Sparse recurrent excitatory connectivity in the microcircuit of the adult mouse and human cortex

Stephanie C. Seeman[1]⚔, Luke Campagnola[1]⚔, Pasha A. Davoudian[1], Alex Hoggarth[1], Travis A. Hage[1], Alice Bosma-Moody[1], Christopher A. Baker[1], Jung Hoon Lee[1], Stefan Mihalas[1], Corinne Teeter[1], Andrew L. Ko[2,3], Jeffrey G. Ojemann[2,3], Ryder P. Gwinn[4], Daniel L. Silbergeld[3], Charles Cobbs[5], John Phillips[1], Ed Lein[1], Gabe J. Murphy[1], Christof Koch[1], Hongkui Zeng[1], Tim Jarsky[1]♔

⚔These authors contributed equally to this work.

♔Correspondence should be addressed to T.J. (timj@alleninstitute.org)

1 Allen Institute for Brain Science, Seattle, WA;

2 Regional Epilepsy Center at Harborview Medical Center, Seattle, WA;
3 Department of Neurological Surgery, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA;
4 Epilepsy Surgery and Functional Neurosurgery, Swedish Neuroscience Institute, Seattle, WA;
5 The Ben and Catherine Ivy Center for Advanced Brain Tumor Treatment, Swedish Neuroscience Institute, Seattle, WA

Abstract

Generating a comprehensive description of cortical networks requires a large-scale, systematic approach. To that end, the Allen Institute is engaged in a pipeline project using multipatch electrophysiology, supplemented with 2-photon optogenetics, to characterize connectivity and synaptic signaling between classes of neurons in adult mouse and human cortex. We focus on producing results detailed enough for the generation of computational models and enabling comparison with future studies. Here we report our examination of intralaminar connectivity within each of several classes of excitatory neurons. We find that connections are sparse but present among all excitatory cell types and layers we sampled, with the most sparse connections in layers 5 and 6. Almost all mouse synapses exhibited short-term depression with similar dynamics. Synaptic signaling between a subset of layer 2/3 neurons; however, exhibited facilitation. These results contribute to a body of evidence describing recurrent excitatory connectivity as a conserved feature of cortical microcircuits.

Introduction

Generating well-informed, concrete, testable hypotheses about how the cortex represents and processes information requires experimental efforts to characterize the connectivity and dynamics of cortical circuit elements as well as efforts to build models that integrate results across studies (Sejnowski et al. 1988). Estimates of connectivity and synaptic properties vary widely between experiments due to differences in model organisms, experimental parameters,

and analytic methods. This variability limits our ability to generate accurate, integrative
computational models.

Addressing this problem requires standardized experimental methods and large-scale data
collection in order to characterize synaptic connections between the large number of potential
cell types (Tasic et al. 2016). Although it may be possible to infer part of these results based
solely on anatomical constraints (Markram et al. 2015), evidence has shown that the rate of
connectivity and properties of synaptic signals can depend on the identity of the pre- and
postsynaptic neuron (Reyes et al. 1998, Galarreta and Hestrin, 1998). To collect standardized
data at scale, the Allen Institute for Brain Science has built a pipeline to characterize local,
functional connectivity in the adult mouse and human cortex. Here we report on the
characteristics of recurrent, intralayer connectivity among pyramidal neurons generated during
the pipeline's system integration test—an end-to-end test of the pipeline's hardware, software,
and workflow for a subset of all synaptic connections.

Recurrent excitatory connectivity is a common feature in computational models of cortical
working memory, receptive field shaping, attractor dynamics, and sequence storage (Camperi &
Wang 1998, Olshausen & Field 1996, Brunel et al. 2016, Pernice et al. 2018). Empirical
measurements of recurrent connectivity and synaptic properties are needed in order to
constrain and validate these models. However, characterizing recurrent connectivity in a
standardized, high throughput manner is challenging because the synaptic connections can be
sparse and weak (Braitenberg and Schüz 1998, Lefort 2009). Furthermore, most measurements
of recurrent connectivity have been performed in juvenile rodents, leading to a recent debate
over the rate of connectivity in the adult cortex (Biane et al. 2015, Barth et al. 2016, Jiang et al.
2016).

The data reported here demonstrate that sparse recurrent connectivity is present among
excitatory neurons in all layers of adult mouse and human cortex. Using a novel automated
method for systematically estimating connectivity across experiments we further demonstrate
that different populations of adult mouse pyramidal neurons exhibit characteristic distance-
dependent connectivity profiles and short-term dynamics. We quantify and compare differences
in short-term dynamics with a mechanistic computational model.

Results

Here, we report on data collected during the system integration test of a new Allen Institute for
Brain Science pipeline for the systematic characterization of local connectivity in the adult
cortex. We performed *in vitro* whole-cell recordings from up to eight excitatory neurons
simultaneously. To assess connectivity, trains of action potentials were evoked in each cell, one
at a time, while recording current clamp synaptic responses in all other cells. We probed 2324
putative connections (200 minimum per layer) in mouse V1 and 332 putative connections (35
minimum per layer) in human frontal and temporal cortex (Table 1). Connections were identified
by the presence of excitatory PSPs (EPSPs) evoked with a short latency and low jitter, following
the presynaptic spike, consistent with a monosynaptic connection (Figure S1). Recurrent

connectivity was observed in layer 2/3 through layer 6 of mouse primary visual cortex and layer
2 through layer 5 of the human cortex.

Table 1: Number of potential connections used in each connection analysis

Layer / Cell Type	Total Probed (Fig 1C)	Total connected (Fig 1C)	Strength (Fig 1E)	Kinetics (Fig 1F)	Connection Probability (%) w/in 100 µm (Connected/probed, Fig 1D)	STP (Fig 1G)
Mouse L2/3	255	20	12	9	17/178 (9.55)	9
Rorb	282	20	13	13	19/205 (9.27)	9
Sim1	524	34	18	18	31/382 (8.12)	7
Tlx3	969	34	17	14	28/661 (4.24)	5
Ntsr1	318	1	1	1	0/223 (0.0)	N/A
Human L2	38	4	4	4	3/24 (12.5)	N/A
Human L3	187	42	33	29	22/90 (24.44)	N/A
Human L4	38	2	1	1	0/35 (0.0)	N/A
Human L5	69	9	6	6	4/33 (12.12)	N/A

Properties of intralaminar excitatory synaptic signaling in mouse cortex

Layer and projection-specific subclasses of excitatory neuron populations were identified either
by *post-hoc* morphologic evaluation in layer 2/3 or transgenic labelling to target layers 4-6
(Rorb, Tlx3, Sim1, and Ntsr1, respectively; Figure 1A). Layer 5 recordings were subdivided into
subcortical projecting cells (Sim1) or corticocortical projecting cells (Tlx3). We probed 2384
potential connections (layer 2/3: 255, Rorb: 282, Sim1: 524, Tlx3: 969, Ntsr1: 318) across these
excitatory populations in mouse cortex (Table 1). Connections were detected between 107
putative pre- and post-synaptic partners (layer 2/3: 20, Rorb: 20, Sim1: 34, Tlx3: 34, Ntsr1: 1;
Table 1). For >75% of the recorded cells, we recovered a biocytin fill (Fig 1A) and for all cells we
obtained an epifluorescent image stack (Fig 1B).

Figure 1. Electrophysiological recordings of evoked excitatory synaptic responses between individual cortical pyramidal neurons in mouse primary visual cortex. **A**. Cartoon illustrating color, Cre-type, and cortical layer mapping in slice recording region (V1). Example maximum intensity projection images of biocytin-filled pyramidal neurons for L2/3 and each Cre-type. **B**. Example epifluorescent images of neurons showing Cre-dependent reporter expression and/or dye-filled recording pipettes. Connection map is overlaid on the epifluorescent image (colored: example connection shown in C). **C**. Spike time aligned EPSPs induced by the first AP of all ≤ 50 Hz stimulus trains for a single example connection (individual pulse-response trials: grey; average: colored). **D**. First pulse average, like in C., for all connections within the synaptic type; grey: individual connections; thin-colored: connection highlighted in C; thick-colored: grand average of all connections. **E**. Overlay of grand average for each connection type. F. EPSP amplitude (in log units), CV of amplitude, latency, and rise time of first pulse response for each Cre type (small circles) with the grand median (large).

In layers 2/3 through 5 we were able to sample sufficiently to characterize the strength and
kinetics in recurrent connections. To measure these features with minimal influence of STP,
only the first response on each sweep (ITI = 15s) was included for this analysis. A subset of
connections from each group were chosen based on quality control metrics and inclusion
criteria (see methods; Fig S1). Figure 1C shows EPSPs recorded from one example connection
found in each of the five chosen excitatory cell groups. For the large majority of connections, it

was not possible to unequivocally distinguish synaptic failures from detection failures, thus we
used the mean response from all sweeps (Fig 1C) to evaluate the EPSP features.

