1

Evolution of the latitudinal diversity gradient in the hyperdiverse ant genus *Pheidole*

Evan P. Economo[1,2]*, Jen-Pan Huang[2], Georg Fischer[1], Eli M. Sarnat[1], Nitish Narula[1], Milan

Janda[3,4], Benoit Guénard[5], John T. Longino[6], L. Lacey Knowles[2]

*correspondence: Evan P. Economo, evaneconomo@gmail.com

[1]*Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University, Onna, Okinawa, Japan,*

904-0495

[2]*Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, Museum of Zoology, University of*

Michigan, USA

[3]*National Laboratory for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis (LANASE), ENES, UNAM,*

Morelia, Mexico

[4]*Biology Centre of Czech Academy of Sciences, Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic*

[5]*The University of Hong Kong, School of Biological Sciences, Hong Kong, SAR, China.*

[6]*Department of Biology, University of Utah, USA*

Running title: Evolution of tropical hyperdiversity in ants

ABSTRACT

Aim

The latitudinal diversity gradient is the dominant pattern of life on Earth, but a consensus

understanding of its origins has remained elusive. The analysis of recently diverged, hyper-

rich invertebrate groups provides an opportunity to investigate latitudinal patterns with the

statistical power of large trees while minimizing potentially confounding variation in ecology

and history. Here, we synthesize global phylogenetic and macroecological data on a

hyperdiverse (>1100 species) ant radiation, *Pheidole*, and evaluate the roles of three general

explanations for the latitudinal gradient: variation in diversification rate, tropical

conservatism, and ecological regulation.

Location

Global.

Time Period

The past 35 million years.

Major taxa studied

The hyperdiverse ant genus *Pheidole* Westwood.

Methods

We assembled geographic data for 1499 species and morphospecies, and inferred a dated

phylogeny of *Pheidole* of 449 species, including 150 species newly sequenced for this study.

We tested correlations between diversification rate and latitude with BAMM, HiSSE,

GeoSSE, and FiSSE, and examined patterns of diversification as *Pheidole* spread around the

globe.

Results

We found that *Pheidole* diversification occurred in series of bursts when new continents were

colonized, followed by a slowdown in each region. There was no evidence of systematic

variation of net diversification rates with latitude across any of the methods. Additionally, we

found latitudinal affinity is moderately conserved with a Neotropical ancestor and

phylogenetic inertia alone is sufficient to produce the gradient pattern.

Main Conclusions

Overall our results are consistent with tropical conservatism explaining the diversity gradient,

while providing no evidence that diversification rate varies systematically with latitude.

There is evidence of ecological regulation on continental scales through the pattern of

diversification after colonization. These results shed light on the mechanisms underlying the

diversity gradient, while contributing toward a much-needed invertebrate perspective on

global biodiversity dynamics.

Keywords: ants, latitudinal diversity gradient, tropical conservatism, diversification rate,
diversity regulation, macroevolution
72
73

INTRODUCTION

Understanding how ecological and evolutionary processes interact with historical factors to shape global biodiversity patterns remains a major goal of biology. The latitudinal diversity gradient (LDG) is the most general biogeographic pattern, yet we still lack a consensus understanding of its mechanisms (Pianka, 1966; Willig *et al.*, 2003; Mittelbach *et al.*, 2007; Fine, 2015). This is likely because many biological, physical, and historical factors that could plausibly affect diversity vary systematically with latitude, and thus a large number of hypotheses have been developed to explain the pattern. However, testing the predictions of different hypotheses empirically and evaluating their relative merits has proven to be a challenge.

Recently, the synthesis of large-scale geographic datasets along with large-scale phylogenetic data has provided new opportunities for empirical evaluation of hypotheses for the mechanisms underlying the LDG. These tests have mainly focused on vertebrates (e.g. Cardillo *et al.*, 2005; Weir & Schluter, 2007; Jetz *et al.*, 2012; Pyron & Wiens, 2013; Rolland *et al.*, 2014; Duchêne & Cardillo, 2015; Siqueira et al. 2016; Pigot *et al.*, 2016) and woody plants (Kerkhoff *et al.*, 2014), since those are the taxa with large-scale comprehensive data available. Several pioneering studies have examined latitudinal diversification patterns in insects (e.g. McKenna & Farrell, 2006; Hawkins & DeVries, 2009; Condamine *et al.*, 2012; Moreau & Bell, 2013; Pie, 2016; Owens *et al.*, 2017) although data-deficiency of most invertebrate groups makes taxonomic and/or geographic scope a problem for analysis.

Among invertebrates, ants are emerging as an exemplar taxon for global biodiversity studies. Ants are ecologically dominant in most terrestrial ecosystems and are, for an insect group, relatively well documented scientifically. Moreover, their diversity is high, but not intractably so, with richness on the same order as major vertebrate groups (~15,000 described ant species), and exhibit a marked latitudinal gradient (Kaspari *et al.*, 2004; Dunn *et al.*, 2009). Recently, a new comprehensive dataset was compiled which gives the known geographic distribution of all described ant species across >400 geographic regions around the globe (Guénard *et al.*, 2017). These data, combined with progress toward reconstructing the ant tree of life (Brady *et al.*, 2006; Moreau *et al.*, 2006; Moreau & Bell, 2013; Ward *et al.*, 2015), allow for inferences of the evolutionary underpinnings of large-scale diversity patterns in ants.

Here, we use globally distributed, hyperdiverse (>1100 described species) ant genus

Pheidole as a model taxon to test hypotheses for the latitudinal diversity gradient. While over

a hundred hypotheses have been proposed to explain the gradient (Willig *et al.*, 2003;

Mittelbach *et al.*, 2007; Fine, 2015), these can roughly be sorted under three umbrella

hypotheses which we use to frame our study: i) the *Diversification Rate hypothesis* (DRH)*,* ii)

the *Tropical Conservatism Hypothesis* (TCH)*,* and iii) the *Ecological Regulation Hypothesis*

(ERH).

First, the *Diversification Rate Hypothesis* posits that there is some causal factor that

affects speciation and/or extinction rates and varies with latitude (e.g. reviewed in Pianka,

1966; Mittelbach *et al.*, 2007; Fine, 2015). This leads to a latitudinal disparity in species

accumulation rate that underlies the gradient, rather than any regulation of total species

numbers. Many such potential causal factors have been proposed. For example, temperature

may affect mutation rates, which in turn could affect the rates of evolution of reproductive

incompatibilities (Rohde, 1992). Such hypotheses about speciation contribute to the idea that

faster origination in the tropics and dispersal outward lead to differential accumulation rates

across latitude: the "out of the tropics" model (Jablonski *et al.*, 2006). Or, extinction rates

could be higher in the temperate than tropical zone to due greater climatic variability (Weir &

Schluter, 2007; Rolland *et al.*, 2014). The prediction of the DRH is straightforward: net

diversification rate inferred from a phylogeny should be higher in tropical lineages compared

with extratropical lineages.

Second, the *Tropical Conservatism Hypothesis* (TCH) (Pianka, 1966; Wiens &

Donoghue, 2004) posits that the relative youth of colder temperate biomes combined with the

inertia of phylogenetic niche conservatism (Wiens & Graham, 2005; Losos, 2008) has limited

the accumulation of diversity in the temperate zone. In this scenario, net diversification rates

do not necessarily vary with latitude, and the difference in richness is mainly due to time for

diversification (Stephens & Wiens, 2003). This idea is based on the fact that historically the

Earth has been much warmer than it is now, and much of what is now the temperate zone was

covered by "megathermal" biomes. This hypothesis is supported by the fossil record; many

lineages that used to occur in the Palearctic are now limited to tropical latitudes. This is true

for ants as well; the Baltic amber ant fauna from the late Eocene has greater affinity to

modern Indo-Australian faunas than modern Palaearctic faunas (Guénard *et al.*, 2015). The

main prediction of this hypothesis is that the ancestral region of most groups is the tropics,

transitions out of the tropical zone are rare, and thus the temperate clades are younger and

nested within tropical clades. The fact that transition from the tropical to the temperate zones

should be difficult because of the many nontrivial adaptations that ectothermal organisms

such as ants need to overwinter in the higher latitudes. One additional key prediction of the

TCH is that temperate diversification matches the timing of global cooling: specifically, that

diversification of cold-adapted lineages accelerated after the Oligocene cooling 34mya.

