1     Targeted Gene Bisulfite Sequencing Identifies Differential Methylation in *p21*

2     Ramya T. Kolli[1,3], Travis C. Glenn[2,3], Bradley T. Brown[5], Lillie M. Barnett[1,3], Lawrence H.
3     Lash[4] and Brian S. Cummings[1,3*]
4
[1]Department of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Sciences, University of Georgia,
Athens, GA
[2]Environmental Health Science, University of Georgia, Athens, GA
[3]Interdisciplinary Toxicology Program, University of Georgia, Athens, GA
[4]Department of Pharmacology, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI.
[5]College of Pharmacy, University of Georgia, Athens, GA
11
[*]Correspondence:
336 College of Pharmacy South
University of Georgia
Athens, GA 30602
Phone:  706-542-3792
E-mail: briansc@uga.edu
Fax:  706-542-5358

19
20
21    Keywords = epigenetics, next-generation sequencing, bisulfite sequencing, Illumina
22    MiSeq, DNA methylation, renal cells, *p21*
23
24
25

**Abstract**

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) methods are widely available to assess

methylation of whole-genomes, reduced representation of genomes, and target capture

of many loci, but simple, flexible, and low-cost methods are needed to leverage NGS for

sequencing single-locus amplicons from large numbers of samples. We developed a

two-stage PCR approach, targeted gene bisulfite sequencing (TGBS) which uses the

Illumina MiSeq and Bismark bisulfite mapper, to assess site specific changes in

methylation of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor *p21* (*CDKN1a*) after exposure to a

DNA methyltransferase inhibitor, 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-Aza) and determine the

differences between human and rat *p21* methylation. TGBS analysis of human

embryonic kidney cells (HEK293) and human proximal tubular cells (hPT) demonstrated

variation at a known methylation sensitive site (SIE-1), but not in rat kidney cells.

Treatment of cells with 5-Aza altered the methylation of this site in correlation with

increased p21 protein expression. We also found that human and rat *p21* promoter

sequences differ considerably in the amount of basal DNA methylation. These data

showed the utility of TGBS for rapid analysis of DNA methylation of specific loci. We

provide links to a ready-to-run Virtualbox that includes the program and commands for

methylation analysis of bisulfite datasets, including step-by-step directions.

## Introduction

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) methods are widely used because they reduce the cost and time of data acquisition, enabling approaches such as whole-genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS)[1]. The Illumina platform provides major advantages over the other existing technologies for DNA sequencing in general[2] and is widely used for WGBS, reduced representation methylation sequencing[3], and a variety of methods that target large numbers of loci (Supplementary Table S1). Single-locus DNA methylation analysis has traditionally been performed using cloning and Sanger sequencing[4,5], but this method is labor intensive, time-consuming, and yields relatively low amounts of data[6]. NGS has replaced most applications that require large-scale cloning[2]. However, because most NGS methods have a high buy-in cost[7], and most single-locus methylation analyses do not need huge amounts of data, the traditional cloning and Sanger bisulfite sequencing method is still commonly used.

Herein, we explain the work flow, kits, and optimized conditions suitable for the Illumina MiSeq and Bismark bisulfite mapping program to provide researchers with an all-in-one place user-friendly guide to targeted bisulfite sequencing. We discuss free software, such as Bismark, and focus on drastically reducing the time and cost of analysis. We also discuss Sanger sequencing and compared the data with NGS output. Our goal is to introduce the readers, especially those without NGS and/or bioinformatics support or experience, to the required instructions for affordable bench techniques and easy to reproduce sequence analysis tools, so that they can achieve cost- and time-efficient analysis.

Model System: DNA methylation adds methyl groups to the 5-carbon position of

cytosine residues in the CpG dinucleotide context in multicellular eukaryotes. Being an

epigenetic mark, the process doesn't alter the core DNA sequence; however, depending

on the location, it can regulate gene expression[8]. In general, promoter hypermethylation

downregulates or silences gene expression[9]. Aberrant DNA methylation patterns in

many genes have also been identified and correlated to the phenotypes of many

cancers[10,11,12,13]. One such gene is *p21* (*CDKN1a*), a cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK)

inhibitor observed to be silenced in many cancer by aberrant DNA methylation, as seen

in metastatic prostate cancer, lung cancer and lymphomas[14,11,15,16].

Large –omic based approaches yield excellent data on DNA methylation, but

tend to be hypothesis generating studies describing hundreds, if not thousands of

genes. Our previous research used omic-based approaches to identify *p21* as target

gene for renal protection and the data suggested a role for DNA methylation in

regulation of *p21*[17]. Thus, we needed a high-throughput technique to analyze DNA

methylation at the *p21* promoter after exposure to various toxicants. A lack of validated

high-through-put, rapid and robust approaches to assess gene targeted DNA

methylation prompted us to develop the targeted gene bisulfite sequencing (TGBS)

methodology. We used 5-Aza treatment because it has been previously shown to

decrease methylation of *p21* promoter[10,18,19] when assessed with traditional assays. We

also used TGBS to investigate differences in DNA methylation between the promoter

regions of human and rat *p21* genes.

