1

# **Evolution of Portulacineae marked by gene tree conflict and gene family expansion associated with adaptation to harsh environments**

Ning Wang[1], Ya Yang[2], Michael J. Moore[3], Samuel F. Brockington[4], Joseph F. Walker[1],
Joseph W Brown[5], Bin Liang[1], Tao Feng[4], Caroline Edwards[3], Jessica Mikenas[3], Julia Olivieri[3], Vera
Hutchison[3], Alfonso Timoneda[4], Tommy Stoughton[6], Raúl Puente[7], Lucas C. Majure[7,8], Urs Eggli[9],
and Stephen A. Smith[1]

[1]Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, 830 North University Avenue, Ann
Arbor, MI 48109-1048, USA

[2]Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of Minnesota-Twin Cities. 1445 Gortner Avenue, St.
Paul, MN 55108 USA

[3]Department of Biology, Oberlin College, Science Center K111, 119 Woodland St., Oberlin, Ohio 44074 USA

[4]Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3EA, United Kingdom

[5]Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TN, United
Kingdom

[6]Center for the Environment, MSC 63, Plymouth State University, 17 High Street Plymouth, NH 03264 USA

[7]Department of Research, Conservation and Collections, Desert Botanical Garden, 1201 N. Galvin Pkwy,
Phoenix, AZ 85008 USA

[8]Florida Museum of Natural History, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611 USA

[9]Sukkulenten-Sammlung Zürich, Mythenquai 88, CH-8002 Zürich, Switzerland

Corresponding authors: Ning Wang, Email: ningwum@umich.edu
Stephen A. Smith, E-mail: eebsmith@umich.edu

Abstract:

Several plant lineages have evolved adaptations that allow survival in extreme and harsh environments including many within the plant clade Portulacineae (Caryophyllales) such as the Cactaceae, Didiereaceae of Madagascar, and high altitude Montiaceae. Here, using newly generated transcriptomic data, we reconstructed the phylogeny of Portulacineae and examine potential correlates between molecular evolution within this clade and adaptation to harsh environments. Our phylogenetic results were largely congruent with previous analyses, but we identified several early diverging nodes characterized by extensive gene tree conflict. For particularly contentious nodes, we presented detailed information about the phylogenetic signal for alternative relationships. We also analyzed the frequency of gene duplications, confirmed previously identified whole genome duplications (WGD), and identified a previously unidentified WGD event within the Didiereaceae. We found that the WGD events were typically associated with shifts in climatic niche and did not find a direct association with WGDs and diversification rate shifts. Diversification shifts occurred within the Portulacaceae, Cactaceae, and Anacampserotaceae, and while these did not experience WGDs, the Cactaceae experienced extensive gene duplications. We examined gene family expansion and molecular evolutionary patterns with a focus on genes associated with environmental stress responses and found evidence for significant gene family expansion in genes with stress adaptation and clades found in extreme environments. These results provide important directions for further and deeper examination of the potential links between molecular evolutionary patterns and adaptation to harsh environments.

key words: gene tree conflict, gene duplication, molecular evolution, paleopolyploidy, Portulacineae, stress adaptation

**Introduction**

Temperature and water availability are two major ecological determinants of plant distribution and survival (e.g., Peel et al. 2007). Plants living in harsh environments such as low precipitation, extreme temperature ranges, intense sun and/or dry winds, often exhibit specialized morphological and physiological adaptations to abiotic stresses (Gibson 1996; Kreps et al. 2002). While the morphological adaptations are perhaps easier to identify, these long-term selective pressures are expected to leave genetic and genomic signatures in lineages adapted to extreme environments. Researchers have addressed these questions in several ways, as for example exploring the gene regions and functions associated with adaptation in certain species or small groups (e.g., Christin et al. 2007).

Over the last decade, genomic data have increased dramatically and provide new ways to address macroevolutionary questions. For example, transcrimptomic and genomic data sets provide the necessary means to more accurately identify whole genome duplication events (WGD, e.g., Jiao et al. 2011; Yang et al. 2015, Parks et al. 2018). WGDs have been identified in major clades throughout plants and have previously been suggested to be associated with adaptations to extreme environments (e.g., Stebbins 1971; Soltis and Soltis 2000; Brochmann et al. 2004), speciation/diversification (Stebbins 1971; Wood et al. 2009), and success at colonizing new regions (Soltis and Soltis 2000). We continue to identify more WGDs as we increase taxon sampling (Yang et al. 2018). Broadly sampled genomic data also facilitate analyses of lineage specific molecular evolution such as gene family expansion and differential selection among genes and taxa. Finally, genomic data allow for more thorough and accurate phylogenetic reconstruction. In particular, recent studies have illustrated that phylogenetic conflict is common, but the impact of this conflict on phylogenetic reconstruction varies across the tree of life due to different macroevolutionary processes (e.g., Shen et al. 2017; Walker et al. 2017). Genomic data allow us to examine conflict and identify the processes that contribute.

The Portulacineae comprise ~ 2200 species in nine families and 160 genera (Nyffeler and Eggli 2010a; Angiosperm Phylogeny Group 2016) that are inferred to have diverged from its sister group, the Molluginaceae, ~54 Mya (Arakaki et al. 2011). Species in this suborder are incredibly morphologically diverse, ranging from annual herbs to long-lived stem succulents to trees, and include several iconic plants such as the cacti and Malagasy Didiereaceae. They also exhibit variable habitat preferences ranging from rainforests to deserts (Smith et al. 2018a). While some species are distributed worldwide, the majority are restricted to seasonally dry areas of the northern and southern hemisphere, under either hot arid or cold arid conditions (Hernández-Ledesma et al. 2015). Many specialized traits such as fleshy or succulent stems, leaves, and/or underground perennating structures, have arisen in association with the adaptation of this clade to xeric, alpine and arctic environments. Portulacineae also include

several transitions to Crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM) and $C_4$ photosynthesis that improve

photosynthetic efficiency and hence minimize water loss in hot and dry environments compared to $C_3$

photosynthesis (Edwards and Walker 1983; Borland et al. 2009; Edwards and Ogburn 2012).

Several clades within the Portulacineae have adapted to a wide array of harsh environments.

The Cactaceae encompass roughly 80% of species in Portulacineae (~1850 species; Nyffeler and Eggli

2010b) and represent perhaps the most spectacular radiation of succulent plants (Mauseth 2006;

Arakaki et al. 2011). In addition to succulent structures that enable water storage, Cactaceae have an

array of adaptations to cope with arid and semiarid conditions. For example, many have spines that

reduce air flow on surface area for evapotranspiration, and deter herbivores (Anderson 2001). They

also have extensive, but relatively shallow, root systems that facilitate quick absorption of rainfall

(Gibson and Nobel 1990). The Didiereaceae also exhibit specialized adaptations, including thorns and

succulent leaves, to the hot and seasonally dry environments of Madagascar. Unlike the Cactaceae and

Didiereaceae that are typically found in tropical and subtropical areas, the Montiaceae have a more

cosmopolitan distribution with most species occurring in colder environments. Some species of

Montiaceae, especially in the genera *Claytonia* and *Montia,* are adapted to high alpine zones and/or the

high Arctic (Ogburn and Edwards 2015; Stoughton et al. 2017). Repeated evolution into harsh

environments suggests the possibility that the clade as a whole may be predisposed to adaptation to

extreme environments.

Recent phylogenetic work has helped to resolve many relationships within the Portulacineae,

but the earliest diverging relationships are only moderately or poorly supported (Arakaki et al. 2011;

Ogburn and Edwards 2015; Moore et al. 2017; Yang et al. 2018; Walker et al. 2018a). This may reflect

a rapid radiation, uncertainty due to gene tree conflict or whole genome duplications, or other

macroevolutionary processes. Recent studies have inferred several whole genome duplication (WGD)

events (i.e., paleopolyploidy) within the Portulacineae, including one at the base of the clade (Yang et

al. 2018). However, Arakaki et al. (2011) primarily used chloroplast loci and Yang et al. (2018)

employed transcriptomes, but taxon sampling within Portulacineae was limited. Increased

transcriptomic sampling may allow for the detection of additional WGD events.

In addition to phylogenetic analyses, genomic-scale data also facilitates extensive molecular

evolution analyses. In particular, these data can provide preliminary, but essential, information on the

genetic basis of adaptation to abiotic stresses through gene duplication and other evolutionary

processes. For example, genes that have been identified to function in response to drought, cold, and

heat conditions such as those involved in the abscisic acid (ABA) signaling pathway [e.g., ABRE-

binding protein/ABRE-binding factors, the dehydration-responsive element binding (DREB) factors,

and the NAC transcription factors (e.g., Qin et al. 2004, Nakashima et al. 2012)] provide good

candidates for detecting common signatures in plant lineages, such as Portulacineae, with stress

adaptation. Of course, plant stress responses can be complicated by the occurrence of multiple stresses

(Nakashima et al. 2014), and how genes mediate stress responses in most plant taxa are still poorly

known. Nevertheless, molecular analyses of potential stress related genes provide essential information

for future targeted studies. Because the Portulacineae include many species that occupy high stress

environments, they represent an excellent clade with which to examine the genetic basis of adaptation

to abiotic stresses. By examining transcriptomes, we can identify lineage-specific expansions and/or

positive selection among taxa that occupy these environments and gain new insights into the evolution

of stress responses that may be broadly applicable to plants.