Finally, the *Ecological Regulation Hypothesis* (ERH) posits that, due to some causal

factor that varies with latitude, more species can coexist locally and regionally in tropical

ecosystems than in temperate ecosystems. In this case, diversity is saturated at or near some

ecological limit, and this "carrying capacity" of species varies with latitude regulating

diversity from the top-down (Rabosky & Hurlbert, 2015). This is perhaps due to limitations

on species coexistence that are driven by productivity or other factors (Pianka, 1966; Hurlbert

& Stegen, 2014b). Speciation and extinction rates may vary over time to regulate richness at

the requisite quota for a geographic region, but are not causally responsible for the disparity

in diversity. Likewise, latitudinal affinity may be highly conserved or evolve quickly, but it

would be immaterial to the origins of the gradient if diversity is regulated at levels that vary

with latitude.

In a parallel study, Economo et al. (In Press) examined latitudinal patterns across 262

ant clades and tested hypotheses for the latitudinal gradient. That taxon-wide analysis focused

on deeper timescales, and lacks phylogenetic resolution within recent radiations. They found

that tropical lineages are more ancient than extratropical lineages, which mainly arose since

the Oligocene cooling (past 34my), consistent with the TCH. Further, they found that

diversification rate is highly heterogeneous but uncorrelated with latitude among ant clades,

inconsistent with the DRH. Due to the limitations of phylogenetic data at such broad scales,

they could not explicitly test for ecological regulation (ERH).

As with other studies on broad taxonomic scales (e.g. birds: Jetz *et al.*, 2012,

mammals: Buckley & Jetz, 2007; Rolland *et al.*, 2014), the analyses across all ants provide

the advantages of the statistical power of large numbers and a deep-time perspective.

However, as many ecological, functional trait, and historical factors could contribute to

variation in macroevolutionary rates at these deeper phylogenetic scales, this variation among

clades may obscure underlying latitudinal effects that could be detectable among more

similar, closely related lineages. For example, ant diversification has been shown to be

related to functional traits (Blanchard & Moreau, 2017). Moreover, latitudinal gradients are

often present within individual clades that evolved recently (Economo *et al.*, 2015a), and

macrophylogenetic studies may miss the relevant scale of variation. Thus, the analysis of

closely related lineages within younger, hyper-rich radiations provides a necessary

complement to large-scale taxon-wide studies. In highly diverse groups, these radiations can

provide both the statistical power of large numbers, while controlling to some degree for

differences in ecology, functional traits, and historical factors.

The global radiation of *Pheidole* arose entirely since the Oligocene cooling (last

34my), during which time it has evolved a latitudinal gradient echoing the pattern for all ants

(Economo *et al.*, 2015a). Thus, *Pheidole* presents an opportunity to examine diversification

dynamics in this most recent period since the Oligocene, a period where many ant lineages

transitioned out of the tropics, complementing the deeper timescales of the ant-wide study.

While the low number of older extratropical ant lineages is consistent with the TCH

(Economo, In Press), there is still an open question of whether niche conservatism or

diversification rate differences explain the emergence of the gradient since the Oligocene.

According to the TCH, the tropical ancestry of *Pheidole* combined with phylogenetic niche

conservatism is sufficient to explain why there are more species in the tropics. The DRH

predicts that *Pheidole* diversified more rapidly in the tropics, and this explains the disparity in

diversity. Finally, we examine whether there is evidence for ecological limits to *Pheidole*

diversification (ERH) by examining whether the radiation is undergoing pulse-like bursts of

diversification. If so, we would expect a series of diversification pulses followed by

slowdowns as the genus colonized different regions around the globe.

Here, we reconstruct a new global *Pheidole* phylogeny—the most comprehensive to

date—increasing substantially the taxonomic and geographic coverage from previous studies

of the genus (Moreau, 2008; Sarnat & Moreau, 2011; Economo *et al.*, 2015a; Economo *et al.*,

2015b). We use the new phylogeny and geographic data from the GABI database to test

predictions of the three umbrella hypotheses for the latitudinal gradient. There is no

biological reason why two or more of these mechanisms cannot be simultaneously operating

(e.g. diversity can be regulated and speciation rate can vary systematically with latitude, or

niche conservatism can occur along with diversity regulation). Thus, our goal is to rule out

hypotheses rather than isolate a single exclusive answer. The analysis of this famously

hyperdiverse radiation will advance our general understanding of the latitudinal gradient, the

most pervasive pattern of life on Earth.

METHODS

Geographic Data

Our geographic data are based primarily on the Global Ant Biodiversity Informatics Project

(GABI) database (Guénard *et al.*, 2017) which can be viewed through the website

antmaps.org (Janicki *et al.*, 2016), and secondarily on the personal collection records of the

authors. The former focuses on described species, while the second was used to supplement

data on morphospecies for taxa included in the phylogenetic analysis.

Phylogeny reconstruction

Taxon Selection: Compared with many other large ant radiations, the effort to reconstruct the

phylogenetic history of *Pheidole* is relatively far along. A series of studies, beginning with

Moreau 2008 (Moreau, 2008) and followed by other studies (Sarnat & Moreau, 2011;

Economo *et al.*, 2015a; Economo *et al.*, 2015b) has produced a broad picture of the

evolutionary history of the genus. However, for the purposes of understanding geographic

patterns of diversification, having a larger, and more proportionally sampled phylogeny will

provide additional statistical power and more robust results. Thus, we continued sampling

Pheidole taxa for sequencing, focusing on sampling more taxa from the Neotropics,

Madagascar, and SE Asia, which had been undersampled in previous analyses. In all, we

increased the number of species from 282 taxa in the most recent global *Pheidole* phylogeny

(Economo *et al.*, 2015a) to 449 taxa in the current contribution (Table S2).

Estimation of Sampling Completeness: One source of uncertainty in large-scale analyses of

diversity is bias in taxonomic completeness overall and among different areas, particularly in

relatively poorly known groups such as insects. While there is still a pronounced latitudinal

gradient in *Pheidole* even among described species, there are undoubtedly many undescribed

species in the genus, and it is probable they are disproportionately found in the tropics. While

accounting for unobserved species is a challenge in any analysis, we devised an approximate

method to calculate sampling completeness across areas given the information in hand, and

use these estimates in our analysis of diversification rate. The details of our calculation are in

the Supporting Information.

DNA Sequencing: Previous molecular work on *Pheidole* has generated a dataset based on

eight nuclear loci [His3.3B (histone H3.3B F1 copy), Lop1 (long wavelength sensitive opsin

1), GRIK2 (glutamate receptor ionotropic, kainate 2-like), unc_4 (unc-4 homeodomain gene),

LOC15 (uncharacterized locus LOC15), CAD (carbomoylphosphate synthase), EF-1α F2

(elongation factor 1-alpha F2), Top1 (DNA topoisomerase 1)], and one mitochondrial locus

[CO1 (cytochrome oxidase 1)]. In a previous study (Economo et al. 2015a), all 9 loci were

sequenced for a subset of 65 taxa representing the main *Pheidole* lineages around the world,

while three loci (COI, Lop1, and His3.3B) were sequenced for all taxa to fill out the clades

(217 taxa). This hierarchically redundant sampling design was chosen for reasons cost and

time efficiency and to maximize the number of taxa, combined with the fact that many of the

slow-evolving nuclear genes provide less information on recent divergences.

