1
13 **Title:**
14

# Small RNA-mediated genomic silencing promotes telomere stability in the absence of telomerase

22 **Authors:**
23
24 Charlie Longtine[1,2,#], Stephen Frenk[1,2,#], Evan Lister-Shimauchi[1,2], and Shawn
25 Ahmed[1,2,3]*
26
27 **Affiliations:**
28
29 [1]Department of Genetics, [2]Department of Biology, [3]Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer
30 Center, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA
31
32
33 # Equal contributions
34 *Correspondence and Lead Contact: shawn@med.unc.edu

35
36
37
38 Short title: small RNAs promote telomere stability
39

**Abstract**

Small RNAs with perfect homology to telomeres are exceptionally rare and their biological significance is unclear. We define an endogenous small RNA pathway in *Caenorhabditis elegans* that protects telomeres via Dicer, the perinuclear Argonaute protein WAGO-1, the nuclear Argonaute protein HRDE-1, and the HP1 protein HPL-2 that stabilizes H3K9me2. Loss of telomerase induces biogenesis of subtelomeric small RNAs, whereas loss of both telomerase and small RNA-mediated silencing induces expression of the telomeric lncRNA TERRA, DNA damage, and accelerated sterility. These phenotypes were rescued by exogenous telomeric small RNAs and by loss of the DNA damage response protein EXO-1. We propose that small RNA-mediated heterochromatin formation and telomerase function redundantly to repress a form of telomeric DNA damage that induces TERRA expression and could represent the natural substrate of telomerase. In conclusion, very rare small RNAs promote telomere stability, a concept that could be relevant to other segments of the genome.

**Introduction**

Telomeres are repetitive nucleoprotein structures that protect the ends of linear eukaryotic chromosomes from degradation and recognition as double-stranded DNA breaks (Maciejowski and de Lange, 2017, Shay, 2016). Deficiency for telomerase reverse transcriptase in most human somatic cells results in progressive telomere erosion over cell divisions, which eventually triggers replicative senescence (Holohan et al., 2014, Shay, 2016). Telomere shortening thus acts as a barrier to tumorigenesis, but may also contribute to organismal aging through impaired stem cell function, regeneration, and organ maintenance (Donate and Blasco, 2011, Shay, 2016). Regulating the rate of telomere erosion in telomerase-negative cells is therefore crucial for maintaining the balance between tumor suppression and age-related tissue decline (Li et al., 2017, Maciejowski and de Lange, 2017). Tumor cells escape telomeretriggered senescence by stabilizing telomere length, either through reactivation of telomerase or through activation of the telomerase-independent recombination-

mediated telomere maintenance pathway termed Alternative Lengthening of Telomeres

(ALT) (Pickett and Reddel, 2015).

Telomeres have features characteristic of heterochromatin in that they associate with

the heterochromatin protein HP1 and contain transcriptionally repressive histone marks

such as methylation of H3K9 and H4K20 (Benetti et al., 2007, McMurchy et al., 2017).

Nonetheless, telomeres are transcribed into the G-rich long noncoding RNA (lncRNA)

termed TERRA, a feature widely conserved among eukaryotes, and lower levels of the

C-rich antisense lncRNA ARRET have also been reported (Azzalin et al., 2007). TERRA

is transcribed from the subtelomere into the telomere by RNA polymerase II and is

upregulated at shortened and damaged telomeres, where it may recruit telomerase and

chromatin modifiers to promote telomere elongation (Azzalin and Lingner, 2015,

Cusanelli et al., 2013). Telomere elongation represses expression of TERRA through

increased heterochromatin marks at telomeres such as histone H3K9 methylation and

HP1 binding (Arnoult et al., 2012). In contrast, shortened telomeres of mice deficient for

telomerase or of mouse cells that overexpress the telomere-binding protein TRF2

display loss of heterochromatic marks at telomeres and subtelomeres (Benetti et al.,

2007, Benetti et al., 2008).  Telomere length has therefore been inversely correlated

with TERRA expression and the heterochromatic state of telomeres, and TERRA

expression in mouse cells has recently been linked to H3K9me3 and other

heterochromatic histone marks present at mammalian telomeres (Montero et al., 2018).

Transcriptional silencing and heterochromatin deposition at repetitive segments of the

genome such as the pericentromere require long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) transcripts

that promote biogenesis of and interact with small RNAs (sRNAs) that direct

heterochromatin factors to target these genomic loci (Holoch and Moazed, 2015,

Reinhart and Bartel, 2002).  Endogenous sources of double-stranded RNA such as

sense-antisense transcript hybrids can be processed into small RNAs that play roles in

creating and maintaining sRNA-mediated heterochromatin. In this context, RNA

expression is paradoxically required for genomic silencing (Holoch and Moazed, 2015).

Bidirectional transcription of telomeres has been linked to production of telomeric

sRNAs that are associated with the presence of subtelomeric DNA methylation in

*Arabidopsis* (Vrbsky et al., 2010).  Similar telomeric sRNAs have also been observed in

*Tetrahymena*, mouse stem cells, and human cell lines (Cao et al., 2009, Couvillion et

al., 2009, Rossiello et al., 2017), and can promote the DNA damage response at

uncapped telomeres in mammals (Cao et al., 2009, Couvillion et al., 2009, Rossiello et

al., 2017). However, their functional relevance at canonical telomeres and their

interaction with telomerase is not well understood in any experimental system.

Germline transcription in *C. elegans* is regulated in part by a network of small RNA

pathways that promote silencing or expression of target loci in a sequence-specific

manner (Billi et al., 2014). Primary sRNA classes trigger production of secondary

sRNAs that can promote transcriptional silencing or mRNA destruction. One class of

primary sRNAs are 21 nucleotide Piwi-Interacting RNAs (piRNAs) that are created from

thousands of tiny genes that repress expression of potentially harmful foreign genetic

elements including transposons and transgenes (Batista et al., 2008, Das et al., 2008,

Kasper et al., 2014). piRNAs interact with PRG-1, a member of the Piwi clade of

Argonaute proteins, which can recognize piRNA targets based on sequence

complimentarity with up to 3 mismatches (Bagijn et al., 2012). RNA target recognition

triggers the recruitment of RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (RdRPs) that act in

Mutator bodies, which are associated with perinuclear P granules, to create *de novo* 22

nucleotide secondary sRNAs with a 5' guanine (22G RNAs)  (Pak and Fire, 2007).

These 22G RNAs are loaded onto worm-specific Argonaute proteins (WAGOs) such as

the nuclear WAGO HRDE-1, which promotes heterochromatin deposition at target loci

(Ashe et al., 2012, Buckley et al., 2012). Germline transcription is protected by a

separate set of 22G RNAs that interact with the anti-silencing Argonaute protein CSR-1

(Avgousti et al., 2012, Wedeles et al., 2013). Aside from the piRNA pathway, 22G RNAs

can be created in response to primary sRNAs derived from other sources. For example,

DCR-1, the *C. elegans* homologue of the highly conserved RNAse class III enzyme

Dicer, can cleave endogenous double stranded RNA to create primary 26G RNAs,

which bind distinct Argonaute proteins to trigger RDRP-dependent silencing through the

22G RNA pathway described above (Bernstein et al., 2001, Ketting et al., 2001, Knight

and Bass, 2001).

Here we describe an endogenous sRNA and heterochromatin pathway that functions

redundantly with telomerase to repress TERRA and promote telomere stability.

**Results**

**Loss of endogenous sRNAs or XNP-1/ATRX does not promote ALT**

High levels of TERRA and loss of the histone H3.3 chaperone subunits ATRX and

DAXX commonly occur in human ALT cancers (Flynn et al., 2015, Lovejoy et al., 2012).

The ATRX/DAXX complex mediates histone H3.3 incorporation at telomeres and

interacts with methylated H3K9 and HP1 to promote heterochromatin formation (Wong

et al., 2010), which has been proposed to repress ALT by protecting telomeres from

replication stress and TERRA expression        (Udugama et al., 2015).  We have

previously shown that 10-20% of *C. elegans* strains that are deficient for telomerase can

be maintained indefinitely through the activation of ALT (Cheng et al., 2012).  Because

human ALT cancers are characterized by ATRX-mediated heterochromatin dysfunction,

we reasoned that small RNA factors that promote heterochromatin silencing might

regulate the establishment of ALT. We tested this hypothesis by passaging *trt-1*

telomerase mutant strains that were deficient for biogenesis of either primary or

secondary sRNAs by transferring hundreds of worms per strain weekly, which reliably

allows survival of 10-20% of strains via ALT (Cheng et al., 2012).  Loss of either primary

sRNAs (*trt-1; dcr-1)* or downstream secondary sRNAs (*trt-1; mut-7* and *trt-1; mut-14)* did

not significantly alter the proportion of strains that survive via ALT (Figure 1A, Table S1).

Similarly, loss of the histone H3.3 chaperone XNP-1/ATRX itself did not affect the

establishment or maintenance of ALT (Table S1), indicating that loss of neither sRNA-

nor XNP-1/ATRX-mediated heterochromatin formation is sufficient to promote ALT.

**Small RNA and heterochromatin factors repress rapid sterility when telomerase is deficient**

*C. elegans trt-1* telomerase mutant strains do not remain fertile via ALT when 6 worms are passaged weekly. Instead, when 20-40 strains per genotype are passaged, they become uniformly sterile after growth for 20-30 generations in response to telomere erosion (Ahmed and Hodgkin, 2000, Cheng et al., 2012, Meier et al., 2006). The sterility of late-generation *trt-1* mutants is induced by a chromosome fusion and genome catastrophe phenotype that is analogous to crisis observed in checkpoint-deficient telomerase-negative mammalian cells. Intriguingly, *trt-1* mutant lines lacking primary or secondary sRNA biogenesis factors became sterile significantly faster than *trt-1* single mutants when they were passaged by transferring 6 L1 larvae per week (Figure 1B, Table S2). sRNA-deficient *trt-1* mutant strains rapidly accumulated end-to-end chromosome fusions by generation F12, a characteristic of telomerase mutants that have grown for more than 20 generations (Figure 1G). *C. elegans* chromosome fusions cause non-disjunction events during meiosis that elicit dominant embryonic lethality or X0 male phenotypes in progeny of heterozygous F1 animals. We asked if earlygeneration *trt-1; dcr-1* mutant animals possessed chromosome fusions by performing genetic crosses that would be capable of revealing a single heterozygous end-to-end chromosome fusion (Ahmed and Hodgkin, 2000). We crossed four independently derived *trt-1 dcr-1* F4 hermaphrodites with N2 wild-type males but failed to detect fused chromosomes that were present in generation F24 *trt-1* mutant controls (p = 3.841e-05 for males and p = 3.61e-05 for dead embryos, Mann Whitney U test) (Table S3). However, early-generation *trt-1; dcr-1* mutant strains displayed high levels of DNA damage that were not observed for *trt-1* single mutant controls (Figure 1H). Together, these results suggest that loss of small RNAs and telomerase elicits telomere damage but not telomere fusions in early generations, and that the rapid sterility of these strains in later generations is promoted by critically shortened telomeres that give rise to abundant end-to-end chromosome fusions.

We created a number of sRNA-deficient *trt-1* double mutants to define additional small RNA factors that promote telomere stability in the absence of telomerase. The telomeric

siRNA pathway included RDE-4, which binds endogenous dsRNA and forms a complex

with the Dicer nuclease DCR-1, and the Argonaute RDE-1, which acts with the

RNAdependent RNA polymerase RRF-3 to promote production of dsRNA-derived

primary sRNAs (Gent et al., 2010, Steiner et al., 2009, Tabara et al., 2002) (Figure 1B).