In this study, we analyzed 82 transcriptomes, 47 of which were newly generated, to better

understand the evolutionary history of the Portulacineae. By closely examining patterns of gene

duplication and paleopolyploidy, gene-tree/species-tree conflict, and clade-specific evolutionary

patterns of genes associated with stress-related responses, we aimed to 1) identify additional WGDs; 2)

examine gene tree conflict as a source of uncertainty in the reconstruction of relationships within the

Portulacineae; 3) estimate phylogenetic signal for particularly contentious relationships; and 4)

examine whether gene/genome duplications were associated with clade-specific adaptive traits and/or

plant diversification rate.

**Results and Discussion**

**Phylogeny of Portulacineae**

Our concatenated supermatrix contained 841 gene regions and had a total aligned length of 1,239,871

bp. Gene and character occupancy were 95.4% and 84.5%, respectively. Of the ingroup taxa, only

*Pereskia aculeata* had a gene occupancy less than 80%, while the majority (67 taxa) had over 90% of

genes present in the final supermatrix. Both the concatenated maximum likelihood tree (hereafter the

CML tree) and the maximum quartet support species tree (MQSST) recovered the same topology with

similar support values (fig. 1), all of which were largely consistent with previous estimates of the

Portulacineae phylogeny (e.g., Arakaki et al. 2011; Moore et al. 2017) despite different datasets and

datatypes. For example, our analysis recovered the ACPT clade (Anacampserotaceae, Cactaceae,

Portulacaceae and Talinaceae; Nyffeler 2007; Nyffeler and Eggli 2010b; Ocampo and Columbus 2010;

Arakaki et al. 2011; Moore et al. 2017), with high support across genes trees for a topology of (((A, P),

C), T) (figs. 1-2) that generally agrees with that of Moore et al. (2017) using a targeted enrichment

approach. However, because bootstrap values may be a poor indicator of support in large

phylogenomic datasets (e.g., erroneous topologies can be increasingly supported as more sequence data

are added, Alfaro et al. 2003; Phillips et al. 2004), we also conducted gene tree conflict analyses (fig. 2,

Smith SA et al. 2015). For example, while we found strong support (100% bootstrap for both MQSST

and CML trees) for the sister relationship of Molluginaceae and Portulacineae (fig. 1), consistent with

several recent studies (Edwards and Ogburn 2012; Yang et al. 2015, 2018; Moore et al. 2017), gene

tree analyses highlighted that most genes were uninformative for or conflicted with this resolution. We

discuss the ACPT resolution in more detail below.

Our broad taxon sampling also allowed for resolution of relationships among early-diverging

lineages within Portulacineae families. We found support for the sister relationship of Didiereaceae

subfamilies Portulacarioideae (*Ceraria* and *Portulacaria*) and Didiereoideae (Madagascar Didiereaceae;

Applequist and Wallace 2003; fig. 1). Although many gene trees were uninformative at this node, the

ML topology was also the most frequent found among the gene trees (fig. 2). Relationships among the

genera within the Didiereoideae have been difficult to resolve with targeted-gene analyses (Applequist

and Wallace 2000; Nyffeler and Eggli 2010b). Here, we recovered strong support (100%) in gene tree

analyses for a clade including only *Alluaudia* and *Alluaudiopsis* (fig. 1). This result differs from

Bruyns et al. (2014) that found *Alluaudiopsis* to be sister to the remaining Didiereoideae.

Within Cactaceae, we recovered three major clades (*Pereskia* s.s., *Leuenbergeria,* and the core

cacti, as in Edwards et al. 2005 and Bárcenas et al. 2011) with strong support (> 97%, fig. 1), but with

substantial gene tree discordance (see below, fig. 2). Most genes (>85%) were uninformative or in

conflict (fig. 2) among the earliest diverging nodes of Cactaceae. Within the core cacti, we recovered

*Maihuenia* as sister to Cactoideae with strong support, and this clade as sister to Opuntioideae (fig. 1)

as found by Edwards et al. (2005) and Moore et al. (2017). Nevertheless, the position of *Maihuenia* has

been found to be highly unstable (e.g., within Opuntioideae, Butterworth and Wallace 2005; sister to

Opuntioideae, Nyffeler 2002; or sister to Opuntioideae+Cactoideae, Hernández-Hernández et al. 2014;

Moore et al. 2017). Unlike other areas within the Cactaceae that have high gene tree discordance, the

monophyly of Opuntioideae (e.g., Barthlott and Hunt 1993; Wallace and Dickie 2002; Griffith and

Porter 2009; Hernández-Hernández et al. 2011) and Cactoideae (Nyffeler 2002; Bárcenas et al. 2011)

had relatively low conflict (95-97% gene trees support these monophyletic groups; fig. 2). Within

Opuntioideae, however, there was a high level of discordance among gene trees. However, these

conflicts may have been influenced by limited taxon sampling as we only have one to six species

representing each tribe (figs. 1 and 2). The topology of the Cactoideae was largely congruent with

previous studies (e.g., Butterworth et al. 2002; Nyffeler 2002; Bárcenas et al. 2011; Hernández-

Hernández et al. 2011). Within Cacteae, the relationships among the five sampled genera were strongly

supported and are consistent with relationships recovered by Hernández-Hernández et al. (2011) and

Vázquez-Sánchez et al. (2013) using five loci. However, the core Cactoideae (sister to Cacteae,

Hernández-Hernández et al. 2011) were poorly supported in both the CML tree (52%) and the MQSST

inference (54.5%, fig. 1). With limited taxon sampling, some relationships (e.g., the monophyly of the

Pachycereeae) are largely consistent with previous studies (e.g., Nyffeler and Eggli 2010b), whereas

others (e.g., the position of *Gymnocalycium*) are still incongruent among studies (Arakaki et al. 2011;

Bárcenas et al. 2011; Hernández-Hernández et al. 2014).

**Assessing conflict at specific nodes**

Incongruence can result from several biological processes, including incomplete lineage sorting (ILS),

hybridization, and horizontal gene transfer (HGT). As more genomes and transcriptomes have become

available, our ability to analyze phylogenetic conflict and concordance across hundreds or thousands of

genes has increased significantly. We found that gene tree conflicts are prevalent within Portulacineae.

Several areas with high conflict occurred immediately after inferred WGD events (fig. 2) suggesting a

major role of gene duplication and loss.

While incorporating discordance into species tree analyses is important (Liu and Pearl 2007;

Liu et al. 2008), a close examination of gene-specific discordance as it pertains to support, or lack

thereof, for different clades is also valuable. Recently, studies have also demonstrated that only a few

genes in phylogenomic datasets can drive the resolution of nodes (e.g., Brown and Thomson 2017;

Shen et al. 2017; Walker et al. 2018b). We examined individual genes and their support for specific

phylogenetic relationships that had previously been identified to have significant conflicts including the

earliest divergences of Cactaceae (particularly the relationships among *Pereskia* s.s., *Leuenbergeria*,

and the core cacti; Edwards et al. 2005; Moore et al. 2017), the relationships among Portulacaceae,

Cactaceae, and Anacampserotaceae, and the positions of Basellaceae and Didiereaceae (e.g., Moore et

al. 2017, Walker et al. 2018a). This focused analysis allowed us to isolate the signal at particular nodes

without having to accommodate the many diverse processes that may shape conflict in other parts of

the tree. To address the early diverging Cactaceae, we examined the support for (*Leuenbergeria*,

(*Pereskia* + core cacti)) versus ((*Leuenbergeria* + *Pereskia*), core cacti) (fig. 3). 472 genes (385 out of

which have $\Delta\ln L > 2$) supported the grade, with 368 (287 out of which have $\Delta\ln L > 2$) supporting

*Leuenbergeria* + *Pereskia*. We also found that one gene (cluster4707, with homology to the "NF-X1-

type zinc finger protein") strongly supported *Pereskia* + core cacti (>110 ln $L$ units), although with no

lineage-specific positive selection, gene duplication, or any obvious alignment problems. Overall, these

data support the resolution of (*Leuenbergeria*, (*Pereskia* + core cacti)).

The relationship of Portulacaceae, Anacampserotaceae, and Cactaceae have also been

contentious. Portulacaceae (P) have been recovered as sister to Anacampserotaceae (A, Ogburn and

Edwards 2015; Walker et al. 2018a; and recovered here), to Cactaceae (C, Arakaki et al. 2011), and to

both (Nyffeler 2007, Nyffeler and Eggli 2010). We found that 476 of 841 genes supported A+P (343

with $\Delta\ln L > 2$), 164 genes supported C+P (91 with $\Delta\ln L > 2$), and 201 genes supported C+A (118

with $\Delta\ln L > 2$). While several processes may contribute to this conflict, the distribution of the

alternative placements suggest ILS to be a source of conflict (as in Moore et al. 2017) though missing

data complicates our ability to test this pattern. Gene tree conflict analyses, when requiring bootstrap

support > 70%, confirm this as 276 genes were congruent with the species tree, 68 were congruent with

C+P, and 85 were congruent with C+A (fig. 3A). Extensive gene duplications can confound

phylogenetic reconstruction due to "incomplete sorting" of paralogs (see Moore et al. 2017). However,

we find low level of gene duplications at the origin of PAC (1.3%, fig. 1). We found several outlying

genes including one (cluster4488, homologous to the "ARABILLO 1-like" in *Beta vulgaris*) that

supported C+P (>60 ln $L$ units) and one (cluster7144, homologous to the "UV-B induced protein

chloroplastic-like" gene in *Chenopodium quinoa*) that supported C+A strongly (>100 ln $L$ units). We

did not detect any obvious errors in orthology inference (e.g., Brown and Thomson 2017), alignment,

or lineage-specific positive selection.

