq. 2). We calculated relative $R_0(T)$ at 0.2°C increments and projected it onto the landscape for monthly mean temperatures from WorldClim data at a 5-minute resolution (approximately 10km^2 at the equator). Climate data layers were extracted for the geographic area, defined using the Global Administrative Boundaries Databases (GADM 2012). We performed all map calculations and manipulations in R using packages 'raster' (Hijmans 2016), 'maptools' (Bivand & Lewin-Koh 2017), and 'Rgdal' (Bivand *et al.* 2017), and rendered GeoTiffs in ArcGIS 10.3.1 (ESRI 2015).

RESULTS

All traits varied with temperature (Fig. 2), with thermal optima ranging from 23.4°C for adult lifespan (*lf*) to 33.0°C for parasite development rate (*PDR*). Unimodal thermal responses were supported for most traits, though declines at high temperatures were not directly observed for biting rate (*a*) and parasite development rate (*PDR*). Instead, data from other mosquito species and ectotherm physiology theory imply that these traits must decline at very high temperatures (~40°C).

The optimal temperature for transmission (relative R_0) peaked near 26.4°C regardless of whether mosquito density depended on temperature, because optimal transmission aligned with optimal mosquito density (Fig. 3; temperature-dependent M model: 26.4°C, constant M model: 26.6°C, mosquito density [M]: 26.2°C). By contrast, the range of temperatures that were suitable

for transmission is much narrower when mosquito density depends on temperature because

temperature-dependent mosquito density constrains transmission at the thermal limits (Fig. 3;

temperature-dependent M model: $17.0 – 31.5$ºC, constant M model: $12.9 – 33.7$ºC). Fecundity

(EFD) and adult lifespan (lf) constrain R_0 at the upper thermal limit, while fecundity (EFD),

larval survival (pLA), egg survival (raft viability [pRH] and survival within rafts [nLR]), and

adult lifespan (lf) constrain R_0 at the lower thermal limit (Fig. S7). All of these traits (except

adult lifespan) only occur in, and adult lifespan is quantitatively more important in, the

temperature-dependent M model. Correspondingly, uncertainty in these traits generated the most

uncertainty in R_0 at the respective thermal limits (Fig. S7C). The intermediate optimal

temperature for R_0 was most sensitive to adult mosquito lifespan (Fig. S7). Near the optimum,

most uncertainty in R_0 was due to uncertainty in adult lifespan, egg raft viability, and fecundity.

Substituting larval traits from alternative vectors or pathogen infection traits for Murray Valley

Encephalitis virus did not substantially alter the R_0 thermal response, since *Cx. annulirostris* life

history traits strongly constrained transmission (Fig. S5).

Temperature suitability for transmission varies seasonally across Australia. In subtropical

and temperate locations (Brisbane and further south), low temperatures force R_0 to zero for part

of the year (Figs. 4A, 5). Monthly mean temperatures in these areas fall along the increasing

portion of the R_0 curve for the entire year, so thermal suitability for RRV transmission increases

with temperature in these areas. By contrast, in tropical, northern Australia (Darwin and Cairns),

the temperature remains suitable throughout the year (Figs. 4, 5). Darwin is the only major city

where mean temperatures are high enough to depress transmission. Most Australians live in

southern, temperate areas of the country, making country-scale transmission seasonal; as

hypothesized, human cases peak two months after population-weighted $R_0(T)$ (Fig. 6).

DISCUSSION

In a warming world, it is critical to understand effects of temperature on transmission of

mosquito-borne disease. Characterizing nonlinear thermal responses by identifying transmission

optima and limits is useful for predicting geographic, seasonal, and interannual variation in

disease. Thermal responses can vary substantially among diseases and vector species (Mordecai

et al. 2013, 2017), yet we lack mechanistic models based on empirical, unimodal thermal

responses for many diseases and vectors. Here, we parameterized a temperature-dependent

model for transmission of Ross River virus (Fig. 2) with data from two important vector species

(*Cx. annulirostris* and *Ae. vigilax*; Fig. 1). The optimal temperature for disease transmission is

moderate (26-27ºC; Fig. 3), and largely determined by the thermal response of adult mosquito

lifespan (Fig. S7). Both low and high temperatures limit transmission due to low mosquito

fecundity and survival at all life stages (Fig. S7). Temperature explains the geography of year-

round endemic versus seasonally epidemic disease (Figs. 4, 5) and accurately predicts the

seasonality of human cases at the national scale (Fig. 6). Thus, the empirically-parameterized

model for RRV transmission provides a mechanistic link between geographic and seasonal

changes in temperature and broad-scale patterns of disease.