The MUTATOR proteins MUT-7 and MUT-14, which promote amplification of 22G

secondary sRNAs that can promote transcriptional silencing in the nucleus (Billi et al.,

2014, Ketting et al., 1999, Tijsterman et al., 2002), were also required to prevent rapid

sterility in *trt-1* mutants (Figure 1B).  Two other small RNA factors, RRF-2 and ERI-1, did

not affect *trt-1* mutant sterility (Figure 1B), indicating that the endogenous 26G sRNA

pathway that requires ERI-1       (Gent et al., 2010, Han et al., 2009) is dispensable for

telomere stability in the absence of telomerase.

We crossed *dcr-1* and *mut-7* single mutants with wild-type males, singled F2 progeny

that were homozygous mutant for *dcr-1* or *mut-7*, and isolated genomic DNA from F4,

F6 and F8 generation descendants. Southern blotting revealed discrete telomere bands

that display modest telomere erosion for freshly homozygous *dcr-1* and *mut-7* mutant

telomeres (Figure S1A, B), although none of the small RNA single mutants we tested

became progressively sterile or accumulated chromosome fusions (Figure 1G). We

asked if the accelerated sterility of small RNA-deficient *trt-1* mutant strains might be

explained by short telomeres inherited from gametes derived from small RNA-deficient

grandparents using genetics, by crossing a *trt-1; dcr-1* double mutant hermaphrodite

with *dcr-1* mutant males, singling *trt-1 +/-; dcr-1 -/-* F1 hermaphrodites and isolating *trt1;*

*dcr-1* double mutant F2. This cross was repeated 15 times to create a *trt-1; dcr-1* double

mutant strain whose telomeres should be exclusively derived from the *dcr-1* mutant

background. The *trt-1; dcr-1* 15x strain became sterile slightly slower than freshly

created *trt-1; dcr-1* double mutants, although this difference was not statistically

significant (p = 0.07) (Figure 1F). These results indicate that shortened telomeres

transmitted from the *dcr-1* mutant cannot explain the rapid sterility of *trt-1; dcr-1* double

mutants. We analyzed the dynamics of telomere erosion in sRNA-deficient *trt-1* mutant

strains using terminal restriction fragment analysis but did not observe a significant

difference in the rate of telomere erosion when passaging multiple worms per plate, in

comparison to *trt-1* single mutant controls (p > 0.7 in both cases) (Figure S1A, B).

However, when we passaged individual worms for each genotype, we observed

significant levels of telomere instability in sRNA-deficient *trt-1* mutant strains in

comparison to *trt-1* single mutant controls. *trt-1; mut-7* and *trt-1; dcr-1* mutants had a

significantly larger variance in the rate of telomere erosion than *trt-1* mutants, which

resulted from atypical shortening and elongation events (Figure S2A, B).

We hypothesized that small RNAs might repress rapid sterility by directing chromatin

factors to telomeres to promote deposition of heterochromatic marks. *C. elegans*

telomeres have previously been reported to be enriched for H3K9me2 and bound by the

HP1 homolog HPL-2, which promotes H3K9me2 deposition and heterochromatin

formation, but not by the other *C. elegans* HP1 homolog HPL-1, which interacts with

H3K9me3 (Canzio et al., 2014, McMurchy et al., 2017). We confirmed that H3K9me2

but not H3K9me3 was enriched at *C. elegans* telomeres using high throughput

sequencing data sets generated from chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

experiments performed by independent groups (McMurchy et al., 2017, Zeller et al.,

2016). Moreover, we observed small H3K9me2 domains that extended into the

subtelomere at all *C. elegans* chromosome ends (Figure 3A-C). Similar to primary and

secondary sRNA-deficient *trt-1* strains, loss of HPL-2 but not HPL-1 caused accelerated

senescence in *trt-1* mutants (Figure 1C). H3K4 methylation, which is associated with

regions of active transcription, must be removed by demethylases prior to deposition of

repressive H3K9 methylation (Greer et al., 2010, Li et al., 2008), and we found that loss

of either of the two *C. elegans* H3K4 demethylases RBR-2/KDM5 or SPR-5/LSD1

caused rapid sterility of *trt-1* mutants (Figure 1C).  Thus, H3K4 demethylation and

H3K9me2 deposition prevent telomere instability in the absence of telomerase.

The histone remodeling protein XNP-1/ATRX has previously been implicated in

telomeric heterochromatin formation and interacts with unmethylated H3K4 and

methylated H3K9 deposited on the histone variant H3.3 (Udugama et al., 2015).  *trt-1*

*xnp-1* double mutants became sterile significantly faster than *trt-1* single mutants but not

as fast as small RNA deficient *trt-1; mut-7* double mutants (Figure 1D). This suggests

that XNP-1 may mediate some, but not all, heterochromatin formation at telomeres,

possibly because sRNA-dependent methylation of histones other than H3.3, such as

canonical histone H3, can promote telomere stability.  Additionally, as loss of both *xnp-1*

and *mut-7* did not exacerbate the accelerated sterility phenotype of sRNA-deficient *trt-1*

mutant strains (Figure 1D), these genes function in the same telomere stability pathway.

These data suggest that the chromatin remodeler XNP-1/ATRX may act in germ cells to

promote heterochromatin formation at telomeres in response to sRNAs.

**Increased expression of TERRA in small RNA and chromatin deficient *trt-1* strains**

We hypothesized that DCR-1 and RDE-4 may interact with telomeric dsRNA produced

from bidirectional transcription of telomeres into TERRA and ARRET to create primary

sRNAs that are then amplified into a secondary sRNA population that triggers small

RNA-dependent silencing of TERRA (Rossiello et al., 2017, Vrbsky et al., 2010).  To test

whether small RNA and chromatin factors regulate telomeric transcription we measured

the abundance of TERRA by qRT-PCR at three chromosome ends using primers within

their H3K9me2-coated subtelomere domains (Figure 3A-C).  Compared to *trt-1* single

mutant controls, TERRA was upregulated in early-generations in three strains - *trt-1;*

*rde-4* and *trt-1; mut-14* and *trt-1; spr-5* double mutants - but not in *trt-1* or sRNA-

deficient single mutant controls (Figure 2A).  These results are distinct from previous

observations that show that TERRA transcription can be specifically induced at

damaged or shortened telomeres of mammalian cells that possess telomerase (Porro et

al., 2014, Rossiello et al., 2017).

We next used RNA Fluorescence *In Situ* Hybridization (FISH) to confirm the effects on

TERRA expression and to examine its cell and tissue localization. In wild-type control

and *trt-1* mutant hermaphrodite and male worms, TERRA was expressed at low levels

in the soma as well as in the pachytene region of the meiotic germline (Figures 2B-D,

S3-4), which corresponds to the location of several lncRNA-mediated germline silencing

processes (Taylor et al., 2015), including Meiotic Silencing of Sex Chromosomes in

mammals and *C. elegans* (Heard and Turner, 2011) and a transcriptional burst of

piRNAs in mammals that primes genomic silencing in germ cells prior to gametogenesis

(Gou et al., 2014). Compared to both wild-type control and *trt-1* or *dcr-1* single mutant

strains, *trt-1; dcr-1* double mutant strains had increased expression of TERRA in the

germline (Figures 2B-D, S3) and soma (Figures S3-4) by the F6 generation. We

observed an increase in the number of TERRA foci per nucleus in sRNA-deficient *trt-1*

mutants, which are likely to occur at telomeres (Figure 2D), although this remains to be

formally demonstrated. We also observed TERRA expression by RNA FISH in the

cytoplasm and nucleoplasm of sRNA-deficient *trt-1* cells (Figure 2B-C, S3), which might

be consistent with possible non-telomeric functions of TERRA (Chu et al., 2017, Roake

and Artandi, 2017) or with a role for TERRA in protecting telomeres *in trans* (Montero et

al., 2018). This suggests that endogenous sRNAs may repress telomeric transcription

in the absence of telomerase, although it is also possible that subtelomeric transcription

may be a consequence of the telomere state that occurs in sRNA-deficient telomerase

mutants, which experience high levels of DNA damage signaling (Figure 1H).

**Subtelomeric sRNAs are upregulated in telomerase mutants**

Telomeric sRNAs have been observed in protists, plants, and mammals (Cao et al.,

2009, Couvillion et al., 2009, Vrbsky et al., 2010). We recently interrogated 92 publicly

available small RNA sequencing libraries created from RNA isolated from various *C.*

*elegans* strains and found rare reads that mapped perfectly to the *C. elegans* telomere

repeat $(TTAGGC)_n$, occurring at a frequency of 1 per 10,000,000 reads (Frenk et al.,

2019). piRNAs with up to 3 mismatches can target regions of the *C. elegans* genome for

silencing (Bagijn et al., 2012), and we found that telomeric sRNAs with up to 3

mismatches were substantially more abundant than those with perfect telomere repeat

sequence (1 per 100,000) (Frenk et al., 2019). We compared levels of telomeric sRNAs

with mismatches from wildtype with those of mutants for small RNA genes that promote

telomere stability in the absence of telomerase, such as *dcr-1*, *rde-4*, *mut-7*, and *mut14*,

and found that levels of telomeric sRNAs were sometimes depleted in these

backgrounds, but not always (File S1).

We asked if loss of telomerase might alter levels of telomeric sRNAs in a manner that

might depend on Dicer by isolating RNA from wild-type control, *trt-1* single mutant, and

*trt-1; dcr-1* double mutant strains and preparing small RNA libraries. We sequenced

these libraries deeply in an effort to detect rare perfect telomeric sRNAs. We obtained

~20,000,000 reads each for 6 data sets and found that our *trt-1; dcr-1* data sets were

strongly depleted of 22G RNAs that were previously reported to depend on *dcr-1*

(Figure S5A) (Gent et al., 2010). To assess TRT-1-dependent changes in sRNAs

throughout the genome, we counted the number of 22G reads mapping uniquely

antisense to protein-coding genes and found upregulation of sRNAs at 720 loci and

downregulation at 456 loci in *trt-1* animals compared with wild-type controls (Figure

S5B). Of the upregulated loci, 465 were not upregulated in *trt-1; dcr-1* animals

compared with wildtype, indicating DCR-1 dependence. We did not find significant GO

terms in loci with either up or downregulated sRNA production. Given that *trt-1* is

expressed at high levels in *C. elegans* germ cells (Meier et al., 2006), we asked whether

the loci with differential sRNA production were enriched for germline-enriched genes.

We used previously published data on germline expression (Ortiz et al., 2014) and

found significant enrichment for germline-enriched genes in both upregulated and

downregulated loci (p < 1e-19 and p < 1e-33 respectively, Pearson's Chi Square test

with Bonferroni correction) (Figure S5C). This may reflect an epigenetic response to

deficiency for telomerase in germ cells, even though early-generation *trt-1* mutants from

which the RNA was prepared had normal levels of fertility. sRNAs mapping to

transposons were unaffected by loss of TRT-1, with the exception of the CER-10 LTR

retrotransposon, for which sRNAs were three-fold downregulated (Figure S5D).

