Share, but unequally: A mechanism for emergence and maintenance of intratumor heterogeneity

Xin Li[*1] and D. Thirumalai[†1]

[1]Department of Chemistry, University of Texas at Austin, Texas 78712, USA

March 25, 2018

SUMMARY

Intratumor heterogeneity (ITH), referring to coexistence of different cell subpopulations in a single tumor, has been a major puzzle in cancer research for almost half a century. The lack of understanding of the underlying mechanism of ITH hinders progress in developing effective therapies for cancers. Based on the findings in a recent quantitative experiment on pancreatic cancer we developed a general evolutionary model, accounting for interactions between different cell populations through paracrine or juxtacrine factors. We show that the emergence of a stable heterogeneous state in a tumor requires an unequal allocation of paracrine growth factors ("public goods") between cells that produce them and those that merely consume them. Our model provides a quantitative explanation of recent *in vitro* experimental studies in pancreatic cancer in which insulin growth factor (IGF-II) plays the role of public goods. The calculated phase diagrams as a function of exogenous resources and fraction of growth factor producing cells show ITH persists only in a narrow range of concentration of exogenous IGF-II. Remarkably, maintenance of ITH requires cooperation among tumor cell subpopulations in harsh conditions, specified by lack of exogenous IGF-II, whereas surplus exogenous IGF-II elicits competition. The theory also quantitatively accounts for measured *in vivo* tumor growth in glioblastoma multiforme (GBM). The predictions for GBM tumor growth as a function of the fraction of tumor cells are amenable to experimental tests. The mechanism for ITH also provides hints for devising efficacious therapies.

---

[*]xinlee0@gmail.com
[†]dave.thirumalai@gmail.com

Introduction

Cancer, a complex disease that arises through clonal evolution, is a major cause of mortality throughout the world with no cure in sight despite a tremendous amount of effort and resources expended to uncover its root causes. The underlying mechanisms of the origin and spread of cancer is still under debate (Gerlinger et al. 2014a). The first evolutionary theory of cancer, proposed by Nowell in 1976, describes cancer progression as a linear process derived from sequential acquisition of somatic mutations (Nowell 1976). With the advent of next generation sequencing (Vogelstein et al. 2013) it has become clear that instead of linear growth evolution of cancer is better described by branched growth in which multiple subclones appear and coexist during cancer progression. Accumulating evidence favor the branched model and the associated intratumor heterogeneity (ITH) (Gerlinger et al. 2012, Sottoriva et al. 2013, Bashashati et al. 2013, Gerlinger et al. 2014b, de Bruin et al. 2014, Yates et al. 2015, Boutros et al. 2015, Ling et al. 2015). The presence of ITH in a variety of cancers, which is a great impediment in designing effective treatments, is hard to rationalize according to the linear evolutionary model because subclones with even a small fitness advantage should ultimately proliferate at the expenses of others. For this reason the persistence of ITH in a macroscopic tumor is a puzzle.

The widespread existence of ITH, characterized by coexistence of distinct subpopulations in the same tumor poses significant challenges for cancer diagnosis and treatment (Almendro et al. 2013). A recent study (Gerlinger et al. 2014b) revealed a high degree of ITH with great variations among distinct biopsies with 67% of the nonsynonymous somatic mutations being subclones.Therefore, the genetic diagnosis for cancer patients could be misleading if only a few number of samples or even a large number but from just a single region of a solid tumor are tested. As a consequence only a small fraction of patients usually survive longer than 5 years after a 'successful' treatment, and cancer relapses among many of them later. In many instances, tumor heterogeneity could the key factor that directly influences the treatment efficacy (Bedard et al. 2013, Zhang et al. 2014).

We still lack fundamental understanding of the origin and establishment of ITH. According to the sequential paradigm (Nowell 1976), only the fittest subclone survives and becomes more aggressive due to cell-cell competition. The tumor cells with diverse genetic or epigenetic mutations can be spatially separated (Gerlinger et al. 2012, 2014b) with each subclone dominating the cell population in a specific region. It indicates that spatial constraints or distinct microenvironments might prohibit clonal sweeps, thus inducing ITH. However, it cannot explain the coexistence of distinct subclones in the same region of a tumor (Snuderl et al. 2011, Szerlip et al. 2012).

The interactions between tumor cells and the surrounding normal cells, microenvironments have been extensively studied in the past few decades (Liotta & Kohn 2001, Allinen et al. 2004, Bhowmick et al. 2004) while much less effort has been made in investigating the interactions among subclonal populations in tumors. Instead of competition, the cooperation between or among distinct

subclonal populations is found to be essential for tumor maintenance (Cleary et al. 2014), enhance tumor growth (Marusyk et al. 2014), and even facilitate cancer metastasis (Chapman et al. 2014, Aceto et al. 2014). Meanwhile, it is observed that a minor and even undetectable subclone can dominate the clinical course and lead to cancer relapse frequently (Mullighan et al. 2008, Johnson et al. 2014, Morrissy et al. 2016). Therefore, it is crucial to understand the mechanism of cooperation that facilitates the emergence and maintenance of ITH in a single tumor.

Cooperation can be established through mutualism or even unidirectional interactions among different subpopulations. Mutualism in ecology provides an effective mechanism for the establishment of a heterogeneous state in which each subpopulation benefits from the activity of the other (Boucher et al. 1982, Menon & Korolev 2015, Zhou et al. 2018). Recently, it was found that two distinct subclones in cancer can complement each other's deficiency in order to survive and proliferate (Cleary et al. 2014). The formation of a heterogeneous state can be explained by a mechanism similar to mutualism in which fitness of distinct cell types is maximized by resource sharing (Axelrod et al. 2006). Compared to the strict interdependence in mutualism, a unidirectional interaction between distinct populations is observed more frequently (Axelrod et al. 2006, Merlo et al. 2006, Maria-del Mar et al. 2010). It is quite common to find that some tumor cells secrete diffusible growth factors or other paracrine factors to promote tumor growth (Axelrod et al. 2006). Meanwhile, other types of tumor cells free ride on those essential nutrients to grow and might even dominant the whole population without producing them. One recent study for Glioblastoma multiforme (GMB) shows that a minor subclone in a tumor supports and promotes the growth of a dominant one by activating a paracrine signaling circuit (Maria-del Mar et al. 2010). It was found that the mixture of these two distinct subpopulations promote faster tumor growth than they would by themselves. Similarly, an insightful *in vitro* experiment combined with theoretical analysis based on evolutionary game theory (Archetti et al. 2015) investigated the "public goods game" in pancreatic cancer cell populations in which one cell type produces a growth factor as the "public good". The growth factors promote the proliferation of both cell types. It is found that these two types of cancer cells can coexist under certain conditions although there is only a unidirectional interaction between them. The underlying generality of the mechanisms for the origin of ITH is still unclear.

