CCL3 promotes germinal center B cells sampling by follicular regulatory T cells and ensures optimal humoral response.

Zachary L. Benet[1], Fang Ke[1], Matangi Marthi[1], Rita Wu[1], Jackson S. Turner[1], Jahan B. Gabayre[1], Michael I. Ivanitskiy[1], Sahil S. Sethi[1], and Irina L. Grigorova[1*].

[1]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan Medical School, 1150 West Medical Center Drive, 5641 Medical Science II, Ann Arbor , Michigan 48109-5620

[*]Please address correspondence to:

Irina L. Grigorova

1150 W. Medical Center Dr

6748 MSII

Ann Arbor, MI 48109

(734) 615-3882 (p)

(734) 764-3562 (f)

igrigor@umich.edu

ORCID: 0000-0002-4963-7403

ABSTRACT

A hallmark of adaptive humoral immunity is formation of germinal centers (GC), the site

of B cell antigen-dependent clonal expansion, immunoglobulin diversification, and affinity

maturation. This set of coordinated processes is dependent on follicular T cells and

leads to generation of memory cells and long-lived plasma cells that secrete high-affinity

antibodies (Abs). Despite the wealth of studies dissecting the chemotactic cues that

organize GCs, it is unclear whether GC B cells may secrete additional factors that

enable their efficient sampling by follicular T cells. In this work we show that in mice a

small subset of GC centrocytes upregulates expression of proinflammatory chemokines

CCL3/4. We also demonstrate that GC B cells-intrinsic production of CCL3 promotes

their direct interactions with follicular regulatory T cells (Tfr), but not follicular helper T

cells (Tfh) *in vivo*. Finally, we show that GC B cells-intrinsic production of CCL3 is

required for optimal control of GC and plasma cell responses.

INTRODUCTION

CCL3 and CCL4 (MIP1-α and MIP1-β) are proinflammatory chemokines that are

secreted by various types of immune cells upon activation and play important roles in

inflammatory responses and multiple other processes (Menten, Wuyts et al. 2002,

Maurer and von Stebut 2004). In B cell cultures with naive, memory, or GC B cells, the

cross-linking of B cell receptors (BCRs) upregulates expression and secretion of CCL3/4

(Krzysiek, Lefevre et al. 1999, Bystry, Aluvihare et al. 2001). In addition, analysis of

published GC microarray data suggests that expression of CCL3/4 may be elevated in

GC centrocytes compared to centroblasts (Caron, Le Gallou et al. 2009, Compagno, Lim

et al. 2009, Victora, Dominguez-Sola et al. 2012). Despite multiple indications that

CCL3/4 is secreted by activated and GC B cells, the significance of B-cell intrinsic

production of proinflammatory chemokines for regulation of humoral response is unclear.

In 2001 Bystry et al. demonstrated that a subset of splenic CD4 T cells that were

CD25^{high}, expressed high levels of TGF-β and CTLA-4, and had a suppressive

phenotype *ex vivo,* could migrate to CCL4 (but not CCL3) in transwell assays. The

above observations raised the possibility that CCL4 may promote Tregs' interactions

with activated B cells or dendritic cells (which also produce CCL3/4) to regulate B cell

responses. However, whether more recently identified subset of follicular resident Tregs

can respond to CCL3 or CCL4 has been unclear (Lim, Hillsamer et al. 2005, Alexander,

Tygrett et al. 2011, Chung, Tanaka et al. 2011, Linterman, Pierson et al. 2011).

Tfr cells are a subset of FoxP3^{pos} Tregs that play a role in the control of GC responses.

While the majority of Tfr cells arise from natural Tregs, some can be induced from

foreign antigen-specific Th cells (Aloulou, Carr et al. 2016). Similarly to Tfh cells, Tfr cells

develop in the secondary lymphoid organs following foreign antigen challenge, express

the transcription factor Bcl6, upregulate surface expression of CXCR5, PD1 and ICOS

receptors, and localize to the follicles and the GCs (Chung, Tanaka et al. 2011,

Linterman, Pierson et al. 2011, Wollenberg, Agua-Doce et al. 2011). Tfr cells appear to

play a dual role in the control of GC responses. Deficiency in Tfr cells has been reported

to induce a 1.5 to 2-fold increase in GC size at the peak of GC response (Chung,

Tanaka et al. 2011, Linterman, Pierson et al. 2011, Wollenberg, Agua-Doce et al. 2011),

to affect Tfh cell's cytokine production and Ab class-switching (Sage, Francisco et al.

2013, Sage, Paterson et al. 2014, Wu, Chen et al. 2016), to increase recruitment of non-

foreign Ag-specific B cell clones into GCs (Linterman, Pierson et al. 2011, Wu, Chen et

al. 2016), and to promote development of self-reactive antibodies (Wu, Chen et al. 2016,

Botta, Fuller et al. 2017) and even autoimmunity in influenza-infected mice (Fu, Liu et al.

2018). At the same time, Tfr cells have been shown to improve affinity maturation and

secondary Ab responses in mice (Linterman, Pierson et al. 2011, Wu, Chen et al. 2016).

Moreover, Tfr cells-produced IL10 has been recently demonstrated to elevate expression

of FOXO1 in GC B cells, promote formation of dark zone GC centroblasts and thus to

sustain GC responses (Laidlaw, Lu et al. 2017). While multiple mechanisms of Tfr cells'

action have been suggested based on the *in vivo* and *ex vivo* studies (Alexander, Tygrett

et al. 2011, Sage, Francisco et al. 2013, Sage, Paterson et al. 2014, Wing, Ise et al.

2014, Wing and Sakaguchi 2014, Sage, Ron-Harel et al. 2016), whether *in vivo* Tfr cells

only regulate Tfh cells and thus indirectly control GC responses or can also act on the

GC B cells directly remains an open-ended question.

Here we investigated the role of B cell-intrinsic production of CCL3 in the regulation of

GC responses. Based on 2-photon imaging of murine lymph nodes we found that

production of CCL3 by GC B cells promotes their sampling by Tfr cells. Consistent with

CCL3's role in mediating GC-Tfr cells interactions, we found that immunized CCL3-

deficient mice develop a small increase in GC responses compared to WT mice and

have reduced secondary Ab responses. Our data also suggests that CCL3 deficiency in

foreign antigen-specific GC B cells leads to their decreased selection in GCs and

development of plasma cells. Based on these findings we suggest that CCL3 production

by GC B cells is required for optimal foreign antigen-specific GC B cell responses.

RESULTS

A small subset of GC centrocytes upregulates expression of CCL3 and CCL4.

Based on the previous microarray data (Caron, Le Gallou et al. 2009, Compagno, Lim et

al. 2009, Victora, Dominguez-Sola et al. 2012) GC centrocytes (CC) may have elevated

expression of CCL3/4 compared to centroblasts (CB). To verify expression of *Ccl3* and

Ccl4 in murine GC B cells, we performed qRT-PCR analysis of GC CC, CB and non-GC

B cells sorted from the draining lymph nodes (dLNs) of immunized mice at 10 days post

immunization (d.p.i) (**Fig. 1a**). Consistent with previous microarray analysis, we found

that expression of CCL3/4 is upregulated in murine GC CC compared to CB (**Fig. 1b, c**).

To determine whether CC have uniform expression of CCL3/4 or only a few CCs

upregulate CCL3/4 production *in vivo*, we performed single cell qPCR analysis of GC

CC, CB and non-GC B cells at 10 d.p.i. We found that the majority of CC and CB had

comparable expression of CCL3 and CCL4 (**Fig. 1d, e**). However, a small fraction of GC

CC (12% in experiment 1 and 9% in experiment 2) had upregulated expression of

CCL3/4 (**Fig. 1d-i**). The increased CCL3/4 expression in a subset of cells was observed

regardless of whether B2m or HPRT1 housekeeping genes were used for normalization

(**Fig. 1f-i**). In addition, while CCL3/4 expression in CB and naïve B cells were best

described by a single Gaussian distribution (**Fig. 1j, k**), based on the maximal likelihood

analysis two or more Gaussian distributions were required to fit GC CC data (**Fig. 1l,** in

Experiments I and II). The data suggests that increased expression of CCL3/4 detected

in bulk GC CC vs. CB occurs due to a small subset of GC CC that have upregulated

expression of CCL3/4 *in vivo*.

Increased GC response in CCL3-KO mice.

To determine if CCL3 plays a role in the regulation of GCs, we utilized CCL3-KO mice

(Cook, Beck et al. 1995). In unimmunized CCL3-KO and WT mice we observed no

significant difference in the GC B cells numbers in peripheral lymph nodes (pLNs), spleens, mesenteric LNs (mLNs) and peyer patches (PP) (**Fig. 2a**). However, at 10 d.p.i. we detected a small, but significant increase in the GC response in the dLNs of CCL3-KO mice compared to WT that was independent of the antigen or the adjuvant used (**Fig. 2b-e**). We then tested whether in littermate-control CCL3$^{-/-}$ mice GCs were also elevated compared to CCL3$^{+/+}$, and confirmed the observed phenotype (**Fig. 2f, g**). Interestingly, treatment of WT or CCL3-KO mice with CCL4-neutralizing antibodies during formation of GCs, at 7 d.p.i., did not lead to further increase in the GC response (**Supplementary Fig. 2**). The observed accumulation of GC B cells at 10 d.p.i. was not due to significant changes in formation of Tfh and Tfr cells or in their ratio (**Fig. 2h-j**). In addition, the increase in GC B cells did not lead to significant rise in the numbers of plasmablasts (PB) at 10 d.p.i. (**Fig. 2k, l**).