We found that levels of telomeric sRNAs with 1 to 3 mismatches did not change

substantially in sRNAs from *trt-1* single mutants or *trt-1; dcr-1* double mutants, but

detected no sRNAs composed of perfect telomere repeats in any genetic background

(Figure 4A).  We next examined 22G RNAs at subtelomeric regions (defined as 2kb of

sequence adjacent to each telomere) and found that these were present in wild-type

samples at the left end of chromosome *III*, and that their abundance was increased

twofold in *trt-1* single mutants and their presence almost entirely eliminated in *trt-1; dcr-*

*1* double mutants (Figure 4C, E). Subtelomeric sRNAs were also observed within 1kb of

the telomere at the left ends of chromosomes *I* and *IV* in *trt-1* mutants and not in

wildtype or *trt-1; dcr-1* double mutants (Figure 4B, D). The right ends of Chromosomes *I*

and *III* and the left end of Chromosome *II* all showed at least a two-fold increase in

subtelomeric sRNAs in *trt-1* and/or *trt-1; dcr-1* double mutants (Figure 4E). We observed

a significant bias for subtelomeric sRNAs whose sequences correspond to the C-rich

telomere strand (antisense to TERRA) for the left ends of Chromosomes *I* and *III* (p <

1e-13 and p < 1e-43 respectively, binomial test with Bonferroni correction) and for the

right arm of Chromosome *I* (p < 1e-172, binomial test with Bonferroni correction) while

the nucleotide sequences of subtelomeric sRNAs at the left arm of Chromosome *IV* and

the right arm of Chromosome *III* showed a bias for subtelomeric TERRA, suggesting

that they were created from ARRET (p < 1e-11, p = 0.006 respectively, binomial test

with Bonferroni correction) (Figure 4F). These observations suggest that telomere

dysfunction triggers the upregulation of small RNA biogenesis at multiple subtelomeres

through both DCR-1-dependent and -independent mechanisms. sRNA biogenesis might

be induced at a subset of subtelomeres when telomerase is dysfunctional because 1)

shortened telomeres inherited from *trt-1* mutant gametes that created *trt-1* single

mutants might trigger sRNA biogenesis, or 2) DNA damage that occurs stochastically at

specific subtelomeres elicits sRNA biogenesis. Subtelomeric siRNAs that correspond to

the G- or C-rich telomeric strand at specific subtelomeres could be related to the

presence of transcripts that can be targeted for sRNA biogenesis at these telomeres.

We next interrogated data sets of small RNAs that immunoprecipitate with Argonaute

proteins that are known to promote silencing in the germline, HRDE-1 and WAGO-1, as

well as with the germline anti-silencing Argonaute CSR-1 (Gu et al., 2009, Claycomb et

al., 2009, Ashe et al., 2012). Enrichment of small RNAs for each protein was calculated

by dividing the number of reads in the immunoprecipitate library by the number of reads

in the corresponding input library. Telomeric sRNAs were enriched in WAGO-1

immunoprecipate relative to input, but this was not the case for HRDE-1 or CSR-1

(Figure 3D). Enrichment of subtelomeric small RNAs in WAGO-1 was detected at all

chromosome ends tested apart from the right arm of Chromosome *I* (some

chromosome ends were not tested due to an absence of reads in the input library).

Enrichment was also detected for HRDE-1 at all chromosome ends excluding the right

arm of Chromosome *I* and the left arm of Chromosome *III* (Figure 3E). Interestingly,

CSR-1 showed the opposite pattern of enrichment to WAGO-1 and HRDE-1, with

enrichment at none of the chromosome ends apart from the right end of Chromosome *I*.

The ribosomal DNA, the most highly expressed locus in the *C. elegans* genome, is

immediately adjacent to this telomere (Wicky et al., 1996), and it is therefore possible

that sRNA activity takes a predominantly anti-silencing function at this chromosome

end.  We asked if either Argonaute that associates with telomeric or sub-telomeric

sRNAs, WAGO-1 or to a lesser degree HRDE-1, promotes telomere maintenance in the

absence of telomerase and found that *wago-1 trt-1* and *trt-1; hrde-1* double mutants

both displayed rapid sterility phenotypes in comparison to *trt-1* single mutants (p < 1e11

and p < 1e-12, respectively, log rank test) (Figure 1E).

**Telomeric sRNAs and loss of EXO-1 repress sterility in the absence of telomerase**

TERRA transcription in *S. pombe* initiates in the subtelomere but rarely extends into the

telomere (Moravec et al., 2016), so TERRA might serve as a template for

RDRPmediated biogenesis of subtelomeric sRNAs but might rarely yield perfect

telomeric sRNAs.  It might be difficult to simultaneously target the 11 unique

subtelomeres of the *C. elegans* genome with exogenous subtelomeric sRNAs in an

effort to show that this class of sRNAs promotes telomere stability in the absence of

telomerase. Therefore, we decided to test the hypothesis that perfect telomere repeat

sRNAs can promote telomere stability in the absence of telomerase, even though we

failed to detect these rare sRNAs in our own sequencing experiments (Figure 4A).  We

asked if introduction of exogenous telomeric sRNAs could rescue the rapid sterility of

sRNA-deficient *trt-1* mutants using a viable *dcr-1* allele, *mg375*, which is deficient for

endogenous sRNA production but is hypersensitive to exogenous RNAi when fed *E. coli*

expressing dsRNA (Welker et al., 2010).  *trt-1; dcr-1(mg375)* double mutant strains that

were passaged on bacteria expressing telomeric dsRNA remained fertile significantly

longer than those expressing dsRNA from an empty vector control (Figure 4A). In

contrast, exogenous telomeric dsRNA did not affect the onset of sterility for *trt-1* single

mutant controls. Moreover, *trt-1; dcr-1(mg375)* strains fed telomeric dsRNA had

markedly reduced levels of DNA damage at F6 in comparison to *trt-1; dcr-1(mg375)*

strains grown on control RNAi or on regular OP50 bacteria that do not express dsRNA

(Figure 1F, 5C).

In budding yeast, artificially-induced TERRA overexpression promotes telomere

shortening *in cis* by forming RNA:DNA hybrids that stall telomeric replication forks in a

manner that stimulates telomere resection by the exonuclease Exo1 (Maicher et al.,

2012, Pfeiffer and Lingner, 2012). We therefore hypothesized that loss of EXO-1 might

rescue the accelerated sterility phenotype in sRNA-deficient telomerase mutants. *trt-1;*

*exo-1; mut-14* triple mutant lines survived significantly longer than *trt-1; mut-14* double

mutants (Figure 5B) and displayed reduced levels of DNA damage and moderate levels

of TERRA at generation F6 (Figures 5B, S5). Moreover, *trt-1; exo-1* double mutant

controls remained fertile even longer than *trt-1* single mutants (Figure 5B), indicating

that EXO-1-mediated telomere resection promotes telomere-shortening-induced

senescence in the absence of telomerase, both at normal telomeres and at telomeres

lacking sRNA-mediated genomic silencing.  While *trt-1; exo-1* double mutant controls

remained fertile longer than *trt-1* single mutants, this was not true for *trt-1* single

mutants grown on exogenous telomeric dsRNA (Figure 5A, B). Thus, *exo-1* has at least

two roles at telomeres, one that promotes sterility when telomerase is absent and a

second that promotes sterility when telomerase and sRNA silencing is absent.

**Discussion**

Small RNAs promote genomic silencing of many types of transposons (Holoch and

Moazed, 2015), including those found at *Drosophila melanogaster* telomeres, which are

composed of non-LTR retrotransposons that are distinct from the simple repetitive

sequences that are maintained by telomerase and cap chromosome ends in most

organisms (Pardue and DeBaryshe, 2003). Components of the RNAi machinery

function at *Drosophila* telomeres to repress expression of HeT-A and TART

retrotransposons and their accumulation at chromosome termini (Pane et al., 2007,

Savitsky et al., 2006), even though they do not affect telomere capping (Perrini et al.,

2004). In contrast, loss of the *Drosophila* homologs of the heterochromatin-mediated

genome silencing proteins HP1 and ATRX causes immediate telomere deprotection and

fusion (Chavez et al., 2017, Fanti et al., 1998, Fulcher et al., 2014). H3K9me2 but not

H3K9me3 is present at wild-type *C. elegans* telomeres (Figure 3A-C) (McMurchy et al.,

2017, Zeller et al., 2016), and we found that the *C. elegans* HP1 homolog HPL-2 that

binds to and specifically stabilizes H3K9me2 (McMurchy et al., 2017) promotes

telomere stability in the absence of telomerase (Figure1C). We also identified factors

that create rare small RNAs that are likely to guide the deposition of histone silencing

marks in response to damaged telomeres (Figure 6A). Therefore, small RNAs and

heterochromatin proteins represent conserved features of both non-canonical and

canonical telomeres, even though their functions are distinct.

Loss of telomerase and sRNA factors in *C. elegans* caused high levels of DNA damage

response signaling in germ cells, but did not result in telomere fusions for a number of

generations, each generation representing 8-10 cell divisions. Therefore, the

accelerated sterility phenotype of sRNA-deficient *trt-1* mutants is not a consequence of

a defect in acute telomere uncapping, but rather an acceleration of the onset of crisis.

Biochemical analysis of telomere erosion in sRNA-deficient *trt-1* mutants revealed

increased variance in telomere size, which could be due to an error-prone

recombinational response to a form of DNA damage such as a stalled replication fork

(Figure 6C-D). Although both elongation and shortening of telomeres occurred (Figure

S1,S2), the shortening events are likely responsible for the premature end-to-end

chromosome fusion and sterility phenotypes of sRNA-deficient *trt-1* mutants. In

telomerase single mutants that are proficient for sRNA-mediated heterochromatin

deposition, telomeric DNA damage may be healed by a more precise DNA repair

pathway that more accurately maintains telomere length and is coupled to expression

and subsequent sRNA-mediated silencing of TERRA (Figure 6A-B).

Heterochromatin is known to suppress replication-based DNA damage at repetitive

segments of the genome (Zeller et al., 2016, Janssen et al., 2018). However, repeated

crossing of a *trt-1* mutation into a *dcr-1* mutant background failed to exacerbate the

accelerated sterility of *trt-1; dcr-1* double mutants (Figure 1F), indicating that

*dcr1(mg375)* mutants have telomeres of normal length.  Therefore, telomerase-

mediated telomere repeat addition is likely to be an effective method of healing

telomeric DNA damage in the absence of sRNA-mediated heterochromatin deposition.

As telomerase activity in *C. elegans* requires proteins that respond to various forms of

DNA damage, such as the 9-1-1 sliding clamp (Ahmed and Hodgkin, 2000, Boerckel et

al., 2007, Meier et al., 2009), it is possible that the form of telomeric DNA damage that

compromises sRNA-deficient telomerase mutants occurs occasionally at wild-type

telomeres and represents the natural substrate for telomerase.

Loss of telomeric sRNA biogenesis in telomerase mutants resulted in TERRA

expression, which could create R-loops that induce homologous recombination in a

manner that creates telomere shortening events that are toxic (Figure 6C).  As

exogenous telomeric sRNAs were sufficient to suppress telomere instability, DNA

damage signaling and TERRA expression in the absence of telomerase and

sRNAmediated heterochromatin formation (Figure 5), silencing of TERRA could be an

integral part of a telomeric DNA damage response (Figure 6B,D) (Toubiana and Selig,

2018).  These results are consistent with a role for TERRA expression in the DNA

damage response at telomeres discussed by several recent studies.  First, in mammals

TERRA expression can occur in response to a distinct form of telomeric damage that

occurs in the presence of telomerase – acutely deprotected, non-replicating telomeres–

and is accompanied by production of telomeric sRNAs that promote DNA damage

response factor recruitment (Rossiello et al., 2017).  Second, a recent study in *S.*

*cerevisiae* showed that increased TERRA expression and persistent telomeric R-loops

in telomerase mutants can repress senescence by promoting recombination, indicating

that increased levels of TERRA are coupled to induction of a telomeric DNA damage

response (Graf et al., 2017).  Third, elevated levels of TERRA have been shown to

contribute to senescence in *S. cerevisiae* (Wanat et al., 2018).