Here, we investigate the mechanism of cooperation between two distinct populations composed of 'producers' and 'non-producers'. We show that several factors are indispensable for the maintenance of a stable heterogeneous coexisting population of producer and non-producer cells. A critical finding in our work is that the unequal allocation of public goods among different species plays a crucial role in maintaining heterogeneity. By studying the influence of exogenous resources and initial population fraction on such a simple system, we obtained a phase diagram from our theory, which is in excellent agreement with experimental observations. Our theory also quantitatively explains the unexpected growth behaviors of tumors as a function of initial fractions of producer

cells in *in vivo* experiments with no free parameters. The robustness of the theory, which also quantitatively explains the observation of heterogeneity in Glioblastoma multiform (GBM), is established by making testable predictions of the origin of ITH in GBM. The discovery of mechanisms for ITH might also give clues for changing strategy of treatment in cancers in which interactions between different subclones are prevalent.

Results

The public goods game has been extensively used in the context of human societies, and the concepts have been applied to other systems, such as microbial colonies, and insect communities (Hofbauer & Sigmund 1998, Hauert et al. 2006, Nowak 2006, Allen et al. 2013, Nanda & Durrett 2017, Bauer & Frey 2018). In this model, both the producer and non-producer benefit from the products produced solely by the former (see Fig. 1). However, the producers pay a price for the production of public goods while the non-producers merely free-ride on the products without incurring any cost. In general, such dynamics would result in an unstable system in the sense the producer might become extinct if the public goods are shared equally between the parties. The system would collapse unless sufficient exogenous resources are provided, which could lead to the fixation of non-producers, as discussed in detail in the Supplementary Information (SI).

Coexistence between producers and non-producers requires non-linear fitness: A solution to the dilemma that emergence from the naive model of equal sharing, discussed in the SI, is to change the rule for the allocation of public goods. Because the producer pays a price for the production of public goods, which decreases its fitness directly, more products should be allocated to the producer (see the public goods distribution in Fig. 1A as an example). If this were to occur the producer would recoup the losses in order to gain the same fitness as the non-producer. In this unequal sharing scenario, the producer and non-producer could coexist, as we show here.

In general, the fitness of one agent is a function of the fraction of producers. A higher fitness is expected as the fraction of producers increases. For a dynamic system, a heterogeneous state (containing both producers and non-producers) could be maintained only the stabilities of the states are ensured. Let f_+ (f_-) be the fraction of producers (non-producers), which evolve in time as described by Eqs. (S.1) and (S.2) in the SI. A heterogeneous state cannot be realized if w_+ and w_- are a linear fitness functions of f_+. Let the fitness functions of the producer (w_+) and non-producer (w_-) be,

$$w_+ = k_+ f_+ - p_0 \,, \tag{1}$$

and

$$w_- = k_- f_+ \,, \tag{2}$$

respectively. The parameters k_+, k_- are the corresponding allocation coefficients of public good produced by producers and p_0 is the cost paid by the pro-

ducers. The producer needs to get more public goods than the non-producer, which means $k_+ > k_-$ ($k_+ = k_-$ in Eq. (S.5) in the SI). The condition for equilibrium with both players follows from Eqs. (S.1) and (S.2) in the SI, resulting in,

$$f_+^0 = \frac{p_0}{k_+ - k_-} \, .$$ (3)

Given the fraction f_+^0 for the producer in Eq. (3), the two members attain the same fitness ($w_+ = w_-$ for f_+^0 in Eq. (3)). However, this equilibrium is unstable and eventually only one of them survives (see Fig. S1 in the SI). As the fraction f_+ becomes a little higher than f_+^0 due to the birth of new producers or a higher value is given initially, the producer would attain higher fitness than the non-producer (for $k_+ > k_-$), leading to a much higher fraction of the producer. Finally, the producer would take over the whole population due to this positive feedback. In the opposite limit, the system would consist of non-producer only if f_+ is smaller than f_+^0. Therefore, a heterogeneous state (described by coexistence of producers and non-producers) can be reached only if the fitness functions are non-linear functions of f_+. Indeed, non-linear fitness is frequently observed in biological systems at all length scales due to cooperation or competition between the various interacting moieties (Chesson 2000). In the following, we utilize data from one recent experiment to illustrate how cooperation can be established from the public goods game in a system consisting of both producers and non-producers.

In a recent insightful study, Archetti, Ferraro and Christofori (AFC) investigated the origin of the "tragedy of the commons" in cancer cells (Archetti et al. 2015). The insulin-like growth factor II (IGF-II) is up-regulated in many cancers, which can promote cell proliferation and abrogate apoptosis (Christofori et al. 1994, Pollak 2008). The producer (+/+) cells are derived from mice with insulinomas (a neuroendocrine pancreatic cancer), while the non-producer (-/-) cells are obtained from the same mice but with IGF-II gene deleted. Therefore, the -/- cells do not produce the IGF-II molecules. Thus, IGF-II is an ideal public good for these two cell types because both of them can uptake this protein to reach higher fitness (growth rate), ensuring their survival and growth. The two different types of cells were then mixed to investigate the conditions under which a stable heterogeneous state could be established, mediated by optimal sharing of IGF-II. Although AFC proposed a sound analyses of their findings based on game theory, only qualitative comparisons to their experiments were provided. In addition, the assumption of constant population size (Gerlee & Altrock 2015) also requires scrutiny. Here we approach the problem from a different perspective relying on the replicator dynamics with evolving population size, which enables us to make quantitative predictions not only for pancreatic cancer but also GBM.

The measured growth rate of the non-producer -/- cells as a function of exogenous IGF-II concentration, c, is non-linear (see Fig. S2 in the SI). In order to solve the replicator equations, we first fit the experimentally measured -/-

cell growth rate using a Hill-like function,

$$w_- = a_1 + \lambda_1 c^\alpha / (a_2^\alpha + c^\alpha) \,. \tag{4}$$

The Hill function in Eq. (4) fits the experimental data accurately (see Fig. S2 in the SI) yielding $a_1 = 2.0$, $\lambda_1 = 18.9$, $\alpha = 0.7$, and $a_2 = 3.2$. We also used the logistic function, which does not fit the data nearly as well, to make predictions (see Figs. S3, S4 and SI for details). Interestingly, the use of logistic function for the fitness yields qualitatively similar results (see Figs. S3, S4 and the SI for details), thus demonstrating that non-linear feedback between $+/+$ and $-/-$ cells is the source of heterogeneity, as already surmised by AFC. We describe the results in the rest of the paper using the Hill-like function for w_+ and w_-.