Consistent with previous reports that recurrent connectivity is weak (Lefort 2009), we found that
a majority of the connections had amplitudes less than 0.5 mV. In this small sample we did not
observe statistical difference in the EPSP amplitudes (Fig 1E,F) between groups (KW p = 0.07)
although the median Rorb EPSP (0.312 ± 0.485 mV) was more than 2 times larger than the
median Tlx3 EPSP (0.142 ± 0.231 mV). This was likely driven by the heterogeneity in single
pulse responses within groups; the range of amplitudes for layer 2/3 (0.032 - 0.902 mV), Rorb
(0.105 – 1.626 mV), Sim1 (0.068 - 1.254 mV), and Tlx3 (0.02 - 0.833 mV) spanned an order of
magnitude (we could not assess the range of recurrent Rorb or Ntsr1 connections due to the
low number of connections measured). The mean EPSP amplitude was consistently larger than
the median (Table 2), likely due to a skewed (long-tailed) distribution of response amplitudes. A
similar observation in the rat visual cortex, and mouse somatosensory cortex, has led to the
suggestion that rare, large-amplitude connections are important for reliable information
processing (Song et al 2005, Lefort 2009, Cossell et al. 2015). The majority of EPSP latencies
were less than 2.5 ms, and similar across populations (KW p= 0.17), consistent with a direct,
monosynaptic connection between recorded neurons.

We could not directly quantify synaptic failures and thus calculated the coefficient of variation of
synaptic amplitudes (CV; Fig 1F) to assess release probability. The CV of each connection
describes the variability in a particular response in relation to the mean (ratio of standard
deviation to mean) and is negatively correlated with release probability (Markram et al, 1997).
The range of coefficient of variation in our data suggests differences in release probability
across cells as well as between cell types (KW p=0.02). This is consistent with STP modeling
results (see Fig 6).

Table 2: Mouse single-pulse response properties (median, except where indicated)

Connection Type/Feature	L2/3	Rorb	Sim1	Tlx3
Amplitude (mV ±SD)	0.260 ± 0.306	0.312 ± 0.485	0.326 ± 0.499	0.142 ± 0.231
Amplitude mean (mV ±SD)	0.342 ± 0.092	0.544 ± 0.140	0.520 ± 0.121	0.236 ± 0.058
Latency (ms ±SD)	1.484 ± 0.945	1.313 ± 0.604	1.861 ± 0.745	1.812 ± 0.971
Rise Time (ms ±SD)	1.240 ± 0.528	1.320 ± 0.967	1.905 ± 1.046	1.440 ± 1.425
CV (±SD)	0.549 ± 0.142	0.587 ± 0.228	0.358 ± 0.189	0.513 ± 0.174

Properties of intralaminar excitatory synaptic signaling in human cortex

To what extent is recurrent connectivity in mouse V1 representative of connectivity in other regions and species? To make this comparison, we performed multipatch recordings in human surgical specimens from temporal and frontal cortex. We sampled recurrent intralayer connectivity in all layers containing pyramidal cells. Excitatory cells were identified either by the polarity of the synaptic currents evoked by stimulating the cell or by their morphology visualized via biocytin (Fig 2A) or fluorescent dye (Fig 2B). We found 4 connections between layer 2 pyramidal cells (38 probed), 42 connections between layer 3 pyramidal cells (187 probed), 2 connections between layer 4 pyramidal cells (38 probed), and 9 connections between layer 5 pyramidal cells (69 probed). We did find one connection in layer 6 but have not probed this layer sufficiently to say more (2 probed connections). Human cortex had a higher connectivity rate and mean amplitude (Fig 2C, D) compared to mouse cortex (despite a higher $[Ca]_e$ in mouse), consistent with previous reports (Molnar et. al 2008). Layers 2, 3, and 5 had a sufficient number of connections to characterize strength and kinetics however, we found no significant differences in response properties between layers (amplitude p=0.51, latency p=0.24, rise time p=0.13, Table 3). We did observe differences in CV between layers 2, 3, and 5 (p=0.03, Table 3) suggesting layer-specific differences in release probability of recurrent connections, similar to findings in mouse V1.

It is reasonable to question if the recurrent connections we see in the human are related to the disease etiology. Although we cannot rule this out, we saw no significant differences in overall connectivity between tumor and epilepsy derived specimens (p = 0.833, Fisher's Exact Test). We also found recurrent connections across multiple cortical regions and disease states in the human. Taken together, this may indicate that our results capture a common architecture of the mouse and human microcircuit.

Figure 2. Electrophysiological recordings of evoked excitatory synaptic responses between individual human cortical pyramidal neurons. **A**. Cartoon illustrating color and cortical layer mapping in slice recording region (temporal or frontal cortex). Example maximum intensity projection images of biocytin-filled pyramidal neurons for layers 2-5. **B**. Example epifluorescent images of neurons showing dye-filled neurons and recording pipettes. Connection map is overlaid on the epifluorescent image (colored: example connection shown in C). **C**. Spike time aligned EPSPs induced by the first AP of all ≤ 50 Hz stimulus trains for a single example connection (individual pulse-response trials: grey; average: colored). **D**. First pulse average, like in C., for all connections within the synaptic type; grey: individual connections; thin-colored: connection highlighted in C; thick-colored: grand average of all connections. **E**. Overlay of grand average for each connection type. **F**. EPSP amplitude, CV of amplitude, latency, and rise time of first pulse response for each layer (small circles) with the grand mean (large circles).

144 **Table 3: Human single-pulse response properties (median, except where indicated)**

Connection Type/Feature	L2	L3	L5
Amplitude (mV ±SD)	0.280 ± 0.092	0.342 ± 0.660	0.615 ± 0.632
Amplitude mean (mV ±SD)	0.301 ± 0.092	0.549 ± 0.660	0.835 ± 0.632
Latency (ms ±SD)	0.871 ± 0.764	1.555 ± 0.989	1.639 ± 0.542
Rise Time (ms ±SD)	1.765 ± 0.552	1.600 ± 1.180	1.155 ± 0.419
CV (±SD)	0.740 ± 0.267	0.393 ± 0.228	0.290 ± 0.092

<u>Detection limit of synaptic responses</u>

When using whole cell recordings to characterize synaptic connectivity, a major limitation is that
some synaptic currents may be too weak to be detected at the postsynaptic soma. Detection
limits are influenced by the kinetics of PSPs, the duration of the experiment (number of
averaged pulse-responses), and the amplitude and kinetics of background noise, including the
properties of spontaneous PSPs. Worse, we lack even a basic estimate of the fraction of
synapses that could be missed. For this reason, measurements of connectivity from multipatch
experiments should be considered lower-bound estimates. Additionally, apparent connectivity
may be systematically biased by cell type differences in synaptic strength, making it more
difficult to interpret any measured differences in connectivity.
156
To address these issues, we simulated EPSPs of varying, known strength, and used a machine
classifier to measure the probability that synapses could escape detection (see Methods). For
each putative connection probed, we estimated the minimum PSP amplitude that could be
detected. When compared to the measured amplitudes of detected PSPs, it is apparent that our
data set does contain false negatives (Fig 3A, area under red dashed line). The classifier was
trained to detect connections based on features previously extracted from the averaged pulse
response (Fig 3B) and from the distributions of features measured on individual pulse
responses (Fig 3C). Using background recording data from the postsynaptic cell, we then
generated several sets of artificial pulse responses and measured the probability that the
classifier would detect these, while varying the rise time and mean amplitude of the PSPs (Fig
3D).

Figure 3. Characterization of synapse detection limits. **A**. Scatter plot showing measured PSP amplitude versus minimum detectable amplitude for each tested pair. Detected synapses (manually annotated) are shown as blue diamonds; pairs with no detected synaptic currents are grey dots. The region under the red dashed line denotes the region in which synaptic connections are likely to be misclassified as unconnected. Three example putative connections are highlighted in A and described further in panels B-D. One connection (top row) was selected for its large amplitude PSP and low background noise. Another connection (middle row) is harder to detect (PSP onset marked by yellow arrowhead) due to low amplitude and high background noise. The bottom row shows a recorded pair that was classified as unconnected. **B**. A selection of postsynaptic current clamp recordings in response to presynaptic spikes. Each row contains recordings from a single tested pair. The vertical line indicates the time of presynaptic spikes, measured as the point of maximum dV/dt in the presynaptic recording. Yellow triangles indicate the onset of the PSP. **C**. Histograms showing distributions of peak response values measured from deconvolved traces (see Methods). Red area indicates measurements made on background noise; blue area indicates measurements made immediately following a presynaptic spike. **D**. Characterization of detection limits for each example. Plots show the probability that simulated PSPs would be detected by a classifier, as a function of the rise time and mean amplitude of the PSPs. Each example has a different characteristic detection limit that depends on the recording background noise and the length of the experiment. **E**. An estimate of the total number of false negatives across the entire dataset. The measured distribution of PSP amplitudes is shown in light grey (smoothed with a gaussian filter with σ=1 bin). The estimated correction show in dark grey is derived by dividing the measured distribution by the overall probability of detecting a synapse (red dashed line) at each amplitude.

With this approach, we can place constraints for any given experiment on the properties of
putative missed synaptic connections. Recordings with low background noise and adequate
averaging will generally allow the detection of very small synaptic currents (Fig 3D, top panel
has a detection limit 10-20 µV), whereas lower quality recordings will have higher detection
thresholds and will report lower connectivity rates (Fig 3D, bottom panel has a poor detection
limit near 100 µV). Likewise, PSPs with shorter rise time (or other properties that distinguish the
PSP from background) are more likely to be detected (Fig 3D). These results suggest that the
differences in experimental protocol between studies (for example, the amount of averaging
done for each connection) can have a substantial impact on the apparent connectivity reported,
but also that future studies could reconcile these differences by carefully characterizing their
detection limits.