Reduced levels of TERRA and DNA damage signaling occurred in sRNA-deficient

telomerase mutants that lack *exo-1*.  Consistently, loss of EXO1 in telomerase-negative

mice has been shown to extend lifespan and improve organ maintenance by repressing

telomeric ssDNA formation and DNA damage response (Schaetzlein et al., 2007),

suggesting a conserved role for EXO1 in the metazoan response to dysfunctional

telomeres.   Expression of TERRA at mammalian telomeres induces EXO1-dependent

telomere shortening in a manner that independent of RNase H (Pfeiffer and Lingner,

2012).  We did not test a role for RNase H in the telomere instability phenotype of

sRNA-deficient *C. elegans* telomerase mutants.

While this study was in progress, we found that *C. elegans* telomeric sRNAs with

mismatches could be mapped to Interstitial Telomere Sequences, which are degenerate

telomere tracts that are abundant on chromosomes arms and are distinct from

telomeres (Frenk et al., 2019).  Interstitial Telomere Sequences were enriched in

introns, were often heterochromatic, and were depleted from 5' and 3' UTRs (Frenk et

al., 2019).  As telomeric sRNAs with mismatches were not altered by the *dcr-1(mg375)*

mutation that causes telomere instability in the absence of telomerase (Figure 4A), we

suggest that they do not function at telomeres but instead promote transcriptional and

post-transcriptional gene silencing at non-telomeric segments of the genome.

Therefore, upregulated transcription of Interstitial Telomere Sequences that might cross-

hybridize with our RNA FISH telomere probe is unlikely to occur in *trt-1; dcr-1* mutants

that display high levels of TERRA (Figure 2).

Comparisons of sRNAs in three *Caenorhabditis* species revealed that although

telomeric sRNAs with mismatches contained a mixture of all four 5' nucleotides, sRNAs

composed of perfect telomere repeats were strongly depleted for 5' G nucleotides

(Frenk et al., 2019).  The unique structural properties of perfect telomeric sRNAs

suggests a mechanism of biogenesis that is distinct from known classes of *C. elegans*

sRNAs (Billi et al., 2014).  Although perfect telomeric sRNAs are very rare in published

data sets of *C. elegans* and mammals (Frenk et al., 2019), we found that perfect

telomeric sRNAs from the environment were sufficient to promote telomere stability in

*trt-1; dcr-1* mutants (Figure 5A).  This suggests that very rare endogenous perfect

telomeric sRNAs promote genomic silencing of TERRA in the absence of telomerase,

which could prevent telomeric DNA damage (R-loops) or could promote DNA repair at

telomeres if expression and subsequent silencing of TERRA is coupled to the telomeric

DNA damage response (Figure 6).  We conclude that very rare perfect telomeric small

RNAs, which might appear fleeting or artefactual based on analysis of RNA sequencing

data sets, promote telomere stability in the absence of telomerase.  Similar classes of

rare small RNAs, which may be difficult (Frenk et al., 2019) or impossible (Figure 4A) to

measure, could be relevant to other segments of the genome.

As both Dicer and the dsRNA-binding protein RDE-4 promoted telomere stability in the

absence of telomerase (Figure 1B), we propose that biogenesis of telomeric small

RNAs initially involves transcription of G- and C-strands of the telomere, which creates

dsRNA molecules that are transported to perinuclear P granules (germ granules)

(Figure 6A).  Telomeric dsRNA may then interact with RDE-4 and Dicer to create a

primary sRNA population that is amplified in adjacent Mutator bodies by RdRPs to

create 22G effector sRNAs (Figure 6A).  We detected subtelomeric sRNAs that were

dependent on Dicer and that interacted with the Argonaute proteins WAGO-1 and

HRDE-1, both of which promoted telomere stability in the absence of telomerase.

These Argonaute proteins are known to act in germ cells to target overlapping groups of

transcripts, including genes, transposons, and pseudogenes (Gu et al., 2009).  Although

WAGO-1 localizes to perinuclear P-granules (germ granules), where it might promote

either transcriptional or post-transcriptional gene silencing (Gu et al., 2009), HRDE-1

localizes to the nucleus where it promotes transcriptional gene silencing.  Moreover,

HRDE-1 and WAGO-1 have been shown to function redundantly to promote a form of

permanent transgenerational transcriptional silencing (Ashe et al., 2012, Buckley et al.,

2012).  Although proteomics has been performed for both HRDE-1 and WAGO-1, they

do not physically interact with one another or possess common interacting proteins

(Akay et al., 2017, Shirayama et al., 2014).

Although we were unable to measure perfect telomeric sRNAs by deeply sequencing

small RNAs from several genetic backgrounds (Figure 4A), we did observe upregulation

of sRNAs at some subtelomeres in telomerase mutants, and in some cases this

increase was DCR-1-dependent (Figure 4).  We also found that HRDE-1 and WAGO-1

interact with 22G sRNAs that map to distinct and overlapping subtelomeres.  Given that

DNA damage responses induce histone modifications that can be propagated for long

distances from sites of DNA damage (Polo and Jackson, 2011), we propose that the

biological response to telomeric DNA damage initially involves very rare perfect

telomeric sRNAs at chromosome termini.  The telomeric DNA damage response may

then spread such that more abundant sRNAs are created from RNA transcripts at some

subtelomeric loci.  Therefore, the variable sRNA biogenesis patterns observed at

distinct subtelomeres of telomerase mutants may reflect a wider epigenetic

consequence of the biological response to telomeric DNA damage.

In mammals, TERRA facilitates transcriptional regulation of the epigenome (Chu et al.,

2017).  Moreover, both TERRA and telomerase have been implicated in regulation of

genes that promote stem cell proliferation (Marion et al., 2019, Sarin et al., 2005).

Therefore, the interplay of telomerase and TERRA in the context of deficiency for small

RNA-mediated genome silencing could reflect more general roles for these factors in

epigenetic regulation of cell fate.

sRNA-mediated telomeric silencing was promoted by the *C. elegans* secondary sRNA

biogenesis factor MUT-7 (Figure 1A, B), which is homologous to the exonuclease

domain of human WRN1 Werner's syndrome protein whose loss results in early-onset

aging of multiple tissues (Ketting et al., 2001). WRN1 deficiency is accompanied by

accelerated telomere erosion and senescence phenotypes that can be rescued by

telomerase expression (Shimamoto et al., 2015). While WRN1 and its homologs have

been intensively studied for their roles in DNA recombination, WRN1 was recently

shown to safeguard the stability of heterochromatin     (Zhang et al., 2015), which our

study suggests may play a critical role in maintenance of telomere stability in most

human somatic cells. Consistently, deficiency for DNA methylation at repetitive

segments of the genome, including telomeres, occurs in ICF syndrome cells that

undergo rapid telomere shortening and senescence accompanied by increased TERRA

expression, telomeric R-loops and DNA damage (Sagie et al., 2017). As global

dysfunction of the epigenome is a hallmark of human aging (Zhang et al., 2015), our

findings may be generally relevant to understanding how sRNA-driven chromatin states

that are established in germ cells or the early embryo might contribute to telomere

instability during aging of adult somatic cells.

**Materials and Methods**

<u>Strains</u>: Unless otherwise noted, all strains were maintained at 20°C on nematode

growth medium plates seeded with *Escherichia coli* OP50.  Strains used include Bristol

N2 ancestral, *wago-1(tm1414), trt-1(ok410), unc-29(e193), dpy-5(e61), dcr-1(mg375),*

*hrde-1(tm1200), rde-1(ne219), rde-4(ne299), rrf-3(mg373), rrf-2(ok210), eri-1(mg366),*

*mut-7(pk204), mut-7(pk720), mut-14(pk738), rbr-2(tm1231), spr-5(by101),*

*xnp1(ok1823), xnp-1(tm678), hpl-1(tm1624), hpl-2(ok916), hpl-2(tm1479),* and

*exo1(tm1842)*. A *trt-1 unc-29* double mutant, where *unc-29* is tightly linked to *trt-1*, was

used to create strains used in this study. Double, triple, and quadruple mutants were

created using standard marker mutations and verified based on phenotype or genotype.

*mut-7* and *mut-14* mutants are known to accumulate transposon-induced mutations, so

these strains were outcrossed several times before use.

<u>DAPI staining</u>: One-day-old adults were washed twice in M9, soaked in 150 µL of

400ng/mL DAPI in ethanol solution for 30 min or until evaporated, rehydrated in 2 mL of

M9 solution overnight at 4°C, and mounted in 8 µL VECTASHIELD mounting medium

(Vector Laboratories).  Chromosome counts were performed under 60x magnification

and a 359nm excitation wavelength using a Nikon Eclipse E800 microscope.

<u>qPCR</u>: Non-starved mixed-stage worms were washed with M9 and RNA was extracted

using 1 mL TRIZOL according to the manufacturer's instructions.  For RT-PCR analysis,

total RNA was treated twice with DNase I and was reverse transcribed with primers for a

reference gene *act-1* and a telomere-specific primer $(GCCTAA)_3$ using SuperScript II

reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen).  For SYBR green reactions, subtelomere specific

primers were designed within 200bp of the telomere-subtelomere junction.

RNA FISH: RNA FISH was performed as previously described (Sakaguchi et al., 2014)

with several modifications.  In brief, non-starved, mixed-stage animals were washed

twice in M9 and three times in 1x DEPC-treated PBS.  Worms were fixed in 1mL fixation

buffer (1x DEPC-treated PBS with 3.7% formaldehyde) for 45 min rotating at room

temperature then washed twice in 1mL 1x DEPC-treated PBS.  Fixed animals were

permeabilized overnight at 4°C in 70% ethanol in DEPC-treated $H_2O$, then washed in 1

mL wash buffer [10% (vol/vol) formamide in 2x RNases-free SSC].  Aliquots of each

sample were removed prior to hybridization and treated with RNaseA for 1h at 37°C to

ensure probe specificity to RNA.  Hybridization was performed at 30°C overnight in 100

µL hybridization buffer (10% dextran sulfate, 2x RNase-free SSC, 10% deionized

formamide, 0.02% RNase-free BSA, 50 µg *E. coli* tRNA) with 1.25 µM probe.

Cy5labelled (GCCTAA)$_3$ probe was used to detect TERRA transcripts.  Animals were

washed twice with wash buffer, counterstained with 25 ng/mL DAPI for 30 min at room

temperature, and mounted on glass slides in VECTASHIELD mounting medium (Vector

Laboratories) before epifluorescence microscopy.

IF: IF was carried out as previously described (Phillips et al., 2009) by fixing dissected

gonads of 1 day old adults in 2% PFA for 10 minutes followed by a 1 minute postfixation

in methanol. Rabbit anti-pS/TQ (Cell Signaling Technology) was used at a 1:500 dilution

and mouse anti-RNA:DNA hybrid S9.6 at 1:500 dilution.  Stained gonads were

counterstained with DAPI and mounted with VECTASHIELD (Vector laboratories) before

epifluorescence microscopy.