The public goods IGF-II is produced endogenously or can be supplied exogenously. Therefore, we write,

$$c_- \equiv c = b f_+ + c_0 \,. \tag{5}$$

where c_0 represents the exogenous supply of IGF-II, and $b f_+$ is the allocation of IGF-II arising from $+/+$ cells. Because AFC did not measure the fitness of the producer cells systematically, a relation similar to that in Eq. (4) for w_+, might be assumed. In order for the emergence of heterogeneous populations, the allocation of public goods produced by the $+/+$ cells should be unequal, so the growth rate of $+/+$ cells is written as,

$$w_+ = g(c_+) - p_0 \,, \tag{6}$$

where $g(c_+)$ has the same Hill-like functional form as in Eq. (4) except that c is replaced by c_+, leading to,

$$c_+ = a f_+ + c_0 \,. \tag{7}$$

The parameter a, similar to b in Eq. (5), is the coefficient for the allocation of IGF-II produced by $+/+$ cells.

Influence of public goods allocation strategies: First, let us consider the influence of allocation strategies for public goods in a mixture of $+/+$ and $-/-$ cells. The ratio of b/a in Eqs. (5) and (7) determine how the public goods provided by the producers are shared between the two populations. The public goods are shared equally if the ratio (b/a) is equal to unity, while the producers do not provide resources to the non-producer if $b/a = 0$. More resources are allocated to the producer if $b/a < 1$ while the non-producer obtains larger amount of resources as $b/a > 1$. Public goods (IGF-II) is diffusible, which is simplicity modeled in our theory. The equality $(a = b)$ would result if diffusion of IGF-II is rapid. On the other hand, the inequality, $a > b$, would be a consequence of slow diffusion or fast uptake of IGF-II by the producers. Thus, by considering different values of the ratio, b/a, different rates of diffusion and uptake of IGF-II are covered. Accordingly, three values 1, 0, and 0.1 are considered for the ratio b/a in Figs. 2A-C, which show the growth rate of the two cell types as a function

of the fraction (f_+) of $+/+$ cells. The corresponding evolution of $f_+(t)$ under different initial conditions are also shown in Figs. 2D-F.

The -/- cells always grow faster than $+/+$ cells if the public goods are shared equally ($b/a = 1$) (see Fig. 2A), which can also be derived from Eqs. (4) and (6) directly ($w_- > w_+$ for $b \geq a$). The non-producer always attains higher fitness than the producer as long as the former gets larger ($b/a > 1$) or equal ($b/a = 1$) amount of IGF-II. Therefore, the non-producer would take over the whole population if $b/a \geq 1$ producing a homogeneous state, irrespective of the initial fraction $f_+(0)$ of the producer (see the evolution of $f_+(t)$ in Fig. 2D). The exception is when $f_+(0) = 1$. The state with producers only ($f_+ = 1$, see the open circle in Fig. 2A) is unstable under infinitesimal perturbations of non-producer population. A steady binary system with coexisting population of $+/+$ and -/- cells cannot form under these conditions, as expected from previous arguments.

Consider another limiting case with $b/a = 0$ in which the non-producer does not get access to the public goods generated by the producer (with $w_- =$ constant, see the dash-dotted blue line in Fig. 2B). In this limit, it is possible to have an internal equilibrium (see the open circle in Fig. 2B) resulting in the two cells having the same fitness ($w_- = w_+$). However, this is an unstable equilibrium state, which means only one type of population would survive (see the filled red and blue circles in Fig. 2B, respectively) depending on the initial conditions (see also the evolution of $f_+(t)$ in Fig. 2E). A stable homogeneous state consisting of only producers results if $f_+(0)$ is above the fraction (f_+^{us}) of the producer corresponding to the internal unstable state (illustrated by the open circle in Fig. 2B). In this case, the flow is towards the $f_+(0) = 1$ stable state. For $f < f_+^{us}$, the non-producers form another stable homogeneous state (see the green dotted and blue dash-dotted lines in Fig. 2E). A stable heterogeneous state with coexisting populations cannot exist if $b/a = 0$.

If the public goods are allocated unequally between the $+/+$ and -/- cells, (Fig. 2C) with $0 < b/a < 1$, two internal equilibrium states (with $0 < f_+^i < 1$) appear. One of them is an unstable state (the left open circle in Fig. 2C) whereas the filled purple circle in Fig. 2C corresponds to a stable state. A stable internal state can be reached (see the yellow dashed and green dotted lines in Fig. 2F) as long as the $f_+(0)$ is higher than the value $f_+^{us} = 0.069$ corresponding to the internal unstable state. Therefore, the two types of cells coexist, leading to a stable heterogeneous state. We also observe that producers would be extinct (see the blue dash-dotted line in Fig. 2F) if a small amount of $+/+$ cells are mixed with a large population of non-producers initially. These findings based on the replicator equations with non-linear w_- are consistent with the experimental observations obtained in the presence of a small amount of exogenous resources (Archetti et al. 2015).

Role of the exogenous production of public goods: From Eq. (5), it is clear that the stable state could also be influenced by extrinsic factors, such as the supply of exogenous resources. By varying the values of the parameter c_0 in Eqs. (5) and (7), we investigated the role of exogenous resources in public goods game. The growth rate of the two different types of cells as a function of

the fraction of +/+ cells is shown in Fig. 3 as the supply of exogenous resources (serum in the experiments (Archetti et al. 2015) containing IGF-II molecules) is changed.

Fig. 3A shows that -/- cells grow faster than +/+ cells irrespective of the fraction f_+ of +/+ cells given large enough exogenous public goods (large c_0). Surprisingly, we find that the non-producer would sweep through the population while the producer becomes extinct (see the blue filled circle in Fig. 3A) even though the latter could get additional public goods produced by themselves ($b/a \ll 1$). This is due to the competitive advantage of the non-producers in a high welfare environment without punishment. These two cell types compete but do not cooperate with each other in nutrient-abundant environments.

As we decrease the serum concentration (smaller c_0) and keep all other parameters the same as in Fig. 3A, the two cell types start to establish a cooperative relationship and could coexist (see Fig. 3B). It leads to the appearance of a stable heterogeneous state (see the purple filled circle in Fig. 3B), indicating that cooperation could be established more readily under harsh conditions (small available exogenous resources). In addition, the whole system could attain higher fitness or drug resistance (see more detailed discussions later) due to the coexistence of both the players. It is well known that many cancer cells have to confront hypoxia, low pH, low glucose and other severe conditions (Harris 2002, Kato et al. 2013), and they are often found to be more aggressive and dangerous compared to cancer cells under normal growth conditions with access to essential nutrients (Gupta & Massagué 2006). These conditions might make it favorable to form heterogeneous subpopulations, leading to the establishment of cooperation among them.

If exogenous resources removed from the system completely ($c_0 = 0$), the +/+ cells dominate the whole population while the -/- cells would be swept away (see the red filled circle in Fig. 3C) at sufficiently high $f_+(0)$. In the opposite limit ($f_+(0)$ is small), the -/- cells can take over the whole population (see the blue filled circle in Fig. 3C). The phenomenon of tragedy of the commons will be observed if the public goods are essential for the survival of non-producers. Taken together these results show that the establishment of cooperation between different players shows strong dependence on environmental conditions. The influences of exogenous public goods as observed in Fig. 3 are consistent with the experimental results (Archetti et al. 2015), and are further discussed below.