We added 167 new *Pheidole* taxa to this existing dataset by extending this sampling

design and sequencing COI, Lop1, and His3.3B. We did not plan to sequence all 9 loci unless

we found novel divergent clades not represented by taxa with all 9 genes sequenced in the

earlier study (and we did not). Ant samples from field collections fixed in 95% EtOH were

extracted for DNAs using DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The

whole ant body was incubated in the extraction buffer without grinding during the first step,

and then the complete ant specimen was removed before filtering and cleaning the extracts

via a provided column. Extracted DNAs were subsequently used for PCR reactions for one

mitochondrial (CO1) (Folmer *et al.*, 1994) and two nuclear (His3.3B and Lop1) regions. Each

reaction contained 0.5 ul of extracted DNA, 1ul of $10 \times$ buffer, 0.75 ul of MgCl2, 0.5 ul of

10mN dNTPs, 0.2 ul of 1% BSA, 0.4ul of of each primer, 0.04ul of Tag DNA polymerase

(Invitrogen, USA), and ddH$_2$O to make a total of 10 ul reaction. Standard PCR procedures

were employed with annealing temperatures of 52, 60, and 60 C for CO1, His3.3B, and Lop1

regions, respectively. The amplicons were sequenced via a ABI^{3700} machine by the

Sequencing Core at the University of Michigan. Sequences were checked using SeqMan

(DNAStar Inc., USA).

Phylogenetic tree inference: We used Bayesian methods to infer a dated *Pheidole* phylogeny

including 449 ingroup taxa (Table S2). To generate codon-aware alignments for these loci,

we first searched NCBI's non-redundant CDS database (Clark *et al.*, 2016) for reliable amino

acid sequences for all loci and retrieved such sequences for seven of the nine loci with the

following accession numbers: AIM2284.1 (CAD), ABW70333.1(CO1), EZA53539.1 (EF-1α

F2), EGI60526.1 (His3.3B), ABW36758.1 (Lop1), EGI59282.1 (unc-4), and AIM43286.1

(Top1). These sequences were used as references for generating codon-aware alignments.

The CAD, unc-4, and Top1 alignments generated using MAFFT v7.205 (Katoh & Standley,

2013) (--retree 4; --maxiterate 1000) showed no frameshift mutations and/or insertions and

deletions. However, the CO1, EF-1α F2, His3.3B, and Lop1 alignments did not match the

reference sequences, showing disruptions in the translated amino acid alignments (such as the

presence of numerous stop codons). For these loci, we used a codon-aware alignment

software, MACSE v1.01b (Ranwez *et al.*, 2011), to generate the alignments. Reverse

translations of the reliable amino acid reference sequences, accounting for all possibilities at

each codon position, were passed as reliable input sequences to the software, we were able to

assign codon positions within the exons in these seven loci. The resulting alignments were

manually inspected and cleaned using Geneious R8 software. Furthermore, we identified,

extracted, and separately aligned intronic regions wherever necessary. The remaining two

loci, LOC15 and GRIK-2, were aligned using MAFFT. We concatenated all nine alignments

and once again manually cleaned the master alignment, resulting in an alignment containing

8839 sites.

We used PartitionFinder v1.1.1 (Lanfear *et al.*, 2012) to determine the partitioning

scheme and corresponding models of molecular evolution. The model scope included HKY,

HKY+Γ, SYM, SYM+Γ, GTR, GTR+Γ, TrN, TrN+Γ, K80, K80+Γ, TrNef, TrNef+Γ, JC, and

JC+Γ, branch lengths were set to 'linked', and model selection and comparison was set to

Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). PartitionFinder identified an optimal scheme

containing 16 partitions (Table S3). We used ClockstaR (Duchene *et al.*, 2014) to determine

the optimal number of clock models across our partitions for relaxed-clock phylogenetics,

and a single linked clock was preferred based on the SEMmax criterion.

Our primary phylogenetic inference was conducted in BEAST2 v2.1.3 (Bouckaert *et*

al., 2014), but we first performed maximum likelihood (ML) reconstruction in RAxML

v8.0.25 (Stamatakis, 2014). Using the partitioning scheme described above and the GTR+Γ

model, we ran 75 ML inferences with 1000 bootstraps to find the ML tree. Using the *chronos*

function in the *ape* package in R (Paradis *et al.*, 2004), we scaled the tree by calibrating the

root node to a range of 50-60my. This tree was used as the starting tree for the BEAST2

analyses, but the topology was not fixed.

Unfortunately there are no reliable fossil calibrations available to date nodes *within*

the genus. Thus, the age of the group can only be informed by the age of the stem node and

information from fossils in related taxa across the subfamily Myrmicinae. Thus, because our

analysis is concentrated within *Pheidole*, we preferred to use the stem node age distribution

(i.e. the most recent common ancestor of *Pheidole* and its sister lineage

Cephalotes+Procryptocerus) inferred as in a much larger analysis of the subfamily

Myrmicinae (Ward *et al.*, 2015) that could make use of a broad range of molecular and fossil

data to date the ages. Following those results, the stem node calibration was set to a normal

distribution (mean: 58.0 mya, sigma, 4.8my) to match results from that study. Future work

analyzing the global fossil record in *Pheidole* and placing fossil taxa within the *Pheidole* tree

represents an important avenue for future phylogenetic work on the genus. Despite this

limitation, the analyses in this paper depend mostly on relative, rather than absolute ages, and

we draw no conclusions based on the precise timing of nodes in the tree.

We used a relaxed lognormal clock model linked across partitions (due to ClockstaR

results), and used the partitioning scheme and models identified with PartitionFinder. Six

independent analyses were run and chains were stopped between 45 and 80 million

generations, after we observed convergence using Tracer software v1.6.0 (Rambaut 2014).

We discarded the leading 33% of saved states as burnin, combined the remaining trees from

all runs to create the posterior set, and generated the Maximum Clade Credibility tree and

nodes set to median height. After pruning the outgroup, this tree was used for all subsequent

analyses.

Macroevolutionary rate inference

We took several complimentary approaches to estimating macroevolutionary rates and

potential dependencies on latitude, primarily basing our anlaysis on BAMM (Bayesian

Analysis of Macroevolutionary Mixtures, Rabosky, 2014), HiSSE (Hidden State Speciation

and Extinction, Beaulieu & O'Meara, 2016), and FiSSE (Rabosky & Goldberg, 2017), with a

secondary analysis using GeoSSE (Geographic State Speciation and Extinction, Goldberg *et*

al., 2011). These methods each have their strengths and weaknesses thus our approach is to

use them collectively to seek conclusions about our data that are robust to methodological

assumptions and implementation.

The main advantage of BAMM is that complex mixture models can be assessed with

rate shifts across the tree, including accelerating and decelerating diversification rates. While

trait-dependent diversification models are not fit directly, trait-diversification correlations can

be assessed *post hoc* using structured rate permutations that estimate correlations while

accounting for phylogenetic dependency (Rabosky & Huang, 2015). We use BAMM to test

for correlations between latitude and net diversification rate, and evaluate evidence of

decelerating diversification (ecological regulation of diversity) overall and in relation to the

colonization of continents.

While BAMM has strengths in inferring complex mixtures of diversification

processes, they are not explicitly trait-dependent, and the SSE family of methods explicitly

fits models of trait-dependent diversification. SSE methods have been developed with

different kinds of trait data, either based on binary traits (BISSE, Maddison *et al.*, 2007),

continuous traits (QuaSSE, FitzJohn, 2010), or explicitly geographic traits (GeoSSE,

Goldberg *et al.*, 2011). While these methods are explicitly for inferring trait-dependent

speciation and extinction, they have the problem that differences in the focal trait are the only

mechanisms that can cause shifts in macroevolutionary rates. If the real process has complex

rate shifts then a more complex trait dependent model may fit better than a homogeneous null

model, even if the shifts are not related to the traits per se, leading to type-I errors (Rabosky

& Goldberg, 2015). These problems are at least partially solved by HiSSE (Beaulieu &

O'Meara, 2016), a method that fits binary trait-dependent speciation and extinction models

that can be formally tested against similarly complex trait-independent models. We thus

primarily used HiSSE for our analysis. Since GeoSSE has been implemented for explicitly

geographic dynamics, we also fit that model as a secondary test and present that analysis in

the supplement.