RNAi: RNAi clone expressing dsRNA targeting *C. elegans* telomeres was constructed

using NEB HiFi DNA assembly mix according to the manufacturer's instructions.  200 bp

of telomeric repeats were amplified from cTel55x by PCR with 20 bp overlap to SpeI

digested L4440.  Correct constructs were transformed into HT115 and RNAi was

performed as previously described　　(McMurchy et al., 2017).

Southern Blot: *C. elegans* genomic DNA was digested overnight with Hinf1 (New

England Biolabs), separated on a 0.8% agarose gel at 1.5 V/cm, and transferred to a

neutral nylon membrane (Hybond-N, GE Healthcare Life Sciences).  A

digoxigeninlabelled telomere probe was hybridized and detected as described

(Ahmed and Hodgkin, 2000). Migration distance was measured using ImageJ.

Mrt and ALT assays: When telomerase-deficient *trt-1(ok410) C. elegans* mutants are

passaged by using the standard Mortal Germline (Mrt) assay developed in our

laboratory, which involves passaging six L1 larval animals to fresh plates weekly, 100%

become sterile within 20–30 generations. When *trt-1(ok410)* strains are passaged

weekly by transferring a 1cm$^2$ chunk of agar populated with ≈200–400 animals, 10-20%

of the strains survive for hundreds of generations. Mantel-Cox Log Rank Analysis was

used to determine differences of transgenerational lifespan between strains.  A z-test

was performed to compare proportion of ALT survivors in chunked strains.

RNA-seq: Animals were grown at 20°C on 100 mm NGM plates seeded with OP50

bacteria. Two biological replicates were prepared for each genotype. RNA was

extracted using Trizol (Ambion) followed by isopropanol precipitation. RNA samples

were treated with 20U RNA 5' polyphosphatase (Lucigen, RP8092H) for 30 minutes at

37°C to remove 5' triphosphate groups. Library preparation and sequencing was

performed at the UNC School of Medicine High-Throughput Sequencing Facility

(HTSF). RNA-seq libraries were prepared using the NEXTflex Small RNA Library Prep

Kit for Illumina (Bio Scientific). Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 to

produce approximately twenty million 50 bp single-end reads per library.

Analysis of high throughput sequencing data: Adapter trimming was performed as

required using the bbduk.sh script from the bbmap suite3 and custom scripts

(Bushnell, 2016). Reads were then filtered for 22G species or all species between 18

and 30 nucleotides in length using a custom python script. Reads were then converted

to fasta format and mapped to the *C. elegans* genome (WS251) using bowtie

(Langmead et al., 2009) with the following options: -M 1 -v 0 -best -strata. Uniquely

mapping sRNAs were detected by replacing the -M 1 parameter with -m 1. To detect

telomere-mapping sRNAs, reads were mapped to seven repeats of perfect telomere

sequence (TTAGGC), allowing for zero mismatches. Unmapped reads were re-mapped

to the telomere sequence, this time allowing for one mismatch. This step was repeated

to detect reads that map to telomeres with two or three mismatches. Genes with

differential sRNA abundance in *trt-1* were identified using DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014).

To detect changes in the abundance of subtelomeric sRNAs in *trt-1* and *trt-1; dcr-1*, 22G

reads mapping to the 2kb of sequence adjacent to the telomere were counted for each

chromosome arm. Counts were normalized by dividing by the total number of mapped

reads for each library. A pseudocount of 1 was added to each normalized value to avoid

division by zero errors. Replicates were averaged and the log2-fold change between

mutant and wildtype was calculated for each subtelomere. Analysis of sequencing data

was performed using the R statistical computing environment(Team, 2017).  Genome

regions were visualized using the Gviz R package      (Hahne and Ivanek, 2016).

Immunoprecipitation datasets were used in Figure 3G, H but were excluded from the

analysis shown in Figure 3D-F. To detect sRNAs targeting transposons, 22G reads were

mapped to the *C. elegans* transposon consensus sequences downloaded from

Repbase with bowtie, allowing for up to two mismatches. ChIP-seq reads were mapped

to the genome as fastq files as described above without the size-filtering step. Read

coverage was calculated at each base using bedtools (Quinlan and Hall, 2010).

Coverage values were normalized by dividing by the number of total mapped reads for

each library and enrichment was calculated by dividing the normalized coverage for IP

libraries by the normalized coverage of their respective input libraries.

Accession numbers: RNA-seq data reported in this study are available under the

accession number GEO: GSE111800.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank R. Dowen for technical advice with qRT-PCR, K. Billmyre for advice on

immunofluorescence, and members of the S.A. laboratory for critical review of the

manuscript.  Some strains were provided by the *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center, which

is funded by National Institutes of Health Office of Research Infrastructure Programs

(P40 OD010440).  S.F., C.L. and S.A. were supported by National Institute of Health

grant R01 GM135470.

**Competing Interests**

720  The authors declare no competing interests.

**References**

AHMED, S. & HODGKIN, J. 2000. MRT-2 checkpoint protein is required for germline immortality and telomere replication in *C. elegans. Nature,* 403**,** 159-64.

AKAY, A., DI DOMENICO, T., SUEN, K. M., NABIH, A., PARADA, G. E., LARANCE, M., MEDHI, R., BERKYUREK, A. C., ZHANG, X., WEDELES, C. J., RUDOLPH, K. L. M., ENGELHARDT, J., HEMBERG, M., MA, P., LAMOND, A. I., CLAYCOMB, J. M. & MISKA, E. A. 2017. The Helicase Aquarius/EMB-4 Is Required to Overcome Intronic Barriers to Allow Nuclear RNAi Pathways to Heritably Silence Transcription. *Dev Cell,* 42**,** 241-255 e6.

ARNOULT, N., VAN BENEDEN, A. & DECOTTIGNIES, A. 2012. Telomere length regulates TERRA levels through increased trimethylation of telomeric H3K9 and HP1alpha. *Nat Struct Mol Biol,* 19**,** 948-56.

ASHE, A., SAPETSCHNIG, A., WEICK, E. M., MITCHELL, J., BAGIJN, M. P., CORDING, A. C., DOEBLEY, A. L., GOLDSTEIN, L. D., LEHRBACH, N. J., LE PEN, J., PINTACUDA, G., SAKAGUCHI, A., SARKIES, P., AHMED, S. & MISKA, E. A. 2012. piRNAs Can Trigger a Multigenerational Epigenetic Memory in the Germline of C. elegans. *Cell,* 150**,** 88-99.

AVGOUSTI, D. C., PALANI, S., SHERMAN, Y. & GRISHOK, A. 2012. CSR-1 RNAi pathway positively regulates histone expression in C. elegans. *EMBO J,* 31**,** 3821-32.

AZZALIN, C. M. & LINGNER, J. 2015. Telomere functions grounding on TERRA firma. *Trends Cell Biol,* 25**,** 29-36.

AZZALIN, C. M., REICHENBACH, P., KHORIAULI, L., GIULOTTO, E. & LINGNER, J. 2007. Telomeric repeat containing RNA and RNA surveillance factors at mammalian chromosome ends. *Science,* 318**,** 798-801.

BAGIJN, M. P., GOLDSTEIN, L. D., SAPETSCHNIG, A., WEICK, E. M., BOUASKER, S., LEHRBACH, N. J., SIMARD, M. J. & MISKA, E. A. 2012. Function, targets, and evolution of Caenorhabditis elegans piRNAs. *Science,* 337**,** 574-8.

BATISTA, P. J., RUBY, J. G., CLAYCOMB, J. M., CHIANG, R., FAHLGREN, N., KASSCHAU, K. D., CHAVES, D. A., GU, W., VASALE, J. J., DUAN, S., CONTE, D., JR., LUO, S., SCHROTH, G. P., CARRINGTON, J. C., BARTEL, D. P. & MELLO, C. C. 2008. PRG-1 and 21U-RNAs interact to form the piRNA complex required for fertility in C. elegans. *Mol Cell,* 31**,** 67-78.

BENETTI, R., GARCIA-CAO, M. & BLASCO, M. A. 2007. Telomere length regulates the epigenetic status of mammalian telomeres and subtelomeres. *Nat Genet,* 39**,** 243-50.

BENETTI, R., SCHOEFTNER, S., MUNOZ, P. & BLASCO, M. A. 2008. Role of TRF2 in the assembly of telomeric chromatin. *Cell Cycle,* 7**,** 3461-8.

BERNSTEIN, E., CAUDY, A. A., HAMMOND, S. M. & HANNON, G. J. 2001. Role for a bidentate ribonuclease in the initiation step of RNA interference. *Nature,* 409**,** 363-6.

BILLI, A. C., FISCHER, S. E. & KIM, J. K. 2014. Endogenous RNAi pathways in C. elegans. *WormBook***,** 1-49.

BOERCKEL, J., WALKER, D. & AHMED, S. 2007. The Caenorhabditis elegans Rad17
homolog HPR-17 is required for telomere replication. *Genetics,* 176**,** 703-9.
BUCKLEY, B. A., BURKHART, K. B., GU, S. G., SPRACKLIN, G., KERSHNER, A.,
FRITZ, H., KIMBLE, J., FIRE, A. & KENNEDY, S. 2012. A nuclear Argonaute
promotes multigenerational epigenetic inheritance and germline immortality.
*Nature,* 489**,** 447-51.
BUSHNELL, B. 2016. BBMap short-read aligner, and other bioinformatics tools.
http://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/.
CANZIO, D., LARSON, A. & NARLIKAR, G. J. 2014. Mechanisms of functional
promiscuity by HP1 proteins. *Trends Cell Biol,* 24**,** 377-86.
CAO, F., LI, X., HIEW, S., BRADY, H., LIU, Y. & DOU, Y. 2009. Dicer independent small
RNAs associate with telomeric heterochromatin. *RNA,* 15**,** 1274-81. CHAVEZ, J.,
MURILLO-MALDONADO, J. M., BAHENA, V., CRUZ, A. K., CASTANEDA-SORTIBRAN,
A., RODRIGUEZ-ARNAIZ, R., ZURITA, M. & VALADEZ-GRAHAM, V. 2017. dAdd1 and
dXNP prevent genome instability by maintaining HP1a localization at Drosophila
telomeres. *Chromosoma,* 126**,** 697712.
CHENG, C., SHTESSEL, L., BRADY, M. M. & AHMED, S. 2012. Caenorhabditis elegans
POT-2 telomere protein represses a mode of alternative lengthening of telomeres
with normal telomere lengths. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 109**,** 7805-10.
CHU, H. P., CIFUENTES-ROJAS, C., KESNER, B., AEBY, E., LEE, H. G., WEI, C., OH,
H. J., BOUKHALI, M., HAAS, W. & LEE, J. T. 2017. TERRA RNA Antagonizes
ATRX and Protects Telomeres. *Cell,* 170**,** 86-101 e16.
CLAYCOMB, J.M., BATISTA, P.J., PANG, K.M., GU, W., VASALE, J.J., VAN
WOLFSWINKEL, J.C., CHAVES, D.A., SHIRAYAMA, M., MITANI, S., KETTING, R.F.,
CONTE D., MELLO C.C. (2009). The Argonaute CSR-1 and its 22G-RNA cofactors are
required for holocentric chromosome segregation. *Cell* 139, 123-134.
COUVILLION, M. T., LEE, S. R., HOGSTAD, B., MALONE, C. D., TONKIN, L. A.,
SACHIDANANDAM, R., HANNON, G. J. & COLLINS, K. 2009. Sequence,
biogenesis, and function of diverse small RNA classes bound to the Piwi family
proteins of Tetrahymena thermophila. *Genes Dev,* 23**,** 2016-32.
CUSANELLI, E., ROMERO, C. A. & CHARTRAND, P. 2013. Telomeric noncoding RNA
TERRA is induced by telomere shortening to nucleate telomerase molecules at
short telomeres. *Mol Cell,* 51**,** 780-91.
DAS, P. P., BAGIJN, M. P., GOLDSTEIN, L. D., WOOLFORD, J. R., LEHRBACH, N. J.,
SAPETSCHNIG, A., BUHECHA, H. R., GILCHRIST, M. J., HOWE, K. L.,
STARK, R., MATTHEWS, N., BEREZIKOV, E., KETTING, R. F., TAVARE, S. &
MISKA, E. A. 2008. Piwi and piRNAs act upstream of an endogenous siRNA
pathway to suppress Tc3 transposon mobility in the Caenorhabditis elegans
germline. *Mol Cell,* 31**,** 79-90.
DONATE, L. E. & BLASCO, M. A. 2011. Telomeres in cancer and ageing. *Philos Trans R*
*Soc Lond B Biol Sci,* 366**,** 76-84.
FANTI, L., GIOVINAZZO, G., BERLOCO, M. & PIMPINELLI, S. 1998. The
heterochromatin protein 1 prevents telomere fusions in Drosophila. *Mol Cell,* 2**,**
527-38.