Comparison with *in vitro* **experiments:** Based on the calculations presented so far, we can now obtain the internal equilibrium fractions (f_+^i) of +/+ cells at different concentrations of serum. The experimental observations for f_+^i are given by symbols in the upper panel of Fig. 4. The fractions f_+^s (f_+^{us}) under stable (unstable) internal state are represented by red squares (blue circles). From experimental result (Fig. 1A) in (Archetti et al. 2015) for the equal fitness (≈ 14.4) of producer and non-producer cells and the fraction of +/+ cells approaching 1 for the stable internal state at $c_0 = 0$, we obtain the parameter $b \approx 8$. Our theoretical model with two free parameters a and p_0 fits the experimental results very well (see the solid red and blue lines in the upper panel of Fig. 4). One scale parameter has been used with $c_0 = 1, 2, ...$ corresponding to

$3\%, 6\%, ...$ of serum.

To illustrate the stability of the internal equilibrium state, an example is given in the lower panel of Fig. 4, which describes the evolution of the fraction of $+/+$ cells as a function of differing initial conditions ($f_+(0)$). The serum amount is fixed at 3%. It shows clearly that a stable equilibrium state is attained as long as $f_+(0)$ is above f_+^{us}, corresponding to the unstable internal equilibrium state. Then, the two types of cells cooperate leading to coexistence. However, the $+/+$ cells could be swept out and only -/- cells exist if $f_+(0)$ below the value of f_+^{us} (see the dotted line in the inset of the lower panel of Fig. 4). From the results in the upper panel of Fig. 4, it follows that there exists a critical concentration c_0^c for exogenous resources (around 7% of serum in the experiment (Archetti et al. 2015)). A bistable system can be reached only if the concentration of serum is lower than c_0^c. One stable state corresponds to a heterogeneous system with two subpopulations and the other one is a homogeneous state consisting of only -/- cells (see Fig. 3B). In this scenario, maintenance of heterogeneous state is due to cooperation between $+/+$ and -/- cells. In contrast, as the concentration of serum increases beyond c_0^c, the -/- cells can always obtain sufficient resources to support a faster growth rate than $+/+$ cells (see Fig. 3A). Then, the -/- cell would sweep through the whole population as long as its initial fraction is non-zero. Therefore, competition rather than cooperation is promoted between cell subpopulations under resource-abundant conditions. It eventually leads to the establishment of a homogeneous system with only a single type of cell population.

Effects of price paid by producers: In previous sections, we established that supply and allocation of public goods play crucial roles in determining the interactions among subpopulations. We investigate the influence of another parameter p_0, the price paid by $+/+$ cells to produce the public goods, which in the AFC experiment is IGF-II. The fitness of producers is affected by this parameter directly (see Eq. (6)), so we anticipate that it will influence the relationship between producers and non-producers.

Just as in Fig. 4, we investigated the internal equilibrium fraction f_+^i of producers as a function of the level of exogenous resources but differing values of p_0. The stable internal equilibrium fraction of producers is represented by the red curves while the blue curves report the unstable internal equilibrium fraction (Fig. 5A). A critical p_0-dependent concentration for exogenous resources is observed in Fig. 4 above which non-producers can maintain a stable homogeneous system irrespective of the fate of the producers. The value of the critical concentration (see the red arrows in Fig. 5A) increases as p_0 decreases. The fitness of producers increases as they pay a much lower price (smaller p_0) for public goods production. Therefore, additional exogenous resources have to be provided to enhance the competitive advantage of non-producers at small p_0. We also observed another critical value (indicated by the star symbols in Fig. 5A) for exogenous resources at relatively small p_0 values. Only stable homogeneous states (consisting of either producers or non-producers) exist if the level of exogenous resources fall below this critical value. Interestingly, it becomes easier for the producer to establish a stable homogeneous system as p_0 decreases. On

the other hand, only a small increase of exogenous resources leads to a homogeneous tumor consisting of only non-producers, as p_0 takes on large values (see the dotted line in Fig. 5A).

From Fig. 5A, we obtain the phase diagram in terms of the variables of the exogenous public goods concentration, and the initial fraction $f_+(0)$ of producers. Two examples, shown in Figs. 5B and 5C with $p_0 = 4.65$, and $p_0 = 4.0$, respectively, show the emergence of three stable phases. At low levels of exogenous resources and large $f_+(0)$, a homogeneous phase with only producers (shown in pink color) exists. The second homogeneous phase, with only non-producers (shown in blue color), is easily accessible at high levels of exogenous resources. A heterogeneous phase representing the coexistence of both producer and non-producer cells (purple color) can be attained at intermediate levels of exogenous resources and large $f_+(0)$. By comparing Figs. 5B and 5C, we find that the parameter space for the non-producer to take over the whole system shrinks as p_0 decreases while it increases for the producer to dominate the system. These figures also show that a heterogeneous system might be established easily (within a larger parameter space, comparing the purple region in Figs. 5B and 5C) if the producer pays a relatively high price for public goods production. From these discussions, we conclude that the price p_0 paid by producers greatly influences the state of the tumor, especially the robustness of the heterogeneous state. It appears that one can design better treatment protocols for cancers composed of different subpopulations by regulation of certain parameters, such as p_0 discussed here.

Cooperation among cancer subpopulations in *in vivo* **experiments on glioblastoma:** The mechanism of cooperation and feedback described through the replicator equations might be operative in other cancers. In order to illustrate the applicability of our theory, we analyze the origin of ITH in Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM). It is known that GBM is the most common and aggressive primary brain cancer with poor prognosis. The five-year survival rate is less than five percent, and most patients live for only a year following diagnosis and treatment (Gallego 2015). The extensive presence of ITH in GBM is well-known at the genetic, molecular and cellular levels (Jung et al. 1999, Bonavia et al. 2011). As in many other types of cancers, the mechanism for the origin of heterogeneity in GBM remains unclear, which is one reason in the poor design of effective treatment.

It is established (Hurt et al. 1992, Jaros et al. 1992, Schlegel et al. 1994) that chromosomal amplification of epidermal growth factor receptor gene (EGFR) is present in most cells of many primary GBMs. Another type of cell, showing intragenic rearrangement of EGFR gene (with deletion of exons 2-7) also frequently appear in the same tumor (Nishikawa et al. 1994). The coexistence of these two types of cells with differing expressions of the growth factor receptor leads to a worse prognosis of GBM (Shinojima et al. 2003, Heimberger et al. 2005) than would be the case when the cell (with EGFR gene rearrangement) is absent.