## Methods

### Materials

Human embryonic kidney (HEK293) and normal rat kidney (NRK) cells and penicillin and streptomycin were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). Human proximal tubular (hPT) cells were derived from whole, de-identified human kidneys that were obtained through the International Institute for the Advancement of Medicine (Edison, NJ, USA). All tissue was scored by a pathologist as normal (i.e., derived from non-cancerous, non-diseased tissue). 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-Aza) and trypsin EDTA were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO), DMEM media from HyClone technologies (Logan, UT), 5-Aza was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburg, PA), DNeasy blood and tissue extraction kit were purchased from Qiagen (Valencia, CA). The EZ-DNA methylation lightning kit and the Zyppy plasmid miniprep kits were purchased from Zymo research (Irvine, CA). Nucleospin gel and PCR clean-up kits were purchased from Macherey-Nagel (Düren, Germany). The MiSeq reagent v3 kit was purchased from Illumina Inc (San Diego, CA), the Strataclone PCR cloning kit from Agilent technologies (Santa Clara, CA), the Kapa HiFi PCR kit from Kapa Biosystems (Wilmington, MA), and the Maxima hot-start Taq polymerase and Sera-Mag magnetic speedbeads were purchased from Thermo Scientific (Waltham, MA).

### Cell culture and treatment

5-Aza is a DNA methyltransferase inhibitor[20] and is used in many studies for its demethylating properties[21,14,22]. HEK293 cells ($3 \times 10^6$) were seeded in T-175 tissue culture flasks and grown at $37^0$C in a 5% $CO_2$ incubator for 24 hrs. Cells were then

treated with 40 µM 5-Aza or DMSO (vehicle control for 5-Aza) for 72 hrs. The total

amount of DMSO was never above 0.5% of the total volume per flask. Cells were

released from the plate following treatment using trypsin/EDTA and $5 \times 10^6$ cells were

collected for DNA isolation.

hPT cell isolation procedure was based on that originally described by Todd et

al.[23], modified[24,25], and reported in Huang et al.[26]. Briefly, sterile conditions (i.e., all

instruments and glassware were autoclaved, and all buffers were filtered through a 0.2-

µm pore-size filter) were used. Renal cortex and outer stripe were cut into slices,

washed with sterile PBS, minced, and the pieces were placed in a trypsinization flask

filled with 300 ml of sterile, filtered Hanks' buffer, containing 25 mM $NaHCO_3$, 25 mM

HEPES, pH 7.4, 0.5 mM EGTA, 0.2% (w/v) bovine serum albumin, 50 µg/ml gentamicin,

1.3 mg/ml collagenase, and 0.59 mg/ml $CaCl_2$, which was filtered prior to use. Whole

kidneys were perfused with Wisconsin or similar type medium and kept on ice until they

arrived at the laboratory, which was usually within 24 h of removal from the donor. All

buffers were continuously bubbled with 95% $O_2$ / 5% $CO_2$ and were maintained at 37°C.

Minced cortical pieces from whole kidneys were subjected to collagenase digestion for

60 min, after which the supernatant was filtered through a 70-µm mesh filter to remove

tissue fragments, centrifuged at 150 x $g$ for 7 min, and the pellet suspended in

Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium: Ham's F-12 (DMEM/F-12; 1:1). Approximately 5

to $7 \times 10^6$ cells were obtained per 1 g of human kidney cortical tissue.

**DNA extraction and bisulfite conversion**

Cells ($5 \times 10^6$) were pelleted at 1000 rpm for 5 min and the supernatant was

discarded. Genomic DNA was extracted using the Qiagen's DNeasy blood and tissue kit

following the manufacturer's protocol. DNA was eluted in two successive steps to obtain

a maximum yield, using 120 µl followed by 40 µl of elusion buffer. Following

quantification using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer, 2 µg of the extracted DNA was

bisulfite treated using the Zymo Research's EZ-DNA methylation lightning kit following

the manufacturer's protocol, and re-quantified.

**Target amplification and purification**

Bisulfite converted DNA (350 ng) was used to amplify different regions of the *p21*

promoter. The locus specific primers were designed using Methprimer[27] and were

synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies Inc. (IDT, Coralville, IA). Partial TruSeqHT

sequences corresponding to part of the Illumina Read1 (R1) and Illumina Read2 (R2)

sequencing primer-binding sites[28,29,30] were added 5' to the locus specific primers during

primer synthesis. The locus specific primers and the partial TruSeqHT sequences are

as given in **Table 1**. Fusion primers were synthesized by IDT where R1 was fused to

forward primers and R2 was fused to reverse primers. For example, the primer pair for

hp21-TSS site was, forward: "iTru R1 + hp21-TSS F"

(ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT

ATAGTGTTGTGTTTTTTTGGAGAGTG) and reverse: "iTru R2 + hp21-TSS R"

(GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTTCCGATCTACAACTACTCACACCTCAACTA

AC).