The results in Figure 3A suggest another tantalizing opportunity: if we know the area that
contains false negatives (under the red dashed line) and the density of true positives above the
line, then we can make a first-order estimate of the number of connections that were missed
across a series of experiments. Figure 3E shows the distribution of PSP amplitudes across all
detected synapses (light grey area) as well as the curve representing the probability that
synapses would be detected at any amplitude. Dividing the measured distribution by the
probability of detection yields a corrected distribution (dark grey) with an overall 10% increase in
connectivity. Although it is clear that this estimate becomes unstable as the detection probability
approaches zero, a surprising result of this analysis is that, for moderate amplitudes of 20-100
µV, we expect to see very few false negatives. Depending on the expected prevalence of
weaker connections < 20 µV, this hints that our current sampling methods may be adequate to
detect the vast majority of synaptic connections. We should be cautious, however, in our
interpretation of this result--the analysis relies on several assumptions about the behavior of the
classifier and the realism of the simulated PSPs. Ultimately, the approach must be validated
against a larger dataset.

Connection probability of excitatory synapses

Estimates of connectivity vary widely across studies, in part due to methodological differences.
In addition to the effects of detection sensitivity described above, estimated connection
probability is affected by the intersomatic distances over which connections are sampled. This
spatial distribution of connections may also offer insight into the organization of functional
microcircuits. In mouse, connectivity in layer 2/3, Rorb, and Sim1 neurons within 100 µm was
similar (~10%; Fig 4, left; connected/probed, L2/3: 17/178, Rorb: 19/205, Sim1: 49/507).
However, within this range, Tlx3 connectivity was markedly lower (~4%; Tlx3: 32/818,). Ntsr1
connectivity was significantly more sparse as only one connection was detected (out of 312
probed) at a distance of 163 µm ($p<0.05$ relative to all other groups). Most connectivity versus
distance profiles (Fig 4B) showed a progressive reduction in the connection probability with
increasing distance.

Figure 4. Distance dependent connectivity profiles of mouse and human E-E connections. **A**. Recurrent connection probability and distribution of connections for mouse Cre lines. Mean connection probability (filled circles) and 95% confidence intervals (bars) for connections probed within 100 µm. Asterisk (*) indicates p-value <0.05 (Bonferroni corrected). **B**. Connection probability over distance for mouse Cre lines. Top: Histogram of putative connections probed for mouse Cre lines. Bottom: Mean connection probability (thick line) with 95% confidence intervals (shading) for mouse Cre lines. **C**. Like-to-like connection probability and distribution of connections between human pyramidal neurons. Mean connection probability (filled circles) and 95% confidence intervals (bars) for connections probed within 100 µm. **D**. Connection probability over distance for human pyramidal neurons. Top: Histogram of putative connections probed among human neurons. Bottom: Mean connection probability (thick line) with 95% confidence intervals (shading) for human pyramidal neurons. **E**. Tlx3-Tlx3 connection probability measured by two-photon mapping. X-Y distance distribution of connections probed onto a postsynaptic cell (black triangle), detected presynaptic neurons (filled circles), no connection detected (empty circles), and direct event artifact due to undesired activation of opsin in the dendritic arbor of the recorded cell (red circles). **F**. Connection probability and stimulation artifact over distance measured by two-photon mapping. Mean connection probability vs. distance (blue line; starting at 50 µm) with 95% confidence intervals (shading) and direct event artifact amplitude vs. distance (dotted red line) for Tlx3-Tlx3 connections probed with two-photon stimulation.

Utilizing a multipatch technique limits our ability to probe connectivity at high density and far
distances. Two-photon optogenetic stimulation, which allows for focal stimulation of many
(mean=57 cells, range=8-117) presynaptic cells in a single experiment, and critically, enables
the intersomatic distance between those cells to be greater than is generally feasible with
multipatch experiments, was used to overcome these limitations. ReaChR expressing Tlx3-Cre
neurons in layer 5 were photo-stimulated while one or two putative postsynaptic cells were
monitored in whole-cell current clamp configuration (Fig 4E). With this technique, using 9 mice,
we found a similar connection probability over the distance range of multipatch experiments
(4/167, 2.40%) and reduced connectivity at extended distances up to 785 µm (Fig 4E; 10/1290,
0.78%) with the furthest connection found at 300 µm.

Overall, connectivity in human cortex was significantly higher than that in mouse (human 19%,
mouse 6.8%, Fisher's p<0.001). In human cortex, layer 2 and layer 5 connectivity showed
similar connection probability (~12%; layer 2: 3/24; layer 5: 4/33; Fig 4C), while layer 3 recurrent
connectivity was approximately double (24%, 22/90). More data is needed to accurately resolve
the distance dependence of recurrent connectivity in the human (Fig 4D).

Short-term plasticity of excitatory synapses

For a subset of synaptic connections in mouse cortex (Fig S1G), we characterized the short-
term synaptic dynamics. We probed short-term dynamics with stimulus trains consisting of eight
pulses to induce STP, followed by a delay and four more pulses to measure recovery (Fig 5A,
left). The eight initial pulses allowed responses to reach a steady state, from which we could
characterize the extent of depression (or facilitation) at frequencies from 10 - 100 Hz. The 50 Hz
stimulation protocol had additional recovery intervals ranging from 250 - 4000 ms (Fig 5A, right).
Figure 5B shows average synaptic responses to a 50 Hz stimulus with 8 initial pulses followed
by 4 pulses at a 250 ms delay from individual Sim1-Sim1 connections shown in grey, and the
grand average overlaid (cyan). We used exponential deconvolution (Fig 5B, middle; Eq. 2) to
estimate the amplitudes of individual PSPs in the absence of temporal summation (arising from
the relatively long cell membrane time constant).

Figure 5. Short-term dynamics of mouse recurrent connections by Cre-type and layer. **A**. Schematic of STP and STP recovery stimuli. **B**. Sim1-Cre EPSPs in response to a 50 Hz stimulus train (top; 8 induction pulses and 4 recovery pulses delayed 250 ms; individual connection: gray traces; blue: Sim1-Cre average EPSP at 50Hz). Exponential deconvolution followed by lowpass filter of EPSPs above (middle, filled circles: pulse amplitudes in C.). Exponential deconvolution of 50 Hz stimulus with all 5 recovery time points in A (bottom, filled circles: pulse amplitudes in C.). **C**. The mean normalized amplitude of deconvolved response versus pulse number at multiple stimulation frequencies for Sim1-Cre (top). Normalized amplitude of the deconvolved response at 50 Hz with first recovery pulse at each interval for each Cre-type and L2/3 connections (bottom). **D**. The depth of depression during 50Hz induction (left) as measured by the amplitude ratio of the 8th to 1st pulse for each Cre-type and layer (small circles) and grand mean (large circles). Amount of recovery at 250 ms latency (right) for each Cre-type and layer (small circles) and grand mean (large circles).

We measured the peak amplitude of the deconvolved response for every pulse (cyan dots) and
normalized to the first pulse in the train in order to characterize short-term dynamics across four
frequencies. Fig 5C (top) highlights frequency dependent depression in recurrent Sim1
connections. We similarly measured recovery from short-term effects at various time delays (Fig
5B, bottom, cyan dots) for layer 2/3, Rorb, Sim1, and Tlx3 connections (Fig 5C, bottom). A
simple analysis of the magnitude of, and recovery from, short-term plasticity is shown in Fig 5D.
The amplitude ratio of the last pre-recovery interval pulse (8) to the first shows that layer 2/3
exhibits less depression than Rorb, Sim1, and Tlx3 (Fig 5D, left). All types showed a similar
time-course of recovery as measured by the ratio of the first recovery pulse (9) to the first
induction pulse (Fig 5D, right). Recovery also tended to be rapid in the first 250 ms followed by
a slower, incomplete recovery measured at 4 seconds (Fig 5C, middle).

To better capture the dynamic processes contributing to short-term plasticity and how they may
differ among connection types we turned to a model of short-term dynamics which has been
well described (Hennig, 2013; Mongillo et al., 2008; Richardson et al., 2005). Rorb, Sim1, and
Tlx3 synapses were modelled with depression (Eq. 4, methods) and use-dependent
replenishment (Eq. 5, methods). This model performed well (Fig 6A, Sim1 connections r^2 =
0.845, Table 4) in capturing depression during the 8 initial pulses at various frequencies (Fig 6A)
as well as modeling recovery at various delays (Fig 6A, circles). From this model we can extract
free parameters such as P_0 which describes release probability and τ_{r0} which describes the time
course of recovery from depression. Rorb connections had the largest release probability (P_0 =
0.30, Fig 6B, left) which is consistent with faster entry into depression (Fig 5C, bottom pulse 2).
Conversely, Tlx3 connections had a lower initial release probability (P_0 = 0.16) and recovered
more quickly (τ_{r0} = 0.736 s). Table 4 shows full results of the model across these 3 types as well
as calculated paired Z-scores (Eq. 6) for P_0 and τ_{r0}. The heterogeneity in layer 2/3 response
dynamics made it difficult to constrain the model and thus were not included in this analysis.
260

Figure 6. Modeling of short-term depression in recurrent Rorb, Sim1, and Tlx3 connections. **A**. Sim1 Cre average dynamic response; Same data as in Fig 5C, top plotted on a log-X time scale with modeling fits overlaid. **B**. Results of model for parameters P0 and τr0. Values are means with standard error of the covariance matrix. Paired Z-scores (Eq. 6) in Table 4.

