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Summary Alternative splicing of genes increases the number of distinct proteins in a cell. In the brain it is highly prevalent, presumably because proteome diversity is crucial for establishing the complex circuitry between trillions of neurons. To provide individual cells with different repertoires of protein isoforms, however, this process must be regulated. Previously, we found that the mutually exclusive alternative splicing of Drosophila Dscam 2 exon 10A and 10B is tightly regulated and crucial for maintaining axon terminal size, dendritic morphology and synaptic numbers. Here, we show that Drosophila muscleblind (mbl), a conserved splicing factor implicated in myotonic dystrophy, controls Dscam2 alternative splicing. Removing $\boldsymbol{m b l}$ from cells that normally express isoform B induces the expression of isoform $A$ and eliminates the expression of $B$, demonstrating that Mbl represses one alternative exon and selects the other. Consistent with these observations, we show that $\boldsymbol{m b l}$ expression is cell-typespecific and correlates with the expression of isoform B. Our study demonstrates how cell-type-specific expression of a splicing factor can provide neurons with unique protein isoforms.


## Introduction

Alternative splicing occurs in approximately $95 \%$ of human genes and generates proteome diversity much needed for brain wiring (Pan et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2008). Specifying neuronal connections through alternative splicing would require regulated expression of isoforms with unique functions in different cell types to carry out distinct processes. Although there are some examples of neuronal cell-typespecific isoform expression (Bell et al., 2004; Iijima et al., 2014; Lah et al., 2014; Norris et al., 2014; Schreiner et al., 2014; Tomioka et al., 2016), the mechanisms underlying these deterministic splicing events remain understudied. This is due, in part, to the technical difficulties of assessing isoform expression at the single cell level. Another obstacle is that most splicing regulators are proposed to be ubiquitously expressed (Nilsen and Graveley, 2010), therefore it is not immediately clear how cell-type specific expression would be achieved. For example, the broadly expressed SR and heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins (hnRNPs) typically have opposing activities, and the prevalence of splice site usage is thought to be controlled by their relative abundances within the cell (Blanchette et al., 2009). There are many notable examples where splicing regulators are expressed in a tissue-specific manner (Calarco et al., 2009; Kuroyanagi et al., 2006; Markovtsov et al., 2000; Ohno et al., 2008; Underwood et al., 2005; Warzecha et al., 2009), but tissues contain numerous cell types and regulation at this level does not address how cell-type-specific alternative splicing is achieved.

In Drosophila, Dscam 2 is a cell recognition molecule that mediates self- and cell-type-specific avoidance (tiling) (Millard et al., 2007; Millard et al., 2010). Mutually exclusive alternative splicing of exon 10A or 10B produces two isoforms with
biochemically unique extracellular domains (Millard et al., 2007). Previously, we found that the splicing of Dscam 2 is cell-type-specific (Lah et al., 2014). This deterministic splicing is crucial for the proper development of axon terminal size, dendrite morphology and synaptic numbers (Kerwin et al., 2018; Lah et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015). Although the functional consequences of disrupting regulated Dscam2 alternative splicing have been demonstrated, what regulates this process remained unclear. Here, we conducted an RNAi screen and identified muscleblind ( $m b l$ ) as a regulator of Dscam 2 alternative splicing. Loss-of-function (LOF) and overexpression (OE) studies suggest that Mbl acts both as a splicing repressor of Dscam2 exon 10A and as an activator of exon 10B (hereafter Dscam2.10A and Dscam2.10B). Consistent with this finding, $m b l$ expression is cell-type-specific and correlates with the expression of Dscam2.10B. Driving mbl in mushroom body neurons that normally select isoform A, induces the expression of isoform B and generates a phenotype similar to that observed in animals that express a single isoform of Dscam2. Although the mbl gene is itself alternatively spliced, we found that selection of Dscam2.10B does not require a specific Mbl isoform and that human MBNL1 can also regulate Dscam2 alternative splicing. Our study demonstrates that mutually exclusive splicing of Dscam 2 is regulated by the cell-type-specific expression of a highly conserved RNA binding protein, Mbl.

## Results

## An RNAi screen identifies $\boldsymbol{m b l}$ as a repressor of $\operatorname{Dscam} 2$ exon 10 A selection

We reasoned that the neuronal cell-type-specific alternative splicing of Dscam2 is likely regulated by RNA binding proteins, and that we could identify these regulators by knocking them down in a genetic background containing an isoform reporter. In photoreceptors (R cells) of third instar larvae, Dscam 2.10B is selected whereas the splicing of Dscam2.10A is repressed (Lah et al., 2014; Tadros et al., 2016). Given that quantifying a reduction in Dscam $2.10 B$ isoform reporter levels is challenging compared to detecting the appearance of Dscam $2.10 A$ in cells where it is not normally expressed, we performed a screen for repressors of isoform $A$ in $R$ cells.

To knock down RNA binding proteins, the glass multimer reporter (GMR)-GAL4 was used to drive RNAi transgenes selectively in R cells. Our genetic background included UAS-Dcr-2 to increase RNAi efficiency (Dietzl et al., 2007) and GMR-GFP to mark the photoreceptors independent of the Gal4/UAS system (Brand and Perrimon, 1993). Lastly, a Dscam2.10A-LexA reporter driving LexAOp-myristolated tdTomato (hereafter Dscam2.10A>tdTom; Fig. 1A) was used to visualize isoform A expression (Lai and Lee, 2006; Tadros et al., 2016). As expected,

Dscam2.10B>tdTom was detected in R cell projections in the lamina plexus as well as in their cell bodies in the eye-disc, whereas Dscam2.10A>tdTom was not (Fig. 1C1D). Overexpression of Dcr-2 in R cells did not perturb the repression of Dscam2.10A (Fig 1O). We knocked down $\sim 160$ genes using $\sim 250$ RNAi lines (Fig 1B and Table S1) and identified two independent RNAi lines targeting $m b l$ that caused aberrant expression of Dscam2.10A in R cells where it is normally absent (Fig 1F, 1O). The penetrance increased when animals were reared at a higher temperature of
$29^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which is more favorable for Gal4 (Mondal et al., 2007; Ni et al., 2008) (Fig 1O).