Recently, an experiment (Maria-del Mar et al. 2010) studied the interactions between tumor cells with amplified levels of EGFR (referred to wtEGFR cells)

and cells with rearrangement of EGFR gene (called ΔEGFR cells) within a neoplasm. It is found that the total size of the tumors (after 12 days of orthotopic injection) is much larger if a mixture of wtEGFR and ΔEGFR cells are injected into one mouse simultaneously than when they are injected into two mice separately. This finding shows that these two types of cells cooperate with each other to promote growth of the tumor. The producer (ΔEGFR) cells secretes certain factors like Interleukin-6(IL-6) and/or Leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), which enhance the proliferation and inhibit apoptosis of tumor cells (Maria-del Mar et al. 2010, Heinrich et al. 2003). The system composed of wtEGFR and ΔEGFR cells is analogous to the one considered in the previous sections with IL-6 and/or LIF playing the role of the public goods. Therefore, we can apply our theory to investigate the consequence of cooperation between these two cell types in GBM.

In the experiment (Maria-del Mar et al. 2010), the evolution of the tumor size was measured over a wide range of conditions. A fixed total number of tumor cells (with differing fractions of wtEGFR and ΔEGFR cells) are injected into nude mice, and then the increase in the tumor volume is measured after different periods of time (see the inset in Fig. 6). Without ΔEGFR cells (labeled by 'Δ' in the figure), it is difficult for the wtEGFR cells (labeled as 'wt-lacZ') to induce tumor in nude mice, as illustrated by the pink upside down-triangles. However, the ΔEGFR cell alone gives rise to tumors at a rapid growth rate, as illustrated by the blue squares in Fig. 6. As long as a small fraction of ΔEGFR cells is injected into the mice together with wtEGFR cells, fast growing tumors are induced in mice (see the purple up-triangles in Fig. 6). The tumor grows faster as the fraction of ΔEGFR cells in the total injected cells increases from 0, 10, 50, to 90% as shown in the inset of Fig. 6. It is also remarkable that the tumors grow even faster as the injected cells are composed of 10% wtEGFR and 90% ΔEGFR cells (green spheres) than is the case when 100% of cells are Δ cells (blue squares), which again shows that cooperation between the two cell types leads to enhanced growth rate.

The experimental observations (Maria-del Mar et al. 2010) can be quantitatively explained by the theoretical model developed here. By using the three growth curves (0%, 50%, and 100% Δ cells) for the tumors, illustrated in the upper panel of Fig. 6, we determined all the free parameters needed in the model (see SI for details). Then, the evolution of the tumor size at differing conditions can be predicted. The theoretical predictions for the tumor growth at 10%, and 90% of Δ cells agree quantitatively with experimental observations, as shown in the lower panel of Fig. 6. To further explain the growth curves shown in the inset of Fig. 6, we plotted the growth rate of tumors as a function of the fraction of ΔEGFR cells (see the solid red line in Fig. 7). The growth rates for wtEGFR, ΔEGFR cells in the tumor are illustrated by dotted and dashed lines in Fig. 7, respectively. From this figure, it follows that the tumor growth rate increases as the fraction of ΔEGFR cells increases, reaching a maximum value in the middle (0.77, marked by the orange arrow). Subsequently, the rate starts to decrease. This behavior is similar to the experimental data in the inset of Fig. 6 and is also found for pancreatic cancer cells, as discussed here and

discovered by AFC (Archetti et al. 2015).

We also found that the glioblastoma with ITH is quite stable irrespective of the initial composition (see Fig. S5A). Our results explain the finding that frequently the wtEGFR cells and Δ cells coexist in GBM, and provides an explanation for the poor prognosis due to the quick recovery of the fast growing state as long as a small fraction f_+ of Δ cells is present. Therefore, the stability of such a heterogeneous tumor needs to be eliminated in order to improve the survival rates of GBM patients. From the discussion above, it follows that the supply of exogenous pubic goods can influence cooperation between two different populations sharing one public good. By adding exogenous cytokines to the model (see Eqs. (S.7) and (S.8) in SI), a stable homogeneous system composed of only wtEGFR cells could be reached (see Fig. S5B) irrespective of the initial fraction of the producer, Δ cells. Such a tumor would stop growing after removal of exogenous cytokines as wtEGFR cells alone cannot sustain tumor growth, as observed in experiments (Maria-del Mar et al. 2010). If practical, this might provide a treatment strategy for GBM, and perhaps other types of cancers dominated by ITH.

Discussion

In this article, we investigated the interactions between two distinct subpopulations frequently observed in many cancers, which is a manifestation of heterogeneity. We uncovered a general mechanism for the establishment of a stable heterogeneous system consisting of producers and non-producer cells as a function of a number of experimentally tunable parameters. The tragedy of the commons would be expected as the public goods are shared equally among both the populations. However, a stable heterogeneous state arises if the producer can obtain the public goods more efficiently than the non-producer, ie, the public goods are allocated according to the rule of "distribution according to work" (Sen 1966). Most importantly, the emergence of these scenarios require the fitness of the two players must be a non-linear function of the public goods. Otherwise, only an unstable heterogeneous system can be established. We also found that it is relatively easy to establish cooperation and form a stable diversified or heterogeneous state in harsh conditions than in resource-abundant conditions. Such a phenomenon is quite common in biological systems (Korolev et al. 2014, Hoek et al. 2016). The price paid by the producers also strongly influences the cooperation between the two players. Higher price can decrease the demand for exogenous resources in order to establish cooperation and might also expand cooperation to a wide parameter range.

Frequently in many cancers a minor subclone could support the growth of the whole tumor consisting of many different subpopulations (Mullighan et al. 2008, Johnson et al. 2014, Morrissy et al. 2016). It is easy to detect the genotype of dominant subclones, which would be considered as the target in later treatments. However, if a minor subclone escapes detection then it could survive, promoting a faster and more aggressive tumor growth caused by the compet-

itive release (Greaves & Maley 2012). Therefore, it is essential to learn the composition of a heterogeneous tumor, and also the interactions among different subclones before efficacious treatment can be formulated for the patients.

For cancers with producer and non-producer cells discussed here, it might be prudent to feed these cells instead of depriving them of nutrients so that competition between different subclones is promoted. Then, an effective treatment can be implemented as the system transits from a stable heterogeneous population to a homogeneous population. We have illustrated this concept using an experiment involving glioblastoma. In addition, similar public goods dilemma has been observed in many systems, such as microbial colonies, insect communities, and human society (Dobata & Tsuji 2013, Drescher et al. 2014, Kaul et al. 1999). The mechanism proposed here for the establishment of a heterogeneous population is quite general and could in principle be applied to these systems. It will also be interesting to extend our model to the case beyond two species which is more common in nature (Levine et al. 2017).

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information including five figures and detailed methods can be found with this article online.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Abdul N Malmi-Kakkada and Sumit Sinha for discussions and comments on the manuscript. This work is supported by the National Science Foundation (PHY 17-08128 and 16-32756), and the Collie-Welch Chair through the Welch Foundation (F-0019).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

X. L. and D. T. conceived and designed the project, and co-wrote the paper. X. L. performed the research.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Aceto, N., Bardia, A., Miyamoto, D. T., Donaldson, M. C., Wittner, B. S., Spencer, J. A., Yu, M., Pely, A., Engstrom, A., Zhu, H. et al. (2014), 'Circulating tumor cell clusters are oligoclonal precursors of breast cancer metastasis', *Cell* **158**(5), 1110–1122.