Table 4: Model of short-term dynamics

Connection Type/Model Parameter	Rorb	Sim1	Tlx3
τ_{r0} (sec ± SE)	1.26 ± 0.292	3.45 ± 0.901	0.736 ± 0.365
P_0 (± SE)	0.30 ± 0.03	0.22 ± 0.02	0.16 ± 0.02
τ_{FDR} (ms ± SE)	130.6 ± 56.8	281.0 ± 128.1	179.3 ± 173.1
α_{FDR} (± SE)	0.85 ± 0.09	0.75 ± 0.11	0.40 ± 0.13
r^2	0.836	0.845	0.687
Rorb Z-score	P_0 \ τ_{r0}	2.31	1.12
Sim1 Z-score	2.02	P_0 \ τ_{r0}	2.79
Tlx3 Z-score	3.55	2.12	P_0 \ τ_{r0}

Discussion

We leveraged the sub-millisecond sampling, high gain, and low noise of multipatch recordings to investigate the functional connectivity and short-term dynamics of recurrent synapses in the adult mouse and human cortex. We observed sparse recurrent connections between excitatory neurons in layers 2/3 through 6 in adult mouse visual cortex and layers 2 through 6 of adult human cortex. We supplemented mouse multipatch experiments with high throughput 2P optogenetic stimulation to sample connectivity at greater distances than is generally feasible using the multipatch approach. The large majority of excitatory recurrent connections in mouse cortex exhibited short-term synaptic depression.

Recordings made from *in vitro* slice preparations offer a unique combination of temporal resolution, low resistance intracellular access, and long term stability. Although these features provide an excellent environment for multipatch recordings, there are also associated limitations that must be considered carefully. Estimates of connectivity derived from multipatch experiments in brain slices should be considered as a lower bound on the underlying population connectivity due to sensitivity to false negatives from several sources. These effects may contribute to differences in reported connectivity across studies. A fraction of synaptic connections are expected to be severed during slicing; one estimate of connectivity perturbed by slicing approaches 50% (Levy and Reyes 2012). The effect on measured connection

probability depends on the thickness of the slice, the depth of recorded cells from the cut
surface, the morphology of recorded cells, and the distance between them. Although we
minimize lost connections by patching deep in the slice (>40 μm) and by selecting cells in close
proximity, this is still a likely source of false negatives in our data. Another fraction of synapses
are expected to be either too weak (Isaac et al 1995) or too distal from the recording pipette to
be detected. The magnitude of this effect is difficult to estimate, but our initial analysis hints that
our methods are sensitive enough to capture the majority of synapses. To obtain more accurate
estimates of connectivity, it will be necessary to combine these results with other methods such
as *in vivo* multipatch recordings, transsynaptic tracing, and serial section electron microscopy.
These methods are also limited, but in each case the constraints are different and potentially
complementary.

There is a wide range of reported rates of recurrent connectivity among excitatory neurons in
rodent studies. One suggestion is that differences between the juvenile and adult rodent can
explain the variance (Jiang et al. 2016). To avoid changes associated with development, we
carried out our experiments in the adult (about two months old) cortex. Nevertheless, our
conclusion that recurrent connectivity is sparse but not absent is similar to results from
experiments in other adult (Cossell et al. 2015, Lee et al. 2016) and juvenile animals (Mason et
al. 1991, Holmgren et al. 2003, Song et al. 2005, Sjostrom et al. 2001, Morishima et al. 2011,
Perin et al. 2011, Lefort et al. 2009, Levy and Reyes 2012). A notable difference, however, is
that we never observed rates of recurrent connectivity as high or synaptic amplitudes as large
as those reported in juvenile rodents, consistent with the observation that the rate of recurrent
connectivity and synaptic strength declines with age (Burgeois and Rakic 1993, Reyes and
Sackman 1999).

Different rates of recurrent connectivity across neuronal classes may suggest a mechanism for
observed differences in their functional influence on downstream targets. In this context, it is
interesting that in the mouse, all three classes of deep projection neuron had different rates of
recurrent connectivity. This was approximately 10% in Sim1 (layer 5; subcortically projecting)
expressing cells, whereas Tlx3 expressing neurons (layer 5; intracortically projecting)
interconnect approximately half as frequently (~4%). Relatively high rates of recurrent
connectivity are not a generalizable property of subcortically projecting cells, as Ntsr1
expressing cells (layer 6) had the lowest rate of intralaminar connectivity among all excitatory
cell types tested here.

How do the rates of recurrent connectivity in mouse compare to other species? In human
cortex, we and others (Molnar et al. 2008) find that the frequency of connectivity among layer 3
excitatory neurons is at least two and half times greater than the highest connectivity rate
observed in the adult mouse. It may be that high rates of recurrent connectivity in layer 3 are a
circuit feature of higher mammals as this is also reported to be the case in cat and monkey
(Kisvarday et al 1986, McGuire et al 1991, Bopp et al 2014). Future work will examine how
short-term dynamics and/or inhibitory feedback may counterbalance recurrent excitatory
connectivity to prevent runaway excitation.

In our study, the distance dependent connection probability profiles observed in all excitatory types appear to fall off with distance and are consistent with connectivity depending on the extent of overlap between neighboring axons and dendrites (Peters 1979, Binzegger et al. 2004, Braitenberg and Schüz 1998, van Pelt and van Ooyen 2013). It is unlikely that the distance dependent connectivity profiles we observed are an artifact of tissue preparation as it has previously been demonstrated that the truncation of neuronal processes reduces overall connectivity but maintains the spatial pattern of connections (Stepanyants et al. 2009, Levy and Reyes 2012). Additionally, 2P optogenetic experiments allowed for interrogating potential connections at much larger distances. Further effort is needed to determine whether our connectivity profiles can be predicted from neuronal morphology.

Recurrent excitatory synapses most commonly exhibit short-term depression (Markram 1997, Reyes and Sackmann 1999, Lefort and Petersen 2017), which is thought to normalize the synaptic gain across different firing frequencies (Abbott et al. 1997) as well as minimize runaway excitation within recurrent circuit elements. In our sample, the rate of entry into depression varied among Cre types, which suggests differences in initial release probability. In support of this hypothesis, we also observed that Tlx3 expressing neurons exhibited the slowest entry into depression had the smallest initial EPSP amplitudes and a relatively high CV. Nevertheless, the short-term dynamics of the Cre expressing neurons were uniformly depressing, and their maximal depression was also similar. In contrast, layer 2/3 pyramidal cell STP was more evenly distributed between facilitating and depressing. This is in agreement with a previous study by Lefort and Petersen (2017). Although less common, facilitation in recurrent excitatory synapses has also been observed in the medial prefrontal cortex and is hypothesized to play a role in reverberant activity (Wang et al. 2006).

Ultimately, we seek a description of the cortical circuit from which mechanistic computational models can be built and hypotheses about cortical function can be tested. Although many parts of the circuit have been described in the past, incompatibilities between experiments have made it difficult to assemble a complete, coherent picture of the whole. We have taken steps toward ensuring that our results can be interpreted in the context of future experiments, but more work is needed to generate a consistent description of the cortical circuit. To that end, we have begun a large-scale project to replicate these measurements across a wider variety of cell types in the mouse and human cortex; the results of our early-stage data collection presented here suggest that systematic and standardized characterization will provide a detailed, quantitative, and comprehensive description of the circuit wiring diagrams and will facilitate the investigation of circuit computation.

Methods

Animals and Tissue Preparation

Adult mice of either sex (mean age P45 ± 4; SD) were housed and sacrificed according to protocols approved by the Institutional Care and Use Committee at the Allen Institute (Seattle, WA), in accordance with the National Institutes of Health guidelines. Transgenic mouse lines

were used for experimentation and chosen based on cortical layer specific expression and/or
known projection patterns. In the following mouse lines, subpopulations of excitatory neurons
are selectively labelled with fluorescent reporters (tdTomato or GFP): Tlx3-Cre_PL56;Ai14
(n=57), Sim1-Cre_KJ18;Ai14 (n=20), Rorb-T2A-tTA2;Ai63 (n=28), Ntsr1-Cre_GN220;Ai140
(n=10) (Allen Institute; see also http://connectivity.brain-map.org/transgenic). Two drivers, Sim1-
Cre (subcortical projecting; CS or PT type; Allen Brain Atlas, http://connectivity.brain-map.org/)
and Tlx3-Cre, (corticocortical projecting; CC or IT type; Kim et al 2015), were used to label layer
5 pyramidal cells, in order to sample projection-specific subpopulations. For optogenetic
experiments, Tlx3-Cre driver mice were bred with ROSA26-ZtTA/J mice (Jackson Laboratory)
and Ai136 mice (Daigle et. al 2017), in which a fusion of the ReaChR opsin (Lin 2013) with
EYFP is expressed from the TIGRE locus (Zeng et al. 2008) in a Cre- and tTA-dependent
manner. Previous studies have emphasized the differences in cortical connectivity particularly at
older ages. To assess whether age impacted the results reported here, a subset of experiments
were repeated for Sim1 connections in older mice (mean age 61 ± 1; SD, n=10). We saw no
difference in recurrent connectivity rate (<100 μm; P40-60: 36/423, >P60: 15/269, Fisher's p =
0.23) or response amplitude (P40-60: 0.53±0.12 mV, >P60: 0.59±0.2 mV, p = 0.98 KS test)
among across the two time points.

Animals were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane and then transcardially perfused with ice-cold
oxygenated artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) containing (in mM): 98 HCl, 96 N-methyl-d-
glucamine (NMDG), 2.5 KCl, 25 D-Glucose, 25 NaHCO$_3$, 17.5 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-
piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), 12 N-acetylcysteine, 10 MgSO$_4$, 5 Na-L-Ascorbate, 3
Myo-inositol, 3 Na Pyruvate, 2 Thiourea, 1.25 NaH$_2$PO$_4$·H2O, 0.5 CaCl$_2$, and 0.01 taurine
(aCSF 1). All aCSF solutions were bubbled with carbogen (95% O2; 5% CO2).