Mbl-family proteins possess evolutionarily conserved tandem CCCH zinc-finger domains through which they bind pre-mRNA. Vertebrate Mbl family members are involved in tissue-specific splicing and have been implicated in myotonic dystrophy (Pascual et al., 2006). Formerly known as mindmelt, Drosophila mbl was first identified in a second chromosome $P$-element genetic screen for embryonic defects in the peripheral nervous system (Kania et al., 1995). Mbl produces multiple isoforms through alternative splicing (Begemann et al., 1997; Irion, 2012), and its function has been most extensively characterized in fly muscles where both hypomorphic mutations and sequestration of the protein by repeated CUG sequences within an mRNA lead to muscle defects (Artero et al., 1998; Llamusi et al., 2013). To validate the RNAi phenotype, we tested Dscam2.10A>tdTom expression in $m b l$ loss-offunction (LOF) mutants. Since $m b l$ LOF results in lethality, we first conducted complementation tests on six mbl mutant alleles to identify viable hypomorphic combinations. These included two alleles created previously via imprecise $P$-element excision ( $m b l^{l 227}$ and $m b l^{e 27}$; Begemann et al. 1997) two MiMIC splicing traps ( $\mathrm{mbl}^{\text {MIOO976 }}$ and $\mathrm{mbl}^{\text {MIO4093 }}$; (Venken et al., 2011) and two $2^{\text {nd }}$ chromosome deficiencies (Df(2R)BSC154 and Df(2R)Exel6066; Fig 1F-1G). Consistent with previous reports, the complementation tests confirmed that the majority of the alleles were lethal over one another (Fig 1G) (Kania et al., 1995). However, we identified two mbl transheterozygous combinations that were partially viable and crossed these into a Dscam2.10A>tdTom reporter background. Both $\mathrm{mbl}^{e 2127} / \mathrm{mbl}^{M 100976}$ and $m b b^{\text {M104093 }} / \mathrm{mbl}^{\text {M100976 }}$ animals presented aberrant Dscam $2.10 A$ expression in R cells
when compared to heterozygous and wild-type controls (Fig 1H-O). Mbl mutant mosaic clones also exhibited aberrant Dscam2.10A>tdTom expression in R cells (Fig S1A-S1F). The weakest allele, $m b l^{M 00976}$, which removes only a proportion of the $m b l$ isoforms, was the only exception (Fig S1E-S1F).

One alternative explanation of how Dscam2.10A>tdTom expression could get switched-on in $m b l$ mutants, is through exon 10 skipping. Removing both alternative exons simultaneously does not result in a frameshift mutation, and since the Gal4 in our reporters is inserted directly downstream of the variable exons (in exon 11), it would still be expressed. To test this possibility, we amplified Dscam 2 sequences between exon 9 and $11 \mathrm{in} m b l^{e l 27} / m b l^{\text {M100976 }}$ transheterozygous animals using RT-PCR. In both control and $m b l$ LOF mutants, we detected RT-PCR products ( $\sim 690 \mathrm{bp}$ ) that corresponded to the inclusion of exon 10 (A or B) and failed to detect products ( $\sim 390 \mathrm{bp}$ ) that would result from exon 10 skipping (Fig 1P). This suggested that Mbl is not involved in the splicing fidelity of Dscam 2.10 but rather in the selective mutual exclusion of its two isoforms. To assess whether the ratios of the two isoforms were changing in the $m b l$ hypomorphic mutants, we cut the exon 10 RT-PCR products with the ClaI restriction enzyme that only recognizes exon 10A. Densitometric analysis then allowed us to semi-quantitatively compare the relative levels of both isoforms. There was $\sim 25 \%$ increase in the level of exon 10A inclusion in $\mathrm{mbl}^{e l 27} / \mathrm{mbl}^{\text {M100976 }}$ animals compared to controls (Fig 1P), consistent with the derepression we observed in our 10A reporter lines. To determine whether Mbl was specifically regulating Dscam2 exon 10 mutually exclusive splicing, we assessed other Dscam 2 alternative splicing events. These included an alternative $5^{\prime}$ ' splice site selection of Dscam 2 exon 19 and the alternative last exon (ALE) selection of exon 20 (Fig S2A). The expression
of these different isoforms was unchanged in $m b l$ hypomorphic mutants (Fig S2B). Together, our results indicate that Mbl is an essential splicing factor that specifically represses Dscam2.10A.

## $M b l$ is necessary for the selection of Dscam 2 exon 10B

Since Dscam 2 exon 10 isoforms are mutually exclusively spliced, we predicted that selection of exon 10A would lead to the loss of exon 10B selection. To test this, we conducted mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker (MARCM) (Lee and Luo, 1999) to analyse Dscam $2.10 B$ expression in mbl mutant clones. In late third instar brains, clones homozygous (GFP-positive) for $m b l^{E 127}$ and $m b l^{E 27}$ exhibited a dramatic reduction in Dscam2.10B>tdTom expression in R cell axons projecting to the lamina plexus compared to controls (Fig 2B, C, E). The absence of Dscam2.10B>tdTom in $m b l$ mutant clones was more striking during pupal stages (Fig 2D), suggesting that perdurance of Mbl could explain the residual signal observed in third instar animals. These results reveal that $m b l$ is cell-autonomously required for the selection of the Dscam2.10B isoform.