Allen, B., Gore, J. & Nowak, M. A. (2013), 'Spatial dilemmas of diffusible public goods', *Elife* **2**.

Allinen, M., Beroukhim, R., Cai, L., Brennan, C., Lahti-Domenici, J., Huang, H., Porter, D., Hu, M., Chin, L., Richardson, A. et al. (2004), 'Molecular

characterization of the tumor microenvironment in breast cancer', *Cancer cell* **6**(1), 17–32.

Almendro, V., Marusyk, A. & Polyak, K. (2013), 'Cellular heterogeneity and molecular evolution in cancer', *Annual Review of Pathology: Mechanisms of Disease* **8**, 277–302.

Archetti, M., Ferraro, D. A. & Christofori, G. (2015), 'Heterogeneity for IGF-II production maintained by public goods dynamics in neuroendocrine pancreatic cancer', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**(6), 1833–1838.

Axelrod, R., Axelrod, D. E. & Pienta, K. J. (2006), 'Evolution of cooperation among tumor cells', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **103**(36), 13474–13479.

Bashashati, A., Ha, G., Tone, A., Ding, J., Prentice, L. M., Roth, A., Rosner, J., Shumansky, K., Kalloger, S., Senz, J. et al. (2013), 'Distinct evolutionary trajectories of primary high-grade serous ovarian cancers revealed through spatial mutational profiling', *The Journal of Pathology* **231**(1), 21–34.

Bauer, M. & Frey, E. (2018), 'On the role of multiple scales in metapopulations of public good producers', *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.02402* .

Bedard, P. L., Hansen, A. R., Ratain, M. J. & Siu, L. L. (2013), 'Tumour heterogeneity in the clinic', *Nature* **501**(7467), 355.

Bhowmick, N. A., Neilson, E. G. & Moses, H. L. (2004), 'Stromal fibroblasts in cancer initiation and progression', *Nature* **432**(7015), 332.

Bonavia, R., Cavenee, W. K., Furnari, F. B. et al. (2011), 'Heterogeneity maintenance in glioblastoma: a social network', *Cancer Research* **71**(12), 4055–4060.

Boucher, D. H., James, S. & Keeler, K. H. (1982), 'The ecology of mutualism', *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **13**(1), 315–347.

Boutros, P. C., Fraser, M., Harding, N. J., De Borja, R., Trudel, D., Lalonde, E., Meng, A., Hennings-Yeomans, P. H., McPherson, A., Sabelnykova, V. Y. et al. (2015), 'Spatial genomic heterogeneity within localized, multifocal prostate cancer', *Nature genetics* **47**(7), 736.

Chapman, A., del Ama, L. F., Ferguson, J., Kamarashev, J., Wellbrock, C. & Hurlstone, A. (2014), 'Heterogeneous tumor subpopulations cooperate to drive invasion', *Cell reports* **8**(3), 688–695.

Chesson, P. (2000), 'Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity', *Annual review of Ecology and Systematics* **31**(1), 343–366.

Christofori, G., Naik, P. & Hanahan, D. (1994), 'A second signal supplied by insulin-like growth factor ii in oncogene-induced tumorigenesis', *Nature* **369**(6479), 414.

Cleary, A. S., Leonard, T. L., Gestl, S. A. & Gunther, E. J. (2014), 'Tumour cell heterogeneity maintained by cooperating subclones in Wnt-driven mammary cancers', *Nature* **508**(7494), 113.

de Bruin, E. C., McGranahan, N., Mitter, R., Salm, M., Wedge, D. C., Yates, L., Jamal-Hanjani, M., Shafi, S., Murugaesu, N., Rowan, A. J. et al. (2014), 'Spatial and temporal diversity in genomic instability processes defines lung cancer evolution', *Science* **346**(6206), 251–256.

Dobata, S. & Tsuji, K. (2013), 'Public goods dilemma in asexual ant societies', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **110**(40), 16056–16060.

Drescher, K., Nadell, C. D., Stone, H. A., Wingreen, N. S. & Bassler, B. L. (2014), 'Solutions to the public goods dilemma in bacterial biofilms', *Current Biology* **24**(1), 50–55.

Gallego, O. (2015), 'Nonsurgical treatment of recurrent glioblastoma', *Current oncology* **22**(4), e273.

Gerlee, P. & Altrock, P. M. (2015), 'Complexity and stability in growing cancer cell populations', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**(21), E2742–E2743.

Gerlinger, M., Horswell, S., Larkin, J., Rowan, A. J., Salm, M. P., Varela, I., Fisher, R., McGranahan, N., Matthews, N., Santos, C. R. et al. (2014b), 'Genomic architecture and evolution of clear cell renal cell carcinomas defined by multiregion sequencing', *Nature Genetics* **46**(3), 225.

Gerlinger, M., McGranahan, N., Dewhurst, S. M., Burrell, R. A., Tomlinson, I. & Swanton, C. (2014a), 'Cancer: evolution within a lifetime', *Annual review of genetics* **48**, 215–236.

Gerlinger, M., Rowan, A. J., Horswell, S., Larkin, J., Endesfelder, D., Gronroos, E., Martinez, P., Matthews, N., Stewart, A., Tarpey, P. et al. (2012), 'Intratumor heterogeneity and branched evolution revealed by multiregion sequencing', *New England journal of medicine* **366**(10), 883–892.

Greaves, M. & Maley, C. C. (2012), 'Clonal evolution in cancer', *Nature* **481**(7381), 306.

Gupta, G. P. & Massagué, J. (2006), 'Cancer metastasis: building a framework', *Cell* **127**(4), 679–695.

Harris, A. L. (2002), 'Hypoxia–a key regulatory factor in tumour growth', *Nature Reviews Cancer* **2**(1), 38.

Hauert, C., Holmes, M. & Doebeli, M. (2006), 'Evolutionary games and population dynamics: maintenance of cooperation in public goods games', *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* **273**(1600), 2565–2571.

Heimberger, A. B., Hlatky, R., Suki, D., Yang, D., Weinberg, J., Gilbert, M., Sawaya, R. & Aldape, K. (2005), 'Prognostic effect of epidermal growth factor receptor and EGFRvIII in glioblastoma multiforme patients', *Clinical Cancer Research* **11**(4), 1462–1466.

Heinrich, P. C., Behrmann, I., Serge, H., Hermanns, H. M., Müller-Newen, G. & Schaper, F. (2003), 'Principles of interleukin (IL)-6-type cytokine signalling and its regulation', *Biochemical Journal* **374**(1), 1–20.