Acute parasagittal slices (350 μm) containing primary visual cortex from the right hemisphere
were prepared with a Compresstome (Precisionary Instruments) in ice-cold aCSF 1 solution at a
slice angle of 17º relative to the sagittal plane in order to preserve pyramidal cell apical
dendrites. Slices were then allowed to recover for 10 minutes in a holding chamber (BSK 12,
Scientific Systems Design) containing oxygenated aCSF 1 maintained at 34°C (Ting et al.
2014). After recovery, slices were kept in room temperature oxygenated aCSF holding solution
(aCSF 2) containing (in mM): 94 NaCl, 25 D-Glucose, 25 NaHCO$_3$, 14 HEPES, 12.3 N-
acetylcysteine, 5 Na-L-Ascorbate, 3 Myo-inositol, 3 Na Pyruvate, 2.5 KCl, 2 CaCl$_2$, 2 MgSO$_4$, 2
Thiourea, 1.25 NaH$_2$PO$_4$ • H$_2$O, 0.01 Taurine for a minimum of one hour prior to recording.

Human tissue surgically resected from adult cortex was obtained from patients undergoing
neurosurgical procedures for the treatment of symptoms associated with epilepsy or tumor.
Data were collected from 67 total slices from 22 surgical cases (17 epilepsy, 5 tumor, mean age
40 ± 17 years; SD). Tissue obtained from surgery was distal to the core pathological tissue and
was deemed by the physician not to be of diagnostic value. Specimens were derived from the
temporal lobe (13 epilepsy, 4 tumor) and the frontal lobe (4 epilepsy, 1 tumor). Specimens were
placed in a sterile container filled with prechilled (2-4°C) aCSF 3 containing decreased sodium
replaced with NMDG to reduce oxidative damage (Zhao et al., 2011) composed of (in mM): 92
NMDG, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 30 NaHCO$_3$, 20 HEPES, 25 glucose, 2 thiourea, 5 Na-
ascorbate, 3 Na-pyruvate, 0.5 CaCl$_2$·4H$_2$O and 10 MgSO$_4$·7H2O. pH was titrated to 7.3–7.4 with

HCl and the osmolality was 300-305 mOsmoles/Kg. Surgical specimens were transported (10-
40 minutes) from the surgical site to the laboratory while continuously bubbled with carbogen.

Resected human tissue specimens were trimmed to isolate specific regions of interest, and
larger specimens were cut into multiple pieces before trimming. Specimens were mounted in
order to best preserve intact cortical columns (spanning pial surface to white matter) before
being sliced in aCSF 3 using a Compresstome. Slices were then transferred to oxygenated
aCSF 3 maintained at 34°C for 10 minutes. Slices were kept in room temperature oxygenated
aCSF holding solution (aCSF 4) containing, in mM: 92 NaCl, 30 $NaHCO_3$, 25 D-Glucose, 20
HEPES, 5 Na-L-Ascorbate, 3 Na Pyruvate, 2.5 KCl, 2 $CaCl_2$, 2 $MgSO_4$, 2 Thiourea, 1.2
$NaH_2PO_4 \cdot H_2O$ for a minimum of one hour prior to recording.

Electrophysiological Recordings

Recording slices from mouse and human tissue were processed in largely the same manner,
with a key difference being the external calcium concentration used for recording. Human slices
were held in aCSF containing 1.3 mM calcium while mouse slices utilized 2.0 mM calcium.
Below we discuss the full preparation for slice processing as well as the rationale for this
calcium difference.

Slices were transferred to custom recording chambers perfused (2 mL/min) with aCSF
maintained at 31-33°C, pH 7.2-7.3, and 30-50% oxygen saturation (as measured in the
recording chamber). aCSF (aCSF 5) contained (in mM), 1.3 or 2 $CaCl_2$ (2.0 in mouse
experiments and either 1.3 or 2.0 in human experiments), 12.5 D-Glucose, 1 or 2 $MgSO_4$, 1.25
$NaH_2PO_4 \cdot H_2O$, 3 KCl, 18 $NaHCO_3$, 126 NaCl, 0.16 Na L-Ascorbate.

The concentration of calcium in the external recording solution affects release probability and
other aspects of synaptic dynamics (Borst 2010, Pala & Petersen 2015, Jouhanneau 2015,
Urban-Ciecko et al 2015). Although concentrations close to 1 mM are expected to most closely
approximate *in vivo*-like synaptic dynamics, most prior multipatch studies used elevated calcium
concentrations to increase the strength of synaptic currents and improve throughput. In our
mouse recordings, we used 2.0 mM $CaCl_2$ to be consistent with previous connectivity studies
(Markram et. al 1997, Reyes and Sakmann 1999, Perin et. al 2011, Jiang et. al 2015) and to
help ensure the success of our system integration test. In a limited test among recurrent Sim1
connections, the difference in synapse strength was statistically insignificant between 2.0 mM
and 1.3 mM $CaCl_2$ (2.0mM $CaCl_2$ single-pulse amplitude = 501 ± 108 µV, n=9; 1.3mM $CaCl_2$ =
383 ± 90 µV, n=15; KS test p = 0.47). We selected 1.3 mM $[Ca^{++}]_e$ for our human experiments
because of reports that the synaptic strength is higher than in mouse and to minimize the
complex events that can be initiated by individual spikes in human tissue (Molnar et al. 2008)
that make identifying monosynaptic connectivity challenging. Future mouse recordings will also
be carried out at 1.3 mM $[Ca^{++}]_e$ in order to capture the physiological synaptic dynamics.

Slices were visualized using oblique infrared illumination using 40x or 4x objectives (Olympus)
on a custom motorized stage (Scientifica), and images were captured using a digital sCMOS
camera (Hamamatsu). Pipette positioning, imaging, and subsequent image analysis were

performed using the python platform acq4 (acq4.org, Campagnola et al. 2014). Eight electrode
headstages (Axon Instruments) were arranged around the recording chamber, fitted with
custom headstage shields to reduce crosstalk artifacts, and independently controlled using triple
axis motors (Scientifica). Signals were amplified using Multiclamp 700B amplifiers (Molecular
Devices) and digitized at 50-200kHz using ITC-1600 digitizers (Heka). Pipette pressure was
controlled using electro pneumatic pressure control valves (Proportion-Air) and manually
applied mouth pressure.

Recording pipettes were pulled from thick-walled filamented borosilicate glass (Sutter
Instruments) using a DMZ Zeitz-Puller (Zeitz) to a tip resistance of 3-8 MΩ (diameter ~1.25 µm),
and filled with internal solution containining (in mM): 130 K-gluconate, 10 HEPES, 0.3 ethylene
glycol-bis(β-aminoethyl ether)-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid (EGTA), 3 KCl, 0.23 Na$_2$GTP, 6.35
Na$_2$Phosphocreatine, 3.4 Mg-ATP, 13.4 Biocytin, and either 25 µM Alexa-594 (for optogenetic
experiments), 50 µM Cascade Blue dye, or 50 µM Alexa-488 (osmolarity between 280 and 295
mOsm titrated with ~4 mM sucrose, pH between 7.2 and 7.3 titrated with ~10 mM KOH). The
liquid junction potential between our internal solution and aCSF 5 was measured to be 9.40 mV
± 0.59; SD. All electrophysiological values are reported without junction potential correction.

In experiments on human tissue and wild-type mice, clusters of up to 8 excitatory neurons
(depth from slice surface ≥ 40 µm) were selected based on cortical layer and somatic
appearance. In transgenic mice, cells were also targeted based on fluorescent reporter
expression. All cells were confirmed as excitatory post-experiment either by their synaptic
currents onto other recorded neurons (Fig S1A) or by their pyramidal morphology, visualized
using either biocytin (Fig 1A) or fluorescent dye from the pipette (Fig 1B). Cell intrinsic
fluorescence was confirmed post-hoc via manual inspection of image stacks to evaluate signal
overlap of the transgenic fluorescent reporter and the fluorescent dye introduced via pipettes
(Fig 1B). Whole-cell patch clamp electrophysiological recordings were performed at -70 mV to
preferentially measure excitatory inputs. Custom software, Multi-channel Igor Electrophysiology
Suite, written in Igor Pro (WaveMetrics), was used for data acquisition and pipette pressure
regulation. A brief, 10 ms test pulse was used to monitor access and input resistance over the
duration of the recording. Resting membrane potential was maintained within 2 mV using
automated bias current injection during the inter-trial interval. During recordings, cells were
stimulated using brief current injections (1.5 or 3 ms) to drive trains of 12 action potentials
(Figure S1A) at frequencies of 10, 20, 50, or 100 Hz to induce short-term plasticity (STP). A
delay period inserted between the 8th and 9th pulses allowed testing of recovery from STP. In
most recordings this delay period was 250 ms; for 50 Hz stimulation, longer delay periods (500,
1000, 2000, and 4000 ms) were used as well (see Fig 5A). Stimuli were interleaved between
cells such that only one cell was spiking at a time, and no two cells were ever evoked to spike
within 150 ms of each other.

Data Analysis

Postsynaptic recording traces were aligned to the time of the presynaptic spike evoked from the
stimuli described above (Figure S1B). Postsynaptic potentials (PSPs) were identified by manual

inspection of individual and averaged pulse-response trials. A classifier (described below) was
later used to highlight possible identification errors, which were then manually corrected.
Connection probabilities within 100 µm intersomatic distance were compared between cell types
using Fisher's exact test of 2x2 contingency tables (connected, unconnected). Bonferroni
method was used to report corrected p values for multiple comparisons among cell types. The
relationship between connectivity and intersomatic distance (measured from 3D cell positions)
was analyzed by binning connections in 40 µm windows and calculating the 95% Jeffreys
Bayesian confidence interval for each bin.