Foreign antigen-specific Ab response in CCL3-KO mice.

To study foreign antigen-specific Ab response we immunized CCL3$^{+/+}$ and CCL3$^{-/-}$ littermate control mice with NP-KLH in Ribi adjuvant and boosted them at 24 d.p.i. At 15 and 21 d.p.i. we detected no significant difference in NP-specific IgG1 Abs (**Fig. 3a, b**). However, at 4 days following the boost with NP-KLH in Ribi, the overall titers of NP-specific IgG1 Abs in CCL3$^{+/+}$ mice were significantly higher than in CCL3$^{-/-}$ littermate controls (**Fig. 3a**, **day 28**). Interestingly, the fraction of higher-affinity (NP2-binding) Abs in CCL3$^{-/-}$ mice was increased (**Fig. 3b, c, day 28**). In addition, while no significant difference in the total IgG1, IgG2b and IgG2c Abs was detected at 28 d.p.i. (**Fig. 3d-f**), we found an increase in the fraction of total, but not NP-specific, IgA Abs in CCL3$^{-/-}$ mice (**Fig. 3g, h**).

CCL3 and CCL4 induce chemotaxis of follicular regulatory T cells *ex vivo*.

To determine whether CCL3 may promote chemotaxis of Tfh and/or Tfr cells *ex vivo* we performed transwell migration analysis of CD4 T cells isolated from dLNs of mice at 10 d.p.i. with OVA in Ribi adjuvant. CXCR5^{high} PD1^{high} FoxP3^{+} Tfr cells transmigrated in response to CCL3 chemokine (**Fig. 4a, b**). Similar trends were observed for CXCR5^{low} PD1^{low} FoxP3^{+} and CXCR5^{int} PD1^{int} FoxP3^{+} CD4 T cells (**Fig. 4a, b**). We also observed transmigration of Tfr and other regulatory T cell subsets to CCL4 (**Fig. 4c**). The observed transmigration was predominantly due to chemotaxis rather than chemokinesis, since

addition of CCL3 or CCL4 chemokines to both the upper and the lower wells of the transwell chamber did not promote Tregs' transmigration (**Fig. 4b, c**). No significant transmigration of Tfh cells (CXCR5^{high} PD1^{high} FoxP3$^-$) to CCL3 and CCL4 chemokines was observed (**Fig. 4d, e**). These data suggest that CCL3 and CCL4 can induce chemotaxis of Tfr and possibly other Treg cells *ex vivo*.

Adoptively transferred Tregs can become Tfrs' and be visualized by 2P microscopy. We then asked whether CCL3 could promote individual interactions of Tfr cells with GC B cells *in vivo*. To directly test this, we developed an imaging strategy that enabled visualization of adoptively transferred Tregs within the GC-containing follicles of living mice using 2-photon (2P) microscopy (**Fig. 5a**). Because intravenous injection of Tregs is insufficient to get enough of the transferred Tregs into peripheral LNs for microscopy analysis, we utilized the fact that adoptively transferred Tregs undergo proliferation in recipient FoxP3^{DTR} mice upon diphtheria toxin (DTx)-induced ablation of DTx receptor (DTR)-expressing resident Tregs (Kim, Rasmussen et al. 2007). Of note, while treatment of FoxP3^{DTR} mice with DTx leads to development of severe autoimmune disease, pre-transfer of 10^6 polyclonal Tregs rescues FoxP3^{DTR} mice from autoimmunity (Kim, Rasmussen et al. 2007). To generate mice with a high number of brightly fluorescent Tfr cells, we first transferred 10^6 polyclonal Tregs that expressed both Foxp3-GFP and tdTomato into Foxp3^{DTR} mice (Bettelli, Carrier et al. 2006, Kim, Rasmussen et al. 2007). We then treated the Foxp3^{DTR} recipients with 5 μg of DTx two times, one week apart. As reported before, following transient ablation of Foxp3^{DTR} Tregs, the adoptively transferred Tregs as well as the remaining endogenous Tregs underwent vigorous proliferation. At 14 days following the initial DTx treatment, tdTomato Tregs represented about 50% of all Tregs in the blood (**Fig. 5b**). We then co-transferred Duck Egg Lysozyme (DEL)-specific HyHEL10 B cells expressing CFP, OVA-specific OTII CD4 T cells expressing GFP, as well as non fluorescent HyHEL10 B and OTII T cells into the same recipient mice and induced their recruitment into the GCs by s.c. immunization with DEL-OVA as previously described (Allen, Okada et al. 2007). By 8 d.p.i. the overall levels of Tregs in the blood had returned to normal (**Fig. 5b**). Based on confocal and flow cytometry analysis we determined that over a fifth of CD4$^+$Foxp3$^+$ cells from dLNs expressed tdTomato and that tdTomato$^+$ cells were almost exclusively Foxp3$^+$ (**Fig. 5c, d**). Additionally, tdTomato$^+$ Foxp3$^+$ cells that were also CXCR5^{high} PD1^{high} had increased

expression of Bcl6$^+$ as expected for Tfr cells (**Fig. 5c**). At 7-8 d.p.i. a relatively minor

fraction of GC-proximal Tfr cells entered into the GCs, while majority of the cells moved

around GCs in proximity to the outer edge GC B cells (**Fig. 5e, Supplementary Movie**

1). These data suggest that the adoptive transfer of fluorescent Tregs followed by

transient ablation of non-fluorescent endogenous Tregs and immunization is sufficient to

visualize Tfr cells within GC-associated follicles in living mice by 2P microscopy.

GC B cells' CCL3 promotes their contacts with Tfr, but not Tfh cells *in vivo*.

To determine whether GC B cells' intrinsic production of CCL3 promotes their direct

interactions with Tfr cells or with Tfh cells *in vivo* we utilized the experimental setup

developed by us (**Fig. 5**) and in previous work (Allen, Okada et al. 2007) and outlined in

Figures 6a and **b**. For analysis of T cell interactions with CCL3$^{+/+}$ and CCL3$^{-/-}$ GC B

cells, recipient mice were co-transferred with CCL3$^{+/+}$ HyHEL10 B cells that expressed

CFP and CCL3$^{-/-}$ HyHEL10 B cells that expressed GFP. To determine Tfr cells'

interactions with GC B cells we tracked tdTomato$^+$ Tfr cells when they contacted or

passed through the GCs, and analyzed their interactions with fluorescent CCL3$^{+/+}$ and

CCL3$^{-/-}$ foreign Ag-specific HyHEL10 B cells within the same GCs (**Fig. 6c,**

Supplementary Movie 2). To take into account the ambiguity of correct identification of

B-T cell interactions by 2P imaging, we used both "strict" and "non-strict" definitions of

contacts between GC B cells and follicular T cells. By "strict" we define the interactions

that based on the cell colocalization analysis in 3D have taken place with high

confidence. By "non-strict" interactions we identify all likely interactions identified based

on cell proximity, including the "strict" interactions. The data was analyzed in a blinded

fashion to avoid possible bias in cell contact definition (**Fig. 6d, Supplementary Movie**

3). We calculated the normalized contact frequency (NCF) of Tfr cells with fluorescent

CCL3$^{+/+}$ and CCL3$^{-/-}$ GC B cells by dividing the total number of Tfr cell contacts with

CCL3$^{+/+}$ or CCL3$^{-/-}$ GC B cells by the average numbers of fluorescent B cells of each type

present in the imaged GCs. Tfr cells' NCF was lower for CCL3$^{-/-}$ compared to CCL3$^{+/+}$

GC B cells in 5 experiments, independently of the "strict" vs "non-strict" B-Tfr cell contact

definition (**Fig. 6e-h**). The differences in Tfr cell contact frequencies with CCL3$^{+/+}$ and

CCL3$^{-/-}$ GC B cells were not due to distinct migratory properties of CCL3$^{+/+}$ and CCL3$^{-/-}$ B

cells (**Supplementary Fig. 6**). In contrast to Tfr cells, OTII Tfh cell NCF with CCL3$^{+/+}$ vs.

CCL3$^{-/-}$ GC B was comparable (**Fig. 6e-h, Supplementary Movie 4**). The ratio of T

cells' NCF with CCL3$^{+/+}$ vs. CCL3$^{-/-}$ GC B cells was significantly lower for Tfh compared

to Tfr cells (**Fig. 6f, h**).

While Tfr cells formed more frequent contacts with CCL3$^{+/+}$ GC B cells, duration of Tfr

cell interactions with CCL3$^{+/+}$ and CCL3$^{-/-}$ GC B cells was comparable (**Fig. 6i, j**).

Additionally, no significant difference in the duration of Tfh cell contacts with CCL3$^{+/+}$ vs.

CCL3$^{-/-}$ GC B cells was observed (**Fig. 6i, j**). The vast majority of Tfr and Tfh cell

contacts with GC B cells were shorter than 5 min (**Fig. 6i-l**). However, while a substantial

number of both Tfh and Tfr cells also formed more prolonged interactions with GC B

cells, no Tfr cells' interactions with GC B cells exceeding 7.5 min were observed (**Fig.**

6k, l, Supplementary Movies 3, 4).