While the thermal response of RRV transmission generally matched those of other

mosquito-borne pathogens, there were some key differences. The moderate optimal temperature

for RRV (26-27ºC) fit within the range of thermal optima for other diseases: malaria

transmission by *Anopheles* spp. at 25ºC, and dengue and other viruses by *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae.*

albopictus at 29ºC and 26ºC, respectively (Fig. S6; Mordecai *et al.* 2013, 2017; Johnson *et al.*

2015). For all of these diseases, the specific optimal temperature for transmission was largely

determined by the thermal response of adult lifespan (Mordecai *et al.* 2013, 2017; Johnson *et al.*

2015). However, the traits that limited RRV transmission at high and low temperatures differed

from other systems. The lower thermal limit for RRV was most constrained by fecundity and

survival at all stages while the upper thermal limit was most constrained by fecundity and adult

lifespan. By contrast, the thermal limits for malaria transmission were determined by parasite

development rate at cool temperatures and egg-to-adult survival at high temperatures (Mordecai

et al. 2013). As with previous models, the upper and lower thermal limits of RRV transmission

are much more uncertain than the optimum (Fig. 3; Johnson *et al.* 2015; Mordecai *et al.* 2017),

because trait responses are inherently harder to measure near their thermal limits where survival

is low and development is slow or incomplete. Our results support a general pattern of

intermediate thermal optima for transmission where the well-resolved optimal temperature is

driven by adult mosquito lifespan, but upper and lower thermal limits are more uncertain and

may be determined by unique traits for different vectors and pathogens.

We were able to fit thermal responses for all of the traits needed to calculate R_0, but the

data were limited in two keys ways. First, two of the traits (fecundity and adult lifespan) had data

from only three temperatures. We used priors derived from data from other mosquito species to

minimize over-fitting and better represent the true fit and uncertainty in these trait responses

(Fig. 2, versus uniform priors in Fig. S1). However, data from more temperatures would increase

our confidence in the fitted thermal responses. Second, no RRV vector species had data for all

traits (Fig. 1), so we combined mosquito traits from *Cx. annulirostris* and pathogen infection

traits in *Ae. vigilax*—two broadly-distributed vector species (Fig. 1)—to build composite R_0

models. Geographic and seasonal variation in vector populations suggests that *Ae.*

camptorhynchus and *Ae. vigilax* have different thermal niches (cooler and warmer, respectively)

and *Cx. annulirostris* has a broader thermal niche (Fig. 1; Russell 1998). Temperature-dependent

trait data for more species are needed to test the hypothesis that these niches are reflected in the

species' thermal responses. If true, predictions based on the model here may not be accurate for

transmission by *Ae. camptorhynchus* and *Ae. vigilax*, since the model results are largely

determined by *Cx. annulirostris* trait responses. That hypothesis could also explain why RRV

persists over a wide climatic and latitudinal gradient. Thermal response experiments with other

important RRV vectors are a critical area for future research.

The temperature-dependent R_0 model provides a mechanistic explanation for

independently-observed patterns of RRV transmission across Australia. As predicted (Figs. 4, 5),

RRV is endemic in tropical Australia and epidemic in subtropical and temperate Australia

(Weinstein 1997). The model also accurately predicts the seasonality of cases at the national

scale (Fig. 6), reproducing the *a priori* predicted lag of 8-10 weeks for temperature to affect

reported human cases (Hu *et al.* 2006; Jacups *et al.* 2008b; Stewart Ibarra *et al.* 2013; Mordecai

et al. 2017). Further, as temperatures increase from spring into summer, RRV transmission by

Cx. annulirostris in inland areas often moves south along the latitudinal gradient (Russell 1998),

matching the model prediction (Fig. 5). Although temperature is often invoked as a potential

driver for such patterns, it is difficult to establish causality from statistical inference alone,

particularly if temperature and disease both exhibit strong seasonality and therefore could both

be responding to another latent driver (Sugihara *et al.* 2012). Thus, the mechanistic model is a

critical piece of evidence linking temperature to patterns of disease.

In addition to explaining broad-scale patterns of RRV disease, the unimodal thermal

model explains previously contradictory local-scale results. Specifically, statistical evidence for

temperature impacts on local time series of RRV cases is mixed. RRV incidence is often—but

not always—positively associated with warmer temperatures (Tong & Hu 2001; Tong *et al.*

2002, 2004, Hu *et al.* 2004, 2010; Jacups *et al.* 2008b; Williams *et al.* 2009; Werner *et al.* 2012;

Koolhof *et al.* 2017). However, variation in temperature impacts across local time series is

expected from the intermediate thermal optimum for transmission, since the optimum is near

observed mean temperatures in tropical and sub-tropical climates. The strongest statistical signal

of temperature on disease is expected to occur in temperate regions where mean temperature

varies along the rapidly rising portion of the R_0 curve (~20-26°C). If mean temperatures vary

both above and below the optimum (as in Darwin), important effects of temperature may be

masked in time series models that fit linear responses. Additionally, if temperatures are always

relatively suitable (as in tropical climates) or unsuitable (as in very cool temperate climates),

variation in disease may be due primarily to other factors. A nonlinear mechanistic model is

critical for estimating impacts of temperature on transmission because the effect of increasing

temperature by a few degrees can have a positive, negligible, or negative impact on R_0 along

different parts of the thermal response curve. Although field-based evidence for unimodal

thermal responses in vector-borne disease is rare (but see Mordecai *et al.* 2013; Peña-García *et*

al. 2017), there is some evidence for high temperatures constraining RRV transmission and

vector populations: outbreaks were less likely with more days above 35°C in one area in