The first locus amplified was a 350 bp fragment of the human *p21* promoter

region adjacent to the transcription start site (TSS) termed as hp21-TSS. The second

locus was a 335 bp fragment including the transcription factor binding site

approximately 700 bp upstream of the TSS called the sis-inducible element (SIE-1)

termed hp21-SIE1. The third site was the 208 bp fragment of the rat *p21* promoter

region near the TSS termed as rp21-TSS, and the fourth locus was the 326 bp rat *p21*

coding region approximately 9 Kb downstream of the TSS, termed rp21-coding (**Figure**

**1, Table 1**).

The 25 µl PCR amplification reaction mix contained 3 mM $MgCl_2$, 1X hot start

buffer (Thermo Scientific), 0.2 mM of each deoxynucleoside 5'-triphosphate (dNTP), 0.4

µM each of the forward and reverse primers, 1.5 units HotStart Taq DNA polymerase

(Thermo Scientific) and the 350 ng DNA template. PCR was performed under the

following conditions: $95^0$C for 5 min, 40 cycles of $95^0$C for 30 sec, $61.8^0$C (hp21-TSS,

hp21-SIE1) or $54.2^0$C (rp21-TSS or rp21-coding) for 45 sec followed by, $72^0$C for 45 sec

and a final $72^0$C for 10 min.  The PCR products were then separated by electrophoresis

on a 1% (w/v) agarose gel and visualized with ethidium bromide under a UV trans-

illuminator and the amplicons corresponding to the loci were extracted from the gel

using Nucleospin gel and PCR clean-up kit (Macherey-Nagel) following the

manufacturer's instructions. The sequences of the purified PCR products were

confirmed using Sanger sequencing at the Georgia Genomics and Bioinformatics Core

(GGBC) at the University of Georgia. All sequences obtained were verified for locus-

specificity using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST)[31].

**Sanger sequencing of bacterial clones**

StrataClone PCR cloning kits (Agilent) were used for Sanger bisulfite

sequencing. Briefly, 50 ng of gel extracted PCR products were cloned into *Escherichia*

*coli* (*E. coli*) following the manufacturer's instructions. After cloning and plating, about 3

bacterial colonies (white or light blue) were picked and suspension cultures were

prepared for plasmid minipreps. Plasmids from the cultures were isolated using Zyppy

plasmid miniprep kit (Zymo Research) following the manufacturer's instructions. The

plasmid inserts were sequenced at the GGBC by Sanger sequencing. The sequences

were analyzed using BiQ Analyzer DNA methylation analysis software following

software instructions[32].

**Library preparation and next-generation sequencing**

Purified PCR amplicons from agarose gel extraction were normalized to 5 ng/µl.

A limited cycle PCR was performed to attach the iTru5 and iTru7 primers with eight

nucleotide indexes, as represented in **Figure 2**. The 25 µl limited cycle reaction

contained 1X Kapa buffer, 0.3 mM of each dNTP, 0.3 µM of each primer, 25 ng

template DNA and 0.5 U of HiFi hotstart DNA polymerase (Kapa Biosciences). The

reaction conditions were: $98^0C$ for 5 min, 11 cycles of $98^0C$ for 15 sec, $60^0C$ for 30 sec

and $72^0C$ for 30 sec and a final $72^0C$ for 1 min. Aliquots (10 µl) from each reaction were

pooled together and cleaned up using Thermo Scientific's Sera-Mag magnetic

speedbeads. An equal ratio of speedbeads to the sample pool were vortexed and

placed on the magnet and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. Once the beads

were drawn to the magnet, the supernatant was discarded. The beads were washed

with 80% ethyl alcohol twice and the residual liquid was removed by absorption using a

wooden toothpick. DNA was then eluted using TLE buffer (10 mM Tris pH 8 & 0.1 mM

EDTA) and supernatant collected. The pooled and cleaned sample was then processed

for sequencing on an Illumina MiSeq platform as described by Glenn *et al*[29] using the

Illumina's MiSeq 600 cycle v3 kit.

**Sequence analysis**

**Read quality and trimming**

The paired end 250-350 bp reads obtained from Illumina MiSeq were

demultiplexed using Illumina software bcl2fastq[33]. The sequence reads in fastq format

were trimmed for better alignment using Babraham Bioinformatics' free software Trim

Galore[34] or Geneious[35,36]. However, because the sequencing templates included mostly

the uniformly sized PCR products, trimming did not affect read alignment (data not

shown).

**DNA methylation analysis using Bismark**

Bismark bisulfite mapper is a Linux based free software from Babraham

Bioinformatics Institute[37]. Methylation analysis using Bismark was carried out in three

steps:

1. Genome preparation

A reference genome was prepared where an NCBI genome sequence for the

target locus (*p21* promoter or coding region) was downloaded as a fasta file. The

reference genome was prepared using the following command from the software guide:

"/bismark/bismark_genome_preparation --path_to_bowtie /usr/bin/bowtie2/ --verbose

/data/genomes/homo_sapiens/GRCh37/". For example: "bismark_genome_preparation

--/home/user/DNA/bowtie2-2.3.0/ --verbose /home/user/DNA/bowtie2-

2.3.0/bismark_v0.17.0/REF/", where the reference fasta file was saved in a directory or

folder REF in the home folder of the user within the bismark folder. This created two

folders within the genome folder REF, one with C ->T genome index and another with G

->A for the reverse reads.