## Cell-type-specific mbl expression is transcriptionally regulated

Previous studies have reported that $m b l$ is expressed in third instar eye-discs and muscles (Artero et al., 1998; Brouwer et al., 1997). Since $m b l$ LOF results in both the production of Dscam2.10A and the loss of Dscam 2.10B, we predicted that mbl expression would correlate with the presence of isoform $B$. To test this, we characterized several $m b l$ reporters (Fig S3A). We analyzed three enhancer trap strains (transcriptional reporters) inserted near the beginning of the $m b l$ gene ( $m b l^{k 01212}-L a c Z, m b l^{\text {NP1161 }}$-Gal4 and $m b l^{N P 0420}-G a l 4$ ), as well as a splicing trap line
generated by the Trojan-mediated conversion of a mbl MiMIC (Minos Mediated Integration Cassette) insertion (Fig S2A, mbl ${ }^{\text {MiMIC00139-Gal4; (Diao et al., 2015). The }}$ splicing trap reporter consists of a splice acceptor site and an in-frame T2A-Gal4 sequence inserted in an intron between two coding exons. This Gal4 cassette gets incorporated into $m b l \mathrm{mRNA}$ during splicing and therefore Gal4 is only present when $m b l$ is translated. Consistent with previous studies, and its role in repressing the production of Dscam2.10 A , all four mbl reporters were expressed in the third instar photoreceptors (Fig 3A, S3A-S3B and data not shown). We next did a more extensive characterization of $m b l$ expression by driving nuclear localized GFP (GFP.nls) with one transcriptional ( $\mathrm{mbl}{ }^{N P 0420}$-Gal4) and one translational $\left(\mathrm{mbl}^{\text {MiMICOO139 }}-\mathrm{Gal4}\right)$ reporter. In the brain, we found that mbl was expressed predominantly in postmitotic neurons with some expression detected in glial cells (Fig S3C-S3F and S3H-S3K). Interestingly, we detected the translational but not the transcriptional reporter in third instar muscles (Fig S3G and S3L). The absence of expression is likely due to the insertion of the $P$-element into a neural-specific enhancer, as previously described (Bargiela et al., 2014). To assess the expression of $m b l$ in the five lamina neurons L1- L5, all of which express Dscam 2 (Lah et al., 2014; Tadros et al., 2016), we implemented an intersectional strategy using a $U A S>$ stop $>$ epitope reporter (Nern et al., 2015) that is dependent on both FLP and Gal4. The $F L P$ source (Dac-FLP) was expressed in lamina neurons and able to remove the transcriptional stop motif in the reporter transgene. The overlap between $m b l-G a l 4$ and $\operatorname{Dac-FLP}$ allowed us to visualize $m b l$ expression in lamina neurons at single-cell resolution (Fig 3B). As a proof of principle, we first did an intersectional analysis with a pan-neuronal reporter, elav-Gal4 (Fig 3C1). We detected many clones encompassing various neuronal-cell-types including the axons of L1-L5 and R7-R8
(Fig 3C-3D). This confirmed that all lamina neurons could be detected using this strategy. Using mbl-Gal4 reporters we found that L1, which expresses Dscam2.10B, was the primary neuron labelled. A few L4 cells were also identified, which is consistent with this neuron expressing Dscam2.10B early in development and Dscam2.10A at later stages (Tadros et al., 2016). To confirm this finding, we dissected the expression of $m b l$ in lamina neurons during development. Using the same intersectional strategy, we detected a high number of L4 clones at 48 hr apf $(30 \%, \mathrm{n}=10)$. This was followed by a decline at 60 hr apf $(26.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=30)$ and 72 hr apf $(11.8 \%, \mathrm{n}=85)$ reaching the lowest at eclosion (Fig S4A and S4B; $1.7 \%, \mathrm{n}=242$ ). Thus, $m b l$ expression in L4 neurons mirrors the expression of Dscam2.10B. Consistent with this, L2, L3 and L5, were all detected using the intersectional strategy with Dscam2.10A-Gal4 but were not labelled using mbl-Gal4 (Fig 3E). Together, these results show that cell-type-specific $m b l$ expression is transcriptionally regulated and correlates with the cell-type-specific alternative splicing of Dscam2. Cells that select Dscam 2.10B and repress Dscam2.10A express $m b l$. In contrast, $m b l$ was not detected in cells that repress Dscam2.10B and select Dscam2.10A.

## Ectopic expression of multiple $\boldsymbol{m b l}$ isoforms are sufficient to promote the selection of Dscam 2 exon 10B

Our analysis in the visual system demonstrated that $m b l$ is necessary for the selection of Dscam 2.10B, but we wondered whether it was sufficient to promote exon 10B selection in cell types that normally repress this isoform. To test this possibility, we overexpressed $m b l$ ubiquitously and monitored isoform B expression using Dscam 2.10B>tdTom. We focussed on the mushroom body (MB), as this tissue expresses isoform A specifically in $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ neurons at 24 hr apf where mbl is not detected
(Fig 3G-3H, 4A-4C). Consistent with mbl being sufficient for isoform B selection, ubiquitous expression of $m b l$ using an enhancer trap containing a $U A S$ insertion at the 5' end of the gene $\left(A c t 5 c>m b l^{B 2-E l}\right)$, switched on Dscam2.10B in $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ MB neurons, where it is normally absent (Fig 4D). Ectopic $m b l$ expression in MB neurons with OK107-Gal4 also led to selection of Dscam2.10B expression specifically in $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ neurons at 24-36hr apf. Although our two Gal4 drivers expressed mbl in all MB neurons, Dscam2.10B was only observed in $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ neurons, demonstrating that transcription of Dscam2 is a pre-requisite for this splicing modulation. Previous studies have suggested that the $m b l$ gene is capable of generating different isoforms with unique functions depending on their subcellular localization (Vicente et al., 2007). This also includes the production of a highly abundant circular RNA that can sequester the Mbl protein (Ashwal-Fluss et al., 2014; Houseley et al., 2006). To assess whether Dscam 2 exon 10B selection is dependent on a specific alternative variant of Mbl , we overexpressed the cDNAs of fly $m b l$ isoforms ( $m b l A, m b l B$ and mblC; (Begemann et al., 1997; Juni and Yamamoto, 2009) as well as an isoform of the human MBNL1 that lacks the linker region optimal for CUG repeat binding (MBNL1 ${ }_{35}$; (Kino et al., 2004; Li et al., 2008) with either Act5c-Gal4 or OK107-Gal4. These constructs all possess the tandem N-terminal CCCH motif that binds to YCGY sequences and lack the ability to produce $m b l$ circRNA. In all cases, overexpression resulted in the misexpression of Dscam $2.10 B$ in $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ MBs (with the exception Act5C>mblC, which resulted in lethality; Fig 4D-4E). Using semi-quantitative RTPCR from the $A c t 5 C>m b l$ flies, we demonstrated that overexpression of $m b l$ did not lead to exon 10 skipping and that it increased exon 10B selection by $8-24 \%$ (Fig 4F), depending on the $m b l$ isoform used. The inability of Mbl to completely inhibit exon 10A selection suggests that other factors or mechanisms may also contribute to cell-
specific Dscam 2 isoform expression (see Discussion). These results suggest that Mbl protein isoforms are all capable of Dscam 2.10 B selection and independent of mbl circRNA. The ability of human MBNL1 to promote the selection of exon 10B suggests that the regulatory logic for Dscam 2 splicing is likely conserved in other mutually-exclusive cassettes in higher organisms.