Queensland (Gatton *et al.* 2005) and populations of *Cx. annulirostris* peaked at 25°C and

declined above 32°C in Victoria (Dhileepan 1996). Future statistical analyses of RRV cases may

benefit from using a nonlinear estimate of temperature-dependent R_0 as a predictor instead of raw

temperature (Fig. 5B versus 5A).

Aquatic breeding habitat availability is also an important driver of mosquito abundance

and mosquito-borne disease. Local rainfall and river flow have been linked to the abundance of

RRV vector species (Tall *et al.* 2014) and RRV disease cases (Tong & Hu 2001, 2002b; Hu *et al.*

2004; Kelly-Hope *et al.* 2004a; Tong *et al.* 2004; Gatton *et al.* 2005; Jacups *et al.* 2008b; Bi *et al.*

2009; Williams *et al.* 2009; Werner *et al.* 2012), as have unusually high tides in coastal areas

with saltmarsh mosquitoes (Tong & Hu 2002a; Tong *et al.* 2004; Jacups *et al.* 2008b).

Overlaying models of species-specific breeding habitat with temperature-dependent models

would better resolve the geographic and seasonal distribution of RRV transmission. R_0 peaked at

similar temperatures whether or not we assumed that mosquito abundance was temperature-

dependent (eq. 1 versus eq. 2); however, the range of suitable temperatures was much wider for

the model that assumed a temperature-independent mosquito population (Fig. 3). Since breeding

habitat availability can only impact vector populations when temperatures do not exclude them,

it is critical to consider thermal constraints on mosquito abundance even when breeding habitat is

considered a stronger driver. Nonetheless, many mechanistic models of temperature-dependent

transmission of vector-borne disease do not include thermal effects on vector density (e.g., Paull

et al. 2017). Our results demonstrate that the decision to include—or exclude—these

relationships can have a critical impact on the model results, especially near thermal limits.

Several important gaps remain in our understanding of RRV thermal ecology. First, we

need better trait thermal response data, for more vector species. Second, the R_0 model needs to be

more rigorously validated using time series of human cases to determine the importance of

temperature at finer spatiotemporal scales. These analyses should incorporate daily and seasonal

temperature variation (Paaijmans *et al.* 2010) and integrate species-specific drivers of breeding

habitat availability, like seasonal rainfall and tidal patterns. Finally, translating environmental

suitability for mosquito transmission into human cases also depends on past and current disease

dynamics in reservoir host and human populations, and their impacts on population immunity.

For instance, in Western Australia when recruitment of susceptible juvenile kangaroos is low due

to low rainfall in the preceding winter, heavy summer rains may fail to initiate RRV epidemics

(Mackenzie *et al.* 2000). By contrast, large outbreaks occur in southeastern Australia when high

rainfall follows a dry year, presumably from increased transmission within previously unexposed

reservoir populations (Woodruff *et al.* 2002). Building vector species-specific R_0 models and

integrating thermal ecology with other drivers are important next steps for accurately forecasting

variation in RRV transmission.

Extending the results of the temperature-dependent model for RRV transmission to future

climate regimes highlights the importance of characterizing nonlinear thermal responses. Climate

warming is likely to increase the geographic and seasonal range of transmission potential in

temperate, southern Australia where the majority of Australians live. However, climate change is

likely to decrease transmission potential in tropical cities like Darwin, where moderate warming

(~3ºC) would push temperatures above the upper thermal limit for transmission for most of the

year (Fig. 5). However, the extent of climate-driven declines in transmission will depend on how

much *Cx. annulirostris* and *Ae. vigilax* can adapt to extend their upper thermal limits and

whether warmer-adapted mosquito species (e.g., *Ae. aegypti* and potentially *Ae. polynesiensis*)

can invade and sustain RRV transmission cycles. Thus, while the response of RRV transmission

by current vector species to climate change is predictable based on vector and parasite trait

thermal responses, future RRV disease dynamics will also depend on vector adaptation, potential

vector species invasions, and climate change impacts on sea level and precipitation that drive

vector habitat availability.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Leah Johnson and Matt Thomas for helpful comments and Cameron Webb for

originally posting the RRV case data (https://cameronwebb.wordpress.com/2014/04/09/why-is-

mosquito-borne-disease-risk-greater-in-autumn/). All authors were supported by the National

Science Foundation (DEB-1518681; https://nsf.gov/). EAM was supported by the NSF (DEB-

1640780; https://nsf.gov/), the Stanford Woods Institute for the Environment

(https://woods.stanford.edu/research/environmental-venture-projects), and the Stanford Center

for Innovation in Global Health (http://globalhealth.stanford.edu/research/seed-grants.html).