2. Read alignment

The second step was running bowtie2 within Bismark using the command:

"bismark –bowtie2 -n 1 -l 50 /data/genomes/homo_sapiens/GRCh37/

test_dataset.fastq". For example, read alignment for sequences in the folder named

SampleSeq_R1 with a single-end approach was performed using: "bismark --bowtie2

/home/user/DNA/bowtie2-2.3.0/bismark_v0.17.0/REF/ SampleSeq_R1.fastq.gz". This

aligned the sequence reads to the reference genome and created a combined

alignment or methylation call output in a binary representation of sequence alignment

map called BAM format, and yielded a run statistics report. Output files included a bam

file and report.txt file (**Supplementary Datafile 1**). The BAM file can only be opened in

Bismark.

3. Methylation extraction

The third and final step was methylation extraction of the bam file generated in

the second step.  The command used was "bismark_methylation_extractor --gzip

test_dataset.fastq_bismark.bam". For example: "bismark_methylation_extractor -s –

comprehensive SampleSeq_R1.fastq_bismark_bt2.bam". This generated output files

that included M-bias.txt file, M-bias_R1.png file, CpG (**Supplementary Datafile 2-4**),

CHH and CHG context bt2.txt files, which contain information on strand specific

methylation. The key information on CpG site specific percent methylation was obtained

from the M-bias.txt file (**Supplementary Datafile 2**). The targeted bisulfite sequencing

with short products allowed for manual extraction of methylation values for comparison

across samples and treatments. A processing report was generated using the command

"bismark2report" that summarized the process with a read alignment chart, methylation

extraction report and an M-bias plot.

**VirtualBox with ready-to-run Bismark package**

VirtualBox is an open source software that runs on various operating systems

and supports various guest operating systems[38]. The path to download a ready-to-run

VirtualBox package containing all the tools and installations required for DNA

methylation analysis of a given fastq sequence file is indicated below. The package

includes step-by-step instructions for running Bismark, which is a Linux software, in a

VirtualBox on Windows host system. This can be found at

"http://toxicology.uga.edu/resources/dna_methylation_analysis/" for the VirtualMachine

named "TGBS" that can be accessed with the username "user" and password

"TGBSKolli". The instructions are detailed in the **Supplementary File**.

**Statistics**

Samples isolated from a distinct cell passage represented one experiment (n=1).

Data are represented as mean ± SEM (standard error of the mean) from at least three

separate experiments (n=3). An unpaired Student's t-test was used to compare two

groups using Graphpad PRISM considering $p<0.05$ indicative of a statistically significant

difference between the mean values.

**Results and Discussion**

**Sanger vs next-generation bisulfite sequencing**

Normal rat kidney (NRK) cells were treated with 40 µM 5-Aza as a positive

control, or its vehicle control (DMSO) for 72 hrs as previously described[39]. The extracted

DNA was subjected to bisulfite conversion and the rp21-coding region was amplified

using the primers described in **Table 1**. The PCR products were separated by

electrophoresis and then gel purified. The 350 bp purified products were cloned into

competent bacteria for further target enrichment. Plasmids from three clones per

treatment were individually sequenced by the Sanger tube method. The sequences

obtained were either manually aligned or aligned using BiQ Analyzer software (**Figure**

**3A**). In general, at least one out of three clones showed a different methylation pattern

leading to inconsistency and resulting in the need for more clones to reach an

acceptable power of analysis, irrespective of the treatment. Typically, this would require

an average of 8-10 clones per treatment group.

To address the variation among clones assayed by Sanger sequencing, we

sequenced the same rp21-coding region on the Illumina MiSeq platform using TGBS.

The gel purified 350 bp products were subjected to limited cycle PCR to attach the iTru5

and iTru7 primers with unique index combinations for each sample. All the samples

were pooled, purified and then sequenced using the 600 cycle v3 kits. An average of

about 10,000 reads were obtained per sample, hence increasing the statistical power of

analysis[40] as compared to the Sanger sequencing. The data from Bismark's text file

outputs were compiled together and the percent DNA methylation changes were

displayed using heat-maps (**Figure 3B**). The methylation status of this coding region did

not change after treatment with 5-Aza, suggesting that the coding region is not one of

the key components in epigenetic regulation of *p21* expression. Nevertheless, in

addition to being derived from about 10,000 reads per sample, the data showed

consistency across three independent experiments.