Finally, we observed a phenotype in MB neurons overexpressing $m b l$ where the $\beta$ lobe neurons inappropriately crossed the midline (Fig 4G-4I). Interestingly, a similar phenotype was observed in flies expressing a single isoform of Dscam2 that we previously generated using recombinase-mediated cassette exchange (Lah et al., 2014). These flies express a single isoform in all Dscam 2 positive cells. We quantified this phenotype and found that the Dscam $2 A$, but not the Dscam $2 B$, single isoform line generated a MB fusion phenotype that was significantly different from controls. All of the $U A S-m b l$ constructs, except human $M B N L 1$, generated this phenotype at a penetrance that was equal to or greater than $\operatorname{Dscam} 2 A$ single isoform lines (Fig 4I). The lack of a phenotype with the human transgene is consistent with this modified isoform having a reduced CUG-binding capacity due to its missing linker domain (Kino et al., 2004; Li et al., 2008). These data demonstrate that MB phenotypes generated in animals overexpressing $m b l$, phenocopy Dscam 2 single isoform mutants. While the origin of this non-autonomous phenotype is not known, it correlates with the misregulation of Dscam2 alternative isoform expression.

## Discussion

In this study, we identify Mbl as a regulator of Dscam 2 alternative splicing. We demonstrate that removing $m b l$ in a $m b l$-positive cell-type results in a switch from

Dscam2.10B to Dscam2.10A selection. Ectopic expression of a variety of Mbl protein isoforms in a normally mbl -negative neuronal cell-type is sufficient to trigger the selection of Dscam2.10B. Consistent with this, transcriptional reporters demonstrate that $m b l$ is expressed in a cell-type-specific manner, which tightly correlates with Dscam2.10B. Lastly, misexpression of mbl leads to a MB phenotype that is also observed in flies that express a single Dscam 2 isoform.

One surprising finding in this study was that $m b l$ expression itself is regulated in a cell-specific manner. Mbl was present in all cells tested that express Dscam2.10B and absent from Dscam2.10A cells. Mbl appears to be regulated at the transcriptional level since enhancer-trap as well as splicing-trap reporters exhibit similar expression patterns (Fig 3). This was unexpected as 1) examples of cell-specific expression of splicing factors are rare in the literature and 2) mbl encodes numerous alternative isoforms that could be individually post-transcriptionally regulated, thus bypassing the need for transcriptional control of the gene. It will be interesting to explore the in vivo expression patterns of other splicing factors to determine whether cell-specific expression of a subset of splicing factors is a common mechanism for regulating alternative splicing in the brain.

Given that Mbl can repress exon 10A and select exon 10B (Fig 4J), it is possible that this single splicing factor and its associated co-factors are sufficient to regulate Dscam 2 cell-specific isoform expression. It could be that Dscam $2.10 A$ is the default exon selected when the Mbl complex is not present. In this way, cells that express Dscam2 would be '10A' positive if they did not express $m b l$ and '10B' positive if they did. The observation that Dscam2 is not expressed in all neurons and our RT-

PCR data, however, argue that Dscam 2 mutually exclusive alternative splicing may be more complicated than this model. In MB $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ neurons, which select exon 10A, ectopic expression of mbl using Act5C-Gal4 can switch on a Dscam2.10B>tdTom reporter, but the change in isoform expression in the whole brain as measured by RTPCR is only $8-24 \%$ (see Fig 4F). One might expect a much more dramatic shift to isoform B if Mbl were the only regulator/mechanism involved. In addition, if Dscam2.10A were expressed by default in the absence of mbl , we would expect all MB neurons to express this isoform, but this is not the case. Further studies, including screens for repressors or activators of exon 10B, will be required to resolve this issue.

The MB midline crossing phenotype that is generated through both the ectopic expression of $m b l$ and $\operatorname{Dscam} 2 A$ single isoform lines supports the idea that this phenotype arises from a disruption in Dscam 2 cell-specific isoform expression. However, since both single isoform lines have identical expression patterns (expressed in all Dscam2-positive cells), one would expect both lines to exhibit the midline crossing phenotype if it is caused by inappropriate homophilic interactions between cells that normally express different isoforms. Although there is a trend towards increased fusion in animals expressing only Dscam2B (Fig 4I), it did not reach statistical significance. This issue may have to do with innate differences between isoform A and isoform B that are not completely understood. It is possible that isoform A and B are not identical in terms of signalling due to either differences in homophilic binding or differences in co-factors associated with specific isoforms. Consistent with this notion, we previously reported that Dscam $2 A$ lines produce stronger phenotypes at photoreceptor synapses compared to Dscam2B. Another perplexing aspect about the MB phenotype is that it occurs in neurons that either do
not express Dscam2 ( $\beta$ lobe neurons) or express it at such low levels that it is not detectable with our reporters. Thus, the phenotype must arise indirectly. This could occur through inappropriate interactions between $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ neurons and another non-MB cell type within this brain region that expresses Dscam2. Alternatively, this phenotype could be independent of Dscam2 homophilic binding and instead reflect differences in isoform complexes that form in different neurons.

How does Mbl repress Dscam2.10A and select Dscam2.10B at the level of premRNA? The vertebrate orthologue of Mbl, MBNL1 binds to YCGY (where Y is a pyrimidine) in pre-mRNAs and untranslated regions using its tandem zinc-finger domains, but it is quite promiscuous (Wang et al., 2012). The best-characterised alternative splicing events regulated by MBNL1 are exon skipping or inclusion events. In general, an exon that contains MBNL1 binding sites upstream or within the coding sequence is subject to skipping, whereas downstream binding sites more often promote inclusion (reviewed in Konieczny et al 2014). The mechanisms used by fly Mbl to regulate splicing have not been characterised in detail, but given that human MBNL1 can rescue fly $m b l$ lethality and promote the endogenous expression of Dscam2 exon 10B in MBs, presumably the mechanisms are conserved. A simple explanation for how Mbl regulates Dscam 2 mutually exclusive splicing would be that it binds upstream of exon 10A to repress exon inclusion and downstream of exon 10B to promote inclusion. Although there are many potential binding sites for Mbl upstream, downstream and within the alternative exons, an obvious correlation between location and repression vs inclusion is not observed. There is also a large (3kb) intron downstream of exon 10B that could contain cis regulatory elements. Identification of the sequences required for regulation by Mbl will therefore require
extensive mapping and ultimately validation using a technique like cross-linking followed by immunoprecipitation (CLIP).

Together, our results demonstrate that selective expression of a splicing factor can drive neuronal cell-type specific alternative splicing. These data provide clues into how the brain can diversify its repertoire of proteins that promote neural connectivity. It is likely that Mbl is regulating the alternative splicing of other developmental genes in concert with Dscam 2 and therefore regulated splicing factors such as Mbl may represent hubs of neurodevelopment.