FLYNN, R. L., COX, K. E., JEITANY, M., WAKIMOTO, H., BRYLL, A. R., GANEM, N.
J., BERSANI, F., PINEDA, J. R., SUVA, M. L., BENES, C. H., HABER, D. A.,
BOUSSIN, F. D. & ZOU, L. 2015. Alternative lengthening of telomeres renders
cancer cells hypersensitive to ATR inhibitors. *Science,* 347**,** 273-7.
FRENK, S., LISTER-SHIMAUCHI, E. H. & AHMED, S. 2019. Telomeric small RNAs in
the genus Caenorhabditis. *RNA*.
FULCHER, N., DERBOVEN, E., VALUCHOVA, S. & RIHA, K. 2014. If the cap fits, wear
it: an overview of telomeric structures over evolution. *Cell Mol Life Sci,* 71**,** 84765.
GENT, J. I., LAMM, A. T., PAVELEC, D. M., MANIAR, J. M., PARAMESWARAN, P., TAO,
L., KENNEDY, S. & FIRE, A. Z. 2010. Distinct phases of siRNA synthesis in an
endogenous RNAi pathway in C. elegans soma. *Mol Cell,* 37**,** 679-89.
GOU, L. T., DAI, P., YANG, J. H., XUE, Y., HU, Y. P., ZHOU, Y., KANG, J. Y., WANG, X.,
LI, H., HUA, M. M., ZHAO, S., HU, S. D., WU, L. G., SHI, H. J., LI, Y., FU, X. D.,
QU, L. H., WANG, E. D. & LIU, M. F. 2014. Pachytene piRNAs instruct massive
mRNA elimination during late spermiogenesis. *Cell Res,* 24**,** 680-700.
GRAF, M., BONETTI, D., LOCKHART, A., SERHAL, K., KELLNER, V., MAICHER, A.,
JOLIVET, P., TEIXEIRA, M. T. & LUKE, B. 2017. Telomere Length Determines
TERRA and R-Loop Regulation through the Cell Cycle. *Cell,* 170**,** 72-85 e14.
GREER, E. L., MAURES, T. J., HAUSWIRTH, A. G., GREEN, E. M., LEEMAN, D. S.,
MARO, G. S., HAN, S., BANKO, M. R., GOZANI, O. & BRUNET, A. 2010.
Members of the H3K4 trimethylation complex regulate lifespan in a
germlinedependent manner in C. elegans. *Nature,* 466**,** 383-7.
GU, W., SHIRAYAMA, M., CONTE, D., JR., VASALE, J., BATISTA, P. J., CLAYCOMB,
J. M., MORESCO, J. J., YOUNGMAN, E. M., KEYS, J., STOLTZ, M. J., CHEN,
C. C., CHAVES, D. A., DUAN, S., KASSCHAU, K. D., FAHLGREN, N., YATES, J.
R., 3RD, MITANI, S., CARRINGTON, J. C. & MELLO, C. C. 2009. Distinct
argonaute-mediated 22G-RNA pathways direct genome surveillance in the C.
elegans germline. *Mol Cell,* 36**,** 231-44.
HAHNE, F. & IVANEK, R. 2016. Visualizing Genomic Data Using Gviz and Bioconductor.
*Methods Mol Biol,* 1418**,** 335-51.
HAN, T., MANOHARAN, A. P., HARKINS, T. T., BOUFFARD, P., FITZPATRICK, C., CHU,
D. S., THIERRY-MIEG, D., THIERRY-MIEG, J. & KIM, J. K. 2009. 26G endo-
siRNAs regulate spermatogenic and zygotic gene expression in Caenorhabditis
elegans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 106**,** 18674-9.
HEARD, E. & TURNER, J. 2011. Function of the sex chromosomes in mammalian
fertility. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol,* 3**,** a002675.
HOLOCH, D. & MOAZED, D. 2015. RNA-mediated epigenetic regulation of gene
expression. *Nat Rev Genet,* 16**,** 71-84.
HOLOHAN, B., WRIGHT, W. E. & SHAY, J. W. 2014. Cell biology of disease:
Telomeropathies: an emerging spectrum disorder. *J Cell Biol,* 205**,** 289-99.
JANSSEN, A., COLMENARES, S. U. & KARPEN, G. H. 2018. Heterochromatin:
Guardian of the Genome. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol,* 34**,** 265-288.
KASPER, D. M., GARDNER, K. E. & REINKE, V. 2014. Homeland security in the C.

elegans germ line: insights into the biogenesis and function of piRNAs. *Epigenetics,* 9**,** 62-74.

KETTING, R. F., FISCHER, S. E., BERNSTEIN, E., SIJEN, T., HANNON, G. J. & PLASTERK, R. H. 2001. Dicer functions in RNA interference and in synthesis of small RNA involved in developmental timing in C. elegans. *Genes Dev,* 15**,** 26549.

KETTING, R. F., HAVERKAMP, T. H., VAN LUENEN, H. G. & PLASTERK, R. H. 1999. Mut-7 of C. elegans, required for transposon silencing and RNA interference, is a homolog of Werner syndrome helicase and RNaseD. *Cell,* 99**,** 133-41.

KNIGHT, S. W. & BASS, B. L. 2001. A role for the RNase III enzyme DCR-1 in RNA interference and germ line development in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Science,* 293**,** 2269-71.

LANGMEAD, B., TRAPNELL, C., POP, M. & SALZBERG, S. L. 2009. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol,* 10**,** R25.

LI, F., HUARTE, M., ZARATIEGUI, M., VAUGHN, M. W., SHI, Y., MARTIENSSEN, R. & CANDE, W. Z. 2008. Lid2 is required for coordinating H3K4 and H3K9 methylation of heterochromatin and euchromatin. *Cell,* 135**,** 272-83.

LI, J. S., MIRALLES FUSTE, J., SIMAVORIAN, T., BARTOCCI, C., TSAI, J., KARLSEDER, J. & LAZZERINI DENCHI, E. 2017. TZAP: A telomere-associated protein involved in telomere length control. *Science,* 355**,** 638-641.

LOVE, M. I., HUBER, W. & ANDERS, S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol,* 15**,** 550.

LOVEJOY, C. A., LI, W., REISENWEBER, S., THONGTHIP, S., BRUNO, J., DE LANGE, T., DE, S., PETRINI, J. H., SUNG, P. A., JASIN, M., ROSENBLUH, J., ZWANG, Y., WEIR, B. A., HATTON, C., IVANOVA, E., MACCONAILL, L., HANNA, M., HAHN, W. C., LUE, N. F., REDDEL, R. R., JIAO, Y., KINZLER, K., VOGELSTEIN, B., PAPADOPOULOS, N., MEEKER, A. K. & CONSORTIUM, A. L. T. S. C. 2012. Loss of ATRX, genome instability, and an altered DNA damage response are hallmarks of the alternative lengthening of telomeres pathway. *PLoS Genet,* 8**,** e1002772.

MACIEJOWSKI, J. & DE LANGE, T. 2017. Telomeres in cancer: tumour suppression and genome instability. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol,* 18**,** 175-186.

MAICHER, A., KASTNER, L., DEES, M. & LUKE, B. 2012. Deregulated telomere transcription causes replication-dependent telomere shortening and promotes cellular senescence. *Nucleic Acids Res,* 40**,** 6649-59.

MARION, R. M., MONTERO, J. J., LOPEZ DE SILANES, I., GRANA-CASTRO, O., MARTINEZ, P., SCHOEFTNER, S., PALACIOS-FABREGA, J. A. & BLASCO, M. A. 2019. TERRA regulate the transcriptional landscape of pluripotent cells through TRF1-dependent recruitment of PRC2. *Elife,* 8.

MCMURCHY, A. N., STEMPOR, P., GAARENSTROOM, T., WYSOLMERSKI, B., DONG, Y., AUSSIANIKAVA, D., APPERT, A., HUANG, N., KOLASINSKAZWIERZ, P., SAPETSCHNIG, A., MISKA, E. A. & AHRINGER, J. 2017. A team of heterochromatin factors collaborates with small RNA pathways to combat repetitive elements and germline stress. *Elife,* 6.