**Differential methylation analysis**

**Differences in basal DNA methylation of the *p21* promoter region between human**

**and rat kidney cells**

We used TGBS to assess differences in basal DNA methylation between

human and rat *p21* promoters isolated from HEK293 and NRK cells. This included

analysis of a 350 bp upstream fragment of the human *p21* promoter adjacent to the

transcription start site (hp21-TSS) and a 250 bp upstream fragment of the rat *p21*

promoter near the transcription start site (rp21-TSS). The data showed differences in

methylation between the two cell lines with an average of 16.4% at the rp21-TSS site

and 0.8% at the hp21-TSS site (**Figure 4A-B**), suggesting species-dependent

differences in basal methylation of the *p21* promoter region at the TSS. This is a critical

point as it further suggests that epigenetic changes in rat genes cannot be used as a

surrogate for human genes, a key consideration in using epigenetics in rats to infer

changes in human genes.

**Regional differences in basal DNA methylation of *p21***

A differential methylation analysis was performed on *p21* DNA isolated from

untreated cells. The regions analyzed are as shown in **Figure 1**. Across the two

species, we observed differential methylation across these regions with an average of

0.8% at the hp21-TSS, 57.9% at the transcription factor binding site called sis-inducible

element (SIE-1), 16.1% at the rat-TSS and 95.8% at the rat p21-coding region (**Figure**

**4C**). This not only highlights the regional differences in DNA methylation of *p21*, but also

validates and confirms the repeatability and reproducibility of TGBS for such analysis.

**Effect of 5-Aza**

We used 5-Aza as a positive control to verify the ability of TGBS to detect

changes in DNA methylation. The basal level of methylation in the CpG sites spanning

350 bp adjacent to the human *p21* transcription start site (hp21-TSS) showed a low

level of total percent methylation (0.9%) in the presence of DMSO, which was similar to

the 5-Aza treated cells (**Figure 5A**). In general, promoter regions near the TSSs are rich

in CpG islands (GC% > 50), which are known to be typically unmethylated in normal

cells for active transcription to persist[41]. The low level of methylation detected in the

human *p21* promoter region most likely explains the relative infectiveness of 5-Aza, as a

two-fold change would only result in decrease of 0.45%. To address this, we also

assessed methylation upstream of the TSS at a transcription factor binding site about

700 bp from the start site CpG islands, called the sis-inducible element (SIE-1). This site

is recognized by members of the signal transducer and activator of transcription family

(STAT). The binding of STAT1 protein to SIE-1 has also been shown to upregulate *p21*

expression[42].

Treatment of cells with 5-Aza caused about a 35% decrease in total methylation

of the SIE-1, as compared to DMSO treated cells (**Figure 5B**). This correlated to

increases in the protein expression of p21 as shown in our previously published study[39].

This validates the site SIE-1 to be an important region for investigating the mechanism

of action behind the epigenetic regulation of *p21* expression and more importantly

validates our method developed.

**Differences in basal DNA methylation of the *p21* promoter region between**

**HEK293 cells and freshly isolated human proximal tubule cells**

As we observed decreases in the percent DNA methylation at the hp21-SIE1 site after treatment with the demethylating agent 5-Aza, we wanted to investigate the differences in basal level methylation between HEK293 cells and freshly isolated human proximal tubule (hPT) cells at the TSS and sites. The average methylation of hp21-TSS in hPT cells was 1.4% and not significantly different from that in HEK293 cells **(Figure 6A)**. In contrast, the average methylation of all three CpG sties in hPT cells were lower than that measured in HEK293 cells at the hp21-SIE1 site **(Figure 6B)**.

**Advantages of TGBS**

Currently, over 90% of the world's sequencing data is produced using Illumina technologies. Thus, it is expected that Illumina sequencing is beginning to see more application in the field of epigenetics. As such, various Illumina instruments are used to produce bisulfite DNA methylation data including MiSeq, HiSeq and NextSeq instruments. WGBS requires high numbers of reads per sample (e.g., ≥1 million reads), but because these are distributed across the genome, the read depth per nucleotide is relatively low (e.g., ≤50 x). In contrast, TGBS uses relatively low number of sequencing reads per sample (i.e., ≤10,000 reads), but because the reads are targeted to the locus of interest, read depths per nucleotide are quite deep (e.g., ≥1000x). The limited number of reads per sample allowed for rapid data analysis, far lower costs per sample, but higher precision in estimating methylation.

The use of TGBS, as opposed to Sanger or pyrosequencing, provides major advantages over the other existing technologies in general[2]. Recent studies, including

our own[39] have used methylation-specific PCR to analyze changes in DNA methylation;

allowing us to only assess change in methylation of two CpG sites within the primer

binding region. In contrast, TGBS facilitated assessing ~10,000 reads of each *p21* locus

from hundreds of samples in a single run, at costs similar to cloning and sequencing a

few copies of each gene from a few samples using traditional cloning and Sanger

sequencing. The differences in the work flow between first-generation Sanger bisulfite

sequencing and next-generation TGBS is described in **Supplementary Figure S1**. By

combining the TGBS approach with standard statistical approaches (multiple passages

and samples) a more robust approach is evident.