Transient depletion of Tregs leads to relative increase in CCL3$^{+/+}$ vs. CCL3$^{-/-}$

HyHEL10 GC B cells at the peak of GC response. To determine whether Tfr cells may

act on CCL3-proficient GC B cells and modulate their participation in GC response we

sought to determine whether transient depletion of Tregs after formation of GCs could

affect relative involvement of CCL3 proficient and deficient B cells in the GC response. In

order to test that, we co-transferred CCL3$^{+/+}$ and CCL3$^{-/-}$ HyHEL10 B cells into recipient

FoxP3^{DTR} mice, immunized mice to promote HyHEL10 cell entry into GC response and

then treated mice with diphtheria toxin (DTx) to promote transient depletion of FoxP3^{+}

cells or with PBS for control (**Fig. 7a**). We hypothesized that CCL3 secreted by GC B

cells promotes their direct interactions with and inhibition by Tfr cells. In that case,

depletion of Tfr cells should lead to increased expansion of CCL3-proficient compared to

CCL3-deficient GC B cells (**Fig. 7b**). As expected, treatment of the recipient mice with

DTx at 6 d.p.i. led to significant drop in Tfr cells numbers in 3 days (**Fig. 7c, d**) and small

increase in the GC B cell numbers (**Fig. 7e**). Consistent with that, upon the transient Tfr

cell depletion, we detected a small increase in CCL3$^{+/+}$ HyHEL10 B cell numbers,

however CCL3$^{-/-}$ HyHEL10 GC B cells were virtually unchanged (**Fig. 7f**). As a result of

this, there was significant enrichment of CCL3$^{+/+}$ vs. CCL3$^{-/-}$ HyHEL10 B cells within GCs

(**Fig. 7g**). Therefore, the data is consistent with direct CCL3-dependent inhibition of GC

B cells by Tregs at the peak of GC response (**Fig. 7b**).

CCL3$^{+/+}$ foreign-Ag specific B cells outcompete CCL3$^{-/-}$ B cells in GCs and PB
response overtime. Previous study suggested that Tfr cells plays a dual role in GC
responses and may promote positive selection of B cells within GCs (Laidlaw, Lu et al.
2017). We therefore examined participation of CCL3$^{+/+}$ and CCL3$^{-/-}$ HyHEL10 B cells in
the GC and PB response overtime (**Fig. 8a**). At 6 d.p.i. about 2 times more CCL3$^{+/+}$
compared to CCL3$^{-/-}$ HyHEL10 B cells entered into the GC response (**Fig. 8b**, the gating
strategy is outlined in **Supplementary Fig. 8a**). Interestingly, at that time we observed a
trend for increased formation of PB relative to GC B cells by CCL3-deficient HyHEL10 B
cells (**Fig. 8c**, **Supplementary Fig. 8b, c; day 6**). By 8 d.p.i. CCL3$^{+/+}$ and CCL3$^{-/-}$
HyHEL10 cell participation in GCs and formation of PB was comparable (**Fig. 8b, c; day**
8). However, starting at 12 d.p.i. CCL3$^{-/-}$ HyHEL10 cells dropped out of the GC and PB
response more rapidly than CCL3$^{+/+}$ HyHEL10 cells (**Fig. 8b, c; days 12, 15**).
Preferential selection of CCL3$^{+/+}$ vs. CCL3$^{-/-}$ HyHEL10 GC B cells was observed
independently of the cyan fluorescent protein (CFP) or green fluorescent protein (GFP)
expression by HyHEL10 cells (**Supplementary Fig. 8d**). In accord with previously
proposed role for Tfr cells in contributing to the selection of GC B cells, depletion of Tfr
cells at 12 d.p.i. led to decreased selection of CCL3$^{+/+}$ relative to CCL3$^{-/-}$ HyHEL10 B
cells at 15 d.p.i. (**Fig. 8d-f**). Overall, these findings suggest that Tfr may act on foreign
Ag-specific GC B cells in CCL3 chemokine-dependent fashion and promote both modest
inhibition of their expansion at the peak of GC response, as well as their selection at the
later time points.
284
DISCUSSION:
Multiple chemotactic signals produced by follicular dendritic cells and other stromal cells,
have been shown to orchestrate GC's anatomy and contribute to optimal cell migration
between GC zones and to cell retention within GCs (Allen, Okada et al. 2007, Pereira,
Kelly et al. 2009, Green, Suzuki et al. 2011). However, whether GC B cells themselves
may produce chemotactic signals that direct their interactions and control by follicular T
cells, has not been addressed. In this study we found that a subset of GC CC upregulate
expression of proinflammatory chemokines CCL3/4. We also show that Tfr cells respond
to CCL3/4 chemokines *ex vivo* and form more frequent contacts with CCL3-proficient vs.
CCL3-deficient GC B cells *in vivo.* Our studies also suggest that CCL3 production by

foreign Ag-specific GC B cells is important for their negative control by Tfr cells as well

as for their prolonged participation in the GC and PB response.

We suggest two possible models that could explain the increased sampling of CCL3-

proficient GC B cells by Tfr cells (**Fig. 9**). First, the observed effect could be due to local

chemoattraction of Tfr cells to a subset of CCL3-secreting B cells within GCs. Since BCR

crosslinking has been reported to induce upregulation of CCL3/4 production in GC B

cells (Krzysiek, Lefevre et al. 1999), we hypothesize that GC centrocytes that recently

acquired antigen from FDCs (and possibly T cell help) are likely to produce more CCL3/4

than other GC B cells, and thus could form local short-range gradients of these

chemokines (**Fig. 9a**). In that case, to preserve local CCL3 gradient around migrating

GC B cell, secreted CCL3 would have to be rapidly internalized and removed by other

cells within GCs. Alternatively to the first model, CCL3 chemokine secreted by GC B

cells could be transiently immobilized on the surface of selected GC B cells in

association with chemokine-binding glycosaminoglycans (Liang, Triandafillou et al. 2016)

and may serve to stabilize very transient probing interactions of GC B cells with Tfr cells

that are beyond the resolution capabilities of intravital 2P microscopy (**Fig. 9b**). Both of

these models can lead to decreased efficiency in productive sampling of CCL3 KO B

cells by Tfr cells. Since our intravital imaging system does not allow discrimination

between CCL3^{hi} vs. CCL3^{low} GC B cells, the advantage that CCL3^{hi} GC B cells may have

in contacting Tfr cells may be significantly underestimated and should be examined in

the future studies.

Tfr cells have been reported to control the size of GCs, to restrain participation of

bystander B cell clones in the GCs and development of anti-nuclear Ab responses, and

to increase the magnitude of foreign antigen-specific secondary Ab responses

(Alexander, Tygrett et al. 2011, Chung, Tanaka et al. 2011, Linterman, Pierson et al.

2011, Wollenberg, Agua-Doce et al. 2011, Wu, Chen et al. 2016, Botta, Fuller et al.

2017). In this work we found that B cell responses in CCL3-deficient mice mirror some of

those previously observed in mice with mislocalized or specifically ablated Tfr cells.

First, CCL3-KO mice generate slightly elevated GC responses following immunization.

Second, foreign Ag-specific secondary responses are reduced in CCL3-KO mice

compared to CCL3-proficient littermates. We also found that while foreign Ag specific

IgA responses in WT and CCL3-KO mice are comparable, total titers of IgA Abs in

immunized CCL3-KO mice are significantly elevated. Therefore, future studies should

examine whether CCL3 also contributes to better control over bystander or self-reactive

B cell clones in GCs and conveys better protection against development of

autoimmunity.

Interestingly, although CCL3 and CCL4 are coexpressed in activated and GC B cells,

neutralization of CCL4 at the time of GC formation did not promote significant increase in

the GC response in WT or CCL3-KO mice. It is possible that CCL4 expression in CCL3

KO cells is reduced as has been reported before (Patterson, Pesenacker et al. 2016).

Alternatively, CCL4 concentration produced by GC B cells is suboptimal for promotion of

Tfr cell response. CCL4 can be sensed through the G-protein coupled receptor CCR5,

while CCL3 may trigger both CCR5 and CCR1 receptors. Future studies should address

whether CCR5, CCR1, or both of these receptors are involved in the observed CCL3-

mediated regulation and interactions between GC B cells and Tfr cells.

While Tfr cells have been shown to control the numbers, specificity to foreign antigen

and cytokine production of Tfh cells that support GC responses *in vivo* (Sage, Francisco

et al. 2013, Sage, Paterson et al. 2014, Wing, Ise et al. 2014, Wu, Chen et al. 2016)

whether Tfr cells can directly affect GC B cells *in vivo* has not been definitively

demonstrated. Our *in vivo* data is consistent with a model of direct action of Tfr cells on

CCL3-secreting GC B cells. Depletion of regulatory T cells at the peak of the GC

response results in a relative increase in the numbers of CCL3-proficient, but not CCL3-

deficient HyHEL10 GC B cells. At the same time, during the resolution phase of GC

response CCL3-deficient HyHEL10 GC B cells are outcompeted by CCL3-proficient

HyHEL10 GC B cells and their participation in the PB response is reduced. Depletion of

Tregs at that time decreases the extent of CCL3-proficient GC B cell selection compared

to CCL3-deficient cells. Therefore, in addition to exerting a direct negative effect on B

cells in early GCs, Tfr cells also promote positive selection of CCL3-expressing GC B

cells at the later stages of the GC response. This scenario is consistent with deficiency

in secondary Ab responses in CCL3-KO mice observed in this study and previously

reported for Tfr cells-ablated mice (Linterman, Pierson et al. 2011). It is also consistent

with suggested positive role of Tfr cells-secreted IL10 for GC B cell selection (Laidlaw,

Lu et al. 2017). However, future studies should verify whether the observed direct effects

on GC B cells occur solely due to IL10 secretion by Tfr cells or whether multiple other

molecular players, including TGF-β, PD1, CTLA-4, GLUT1, and IL21 (Alexander, Tygrett

et al. 2011, Sage, Francisco et al. 2013, Sage, Paterson et al. 2014, Wing, Ise et al.