Finally, as an additional test for variation in speciation rate with latitude, we used a

non-parametric method, FiSSE (Rabosky & Goldberg, 2017), that does not depend on an

assumed model structure and is robust to false inferences of trait-dependent evolution given a

range of underlying complex evolutionary dynamics. FiSSE is limited to testing speciation

rate differences it does not directly test for diversification rate differences. However, many

(but not all) hypotheses for why diversification rate could vary with latitude are based on

mechanisms acting on speciation rate (e.g. out-of-the-tropics model is one), so it is a partial

test of the broader Diversification Rate Hypothesis.

BAMM implementation: We estimated net-diversification, speciation, and extinction rates

through time for the inferred *Pheidole* tree using the program BAMM V2.5. The initial values

for speciation rate, rate shift, and extinction rate were estimated using the setBAMMpriors

function from the R package BAMMtools (Rabosky *et al.*, 2014) and specified in the BAMM

control file. Specifically, a total of 2×10^8 generations of rjMCMC searches with samples

stored every 8000 generations were performed using speciation-extinction. A total of 1000

post burnin samples (50%) were retained. We performed two BAMM runs for each of three

assumptions about sampling completeness (L, M, H) accounted for by changing the

GlobalSamplingFraction parameter (0.3, 0.22, 0.18, respectively) (see Supplemental

Information for justification). To account for potential oversampling of Nearctic species, we

performed a series of runs where we lowered the number of Nearctic species by randomly

pruning 21 (of total 48) Nearctic tips from the tree ten times and performed a BAMM run on

each replicate, using the M assumption for the GlobalSamplingFraction parameter.

Using the posteriors generated from these MCMC runs, we sought to 1) explore the

overall pattern of *Pheidole* diversification, 2) assess whether there is evidence of diversity

regulation, particularly decelerating diversification over time and after colonization of new

areas, and 3) test for latitudinal dependency in diversification rate while accounting for

phylogenetic non-independence. We visualized the linage specific diversification with the

plot.bammdata function from BAMMtools, and the time plot of clade-specific diversification

rate was plotted with the plotRateThroughTime function. We used STRAPP (e.g. the

traitDependentBAMM function in BAMMtools) to test for significance of any latitude-

diversification correlations. We tested for diversification rate vs. either tropicality index or

absolute midpoint latitude (one-tailed, 10000 iterations, Spearman's rho as test statistic). We

also checked whether our results were robust to using Pearson correlation as test statistic or

coding latitude as a binary variable and using Mann-Whitney test (tropicality>0 or

tropicality<0).

HiSSE Implementation: The HiSSE approach (Beaulieu & O'Meara, 2016) extends the

BiSSE (Binary State Speciation and Extinction model) (Maddison *et al.*, 2007) framework

with two advances. First, the HiSSE model itself allows for more complex models in which

macroevolutionary rates can be the function of the focal trait and a hidden state. Thus, if our

focal character has states 1 and 0 (in our case tropical and extratropical), there could be an

influence of a second unobserved character (with states A and B) on a macroevolutionary

rates lambda and mu (λ_{0A}, λ_{0B}, λ_{1A}, λ_{1B}). Second, importantly, it allows the fitting of null

character-independent models (CID) in which a hidden factor(s) drives diversification rate

changes without the influence of the focal trait under investigation. This allows trait-

dependent BiSSE models to be compared to a character-independent model of similar

complexity (CID-2, with two hidden states A and B) and more complicated HiSSE models to

be compared to models of similar complexity (CID-4, with four hidden states A, B, C, D).

BiSSE (trait dependent speciation-extinction), HiSSE (trait-dependent speciation/extinction

with hidden states that also affect speciation/extinction) and CID (trait-independent models

with hidden states that affect speciation/extinction) are best used together and models with all

structures can be compared.

We fit a range of models with increasing complexity, starting with the BiSSE family

of models under the following sets of constraints on the parameters: all diversification and

transition rates equal among states, diversification equal but transition rates different (i.e.

speciation and or extinction changes with latitude, but transition rates among temperate and

tropical are equal), diversification different but transition rates equal (i.e. speciation and

extinction vary with state, but transition rates are equal), or all rates free unconstrained to

vary with state (the full BiSSE model).

The HiSSE models allow speciation/extinction/transition rates to vary with the focal

trait and also among two hidden traits. One question in implementing HiSSE is how to set the

transition parameters among states (combination of observed 0/1 and hidden A/B states, with

combined state space 0A, 1A, 0B, 1B). We followed suggestions of the authors of the method

(Beaulieu & O'Meara, 2016), either setting all transition rates to be equal, or assumed a three

parameter rates in which transitions between the observed states could vary but transitions

between hidden states is a single parameter.

The CID-2 and CID-4 models are fit including 2 or 4 hidden states, respectively, but

with no dependence on the observed traits. The CID-2 is a null model of similar complexity

to the full BiSSE model, and the CID-4 is a null model of similar complexity to the HiSSE

model. For these, we also assumed alternatively a single rate for all state transitions

(observed and hidden) or a three-rate model including two rates for transitions between the

observed states and one between all hidden states.

We implemented all of the above analyses using functions in the R package hisse

(Beaulieu & O'Meara, 2016). As with the BAMM analysis, we ran all models using either the

(L, M, H) assumptions about sampling completeness, and for the global *Pheidole* and New

World only. For the New World analyses, we additionally adjusted the sampling fraction

(M*) to account for possible undersampling of tropical species relative to extratropical

species. As the ML optimization does not always find the global minimum from a single

starting point, we ran 20 ML searches for each model using random starting parameters

chosen from a uniform distribution on the interval (0,1). For all the models above, we ran

them alternatively assuming a fixed root in the tropical state, or root probability estimated

with the default "madwitz" method based on the data. As we found the results were

insensitive to the root method, we only present results with the default option. After all

BiSSE, HiSSE, and CID models were inferred, we compared all models with Akaike's

Information Criterion (AICc) scores.

FiSSE Approach: FiSSE (Rabosky & Goldberg, 2017) is a nonparametric test for trait-

dependent speciation rates that does not assume an underlying model structure, but rather

depends on distributions of branch lengths in the different states. FiSSE is complementary to

the BiSSE and is robust to Type-I error. We performed both one-tailed and two-tailed tests of

FiSSE to test for speciation differences between temperate and tropical taxa, using the global

Pheidole and only the New World *Pheidole*. We also performed FiSSE on a set of trees for

the New World only where temperate species were thinned to account for possible

undersampling of the tropics (see supplemental).

Phylogenetic niche conservatism: We performed additional analyses to evaluate the degree to

which latitudinal affinity is conserved in *Pheidole*. For this, we first calculated two measures

of phylogenetic signal—Blomberg's K (Blomberg *et al.*, 2003) and Pagel's lambda (Pagel,

1999)—treating absolute latitudinal midpoint as a continuous trait, using the phylosig()

function in the R package *phytools* (Revell, 2012). Second, to estimate the overall

evolutionary rates, we fit models of discrete character evolution (treating latitudinal affinity

as a binary variable) using the fitDiscrete() function in the R package *geiger*(Pennell *et al.*,

2014). To visualize the evolution of latitudinal affinity, we performed 100 stochastic

character maps on the empirical tree using the make.simmap() function, and plotted a

summary of state probabilities with the function densityMap(), both from the *phytools*

package. Finally, to estimate whether the inferred rate of evolution combined with tropical

ancestral state is consistent with the observed richness difference even in the absence of

diversity regulation and diversification rate differences, we simulated niche evolution on the

empirical tree and maximum likelihood model with the sim.history() function from *phytools*.

While tree shape and trait state are not necessarily independent (i.e. the dependent model is

implemented in the HiSSE analysis), this analysis asks whether we would be likely to

observe a gradient even if they were independent, given that *Pheidole* likely has a tropical

ancestor and given the rate that latitudinal affinity evolves. *Pheidole* likely has a tropical

ancestor as the most basal *Pheidole* species, *P. fimbriata*, and the sister lineage of *Pheidole*,

Cephalotes + Procryptocerus, are tropical (Moreau, 2008; Ward *et al.*, 2015).