We conducted similar analyses for the resolution of Basellaceae and Didiereaceae (fig. 3B). 506

genes (337 with $\Delta\ln L > 2$) supported (Basellaceae, (Didiereaceae+ACPT)) and 334 genes (185 with

$\Delta\ln L > 2$) supported Basellaceae+Didiereaceae as found by Soltis et al. (2011) and Anton et al. (2014).

No significant outlying genes (the $\Delta\ln L$ ranging from -31.41 to 42.98 smoothly) were observed

supporting either topology (fig. 3B).

All of the tests discussed demonstrated significant conflict among the gene regions. To address

whether strong signal for hybridization contributed to the conflicting signal, we conducted

hybridization analyses. The results from these analyses suggest that we cannot rule out ancient

hybridization (table S1 and fig. S1). However, there is no other evidence (such as species history and

geographical distribution) for hybridization between these lineages, and so we consider this to be

further evidence of complex gene family evolution within the clade. Nevertheless, future analyses of

synteny or other evidence may provide more direct evidence for or against hybridization as a source of

conflict.

**Multiple whole-genome duplication events in Portulacineae**

Whole-genome duplications (WGD) have had a profound influence on the evolutionary history of

plants (e.g., Cui et al. 2006; Soltis and Soltis 2009; Jiao et al. 2011; Wendel 2015; Yang et al. 2015;

Smith et al. 2018a). Previous analyses have identified WGD events within the Caryophyllales (Yang et

al. 2015, 2018; Walker et al. 2017) including one in the ancestor of Portulacineae and two within

Portulacineae: in the lineage of *Basella alba*, and within Montiaceae. Improved taxon sampling enabled

us to confirm these events and infer additional putative WGD events as well as several instances of

large-scale gene duplications (fig. 1). Ks plots have been widely applied to infer WGDs but are not

without limitations (Parks et al. 2018). Higher Ks values (e.g., >2) are associated with increasingly

large error (Vanneste et al. 2013) and smaller Ks values (e.g., < 0.25) can be difficult to interpret due to

the errors in assembly, splice variants, or other anomalies. We used both gene tree and Ks analyses to

identify WGDs more confidently (fig. 1).

We found evidence for a previously identified WGD event in the ancestor of Portulacineae

(Yang et al. 2015, 2018) given the high percentage of gene duplications (13.4%) and a Ks peak (~0.4-

1.0) shared by all members in this clade. However, we did not detect a similar pattern of gene

duplications at the ancestor of Portulacineae+Molluginaceae (1%), confirming that Yang et al. (2018)'s

estimation could result from phylogenetic uncertainty. We found a high percentage of gene

duplications at the base of the Didiereoideae (50.1%) accompanied by a very recent Ks peak (between

0.05-0.10) for all the species within this clade. We note that the Didiereoideae is a relatively slow

evolving lineage with a recent Ks peak. Therefore, we rely heavily on corroborating both the Ks

analyses and gene tree analyses in order to confidently identify the WGD (i.e., Ks peak occurred < 0.1,

fig. S2). We also identified genome duplications within the Montiaceae. Yang et al. (2018) identified

WGD in the ancestor of *Claytonia* species and, with expanded sampling, we placed this WGD event at

the ancestor of *Lewisia* and *Claytonia* as all four species in this clade exhibited Ks peaks between 0.2–

0.4 (figs. S2 and S3). We also identified a high number of gene duplications in the two *Calyptridium*

species, accompanied by a very recent Ks peak (between 0.05–0.10; fig. 1).

While we identified many gene duplications (7.3%) at the origin of the Cactaceae, this was not

corroborated by Ks analyses. This is consistent with other recent studies (Walker et al. 2017; Yang et al.

2018). Several other nodes (e.g., nodes 50 and 39) exhibited similar patterns with high numbers of

duplications (3.8-6.4%) but no corresponding Ks peak. It is unclear what events may be associated with

these duplication events or whether gene loss, gene tree conflict, life history shifts, etc., may be

obscuring the detection of gene/genome duplications, but further investigation will help shed light on

these patterns and processes.

Although gene-tree-based methods can help identify the phylogenetic location of WGD events,

they are unable to infer WGD events that have occurred on terminal branches. To identify these, we

examined Ks peaks that are unique to one taxon and absent from close relatives. We inferred two such

cases: on the branch leading to *Basella alba* (Ks=0.45) and the branch leading to *Mollugo verticillata*

(Ks=0.25; fig. S2). Both species also exhibit higher chromosome numbers relative to close allies and

are consistent with a previous study (Yang et al. 2018).

**WGD, diversification, and climatic niche shifts**

Smith et al. (2018a) examined the potential associations between WGDs, diversification rate shifts, and

climatic niche shifts within the Caryophyllales and found that some WGD events were associated with

shifts in climatic niche but not diversification rate shifts. Here, we conducted similar analyses with a

focus on the Portulacineae. Specifically, we examined mean annual temperature, mean annual

precipitation, and the first axis of a principal component analysis on bioclimatic variables 1-19 (PCA1)

among clades with WGDs (Portulacineae, Didiereoideae, and Montiaceae), as well as the Cactaceae

using a species-level phylogeny. The Montiaceae, except for *Phemeranthus*, were treated together as

the species-level tree conflicted with the transcriptomic tree regarding internal resolution of clades

within the Montiaceae. Consistent with Smith et al. (2018a), WGD events were associated with shifts

in climate tolerance (fig. 4A and 4B). Montiaceae was associated with movement into colder

environments, as found in Smith et al. (2018a); Didiereoideae occupied a wetter climate than the sister

clade but with both clades occupying relatively seasonally dry areas (fig. S4). The Cactaceae exhibited

more variable rates of climatic niche evolution (fig. 4B), occupied a slightly hotter environment, but

did not exhibit a strong pattern of different climate occupancy. This does not negate climate expansion

within the clade, but suggests it may not be experienced by the entire clade.

While WGDs may not always be associated with shifts in niche occupancy, their association

appears to be common within the Portulacineae and the broader Caryophyllales. The Cactaceae did not

exhibit a WGD but instead extensive gene duplication and the climatic niche results for that clade are

less clear. Some have suggested that WGDs may be associated with diversification rate increases

(Landis et al. 2018). We examined this question on both the species-level phylogeny and a reduced

phylogeny of major lineages using the estimated diversity. In the species level phylogeny, we found

diversification rate shifts within Portulacaceae, Anacampserotaceae, and Cactaceae (fig. 4). In the

reduced phylogeny, we found shifts at the origin of core Cactaceae (fig. S5). The differences between

these are the result of the species level phylogeny being able to better place shifts while the reduced

phylogeny better able to represent to total diversity. Nevertheless, in either case we did not find a

correspondence between diversification shifts and WGDs.

**Gene families show broad expansions across Portulacineae**

Examining gene family expansion in depth has been instrumental in understanding functional trait

evolution in Caryophyllales. For example, gene expansion and neo-functionalization have been

implicated in the evolution of betalain pigmentation in Caryophyllales (Yang et al. 2015; Brockington

et al. 2015). Here, we explored gene family expansion in Portulacineae with respect to stress tolerance.

The top 20 most expanded gene families in Portulacineae (table 1) included genes encoding

transporters, proteases, cytoskeletal proteins and enzymes that are involved in photosynthetic pathways.

Several genes were associated with responses to drought: the gene encoding plasma membrane intrinsic

protein (PIP) can regulate the transport of water through membranes (Vandeleur et al. 2009), heat

shock proteins (Kiang and Tsokos 1998), and ubiquitin (Hochstrasser 2009). But perhaps the most

interesting gene expansions involved those encoding phosphoenol-pyruvate carboxylase (PEPC,

Silvera et al. 2014) and NADP-dependent malic enzyme catalyses (Ferreyra et al. 2003), which are key

enzymes involved in CAM/$C_4$ photosynthesis (Smith and Winter 1996; Cushman 2001). Lineage-

specific selection analyses on these two genes revealed that 10-13 internal branches are likely under

positive selection, with the most occurred within Cactaceae. The role of gene duplication in the

evolution of $C_4$ photosynthesis has been contentious, and some authors have proposed that neo-

functionalization of genes following duplication has not played a major role in the evolution of $C_4$

photosynthesis (e.g., Williams et al. 2012; van den Bergh et al. 2014). However, recent analyses

provide evidence that duplication and subsequent retention of genes are associated with the evolution

of $C_4$ photosynthesis (Emms et al. 2016), specifically including the NADP-dependent malic enzyme.

Moreover, convergent evolution in several key amino acid residues of PEPC has been suggested to be

associated with the origin of both $C_4$ and CAM (Christin et al. 2007; Christin et al. 2014; Goolsby et al.

2018). A recent study has also confirmed the occurrence of multiple rounds of duplication within the

major PEPC paralog (PEPC1E1) in the ancestral Portulacineae (Christin et al. 2014). The results

presented here provide additional evidence that gene family expansion may play an important role in

some aspects of photosynthetic pathway evolution.

**Lineage-specific gene expansions associated with adaptive traits**

In addition to investigating genes that experienced significant expansion, we also conducted Gene

Ontology (GO) overrepresentation analyses on genes duplicated at biologically significant nodes

(nodes 15, 17, 19, 26, 27, 35, 39, 50, and 71; fig. 1). Of genes duplicated at each clade, 30%–55% had

corresponding *Arabidopsis* locus IDs and almost all of these could be mapped in PANTHER (table S2).