## Experimental procedures

## Fly strains

Dscam2.10A-LexA and Dscam2.10B-LexA (Tadros et al., 2016), UAS-Dcr2 and UAS$m b l-R N A i^{\text {VDRC28732 }}$ (Dietzl et al., 2007), LexAop-myr-tdTomato (attP2, (Chen et al., 2014), UAS-Srp54-RNAi ${ }^{\text {TRiP.HMS03941 }}, C a d N-R N A i^{\text {TRiP.HMSO2380 }}$ and $U A S-m b l-$ $R N A i^{\text {TRiP.JF03264 }}$ (Ni et al., 2008), UAS-mCD8-GFP (Lee and Luo, 1999), FRT42D (Xu and Rubin, 1993), $m b l^{e l 27}$ and $m b l^{e^{27}}$ (Begemann et al., 1997), $m b l^{M 100976}$ and $m b l^{M 104093}$ (Venken et al., 2011), $D f(2 R) B S C 154$ (Cook et al., 2012), $D f(2 R)$ Exel6066 (Parks et al., 2004), ey-FLP (Chr.1, (Newsome et al., 2000), GMR-myr-GFP, $m b l^{N P 0420}-$ Gal4 and $m b l^{\text {NP1161 }}-$ Gal4 (Hayashi et al., 2002), $m b l^{k 01212}$-LacZ (Spradling et al., 1999), mbl ${ }^{\text {MiMIC00139-Gal4 (H. Bellen Lab), Dac-FLP (Chr.3, (Millard et al., }}$ 2007), UAS $>$ stop $>m y r:: s m G d P-V 5-T H S-U A S>$ stop $>m y r:: s m G d P-c M y c$ (attP5, (Nern et al., 2015), Dscam2.10A-Gal4 and Dscam2.10B-Gal4 (Lah et al., 2014) Act5C-Gal4 (Chr.3, from Yash Hiromi), OK107-Gal4 (Connolly et al., 1996), UAS-
$m b l A, U A S-m b l B$ and $U A S-m b l C$ (D. Yamamoto Lab), $P\{E P\} m b l^{B 2-E 1}, U A S-m b l A-$ $F L A G$ and $U A S-M B N L 135$ (Li et al., 2008).

## RNAi screening

The RNAi screen line was generated as follows: GMR-Gal4 was recombined with GMR-GFP on the second chromosome. Dscam2.10A-LexA (Tadros et al. 2016) was recombined with LexAop-myr-tdTomato on the third chromosome. These flies were crossed together with $U A S$-Dcr-2 (X) to make a stable RNAi screen stock. Virgin females were collected from this RNAi screen stock, crossed to UAS-RNAi males and reared at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Wandering third instar larvae were dissected and fixed. We tested between one and three independent RNAi lines per gene. Brains were imaged without antibodies using confocal microscopy. RNAi lines tested are listed in Table S1.

## Semiquantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol (Ambion) following the manufacturer's protocol. Reverse transcription was performed on each RNA sample with random primer mix using 200 units of M-MULV (NEB) and $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of RNA in a $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ reaction, at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hr . PCR reactions were set up with specific primers to analyse alternative splicing of various regions of Dscam2. Where possible, semi-quantitative PCR was performed to generate multiple isoforms in a single reaction and relative levels were compared by electrophoresis.

## Immunohistochemistry

Immunostaining were conducted as previously described (Lah et al. 2014). Antibody dilutions used were as follows: mouse mAb24B10 (1:20; DSHB), mouse anti-Repo
(1:20; DSHB), mout anti-DAC (1:20; DSHB), mouse anti-Fas2 (1:20; DSHB) rat anti-ELAV (1:200), V5-tag:DyLight anti-mouse 550 (1:500; AbD Serotec), V5tag:DyLight anti-mouse 405 (1:200; AbD Serotec), myc-tag;DyLight anti-mouse 549 (1:200; AbD Serotec), Phalloidin:Alexa Fluor 568 (1:200; Molecular Probes), DyLight anti-mouse 647 (1:2000; Jackson Laboratory), DyLight Cy3 anti-rat (1:2000; Jackson Laboratory).

## Image acquisition

Imaging was performed at the School of Biomedical Sciences Imaging Facility.
Images were taken on a Leica SP8 laser scanning confocal system with a 63X Glycerol NA 1.3.