RESULTS

Pheidole exhibits a latitudinal diversity gradient that is overall similar to ants as a

whole (Fig. 1). The BEAST analysis inferred a phylogeny whose major features are

consistent with previous studies (Figs. 2, S1). The crown age of the group (i.e. the mrca of

Pheidole fimbriata with the rest of *Pheidole*) is inferred here to be younger than in a previous

study (~29mya vs. ~37mya in Economo *et al.*, 2015a), although closer to the crown age

inferred in other recent broader scale phylogenies (Ward *et al.*, 2015).

Figure 1 : Global patterns of *Pheidole* species richness plotted by a) geographic region and b) 5-degree latitudinal band for 1138 described species/subspecies and 361 morphospecies. For comparison, latitudinal distribution of 13771 ant species excluding *Pheidole* are also depicted. Latitudinal richness is expressed as fraction of total richness (either 1499 or 13,771 for *Pheidole* or all other ants, respectively).

According to the BAMM analysis, the hyperdiversification of *Pheidole* began after an

acceleration approximately 15-16 mya, and all species except for two basal lineages (*P.*

fimbriata and *P. rhea*) are descended from this lineage. Diversification initially occurred in

the New World, exhibiting a decelerating trend over time. Around 13mya, a single lineage

colonized the Old World and this was associated with another burst of diversification

followed by a slowdown in a clade encompassing Asia and Africa. Madagascar and Australia-

NG were later colonized, followed by accelerations and subsequent decelerations in each

clade (Figs. 2, S1, S2). There were several other accelerations that were not obviously

associated with geographic transitions, including one clade in the new world and the

megacephala group in Afrotropics. This general pattern of sequential colonization-

acceleration-deceleration pattern is robust to changing the sampling fraction parameter,

although as one would expect the inferred degree of deceleration becomes less pronounced if

one assumes that more species are left to be sampled.

The extratropical lineages generally belong to young clades nested within larger

tropical clades (Figs. 2, S1). While diversification rate varies across the genus to a degree, we

could not detect a significant correlation (assessed with STRAPP) between BAMM-inferred

net diversification rate and either absolute midpoint latitude or tropicality index across any of

the analyses we performed (Fig. 3). These results were similar across variation in the

assumed global sampling fractions, whether we calculated correlations for individual clades

or the whole tree, and including trees where Nearctic species were culled to account for

possible uneven sampling. Although significance tests were one-tailed for higher

diversification in the tropics, we also note that none of the observed correlation coefficients

were outside the null range in either direction.

Figure 2: Diversification rate dynamics inferred with BAMM from a phylogeny of 449 Pheidole species. a) Median diversification rates through time of the major *Pheidole* clades. The New World median excludes the two basal species (*P. rhea* and *P. fimbriata*) that fall outside the initial acceleration of *Pheidole* diversification. b) The mcc phylogeny colored with inferred net diversification rate. c) Latitudinal extent of all 449 taxa included in the tree. A high-resolution version with taxon names visible is presented in Figure S1. d) Probable locations of diversification rate shifts. Here, branch length is proportional to probability of a shift.

The HiSSE analysis was also broadly consistent with BAMM analysis in finding no

statistical support for a correlation between macroevolutionary rates and latitude. In general,

the CID-2 trait-independent null model outperformed the BiSSE trait-dependent models, and

the CID-4 null outperformed the HiSSE trait-dependent models, and the CID-4 models had

the global minimum AICc across the different permutations of the analysis (Table 1). Thus,

this analysis provided no evidence for latitude-dependent macroevolution in this genus. It is

worth noting as well that the AIC-minimizing versions of BiSSE and HiSSE models, which

again were themselves not preferred over the null models, generally did not support higher

diversification rate in the tropics. The BiSSE model detected a slightly higher diversification

rate in the extratropical zone and the HiSSE model either fit models where tropical

diversification was higher than extratropical while in one hidden state and lower in the other

hidden state, or where the extratropical diversification was always higher in both hidden

states. For the New World, use of the sampling effort correction removed this slight, and non-

significant difference. The GeoSSE analysis, presented in the supplement, showed overall

similar results to BiSSE, a positive latitude-diversification rate trend in the New World, but

not global, *Pheidole* that is not robust to the correction for latitudinal undersampling, with

some differences in the dispersal pattern inferred probably due to differences the way

geographic states are coded. We can only assume that if a CID-like null model were available

for GeoSSE, it would also perform better than GeoSSE as it did for BiSSE.

Figure 3: Net diversification rate inferred with BAMM as a function of latitude. Diversification rate of each *Pheidole* species (present day) inferred with BAMM using the "M" assumption of sampling completeness per species a) as a function of latitudinal midpoint and b) tropicality index, which varies from -1 for a species with a range located competely outside the tropics to 1 for a species confined to the tropics. c) Spearman correlations (black dots) for net diversification and either absolute midpoint latitude (left) or tropicality (right), where the grey boxes reflect 95% null distribution generated with STRAPP. L, M, H, reflect different assumptions about unsampled species (low, medium, high estimates of total numbers of *Pheidole*), while M* are 10 trees where temperate species have been culled to account for possible sampling bias (see methods).

525 The FiSSE analysis was also consistent with the other analyses in showing no

correlation between speciation rate and latitude for the global *Pheidole* (λ_{temp}=0.28,

λ_{trop}=0.27, two tailed p>0.88), but a positive speciation-latitude correlation for the New

World alone (λ_{temp} =0.30, λ_{trop} =0.20, two-tailed p<0.026). However, when we dropped

extratropical tips from the phylogeny to simulate potential latitudinal undersampling of the

tropics, this difference was much more modest and no longer significant (n=10, mean

λ_{temp}=0.24, S.E.=0.005, mean λ_{trop}=0.20, S.E. = 0.0002, p range: 0.19-0.72 among replicates).

Table 1: Summary of delta AICc from the BiSSE and HiSSE trait-dependent models, and the two null models, CID-2 and CID-4. CID-2 is similar in model complexity to the BiSSE model, while CID-4 is similar in model complexity to the HiSSE model. The models were run with different parameter constraints listed below. The L, M, H, refer to the low, medium, and high estimates of missing taxa. M* includes a correction for possible oversampling with latitude. The AICc minimizing model for each analysis is highlighted in bold.

Model	Description/constraint	Global *Pheidole* (ΔAICc)			New World *Pheidole* (ΔAICc)			
		L	**M**	**H**	**L**	**M**	**H**	**M***
BiSSE	Div. rates and transition rates equal across latitudes	69.9	67.4	69.6	18.3	23.2	27.8	23.2
BiSSE	Div. rates equal, transition rates vary with latitude	69.4	66.9	69.2	19.4	24.2	28.9	22.3
BiSSE	Div rates vary, transition rates equal with latitude	69.9	67.4	69.6	18.3	23.2	27.8	23.2
BiSSE	Div. rates and transition rates vary with latitude (full BiSSE model)	73.5	71.1	73.3	11.6	16.1	18.9	23.2
CID-2 null	2 hidden states, 1 transition parameter	33.9	21.9	17.3	0.5	2.8	5.7	2.9
CID-2 null	2 hidden states, 3 transition parameters	21.2	20.1	19.4	2.4	5.3	8.6	4.5
HiSSE	Div rates vary with latitude and two hidden states, 1 transition parameter	36.1	21.1	16.5	0.9	0.9	0.3	8.6
HiSSE	Div rates vary with latitude and two hidden states, 3 transition parameters	22.5	28.0	27.7	0.2	2.0	2.3	9.5
CID-4 null	Div rates vary with four hidden states, 1 transition parameter	22.5	11.1	4.6	1.6	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**
CID-4 null	Div. rates vary with four hidden states, 3 transition parameters	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	1.1	0.4	5.7

The extratropical lineages are clustered with each other on the tree, although it is clear

there were numerous transitions out of the tropics (Fig. 4). The tests for phylogenetic signal

in latitudinal affinity for Blomberg's K (K=0.34, p<0.002) and Pagel's lambda (λ=0.95, p<10[-57]) were both highly significant. Symmetric and asymmetric models of discrete character

evolution both fit the data comparably well (symmetric model $q_{trop\text{-}>etrop}=q_{etrop\text{-}>trop}$=0.015,

540   AICc=235.5, asymmetric model $q_{trop->etrop}$= 0.013, $q_{etrop->trop}$ =0.060, AICc=234.9).

541   Simulations of character evolution on the empirical phylogeny show that a latitudinal

542   gradient is the most common outcome if one assumes a tropical ancestor and either model for

543   the inferred rate of evolution of latitudinal affinity (Fig. 4).