MEIER, B., BARBER, L. J., LIU, Y., SHTESSEL, L., BOULTON, S. J., GARTNER, A. &
AHMED, S. 2009. The MRT-1 nuclease is required for DNA crosslink repair and
telomerase activity in vivo in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Embo J,* 28**,** 3549-63.
MEIER, B., CLEJAN, I., LIU, Y., LOWDEN, M., GARTNER, A., HODGKIN, J. & AHMED,
S. 2006. trt-1 is the Caenorhabditis elegans catalytic subunit of telomerase. *PLoS*
*Genet,* 2**,** e18.
MONTERO, J. J., LOPEZ-SILANES, I., MEGIAS, D., M, F. F., CASTELLS-GARCIA, A. &
BLASCO, M. A. 2018. TERRA recruitment of polycomb to telomeres is essential
for histone trymethylation marks at telomeric heterochromatin. *Nat Commun,* 9**,**
1548.
MORAVEC, M., WISCHNEWSKI, H., BAH, A., HU, Y., LIU, N., LAFRANCHI, L., KING,
M. C. & AZZALIN, C. M. 2016. TERRA promotes telomerase-mediated telomere
elongation in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *EMBO Rep,* 17**,** 999-1012.
ORTIZ, M. A., NOBLE, D., SOROKIN, E. P. & KIMBLE, J. 2014. A new dataset of
spermatogenic vs. oogenic transcriptomes in the nematode Caenorhabditis
elegans. *G3 (Bethesda),* 4**,** 1765-72.
PAK, J. & FIRE, A. 2007. Distinct populations of primary and secondary effectors during
RNAi in C. elegans. *Science,* 315**,** 241-4.
PANE, A., WEHR, K. & SCHUPBACH, T. 2007. zucchini and squash encode two putative
nucleases required for rasiRNA production in the Drosophila germline. *Dev Cell,*
12**,** 851-62.
PARDUE, M. L. & DEBARYSHE, P. G. 2003. Retrotransposons provide an evolutionarily
robust non-telomerase mechanism to maintain telomeres. *Annu Rev Genet,* 37**,**
485-511.
PERRINI, B., PIACENTINI, L., FANTI, L., ALTIERI, F., CHICHIARELLI, S., BERLOCO,
M., TURANO, C., FERRARO, A. & PIMPINELLI, S. 2004. HP1 controls telomere
capping, telomere elongation, and telomere silencing by two different
mechanisms in Drosophila. *Mol Cell,* 15**,** 467-76.
PFEIFFER, V. & LINGNER, J. 2012. TERRA promotes telomere shortening through
exonuclease 1-mediated resection of chromosome ends. *PLoS Genet,* 8**,**
e1002747.
PHILLIPS, C. M., MCDONALD, K. L. & DERNBURG, A. F. 2009. Cytological analysis of
meiosis in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Methods Mol Biol,* 558**,** 171-95.
PICKETT, H. A. & REDDEL, R. R. 2015. Molecular mechanisms of activity and
derepression of alternative lengthening of telomeres. *Nat Struct Mol Biol,* 22**,** 875-
80.
POLO, S. E. & JACKSON, S. P. 2011. Dynamics of DNA damage response proteins at
DNA breaks: a focus on protein modifications. *Genes Dev,* 25**,** 409-33.
PORRO, A., FEUERHAHN, S., DELAFONTAINE, J., RIETHMAN, H., ROUGEMONT, J.
& LINGNER, J. 2014. Functional characterization of the TERRA transcriptome at
damaged telomeres. *Nat Commun,* 5**,** 5379.
QUINLAN, A. R. & HALL, I. M. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing
genomic features. *Bioinformatics,* 26**,** 841-2.

REINHART, B. J. & BARTEL, D. P. 2002. Small RNAs correspond to centromere
heterochromatic repeats. *Science,* 297**,** 1831.
ROAKE, C. M. & ARTANDI, S. E. 2017. Approaching TERRA Firma: Genomic Functions
of Telomeric Noncoding RNA. *Cell,* 170**,** 8-9.
ROSSIELLO, F., AGUADO, J., SEPE, S., IANNELLI, F., NGUYEN, Q., PITCHIAYA, S.,
CARNINCI, P. & D'ADDA DI FAGAGNA, F. 2017. DNA damage response
inhibition at dysfunctional telomeres by modulation of telomeric DNA damage
response RNAs. *Nat Commun,* 8**,** 13980.
SAGIE, S., TOUBIANA, S., HARTONO, S. R., KATZIR, H., TZUR-GILAT, A.,
HAVAZELET, S., FRANCASTEL, C., VELASCO, G., CHEDIN, F. & SELIG, S.
2017. Telomeres in ICF syndrome cells are vulnerable to DNA damage due to
elevated DNA:RNA hybrids. *Nat Commun,* 8**,** 14015.
SAKAGUCHI, A., SARKIES, P., SIMON, M., DOEBLEY, A. L., GOLDSTEIN, L. D.,
HEDGES, A., IKEGAMI, K., ALVARES, S. M., YANG, L., LAROCQUE, J. R.,
HALL, J., MISKA, E. A. & AHMED, S. 2014. Caenorhabditis elegans RSD-2 and
RSD-6 promote germ cell immortality by maintaining small interfering RNA
populations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 111**,** E4323-31.
SARIN, K. Y., CHEUNG, P., GILISON, D., LEE, E., TENNEN, R. I., WANG, E., ARTANDI,
M. K., ORO, A. E. & ARTANDI, S. E. 2005. Conditional telomerase induction
causes proliferation of hair follicle stem cells. *Nature,* 436**,** 1048-52.
SAVITSKY, M., KWON, D., GEORGIEV, P., KALMYKOVA, A. & GVOZDEV, V. 2006.
Telomere elongation is under the control of the RNAi-based mechanism in the
Drosophila germline. *Genes Dev,* 20**,** 345-54.
SCHAETZLEIN, S., KODANDARAMIREDDY, N. R., JU, Z., LECHEL, A.,
STEPCZYNSKA, A., LILLI, D. R., CLARK, A. B., RUDOLPH, C., KUHNEL, F.,
WEI, K., SCHLEGELBERGER, B., SCHIRMACHER, P., KUNKEL, T. A.,
GREENBERG, R. A., EDELMANN, W. & RUDOLPH, K. L. 2007. Exonuclease-1
deletion impairs DNA damage signaling and prolongs lifespan of
telomeredysfunctional mice. *Cell,* 130**,** 863-77.
SHAY, J. W. 2016. Role of Telomeres and Telomerase in Aging and Cancer. *Cancer*
*Discov,* 6**,** 584-93.
SHIMAMOTO, A., YOKOTE, K. & TAHARA, H. 2015. Werner Syndrome-specific induced
pluripotent stem cells: recovery of telomere function by reprogramming. *Front*
*Genet,* 6**,** 10.
SHIRAYAMA, M., STANNEY, W., 3RD, GU, W., SETH, M. & MELLO, C. C. 2014. The
Vasa Homolog RDE-12 engages target mRNA and multiple argonaute proteins to
promote RNAi in C. elegans. *Curr Biol,* 24**,** 845-51.
STEINER, F. A., OKIHARA, K. L., HOOGSTRATE, S. W., SIJEN, T. & KETTING, R. F.
2009. RDE-1 slicer activity is required only for passenger-strand cleavage during
RNAi in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nat Struct Mol Biol,* 16**,** 207-11.
TABARA, H., YIGIT, E., SIOMI, H. & MELLO, C. C. 2002. The dsRNA binding protein
RDE-4 interacts with RDE-1, DCR-1, and a DExH-box helicase to direct RNAi in
C. elegans. *Cell,* 109**,** 861-71.

TAYLOR, D. H., CHU, E. T., SPEKTOR, R. & SOLOWAY, P. D. 2015. Long non-coding
RNA regulation of reproduction and development. *Mol Reprod Dev,* 82**,** 932-56.
TEAM, R. C. 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/.
TIJSTERMAN, M., KETTING, R. F., OKIHARA, K. L., SIJEN, T. & PLASTERK, R. H.
2002. RNA helicase MUT-14-dependent gene silencing triggered in C. elegans by short
antisense RNAs. *Science,* 295**,** 694-7.
TOUBIANA, S. & SELIG, S. 2018. DNA:RNA hybrids at telomeres - when it is better to
be out of the (R) loop. *FEBS J,* 285**,** 2552-2566.
UDUGAMA, M., FT, M. C., CHAN, F. L., TANG, M. C., PICKETT, H. A., JD, R. M.,
MAYNE, L., COLLAS, P., MANN, J. R. & WONG, L. H. 2015. Histone variant H3.3
provides the heterochromatic H3 lysine 9 tri-methylation mark at telomeres.
*Nucleic Acids Res,* 43**,** 10227-37.
VRBSKY, J., AKIMCHEVA, S., WATSON, J. M., TURNER, T. L., DAXINGER, L.,
VYSKOT, B., AUFSATZ, W. & RIHA, K. 2010. siRNA-mediated methylation of
Arabidopsis telomeres. *PLoS Genet,* 6**,** e1000986.
WANAT, J. J., LOGSDON, G. A., DRISKILL, J. H., DENG, Z., LIEBERMAN, P. M. &
JOHNSON, F. B. 2018. TERRA and the histone methyltransferase Dot1 cooperate
to regulate senescence in budding yeast. *PLoS One,* 13**,** e0195698.
WEDELES, C. J., WU, M. Z. & CLAYCOMB, J. M. 2013. Protection of germline gene
expression by the C. elegans Argonaute CSR-1. *Dev Cell,* 27**,** 664-71.
WELKER, N. C., PAVELEC, D. M., NIX, D. A., DUCHAINE, T. F., KENNEDY, S. & BASS,
B. L. 2010. Dicer's helicase domain is required for accumulation of some, but not
all, C. elegans endogenous siRNAs. *RNA,* 16**,** 893-903.
WICKY, C., VILLENEUVE, A. M., LAUPER, N., CODOUREY, L., TOBLER, H. &
MULLER, F. 1996. Telomeric repeats (TTAGGC)n are sufficient for chromosome
capping function in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 93**,** 89838.
WONG, L. H., MCGHIE, J. D., SIM, M., ANDERSON, M. A., AHN, S., HANNAN, R. D.,
GEORGE, A. J., MORGAN, K. A., MANN, J. R. & CHOO, K. H. 2010. ATRX
interacts with H3.3 in maintaining telomere structural integrity in pluripotent
embryonic stem cells. *Genome Res,* 20**,** 351-60.
ZELLER, P., PADEKEN, J., VAN SCHENDEL, R., KALCK, V., TIJSTERMAN, M. &
GASSER, S. M. 2016. Histone H3K9 methylation is dispensable for
Caenorhabditis elegans development but suppresses RNA:DNA hybridassociated
repeat instability. *Nat Genet,* 48**,** 1385-1395.
ZHANG, W., LI, J., SUZUKI, K., QU, J., WANG, P., ZHOU, J., LIU, X., REN, R., XU, X.,
OCAMPO, A., YUAN, T., YANG, J., LI, Y., SHI, L., GUAN, D., PAN, H., DUAN,
S., DING, Z., LI, M., YI, F., BAI, R., WANG, Y., CHEN, C., YANG, F., LI, X.,
WANG, Z., AIZAWA, E., GOEBL, A., SOLIGALLA, R. D., REDDY, P., ESTEBAN,
C. R., TANG, F., LIU, G. H. & BELMONTE, J. C. 2015. Aging stem cells. A Werner
syndrome stem cell model unveils heterochromatin alterations as a driver of
human aging. *Science,* 348**,** 1160-3.
1024
1025

1026
1027
1028

1029
**Figure 1**: Endogenous small RNA and chromatin factors repress telomere stability in the
absence of telomerase. (A) Survival curve of telomerase-deficient strains passaged at
~100 worms weekly for 40 independent lines. One allele of *xnp-1(ok1823)* extended
survival but did not increase survival via ALT (Table S1). The same wild type control
data is shown in panels B-F. (B) Survival curve of primary or secondary sRNA deficient
telomerase mutants when 6 larval L1s are passaged weekly. (C) Survival curve of

double mutants for *trt-1* and chromatin factors passaged by 6 L1s weekly.  (D) Histone H3.3 remodeling protein XNP-1 represses senescence in *trt-1* mutants epistatically to the small RNA factor MUT-7.  (E) Loss of HRDE-1 or WAGO-1 Argonaute proteins mimics the accelerated sterility observed in a *trt-1; dcr-1* mutant background.  (F) A *trt-1; dcr-1* strain was crossed with *dcr-1* males fifteen times to yield a *trt-1; dcr-1* 15x strain that displayed the same accelerated sterility observed for the initial *trt-1; dcr-1* double mutants. (G) Small RNA and heterochromatin mutant *trt-1* lines have high levels of chromosome fusions, comparable to *trt-1* single mutants at F24. Fusions were assayed by diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) staining of oocytes in diakinesis. Each bivalent in wildtype represents a single paired chromosome.  Representative images of DAPIstained oocyte nuclei are shown in panels below the graph.  (H) High levels of phospho(Ser/Thr) staining in the meiotic gonad of *trt-1; dcr-1* at F6 compared to N2 or *trt-1*. Scale bar, 25 m.  (B)-(D), (F) \*\*\*$p < 0.001$; \*\*\*\*$p < 0.00001$; ns, not significant, (Log rank test, n=40 independent lines for each genotype).  (E) *trt-1; wago-1* = 39 lines per genotype. *trt-1; hrde-1* and *trt-1; dcr-1* = 33 lines per genotype.