Hoek, T. A., Axelrod, K., Biancalani, T., Yurtsev, E. A., Liu, J. & Gore, J. (2016), 'Resource availability modulates the cooperative and competitive nature of a microbial cross-feeding mutualism', *PLoS Biology* **14**(8), e1002540.

Hofbauer, J. & Sigmund, K. (1998), *Evolutionary games and population dynamics*, Cambridge university press.

Hurt, M. R., Moossy, J., Donovan-Peluso, M. & Locker, J. (1992), 'Amplification of epidermal growth factor receptor gene in gliomas: histopathology and prognosis', *Journal of Neuropathology & Experimental Neurology* **51**(1), 84–90.

Jaros, E., Perry, R., Adam, L., Kelly, P., Crawford, P., Kalbag, R., Mendelow, A., Sengupta, R. & Pearson, A. (1992), 'Prognostic implications of p53 protein, epidermal growth factor receptor, and Ki-67 labelling in brain tumours', *British Journal of Cancer* **66**(2), 373.

Johnson, B. E., Mazor, T., Hong, C., Barnes, M., Aihara, K., McLean, C. Y., Fouse, S. D., Yamamoto, S., Ueda, H., Tatsuno, K. et al. (2014), 'Mutational analysis reveals the origin and therapy-driven evolution of recurrent glioma', *Science* **343**(6167), 189–193.

Jung, V., Romeike, B. F., Henn, W., Feiden, W., Moringlane, J. R., Zang, K. D. & Urbschat, S. (1999), 'Evidence of focal genetic microheterogeneity in glioblastoma multiforme by area-specific CGH on microdissected tumor cells', *Journal of Neuropathology and Experimental Neurology* **58**(9), 993–999.

Kato, Y., Ozawa, S., Miyamoto, C., Maehata, Y., Suzuki, A., Maeda, T. & Baba, Y. (2013), 'Acidic extracellular microenvironment and cancer', *Cancer Cell International* **13**(1), 89.

Kaul, I., Grungberg, I. & Stern, M. A. (1999), *Global Public Goods: International Cooperation in the 21st Century*, Oxford University Press New York.

Korolev, K. S., Xavier, J. B. & Gore, J. (2014), 'Turning ecology and evolution against cancer', *Nature Reviews Cancer* **14**(5), 371–380.

Levine, J. M., Bascompte, J., Adler, P. B. & Allesina, S. (2017), 'Beyond pairwise mechanisms of species coexistence in complex communities', *Nature* **546**(7656), 56.

Ling, S., Hu, Z., Yang, Z., Yang, F., Li, Y., Lin, P., Chen, K., Dong, L., Cao, L., Tao, Y. et al. (2015), 'Extremely high genetic diversity in a single tumor points to prevalence of non-darwinian cell evolution', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**(47), E6496–E6505.

Liotta, L. A. & Kohn, E. C. (2001), 'The microenvironment of the tumour–host interface', *Nature* **411**(6835), 375.

Maria-del Mar, I., Bonavia, R., Mukasa, A., Narita, Y., Sah, D. W., Vandenberg, S., Brennan, C., Johns, T. G., Bachoo, R., Hadwiger, P., Tan, P., DePinho, R. A., Webster, C. & Frank, F. (2010), 'Tumor heterogeneity is an active process maintained by a mutant EGFR-induced cytokine circuit in glioblastoma', *Genes and Development* **24**(16), 1731–1745.

Marusyk, A., Tabassum, D. P., Altrock, P. M., Almendro, V., Michor, F. & Polyak, K. (2014), 'Non-cell-autonomous driving of tumour growth supports sub-clonal heterogeneity', *Nature* **514**(7520), 54.

Menon, R. & Korolev, K. S. (2015), 'Public good diffusion limits microbial mutualism', *Physical review letters* **114**(16), 168102.

Merlo, L. M., Pepper, J. W., Reid, B. J. & Maley, C. C. (2006), 'Cancer as an evolutionary and ecological process', *Nature Reviews Cancer* **6**(12), 924.

Morrissy, A. S., Garzia, L., Shih, D. J., Zuyderduyn, S., Huang, X., Skowron, P., Remke, M., Cavalli, F. M., Ramaswamy, V., Lindsay, P. E. et al. (2016), 'Divergent clonal selection dominates medulloblastoma at recurrence', *Nature* **529**(7586), 351.

Mullighan, C. G., Phillips, L. A., Su, X., Ma, J., Miller, C. B., Shurtleff, S. A. & Downing, J. R. (2008), 'Genomic analysis of the clonal origins of relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukemia', *Science* **322**(5906), 1377–1380.

Nanda, M. & Durrett, R. (2017), 'Spatial evolutionary games with weak selection', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* p. 201620852.

Nishikawa, R., Ji, X.-D., Harmon, R. C., Lazar, C. S., Gill, G. N., Cavenee, W. K. & Huang, H. (1994), 'A mutant epidermal growth factor receptor common in human glioma confers enhanced tumorigenicity', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **91**(16), 7727–7731.

Nowak, M. A. (2006), 'Five rules for the evolution of cooperation', *science* **314**(5805), 1560–1563.

Nowell, P. C. (1976), 'The clonal evolution of tumor cell populations', *Science* **194**(4260), 23–28.

Pollak, M. (2008), 'Insulin and insulin-like growth factor signalling in neoplasia', *Nature Reviews Cancer* **8**(12), 915.

Schlegel, J., Merdes, A., Stumm, G., Albert, F. K., Forsting, M., Hynes, N. & Kiessling, M. (1994), 'Amplification of the epidermal-growth-factor-receptor gene correlates with different growth behaviour in human glioblastoma', *International Journal of Cancer* **56**(1), 72–77.

Sen, A. K. (1966), 'Labour allocation in a cooperative enterprise', *The Review of Economic Studies* **33**(4), 361–371.

Shinojima, N., Tada, K., Shiraishi, S., Kamiryo, T., Kochi, M., Nakamura, H., Makino, K., Saya, H., Hirano, H., Kuratsu, J.-i. et al. (2003), 'Prognostic value of epidermal growth factor receptor in patients with glioblastoma multiforme', *Cancer Research* **63**(20), 6962–6970.

Snuderl, M., Fazlollahi, L., Le, L. P., Nitta, M., Zhelyazkova, B. H., Davidson, C. J., Akhavanfard, S., Cahill, D. P., Aldape, K. D., Betensky, R. A. et al. (2011), 'Mosaic amplification of multiple receptor tyrosine kinase genes in glioblastoma', *Cancer Cell* **20**(6), 810–817.

Sottoriva, A., Spiteri, I., Piccirillo, S. G., Touloumis, A., Collins, V. P., Marioni, J. C., Curtis, C., Watts, C. & Tavaré, S. (2013), 'Intratumor heterogeneity in human glioblastoma reflects cancer evolutionary dynamics', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **110**(10), 4009–4014.