REFERENCES

Angilletta, M.J. (2009). *Thermal Adaptation: A Theoretical and Empirical Synthesis*. Oxford
University Press.

Aubry, M., Finke, J., Teissier, A., Roche, C., Broult, J., Paulous, S., *et al.* (2015). Silent
circulation of ross river virus in French Polynesia. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.*, 37, e19–e24.

Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2017). *3218.0 - Regional Population Growth, Australia, 2016.*
Canberra.

Barton, P.S., Aberton, J.G. & Kay, B.H. (2004). Spatial and temporal definition of *Ochlerotatus*
camptorhynchus (Thomson) (Diptera: Culicidae) in the Gippsland Lakes system of eastern
Victoria. *Aust. J. Entomol.*, 43, 16–22.

Bi, P., Hiller, J.E., Cameron, a S., Zhang, Y. & Givney, R. (2009). Climate variability and Ross
River virus infections in Riverland, South Australia, 1992-2004. *Epidemiol. Infect.*, 137,
1486–93.

Biggs, A.J.W. & Mottram, P. (2008). Links between dryland salinity, mosquito vectors, and Ross
River Virus disease in southern inland Queensland—an example and potential implications.
Soil Res., 46, 62–66.

Bivand, R., Keitt, T. & Rowlingson, B. (2017). rgdal: Bindings for the "Geospatial" Data
Abstraction Library.

Bivand, R. & Lewin-Koh, N. (2017). maptools: Tools for Reading and Handling Spatial
Objeccts.

Brière, J.-F., Pracros, P., Le Roux, A.-Y. & Pierre, J.-S. (1999). A Novel Rate Model of

Temperature-Dependent Development for Arthropods. *Environ. Entomol.*, 28, 22–29.

Brokenshire, T., Symonds, D., Reynolds, R., Doggett, S., Geary, M. & Russell, R. (2000). A

cluster of locally-acquired Ross River virus infection in outer western Sydney. *NSW Public*

Heal. Bull, 11, 132–134.

Campbell, J., Aldred, J. & Davis, G. (1989). Some aspects of the natural history of Ross River

virus in south east Gippsland, Victoria. *Arbovirus Res. Aust.*, 5, 24–28.

Carver, S., Spafford, H., Storey, A. & Weinstein, P. (2009). Dryland Salinity and the Ecology of

Ross River Virus: The Ecological Underpinnings of the Potential for Transmission. *Vector-*

Borne Zoonotic Dis., 9, 611–622.

Claflin, S.B. & Webb, C.E. (2015). Ross River Virus: Many Vectors and Unusual Hosts Make

for an Unpredictable Pathogen. *PLoS Pathog.*, 11, 1–6.

Clements, A.N. & Paterson, G.D. (1981). The Analysis of Mortality and Survival Rates in Wild

Populations of Mosquitoes. *J. Appl. Ecol.*, 18, 373–399.

Dell, A.I., Pawar, S. & Savage, V.M. (2011). Systematic variation in the temperature dependence

of physiological and ecological traits. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 108, 10591–10596.

Dhileepan, K. (1996). Mosquito seasonality and arboviral disease incidence in Murray valley,

southeast Australia. *Med. Vet. Entomol.*, 10, 375–384.

Dietz, K. (1993). The estimation of the basic reproduction number for infectious diseases. *Stat.*

Methods Med. Res., 2, 23–41.

ESRI. (2015). ArcGIS Desktop.

Faull, K.J. & Williams, C.R. (2016). Differentiation of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes notoscriptus*

(Diptera: Culicidae) eggs using scanning electron microscopy. *Arthropod Struct. Dev.*, 45,

273–280.

Flies, E.J., Lau, C.L., Carver, S. & Weinstein, P. (2018). Another Emerging Mosquito-Borne

Disease? Endemic Ross River Virus Transmission in the Absence of Marsupial Reservoirs.

Bioscience.

Frances, S.P., Cooper, R.D., Rowcliffe, K.L., Chen, N. & Cheng, Q. (2004). Occurrence of Ross

River virus and Barmah Forest virus in mosquitoes at Shoalwater Bay military training area,

Queensland, Australia. *J. Med. Entomol.*, 41, 115–20.

GADM. (2012). GADM database of Global Administrative Boundaries, version 2.0.

Gatton, M.L., Kay, B.H. & Ryan, P.A. (2005). Environmental predictors of Ross River virus
disease outbreaks in Queensland, Australia. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*, 72, 792–799.

Harley, D., Ritchie, S., Phillips, D. & van den Hurk, A. (2000). Mosquito isolates of Ross River
virus from Cairns, Queensland, Australia. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 62, 561–5.