Subsets of the 97 mouse and 57 human connections found in this study were analyzed for
strength, kinetics, and STP based on specific quality control criteria (Fig S1C-G, Table 1). EPSP
strength, kinetics, and coefficient of variance (CV) measurements (Fig 1 and 3) were conducted
on the first pulse response of 10, 20, and 50 Hz stimulation trains which were time-aligned to
the presynaptic spike and averaged for each connection. Connections were included for
strength and kinetics analysis according to the analysis flowchart in Fig S1E and F. Briefly, the
postsynaptic cell had an auto bias current less than 800 pA (mean bias current -176 ± 213 pA),
there was no spontaneous spiking, the stimulus artifact was minimal (<30 µV), and the PSP was
positive. Individual recording sweeps were included if the baseline potential drift was smaller
than ± 5 mV from holding (-70 mV) and the mean baseline 10 ms preceding stimulation was
less than 3 standard deviations of the mean baseline across sweeps. In the QC passed data,
strength and kinetics were measured from a double exponential fit that approximates the shape
of the PSP:

$$y(t) \; = \; A \, (1 - e^{-(t-t_0)/\tau_r})^2 \; * \; e^{-(t-t_0)/\tau_d} \hspace{2cm} (1)$$

Best fit parameters were obtained using the Non-Linear Least-Squares Minimization and Curve-
Fitting package for Python (LMFIT; Newville et al., 2014). To improve the quality of fitting, the
root mean square error was weighted (WRMSE) differently throughout the trace. The rising
phase of the PSP was most heavily weighted, the baseline and decay regions were
intermediately weighted, and the region of the presynaptic stimulus, which often contained
crosstalk artifacts was masked. Amplitude was measured as the peak of the PSP fit (Fig S1B).
Kinetics were measured from connections in which the WRMSE of the fit was less than 8.
Latency is reported as the duration from the point of maximum *dV/dt* in the presynaptic spike
until the foot of the PSP (Fig S1B), taken from the x-offset in the double exponential fit. Rise
time is reported as the duration from 20% of the peak until 80% of the peak of the PSP (Fig
S1B). Significance of differences in PSP amplitude, latency, and rise time across layers or Cre-
types were assessed with a Kruskal-Wallis test.

STP (Fig 5 and 7) was measured from a similar subset of connections that included the quality
control criteria above and also excluded responses smaller than 0.5 mV in amplitude to
minimize the effect of noise on mean response which might impact the model (Fig S1G, Eq. 4
and 5). Connections or individual sweeps that had a baseline holding potential of -55 mV (± 5
mV) were reintroduced for this analysis if they met the QC criteria. Normalized PSP amplitudes
(relative to the first pulse) were estimated using an exponential deconvolution ($\square$=15 ms;

Richardson et al., 2008) to compensate for summation from prior PSPs and to increase signal-
to-noise in measuring PSP amplitudes:

$$D(t) = V + \tau \frac{dV}{dt} \qquad (2)$$

Although the fixed deconvolution time constant of 15 ms may differ significantly from the actual
time constant of each cell, in practice this has little effect on the normalized amplitudes used in
STP measurements (for example, using this time constant to measure amplitudes from a
simulated 100Hz train with a cell time constant of 30 ms only resulted in 3% error in the
measurement of PSP amplitudes relative to the first pulse; data not shown). The peak
amplitudes from the deconvolved traces were used to measure the change in response
magnitude over the course of stimulus trains. We measured the magnitude of short-term
depression or facilitation using the ratio between the first and last (eighth) pulses in an induction
pulse train, whereas recovery from depression or facilitation was measured by the ratio between
the first pulse and the ninth pulse, which followed a recovery delay. Kruskal-Wallis tests were
used to assess significance of STP between multiple layers. A descriptive model was used to
capture features of short-term depression in Rorb, Sim1, and Tlx3 connections (Eq. 4 and 5).

Automatic synapse detection

To aid in the detection of synaptic connections, a support vector machine classifier
(implemented with the "sklearn" python package, Pedregosa et al. 2011) was trained to
discriminate between experiments in which synaptic currents were either visible or not visible to
a human annotator. The classifier required a diverse set of features that were pre-processed
from the raw pulse response recordings. Averaged pulse responses were characterized by
curve fitting (Eq. 1; Fig. 1B) and the fit parameters as well as the normalized RMS error were
provided as features to the classifier. Additionally, individual pulse response recordings were
analyzed by measuring the amplitude and time of the peak of each exponentially deconvolved
response over a 3 ms window, compared to a 10 ms window preceding the stimulus pulse (Fig.
1B, bottom). Although these individual measurements were often noisy (average background
RMS noise 607±419 µV), their distribution over hundreds of trials could be compared to similar
distributions measured from background noise (e.g. Fig. 4C). Distributions were compared using
a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (from the "scipy.stats" Python package) and the p values were used
as input features for the classifier.

After training on 1854 manually labeled examples, the classifier was tested against a withheld
set of 2642 examples and achieved an overall accuracy of 95% (56/61 true positive, connected;
2457/2581 true negative, not connected). False positives and negatives were manually
reassessed and frequently found to have been misclassified during the initial manual
annotation; such instances were corrected for in measurements of connectivity.

Analysis of synapse detection sensitivity

To measure the minimum detectable PSP size for each connection probed, artificial PSPs were added to recordings of background noise taken from the postsynaptic cell. PSPs were generated using Equation 1 with a foot-to-peak rise time of 2 ms (except where specified in Fig 3D). PSP latencies were selected from a gaussian distribution centered at 2 ms with a 200 µs standard deviation. PSP amplitudes were generated by the product of two random variables: one binomially distributed (p=0.2, n=24) to mimic stochastic vesicle release, and the other normally distributed (mean=1, SD=0.3) to account for differences in vesicle size and receptor efficacy. PSPs were then scaled uniformly to achieve a specific mean amplitude. The resulting simulated responses were qualitatively similar to typical synaptic responses encountered in our dataset, although they lacked the synapse-to-synapse variability in CV, due to the selection of fixed distribution parameters listed above.

For each connection probed, the number of simulated PSPs generated was the same as the number of presynaptic spikes elicited during the experiment. These PSPs were then fed through the same preprocessing and classification system that was used to detect synaptic connections in real data, and the classification probability was calculated from the classifier (using sklearn.svm.SVC.predict_proba). This process was repeated 8 times (with PSPs generated randomly each time) and the average classification probability was recorded. This yields an estimate of whether a synaptic connection would be detected or overlooked, given the combination of sample count, background noise characteristics, and PSP strength and kinetics.

By repeating this process for several different values of mean PSP amplitude, we could identify, for each putative connection probed, a plausible minimum detectable PSP amplitude. This minimum detectable amplitude was defined as the PSP amplitude at which the classifier would detect the synapse in 50% of trials (interpolated from adjacent amplitudes).

PSP amplitude run-down over duration of experiment

The amplitude of the PSPs initiated by the first pulse of the stimulus trains was characterized over time for the same subset of connections described for the strength and kinetics analysis. In order to discount variations in the measurements of individual first pulses, the run-down was characterized via a linear regression of PSP amplitude versus time. Here, the total run-down is reported as the percentage decrease in amplitude of a PSP at the beginning and end of an experiment (average duration was 24.4 ± 10.8 minutes) specified by the linear regression, i.e. percent run-down,

$$Percent\ Decay = \frac{PSP_{final} - PSP_{initial}}{PSP_{initial}} * 100 \qquad (3)$$

All synapses where the beginning and ending PSP amplitude straddled zero, which can happen for the weaker synapses, were excluded from analysis as they produce aberrant percentage values. On median, the following overall run-downs were observed: layer 2/3 to layer 2/3 connections 11%, Rorb to Rorb 31%, Sim1 to Sim1 22%, Tlx3 to Tlx3 16%.

Theoretical Synaptic Modelling

Synaptic depression was modelled via depletion of vesicles (Hennig, 2013; Mongillo et al., 2008; Richardson et al., 2005),

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = \frac{1-n}{\tau_r} - P_0 n \delta(t - t_k) \tag{4}$$

where n is the fraction of available vesicles, P_0 is the release probability, t_k is the time of presynaptic spike and τ_r is the time constant for vesicle replenishment. The speed of replenishment can vary over time depending on the history of presynaptic spikes, which can be captured by time constant τ_r evolving according to Eq. 5 (Fuhrmann et al., 2002; Hennig, 2013),

$$\frac{d\tau_r}{dt} = \frac{\tilde{\tau}_{r_0} - \tau_r}{\tau_{FDR}} - a_{FDR} \tau_r \delta(t - t_k) \tag{5}$$

where τ_{FDR} is the time constant of use-dependent replenishment, a_{FDR} represents the amount of updates elicited by a presynaptic spike and $\tilde{\tau}_{r_0}$ is the baseline time constant.

For Rorb, Sim1, and Tlx3 synapses, we optimized the parameters (P_0, $\tilde{\tau}_{r_0}$, τ_{FDR} and a_{FDR}) to account for time courses of PSPs. Specifically, PSPs were averaged over all available synapses depending on stimulation frequencies and delays between 8th and 9th presynaptic pulses and fitted to the model. We used LMFIT (Newville et al., 2014) to perform non-linear least-square minimization.