We identified GO overrepresentation at three nodes (15, 27 and 35) with the Didiereoideae (node 27)

exhibiting the most. This may be the result of a more recent WGD. Overrepresented GOs reflected

diverse functional classes: genes belonging to "calcium ion binding" (GO:0005509) at the origins of

the Montiaceae (except *Phemeranthus parviflorus*) and the Didiereoideae, genes belonging to "sulfur

compound metabolic process" (GO:0006790) at the origin of Didiereoideae, and genes involved in

"Hydrolase activity, acting on ester bonds" (i.e., esterase, GO:0016788, table S3) at the origin of

Cactaceae. Of particular note are the genes belonging to the "sulfur compound metabolic process" (e.g.,

*AtAHL*, which belongs to the Arabidopsis HAL2-like gene family, Gil-Mascarell et al. 1999; and

*NIFS1*, which belongs to the cysteine desulfurase family, Schmidt 2005) as sulfur-bearing evaporite

compounds (principally gypsum) are commonly found in soils in areas with low rainfall and high

evaporation rates (Watson 1979) where many Portulacineae lineages have diversified. Previous studies

have also connected primary sulfur metabolism (e.g., sulfate transport in the vasculature, its

assimilation in leaves, and the recycling of sulfur-containing compounds) with drought stress responses

(e.g., Chan et al. 2013). Consequently, duplication and overrepresentation of these sulfur metabolic

genes could potentially be evidence of adaptation to corresponding harsh environments of hot and cold

deserts (e.g., Lee et al. 2011). While it is tempting to speculate that these duplications have been

maintained through adaptation under selective pressure, we are aware that such 'duplication' events

may simply be the remnants of ancient polyploidy or segmental duplication. In the absence of

functional characterization, it is necessary to interpret all GO analyses with caution.

**Targeted analyses of drought and cold associated genes**

We examined 29 functionally annotated genes identified to be involved in drought and cold tolerance

(table 2), as several lineages have repeatedly colonized cold and dry environments. Most of these genes

experienced significantly higher duplications than expected from WGDs including within

Didiereoideae, Montiaceae and Cactaceae (figs. S6 and S7). Several of these genes may be good targets

for future study. For example, WIN1 (SHN1) proteins, transcription factors associated with epicuticular

wax biosynthesis that increase leaf surface wax content, showed duplications in both Didiereoideae and

Montiaceae (table 2). Six homologs that are part of the calcium-dependent protein kinase (CDPK or

CPK) gene family are known to be involved in drought stress regulation (Geiger et al. 2010; Brandt et

al. 2012) and experienced several duplications: four at the origin of Didiereoideae, one within

Montiaceae, one at Portulacaceae, and two within the Cactaceae.

These 29 genes also exhibited variable lineage-specific positive selection within Portulacineae

(table 2). The strongest signals of positive selection were all found in genes associated with drought

and/or cold tolerance in the ABA signaling pathway (such as the NAC10/29 and WRKY33 TFs, the

CDPK18 and the PP2Cs, e.g., Golldack et al. 2014; Nakashima et al. 2014; Huang et al. 2015; Li et al.

2017), a central regulator of abiotic stress resistance. Interestingly, the ABI1 and PP2CA genes, which

encode two proteins of the PP2Cs family, were among the genes with the highest number of lineages

under positive selection. As negative regulators, PP2Cs can regulate many ABA responses, such as

stomatal closure, osmotic water permeability, drought-induced resistance, seed germination, and cold

acclimation (Gosti et al. 1999; Merlot et al. 2001; Mishra et al. 2006). In addition to PP2C genes, the

positive regulators SNF1-related protein kinase 2s (SnRK2s) are also related to ABA signaling

(Hubbard et al. 2010). While we did not detect many lineages under positive selection in the three

SnRK2s genes (i.e., SnRK2.4, 2.5, 2.6, table 2), we found that they experienced ancient duplications at

the origin of Portulacineae or earlier and recent duplications within Montiaceae, Didiereaceae, or

Cactaceae (nodes 17, 27, 39; table 2). Positive selection and gene family expansion in these gene

families within Cactaceae, Didieraceae, and Montiaceae suggests that these genes warrant further

investigation for their potential role in the evolution of adaptations to challenging environmental

conditions.

While several of these genes experienced duplications within clades of the Portulacinieae, many

occurred at the origin of Portulacineae or earlier (table 2, table S4 and fig. S7). This suggests that the

ability for the Caryophyllales, and the Portulacineae, to repeatedly adapt to harsh environments may

have arisen early in the evolution of the clade and that the predisposition to become adapted to these

environments may be the result of the early diversification of the gene families.

**Conclusions**

WGDs (i.e., paleopolyploidy) have played critical roles in major events of plant evolution, but the

nature and scale of their influence on macroevolutionary patterns and within individual clades is still

debated. Our results suggest that WGDs in the Portulacineae were associated with several evolutionary

patterns and processes. In addition to identifying significant gene-tree/species-tree discordance

immediately after extensive gene duplication events, suggesting an association between rapid

speciation and gene duplication and loss, we also found evidence for the association of WGDs and

shifts into different environments and climates (Smith et al. 2018a). While this alone does not suggest

specific cause, it suggests one means by which WGD can impact adaptation in plants and, perhaps,

why some clades exhibit diversification shifts after WGDs. However, we did not detect association

between WGD and diversification rate.

412   We also examined expanded gene families, GO over-representation, and selection within genes

413 to determine whether potential molecular evolutionary patterns corresponded to adaptation to extreme

414 environments. While these results provide essential resources for further and deeper examination with

415 more detailed methods, they also highlight the major limitations in resources for non-model organisms.

416 They rely on annotations based on model organisms that may be distantly related to the species of

417 interest. While the gene itself may exhibit interesting patterns, the associated GO label may or may not

418 carry much meaning and may have different functions in these different lineages. Despite these

419 limitations, however, these analyses identified gene families that should be explored further, regardless

420 of the accuracy of the GO label, as the patterns of selection and duplication alone suggest their

421 importance.

422   Finally, our findings, contribute to a growing literature suggesting the importance of gene tree

423 examination along with species tree construction (Smith SA et al. 2015; Brown and Thomson 2017;

424 Shen et al. 2017; Walker et al. 2018b). Gene tree conflict is common and needs to be incorporated into

425 our analyses of molecular and macro-evolution. The conflicts within these data likely hold interesting

426 evolutionary questions and answers that should be analyzed in more detail.

428 **Material and Methods**

430 **Taxon sampling, transcriptome generation and homology inference**

431 Sixty-eight ingroup species were included in this study, representing all families within the

432 Portulacineae (Anacampserotaceae, Cactaceae, Basellaceae, Didiereaceae, Montiaceae, Talinaceae, and

433 Portulacaceae) *sensu* APG IV (Angiosperm Phylogeny Group, 2016) except for Halophytaceae. We

434 also included 14 Caryophyllales species as outgroups, including seven taxa of Molluginaceae (tables

435 S5 and S6). Of the 82 transcriptomes included in the study, 47 were newly generated following the

436 protocol of Yang et al. (2017). We followed the pipeline of Yang and Smith (2014) with minor

437 modifications (available at https://bitbucket.org/ningwang83/Portulacineae) to infer homologs. In total,

438 we obtained 8,592 final homolog clusters, which are used in orthology inference, gene duplication

439 analyses and GO annotation. More details about transcriptome generation and homology inference can

440 be found in Supplementary Material and Methods.

442 **Orthology Inference and Species Tree Estimation**

443 We used the rooted tree (RT) method in Yang and Smith (2014) to extract orthologs from homolog

444 trees with *Beta vulgaris* as the outgroup. Orthologous clades with more than 30 ingroup taxa were

retained (fig. S8). We extracted orthologs with > 75 taxa, aligned and cleaned them with MAFFT v7

(genafpair –maxiterate 1000, Katoh and Standley 2013) and Phyutility (-clean 0.3, Smith and Dunn

2008), and inferred a ML tree for each using RAxML v8.1.22 (Stamatakis 2015) with GTR+Γ model.

After removing taxa with a terminal branch length longer than 0.1 and 10 times greater than sister clade

from 58 orthologs, 841 orthologs including at least 77 taxa and 500 aligned DNA characters were re-

aligned with PRANK v.140110 (Löytynoja and Goldman 2008) using default settings and trimmed in

Phyutility (-clean 0.3).

The species tree was inferred using two methods. First, a concatenated matrix was built with the

841 orthologs and a ML tree was estimated by using RAxML with the GTR+Γ model partitioned by

gene. Node support was evaluated by 200 fast bootstrap replicates. Second, an MQSST tree was

estimated in ASTRAL 4.10.12 (Mirarab et al. 2014) using ML gene trees, with uncertainty evaluated

by 200 bootstrap replicates using a two-stage multilocus bootstrap strategy (Seo 2008).

**Assessing conflicts among gene trees**

We assessed conflict by mapping the 841 rooted ortholog trees onto the species tree topology with

Phyparts (Smith SA et al. 2015). Trees were rooted on *Beta vulgaris* if present, using *Limeum*

*aethiopicum* and/or *Stegnosperma halimifolium* if *Beta* was not present, and with *Sesuvium*

*portulacastrum*, *Delosperma echinatum*, *Anisomeria littoralis* and *Guapira obtusata,* if the first three

species were not present. We only considered nodes with >= 70% bootstrap support in the gene trees.