2014, Sage, Ron-Harel et al. 2016, Wu, Chen et al. 2016) may be involved. They should

also examine whether any additional mechanisms and cell types contribute to the

observed positive selection of CCL3-proficient GC B cells.

Previous intravital imaging studies of Tfh cell interactions with cognate GC B cells

revealed that majority of these encounters are transient (Allen, Okada et al. 2007).They

also suggested that a small fraction of the interactions that are more prolonged (> 5-10

min) may be more efficient for productive communication between the cells and for GC B

cell selection (Qi, Cannons et al. 2008, Shulman, Gitlin et al. 2014). In this study we

found that similarly to Tfh cells, a majority of interactions between foreign-antigen

specific GC B cells and natural Tfr cells *in vivo* are shorter than 5 min. Interestingly, Tfr

cells also formed a few interactions with GC B cells that were more prolonged. However,

while a few Tfh cell contacts with GC B exceeded 7.5 min, none of these have been

observed for Tfr cells. This discrepancy may be due to non-cognate interactions or very

weak cognate interactions between foreign antigen specific GC B cells and natural Tfr

cells. Future studies should directly address whether Tfr cells recognize MHCII/self-

peptides on GC B cells via T cell receptors (TCR), and how prevalent these cognate

interactions are. In addition, whether cognate interactions of Tfr cells with self antigen-

presenting GC B cells exert much stronger negative control of potentially self-reactive

GC B cells must be examined, as well as the contribution of CCL3 to that regulation.

In summary, our findings suggest that local CCL3 chemokine production by GC B cells

promotes their interactions with Tfr cells and plays a role in the optimal GC response.

Based on this data we suggest that local chemokine cues secreted by GC B cells may

be important in directing their interactions with other cell types and fine-tuning GC B cell

responses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice, immunizations, and bone marrow chimeras. C57BL/6 (B6, WT) mice were
purchased from the National Cancer Institute, Charles River or Jackson Laboratories.
B6-CD45.1 (002014), CCL3-KO (002687), β-actin-CFP (004218), UBC-GFP (004353),
Stop-tdTomato (007909) and E2a-Cre (003724) mice were from Jackson Laboratories.
HyHEL10 (Allen, Okada et al. 2007), MD4 (Goodnow, Crosbie et al. 1988), OTII
(Barnden, Allison et al. 1998), Foxp3[EGFP], and Foxp3[DTR] mice were from internal
colonies. All mice were housed in specific-pathogen free conditions. Relevant mice were
interbred to obtain HyHEL10 CFP[+], HyHEL10 GFP[+] CCL3-KO, OTII GFP[+], OTII
tdTomato[+], MD4 CFP[+], and tdTomato[+] Foxp3[EGFP] mice. 6-12 weeks old mice were
immunized s.c. with the protein antigens OVA (Sigma), DEL-OVA (produced as
previously described (Allen, Okada et al. 2007)), or NP-KLH (Biosearch Technologies),
mixed in either Ribi (Sigma) or Complete Freund Adjuvant (CFA, Sigma). In some
experiments 50 μg of anti-CCL4 (R&D clone 46907) or isotype control rat Abs (R&D
clone 54447) were s.c. administered into the preimmunized mice. All experiments were
performed in compliance with federal laws and institutional guidelines as approved by
the University Committee on Use and Care of Animals.

Cell isolation, flow cytometry analysis and cell sorting. Lymphocytes were isolated
by homogenizing lymph nodes (LNs) and/or spleens into a single cell suspension in
DMEM medium (Corning) containing 2% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Atlanta Biologicals),
antibiotics (50 IU/mL of penicillin and 50 μg/mL of streptomycin; Gibco) and 10 mM
HEPES (Gibco) and straining through a 70 μm mesh filter (Falcon) in the presence of 20
μg/ml of DNase I (Sigma-Aldrich). Red blood cells were lysed using Tris-buffered NH_4Cl.
The following antibodies and reagents were used for flow cytometry analysis: CD3 (BD,
145-2C11), CD4 (BD, RM4-5), CD8 (BD, 53-6.7), CD25 (BD, PC61.5), B220 (BD, RA3-
6B2), CD19 (BD, 1D3), CXCR5 (BD, 2G8), Fas (BD, Jo2), IgM (BD, R6-60.2), IgM[a] (BD,
DS-1), Vβ5 (BD, MR9-4), CD43 (BD, S7), CD19 (Biolegend, 6D5), CD45.1 (Biolegend,
A20), CD45.2 (Biolegend, 104), IgD (Biolegend, 11-26c.2a), PD-1 (Biolegend, RMP1-
30), CXCR4 (eBiosciences, 2B11), CD86 (Biolegend, GL1), Foxp3 (eBiosciences, FJK-
16s), GL-7 (eBiosciences, GL-7), SA-qDot607 (Life Technologies), SA-DyLight 488
(Biolegend). Single-cell suspensions were incubated with biotinylated antibodies for 20

minutes on ice, washed twice with 200 μl PBS supplemented with 2% FBS, 1 mM EDTA,

and 0.1% NaN (FACS buffer), and then incubated with fluorophore-conjugated

antibodies and streptavidin for 20 minutes on ice, and washed twice more with 200 μl

FACS buffer. For FoxP3 staining the cells were permeabilized and stained using FoxP3

staining buffer set (eBioscience) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were

then resuspended in FACS buffer for acquisition. All flow cytometry analyses and cell-

sorting procedures were done using FACSCanto II and FACSAria IIIu respectively.

FlowJo Software (v 9.7; TreeStar) was used for data analyses and plot rendering.

Cell purification and adoptive transfers. For adoptive transfers, cells were isolated

from combined spleens and LNs of donor mice and CD4 T cells or B cells were enriched

using autoMACS (Miltenyi Biotec) as described before (Allen, Okada et al. 2007). The

purity of B cells was >95%, and CD4 T cells >70% for all experiments. Lymphocytes

were adoptively transferred by intravenous injection into the lateral tail vein.

Generation of mice with Tregs and Tfr cells expressing tdTomato. In order to

generate mice with fluorescent Tregs the following scheme was utilized: first, tdTomato

expressing mice were crossed with $Foxp3^{EGFP}$ mice. Second, $tdTomato^{+}Foxp3^{EGFP}$ Tregs

were sorted and adoptively transferred into $Foxp3^{DTR}$ mice where endogenous Tregs

were transiently ablated by DTx treatment (Sigma). To sort tdTomato expressing Tregs,

the LNs and spleens from the $tdTomato^{+}Foxp3^{EGFP}$ mice were combined and lymphocyte

suspension was prepared as described above. The lymphocytes were separated from

RBCs using Ficoll-Paque (GE Healthcare) gradients per manufacturer's instructions

using 14 mL round bottom tubes (Falcon). Single cell suspensions were enriched for

$CD4^{+}$ T cells as described above. Following the enrichment, $EGFP^{+}$ cells were sorted

into DMEM medium supplemented with 10% FCS. The purity of sorted Tregs as

determined by intracellular Foxp3 staining was > 99%. About 0.8-1.5 million of purified

$tdTomato^{+}$ Tregs were then transferred into recipient $Foxp3^{DTR}$ mice via tail vein injection.

Finally, one day later the endogenous nonfluorescent Tregs in the recipient $Foxp3^{DTR}$

mice were ablated by intraperitoneal injection of 5 μg/kg of DTx in PBS. The DTx

treatment was repeated once more a week later.