## Fly genotypes

## R cell RNAi experiments (Figure 1)

w; GMR-GFP, GMR-Gal4/CyO; Dscam2.10B-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato/TM6B
w; GMR-GFP, GMR-Gal4/CyO; Dscam2.10A-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato/TM6B
w, UAS-Dcr-2; GMR-GFP, GMR-Gal4/CyO; Dscam2.10A-LexA, LexAop-myrtdTomato/TM6B
w, UAS-Dcr-2; GMR-GFP, GMR-Gal4/UAS-mCD8-RFP; Dscam2.10A-LexA,
LexAop-myr-tdTomato/+
w, UAS-Dcr-2; GMR-GFP, GMR-Gal4/UAS-mbl-RNAi(v28732); Dscam2.10A-LexA,
LexAop-myr-tdTomato/+
w, UAS-Dcr-2; GMR-GFP, GMR-Gal4/+; Dscam2.10A-LexA, LexAop-myr-
tdTomato/UAS-mbl-RNAi(TRiP.JF03264)
$\underline{\text { mbl whole animal experiments (Figure 1) }}$
w; +; Dscam2.10B-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato/TM6B
w; +; Dscam2.10A-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato/TM6B
w; mble l27/CyO,GFP; Dscam2.10A-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato/TM6B
w; mbl ${ }^{\text {MIOO976 } / C y O, G F P ; ~ D s c a m 2.10 A-L e x A, ~ L e x A o p-m y r-t d T o m a t o / T M 6 B ~}$
w; mbl ${ }^{\text {MI04093 }} /$ CyO,GFP; Dscam 2.10A-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato/TM6B
w; mbl ${ }^{\text {el27 } / m b l ~}{ }^{\text {MIOO976 }}$; Dscam2.10A-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato/+ w; mbl ${ }^{\text {MI04093 } / m b l}{ }^{\text {M100976 }}$; Dscam2.10A-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato/+
mbl ey-FLP mosaic experiments (Figure 1)
w, ey-FLP; FRT42D, GMR-myr-GFP/FRT42D; Dscam2.10B-LexA, LexAop-myrtdTomato, UAS-mCD8-GFP/+
w, ey-FLP; FRT42D, GMR-myr-GFP/FRT42D; Dscam2.10A-LexA, LexAop-myrtdTomato, UAS-mCD8-GFP/+ w, ey-FLP; FRT42D, GMR-myr-GFP/FRT42D, Df(2R)154; Dscam2.10A-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato, UAS-mCD8-GFP/+ w, ey-FLP; FRT42D, GMR-myr-GFP/FRT42D, mbl ${ }^{\text {e27 }}$; Dscam2.10A-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato, UAS-mCD8-GFP/+ w, ey-FLP; FRT42D, GMR-myr-GFP/FRT42D, mbl ${ }^{\text {MIO0976 }}$; Dscam2.10A-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato, UAS-mCD8-GFP/+
mbl ey-FLP MARCM experiments (Figure 3)
w, ey-FLP; FRT42D, Tub-Gal80/FRT42D; Dscam2.10A-LexA, LexAop-myrtdTomato, Act5c-Gal4, UAS-mCD8-GFP/+
w, ey-FLP; FRT42D, Tub-Gal80/FRT42D, mbl ${ }^{22^{27}}$; Dscam2.10A-LexA, LexAop-myrtdTomato, Act5c-Gal4, UAS-mCD8-GFP/+ w, ey-FLP; FRT42D, Tub-Gal80/FRT42D, mblel27; Dscam2.10A-LexA, LexAop-myrtdTomato, Act5c-Gal4, UAS-mCD8-GFP/+
$\underline{\mathrm{mbl}}$ expression experiments (Figure 3)
w; UAS-mCD8-GFP/+; mbl ${ }^{N P 0420}$-Gal4/+
w; UAS-mCD8-GFP/+; mbl ${ }^{\text {M100139 }}$-Gal4/+
w; Dac-FLP/+; elav-Gal4/ UAS>stop $>$ myr: :smGdP-V5-THS-
UAS $>$ stop $>$ myr: :smGdP-cMyc
w; Dac-FLP/+; mbl ${ }^{\text {NP0420 }}$-Gal4/ UAS $>$ stop $>m y r:: s m G d P-V 5-T H S-$
$U A S>$ stop $>$ myr: : smGdP-cMyc
w; Dac-FLP/+; mbl ${ }^{\text {MIIOO139 }}$-Gal4/ UAS $>$ stop $>$ myr: :smGdP-V5-THS-
$U A S>$ stop $>$ myr: $: s m G d P-c M y c$
w; Dac-FLP/+; Dscam2.10A-Gal4/ UAS>stop $>$ myr::smGdP-V5-THS-
$U A S>$ stop $>m y r:: s m G d P-c M y c$
w; Dac-FLP/+; Dscam2.10B-Gal4/ UAS $>$ stop $>$ myr: :smGdP-V5-THS-
$U A S>$ stop $>$ myr: $: s m G d P-c M y c$
$w ;+; m b l^{N P 0420}-G a l 4 / U A S-G F P . n l s$
$w ;+; m b l^{\text {MIOO139 }}$-Gal4/UAS-GFP.nls

## mbl ectopic expression in MBs (Figure 4)

w; +; Dscam2.10A-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato, Act5c-Gal4, UAS-mCD8-GFP/+ w; +; Dscam2.10B-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato, Act5c-Gal4, UAS-mCD8-GFP/+

```
w; P{EP}mbl B2-El/+; Dscam2.10B-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato, Act5c-Gal4, UAS-
mCD8-GFP/+
w; +; Dscam2.10B-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato, Act5c-Gal4, UAS-mCD8-
GFP/UAS-mblA
w; +; Dscam2.10B-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato, Act5c-Gal4, UAS-mCD8-
GFP/UAS-mblB
w; +; Dscam2.10B-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato, Act5c-Gal4, UAS-mCD8-
GFP/UAS-mblC
w; +; Dscam2.10B-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato, Act5c-Gal4,UAS-mCD8-GFP/UAS-
MBNL1 }3
w; +; Dscam2.10B-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato, UAS-mCD8-GFP/UAS-mblA;
OK107-Gal4/+
w; +; Dscam2.10B-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato, UAS-mCD8-GFP/UAS-mblB;
OK107-Gal4/+
w; +; Dscam2.10B-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato, UAS-mCD8-GFP/UAS-mblC;
OK107-Gal4/+
w; +; Dscam2.10B-LexA, LexAop-myr-tdTomato, UAS-mCD8-GFP/UAS-MBNL135;
OK107-Gal4/+
```
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## Figure legends

Figure 1. Drosophila mbl is required for the repression of Dscam2 exon 10A in R cells. (A) Schematic showing the region of Dscam2 exon 10 that undergoes mutually exclusive alternative splicing and the LexA isoform-specific reporter lines. Frameshift mutations in the exon not reported are shown. (B) Schematic RNAi screen design for identifying repressors of Dscam 2 exon 10A selection. R cells normally select exon 10B and repress exon 10A. We knocked-down RNA binding proteins in R cells while monitoring 10A expression.
(C-E) Dscam 2 exon 10A is derepressed in R cells when mbl is knocked-down. ( $\mathrm{C}_{1-}-$ $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ ) Dscam 2.10B control. R cells (green) normally select exon 10B (red). R cell terminals can be observed in the lamina plexus (angle brackets). Dscam2.10B is also
expressed in the developing optic lobe (arrowhead). $\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}-\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ Dscam2.10A is not expressed in R cells (green) but is expressed in the developing optic lobe (arrowhead). ( $\mathrm{E}_{1}-\mathrm{E}_{3}$ ) RNAi lines targeting $m b l$ in R cells results in the aberrant expression of Dscam2.10A in R cells.
(F) Schematic of the $m b l$ gene showing the location of two small deletions (E27 and E127), two MiMIC insertions (MI04093 and MI00976) and two deficiencies (Df(2R)Exel6066 and $D f(2 R) B S C 154)$ used in this study. Non-coding exons are in gray, coding exons are black.
(G) Complementation test of $m b l$ loss-of-function (LOF) alleles. Numbers in the table represent the number of non- CyO offspring over the total. Most transheterygote combinations were lethal with the exception of $m b l^{M I 00976} / \mathrm{mbl}^{e 27}$ and $m b l^{M 100976} / m b l^{M 104093}$ (green).
(H-N) Mbl transheterozygotes express Dscam $2.10 A$ in R cells. (H) Dscam2.10B control showing expression in the lamina plexus (angle brackets). (I) Dscam2.10A control showing no expression of this isoform in R cells. (J-L) Heterozygous animals for $m b l$ LOF alleles are comparable to control. (M-N) Two different $m b l$ transheterozygote combinations exhibit de-repression of Dscam $2.10 A$ in R cells. (O) Quantification of Dscam 2.10>tdTom expression in third instar R cells with various $m b l$ manipulations; including RNAi knockdown (black bars) and whole animal transheterozygotes (white). Y-axis represents the number of optic lobes with R cells positive for tdTom over total quantified as a percentage. On the x -axis, the presence of a transgene is indicated with a blue box and the temperature at which the crosses were reared $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ or $\left.29^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ is indicated.
(P) Dscam 2 exon 10A inclusion is increased in $m b l$ transheterozygotes. (Top)

Semiquantitative RT-PCR from different genotypes indicated. Primers amplified the
variable region that includes exon 10. A smaller product that would result from exon 10 skipping is not observed. (Bottom) Exon 10A-specific cleavage with restriction enzyme ClaI shows an increase in exon 10A inclusion in mbl transheterozygotes. Percentage of exon 10A inclusion was calculated by dividing 10A by 10A+10B bands following restriction digest. See also Figures S1 and S2.