We conducted likelihood comparisons in more detail as in Walker et al. (2018b) for three areas of the

tree: 1) Cactaceae and relatives, 2) the early diverging branch within Cactaceae (i.e., *Leuenbergeria*

clade), and 3) with the placement of Basellaceae and Didiereaceae. We calculated likelihood scores for

each gene tree constrained to alternative resolutions and compared scores. We considered a higher ln $L$

for one topology as a sign of support, and $|\Delta \ln L|$ (the difference in ln $L$ value) > 2 as a sign of

significant support (as in Walker et al. 2018b). Potential outlying genes that exhibited extreme

deviations in likelihood were examined for lineage specific positive selection. We analyzed potential

hybridization with PhyloNet (Than et al. 2008) and $D_{FOIL}$ (Pease and Hahn 2015). PhyloNet does not

run well on large datasets so we pruned the gene trees to include 5 taxa from Cactaceae, one from each

ingroup family, with the *Limeum aethiopicum* as an outgroup. Species were selected based on their

gene occupancy. We conducted Maximum Pseudo Likelihood analyses with 10 maximum reticulations.

$D_{FOIL}$ analyses can only analyze 5-taxon trees, so we reduced gene trees to four species with the highest

gene occupancy for the clade of interest and *Pharnaceum exiguum* as an outgroup. For example, we

choose two species from Cactaceae and one from each of Portulacaceae and Anacampserotaceae, to

test the controversial relationships among these families.

**Inference of gene and genome duplication**

To infer gene duplication, we considered nodes in gene trees with SH-like support > 80. We extracted

8,332 rooted clusters with >= 30 taxa, and conducted Phyparts analyses. We also conducted Ks plot

analyses following the same process of Yang et al. (2015). Briefly, we reduced highly similar PEP

sequences (by CD-HIT: -c 0.99 -n 5, Fu et al. 2012) for each of the 82 species, and conducted an all-

by-all BLASTP (-evalue = 10, -max_target_seq = 20) and removed highly divergent hits with < 20%

similarity (pident) or < 50 aligned amino acids (nident). Sequences with ten or more hits were also

removed to eliminate large gene families. We then used the PEP and corresponding CDS from

paralogous pairs to calculate Ks values using the pipeline

https://github.com/tanghaibao/biopipeline/tree/master/synonymous_calculation. Peaks were identified

by eye as in Yang et al. (2015).

To determine whether a potential WGD event occurred before or after a speciation event, we

calculated between-species Ks distribution using orthologous gene pairs. The procedure is similar as

above, except that a reciprocal BLASTP was carried out between two species instead of an all-by-all

BLASTP within one taxon. Between-species Ks plots were compared to within-species Ks plots to

determine the relative timing of the WGD and speciation event.

**Reconstruction of climate occupancy and diversification rate**

To test whether WGD were associated with climate niche shift and/or diversification rate shift, we

reconstructed ancestral climate occupancy and diversification on a species level phylogeny of

Portulacineae. First, sequence data from NCBI were gathered using PyPHLAWD (Smith and Brown

2018). To increase the accuracy of phylogenetic inferences, major clades were constrained based on the

transcriptomic results here and were conducted on Molluginaceae and Cactineae (i.e., Portulacineae)

individually and combined. ML and 100 bootstrap trees were constructed with RAxML. For

divergence time estimation, we selected five genes from the transcriptomic dataset using SortaDate

(Smith et al. 2018b). A time tree, hereafter 'small tree', was then built with them in BEAST 1.8.3 using

13 secondary time calibrations (table S7) from Arakaki et al. (2011). The species-level tree, hereafter

'big tree', as well as the 93 bootstrap trees that were consistent among family level relationships in the

'small tree' were then dated in treePL (Smith and O'Meara 2012) using the dates from the 'small tree'

as constraints.

Reconstruction of ancestral climate occupancy state and diversification rate followed the

methods of Smith et al. (2018a). Briefly, we extracted the climate occupancy data (COD) for the

Portulacineae and Molluginaceae species from GBIF based on the taxa from the 'big tree'. Mean

climate values of Bioclim 1 (mean annual temperature), 12 (mean annual precipitation), and principal

component axis 1 (based on a PCA of the set of full bioclimatic variables) were calculated for each

taxon. Both ancestral states and Brownian motion rates of evolution were compared between WGD

nodes and their sister clades. We reconstructed diversification using MEDUSA (Alfaro et al., 2009;

Pennell et al., 2014) on the 'small tree' with the estimated number of species for each taxa group and

the 'big tree'.

**Annotation of expanded gene families**

To investigate gene function and GO (gene ontology) term overrepresentation, we conducted several

analyses. We conducted BLASTX analyses against the nonredundant protein NCBI database by using a

PEP sequence from each of the top 20 genes with the highest total number of tips. We also identified

clades with large number of gene duplications [i.e., > 160 (2%) gene duplications], and conducted

BLASTN analyses (-evalue 10) of these against the database of *A. thaliana* (release-34 EnsemblPlants).

GO terms and IDs were recorded. We conducted GO overrepresentation test (i.e., overrepresentation of

genes in a specific functional category, http://www.pantherdb.org) using genes duplicated at the origin

of Portulacineae (Node 13) as background to detect potential overrepresentation of genes that

duplicated more recently. We corrected for multiple tests using a Bonferroni correction.

**Identification of lineage-specific selection on stress response genes**

We carried out selection analyses on a targeted set of 29 genes that were present in our data and are

known to be associated with cold and/or drought adaptation. We repeated the homolog filtering as

indicated above three times to reduce potential assembly or clustering errors. CDS sequences were

aligned based on the corresponding peptide alignments using phyx (Brown et al. 2017). We further

cleaned CDS alignments by combining sequences with identical overlapping segments to eliminate the

potential assemble redundancy, which, although uncommon, may cause error in these analyses. After

trimming the CDS alignment (Phyutility -clean 0.1), a ML tree was built for each homolog in RAxML

with GTR+Γ model and rooted with outgroup species. Lineage specific selection was calculated for

each homologous gene in HyPhy v2.2.4 (Pond and Muse 2005) using an adaptive branch-site random

effects likelihood test (aBSREL, Smith MD et al. 2015), which automatically infers an appropriate

model among branches. The optimal number of ω categories was selected according to AICc scores.

The branches with episodic positive selection that show significant proportion of sites with $\omega > 1$ were

chosen with $p < 0.05$ after applying the Holm-Bonferroni multiple testing correction.

To test whether the 29 targeted genes associated with cold/drought adaptation experienced

significant more duplications at certain nodes, we randomly selected 29 genes from the final

homologous clusters (with an additional overlap checking to be compatible with the treatment of the

targeted 29 genes) and calculate the number of genes duplicated. We repeated this process for 1000

times and plotted the frequency distribution of the number of duplicated genes for each node (fig. S7).

**Supplementary Material**

Supplementary data are available at Molecular Biology and Evolution online.

**Acknowledgments**

The authors thank Wynn Anderson, the Bureau of Land Management, the US Forest Service, and the

staff of Desert Botanical Garden, Sukkulenten-Sammlung Zürich, Cambridge University Botanic

Garden, Missouri Botanical Garden, and the Oberlin College Greenhouse for permission to collect

specimens, and thank Hilda Flores, Helga Ochoterena, and Norman Douglas for help with collecting.

The authors also thank Jeet Sukumaran for constructive discussion on gene tree discordance. Special

thanks to Oscar Vargas for a thorough review of the paper. This work was supported by NSF DEB

1354048 to SAS and NSF DEB 1352907 to MJM.

**References**

Alfaro ME, Zoller S, Lutzoni F. 2003. Bayes or bootstrap? A simulation study comparing the performance of

Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo sampling and bootstrapping in assessing phylogenetic

confidence. *Mol Biol Evol.* 20(2):255–266.

Alfaro ME, Santini F, Brock C, Alamillo H, Dornburg A, Rabosky DL, Carnevale G, Harmon LJ. 2009. Nine

exceptional radiations plus high turnover explain species diversity in jawed vertebrates. *Proc Natl Acad*

*Sci USA.* 106(32):13410–13414.

Anderson EF. 2001. The Cactus Family, Pentland, Oregon: Timber Press.

Angiosperm Phylogeny Group. 2016. An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the

orders and families of flowering plants: APG IV. *Bot J Linean Soc.* 181(1):1–20.

Anton AM, Hernández-Hernández T, De-Nova A, Sosa V. 2014. Evaluating the phylogenetic position of the

monotypic family Halophytaceae (Portulacinae, Caryophyllales) based on plastid and nuclear molecular

data sets. *Bot Sci.* 92(3):351–361.