Cell culture and chemotaxis. Transwells with 5 μm pore size (Corning) were used. CD4 T cells were isolated and enriched as described above from draining peripheral LNs of mice s.c. immunized with OVA in Ribi at 10 days following immunization. T cells were resuspended with RPMI 1640 (Corning) supplemented with 2% fatty acid free BSA (Sigma-Aldrich), 10 mM HEPES, 50 IU/mL of penicillin, and 50 mg/mL of streptomycin (HyClone). For chemotaxis analysis the lower chambers of transwells were filled with the same medium mixed with various concentrations of CCL3 or CCL4 chemokines (PeproTech). For chemokinesis analysis both upper and lower chambers of transwells were filled with either 200 ng/mL of CCL3 or with 400 ng/mL CCL4. Transwells with chemokines and resuspended CD4 T cells were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO_2 for 10 minutes. After that, CD4 T cells were placed in the upper chambers of transwells at 4×10^5 cells per well and incubated at 37°C and 5% CO_2 for 3 hours. Two to three replicas per condition have been performed per experiment. The transmigrated fraction of cells was stained and analyzed via flow cytometry. Chemotactic index was calculated as the ratio of cells that transmigrated to chemokine compared to no cytokine control.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. For measuring NP-specific Abs, NUNC immunoplates were coated with 2 μg/mL of NP2-BSA or NP30-BSA (Biosearch) in borate saline buffer (100mM boric acid, pH 7.4, 0.9% NaCl). For measuring total titers of IgA, IgG1, IgG2b and IgG2c, plates were coated with 4 μg/mL of purified goat anti-mouse Ig (H+L) (Southern Biotech, cat #1010-01). Blocking was done with PBS containing 0.1% gelatin and 0.1% sodium azide. Washes were done with PBS containing 0.05% Tween-20. Serial serum dilutions were applied. For detection of IgA, IgG1, and IgG2b, the plates were incubated with 1:4000 dilution of biotinylated mouse anti-mouse IgA, IgG1, and rat anti-mouse IgG2b (BD Biosciences, clones C10-1, 10.9, and R12-3) respectively followed by streptavidin-HRP (R&D). The color was developed using a substrate solution from R&D (DY999). For detection of IgG2c the plates were incubated with AP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG2c Abs (Southern Biotech, cat #1079-04) and visualized by incubating with nitrophenyl phosphate (Sigma) in carbonate buffer (22 mM Sodium carbonate, 34 mM sodium bicarbonate, 2.1 mM MgCl2). Plates were read with a Synergy HT microplate reader (Bio-Tek Incorporated) at 405 and 562 nm. All plates contained serial dilutions of the serum that was used to generate the calibration curve for quantitative comparison of the samples.

Two Photon Microscopy. Inguinal LNs (ILNs) were either explanted or surgically

exposed for intravital imaging and perfused as previously described (Allen, Okada et al.

2007, Grigorova, Schwab et al. 2009). ILNs were imaged with a Leica SP5 II (Leica

Microsystems) fitted with a MaiTai Ti:Sapphire laser (Sepctra-Physics) that was tuned to

870 nm. Each *xy* plane spanned 435 μm x 435 μm and with z spacing ranging from 2-3

μm detecting emission wavelengths of 430-450 nm (second harmonic emission of

collagen), 465-500 nm (for CFP^{+} cells), 520-550 nm (for GFP^{+} cells), and >560 nm (for

tdTomato^{+} cells), every 20-25 seconds. Images were acquired by Leica Advanced

Fluorescent Suite (Leica Microsystems). Analysis of the imaging data and generation of

3D rotations and time-lapse image sequences were performed using Imaris 7.6.5 x 64

(Bitplane). Videos were processed with a median noise filter. Semi-automated cell

tracking in 3D was performed with Imaris 7.6.5 x 64, and then verified and corrected

manually. 3-dimensional GC volume was defined based on the distribution of HyHEL10

CFP B cells by combination of visual analysis and a custom-made MATLAB program

that performed time integrated image rendering of CFP signal. TdTomato[+] Tregs and Th

that transited within the follicles and GCs were tracked. Their interaction with WT and

CCL3-KO B cells within defined GCs volume were visually identified and categorized

either as a strict contact as defined when cell-to-cell contact was unambiguous or a non-

strict contacts where cells could be observed in extreme proximity (~1 μm). Finally, we

normalized the number of contacts to the average number of WT or CCL3-KO B cells

within the GC volume accessible to Tfr or Tfh cells to arrive at a normalized contact

frequency. Annotation and final compilation of videos was performed in Adobe After

Effects CS5.5 (Adobe).

RT-PCR Analysis. RNA from sorted cells was obtained using RNeasy Kit (Qiagen)

following the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was treated with DNase to remove

genomic DNA (Ambion). The concentration of RNA was calculated using a NanoDrop

2000 (Thermo) and cDNA was synthesized using a SuperScript III kit (Invitrogen)

following the manufacturer's instructions. Preamplification of target genes was performed

using PreAmp Kit (AB Biosystems) for 10 cycles. TaqMan assays were obtained from

Applied Biosystems and RT-PCR was carried out on a RealPlex 2 (Eppendorf).

Expression levels of CCL3/4 were normalized to the level of β2m.

Single cell RT-PCR Analysis. Single cell RT-PCR was performed using the method

described before (Wang and Janes 2013). In brief, mice were s.c. immunized with OVA

in Ribi and dLNs were collected at 10 d.p.i. GC CC and CB were sorted as described in

Fig. 1a. Naive (non-GC B cells) were sorted as B220$^+$CD3$^-$FAS^{low}GL7^{low} cells. RNA from

sorted cells was obtained by sorting single cells directly into 3.6 mL of predigestion

buffer (1.1 μL Invitrogen 5x First Strand Buffer, 4 mM dNTP, 2.74 mL nuclease free

H$_2$O). Cells were freeze thawed and 0.4 mL of digestion buffer was added (1% w/v

saponin (Sigma Aldrich), 25 mg/mL proteinase K (Sigma Aldrich)) and the total volume

was transfered to 1.5 mL tubes and incubated at 42°C for 1 hour. Digestion was stopped

by adding 1 mL of STOP buffer (20 U/mL anti-RNase (Ambion), 20 U/mL SUPERase-IN

(Ambion), 100 mM PMSF (Sigma Aldrich)). The volume was transferred to a 96-well

plate and 0.5 mL of Invitrogen SuperScript III was added to each well. The plate was

incubated at 50° C for 15 minutes and RT was deactivated by incubating at 70°C for 15

minutes. Samples were spun down and 1mL of RNaseH (Invitrogen) in a solution of 12.5

mM MgCl$_2$ was added and incubated at 37° C for 15 minutes. Samples were spun down

and 3.5 mL of tailing buffer with 0.2 mL of TdT (Roche) was added (363 mL H$_2$O, 400 μL

Invitrogen 5x TdT buffer, 15 μL 100 mM dATP). Samples were incubated at 37° C for 15

minutes than heat inactivated by incubating at 65°C for 10 minutes. Samples were spun

and preamplification via PCR was done with the following mix by adding 40 μL to each

sample: 5 μL of 10x ThermoPol (NEB), 1.25 μL 100 mM MgSO4, 0.25 μL 20 mg/ml BSA,

5 μL 10 mM dNTP, 1 μL AmpliTaq Gold (Applied Biosystems), 0.9 μL of 15 μg/mL AL1

primer (IDT): ATTGGATCCAGGCCGCTCTGGACAAAATATGAATTCTTTTTTTTTTTT

TTTTTTTTTTTT, and 26.6 μL of H$_2$O. Reaction conditions were as follows: cycles 1-4

94°C for 1 minute, 32° C for 2 minutes, and 72°C for 6 minutes with 10 second

increases to elongation in each cycle. Cycles 5-25, 94°C for 1 minute, 42°C for 2

minutes, and 72°C for 6 minutes and 40 seconds with 10 second increases to elongation

each cycle. Cycles 26 - 35: 94°C for 1 minute, 42°C for 2 minutes, and 72°C for 6

minutes. qPCR was than performed on 1 μL of template DNA by using gene specific

primers and POWER SYBER Green (Applied Biosystems) and following the

manufacturer's supplied directions. Sequences are as follows: B2m.S:

ATGCCAAACCCTCTGTACTTCT, B2m.as: ATTGGGCACAGTGACAGACT, HPRT1.s:

ACGAGTCTGAAGCTCTCGATTT, HPRT1.as: CAGCCAACACTGCTGAAACAT,

CCL3.s: TCCATGGGTCCCGTGTAGAG, CCL3.as: GTGGCTACTTGGCAGCAAAC,

CCL4.s: GTGCTAACCCCAGTGAGCC, CCL4.as: GAGCAAGGACGCTTCTCAGT. A

melting curve was done following amplification. Cells were excluded from analysis if they

failed to give a single product or did not amplify at the same rate. Cells were also

excluded if they do not amplify, CCL3, CCL4, B2m, and HPRT1.

Statistical analysis. All statistical tests were computed with PRISM (GraphPad) after

consultation with a University of Michigan Center for Statistical Consultation and

Research representative. Statistical analysis of data normalized to the control samples

were performed using a one-sample *t*-test. For comparisons between two groups *t*-test

was utilized. Welch's correction was applied for data with unequal variances. For data in

which more than two groups or more than two time points were analyzed, two-way

ANOVA followed by Dunnet post-hoc analysis was done. In cases where we did not

assume normally distributed data and the data was from paired measurements, we used

the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The analysis of the CCL3/4 single cell expression data

was performed using Maximal Likelihood Estimation method. The data was fit to k=1:5

Gaussian distributions using Matlab function gmdistribution.fit. The goodness of fit to

single or more distributions was calculated using the negative log likelihoods.

Significance was determined by comparing the ratio of the fits to the χ^2 distribution.

P values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistically

significant results were labeled. No samples were excluded from the analysis.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Z.L.B. planned, performed and analyzed experiments and prepared the manuscript;

F.K., M.M., R.W. and J.S.T. helped with various aspects of other experiments; J.B.G.,

M.I.I., and S.S.S. performed blind analysis of the imaging data; I.G. planned, performed

and analyzed experiments, and prepared the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank W. Dunnick and E. Perkey for discussions; J. Cyster (University of California

San Francisco), W. Zhou (University of Michigan Medical school), and A. Rudensky

(Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center) for provision of mice; the University of

Michigan flow cytometry core for cell sorting assistance. Supported by the American

Heart Association (14PRE19960005) to Z.B., by the Herman and Dorothy Miller Award

for Innovative Immunology Research to J.S.T. and Z.L.B., and National Institute of

Health (R01 AI106806) to I.G. The authors declare no competing financial interests.