Harley, D., Sleigh, A. & Ritchie, S. (2001). Ross River Virus Transmission, Infection, and
Disease: a Cross-Disciplinary Review. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.*, 14, 909–932.

Hijmans, R.J. (2016). raster: Geographic Data Analysis and Modeling.

Hu, W., Clements, A., Williams, G., Tong, S. & Mengersen, K. (2010). Bayesian spatiotemporal
analysis of socio-ecologic drivers of Ross River virus transmission in Queensland,
Australia. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 83, 722–728.

Hu, W., Nicholls, N., Lindsay, M., Dale, P., McMichael, A.J., Mackenzie, J.S., *et al.* (2004).
Development of a predictive model for ross river virus disease in Brisbane, Australia. *Am J*
Trop Med Hyg, 71, 129–137.

Hu, W., Tong, S., Mengersen, K., Oldenburg, B. & Dale, P. (2006). Mosquito species (Diptera:
Culicidae) and the transmission of Ross River virus in Brisbane, Australia. *J. Med.*
Entomol., 43, 375–381.

Jacups, S.P., Carter, J., Kurucz, N., McDonnell, J. & Whelan, P.I. (2015). Determining
meteorological drivers of salt marsh mosquito peaks in tropical northern Australia. *J. Vector*
Ecol., 40, 277–281.

Jacups, S.P., Whelan, P.I. & Currie, B.J. (2008a). Ross River virus and Barmah Forest virus
infections: a review of history, ecology, and predictive models, with implications for
tropical northern Australia. *Vector-borne Zoonotic Dis.*, 8, 283–297.

Jacups, S.P., Whelan, P.I., Markey, P.G., Cleland, S.J., Williamson, G.J. & Currie, B.J. (2008b).
Predictive indicators for Ross River virus infection in the Darwin area of tropical northern
Australia, using long-term mosquito trapping data. *Trop. Med. Int. Heal.*, 13, 943–952.

Johnson, L.R., Ben-Horin, T., Lafferty, K.D., McNally, A., Mordecai, E., Paaijmans, K.P., *et al.*
(2015). Understanding uncertainty in temperature effects on vector-borne disease: A
Bayesian approach. *Ecology*, 96, 203–213.

Kay, B.H. & Jennings, C.D. (2002). Enhancement or Modulation of the Vector Competence of
Ochlerotatus vigilax (Diptera: Culicidae) for Ross River Virus by Temperature. *J. Med.*
Entomol., 39, 99–105.

Kelly-Hope, L.A., Purdie, D.M. & Kay, B.H. (2004a). Differences in Climatic Factors Between

Ross River Virus Disease Outbreak and Nonoutbreak Years. *J. Med. Entomol*, 41, 1116–

1122.

Kelly-Hope, L.A., Purdie, D.M. & Kay, B.H. (2004b). Ross river virus disease in Australia,

1886-1998, with analysis of risk factors associated with outbreaks. *J. Med. Entomol.*, 41,

133–150.

Klapsing, P., MacLean, J.D., Glaze, S., McClean, K.L., Drebot, M.A., Lanciotti, R.S., *et al.*

(2005). Ross river virus disease reemergence, Fiji, 2003-2004. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*, 11, 613–

615.

Kokkinn, M.J., Duval, D.J. & Williams, C.R. (2009). Modelling the ecology of the coastal

mosquitoes *Aedes vigilax* and *Aedes camptorhynchus* at Port Pirie, South Australia. *Med.*

Vet. Entomol., 23, 85–91.

Koolhof, I.S., Bettiol, S. & Carver, S. (2017). Fine-temporal forecasting of outbreak probability

and severity: Ross River virus in Western Australia. *Epidemiol. Infect.*, 145, 2949–2960.

Koolhof, I.S. & Carver, S. (2017). Epidemic host community contribution to mosquito-borne

disease transmission: Ross River virus. *Epidemiol. Infect.*, 145, 656–666.

Lau, C., Aubry, M., Musso, D., Teissier, A., Paulous, S., Desprès, P., *et al.* (2017). New

evidence for endemic circulation of Ross River virus in the Pacific Islands and the potential

for emergence. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.*, 57, 73–76.

Lindsay, M., Condon, R., Mackenzie, J., Johansen, C., D'Ercole, M. & Smith, D. (1992). A

major ourbreak of Ross river virus infection in the south-west of Western Australia and the

Perth metropolitan area. *Comm. Dis. Int.*, 16, 290–294.

Lindsay, M., Oliveira, N., Jasinska, E., Johansen, C., Harrington, S., Wright, A.E., *et al.* (1996).

An outbreak of Ross River virus disease in Southwestern Australia. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.*, 2,

117–120.

Lindsay, M.D.A., Broom, A.K., Wright, A.E. (Tony), Johansen, C.A. & Mackenzie, J.S. (1993a).