Paired Z-scores for P_0 and τ_{r_0} were calculated from the standard error returned during parameter optimization according to Eq 6.,

$$\text{Z-score} = \frac{|X_1 - X_2|}{\sqrt{SE_1^2 + SE_2^2}} \tag{6}$$

Where X_1 and X_2 are P_0 or τ_{r_0} for 2 groups and their associated standard error.

Histology and Morphology

After completing electrophysiological recordings, slices were transferred from the recording chamber and fixed in solution containing 4% PFA and 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 2 days (>40 hours) at 4°C. After fixation, slices were transferred and washed in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) solution for 1-7 days.

Sections were processed using 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) peroxidase substrate kit to identify recorded neurons filled with biocytin. Free floating sections were first incubated with 5 µM 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) in PBS for 15 minutes at room temperature and then triple washed in PBS (3 x 10 minutes). Sections were transferred to a 1% H2O2 (in PBS) for 30

minutes and then triple washed in PBS. A DAB substrate kit (VectorLabs) was used to stain for
neurons filled with biocytin. Sections were mounted on gelatin-coated slides and coverslipped
with Aqua-Poly/Mount (Polysciences).

Slides were imaged on an AxioImager Z2 microscope (Zeiss) equipped with an Axiocam 506
camera (Zeiss) and acquired via the Zeiss Efficient Navigation software. Tiled mosaic images of
whole slices were acquired via automated scanning and stitching of several 20X images to
generate both brightfield and DAPI images of the entire slice.

Two photon optogenetic experiments

Connectivity mapping experiments were performed on a two-photon laser scanning microscope
(Bruker Corp) with a tunable pulsed Ti:Sapphire laser (Chameleon Ultra, Coherent) for imaging,
and a fixed wavelength (1060 nm) pulsed laser (Fidelity Femtosecond, Coherent) for
stimulation. A 63x, 1.0 NA water immersion objective (Zeiss) was used for all experiments. Two-
photon images were acquired with PrairieView software (Bruker Corp), and stimulation targets
were manually placed on these reference images to target ReaChR-positive cells.
Photoactivation stimuli were triggered by a TTL pulse generated within MIES acquisition
software. The voltage output controlling the photoactivation Pockels cell was recorded within
MIES for post-hoc alignment of physiological recordings with the timing of photoactivation. To
characterize the effectiveness and specificity of stimulation parameters, we made loose seal
recordings on to EYFP/ReaChR-labelled neurons (Figure S2A). For all data presented here, the
photostimulation pattern consisted of a spiral 5 μm in diameter with 5 revolutions traced over a
25 ms duration. We first determined the minimum light power necessary to evoke reliable firing
of action potentials. This minimum power varied across cells from 2.6 -20.3 mW (Figure S2B). A
photo-stimulus of 18 mW intensity was sufficient to evoke spiking in 92% of cells tested (12/13
cells). The average latency of firing at this power was 12.9 ± 6.1 ms and the associated jitter
was 0.98 ± 0.58 ms (Figure S2C,D).

Within the same experiments, we characterized the spatial specificity of these stimulation
parameters. First, to determine the probability of off-target photoactivation of cells within the
same focal plane, we delivered stimuli in a radial grid pattern containing 7 spokes with stimuli
spaced 10, 20 and 30 μm away from the center of the recorded cell (Figure S2E). Spike
probability fell to 0.5 at a lateral distance of 12.0 μm . Finally, we determined the axial resolution
of our photoactivation paradigm by offsetting the focus of the objective relative to the recorded
cell. Consistent with previous studies, (Packer et al., 2012; Prakash et al., 2012) axial resolution
was inferior compared to lateral resolution (spike probability = 0.5 at 26.7 μm) but was still near
cellular resolution (Figure S2F).

For two photon mapping experiments, 1-2 neurons were patched and membrane potential was
maintained near -70 mV with auto bias current injection. Neurons were filled with 50 μM Alexa-
594 to visualize cell morphology (Figure S3A). The orientation of the apical dendrite was utilized
to align photostimulation sites across experiments in downstream analyses. Each putative
presynaptic neuron was stimulated 10-20 times, with the parameters described above.
Photostimulation was performed in 'rounds' during which EYFP-labelled neurons within a single

field of view were sequentially targeted (3-12 neurons/round). Stimulation protocols were
constrained such that the inter-stimulus interval between neurons was ≥ 2 s and the inter-
stimulus interval for a given neuron was ≥ 10 s.

Photostimulus responses were scored as connection, no connection or as containing a direct
stimulation artifact by manual annotation. To assist in these user-generated calls, we
incorporated a signal-to-noise measure for our optogenetic mapping data. Current clamp traces
were low pass filtered at 1 kHz and baseline subtracted. The voltage-deconvolution technique
(Eq. 2) was then applied. The value of τ was set between 10-40 ms. Deconvolved traces were
high pass filtered at 30 Hz, and peaks larger than 3 standard deviations above pre-stimulus
baseline were used for further analysis (Fig 4 S3B,C). We then measured the number of peaks
in both 'signal' and 'noise' regions. The 'signal' region was a 100 ms window 5-105 ms after the
onset of the photostimulus, and the 'noise' region was a 100 ms window 145-45 ms before the
stimulus onset. To compensate for jitter known to be present in two-photon mediated
stimulation, we determined a 10 ms subset within each 100 ms window that gave the maximum
number of unique trials containing threshold-crossing events. The median of the peak within this
10 ms window was found across all trials in both 'signal' and 'noise' regions, and the mean of a
25 ms window preceding both regions was subtracted to produce our final signal and noise
values.

We plotted the signal against the noise for all stimulus locations (Fig 4 S3D), and found that
most points with a high signal-to-noise ratio contained either a synaptic response or an artifact
produced by direct stimulation of the recorded (opsin-expressing) cell. 93% (13/14) manually
identified connections had a signal to noise ratio > 1.5 (Fig 4 S3E). By contrast, the same was
true of only 1.7% (24/1416) of cells scored as 'no connection'. Therefore, our signal to noise
analyses highlight quantitatively distinct features of our connection calls. The presence of direct
stimulation artifacts prevents us from unambiguously identifying synaptic connections between
nearby neurons. Therefore, when estimating connection probability by two-photon optogenetics,
we did not include putative presynaptic cells within 50 μm of the recorded neuron where the
direct stimulation artifact was largest (Fig 4F).

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Allen Institute founder, Paul G. Allen, for his vision, encouragement and
support. Thank you to the Allen Institute for Brain Science Tissue Processing, Histology,
Imaging, and Morphology and 3D reconstruction teams for preparing and processing mouse
tissue. We further thank the Tissue Procurement, Tissue Processing, and Facilities teams for
help in coordinating the logistics of human surgical tissue collection, transport, and processing.
We are also grateful to our collaborators at the local hospital sites, including Tracie Granger,
Caryl Tongco, Matt Ormond, Jae-Guen Yoon, Nathan Hansen, Niki Ellington, Rachel Iverson
(Swedish Medical Center), Carolyn Bea, Gina DeNoble and Allison Beller (Harborview Medical
Center). We thank Dirk C. Keene at Harborview/UW for consultation and support.This work was

supported by the Allen Institute for Brain Science, National Institutes of Health grant
U01MH105982 to H.Z., and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute grant to GJM.

Competing Interests

704 The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

706 Abbott, Varela, Sen, Nelson (1997) Synaptic depression and cortical gain control. Science
707 275:221–224.
708
709 Barth, Burkhalter, Callaway, Connors, Cauli, DeFelipe, Feldmeyer, Freund, Kawaguchi,
710 Kisvarday, Kubota, McBain, Oberlaender, Rossier, Rudy, Staiger, Somogyi, Tamas, Yuste
711 (2016) Comment on "Principles of connectivity among morphologically defined cell types in adult
712 neocortex." Science 353:1108–1108.
713
714 Biane, Takashima, Scanziani, Conner, Tuszynski (2016) Thalamacortical projections exhibit
715 plasticity onto behaviorally-relevant neurons during adult motor learning. Neuron 89(6):1173-
716 1179.
717
718 Binzegger, Douglas, Martin (2004) A quantitative map of the circuit of cat primary visual cortex.
719 The Journal of Neuroscience 24:8441–8453.
720
721 Bopp, Costa, Kampa, KAC M, Roth. 2014. Pyramidal Cells Make Specific Connections onto
722 Smooth (GABAergic) Neurons in Mouse Visual Cortex. PLoS Biology 12:e1001932.
723
724 Borst (2010) The low synaptic release probability in vivo. Trends in Neurosciences 33:259–266.
725
726 Braitenburg, Schüz (1998) Cortex: statistics and geometry of neuronal connectivity, 2nd
727 Edition. Berlin: Springer.
728
729 Brunel (2016) Is cortical connectivity optimized for storing information? Nature Neuroscience
730 19:749–755.
731
732 Campagnola, Kratz, Manis. 2014. ACQ4: an open-source software platform for data acquisition
733 and analysis in neurophysiology research. Frontiers in Neuroinformatics 8:3.
734
735 Camperi, Wang (1998) A model of visuospatial working memory in prefrontal cortex: recurrent
736 network and cellular bistability. Journal of Computational Neuroscience 5(4):383-405.
737
738 Cossell, Iacaruso, Muir, Houlton, Sader, Ko, Hofer, Mrsic-Flogel (2015) Functional organization
739 of excitatory synaptic strength in primary visual cortex. Nature 518:399–403.
740