REFERENCES

Alexander, C. M., L. T. Tygrett, A. W. Boyden, K. L. Wolniak, K. L. Legge and T. J. Waldschmidt (2011). "T regulatory cells participate in the control of germinal centre reactions." Immunology **133**(4): 452-468.

Allen, C. D., T. Okada and J. G. Cyster (2007). "Germinal-center organization and cellular dynamics." Immunity **27**(2): 190-202.

Allen, C. D., T. Okada, H. L. Tang and J. G. Cyster (2007). "Imaging of germinal center selection events during affinity maturation." Science **315**(5811): 528-531.

Aloulou, M., E. J. Carr, M. Gador, A. Bignon, R. S. Liblau, N. Fazilleau and M. A. Linterman (2016). "Follicular regulatory T cells can be specific for the immunizing antigen and derive from naive T cells." Nat Commun **7**: 10579.

Barnden, M. J., J. Allison, W. R. Heath and F. R. Carbone (1998). "Defective TCR expression in transgenic mice constructed using cDNA-based alpha- and beta-chain genes under the control of heterologous regulatory elements." Immunol Cell Biol **76**(1): 34-40.

Bettelli, E., Y. Carrier, W. Gao, T. Korn, T. B. Strom, M. Oukka, H. L. Weiner and V. K. Kuchroo (2006). "Reciprocal developmental pathways for the generation of pathogenic effector TH17 and regulatory T cells." Nature **441**(7090): 235-238.

Botta, D., M. J. Fuller, T. T. Marquez-Lago, H. Bachus, J. E. Bradley, A. S. Weinmann, A. J. Zajac, T. D. Randall, F. E. Lund, B. Leon and A. Ballesteros-Tato (2017). "Dynamic regulation of T follicular regulatory cell responses by interleukin 2 during influenza infection." Nat Immunol **18**(11): 1249-1260.

Bystry, R. S., V. Aluvihare, K. A. Welch, M. Kallikourdis and A. G. Betz (2001). "B cells and professional APCs recruit regulatory T cells via CCL4." Nat Immunol **2**(12): 1126-1132.

Caron, G., S. Le Gallou, T. Lamy, K. Tarte and T. Fest (2009). "CXCR4 expression functionally discriminates centroblasts versus centrocytes within human germinal center B cells." J Immunol **182**(12): 7595-7602.

Chung, Y., S. Tanaka, F. Chu, R. I. Nurieva, G. J. Martinez, S. Rawal, Y. H. Wang, H. Lim, J. M. Reynolds, X. H. Zhou, H. M. Fan, Z. M. Liu, S. S. Neelapu and C. Dong (2011). "Follicular regulatory T cells expressing Foxp3 and Bcl-6 suppress germinal center reactions." Nat Med **17**(8): 983-988.

Compagno, M., W. K. Lim, A. Grunn, S. V. Nandula, M. Brahmachary, Q. Shen, F. Bertoni, M. Ponzoni, M. Scandurra, A. Califano, G. Bhagat, A. Chadburn, R. Dalla-

Favera and L. Pasqualucci (2009). "Mutations of multiple genes cause deregulation of
NF-kappaB in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma." Nature **459**(7247): 717-721.
Cook, D. N., M. A. Beck, T. M. Coffman, S. L. Kirby, J. F. Sheridan, I. B. Pragnell and O.
Smithies (1995). "Requirement of MIP-1 alpha for an inflammatory response to viral
infection." Science **269**(5230): 1583-1585.
Fu, W., X. Liu, X. Lin, H. Feng, L. Sun, S. Li, H. Chen, H. Tang, L. Lu, W. Jin and C.
Dong (2018). "Deficiency in T follicular regulatory cells promotes autoimmunity." J Exp
Med **215**(3): 815-825.
Goodnow, C. C., J. Crosbie, S. Adelstein, T. B. Lavoie, S. J. Smith-Gill, R. A. Brink, H.
Pritchard-Briscoe, J. S. Wotherspoon, R. H. Loblay, K. Raphael and et al. (1988).
"Altered immunoglobulin expression and functional silencing of self-reactive B
lymphocytes in transgenic mice." Nature **334**(6184): 676-682.
Green, J. A., K. Suzuki, B. Cho, L. D. Willison, D. Palmer, C. D. Allen, T. H. Schmidt, Y.
Xu, R. L. Proia, S. R. Coughlin and J. G. Cyster (2011). "The sphingosine 1-phosphate
receptor S1P(2) maintains the homeostasis of germinal center B cells and promotes
niche confinement." Nat Immunol **12**(7): 672-680.
Grigorova, I. L., S. R. Schwab, T. G. Phan, T. H. Pham, T. Okada and J. G. Cyster
(2009). "Cortical sinus probing, S1P1-dependent entry and flow-based capture of
egressing T cells." Nat Immunol **10**(1): 58-65.
Kim, J. M., J. P. Rasmussen and A. Y. Rudensky (2007). "Regulatory T cells prevent
catastrophic autoimmunity throughout the lifespan of mice." Nat Immunol **8**(2): 191-197.
Krzysiek, R., E. A. Lefevre, W. Zou, A. Foussat, J. Bernard, A. Portier, P. Galanaud and
Y. Richard (1999). "Antigen receptor engagement selectively induces macrophage
inflammatory protein-1 alpha (MIP-1 alpha) and MIP-1 beta chemokine production in
human B cells." J Immunol **162**(8): 4455-4463.
Laidlaw, B. J., Y. Lu, R. A. Amezquita, J. S. Weinstein, J. A. Vander Heiden, N. T.
Gupta, S. H. Kleinstein, S. M. Kaech and J. Craft (2017). "Interleukin-10 from CD4(+)
follicular regulatory T cells promotes the germinal center response." Sci Immunol **2**(16).
Liang, W. G., C. G. Triandafillou, T. Y. Huang, M. M. Zulueta, S. Banerjee, A. R. Dinner,
S. C. Hung and W. J. Tang (2016). "Structural basis for oligomerization and
glycosaminoglycan binding of CCL5 and CCL3." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **113**(18):
5000-5005.

Lim, H. W., P. Hillsamer, A. H. Banham and C. H. Kim (2005). "Cutting edge: direct

suppression of B cells by CD4+ CD25+ regulatory T cells." J Immunol **175**(7): 4180-

4183.

Linterman, M. A., W. Pierson, S. K. Lee, A. Kallies, S. Kawamoto, T. F. Rayner, M.

Srivastava, D. P. Divekar, L. Beaton, J. J. Hogan, S. Fagarasan, A. Liston, K. G. Smith

and C. G. Vinuesa (2011). "Foxp3+ follicular regulatory T cells control the germinal

center response." Nat Med **17**(8): 975-982.

Maurer, M. and E. von Stebut (2004). "Macrophage inflammatory protein-1." Int J

Biochem Cell Biol **36**(10): 1882-1886.

Menten, P., A. Wuyts and J. Van Damme (2002). "Macrophage inflammatory protein-1."

Cytokine Growth Factor Rev **13**(6): 455-481.

Patterson, S. J., A. M. Pesenacker, A. Y. Wang, J. Gillies, M. Mojibian, K. Morishita, R.

Tan, T. J. Kieffer, C. B. Verchere, C. Panagiotopoulos and M. K. Levings (2016). "T

regulatory cell chemokine production mediates pathogenic T cell attraction and

suppression." J Clin Invest **126**(3): 1039-1051.

Pereira, J. P., L. M. Kelly, Y. Xu and J. G. Cyster (2009). "EBI2 mediates B cell

segregation between the outer and centre follicle." Nature **460**(7259): 1122-1126.

Qi, H., J. L. Cannons, F. Klauschen, P. L. Schwartzberg and R. N. Germain (2008).

"SAP-controlled T-B cell interactions underlie germinal centre formation." Nature

455(7214): 764-769.

Sage, P. T., L. M. Francisco, C. V. Carman and A. H. Sharpe (2013). "The receptor PD-1

controls follicular regulatory T cells in the lymph nodes and blood." Nat Immunol **14**(2):

152-161.

Sage, P. T., A. M. Paterson, S. B. Lovitch and A. H. Sharpe (2014). "The coinhibitory

receptor CTLA-4 controls B cell responses by modulating T follicular helper, T follicular

regulatory, and T regulatory cells." Immunity **41**(6): 1026-1039.

Sage, P. T., N. Ron-Harel, V. R. Juneja, D. R. Sen, S. Maleri, W. Sungnak, V. K.

Kuchroo, W. N. Haining, N. Chevrier, M. Haigis and A. H. Sharpe (2016). "Suppression

by TFR cells leads to durable and selective inhibition of B cell effector function." Nat

Immunol **17**(12): 1436-1446.

Shulman, Z., A. D. Gitlin, J. S. Weinstein, B. Lainez, E. Esplugues, R. A. Flavell, J. E.

Craft and M. C. Nussenzweig (2014). "Dynamic signaling by T follicular helper cells

during germinal center B cell selection." Science **345**(6200): 1058-1062.

Victora, G. D., D. Dominguez-Sola, A. B. Holmes, S. Deroubaix, R. Dalla-Favera and M.