Ross River Virus Isolations from Mosquitoes in Arid Regions of Western Australia:

Implication of Vertical Transmission as a Means of Persistence of the Virus. *Am. J. Trop.*

Med. Hyg., 49, 686–696.

Lindsay, M.D.A., Jardine, A., Johansen, C.A., Wright, A.E., Harrington, S.A. & Weinstein, P.

(2007). Mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) fauna in inland areas of south-west Western

Australia. *Aust. J. Entomol.*, 46, 60–64.

Lindsay, M.D., Johansen, C., Broom, A.K., D'Ercole, M., Wright, A.E., Condon, R., *et al.*

(1993b). The epidemiology of outbreaks of Ross River virus infection in Western Australia

in 1991–1992. *Arbovirus Res. Aust.*, 6, 72–76.

Liu-Helmersson, J., Stenlund, H., Wilder-Smith, A. & Rocklöv, J. (2014). Vectorial capacity of

Aedes aegypti: Effects of temperature and implications for global dengue epidemic

potential. *PLoS One*, 9.

MacDonald, G. (1952). The Analysis of Sporozoite Rate. *Trop. Dis. Bull.*, 49, 569–586.

Mackenzie, J.S., Lindsay, M.D. & Broom, A.K. (2000). The effect of climate and weather on the

transmission of Ross River and Murray Valley encephalitis viruses. *Microbiol. Aust.*, 21,

20–25.

McDonald, G., McLaren, I.W., Shelden, G.P. & Smith, I.R. (1980). The effect of temperature on

the population growth potential of *Culex annulirostris* Skuse (Diptera: Culicidae). *Aust. J.*

Ecol., 5, 379–384.

McDonnell, L., Kolbe, T., Carvan, T. & Gilchrist, K. (1994). Outbreak of Ross River virus

disease in the south west districts of NSW, summer 1993. *New South Wales Public Heal.*

Bull., 5, 98–9.

McManus, T.J., Russell, R.C., Wells, P.J., Clanc, J.G., Fennell, M. & Cloonan, M.J. (1992).

Further studies on the epidemiology and effects of Ross River virus in Tasmania. *Arbovirus*

Res. Aust., 6, 68–72.

Merianos, A., Farland, A.M., Patel, M., Currie, B., Whelan, P., Dentith, H., *et al.* (1992). A

concurrent outbreak of Barmah Forest and Ross River virus disease in Nhulunbuy, Northern

Territory. *Commun. Dis. Intell.*, 16, 110–111.

Mordecai, E.A., Cohen, J.M., Evans, M. V., Gudapati, P., Johnson, L.R., Lippi, C.A., *et al.*

(2017). Detecting the impact of temperature on transmission of Zika, dengue, and

chikungunya using mechanistic models. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.*, 11, e0005568.

Mordecai, E.A., Paaijmans, K.P., Johnson, L.R., Balzer, C., Ben-Horin, T., de Moor, E., *et al.*

(2013). Optimal temperature for malaria transmission is dramatically lower than previously

predicted. *Ecol. Lett.*, 16, 22–30.

Mottram, P., Kay, B.H. & Kettle, D.S. (1986). The effect of temperature on eggs and immature

Stages of *Culex annulirostris* Skuse (Diptera: Culicidae). *Aust. J. Entomol.*, 25, 131–136.

Paaijmans, K.P., Blanford, S., Bell, A.S., Blanford, J.I., Read, A.F. & Thomas, M.B. (2010).

Influence of climate on malaria transmission depends on daily temperature variation. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 107, 15135–9.

Parham, P.E. & Michael, E. (2010). Modeling the effects of weather and climate change on

malaria transmission. *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 118, 620–626.

Paull, S.H., Horton, D.E., Ashfaq, M., Rastogi, D., Kramer, L.D., Diffenbaugh, N.S., *et al.*

(2017). Drought and immunity determine the intensity of West Nile virus epidemics and

climate change impacts. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 284, 20162078.

Peña-García, V.H., Triana-Chávez, O. & Arboleda-Sánchez, S. (2017). Estimating Effects of

Temperature on Dengue Transmission in Colombian Cities. *Ann. Glob. Heal.*, 83, 509–518.

Plummer, M. (2003). JAGS: A program for analysis of Bayesian graphical models using Gibbs

sampling. *Proc. 3rd Int. Work. Distrib. Stat. Comput.*, 1–10.

R Core Team. (2017). *R: A language and for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical

Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Rae, D.J. (1990). Survival and development of the immature stages of *Culex annulirostris*

(Diptera: Culicidae) at the Ross River Dam in tropical eastern Australia. *J Med Entomol*,

27, 756–762.

Ritchie, S.A., Fanning, I.D., Phillips, D.A., Standfast, H.A., Mcginn, D. & Kay, B.H. (1997).

Ross River Virus in Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) During the 1994 Epidemic Around

Brisbane, Australia. *J. Med. Entomol.*, 34, 156–159.