Applequist WL, Wallace RS. 2000. Phylogeny of the Madagascan endemic family Didiereaceae. *Plant Syst Evol.*
221(3):157–166.
Applequist WL, Wallace RS. 2003. Expanded circumscription of Didiereaceae and its division into three
subfamilies. *Adansonia* 25(1):13–16.
Arakaki M, Christin PA, Nyffeler R, Lendel A, Eggli U, Ogburn RM, Spriggs E, Moore MJ, Edwards EJ. 2011.
Contemporaneous and recent radiations of the world's major succulent plant lineages. *Proc Natl Acad*
*Sci USA.* 108(20):8379–8384.
Bárcenas RT, Yesson C, Hawkins JA. 2011 Molecular systematics of the Cactaceae. *Cladistics* 27:470–489
Barthlott W, Hunt DR. 1993. Cactaceae. In Flowering Plants Dicotyledons Springer Berlin Heidelberg. p. 161–
197.
Borland AM, Griffiths H, Hartwell J, Smith JA. 2009. Exploiting the potential of plants with crassulacean acid
metabolism for bioenergy production on marginal lands. *J Exp Bot.* 60(10):2879–2896.
Brandt B, Brodsky DE, Xue S, Negi J, Iba K, Kangasjärvi J, Ghassemian M, Stephan AB, Hu H, Schroeder JI.
2012. Reconstitution of abscisic acid activation of SLAC1 anion channel by CPK6 and OST1 kinases
and branched ABI1 PP2C phosphatase action. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 109(26):10593–10598.
Brochmann C, Brysting AK, Alsos IG, Borgen L, Grundt HH, Scheen AC, Elven R. 2004. Polyploidy in arctic
plants. *Bio J Linnean Soc.* 82:521–536.
Brockington SF, Yang Y, Gandia-Herrero F, Covshoff S, Hibberd JM, Sage RF, Wong GK, Moore MJ, Smith
SA. 2015. Lineage-specific gene radiations underlie the evolution of novel betalain pigmentation in
Caryophyllales. *New Phytol.* 207:1170–1180.
Brown JM, Thomson RC. 2017. Bayes factors unmask highly variable information content, bias, and extreme
influence in phylogenomic analyses. *Syst Biol.* 66(4):517–530.
Brown JW, Walker JF, Smith SA. 2017. Phyx: phylogenetic tools for unix. Bioinformatics 33(12):1886–1888.
Bruyns PV, Oliveira-Neto M, Melo-de-Pinna GF, Klak C. 2014. Phylogenetic relationships in the Didiereaceae
with special reference to subfamily Portulacarioideae. *Taxon* 63(5):1053–1064.
Butterworth CA, Cota-Sanchez JH, Wallace RS. 2002. Molecular systematics of tribe Cacteae (Cactaceae:
Cactoideae): a phylogeny based on rpl16 intron sequence variation. *Syst Bot.* 27(2):257–270.
Butterworth CA, Wallace RS. 2005. Molecular phylogenetics of the leafy cactus genus *Pereskia* (Cactaceae).
*Syst Bot.* 30(4):800–808.
Chan KX, Wirtz M, Phua SY, Estavillo GM, Pogson BJ. 2013. Balancing metabolites in drought: the sulfur
assimilation conundrum. *Trends Plant Sci.* 18(1):18–29.
Christin PA, Arakaki M, Osborne CP, Bräutigam A, Sage RF, Hibberd JM, Kelly S, Covshoff S, Wong GK,
Hancock L, Edwards EJ. 2014. Shared origins of a key enzyme during the evolution of C4 and CAM
metabolism. *J Exp Bot.* 65:3609–3621.
Christin PA, Salamin N, Savolainen V, Duvall MR, Besnard G. 2007. C4 Photosynthesis Evolved in Grasses via
Parallel Adaptive Genetic Changes. *Curr Biol.* 17:1241–1247.

Cui L, Wall PK, Leebens-Mack JH, Lindsay BG, Soltis DE, Doyle JJ, Soltis PS, Carlson JE, Arumuganathan K,
Barakat A, Albert VA. 2006. Widespread genome duplications throughout the history of flowering
plants. *Genome Res.* 16(6):738–749.

Cushman JC. 2001. Crassulacean acid metabolism. A plastic photosynthetic adaptation to arid environments.
*Plant Physiol.* 127:1439–1448.

Edwards EJ, Nyffeler R, Donoghue MJ. 2005. Basal cactus phylogeny: implications of *Pereskia* (Cactaceae)
paraphyly for the transition to the cactus life form. *Am J Bot.* 92(7):1177–1188.

Edwards EJ, Ogburn RM. 2012. Angiosperm responses to a low-CO2 world: CAM and C4 photosynthesis as
parallel evolutionary trajectories. *Int J of Plant Sci.* 173(6):724–733.

Edwards G, Walker D. 1983. C3, C4: mechanisms, and cellular and environmental regulation, of photosynthesis.
University of California Press.

Emms DM, Covshoff S, Hibberd JM, Kelly S. 2016. Independent and parallel evolution of new genes by gene
duplication in two origins of C4 photosynthesis provides new insight into the mechanism of phloem
loading in C4 species. *Mol Biol Evol.* 33(7):1796–1806.

Ferreyra MLF, Andreo CS, Podestá FE. 2003. Purification and physical and kinetic characterization of a
photosynthetic NADP-dependent malic enzyme from the CAM plant Aptenia cordifolia. *Plant Sci.*
164(1):95–102.

Fu L, Niu B, Zhu Z, Wu S, Li W. 2012. CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next-generation sequencing data.
*Bioinformatics* 28(23):3150–3152.

Geiger D, Scherzer S, Mumm P, Marten I, Ache P, Matschi S, Liese A, Wellmann C, Al-Rasheid KA, Grill E,
Romeis T, Hedrich R. 2010. Guard cell anion channel SLAC1 is regulated by CDPK protein kinases
with distinct Ca2+ affinities. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 107(17):8023–8028.

Gibson AC, Nobel PS. 1990. The cactus primer. Harvard University Press

Gibson AC. 1996. Structure–function relations of warm desert plants. New York, NY, USA: Springer.

Gil-Mascarell R, López-Coronado JM, Bellés JM, Serrano R, Rodríguez PL. 1999. The *Arabidopsis* HAL2 like
gene family includes a novel sodium‐sensitive phosphatase. *Plant J.* 17(4):373–383.

Golldack D, Li C, Mohan H, Probst N. 2014. Tolerance to drought and salt stress in plants: unraveling the
signaling networks. *Front Plant Sci.* 5:151.

Goolsby EW, Moore AJ, Hancock LP, De Vos JM, Edwards EJ. 2018. Molecular evolution of key metabolic
genes during transitions to C4 and CAM photosynthesis. *Am J Bot.* 105(3): 602–613.

Gosti F, Beaudoin N, Serizet C, Webb AA, Vartanian N, Giraudat J. 1999. ABI1 protein phosphatase 2C is a
negative regulator of abscisic acid signaling. *Plant Cell* 11(10):1897–1909.

Griffith MP, Porter JM. 2009. Phylogeny of Opuntioideae (Cactaceae). *International J Plant Sci.* 170:107–116.

Hernández-Hernández T, Hernández HM, De-Nova JA, Puente R, Eguiarte LE, Magallón S. 2011. Phylogenetic relationships and evolution of growth form in Cactaceae (Caryophyllales, Eudicotyledoneae). *Am J Bot.* 98(1):44–61.

Hernández-Ledesma P, Berendsohn WG, Borsch T, Mering SV, Akhani H, Arias S, Castañeda-Noa I, Eggli U, Eriksson R, Flores-Olvera H, Fuentes-Bazán S. 2015. A taxonomic backbone for the global synthesis of species diversity in the angiosperm order Caryophyllales. *Willdenowia* 45(3):281–383.

Hernández-Hernández T, Brown JW, Schlumpberger BO, Eguiarte LE, Magallón S. 2014. Beyond aridification: multiple explanations for the elevated diversification of cacti in the New World Succulent Biome. *New Phytol.* 202(4):1382–1397.

Hochstrasser M. 2009. Origin and function of ubiquitin-like proteins. *Nature* 458(7237):422–429.

Huang Q, Wang Y, Li B, Chang J, Chen M, Li K, Yang G, He G. 2015. TaNAC29, a NAC transcription factor from wheat, enhances salt and drought tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis. *BMC Plant Biol.* 15(1):268.

Hubbard KE, Nishimura N, Hitomi K, Getzoff ED, Schroeder JI. 2010. Early abscisic acid signal transduction mechanisms: newly discovered components and newly emerging questions. *Genes Dev.* 24(16):1695–1708.

Jiao Y, Wickett NJ, Ayyampalayam S, Chanderbali AS, Landherr L, Ralph PE, Tomsho LP, Hu Y, Liang H, Soltis PS, Soltis DE. 2011. Ancestral polyploidy in seed plants and angiosperms. *Nature* 473(7345):97–100.

Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol.* 30(4):772–780.

Kiang JG, Tsokos GC. 1998. Heat shock protein 70 kDa: molecular biology, biochemistry, and physiology. *Pharmacol Ther.* 80(2):183–201.

Kreps JA, Wu Y, Chang HS, Zhu T, Wang X, Harper JF. 2002. Transcriptome changes for *Arabidopsis* in response to salt, osmotic, and cold stress. *Plant Physiol.* 130(4):2129–2141.

Landis JB, Soltis DE, Li Z, Marx HE, Barker MS, Tank DC, Soltis PS. 2018. Impact of whole-genome duplication events on diversification rates in angiosperms. American journal of botany.

Lee EK, Cibrian-Jaramillo A, Kolokotronis SO, Katari MS, Stamatakis A, Ott M, Chiu JC, Little DP, Stevenson DW, McCombie WR, Martienssen RA. 2011. A functional phylogenomic view of the seed plants. *PLoS Genet.* 7(12):e1002411.

Li H, Chang J, Zheng J, Dong Y, Liu Q, Yang X, Wei C, Zhang Y, Ma J, Zhang X.  2017. Local melatonin application induces cold tolerance in distant organs of *Citrullus lanatus* L. via long distance transport. *Sci Rep.* 7:40858.

Liu L, Pearl DK, Brumfield RT, Edwards SV. 2008. Estimating species trees using multiple-allele DNA sequence data. *Evolution* 62(8):2080–2091.

Liu L, Pearl DK. 2007. Species trees from gene trees: reconstructing Bayesian posterior distributions of a
species phylogeny using estimated gene tree distributions. *Syst Biol.* 56(3):504–514.

Löytynoja A, Goldman N. 2008. Phylogeny-aware gap placement prevents errors in sequence alignment and
evolutionary analysis. *Science* 320(5883):1632–1635.

Mauseth JD. 2006. Structure–function relationships in highly modified shoots of Cactaceae. *Ann Bot.* 98(5):901–
926.