Figure 4 : Evolution of latitudinal affinity in *Pheidole*. a) Branch-wise probability of ancestral tropical state inferred from stochastic character mapping. b-c) Histograms of latitudinal richness differences beween tropics and extratropics simulated with stochastic character mapping on the empirical phylogeny assuming a tropical ancestor and the inferred degree of niche conservation using symmetric (b) or asymmetric (c) models of character evolution. The vertical dashed line is the empirical richness fraction.

DISCUSSION

Our analysis of *Pheidole* macroevolution sheds light on the mechanisms responsible

for the evolution of the latitudinal diversity gradient in ants. By focusing on the recent

evolutionary dynamics of a single large radiation, our study complements taxon-wide studies

that focus on differences among highly divergent clades and deeper timescales (e.g. Cardillo

et al., 2005; Weir & Schluter, 2007; Jetz *et al.*, 2012; Pyron & Wiens, 2013; Rolland *et al.*,

2014; Kerkhoff *et al.* 2014, Duchêne & Cardillo, 2015; Economo *et al.* In Press).

We find no evidence of higher diversification rate for tropical *Pheidole* lineages

across any of our analyses (Figs. 2-4, S1), as would be predicted by the Diversification Rate

Hypothesis. In general, the signal of latitude as a trait affecting macroevolutionary rates in the

BAMM, HiSSE, and FiSSE analyses was weak to non-existent. When there was some hint of

a correlation, for example in the best fitting (but still not better than null) HiSSE/BiSSE

analyses, and the FiSSE analysis for New World speciation rate uncorrected for latitudinal

sampling bias, it was in the direction of higher diversification/speciation in the temperate

zone. However, those correlations were not robust to reasonable assumptions about

undersampling in the tropics, thus the overall picture is a lack of evidence for latitudinal

dependency for macroevolutionary rates.

We do not view our analysis as ruling out that such systematic macroevolution-

latitude relationships may exist, even in *Pheidole*. Rather, our analysis only suggests that

such relationships are not the causal factor in the gradient. The Diversification Rate

Hypothesis is predicated on the fact that lineages reach different latitudes early on in their

evolution, but the disparity of richness is due to different accumulation rates over time. If

niche conservatism is too high for lineages to evolve out of the tropics (or vice versa) early

on in the radiation, there may be no chance for any latitude-macroevolutionary rate

correlations to manifest and be statistically detectible. Thus, we view our analysis as stronger

evidence that a diversification rate- latitude correlation is not causal in the latitudinal gradient

in *Pheidole*, rather than showing that no such relationship exists in *Pheidole* which could

affect biodiversity patterns under the right conditions.

While there is no evidence for relationship between diversification rate and latitude,

the pattern of diversification suggests *Pheidole* evolution is being shaped by diversity

regulation. Even if one assumes that there are over 1300 undescribed *Pheidole* species (the

higher end of our estimated range) in addition to the 1175 currently described species, our

analysis found that diversification is still decelerating in the genus. Moreover, there is

evidence that diversification accelerated after colonization of new areas, specifically when

Pheidole colonized the Old World, and again after it colonized Australia and New Guinea.

This lends further support to the idea that there are ecological limits to *Pheidole* diversity,

because when new continents are colonized, ecological opportunity is high. However, it is

more difficult to determine if these limits vary with latitude in a way that is causally

responsible for the richness gradient. For example, the limits to diversity could be similar at

all latitudes, but phylogenetic conservatism could be causing the higher latitudes to lag

behind tropical latitudes in reaching their steady state. Here we might expect a positive trend

of *Pheidole* diversification rate running counter to the richness gradient, because temperate

zones would be further from the equilibrium number of species. While there were some hints

of a positive latitude-diversification correlation (e.g. Supplemental Fig. S2), there was not a

robust and statistically supported relationship. A future direction would be to examine how

niche overlap and coexistence in *Pheidole* varies with latitude or energetic constraints, as has

been pursued in better studied taxa such as birds (Pigot *et al.*, 2016).

Overall, the results match the predictions of the tropical conservatism hypothesis

(TCH). We found that latitudinal affinity is moderately conserved in *Pheidole*. While there

have been a number of transitions from the tropics to the temperate zone, latitudinal affinity

evolves slowly enough to make a richness gradient the most likely outcome simply due its

tropical ancestry and phylogenetic inertia. Thus, our study joints a series of recent studies

supporting the TCH for woody plants (Kerkhoff *et al.*, 2014), birds (Duchêne & Cardillo,

2015), mammals (Buckley *et al.*, 2010), and butterflies (Hawkins & DeVries, 2009).

These results for *Pheidole* evolution over the last 30my connect well to results on ant

diversification on deeper timescales (Economo, In Press), and together tell a coherent story

about the evolution of latitudinal gradients in ants. Most ant lineages older than 34mya are

reconstructed to be tropical, including the *Pheidole* stem lineage. Around 15 mya, *Pheidole*

exhibited a many-fold acceleration in diversification rate and began a massive radiation. The

reason for this initial acceleration, such as evolution of a key innovation, remains unknown. It

took time for some *Pheidole* lineages to evolve the requisite traits for colonization of high

latitudes. Once colonization of cold biomes occurred, diversification was not detectibly

slower. In their analysis across all ant clades, Economo et al. (In Press) also found no

evidence for elevated net diversification rates among clades centered in the tropics relative to

those in the temperate zone, although clades are quite heterogeneous in rate, probably due to

other latent biological and historical differences. It remained possible that diversification rate

is correlated with latitude within the large clades, but biological differences among clades

obscured this pattern. Within *Pheidole*, diversification rate is much less heterogeneous, but

there is still no evidence of a negative latitudinal correlation, implying that lack of

phylogenetic resolution within large clades was not hiding this relationship in the previous

analysis (Economo et al. *In Press*). *Pheidole* provides additional insight that diversity

regulation is a prominent feature of the global evolution of the genus, although it is unclear if

it is a causal factor in the gradient. Finally, since we have a better handle on sampling biases

within *Pheidole* than we do for ants as a whole, we can be more confident that latitudinal

sampling biases are not masking latitudinal diversification rate variation.

While thus far the evidence is consistent with both phylogenetic niche conservatism

(TCH) and diversity regulation (ERH) playing a role in *Pheidole* diversification, determining

whether one alone or both together are responsible for the diversity gradient remains a

challenge for future work. Moreover, as these are "umbrella" hypotheses, each individual

hypothesis could encompass a range of different mechanisms. One way forward is a

hierarchical, systematic approach, where broad categories of hypotheses are evaluated (e.g.

like these in this study), followed then by more targeted studies devised to tease apart the

mechanisms within the larger classes of hypothesis that fit the data well. We also agree with

the approach advocated by Hurlbert and Stegen (Hurlbert & Stegen, 2014a), toward a

quantitative formulation of multiple competing and intersecting hypotheses, combined with a

simulation-based approach to identify their key predictions. We felt initial efforts in this

direction were not yet mature enough to use as a basis for the current study, but look forward

to further development of the approach in the future. Finally, we need further work to resolve

and analyze other hyperdiverse ant radiations (e.g. *Camponotus, Strumigenys, Tetramorium*)

that also exhibit strong latitudinal gradients.