Rogers, D.J. & Randolph, S.E. (2006). Climate Change and Vector-Borne Diseases. *Adv.*

Parasitol., 62, 345–381.

Rosen, L., Gubler, D.J. & Bennett, P.H. (1981). Epidemic Polyarthritis (Ross River) Virus

Infection in the Cook Islands. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 30, 1294–1302.

Russell, R.C. (1986). *Culex Annulirostris* Skuse (Diptera: Culicidae) at Appin, N.S.W.—

bionomics and behavior. *Aust. J. Entomol.*, 25, 103–109.

Russell, R.C. (1994). Ross River virus: disease trends and vector ecology in Australia. *Bull. Soc.*

Vector Ecol., 19, 73–81.

Russell, R.C. (1998). Mosquito-borne arboviruses in Australia: the current scene and

implications of climate change for human health. *Int. J. Parasitol.*, 28, 955–969.

Russell, R.C. (2002). Ross River Virus: Ecology and Distribution. *Ann. Rev. Entomol.*, 47, 1–31.

Russell, R.C., Cloonan, M.J., Wells, P.J. & Vale, T.G. (1991). Mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) and

Arbo virus Activity on the South Coast of New South Wales, Australia, in 1985–1988. *J.*

Med. Entomol., 28, 796–804.

Ryan, P.A., Do, K.-A. & Kay, B.H. (2000). Definition of Ross River Virus Vectors at Maroochy

Shire, Australia. *J. Med. Entomol.*, 37, 146–152.

Schmaedick, M.A., Ball, T.S., Burkot, T.R. & Gurr, N.E. (2008). Evaluation of Three Traps for

Sampling *Aedes polynesiensis* and Other Mosquito Species in American Samoa 1. *J. Am.*

Mosq. Control Assoc., 24, 319–322.

Shocket, M.S., Anderson, C.B., Caldwell, J.M., Childs, M.L., Han, S., Harris, M.J., *et al.* (n.d.).

Environmental Drivers of Vector-borne Diseases. In: *Ecology of Vector-borne Diseases* (ed.

Drake, J.M.).

Siraj, A.S., Bouma, M.J., Santos-Vega, M., Yeshiwondim, A.K., Rothman, D.S., Yadeta, D., *et*

al. (2015). Temperature and population density determine reservoir regions of seasonal

persistence in highland malaria. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 282, 20151383.

Stephenson, E.B., Peel, A.J., Reid, S.A., Jansen, C.C. & McCallum, H. (2018). The non-human

reservoirs of Ross River virus: a systematic review of the evidence. *Parasit. Vectors*, 11,

188.

Stewart Ibarra, A.M. & Lowe, R. (2013). Climate and non-climate drivers of dengue epidemics

in southern coastal Ecuador. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.*, 88, 971–981.

Stewart Ibarra, A.M., Ryan, S.J., Beltrán, E., Mejía, R.L., Silva, M., Muñoz, Á., *et al.* (2013).

Dengue Vector Dynamics (*Aedes aegypti*) Influenced by Climate and Social Factors in

Ecuador: Implications for Targeted Control. *PLoS One*, 8, e78263.

Su, Y.-S. & Yajima, M. (2009). R2jags: A Package for Running jags from R.

Sugihara, G., May, R., Ye, H., Hsieh, C. -h., Deyle, E., Fogarty, M., *et al.* (2012). Detecting

Causality in Complex Ecosystems. *Science (80-.).*, 338, 496–500.

Tall, J.A., Gatton, M.L. & Tong, S. (2014). Ross River Virus Disease Activity Associated With

Naturally Occurring Nontidal Flood Events in Australia: A Systematic Review. *J. Med.*

Entomol., 51, 1097–1108.

Tong, S., Bi, P., Donald, K. & McMichael, A.J. (2002). Climate variability and Ross River virus

transmission. *J. Epidemiol. Community Heal.*, 56, 617–621.

Tong, S. & Hu, W. (2001). Climate variation and incidence of Ross River virus in Cairns,

Australia: a time-series analysis. *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 109, 1271–1273.

Tong, S. & Hu, W. (2002a). Different responses of Ross River virus to climate. *Occup Env. Med*,

59, 739–744.

Tong, S. & Hu, W. (2002b). Different responses of Ross River virus to climate variability

between coastline and inland cities in Queensland, Australia. *Occup Env. Med*, 59, 739–

744.

Tong, S., Hu, W. & McMichael, A.J. (2004). Climate variability and Ross River virus

transmission in Townsville Region, Australia, 1985-1996. *Trop. Med. Int. Heal.*, 9, 298–

304.

Weinstein, P. (1997). An ecological approach to public health intervention: Ross River virus in

Australia. *Env. Heal. Perspect*, 105, 364–366.

Werner, a. K., Goater, S., Carver, S., Robertson, G., Allen, G.R. & Weinstein, P. (2012).

Environmental drivers of Ross River virus in southeastern Tasmania, Australia: towards

strengthening public health interventions. *Epidemiol. Infect.*, 140, 359–371.