Merlot S, Gosti F, Guerrier D, Vavasseur A, Giraudat J. 2001. The ABI1 and ABI2 protein phosphatases 2C act
in a negative feedback regulatory loop of the abscisic acid signalling pathway. *Plant J.* 25(3):295–303.

Mirarab S, Reaz R, Bayzid MS, Zimmermann T, Swenson MS, Warnow T. 2014. ASTRAL: genome-scale
coalescent-based species tree estimation. *Bioinformatics* 30(17):i541–i548.

Mishra G, Zhang W, Deng F, Zhao J, Wang X. 2006. A bifurcating pathway directs abscisic acid effects on
stomatal closure and opening in Arabidopsis. *Science* 312(5771):264–266.

Moore A, de Vos JM, Hancock LP, Goolsby E, Edwards EJ. 2017. Targeted Enrichment of Large Gene Families
for Phylogenetic Inference: Phylogeny and Molecular Evolution of Photosynthesis Genes in the
Portullugo (Caryophyllales). *Syst Biol.* 67(3):367–383..

Nakashima K, Takasaki H, Mizoi J, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2012. NAC transcription factors in
plant abiotic stress responses. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1819(2):97–103.

Nakashima K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. 2014. The transcriptional regulatory network in the
drought response and its crosstalk in abiotic stress responses including drought, cold, and heat. *Front
Plant Sci.* 5:170.

Nyffeler R, Eggli U. 2010a. Disintegrating Portulacaceae: a new familial classification of the suborder
Portulacineae (Caryophyllales) based on molecular and morphological data. *Taxon* 59(1):227–240.

Nyffeler R, Eggli U. 2010b. A farewell to dated ideas and concepts –molecular phylogenetics and a revised
suprageneric classification of the family Cactaceae. *Schumannia* 6:109–149.

Nyffeler R. 2002. Phylogenetic relationships in the cactus family (Cactaceae) based on evidence from
trnK/matK and trnL-trnF sequences. *Am J Bot.* 89:312–326.

Nyffeler R. 2007. The closest relatives of cacti: insights from phylogenetic analyses of chloroplast and
mitochondrial sequences with special emphasis on relationships in the tribe Anacampseroteae. *Am J Bot.*
94(1):89–101.

Ocampo G, Columbus JT. 2010. Molecular phylogenetics of suborder Cactineae (Caryophyllales), including
insights into photosynthetic diversification and historical biogeography. *Am J Bot.* 97(11):1827–1847.

Ogburn RM, Edwards EJ. 2015. Life history lability underlies rapid climate niche evolution in the angiosperm
clade Montiaceae. *Mol Phylogenet Evol.* 92:181–192.

Parks MB, Nakov T, Ruck EC, Wickett NJ, Alverson AJ. 2018. Phylogenomics reveals an extensive history of
genome duplication in diatoms (Bacillariophyta). *Am J Bot.* 105(3):330–347.

Pease JB, Hahn MW. 2015. Detection and polarization of introgression in a five-taxon phylogeny. *Sys Bio.* 64(4):
651–662.

Peel MC, Finlayson BL, McMahon TA. 2007. Updated world map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification.
*Hydrol Earth Syst Sci.* 11:1633–1644.

Pennell MW, Eastman JM, Slater GJ, Brown JW, Uyeda JC, FitzJohn RG, Alfaro ME, Harmon LJ. 2014. geiger
v2. 0: an expanded suite of methods for fitting macroevolutionary models to phylogenetic trees.
Bioinformatics, 30(15):2216–2218.

Phillips MJ, Delsuc F, Penny D. 2004. Genome-scale phylogeny and the detection of systematic biases. *Mol Biol*
*Evol.* 21:1455–1458.

Pond, S.L.K. and Muse, S.V., 2005. HyPhy: hypothesis testing using phylogenies. In: Statistical methods in
molecular evolution. Springer, New York, NY. p. 125–181.

Qin F, Sakuma Y, Li J, Liu Q, Li YQ, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2004. Cloning and functional
analysis of a novel DREB1/CBF transcription factor involved in cold-responsive gene expression in *Zea*
*mays* L. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 45(8):1042–1052.

Schmidt A. 2005. Metabolic background of H2S release from plants, in: L.J. De Kok, E.S. Chnug (Eds.),
Proceedings of the 1st Sino-German Workshop on Aspects of Sulfur Nutrition of Plants, 17th End,
Braunschweig, Germany, pp. 121–129.

Seo TK. 2008. Calculating bootstrap probabilities of phylogeny using multilocus sequence data. *Mol Biol Evol.*
25(5):960–971.

Shen X, Hittinger CT, Rokas A. 2017. Contentious relationships in phylogenomic studies can be driven by a
handful of genes. *Nature Ecol Evol.* 1:1–10.

Silvera K, Winter K, Rodriguez BL, Albion RL, Cushman JC. 2014. Multiple isoforms of phosphoenol-pyruvate
carboxylase in the Orchidaceae (subtribe Oncidiinae): implications for the evolution of crassulacean acid
metabolism. *J Exp Bot.* 65(13):3623–3636.

Smith JAC, Winter K. 1996. Taxonomic distribution of crassulacean acid metabolism. In: Winter K, Smith JAC,
eds. Crassulacean acid metabolism: biochemistry, ecophysiology and evolution. Berlin/Heidelberg:
Springer-Verlag. p. 427–436.

Smith MD, Wertheim JO, Weaver S, Murrell B, Scheffler K, Kosakovsky Pond SL. 2015. Less is more: an
adaptive branch-site random effects model for efficient detection of episodic diversifying selection. *Mol*
*Biol Evol.* 32(5):1342–1353.

Smith SA, Brown JW. 2018. Constructing a broadly inclusive seed plant phylogeny. Am J Bot. 105(3): 302–314.

Smith SA, Brown JW, Walker JF. 2018b. So many genes, so little time: A practical approach to divergence-time
estimation in the genomic era. PLoS ONE 13(5): e0197433.

Smith SA, Brown JW, Yang Y, Bruenn R, Drummond CP, Brockington SF, Walker JF, Last N, Douglas NA,
Moore MJ. 2018a. Disparity, diversity, and duplications in the Caryophyllales. *New Phytol.* 217(2):836–
854.

Smith SA, Dunn CW. 2008. Phyutility: a phyloinformatics tool for trees, alignments and molecular data.
*Bioinformatics* 24(5):715–716.

Smith SA, Moore MJ, Brown JW, Yang Y. 2015. Analysis of phylogenomic datasets reveals conflict,
concordance, and gene duplications with examples from animals and plants. *BMC Evol Biol.* 15(1):150.

Smith SA, O'Meara BC. 2012. treePL: divergence time estimation using penalized likelihood for large
phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 28:2689–2690.

Soltis DE, Smith SA, Cellinese N, Wurdack KJ, Tank DC, Brockington SF, Refulio-Rodriguez NF, Walker JB,
Moore MJ, Carlsward BS, Bell CD. 2011. Angiosperm phylogeny: 17 genes, 640 taxa. *Am J Bot.*
98(4):704–730.

Soltis PS, Soltis DE. 2009. The role of hybridization in plant speciation. *Annu Rev Plant Biol.* 60:561–588.

Soltis PS, Soltis DS. 2000. The role of genetic and genomic attributes in the success of polyploids. *Proc Natl*
*Acad Sci USA.* 97:7051–7057.

Stamatakis A. 2015. Using RAxML to infer phylogenies. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics.* 51:6–14.

Stebbins GL. 1971. Chromosomal evolution in higher plants. Addison Wesley, London. p. 216.

Stoughton TR, Jolles DD, O'Quinn RL. 2017. The western spring beauties, *Claytonia lanceolata* (Montiaceae): a
review and revised taxonomy for California. *Syst Bot.* 42(2):283–300.

Than C, Ruths D, Nakhleh L. 2008. PhyloNet: a software package for analyzing and reconstructing reticulate
evolutionary relationships. *BMC bioinformatics* 9(1):322.

van den Bergh E, Külahoglu C, Bräutigam A, Hibberd JM, Weber AP, Zhu XG, Schranz ME. 2014. Gene and
genome duplications and the origin of C4 photosynthesis: Birth of a trait in the Cleomaceae. *Curr Plant*
*Biol.* 1:2–9.

Vandeleur RK, Mayo G, Shelden MC, Gilliham M, Kaiser BN, Tyerman SD. 2009. The role of plasma
membrane intrinsic protein aquaporins in water transport through roots: diurnal and drought stress
responses reveal different strategies between isohydric and anisohydric cultivars of grapevine. *Plant*
*Physiol.* 149(1):445–460.

Vanneste K, Van de Peer Y, Maere S. 2013. Inference of genome duplications from age distributions revisited.
*Mol Biol Evol.* 30(1):177–90.

Vázquez-Sánchez M, Terrazas T, Arias S, Ochoterena H. 2013. Molecular phylogeny, origin and taxonomic
implications of the tribe Cacteae (Cactaceae). *Sys Biodivers.* 11(1):103–116.

Walker JF, Yang Y, Feng T, Timoneda A, Mikenas J, Hutchinson V, Edwards C, Wang N, Ahluwalia S, Olivieri
J, et al. 2018a. From cacti to carnivores: Improved phylotranscriptomic sampling and hierarchical
homology inference provide further insight to the evolution of Caryophyllales. *Am J Bot.* special issue
on the Plant Tree of Life, 105(3):446–462.

Walker JF, Brown JW, Smith, SA. 2018b. Analyzing contentious relationships and outlier genes in
phylogenomics. *Syst Biol.* syy043, https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syy043.