C. Nussenzweig (2012). "Identification of human germinal center light and dark zone

cells and their relationship to human B-cell lymphomas." Blood **120**(11): 2240-2248.

Wang, L. and K. A. Janes (2013). "Stochastic profiling of transcriptional regulatory

heterogeneities in tissues, tumors and cultured cells." Nat Protoc **8**(2): 282-301.

Wing, J. B., W. Ise, T. Kurosaki and S. Sakaguchi (2014). "Regulatory T cells control

antigen-specific expansion of Tfh cell number and humoral immune responses via the

coreceptor CTLA-4." Immunity **41**(6): 1013-1025.

Wing, J. B. and S. Sakaguchi (2014). "Foxp3(+) T(reg) cells in humoral immunity." Int

Immunol **26**(2): 61-69.

Wollenberg, I., A. Agua-Doce, A. Hernandez, C. Almeida, V. G. Oliveira, J. Faro and L.

Graca (2011). "Regulation of the germinal center reaction by Foxp3+ follicular regulatory

T cells." J Immunol **187**(9): 4553-4560.

Wu, H., Y. Chen, H. Liu, L. L. Xu, P. Teuscher, S. Wang, S. Lu and A. L. Dent (2016).

"Follicular regulatory T cells repress cytokine production by follicular helper T cells and

optimize IgG responses in mice." Eur J Immunol **46**(5): 1152-1161.

Figure 1. A small subset of GC centrocytes upregulates CCL3/4 expression. qRT-PCR
analysis of CCL3 and CCL4 expression in GC and non-GC B cells sorted from the draining LNs of
mice s.c. immunized with 50 *μ*g ovalbumin (OVA) in Ribi at 10 days post immunization (d.p.i). **a**,
The gating strategy for cell sorting. **b, c**, Relative expression of CCL3/4 in bulk GC centroblasts
(CB) and centrocytes (CC) as normalized to non-GC B cells (FAS^{low} GL7^{low}). Chemokine C$_T$
values were normalized to β2m C$_T$. Bars represent mean with dots representing average values
per experiment. Data represent n=3 independent experiments, 4 mice total. *, P<0.05, two-tailed
Student's *t*-test. **d-l**, Single cell qPCR analysis of B cells sorted as indicated in a. **d,e**, Overlay of
CC, CB and non-GC B cells' CCL3/4 expression data. 2 independent experiments. Cells
expressing the highest levels of CCL3/4 are located in the top right quadrant. **f-i**, Elevated
expression of CCL3/4 in a subset of GC CC is independent of B2M (f, h) or HPRT1 (g, h) Ct used
for normalization. Same cells are labeled in the same colors in experiment I (f, g) or II (h, i). **j-l**,
Density plots illustrate Gaussian distributions, that provided the best fit for the expression data:
one for non-GC B cells (j) and CB (k) or two for CC(l). The contours are based on the underlying
probability distribution function for the data and were generated in MATLAB Software using
gmdistribution.fit and then <u>fcontour</u> functions.

Figure 2. Increased GC response in CCL3-KO mice following immunization. **a-g**, Flow cytometry analysis of the GC B cells (Fas^{high} GL7^{high} IgD^{low}) as a fraction of total B cells (a-d, f) or total lymphocytes (e, g) in WT and CCL3-KO mice. **a**, Analysis of GCs in pLNs (inguinal, brachial and auxillary LNs combined), spleens, MLNs, and PP from unimmunized mice. **b-g**, Analysis of GCs in the dLNs from mice s.c. immunized with 50 μg of 4-Hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl (*NP*) acetyl-*hapten* conjugated to *Keyhole limpet hemocyanin (*KLH) in Ribi adjuvant (b) or 50 μg of OVA in Complete Fruend's Adjuvant (CFA) (c) or 50 μg OVA in Ribi (d-g) at 10 d.p.i. **f-l,** Flow cytometry analysis of GC (f, g), Follicular T cell (h-j), and plasma cell (PC) (k, l) response in dLNs of WT and CCL3-KO littermate conrol mice s.c. immunized with 50 μg OVA in Ribi at 10 d.p.i. **h-j**, Tfr (CXCR5^{high} PD1^{high} FoxP3^{pos} in h) and Tfh (CXCR5^{high} PD1^{high} FoxP3^{neg} in i) cells as a fraction of CD4 T cells. **k, l**, PB gating strategy (k) and PBs as a fraction of total lymphocytes (l). Each symbol represents one mouse. Bars represent mean. Data are derived from 2 or 3 independent experiments. *, P<0.05, Student's *t*-test (two-sided in b-e, one-sided in f, g).

800
Figure 3. Foreign Ag-specific Ab response in CCL3-KO mice. a-e, Analysis of serum from
WT (black circles) and CCL3-KO (white circles) littermate control mice s.c. immunized with 50 μg
of NP-KLH in Ribi and boosted with the same dose of antigen in Ribi on day 24 by ELISA and
bled on 0, 15, 21, 28 d.p.i. **a-c,** Analysis of NP30-specific IgG1 (in a), NP2-specific high-affinity
IgG1 (in b), and NP2/NP30 IgG1 ratio (in c). **d-g,** Analysis of total IgG1 (in d), IgG2b (in e), IgG2c
(in f), and IgA (in g) Abs. **e**, Analysis of NP-30 specific IgA Abs. Relative titers were determined
using serial dilutions and a calibration curve from control serum. Data represents 3 (in d-h) or 4
(in a-c) independent experiments. Each symbol represents one mouse. Lines represent mean. *,
P<0.05, **, P<0.01. Two-tailed Student's t-test.

825
826
Figure 4. **Chemotaxis of Tfr cells to CCL3 and CCL4 *ex vivo*. a-e,** *Ex vivo* transwell assay of
CD4$^+$ T cells purified from dLNs of WT mice at 10 d.p.i. with 100 μg OVA in Ribi and analyzed by
flow cytometry using the gating strategy as in (a). Transmigration of CXCR5^{high}PD1^{high} (Fol., black
bars), CXCR5^{int}PD1^{int} (Int., grey bars), or CXCR5^{low}PD1^{low} (Low, white bars) CD4^{pos} CD8^{neg} B220
^{neg} cell populations that also express Foxp3 (b, c) or were FoxP3 ^{neg} (d, e) were measured against
CCL3 (b, d) and CCL4 (c, e). The chemokines were added either to the lower chambers (chamb.)
of transwells for analysis of chemotaxis or to both the upper and the lower chambers for analysis
of chemokinesis. Chemotactic index was calculated as the ratio of cells that transmigrated
towards the chemokine vs. no chemokine control (dashed red line). Chemotaxis and
chemokinesis data are derived from 3 and 2 independent experiments correspondingly with 2
mice per experiment. Bars represent mean ± SEM, *, P<0.05, two-tailed, one-sample Student's *t*-
test (compared to 1).

Figure 5. 2P visualization of Tfr cells and HyHEL10 GC B cells. a, Experimental diagram for
generation of recipient mice with large numbers of highly fluorescent tdTomato⁺ Tregs and
visualization of Tfr and HyHEL10 (Hy10) GC B cells in the dLNs by 2P microscopy. **b**, Flow
cytometry analysis of the endogenous and transferred TdTomato⁺ Treg numbers in the blood of
FoxP3^{DTR} mice throughout their treatments with DTx and immunization, performed as in (a). **c-e**,
Analysis of dLNs from mice generated through the procedure described in (a) at 8 d.p.i. **c**,
Representative flow cytometry analysis of Bcl6 expression in the CXCR5^{low}PD1^{low} and
CXCR5^{high}PD1^{high} subsets of tdTomato⁺ Tregs (top panels), endogenous Tregs (middle panels)
and endogenous Th cells (bottom panels). **d**, Confocal immunofluorescence analysis of dLN
sections for the fraction of tdTomato⁺ cells that express Foxp3 (left bars) and fraction of Foxp3+
cells that express tdTomato⁺ (right bars) in GC-containing follicles.**e**, Representative 2P imaging
analysis of Tfr cells localization with respect to GCs. A snapshot (left panel) from an intravital
imaging experiment as analyzed in Imaris software. Cell trajectory analysis for Tfr cells (middle
panel) and both Tfr cells and GC B cells (right panel). Scale bars 40 μm. Data are from 2
independent experiments.