Despite the high level of research effort directed toward understanding the latitudinal

gradient, the matter is far from resolved (Mittelbach *et al.*, 2007). Studies have differed in

their conclusions about the origins of the gradient, probably due to both differences in

conceptual and methodological approaches and real variation in process and history across

taxonomic groups. The former should continue to improve as we develop more penetrating

quantitative methods that make use of more diverse data types. Variability across taxonomic

groups is best assessed and understood by examining more of them. The vast majority of

studies on the diversity gradient have focused on vertebrates. While of obvious intrinsic

interest, vertebrates may not be good surrogates for understanding general patterns across the

rest of the tree of life. For example, mammals have been impacted by human activities so

dramatically that it can affect large-scale macroecological patterns (Turvey & Fritz, 2011;

Santini, 2017). With development of global invertebrate datasets like the one analyzed here,

we stand to broaden our perspective on large-scale biological patterns and their origins.

REFERENCES:

Beaulieu, J.M. & O'Meara, B.C. (2016) Detecting Hidden Diversification Shifts in Models of
Trait-Dependent Speciation and Extinction. *Systematic Biology*, **65**, 583-601.

Blanchard, B.D. & Moreau, C.S. (2017) Defensive traits exhibit an evolutionary trade-off and
drive diversification in ants. *Evolution*, **71**, 315-328.

Blomberg, S.P., Garland, T. & Ives, A.R. (2003) Testing for phylogenetic signal in
comparative data: Behavioral traits are more labile. *Evolution*, **57**, 717-745.

Bouckaert, R., Heled, J., Kuhnert, D., Vaughan, T., Wu, C.H., Xie, D., Suchard, M.A.,
Rambaut, A. & Drummond, A.J. (2014) BEAST 2: a software platform for Bayesian
evolutionary analysis. *PLoS Comput Biol*, **10**, e1003537.

Brady, S.G., Schultz, T.R., Fisher, B.L. & Ward, P.S. (2006) Evaluating alternative
hypotheses for the early evolution and diversification of ants. *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences, **103**, 18172-18177.

Buckley, L.B. & Jetz, W. (2007) Environmental and historical constraints on global patterns
of amphibian richness. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological*
Sciences, **274**, 1167-1173.

Buckley, L.B., Davies, T.J., Ackerly, D.D., Kraft, N.J.B., Harrison, S.P., Anacker, B.L.,
Cornell, H.V., Damschen, E.I., Grytnes, J.A., Hawkins, B.A., McCain, C.M.,
Stephens, P.R. & Wiens, J.J. (2010) Phylogeny, niche conservatism and the latitudinal
diversity gradient in mammals. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological*
Sciences, **277**, 2131-2138.

Cardillo, M., Orme, C.D.L. & Owens, I.P. (2005) Testing for latitudinal bias in diversification
rates: an example using New World birds. *Ecology*, **86**, 2278-2287.

Clark, K., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Lipman, D.J., Ostell, J. & Sayers, E.W. (2016) GenBank.
Nucleic Acids Research, **44**, D67-D72.

Condamine, F.L., Sperling, F.A.H., Wahlberg, N., Rasplus, J.Y. & Kergoat, G.J. (2012) What
causes latitudinal gradients in species diversity? Evolutionary processes and
ecological constraints on swallowtail biodiversity. *Ecology Letters*, **15**, 267-277.

Duchêne, D.A. & Cardillo, M. (2015) Phylogenetic patterns in the geographic distributions of
birds support the tropical conservatism hypothesis. *Global Ecology and*
Biogeography, **24**, 1261-1268.

Duchene, S., Molak, M. & Ho, S.Y.W. (2014) ClockstaR: choosing the number of relaxed-

clock models in molecular phylogenetic analysis. *Bioinformatics*, **30**, 1017-1019.

Dunn, R.R., Agosti, D., Andersen, A.N., Arnan, X., Bruhl, C.A., Cerdá, X., Ellison, A.M.,

Fisher, B.L., Fitzpatrick, M.C. & Gibb, H. (2009) Climatic drivers of hemispheric

asymmetry in global patterns of ant species richness. *Ecology letters*, **12**, 324-333.

Economo, E.P., Klimov, P., Sarnat, E.M., Guenard, B., Weiser, M.D., Lecroq, B. & Knowles,

L.L. (2015a) Global phylogenetic structure of the hyperdiverse ant genus Pheidole

reveals the repeated evolution of macroecological patterns. *Proceedings of the Royal*

Society B-Biological Sciences, **282**

Economo, E.P., Sarnat, E.M., Janda, M., Clouse, R., Klimov, P.B., Fischer, G., Blanchard,

B.D., Ramirez, L.N., Andersen, A.N., Berman, M., Guenard, B., Lucky, A., Rabeling,

C., Wilson, E.O. & Knowles, L.L. (2015b) Breaking out of biogeographical modules:

range expansion and taxon cycles in the hyperdiverse ant genus Pheidole. *Journal of*

Biogeography, **42**, 2289-2301.

Economo, E.P., Narula, N., Friedman, N. R., Weiser, M. D., Guénard, B. (In Press)

Macroecology and macroevolution of the latitudinal diversity gradient in ants. *Nature*

Communications.

Fine, P.V.A. (2015) Ecological and Evolutionary Drivers of Geographic Variation in Species

Diversity. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, **46**, 369-392.

FitzJohn, R.G. (2010) Quantitative Traits and Diversification. *Systematic Biology*, **59**, 619-

633.

Folmer, O., Black, M., Hoeh, W., Lutz, R. & Vrijenhoek, R. (1994) DNA primers for

amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan

invertebrates. *Mol Mar Biol Biotechnol*, **3**, 294-9.

Goldberg, E.E., Lancaster, L.T. & Ree, R.H. (2011) Phylogenetic Inference of Reciprocal

Effects between Geographic Range Evolution and Diversification. *Systematic*

Biology, **60**, 451-465.

Guénard, B., Perrichot, V. & Economo, E.P. (2015) Integration of global fossil and modern

biodiversity data reveals dynamism and stasis in ant macroecological patterns.

Journal of Biogeography, **42**, 2302-2312.

Guénard, B., Weiser, M.D., Gomez, K., Narula, N. & Economo, E.P. (2017) The Global Ant

Biodiversity Informatics (GABI) database: synthesizing data on the geographic

distribution of ant species (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Myrmecological News*, **24**, 83-

89.

Hawkins, B.A. & DeVries, P.J. (2009) Tropical niche conservatism and the species richness

720   gradient of North American butterflies. *Journal of Biogeography*, **36**, 1698-1711.

721 Hurlbert, A.H. & Stegen, J.C. (2014a) On the processes generating latitudinal richness

722   gradients: identifying diagnostic patterns and predictions. *Frontiers in Genetics*, **5**

723 Hurlbert, A.H. & Stegen, J.C. (2014b) When should species richness be energy limited, and

724   how would we know? *Ecology Letters*, **17**, 401-413.

725 Jablonski, D., Roy, K. & Valentine, J.W. (2006) Out of the tropics: Evolutionary dynamics of

726   the latitudinal diversity gradient. *Science*, **314**, 102-106.

727 Janicki, J., Narula, N., Ziegler, M., Guenard, B. & Economo, E.P. (2016) Visualizing and

728   interacting with large-volume biodiversity data using client-server web-mapping

729   applications: The design and implementation of antmaps.org. *Ecological Informatics*,

730   **32**, 185-193.

731 Jetz, W., Thomas, G.H., Joy, J.B., Hartmann, K. & Mooers, A.O. (2012) The global diversity

732   of birds in space and time. *Nature*, **491**, 444-448.

733 Kaspari, M., Ward, P.S. & Yuan, M. (2004) Energy gradients and the geographic distribution

734   of local ant diversity. *Oecologia*, **140**, 407-413.

735 Katoh, K. & Standley, D.M. (2013) MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version

736   7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **30**,

737   772-780.

738 Kerkhoff, A.J., Moriarty, P.E. & Weiser, M.D. (2014) The latitudinal species richness gradient

739   in New World woody angiosperms is consistent with the tropical conservatism

740   hypothesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*

741   *America*, **111**, 8125-8130.