Figure 2. Drosophila Mbl is necessary for the selection of Dscam2 exon 10B in R cells.
(A) Schematic of our predicted $m b l$ MARCM results using ey-FLP. WT R cell clones will be $\operatorname{GFP}(+)$ and $\operatorname{Dscam2.10B>tdTom(+)}$ (yellow), whereas $m b l$ mutant clones will be Dscam2.10B>tdTom(-) (green). ( $\mathrm{B}_{1}-\mathrm{B}_{3}$ ) Control MARCM clones (green) in $3^{\text {rd }}$ instar R cells (angle brackets) are positive for Dscam2.10B>tdTom (arrowhead). ( $\mathrm{C}_{1}-$ $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ ) In $m b l^{227}$ clones, Dscam2.10B labelling in the lamina plexus is discontinuous and its absence correlates with the loss of Mbl (arrowhead). ( $\mathrm{D}_{1}-\mathrm{D}_{2}$ ) Mbl MARCM clones from midpupal optic lobes lack Dscam 2.10B>tdTom. $\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}-\mathrm{E}_{3}\right)$ A different allele $\left(m b l^{e l 27}\right)$ exhibits a similar phenotype in third instar brains.

Figure 3. $M b l$ is expressed in a cell-specific manner that correlates with
Dscam2.10B
(A) A mbl Gal4 reporter (green) is expressed in third instar R cells but not in lamina neuron precursor cells labelled with an antibody against Dacshund (DAC, red). (B) Schematic of MultiColor FlpOut (MCFO) approach to characterize mbl reporter expression in lamina neurons at adult stages. The UAS FlpOut construct produces an epitope-tagged version of a non-fluorescent GFP (smGFP,(Nern et al., 2015))
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Mbl}$ can be detected in all lamina neurons using a MCFO strategy with a panneuronal reporter (elav-Gal4). Lamina neurons were identified based on their unique axon morphologies. $\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}-\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ An intersectional strategy using mbl-Gal4 labels primarily L1 lamina neurons. (E) Quantification of lamina neurons and R7-R8 neurons observed using the intersectional strategy. Dark blue and light blue boxes represent high and low numbers of labelled neurons, respectively. (F-H) Mbl is not expressed in mushroom body (MB) neurons that express Dscam2.10A at 24hr apf. ( $\mathrm{F}_{1}-\mathrm{F}_{2}$ ) Dscam2.10A is expressed in $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime} \mathrm{MB}$ neurons that are not labelled by Fas2. Fas 2 labels the $\alpha \beta$ and $\gamma$ subsets of MB neurons. (G-H) Neither Dscam2.10B $\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}-\mathrm{G}_{2}\right)$ nor $m b l\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ are detected in MB neurons. See also Figures S 3 and S 4 .

Figure 4. All fly $m b l$ isoforms can select Dscam 2 exon 10B and promote a midline crossing phenotype in MBs.
(A) Schematic showing that $m b l$ is sufficient to drive Dscam2.10B selection in $\alpha^{\prime} \beta$ 'neurons.
(B) Control showing that Dscam2.10A (red) is expressed in $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ neurons at 24 hr apf.
(C) Dscam2.10B is normally repressed in $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ neurons. (D) Overexpression of mbl activates Dscam2.10B selection (red) in $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ neurons. (E) Quantification of Dscam 2.10 expression in $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ neurons at $24-36 \mathrm{hr}$ apf with and without mbl OE. Ubiquitous driver (Act5c-Gal4, black bars) and pan-mushroom body neuron driver (OK107-Gal4, white bars). Y-axis represents the number of tdTom positive (+) $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ over the total, expressed as a percentage. Ratio of $\operatorname{tdTom}(+) /$ total is shown in each bar. (F) Mbl OE increases Dscam2 exon 10B inclusion. Semiquantitative RT-PCR as in Figure 1. Exon 10A-specific cleavage with restriction enzyme ClaI shows an increase in exon 10B inclusion in mbl OE animals, without exon 10 skipping.
(G) A representative confocal image of control adult $\alpha \beta$ lobes (red) with clear separation between the two $\beta$-lobes at the midline. (H) A representative confocal image of adult $\alpha \beta$ lobes from an animal overexpressing mblA. $\beta$-lobe axons inappropriately cross the midline (arrowhead). (I) Quantification of $\beta$-lobe axon midline crossing defects. Numbers in parentheses represent total number of mushroom bodies quantified. Fishers exact test was used to compare genotypes to their corresponding controls (white bars). n.s (not significant) $P>0.05, * P<0.05$ and **** $P<0.0001$. (J) Model illustrating that Mbl represses Dscam2.10A and selects Dscam2.10B.
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Figure S1. Related to Figure 1. Mbl LOF results in aberrant Dscam2.10A reporter expression in eye mosaic clones.
(A-F) Eye mosaics of $m b l$ LOF alleles cause derepression of Dscam2.10A>tdTom in R cells. $W T$ mosaic clones (GFP-negative) express $\operatorname{Dscam} 2.10 B>t d T o m\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}-\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$ but not Dscam2.10A>tdTom ( $\mathrm{B}_{1}-\mathrm{B}_{4}$ ). Mbl mutant (GFP-negative) clones, $\operatorname{Df}(2 R)$ BSC154 show aberrant Dscam 2.10A expression in R cells $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$. (D) mbl ${ }^{\mathrm{e} 27}$ eye clones exhibit derepression of Dscam2.10A (red). (E) Clones of a mbl allele that deleted only a portion of all mbl isoforms ( $\mathrm{mbl}^{\text {MIO0976 }}$ ) do not exhibit derepression of Dscam2.10A. (F) Quantification of Dscam2.10>tdTom expression in third instar R cells with $m b l$ LOF eye mosaic clones. Y-axis represents the number of optic lobes with R cells positive for tdTom over total number of optic lobes quantified as a percentage. On the $x$-axis, the presence of a transgene is indicated with a blue box.