1052
1053
**Figure 2:** (A) Expression of TERRA from subtelomeres *IIIL*, *VR*, and *XL* is increased in
sRNA and heterochromatin deficient telomerase mutants. cDNA was reverse transcribed
with a telomere specific primer and qPCR was performed using subtelomere-specific
primer pairs and normalized to *act-1*. Expression data are plotted relative to wildtype and
represent the mean ± SEM of three biological replicates. (B) RNA FISH signals of
TERRA in meiotic germlines of hermaphrodites of the indicated genotypes. TERRA foci
are present in wildtype and *trt-1* in the pachytene region and increased in *trt-1; dcr-1*.
Scale bar, 10um. (C) Representative RNA FISH images of TERRA in males show foci in
the pachytene region of the germline which are increased in *trt-1; dcr-1* compared to *trt-
1.* Scale bar, 20 um. (D) Quantification of germline TERRA foci (mean ± SEM, n=10
germlines).
1065
1066

1067
**Figure 3:** Analysis of telomeric chromatin and small RNAs in published datasets. (A)(C)
ChIP-seq enrichment profiles at three chromosome ends constructed using published
data    (McMurchy et al., 2017, Zeller et al., 2016). Small orange arrows between gene
models and data tracks show the position of primers used in TERRA qRT-PCR
experiments. (D) Enrichment (IP/input) of reads mapping to perfect telomere sequence
with up to three mismatches in published immunoprecipitation datasets for three different
Argonaute proteins. (E) Enrichment for subtelomeric sRNAs for datasets used in (D).

1081

1082

**Figure 4:** Upregulation of subtelomeric sRNAs in telomerase mutants. (A) Number of
telomere-mapping reads in wild-type, *trt-1,* and *trt-1;dcr-1* libraries. Numbers 1-3
indicate number of mismatches. (B)-(D) 22G sRNAs at three subtelomeres. (E)
Log2fold change in sRNA abundance at subtelomeres relative to wildtype in *trt-1* and
*trt-1; dcr-1* animals. (F) Proportion of subtelomeric sRNAs mapping to the strand
corresponding to the G-rich (TTAGGC) and C-rich (TAAGCC) telomere strand in *trt-1*
animals. **** $p < 0.0001$; ** $p < 0.01$; ns, not significant (Binomial test with Bonferroni
correction). The p values refer to the probability of observing the given proportion of G-rich
or C-rich telomeric reads at each chromosome end under the null hypothesis that G- and C-
rich reads are present in equal proportions.

1093

1094

1095

**Figure 5:** Fast senescence in sRNA-deficient *trt-1* is rescued by exogenous telomeric
dsRNA or loss of Exonuclease-1. (A) Survival of *trt-1* or *trt-1; dcr-1(mg375)* on either
empty vector control (EV) or bacteria expressing telomeric double-stranded RNA (telo
dsRNA). Log rank test relative to EV, ***P < 0.001. n=40 lines per genotype. (B) Loss of
*exo-1* extends survival of *trt-1; mut-14* lines. n=40 lines per genotype. (C) Telomeric
dsRNA and loss of *exo-1* suppress accumulation of phospho-(Ser/Thr) in sRNAdeficient
*trt-1* by F6. Scale bar=20um.

1103

1104

**Figure 6:** Model for TERRA, small RNAs and telomerase. A) In *trt-1* telomerase mutants, telomeric erosion induces expression of the lncRNA TERRA, which engages production of sub-telomeric and telomeric sRNAs. Small RNAs promote deposition of H3K9me2 and H3K4 demethylation in order to repress TERRA expression DNA damage, and *trt-1* mutant sterility. B) TERRA expression and silencing may occur in the context of a DNA damage response. C) In *trt-1; dcr-1* double mutants, TERRA expression is not repressed, which may cause RNA:DNA hybrids and a form of recombination that is dependent on the nuclease EXO-1 and leads to rapid sterility. D) Lack of sRNAs may induce an aberrant recombinational response to DNA damage that results in telomere elongation or shortening.

1119
1120 **Supplemental Information**
1121
1122  5 Supplemental Figures
1123
1124  3 Supplemental Tables
1125
1126

**Figure S1. Related to Figure 1.** Terminal Restriction Fragment (TFR) analysis of
single-mutant *trt-1*, *mut-7*, and *dcr-1* and double-mutant *trt-1; mut-7* and *trt-1; dcr-1*
strains using a telomeric probe.  (A) Southern blot of samples generated by passaging
small groups of worms for multiple generations.  Two independent *trt-1* lines were used.
Long telomeres observed in strains derived from *mut-7* or *dcr-1* mutant background
could be due to rare stochastic  telomere elongation events in these backgrounds. (B)
Quantification of telomere shortening rate for each genotype shown in panel A. No
significant difference was found between any genotypes by Mann Whitney U test.
1137

1138

**Figure S2. Related to Figure 1.** TRF analysis of RNAi-deficient telomerase mutant
strains using telomeric probe. A) A single parental F2 plate was used to generate
multiple F4 plates derived from single worms. F4 *trt-1* single mutant lanes show
consistent bands corresponding to slight shortening of parental bands. In contrast, F4
lanes from *trt-1; mut-7* and *trt-1; dcr-1* show highly variable bands that in some cases
cannot be traced to an obvious parental band. B) Quantification of telomere shortening
rate based on pairing bands appearing at F2 with the closest bands appearing at F4.
Highly smeared lanes were excluded. Each point represents one pair of bands.
Comparing *trt-1* and *trt-1; mut-7* or *trt-1; dcr-1*, mean shortening rate was not significantly
different (p= 0.84 and 0.77, Welch's t-test) but variance was significantly higher for both
double-mutants (p=7.2e-15 and 1.5e-12, F-test). Variance was not significantly different
between the double-mutants (p=0.32).

1151

1152

1153 **Figure S3. Related to Figure 2.** TERRA expression (yellow) in *trt-1* and *trt-1;*
1154 *dcr1(mg375)* 1-day-old adults detected with a Cy5-labelled telomeric probe.
1155
1156

1157

**Figure S4. Related to Figure 2.** RNA FISH of TERRA expression in *trt-1* and *trt-1; dcr1*
males.  Note TERRA foci in the Pachytene region in both *trt-1* and *trt-1; dcr-1*, as well as
lack of expression in the mitotic region and spermatocytes

1161

1162
1163

1164

1165

**Figure S5. Related to Figure 3.** Analysis of 22G small RNA species in telomerase and Dicer mutants. (A) Number of reads mapping to DCR-1 targets identified by Gent *et al.* (Gent et al., 2010). (B) log2 normalized mean read counts at every *C. elegans* gene for *trt-1* and wildtype. Genes identified as significantly differentially expressed by DESeq2 are shown in red. ( C) Proportion of all genes or genes downregulated (trt1_dn) or upregulated (trt1_up) belonging to expression categories defined by Ortiz *et al.* (see reference 54 in main text). (D) log2 normalized mean read counts at transposon consensus sequences for *trt-1* and wildtype.

1174

|  | Lines tested | Number ALT survivors | Z score | p-value (two tailed) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| *trt-1* | 40 | 6 | | |
| *trt-1; mut-7(pk204)* | 40 | 4 | 0.68 | 0.50 |
| *trt-1; mut-14(pk738)* | 40 | 4 | 0.68 | 0.50 |
| *trt-1 xnp-1(ok1823)* | 40 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| *trt-1 xnp-1(tm678)* | 40 | 4 | 0.68 | 0.50 |
| *trt-1; dcr-1 (mg375)* | 40 | 5 | 0.32 | 0.75 |

**Supplemental Table S1. Related to Figure 1.** Statistical analysis of ALT survival in
double mutant strains compared to single mutant *trt-1* control. The Z score represents
how many standard deviations from the number of ALT survivors in double mutants is
from the *trt-1* single mutant control mean survival rate.  The p-value represents the
probability that the number of survivors via ALT is not significantly different from the
control *trt-1* single mutant strain.
1201
1202

1211
1212

1213

| Strain | Log Rank test (Z=) | p-value |
|---|---|---|
| *trt-1; mut-7(pk204)* | 9.79 | <0.00001 |
| *trt-1; mut-14(pk738)* | 9.96 | <0.00001 |
| *trt-1; dcr-1(mg375)* | 9.37 | <0.00001 |
| *trt-1; rde-1* | 8.23 | <0.00001 |
| *trt-1; rde-4* | 9.21 | <0.00001 |
| *trt-1; rrf-3* | 8.4 | <0.00001 |
| *trt-1; rrf-2* | 1.7 | 0.089 |
| *trt-1; exo-1* | 7.38 | <0.00001 |
| *trt-1 xnp-1(ok1823)* | 4.3 | <0.00001 |
| *trt-1 xnp-1(tm678)* | 4.23 | <0.0001 |
| *trt-1 xnp-1(tm678); mut-7* | 9.21 | <0.00001 |
| *trt-1; sid-1* | 6.52 | <0.00001 |
| *trt-1; rbr-2(tm1231)* | 8.64 | <0.00001 |
| *trt-1; spr-5 (by101)* | 8.89 | <0.00001 |

1214

**Supplemental Table S2. Related to Figure 1.** Log rank analysis of survival curves of double or triple mutants compare to single mutant *trt-1* controls. n=40 independent lines for each genotype. Strains were passaged by transferring 6 larval L1s weekly and scored as sterile when plates contained no new L1 larvae. The p-value represents the probability that there is no difference in the probability of sterility at any time point between the double or triple mutant strain and the *trt-1* single mutant strain.

1221

1222

1223

1224

| trt-1 F1 | males | dead embryos | trt-1; dcr-1 F1 | males | dead embryos |
|----------|-------|--------------|-----------------|-------|--------------|
| A1 | 13 | 6 | A1 | 0 | 0 |
| A2 | 4 | 26 | A2 | 0 | 0 |
| A3 | 8 | 24 | A3 | 1 | 0 |
| D1 | 10 | 11 | A4 | 0 | 0 |
| D2 | 11 | 4 | B1 | 0 | 0 |
| D3 | 4 | 9 | B2 | 0 | 0 |
| D4 | 13 | 15 | B3 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | B4 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | C1 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | C2 | 0 | 6 |
| | | | C3 | 1 | 0 |
| | | | C4 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | D1 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | D2 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | D3 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | D4 | 0 | 0 |

1225

1226

1227

**Supplemental Table S3. Related to Figure 1.** Counts of total F2 males and dead
embryos scored for F1 progeny from crosses of wild-type males with either
lategeneration F16 trt-1 mutant controls (n=2 independently grown strains, three F1
scored for strain A and four F1 for strain D) or early generation F4 trt-1; dcr-1 double
mutant (n=4 strains, 4 F1 each scored for strains A, B, C, and D) hermaphrodites. If a
chromosome fusion is present in any of the F1 animals, then it will cause weak
nondisjunction of the fused chromosomes, which leads to F2 dead embryos if an
autosome is fused or to F2 males if the X chromosome is fused.

1236

1237

1238