Figure 6. Tfr cells make less frequent contacts with CCL3-KO B cells in GCs. 2P imaging
analysis of Tfr and Tfh cells contacts with CCL3$^{+/+}$ CFP and CCL3$^{-/-}$ GFP HyHEL10 GC B cells in
the dLNs of mice at 8 d.p.i. **a, b**, Experimental diagrams for imaging GC B cell interactions with
Tfr (a) and Tfh (b). **c**, A snapshot (**left panel**) and cell trajectory analysis (**right panel**) from
an intravital imaging experiment performed as described in a**.** Scale bars - 50 μm. Auto-
fluorescent cells are orange. **d,** Time-lapse images of Tfr cells interacting with CCL3$^{+/+}$ or CCL3$^{-/-}$
 HyHEL10 B cells within GCs. Cell contacts were verified in 3D space, classified as Strict
(definitive contacts) and Non Strict (all possible contacts) and indicated by white arrows. Yellow
star illustrates pseudopod extension by a Tfr cell towards CFP GC B cell. Images are displayed
as 20 μm z-stacks. Scale bars - 5 μm. **e-j**, Quantitative analysis of CCL3$^{+/+}$ (blue circles) and
CCL3$^{-/-}$ (green circles) HyHEL10 GC B cell interactions with Tfr or Tfh cells. Closed symbols
represent intravital and opened symbols - explanted dLNs imaging. The data was analyzed in a
blinded fashion to avoid possible bias in cell contact definition. **e, g,** Normalized contact
frequency (NCF) calculated for Strict (e) and Non Strict (g) as the number of Tfr or Tfh cells'
interactions with CCL3$^{+/+}$ and CCL3$^{-/-}$ HyHEL10 B cells within defined volume of GCs normalized
to the average number of HyHEL10 cells of each genotype. Linked symbols correspond to GC B

cells in the same movie. *, P<0.05, Wilcoxon matched-pairs test. **f, h,** Ratios of the Tfr or Tfh cell NCF with CCL3$^{+/+}$ over CCL3$^{-/-}$ HyHEL10 B cells from the same movie. *, P<0.05, Student's t-test. **i, j,** Contact duration between Tfr or Tfh and HyHEL10 GC B cells of each genotype undergoing Strict (i) or Non Strict (j) interactions. Red lines represent medians. Data from 5 independent experiments (5 mice) per T cell type. **k, l,** Time histograms for duration of strict (k) and non-strict (l) contacts between Tfr and Tfh cells with WT HyHEL10 GC B cells.

Figure 7. Tregs repress B cell participation in GCs at 9 d.p.i. in a CCL3-dependent way. a, Experimental outline. FoxP3^{DTR} recipient mice were transferred with HyHEL10 B cells, immunized with 50 µg DEL-OVA in Ribi s.c. and then treated either with PBS or 5 µg DTx in PBS i.p. 3 days before analysis. **b**, Suggested model of CCL3-dependent regulation of GC B cells by Tfr cells and prediction for CCL3$^{+/+}$ and CCL3$^{−/−}$ GC B cell participation in GCs upon ablation of Tfr cells at 9 d.p.i. **c-g**, Flow cytometry analysis of cell participation in immune response at 9 d.p.i. in DTx-treated and control mice. **c-e,** DTx-mediated depletion of Tfr cells at 9 d.p.i. Representative example of Tfr ablation (in c) and Tfr fraction of total lymphocytes (in d) and increase in GC response in DTx-treated mice (in e). **f, g,** CCL3$^{+/+}$ and CCL3$^{−/−}$ HyHEL10 GC B cells in PBS and DTx-treated mice shown as the total numbers of cells in dLNs (f) or as the % of total GC B cells. The data are from 5 independent experiments. Each dot represents a single mouse and red lines correspond to the mean values. Paired analyses are indicated using solid black line. *, p<0.05, **, p<0.01, ****, P<0.0001. Two-sided (in d, g) or one-sided (in e, f) Student's t-test.

963
964
Figure 8. CCL3$^{+/+}$ foreign Ag-specific B cells outcompete CCL3$^{-/-}$ cells in GCs overtime.
Flow cytometry analysis of HyHEL10 CCL3$^{+/+}$ B cells expressing cyan fluorescent protein (CFP,
grey circles) and HyHEL10 CCL3$^{-/-}$ B cells expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP, white
circles) recruitment and participation in B cells response in CD45.1 (a-c) or FoxP3^{DTR} (d-f)
recipient mice following immunization. **a,** Experimental strategy. **b**, GC B cells as a % of total B
cells. **c**, PB as a fraction of total lymphocytes. **d**, Experimental outline. FoxP3^{DTR} recipient mice
were transferred with HyHEL10 B cells, immunized with 50 µg DEL-OVA in Ribi s.c. for 12 days
and then treated either with PBS or 5 µg DTx in PBS i.p. 3 days before analysis. **e, f,** CCL3$^{+/+}$ and
CCL3$^{-/-}$ HyHEL10 GC B cells in PBS and DTx-treated mice shown as the % of total GC B cells
(e) or the ratio of CCL3$^{+/+}$ to CCL3$^{-/-}$ GC B cells. Data represents 3-5 independent experiments.
Each symbol represents one mouse. Lines represent mean. Paired analyses are indicated using
solid black line. *, P<0.05, **, P<0.01, Two-sided Student's *t*-test.

Figure 9: **A model of GC B cells' contacts with Tfr cells in CCL3-dependent fashion**. **a**, A model of local CCL3 gradients produced by selected GC B cells following strong BCR crosslinking (and/or T cell help). Local gradients could then enable enhanced chemotactic sampling of selected GC B cells by the rare Tfr cells traversing the GCs. **b,** A model of how secreted CCL3 immobilized on the surface of GC B cells may act to stabilize very transient probing interactions of Tfr cells with GC B cells that upon stabilization are visualized by 2P microscopy and result in more extensive GC B cell control.

   SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

Supplementary Figure 2. CCL4 neutralization in GCs does not amplify GC response in WT or CCL3-KO mice. a, Experimental strategy. b-e, GC B cells (B220^{pos} CD4^{neg} CD8^{neg} FAS^{high} GL7^{high}) in the dLNs of WT (b, c) and CCL3-KO (d, e) mice as a fraction of B220^{+} cells (b, d) or lymphocytes (c, d) at 10 d.p.i. with OVA in Ribi.

Supplementary Figure 6: HyHEL10 GC CCL3+/+ CFP (blue circles) and CCL3-/- GFP (green circles) cell motility analysis. Red lines represent medians. Data are from 4 independent experiments.

Supplementary Figure 8. Participation of CCL3$^{+/+}$ and CCL3$^{-/-}$ HyHEL10 B cells in the GC and PB response. a-c, CFP CCL3$^{+/+}$ and GFP CCL3$^{-/-}$ HyHEL10 B cells were cotransferred into CD45.1 recipient mice that were then immunized with DEL-OVA in Ribi as in Fig. 4a. **a,b,** The gating strategy for GC (in a) and PB (in b) CFP and GFP HyHEL10 cells. **c,** The ratio of PB to GC cells of CFP CCL3$^{+/+}$ (grey circles) and GFP CCL3$^{-/-}$ (white circles) HyHEL10 cells at 6 d.p.i. Data are from 4 independent experiments. Paired Student's t-test. **d,** Selection of CCL3$^{+/+}$ over CCL3$^{-/-}$ HyHEL10 cells in GCs at 12 d.p.i. In this experiment 5x10^5 HyHEL10 B cells were cotransferred into recipient mice, and more rapid selection of CCL3$^{+/+}$ HyHEL10 GC B cells was then observed. Data are from 2 independent experiments.

SUPPLEMENTARY MOVIE LEGENDS

Movie S1. 2-photon imaging of Tfr cells migration in respect to Tfh cells and GC B cells. Also see **Figure 5e**. A time-lapse sequence of a 110 μm z-stack of a GC in an explanted inguinal lymph node imaged at 8 days after immunization. HyHEL10 GC B cell; cyan. Tfr; red. Tfh; green. Colored lines indicate the trajectories of the indicated cell types, tracked by Imaris and manually verified. Mice were generated as described in the **Figure 5a**. Time is shown as hh:mm:ss and z-stacks were acquired at 20 second intervals.

Movie S2. Intravital imaging of Tfr cells in respect to the GCs containing both CCL3$^{+/+}$ and CCL3$^{-/-}$ HyHEL10 B cells. The data shown corresponds with the images in **Figure 6**. A time-lapse sequence of a GC within inguinal LN of a mouse prepared as described in **Figure 6a** and subjected to intravital imaging at 8 days post immunization. 180 μm z-stack. GC volume (gray surface) was defined based on the distribution of CFP HyHEL10 cells. Quantitative analysis in **Figure 6e-l** was performed for Tfr cells (red) interactions with HyHEL10 GC B cells (CCL3$^{+/+}$; cyan. CCL3$^{-/-}$; green.) within GC volumes defined in the same fashion. The tracks of individual Tfr cells outside the GC are labeled in purple while interior tracks are represented in yellow lines. Time is expressed as hh:mm:ss and z-stacks were acquired at 20 second intervals.

Movie S3. Examples of Tfr cells interactions with GC B cells identified as "strict" or "nonstrict" for quantitative analysis. A time-lapse sequence of a representative Tfr (red) entering the GC (dashed white line) and then undergoing contacts with HyHEL10 CCL3$^{+/+}$ (cyan) or CCL3$^{-/-}$ (green) HyHEL10 GC B cells within inguinal LN of a mouse prepared as described in **Figure 6a** and subjected to 2P imaging at 8 days post immunization. A 40 μM slice is in view. Inlets are zoomed in and 3D rotated to visualize the contact. Time is expressed as mm:ss and z-stacks were acquired at 25 second intervals

Movie S4. 2-photon imaging of Tfh cells interacting with GC B cells. A time-lapse sequence of a GC within an inguinal LN of a mouse prepared as described in **Figure 6b** and subjected to explant imaging at 8 days post immunization. 140 um z-stack**.** Quantitative analysis was performed for Tfh cells (red) interactions with HyHEL10 GC B cells (CCL3$^{+/+}$, cyan; CCL3$^{-/-}$, green) within the GC and can be found in **Figure 6e-l**. Examples of long duration (>5 minutes) contacts and short duration (<5 minutes) contacts are shown in 10 um z-projections. Time is shown as hh:mm:ss for both time of the movie and for duration of the indicated contacts. Z-stacks were acquired at 20 second intervals.