742 Lanfear, R., Calcott, B., Ho, S.Y.W. & Guindon, S. (2012) PartitionFinder: Combined

743   Selection of Partitioning Schemes and Substitution Models for Phylogenetic

744   Analyses. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **29**, 1695-1701.

745 Losos, J.B. (2008) Phylogenetic niche conservatism, phylogenetic signal and the relationship

746   between phylogenetic relatedness and ecological similarity among species. *Ecology*

747   *letters*, **11**, 995-1003.

748 Maddison, W.P., Midford, P.E. & Otto, S.P. (2007) Estimating a binary character's effect on

749   speciation and extinction. *Systematic Biology*, **56**, 701-710.

750 McKenna, D.D. & Farrell, B.D. (2006) Tropical forests are both evolutionary cradles and

751   museums of leaf beetle diversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,

752   **103**, 10947-10951.

753 Mittelbach, G.G., Schemske, D.W., Cornell, H.V., Allen, A.P., Brown, J.M., Bush, M.B.,

Harrison, S.P., Hurlbert, A.H., Knowlton, N. & Lessios, H.A. (2007) Evolution and

the latitudinal diversity gradient: speciation, extinction and biogeography. *Ecology*

letters, **10**, 315-331.

Moreau, C.S. (2008) Unraveling the evolutionary history of the hyperdiverse ant genus

Pheidole (Hymenoptera : Formicidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **48**,

224-239.

Moreau, C.S. & Bell, C.D. (2013) Testing the museum versus cradle tropical biological

diversity hypothesis: phylogeny, diversification, and ancestral biogeographic range

evolution of the ants. *Evolution*, **67**, 2240-2257.

Moreau, C.S., Bell, C.D., Vila, R., Archibald, S.B. & Pierce, N.E. (2006) Phylogeny of the

ants: diversification in the age of angiosperms. *Science*, **312**, 101-104.

Owens, H.L., Lewis, D.S., Dupuis, J.R., Clamens, A.L., Sperling, F.A.H., Kawahara, A.Y.,

Guralnick, R.P. & Condamine, F.L. (2017) The latitudinal diversity gradient in New

World swallowtail butterflies is caused by contrasting patterns of out-of-and into-the-

tropics dispersal. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **26**, 1447-1458.

Pagel, M. (1999) Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. *Nature*, **401**, 877-

884.

Paradis, E., Claude, J. & Strimmer, K. (2004) APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution

in R language. *Bioinformatics*, **20**, 289-290.

Pennell, M.W., Eastman, J.M., Slater, G.J., Brown, J.W., Uyeda, J.C., FitzJohn, R.G., Alfaro,

M.E. & Harmon, L.J. (2014) geiger v2.0: an expanded suite of methods for fitting

macroevolutionary models to phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics*, **30**, 2216-2218.

Pianka, E.R. (1966) Latitudinal Gradients in Species Diversity - a Review of Concepts.

American Naturalist, **100**, 33-&.

Pie, M.R. (2016) The macroevolution of climatic niches and its role in ant diversification.

Ecological Entomology, **41**, 301-307.

Pigot, A.L., Tobias, J.A. & Jetz, W. (2016) Energetic Constraints on Species Coexistence in

Birds. *Plos One*, **11**

Pyron, R.A. & Wiens, J.J. (2013) Large-scale phylogenetic analyses reveal the causes of high

tropical amphibian diversity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B:*

Biological Sciences, **280**, 20131622.

Rabosky, D.L. (2014) Automatic detection of key innovations, rate shifts, and diversity-

dependence on phylogenetic trees. *PLoS One*, **9**, e89543.

Rabosky, D.L. & Hurlbert, A.H. (2015) Species Richness at Continental Scales Is Dominated

by Ecological Limits. *American Naturalist*, **185**, 572-583.

Rabosky, D.L. & Goldberg, E.E. (2015) Model inadequacy and mistaken inferences of trait-
dependent speciation. *Systematic biology*, **64**, 340-355.

Rabosky, D.L. & Huang, H. (2015) Minimal effects of latitude on present-day speciation
rates in New World birds. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological*
Sciences, **282**, 20142889.

Rabosky, D.L. & Goldberg, E.E. (2017) FiSSE: A simple nonparametric test for the effects of
a binary character on lineage diversification rates. *Evolution*, **71**, 1432-1442.

Rabosky, D.L., Grundler, M., Anderson, C., Shi, J.J., Brown, J.W., Huang, H. & Larson, J.G.
(2014) BAMMtools: an R package for the analysis of evolutionary dynamics on
phylogenetic trees. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **5**, 701-707.

Ranwez, V., Harispe, S., Delsuc, F. & Douzery, E.J.P. (2011) MACSE: Multiple Alignment of
Coding SEquences Accounting for Frameshifts and Stop Codons. *Plos One*, **6**

Revell, L.J. (2012) phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other
things). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **3**, 217-223.

Rohde, K. (1992) Latitudinal gradients in species diversity: the search for the primary cause.
Oikos, 514-527.

Rolland, J., Condamine, F.L., Jiguet, F. & Morlon, H. (2014) Faster speciation and reduced
extinction in the tropics contribute to the mammalian latitudinal diversity gradient.
PLoS Biol, **12**, e1001775.

Santini, L., González-Suárez, M., Rondinini, C., Di Marco, M. (2017) Shifting baseline in
macroecology? Unravelling the influence of human impact on mammalian body mass.
Diversity and Distributions, **23**, 640-659.

Sarnat, E.M. & Moreau, C.S. (2011) Biogeography and morphological evolution in a Pacific
island ant radiation. *Molecular Ecology*, **20**, 114-130.

Siqueira, A.C., Oliveira-Santos, L.G.R., Cowman, P.F., Floeter, S.R., Algar, A. (2016)
Evolutionary processes underlying latitudinal differences in reef fish diversity. *Global*
Ecology and Biogeography, **25**, 1466-1476.

Stamatakis, A. (2014) RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis
of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*, **30**, 1312-1313.

Stephens, P.R. & Wiens, J.J. (2003) Explaining species richness from continents to
communities: The time-for-speciation effect in emydid turtles. *American Naturalist*,
161, 112-128.

Turvey, S.T. & Fritz, S.A. (2011) The ghosts of mammals past: biological and geographical

patterns of global mammalian extinction across the Holocene. *Philos Trans R Soc*

Lond B Biol Sci, **366**, 2564-76.

Ward, P.S., Brady, S.G., Fisher, B.L. & Schultz, T.R. (2015) The evolution of myrmicine ants:

phylogeny and biogeography of a hyperdiverse ant clade (Hymenoptera: Formicidae).

Systematic Entomology, **40**, 61-81.

Weir, J.T. & Schluter, D. (2007) The latitudinal gradient in recent speciation and extinction

rates of birds and mammals. *Science*, **315**, 1574-1576.

Wiens, J.J. & Donoghue, M.J. (2004) Historical biogeography, ecology and species richness.

Trends in ecology & evolution, **19**, 639-644.

Wiens, J.J. & Graham, C.H. (2005) Niche conservatism: Integrating evolution, ecology, and

conservation biology. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics*, **36**, 519-

539.

Willig, M.R., Kaufman, D.M. & Stevens, R.D. (2003) Latitudinal gradients of biodiversity:

Pattern, process, scale, and synthesis. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and*

Systematics, **34**, 273-309.

DATA ACCESSIBILITY

Molecular sequences have been deposited to GenBank (see Table S1 for accession numbers).

We have also provided the alignment, BEAST xml file, and geographic dataset in a

supplemental data archive. The GABI dataset can be accessed on the interactive website

antmaps.org.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: This work was supported by NSF (DEB-1145989 to EPE and

LLK), by subsidy funding to OIST, and by a Japan Society for the Promotion of Science

Kakenhi grant to EPE. We thank P.S. Ward and B.L. Fisher for sharing specimens and for all

the data contributors to the GABI project.

BIOSKETCH: The research team is interested in the ecology and evolution of biodiversity,

especially insects.

852