In summary, we combined two different existing technologies to develop a novel

approach to rapidly identify specific CpG sites whose methylation is altered in the

nephron-protective gene *p21*. The positive results with 5-Aza suggest that the SIE-1 is

an important region for epigenetic regulation of *p21* expression. This assertion is

strengthened by the fact that we have already shown that 5-Aza alone induced p21

protein expression in these cells[43]. To our knowledge this is the first report

demonstrating the methylation of specific DNA bases within *p21* promoter that are

altered by 5-Aza exposure. We also used this technique to demonstrate differences in

the basal promoter methylation between rat and human *p21*. These data also allowed

us to compare HEK293 cells to freshly isolated human proximal tubule cells. A

significant difference in basal DNA methylation was observed between the two cell

types. However, the implication of these difference in terms of cellular function or

response to pathological stimuli, or toxicant exposure has yet to be investigated.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank everyone involved in the generation of data. We thank Troy J. Kieran

and Patrick R. Watson (T.C.G. laboratory) for their help in sample pooling and MiSeq

runs. This project was supported in part with funds from the National Institutes of Health

(NIH); National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering (NIBIB (EB0160100

to B.S.C.), as well as Interdisciplinary Toxicology Program Stipend Support and US -

EPA's Student Services Authority Support to R.T.K.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

**References**

1     Li, Q. *et al.* Detection of DNA Methylation by Whole-Genome Bisulfite
Sequencing. *Methods Mol Biol* **1676**, 185-196, doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-7315-
6_11 (2018).

2     Glenn, T. C. Field guide to next-generation DNA sequencers. *Mol Ecol Resour*
**11**, 759-769, doi:10.1111/j.1755-0998.2011.03024.x (2011).

3     Soto, J. *et al.* The impact of next-generation sequencing on the DNA methylation-
based translational cancer research. *Transl Res* **169**, 1-18 e11,
doi:10.1016/j.trsl.2015.11.003 (2016).

4     Zhu, W. G. *et al.* Methylation of adjacent CpG sites affects Sp1/Sp3 binding and
activity in the p21(Cip1) promoter. *Mol Cell Biol* **23**, 4056-4065 (2003).

5     Sesselmann, S. *et al.* DNA methylation is not responsible for p21WAF1/CIP1
down-regulation in osteoarthritic chondrocytes. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* **17**, 507-
512, doi:10.1016/j.joca.2008.09.006 (2009).

6     Behjati, S. *et al.* What is next generation sequencing? *Arch Dis Child Educ Pract*
*Ed* **98**, 236-238, doi:10.1136/archdischild-2013-304340 (2013).

7     Liu, L. *et al.* Comparison of next-generation sequencing systems. *J Biomed*
*Biotechnol* **2012**, 251364, doi:10.1155/2012/251364 (2012).

8      Siegfried, Z. *et al.* DNA methylation and gene expression. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev*
426            *Syst Biol Med* **2**, 362-371, doi:10.1002/wsbm.64 (2010).

427     9      Suzuki, M. M. *et al.* DNA methylation landscapes: provocative insights from
428            epigenomics. *Nat Rev Genet* **9**, 465-476, doi:10.1038/nrg2341 (2008).

429     10     Fang, J. Y. *et al.* Epigenetic modification regulates both expression of tumor-
430            associated genes and cell cycle progressing in human colon cancer cell lines:
431            Colo-320 and SW1116. *Cell Res* **14**, 217-226, doi:10.1038/sj.cr.7290222 (2004).

432     11     Teramen, H. *et al.* Aberrant methylation of p21 gene in lung cancer and
433            malignant pleural mesothelioma. *Acta Med Okayama* **65**, 179-184,
434            doi:10.18926/AMO/46629 (2011).

435     12     Das, P. M. *et al.* DNA methylation and cancer. *J Clin Oncol* **22**, 4632-4642,
436            doi:10.1200/JCO.2004.07.151 (2004).

437     13     Baylin, S. B. *et al.* Epigenetic gene silencing in cancer - a mechanism for early
438            oncogenic pathway addiction? *Nat Rev Cancer* **6**, 107-116, doi:10.1038/nrc1799
439            (2006).

440     14     Bott, S. R. *et al.* p21WAF1/CIP1 gene is inactivated in metastatic prostatic
441            cancer cell lines by promoter methylation. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis* **8**, 321-
442            326, doi:10.1038/sj.pcan.4500822 (2005).

443     15     Moreira, P. R. *et al.* Methylation of P16, P21, P27, RB1 and P53 genes in
444            odontogenic keratocysts. *J Oral Pathol Med* **38**, 99-103, doi:10.1111/j.1600-
445            0714.2008.00718.x (2009).

446     16     Ying, J. *et al.* Promoter hypermethylation of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor
447            (CDKI) gene p21WAF1/CIP1/SDI1 is rare in various lymphomas and carcinomas.
448            *Blood* **103**, 743-746, doi:10.1182/blood-2003-09-3193 (2004).

449     17     Kolisetty, N. *et al.* Changes in mRNA and protein expression in the renal cortex
450            of male and female F344 rats treated with bromate. *Arch Toxicol* **87**, 1911-1925,
451            doi:10.1007/s00204-013-1052-2 (2013b).

452     18     Fournel, M. *et al.* Down-regulation of human DNA-(cytosine-5) methyltransferase
453            induces cell cycle regulators p16(ink4A) and p21(WAF/Cip1) by distinct
454            mechanisms. *J Biol Chem* **274**, 24250-24256 (1999).