741 Daigle, Madisen, Hage, Valley, Knoblich, Larsen, Takeno, Huang, Gu, Larsen, Mills, Bosma-
742 Moody, Siverts, Walker, Graybuck, Yao, Fong, Garren, Lenz, Chavarha, Pendergraft,
743 Harrington, Hirokawa, Harris, McGraw, Ollerenshaw, Smith, Baker, Ting, Sunkin, Lecoq, Lin,
744 Boyden, Murphy, Costa, Waters, Li, Tasic, Zeng (2017) A suite of transgenic driver and reporter
745 mouse lines with enhanced brain cell type targeting and functionality. bioRxiv:224881.
746
747 Fuhrmann, Segev, Markram, Tsodyks (2002) Coding of temporal information by activity-
748 dependent synapses. Journal of Neurophysiology 87:140–148.
749
750 Galarreta, Hestrin (1998) Frequency-dependent synaptic depression and the balance of
751 excitation and inhibition in the neocortex. Nature Neuroscience 1:587–594.
752
753 Hennig (2013) Theoretical models of synaptic short term plasticity. Frontiers in Computational
754 Neuroscience 7:45.
755
756 Holmgren, Harkany, Svennenfors, Zilberter (2003) Pyramidal cell communication within local
757 networks in layer 2/3 of rat neocortex. The Journal of Physiology 551:139–153.
758
759 Isaac, Nicoll, Malenka. 1995. Evidence for silent synapses: Implications for the expression of
760 LTP. Neuron 15:427–434.
761
762 Jiang, Shen, Cadwell, Berens, Sinz, Ecker, Patel, Tolias (2015) Principles of connectivity among
763 morphologically defined cell types in adult neocortex. Science 350:aac9462.
764
765 Jiang, Shen, Sinz, Reimer, Cadwell, Berens, Ecker, Patel, Denfield, Froudarakis, Li, Walker,
766 Tolias (2016) Response to Comment on "Principles of connectivity among morphologically
767 defined cell types in adult neocortex." Science 353:1108–1108.
768
769 Jouhanneau, Kremkow, Dorrn, Poulet (2015) In vivo monosynaptic excitatory transmission
770 between layer 2 cortical pyramidal neurons. Cell Reports 13:2098–2106.
771
772 Kim, Juavinett, Kyubwa, Jacobs, Callaway (2015) Three types of cortical layer 5 neurons that
773 differ in brain-wide connectivity and function. Neuron 88(6):1253-1267.
774
775 Kisvárday, KAC M, Freund, Maglóczky, Whitteridge, Somogyi. 1986. Synaptic targets of HRP-
776 filled layer III pyramidal cells in the cat striate cortex. Experimental Brain Research 64:541–552.
777
778 Lee, Bonin, Reed, Graham, Hood, Glattfelder, Reid (2016) Anatomy and function of an
779 excitatory network in the visual cortex. Nature 532(7599:370-374.
780
781 Lefort, Tomm, Sarria, Petersen (2009) The excitatory neuronal network of the C2 barrel column
782 in mouse primary somatosensory cortex. Neuron 61:301–316.
783

784   Lefort, Petersen (2017) Layer-dependent short-term synaptic plasticity between excitatory
785   neurons in the C2 barrel column of mouse primary somatosensory cortex. Cerebral Cortex
786   27:3869-3878.
787
788   Levy, Reyes (2012) Spatial profile of excitatory and inhibitory synaptic connectivity in mouse
789   primary auditory cortex. The Journal of Neuroscience 32:5609–5619.
790
791   Lin, Knutsen, Muller, Kleinfeld, Tsien (2013) ReaChR: a red-shifted variant of channelrhodopsin
792   enables deep transcranial optogenetic excitation. Nature Neuroscience 16:1499–1508.
793
794   Markram (1997) A network of tufted layer 5 pyramidal neurons. Cerebral Cortex 7:523–533.
795
796   Markram et al. (2015) Reconstruction and simulation of neocortical microcircuitry. Cell 163:456–
797   492.
798
799   Mason, Nicoll, Stratford (1991) Synaptic transmission between individual pyramidal neurons of
800   the rat visual cortex in vitro. The Journal of Neuroscience 11:72–84.
801
802   McGuire, Gilbert, Rivlin, Wiesel. 1991. Targets of horizontal connections in macaque primary
803   visual cortex. Journal of Comparative Neurology 305:370–392.
804
805   Molnár, Oláh, Komlósi, Füle, Szabadics, Varga, Barzó, Tamás (2008) Complex events initiated
806   by individual spikes in the human cerebral cortex. PLoS Biology 6:e222.
807
808   Mongillo, Barak, Tsodyks (2008) Synaptic theory of working memory. Science 319:1543–1546.
809
810   Morishima, Morita, Kubota, Kawaguchi (2011) Highly differentiated projection-specific cortical
811   subnetworks. The Journal of Neuroscience 31:10380–10391.
812
813   Newville, Stensitzki, Allen, Ingargiola (2014) LMFIT: Non-linear least-square minimization and
814   curve-fitting for Python.
815
816   Olshausen, Field (1996) Emergence of simple-cell receptive field properties by learning a
817   sparse code for natural images. Nature 381:607-609.
818
819   Packer, Peterka, Hirtz, Prakash, Deisseroth, Yuste (2012) Two-photon optogenetics of dendritic
820   spines and neural circuits. Nature Methods 9:nmeth.2249.
821
822   Pala, Petersen (2015) In vivo measurement of cell-type-specific synaptic connectivity and
823   synaptic transmission in layer 2/3 mouse barrel cortex. Neuron 85:68–75.
824   Pedregosa, Varoquaux, Gramfort, Michel, Thirion, Grisel, Blondel, Louppe, Prettenhofer, Weiss,
825   Dubourg, Vanderplas, Passos, Cournapeau, Brucher, Perrot, É D. 2012. Scikit-learn: Machine
826   Learning in Python.
827

828   Perin, Berger, Markram (2011) A synaptic organizing principle for cortical neuronal groups.
829   Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 108:5419–5424.
830
831   Pernice, RAD S (2018) Interpretation of correlated neural variability from models of feed-forward
832   and recurrent circuits. PLoS Computational Biology 14:e1005979.
833
834   Peters (1979) Thalamic input to the cerebral cortex. Trends in Neurosciences 2:183–185.
835
836   Prakash, Yizhar, Grewe, Ramakrishnan, Wang, Goshen, Packer, Peterka, Yuste, Schnitzer,
837   Deisseroth (2012) Two-photon optogenetic toolbox for fast inhibition, excitation and bistable
838   modulation. Nature Methods 9:nmeth.2215.
839
840   Reyes, Lujan, Rozov, Burnashev, Somogyi, Sakmann (1998) Target-cell-specific facilitation and
841   depression in neocortical circuits. Nature Neuroscience 1:279–285.
842
843   Reyes, Sakmann (1999) Developmental switch in the short-term modification of unitary EPSPs
844   evoked in layer 2/3 and layer 5 pyramidal neurons of rat neocortex. The Journal of
845   Neuroscience 19:3827–3835.
846
847   Richardson, Melamed, Silberberg, Gerstner, and Markram (2005) Short-term synaptic plasticity
848   orchestrates the response of pyramidal cells and interneurons to population bursts. Journal of
849   Computational Neuroscience 18:323–331.
850
851   Richardson, Silberberg (2008) Measurement and analysis of postsynaptic potentials using a
852   novel voltage-deconvolution method. Journal of Neurophysiology 99:1020-1031.
853
854   Sejnowski, Koch, Churchland (1988) Computational neuroscience. Science 241:1299–1306.
855
856   Sjostrom, Turrigiano, Nelson (2001) Rate, timing, and cooperativity jointly determine cortical
857   synaptic plasticity. Neuron 32(6):1149-1164.
858
859   Song, Sjöström, Reigl, Nelson, Chklovskii (2005) Highly nonrandom features of synaptic
860   connectivity in local cortical circuits. PLoS Biology 3:e68.
861
862   Stepanyants, Martinez, Ferecskó, Kisvárday (2009) The fractions of short- and long-range
863   connections in the visual cortex. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106:3555–
864   3560.
865
866   Tasic et al. (2016) Adult mouse cortical cell taxonomy revealed by single cell transcriptomics.
867   Nature Neuroscience 19:335–346.
868
869   Ting, Daigle, Chen, Feng (2014) Methods in Molecular Biology. Methods in molecular biology
870   (Clifton, NJ) 1183:221–242.
871

872   Urban-Ciecko, Fanselow, Barth (2015) Neocortical somatostatin neurons reversible silence
873   excitatory transmission via GABAb receptors. Current Biology 25(6):722-731
874
875   van Pelt, van Ooyen (2013) Estimating neuronal connectivity from axonal and dendritic density
876   fields. Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience 7:160.
877
878   Wang, Markram, Goodman, Berger, Ma, Goldman-Rakic (2006) Heterogeneity in the pyramidal
879   network of the medial prefrontal cortex. Nature Neuroscience 9:534–542.
880
881   Zeng, Horie, Madisen, Pavlova, Gragerova, Rohde, Schimpf, Liang, Ojala, Kramer, Roth,
882   Slobodskaya, Dolka, Southon, Tessarollo, Bornfeldt, Gragerov, Pavlakis, Gaitanaris (2008) An
883   inducible and reversible mouse genetic rescue system. PLoS Genetics 4:e1000069.
884
885   Zhao, Ting, Atallah, Qiu, Tan, Gloss, Augustine, Deisseroth, Luo, Graybiel, Feng (2011) Cell
886   type–specific channelrhodopsin-2 transgenic mice for optogenetic dissection of neural circuitry
887   function. Nature Methods 8:nmeth.1668.