Szerlip, N. J., Pedraza, A., Chakravarty, D., Azim, M., McGuire, J., Fang, Y., Ozawa, T., Holland, E. C., Huse, J. T., Jhanwar, S. et al. (2012), 'Intratumoral heterogeneity of receptor tyrosine kinases EGFR and PDGFRA amplification in glioblastoma defines subpopulations with distinct growth factor response', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**(8), 3041–3046.

Vogelstein, B., Papadopoulos, N., Velculescu, V. E., Zhou, S., Diaz, L. A. & Kinzler, K. W. (2013), 'Cancer genome landscapes', *Science* **339**(6127), 1546–1558.

Yates, L. R., Gerstung, M., Knappskog, S., Desmedt, C., Gundem, G., Van Loo, P., Aas, T., Alexandrov, L. B., Larsimont, D., Davies, H. et al. (2015), 'Subclonal diversification of primary breast cancer revealed by multiregion sequencing', *Nature Medicine* **21**(7), 751.

Zhang, J., Fujimoto, J., Zhang, J., Wedge, D. C., Song, X., Zhang, J., Seth, S., Chow, C.-W., Cao, Y., Gumbs, C. et al. (2014), 'Intratumor heterogeneity in localized lung adenocarcinomas delineated by multiregion sequencing', *Science* **346**(6206), 256–259.

Zhou, X., Franklin, R. A., Adler, M., Jacox, J. B., Bailis, W., Shyer, J. A., Flavell, R. A., Mayo, A., Alon, U. & Medzhitov, R. (2018), 'Circuit design features of a stable two-cell system', *Cell* **172**(4), 744–757.

Figure 1: **Illustration of public goods game.** (A) The public goods (small brown circles) generated by producers ("+" agents in green) are shared unequally between producers and non-producers ("-" agents in blue color). Both producers and non-producers benefit from the presence of the public goods, which promote proliferation or survival of these agents. The public goods can also be supplied from exogenous resources. (B) A zoom-in of the dashed line oval in Fig. 1A to illustrate the public goods dependent circuit for the producer and non-producer. Coexistence of the two cell types requires feedback (purple line) and unequal sharing of the public goods.

Figure 2: (A)-(C): Growth rates of +/+ and -/- cells as a function of the fraction (f_+) of +/+ cells under different allocation of IGF-II produced by the +/+ cells. (A) Equal share of IGF-II ($b = a = 8$), (B) no share ($b = 0$, and $a = 8$), (C) a small portion ($b = 8$, and $a = 80$) is allocated to -/- cells. The value of $c_0 = 1$ and $p_0 = 4.65$ in Eq. (6) are derived from fitting the equilibrium fractions of +/+ cells observed in experiments using our model. The growth rate of +/+ cells are shown in solid red lines while dash-dotted blue lines describe the growth rate of -/- cells. The filled and empty circles indicate a stable or unstable fixed point, respectively. A stable state consisting of only +/+ (-/-) cells is indicated in red (blue) color. The purple filled circle shows a stable heterogeneous state representing coexistence of the two cell types. (D)-(F): The evolution of $f_+(t)$ at different $f_+(t = 0)$ values corresponding to the allocation strategies of IGF-II in (A)-(C). Each row represents results from one of the three allocation strategies.

Figure 3: Growth rates of +/+ and -/- cells as a function of the fraction (f_+) of +/+ cells at different levels of exogenous resources (serum). (A) $c_0 = 3.3$ corresponds to 10% serum in experiments; (B) $c_0 = 1$ represents 3% serum; (C) $c_0 = 0$ implies absence of serum. The value of $a = 80, b = 8$, and $p_0 = 4.65$, corresponding to the parameters in Fig. 2C. The flow diagram in Fig. 3B corresponds to Fig. 2C. The meaning of the symbols used are the same as in Fig. 2.

Figure 4: Upper panel: The internal equilibrium fractions (f_+^i, $i \equiv s$ or us, with s for stable and us for unstable state) of $+/+$ cells as a function of serum levels. Stable states are shown by red squares while blue circles indicate unstable states. The upper and lower bounds represent the upper and lower boundaries for the equilibrium fractions observed in experiments and the symbols give the middle value of these two boundaries. The solid lines correspond to theoretical predictions using $a = 80$, and $p_0 = 4.65$ in Eqs. (6) and (7). Lower panel: Time-dependent changes in the fraction $f_+(t)$ of $+/+$ cells for various initial conditions ($f_+(0) = 0.05$, 0.1, 0.5, 0.9) in the presence of 3% of serum. The inset figure shows the evolution of the fraction of $+/+$ cell with $f_+(0) = 0.05$.

Figure 5: (A) The internal equilibrium fractions $(f_+^i, \; i \equiv s, us)$ of producers as a function of the levels of serum for different p_0 values. The fraction (f_+^s) at stable equilibrium are shown in red color while blue color indicates unstable equilibrium fractions (f_+^{us}). Arrows give the critical level of serum above which only non-producers exist. Purple stars represent the lowest level of serum at which producers and non-producers coexist in a stable equilibrium state. (B) and (C) Phase diagrams (initial fraction $f_+(0)$ vs % of serum) with $p_0 = 4.65$ and $p_0 = 4.00$, respectively. Three stable phases are shown in these two figures. i) A homogeneous phase consisting of only producers (pink color). ii) A heterogeneous phase consisting of both producers and non-producers (purple color). The stable equilibrium fraction of producers is indicated by the dashed red line. iii) A homogeneous phase with of only non-producers (blue color). The red and blue circles represent the producer and non-producer cells, respectively. Remarkably, for both p_0 values $+/+$ and $-/-$ cells coexist in a narrow range of % serum.

Figure 6: The evolution of tumor size as a function of time (in days) in glioblastoma with only wt-EGFR cells (tagged with LacZ, labeled by wt-lacZ in the figure), mutated ΔEGFR cells (denoted as Δ in the figure), or mixture of these two types of cells (wt-lacZ+Δ) injected into nude mice. The symbols represent experimental data. The parameter values ($a = 68.4, b = 0.946$ and $p_0 = 0.651$) in the model are obtained by fitting the theory to experimental data (details in the SI) with 100% wt-lacZ, 100% Δ and 50% for each type of cells (upper panel). Lower panel: The purple and green curves are theoretical predictions with 10%, and 90% of Δ cells, which both agree quantitatively with experimental observations. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean in experiments. The complete experimental data are shown in the inset (the labels are the same as in the main figure).

Figure 7: Predictions of the mean growth rate of the GBM tumor cells as a function of the fraction of the Δ cell. The green dashed line gives the growth rate of Δ cells, and the blue dotted line shows the rate of wt-LacZ cells. The average growth rate of the whole population with both types of cells are given by solid red line. A maximum is observed at a value $f_+ \approx 0.77$ (the orange arrow). The inset shows a zoom-in of the dash-dotted line rectangle. The values for the parameters a, b, and p_0 in this figure are the same as the ones used in Fig. 6 in the main text. They are calculated using the experimental data, as explained in the SI.