Walker JF, Yang Y, Moore MJ, Mikenas J, Timoneda A, Brockington SF, Smith SA. 2017. Widespread

paleopolyploidy, gene tree conflict, and recalcitrant relationships among the carnivorous Caryophyllales.

*Am J Bot.* 104(6):858–867.

Wallace RS, Dickie SL. 2002. Systematic implications of chloroplast DNA sequence variation in subfam.

Opuntioideae (Cactaceae). *Succ. Pl. Res.* 6:9–24.

Watson A. 1979. Gypsum crusts in deserts. *J Arid Environ.* 2(1):3–21.

Wendel JF. 2015. The wondrous cycles of polyploidy in plants. *Am J Bot.* 102:1753–1756.

Williams BP, Aubry S, Hibberd JM. 2012. Molecular evolution of genes recruited into C4

photosynthesis. *Trends Plant Sci.* 17(4):213–220.

Wood TE, Takebayashi N, Barker MS, Mayrose I, Greenspoon PB, Rieseberg LH. 2009. The frequency of

polyploid speciation in vascular plants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 106:13875–13879.

Yang Y, Moore MJ, Brockington SF, Mikenas J, Olivieri J, Walker JF, Smith SA. 2018. Improved transcriptome

sampling pinpoints 26 ancient and more recent polyploidy events in Caryophyllales, including two

allopolyploidy events. *New Phytol.* 217(2):855–870

Yang Y, Moore MJ, Brockington SF, Soltis DE, Wong GKS, Carpenter EJ, Zhang Y, Chen L, Yan Z, Xie Y,

Sage RF, Covshoff S, Hibberd JM, Nelson MN, Smith SA. 2015. Dissecting molecular evolution in the

highly diverse plant clade Caryophyllales using transcriptome sequencing. *Mol Biol Evol.* 32(8):2001–

2014.

Yang Y, Smith SA. 2014. Orthology inference in nonmodel organisms using transcriptomes and low-coverage

genomes: improving accuracy and matrix occupancy for phylogenomics. *Mol Biol Evol.* 31(11):3081–

3092.

Table 1. Portulacineae gene families with the highest total number of tips

| Cluster ID | Ntip | Ntaxa | Annotation |
|---|---|---|---|
| cluster1_2rr_1rr_2rr | 949 | 82 | tubulin beta chain [*Spinacia oleracea*] |
| cluster2_1rr_1rr_1rr | 871 | 82 | heat shock cognate 70 kDa protein-like [*Nicotiana tabacum*] |
| cluster4_1rr_1rr_1rr | 698 | 82 | Actin-11, NBD sugar-kinase HSP70 actin [*Chenopodium quinoa*] |
| cluster3_3rr_1rr_1rr | 670 | 81 | Polyubiquitin-A [*Triticum urartu*] |
| cluster5_1rr_1rr_1rr | 655 | 82 | plasma membrane H+ ATPase 9 [*Sesuvium portulacastrum*] |
| cluster8_1rr_1rr_1rr | 582 | 82 | tubulin alpha-3 chain [*Vitis vinifera*] |
| cluster12_1rr_1rr_1rr | 565 | 82 | phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase [*Suaeda aralocaspica*] |
| cluster43_1rr_1rr_1rr | 488 | 81 | ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 28 [*Solanum lycopersicum*] |
| cluster18_1rr_1rr_1rr | 472 | 82 | serine/threonine-protein kinase tricorner isoform X1 [*Beta vulgaris vulgaris*] |
| cluster22_1rr_1rr_1rr | 467 | 82 | NADP-dependent malic enzyme [*Hylocereus undatus*] |
| cluster15_1rr_1rr_1rr | 463 | 82 | transmembrane 9 superfamily member 3 [*Chenopodium quinoa*] |
| cluster17_1rr_1rr_1rr | 462 | 82 | auxin transporter-like protein 2 [*Chenopodium quinoa*] |
| cluster19_1rr_1rr_1rr | 461 | 82 | plasma membrane intrinsic protein 2 [*Sesuvium portulacastrum*] |
| cluster7_1rr_1rr_1rr | 456 | 81 | uridine-cytidine kinase C isoform X1 [*Beta vulgaris vulgaris*] |
| cluster26_1rr_1rr_1rr | 435 | 82 | S-adenosylmethionine synthase 1 [*Beta vulgaris vulgaris*] |
| cluster14_1rr_1rr_1rr | 467 | 82 | probable xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase protein 23 [*Beta vulgaris vulgaris*] |
| cluster6_2rr_1rr_1rr | 530 | 81 | homeobox-leucine zipper protein ATHB-15 isoform X1 [*Beta vulgaris vulgaris*] |
| cluster9_1rr_1rr_1rr | 527 | 81 | expansin-A10-like [*Chenopodium quinoa*] |
| cluster16_1rr_1rr_1rr | 438 | 82 | ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase 12 [*Beta vulgaris vulgaris*] |
| cluster37_1rr_1rr_1rr | 435 | 82 | 14-3-3 protein 10-like [*Chenopodium quinoa*] |

810 NOTE.—Ntip: number of tips, Ntaxa: number of taxa

811 Table 2. Selection analyses on 29 genes associated with cold and drought responses.

| Gene | TN | TS | Cac35 | Did27 | Mont15 | Port71 | SH80 (duplication) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **ABI1/PP2Cfamily** | 151 | 17 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 27 |
| NAC10 | 158 | 16 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 27,39 |
| WRKY33 | 165 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 17,27 |
| NAC29 | 163 | 13 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 13 |
| CDPK18 | 196 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2,13,21,35,57 |
| **PP2C37 (PP2CA)** | 179 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 13,17,19,35 |
| HSP70 | 270 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0,19,27,33,49,51,52 |
| ICE1 | 194 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 17,20,27,38 |
| HSP70 | 221 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0,27,35 |
| ERF5 | 86 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 17,27,30 |
| HSP90-5 | 213 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 26,27,34 |
| CDPK1 | 85 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | --- |
| SNRK2.5 | 156 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 39 |
| MYB124 (FLP) | 178 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 39 |
| HSP83 | 305 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0,13,17,27,34,76 |
| CRPK1 | 177 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13,20,27 |
| AREB1 | 92 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 17,27 |
| NAC2 | 157 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 27,39 |
| MYB60 | 87 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 27 |
| WIN1 (SHN1) | 79 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17,27 |
| CDPK13 | 156 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 27 |
| CDPK26 | 117 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0,17,27 |
| PLC2 | 108 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17,19,35 |
| CDPK8 | 184 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,27,71 |
| CRLK1 | 170 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| SNRK2.6 | 180 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27,39 |
| SNRK2.4 | 125 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,27 |
| CDPK10 | 85 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| ERF2 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17,27 |

NOTE.—TN: tip number; TS: Total lineages under positive selection; Number of lineages under

positive selection within certain clades, including Cactaceae (Cac35), Didiereoideae (Did27),

Montiaceae (Mont15), and Portulacaceae (Port71). SH80: Duplication nodes with SH-like support >

80, Node number can be referred to in figs. 1 and S9. The bolded genes (ABI1 and PP2CA) are

important negative regulators in the ABA pathway that mainly discussed in the text. More information

about references and gene duplication patterns can be found in table S4.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Species tree from RAxML analysis of the 841-gene supermatrix. Gene duplication numbers (i.e.,

the number of duplication events; number > 12 are shown) are calculated only for branches with strong

support (SH-like > 80) in the 8332 rooted clusters.

Fig. 2. Gene-tree conflict. The pie chart proportions represent genes supporting congruent relationships

(concordant), genes supporting the most common conflict (dominant alternative), all other conflicting

gene trees (other conflict), and genes with no support (uninformative).

Fig. 3. Comparison of ln $L$ (sorted by greatest differences in likelihood, $\Delta$ ln$L$) for alternative

phylogenetic hypotheses. Left: Three alternative resolutions were compared involving Cactaceae,

Portulacaceae, and Anacampserotaceae. Values above the x-axis show the difference in likelihood for

those genes supported the resolution found in our result "t1". Those falling below the axis support "t2"

(black) or "t3" (gray). Middle: Two alternative hypotheses involving Basellaceae, Didiereaceae and

ACPT (Anacampserotaceae, Cactaceae, Portulacaceae, and Talinaceae). Right: Two alternative

hypotheses involving the early diverging Cactaceae. Pie chart proportions are the same as in fig. 2.

Outlying genes (those genes with extremely high support) are discussed in the Results and Discussion.

Fig. 4. A. Reconstruction of first axis of principal component analyses (PCA1) on the species level tree

of Portulacineae. Black nodes indicate the diversification rate shifts. B. Comparison of MAT (mean

annual temperature), MAP (mean annual precipitation), and PCA1 between clades with

WGD/extensive gene duplication (1: Didiereoideae, 2: Portulacineae, 3: Cactaceae, 4: Montiaceae

except for *Phemeranthus*) and their corresponding sister clades. The upper panel is the comparison of

reconstructed state. The lower panel is the comparison of evolutionary rate. Box plots are constructed

from bootstrapped phylogenies.

0.04

A

Portulacaceae

Anacampserotaceae
Talinaceae
Didiereaceae

Cactaceae

Montiaceae

Molluginaceae

PCA1
● diversification shift

B

**1**

bioclim1    bioclim12    pca1

r(bioclim1)    r(bioclim12)    r(pca1)

**2**

bioclim1    bioclim12    pca1

r(bioclim1)    r(bioclim12)    r(pca1)

**3**

bioclim1    bioclim12    pca1

r(bioclim1)    r(bioclim12)    r(pca1)

**4**

bioclim1    bioclim12    pca1

r(bioclim1)    r(bioclim12)    r(pca1)