455     19     Allen, G. M. *Bats: biology, behavior, and folklore.* (Dover Publications, 2004).

456     20     Christman, J. K. 5-Azacytidine and 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine as inhibitors of DNA
457            methylation: mechanistic studies and their implications for cancer therapy.
458            *Oncogene* **21**, 5483-5495, doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1205699 (2002).

21   Broday, L. *et al.* 5-azacytidine induces transgene silencing by DNA methylation in
Chinese hamster cells. *Mol Cell Biol* **19**, 3198-3204 (1999).

22   Shin, J. Y. *et al.* Mechanism for inactivation of the KIP family cyclin-dependent
kinase inhibitor genes in gastric cancer cells. *Cancer Res* **60**, 262-265 (2000).

23   Todd, J. H. *et al.* Enzymatic isolation and serum-free culture of human renal cells
: retaining properties of proximal tubule cells. *Methods Mol Med* **2**, 431-435,
doi:10.1385/0-89603-335-X:431 (1996).

24   Cummings, B. S. *et al.* Metabolism and toxicity of trichloroethylene and S-(1,2-
dichlorovinyl)-L-cysteine in freshly isolated human proximal tubular cells. *Toxicol*
*Sci* **53**, 458-466 (2000).

25   Cummings, B. S. *et al.* Expression of glutathione-dependent enzymes and
cytochrome P450s in freshly isolated and primary cultures of proximal tubular
cells from human kidney. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **293**, 677-685 (2000).

26   Huang, J. X. *et al.* Evaluation of biomarkers for in vitro prediction of drug-induced
nephrotoxicity: comparison of HK-2, immortalized human proximal tubule
epithelial, and primary cultures of human proximal tubular cells. *Pharmacol Res*
*Perspect* **3**, e00148, doi:10.1002/prp2.148 (2015).

27   Li, L. C. *et al.* MethPrimer: designing primers for methylation PCRs.
*Bioinformatics* **18**, 1427-1431 (2002).

28   Faircloth, B. C. *et al.* Not all sequence tags are created equal: designing and
validating sequence identification tags robust to indels. *PLoS One* **7**, e42543,
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042543 (2012).

29   Glenn *et al.* Adapterama I: Universal stubs and primers for thousands of dual-
indexed Illumina libraries (iTru & iNext). doi:10.1101/049114 (2016).

30   BadDNA. *BadDNA TaggiMatrix*, <http://baddna.uga.edu/tools-taggi.html> (2017).

31   Altschul, S. F. *et al.* Basic local alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol* **215**, 403-410,
doi:10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2 (1990).

32   Bock, C. *et al.* BiQ Analyzer: visualization and quality control for DNA methylation
data from bisulfite sequencing. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 4067-4068,
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bti652 (2005).

33   bcl2fastq2.   *bcl2fastq2   Conversion   Software   v2.19.1.,*
<https://support.illumina.com/downloads/bcl2fastq-conversion-software-v2-
19.html> (2013).

34  TrimGalore. *Babraham Bioinformatics - Trim Galore:*
*http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/*,
<http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/> (2012).

35  Geneious. *Geneious - Bioinformatics tools for molecular biology and NGS*
*analysis*, <http://www.geneious.com/> (2017).

36  Kearse, M. *et al.* Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software
platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **28**,
1647-1649, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199 (2012).

37  Krueger, F. *et al.* Bismark: a flexible aligner and methylation caller for Bisulfite-
Seq        applications.        *Bioinformatics*        **27**,        1571-1572,
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr167 (2011).

38  VirtualBox. *Oracle VM VirtualBox*, <https://www.virtualbox.org/> (2017).

39  Scholpa, N. E. *et al.* Epigenetic changes in p21 expression in renal cells after
exposure to bromate. *Toxicol Sci* **141**, 432-440, doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfu138
(2014).

40  Morrill, B. H. *et al.* Targeted DNA methylation analysis by high throughput
sequencing in porcine peri-attachment embryos. *J Reprod Dev* **59**, 314-320
(2013).

41  Cross, S. H. *et al.* CpG islands and genes. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **5**, 309-314
(1995).

42  Chin, Y. E. *et al.* Cell growth arrest and induction of cyclin-dependent kinase
inhibitor p21 WAF1/CIP1 mediated by STAT1. *Science* **272**, 719-722 (1996).

43  Scholpa, N. E. *et al.* Nephrotoxicity of epigenetic inhibitors used for the treatment
of cancer. *Chem Biol Interact* **258**, 21-29, doi:10.1016/j.cbi.2016.08.010 (2016).