Wesolowski, A., Qureshi, T., Boni, M.F., Sundsøy, P.R., Johansson, M.A., Rasheed, S.B., *et al.*

(2015). Impact of human mobility on the emergence of dengue epidemics in Pakistan. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci., 201504964.

Whelan, P.I., Krause, V., Horsburgh, K., Sutherland, G. & Rossiter, J. (1995). Confirmed case of

Ross River virus infection acquired in Alice Springs March 1995. *North. Territ. Commun.*

Dis. Bull., 2, 9–11.

Whelan, P.I., Merianos, A., Hayes, G. & Krause, V. (1997). Ross River Virus Transmission in

Darwin, Northern Territory, Australia. *Arbovirus Res. Aust.*, 7, 337–345.

Whelan, P.I., Merianos, A., Patel, M.S., Tai, K.S. & Currie, B. (1992). The epidemiology of

arbovirus infection in the Northern Territory 1980-92. *Arbovirus Res. Aust.*, 6, 266–70.

Williams, C.R., Fricker, S.R. & Kokkinn, M.J. (2009). Environmental and entomological factors

determining Ross River virus activity in the River Murray Valley of South Australia. *Aust.*

N. Z. J. Public Health, 33, 284–288.

Woodruff, R.E., Guest, C.S., Garner, M.G., Becker, N., Lindesay, J., Carvan, T., *et al.* (2002).

Predicting Ross River virus epidemics from regional weather data. *Epidemiology*, 13, 384–

393.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be downloaded via the online version of this article at

Wiley Online Library (www.ecologyletters.com)

FIGURE 1: Vector species implicated in RRV outbreaks. Map of Australia and Pacific

Islands showing outbreaks of RRV disease in which specific mosquito species were identified as

vectors based on the collection of field specimens. Only the six most important species are

included. Grid indicates thermal response data availability for each trait in the R_0 model for the

five Australian species. Data sources are listed in Table S1.

FIGURE 2: **Thermal responses of *Cx. annulirostris* and Ross River virus (in *Ae. vigilax*)**

traits that drive transmission. Functions were fit using Bayesian inference with priors fit using

data from other mosquito species and viruses. For (E) fecundity and (C) adult lifespan, points

show data means and error bars indicate standard error. Black solid lines are posterior

distribution means; dashed red lines are 95% credible intervals.

FIGURE 3: **Thermal response of relative R_0.** (A) Posterior means of relative R_0 across

temperature. Constant M model (eq. 1) assumes mosquito density does not depend on

temperature (light blue), while temperature-dependent M model (eq. 2) accounts for temperature-

dependence of mosquito life history traits (dark blue). Predicted mosquito density (M) is also

shown for comparison (red). The y-axis illustrates relative R_0 (or M) across temperatures rather

than absolute values, which would require information about additional drivers and vary across

transmission settings. Histograms of (B) the critical thermal minimum, (C) thermal optimum,

and (D) critical thermal maximum temperatures for both R_0 models (constant M: light blue;

temperature-dependent M: dark blue).

FIGURE 4: **Transmission potential for Ross River virus based on monthly mean**

temperatures. Color indicates the number of months of where (A) relative $R_0>0$ and (B) relative

$R_0>0.5$. Model predictions are based on the median posterior probability for relative R_0. Points

indicate selected cities (from Fig. 5), scaled by the percent of the total Australian population

residing in each city.

FIGURE 5: **Average seasonality of temperature and predicted temperature-dependent**

relative R_0 in Australian cities. The selected cities span a latitude and temperature gradient

(Darwin = dark red, Cairns = red, Brisbane = dark orange, Perth = light orange, Sydney = aqua,

Melbourne = blue, Hobart = dark blue). The sequence of months begins in July and ends in June

(both during austral winter). (A) Mean monthly temperatures. Gray shaded areas show

temperature at outer 95% CI (light gray), median (medium gray), and inner 95% CI (dark gray)

for thresholds where $R_0>0$. Dashed gray line shows median temperature for R_0 optimum. (B)

Relative R_0 as a function of temperature in each city.

Figure 6: Seasonality of temperature-dependent relative R_0 (line) and RRV infections.

Human cases (bars) are aggregated nationwide from 1992-2013. Temperature-dependent R_0

(line) is weighted by population, calculated from the 15 largest cities in Australia (76.6% of the

total population). The sequence of months begins in July and ends in June (during austral

winter). Cases peak two months after $R_0(T)$, the *a priori* expected lag between temperature and

reported cases.

FIGURE 1

Vector / Trait	a	EFD	MDR	p_{EA}	μ	bc	PDR
Ae. vigilax						███	███
Ae. camptorhynchus			███	███			
Cx. annulirostris	███	███	███	███	███		
Ae. notoscriptus			███	███			
Ae. normanensis							

Data — No Data

  FIGURE 4

 FIGURE 5