**Table 1. TruSeqHT fusion stubs and locus-specific primers.**

| Locus | Forward primer (5'→3') | Reverse primer (5'→3') | $T_m$ ($^0$C) | Product size (bp) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| TruSeqHT fusion | iTru R1: ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGAC GCTCTTCCGATCT | iTru R2: GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGT GCTCTTCCGATCT | | |
| hp21-TSS | ATAGTGTTGTGTTTTTTTGGAGAGTG | ACAACTACTCACACCTCAACTAAC | 61.8 | 350 |
| hp21-SIE1 | TTTTTTGAGTTTTAGTTTTTTTAGTAGTGT | AACCAAAATAATTTTTCAATCCC | 61.8 | 335 |
| rp21-coding | TGTAATTAGTTATAGGTATTATGTTCGA | ACCCCTACAACAAAACCGAA | 54.2 | 326 |
| rp21-TSS | TTTTTTATTTTTGGTTGTTTTTTTTT | ACAAACAATTAACTCTCCTCAAATC | 54.2 | 208 |
| The iTru R1 or iTru5 fusion sequence was synthesized on the 5' end of each of the four forward primers and the iTru R2 or iTru7 fusion sequence was synthesized on the 5' end of each of the four reverse primers. | | | | |

**Figure 1**. **Schematic of *p21* gene organization highlighting the loci of interest for**

**DNA methylation analysis.** This includes the human *p21* promoter region adjacent to

the transcription start site (hp21-TSS), the human transcription factor binding site called

the sis-inducible element (hp21-SIE1), the rat *p21* promoter region starting near the

start site (rp21-TSS) and the rat *p21* coding region (rp21-coding).

**Figure 2. TruSeqHT fusion primers for Illumina MiSeq platform.** The forward and

reverse portions of the primers are the locus-specific primers that are complimentary to

the flanking regions. iTru5 and iTru7 primers with unique index combinations allow

identifying the source samples in the sequence pool.

**Figure 3. DNA methylation status of the rat *p21* coding region. A)** Methylation of the

rat *p21* coding region as determined using Sanger bisulfite sequencing: Data are shown

as a lollipop plot generated using BiQ Analyzer. Each treated group includes three

random clones and each line represents sequence from a clone. Black indicates

methylated CpGs and the white represents unmethylated CpGs. **B)** Methylation of the

rat *p21* coding region as determined by TGBS using Illumina next-generation

sequencing: Data are represented as a heat-map with average DNA methylation

increasing from red (0%) to blue (100%). The position indicates the CpG dinucleotide

site in the sequenced fragment.

**Figure 4. Differential methylation analysis.** Comparison of methylation between rat

(**A**) and human (**B**) *p21* transcription start sites. DNA methylation data are represented

as percent methylation of each CpG site in the analyzed fragments of the human and

rat *p21* promoter regions near the respective transcription start sites (rp21-TSS and

hp21-TSS). (**C**) Comparison of methylation in different regions of the rat and human *p21*

gene. Differential methylation data are represented as percent DNA methylation of the

transcription start site (TSS), sis-inducible element (SIE-1) and gene coding regions of

human and rat *p21*. Next-generation sequencing data was analyzed using Bismark

bisulfite mapper. Data are represented as the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM)

of three independent experiments (n=3).

**Figure 5. Effect of 5-Aza on DNA methylation of the promoter region of human**

*p21*. **(A)** Heat-map of the site-specific percent DNA methylation changes as determined

by TGBS in the human *p21* promoter region at the transcription start site (hp21-TSS)

after 3 days of exposure to DMSO (vehicle control) or 40 μM 5-Aza (positive control).

The first row represents the position of the cytosine in the CpG dinucleotide context

relative to the TSS. Heat map intensity is showed in the sidebar with deep red indicating

percent methylation value towards zero and pale blue indicating relatively higher

methylation of 5%. (**B**) Effect of 5-Aza on DNA methylation of cytosine residues in the

SIE-1 site in human *p21* promoter region (hp21-SIE1). Data are represented as the

mean ± SEM of three independent experiments (n=3). *P<0.05 compared with DMSO.

**Figure 6. Comparison of basal DNA methylation of the *p21* promoter region**

**between HEK293 cells and freshly isolated human proximal tubule (hPT) cells. (A)**

Heat-map of the site-specific percent DNA methylation changes as determined by

TGBS in the human *p21* promoter region at the transcription start site (hp21-TSS). Heat

map intensity is showed in the sidebar with deep red indicating percent methylation

value towards zero and pale blue indicating relatively higher methylation of 5%. **(B)**

Comparison of methylation of human *p21* promoter at the transcription factor binding

site SIE-1 between HEK293 and hPT cells. Data are represented as the mean ± SEM of

three different passages of HEK293 cells and three different pools of the hPT isolated

cells (n=3). *P<0.05 compared with HEK293.

**A.**

| CpG | -259 | -255 | -243 | -239 | -208 | -206 | -195 | -162 | -158 | -144 | -106 | -100 | -96 | -85 | -82 | -75 | -72 | -66 | -44 | -41 | -37 | -32 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DMSO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5-Aza | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

0%          % DNA Methylation          9%

**B.**

% DNA Methylation

-695    -655    -691

CpG site

□ DMSO   ■ 5-Aza

A.

% DNA Methylation

0%          5%

B.

% DNA Methylation

□ HEK293
■ hPT

-895        -855        -691
CpG site