Figure S2. Related to Figure 1. Mbl LOF does not affect other Dscam 2 splicing events.
(A) Mbl LOF does not affect other Dscam2 splicing events. Semiquantitative RTPCR from different genotypes indicated. Primers amplified the variable region that includes exon 19S/19L or three alternative last exons (ALE). Percentage of 19L inclusion was calculated by dividing the 19L band by 19L+19S. Percentage of ALE 21 A and ALE 21BL inclusion was calculated by dividing respectively the 21 A and 21BL band by $21 \mathrm{~A}+21 \mathrm{BL}+21 \mathrm{BS}$ (total). (B) Graphs of RT-PCR data from L. Plots show minimum (bottom line), mean (middle line) and maximum (top line) points, where individual points depict biological replicates. Dashed line represents mean of control.

Figure S3. Related to Figure 3. Mbl is expressed in R cells, neurons and glia
(A) Schematic showing the insertion locations of different $m b l$ reporters. Translated regions (black) and non-translated regions (grey) are shown.
(B) Mbl is expressed in R cells (red) in third instar eye-discs (ed). The $m b l$ splicing trap reporter (green) overlapped with a marker of R cells (24B10).
(C-G) $m b l^{\text {M100139 }}>G F P$.nls is expressed in neurons and muscles. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$
Representative confocal image of a $\mathrm{mbl}^{M 100139}>G F P$.nls (green) adult brain colabelled with an ELAV antibody (red). Dashed lines demarcate GFP( + ) cells. Yellow solid arrowheads show GFP(+) cells that are ELAV(-). (D) Quantification of $m b l$ in third instar and adult brains where $\sim 90-100 \%$ of GFP $(+)$ cells are also ELAV $(+)$ (black bars). Y-axis represents the number of GFP $(+$ ) cells positive for ELAV quantified as a percentage. ( $\left.E_{1}-E_{2}\right)$ Representative confocal image of a $m b l^{M I 00139}>G F P$.nls adult brain labelled with a Repo antibody (red). Dashed lines demarcate GFP(+) cells. White solid arrowheads show GFP(+) cells that are positive for Repo. (F) Quantification of $m b l^{M 100139}>G F P . n l s$ where $\sim 0-10 \%$ of $m b l^{\text {MIOO139 }}>G F P$.nls $(+)$ cells are also Repo $(+)$. Y -axis represents the number of $\mathrm{GFP}(+)$ cells positive for Repo quantified as a percentage. $\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}-\mathrm{G}_{2}\right)$ $m b l^{\text {MIOO139 }}>G F P$.nls expression is also found in third instar muscles $\mathrm{m} 4-\mathrm{m} 8, \mathrm{~m} 12$ and m13 (Phalloidin, red).
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ Representative confocal image of a $m b l^{N P 0420}>G F P$.nls (green) adult brain co-labelled with an ELAV antibody (red). Dashed lines demarcate GFP(+) cells. (I) Quantification of $m b l^{N P 0420}>$ GFP.nls in third instar and adult brains where $\sim 80-90 \%$ of GFP $(+)$ cells are also ELAV $(+)$. (J-K) In third instar and adult brains, $m b l^{\text {NP0420 }}>G F P$.nls overlaps minimally with Repo (red). $\left(\mathrm{J}_{1}-\mathrm{J}_{2}\right)$ Representative confocal image of a $m b l^{N P 0420}>G F P$.nls adult brain labelled with Repo. Dashed lines
demarcate GFP(+) cells. White solid arrowheads show GFP(+) cells that are positive for Repo. (K) Quantification of $m b l^{N P 0420}>G F P$.nls in third instar and adult brains where $\sim 10-15 \%$ of GFP $(+)$ cells are also Repo $(+)$. $\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}-\mathrm{L}_{2}\right) m b l^{N P 0420}>G F P . n l s$ expression is not detected in third instar muscles $\mathrm{m} 4-\mathrm{m} 8, \mathrm{~m} 12$ and m 13 (Phalloidin, red).

Figure S4. Related to Figure 3. Mbl expression is cell-type-specific and correlates with Dscam 2.10B.
(A) Quantification of lamina neurons and R7-R8 neurons observed using the intersectional strategy during development. Two different $m b l$ reporters were used. The transcriptional reporter labelled L4 cells early in development whereas the splicing trap reporter did not. This is most likely due to the lower efficiency of the splicing trap given that it produced 5X fewer L1 clones at 72 hr compared to the transcriptional reporter. Blue boxes represent detection of reporter expression at different hours after pupal formation (apf). (B) A plot of the percentage of L4 lamina neurons over total lamina neurons during development (data from the mbl transcriptional reporter).
(C-E) $M b l$ is not detected in MB neurons that express Dscam2.10A in adults. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$ Dscam2.10A is expressed in $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ mushroom body neurons (asterisks) but not the $\alpha \beta$ and $\gamma$ subsets of MB neurons labelled by Fas2 (red). Neither Dscam2.10B ( $\left.\mathrm{D}_{1}-\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ nor $m b l\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}-\mathrm{E}_{2}\right)$ are expressed in MB neurons. Neurons in the midline express both Dscam2.10B and $m b l$ (white arrowhead).
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| A | Genotype |  | L1 | L2 | L3 | L4 | L5 | R7 | R8 | Total | no. of OL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{mb} \mathrm{NPO}^{\text {P0220 }-G a l 4}$ | 72 apf | 75 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 38 | 133 | 8 |
|  |  | 60 apf | 22 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 29 | 74 | 8 |
|  |  | 48 apf | 7 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 20 | 2 |
|  | mb/ ${ }^{\text {M100039 }}$-Gal4 | 72 apf | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 36 | 8 |
|  |  | 48 apf | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 24 | 40 | 8 |

$\square$ Clones detected
$\square$ No clones detected
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Table S1. List of tested RNAi that did not de-repress Dscam2 exon 10A in R cells

| Flybase Number | CG Number | Gene Name | RNAild | no. of olled | no. of animals | Flybase Number | CG Number | Gene Name | RNaild | no. of olled | no. of animals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FBgn0052062 | CG32062 | A2bp1 | 27286 | 12 | 6 | FBgn0024698 | cG10110 | Cpsf160 | v18009 | 11 | 6 |
| FBgn026239 | CG6671 | AgO1 | 33727 | 3 | 2 | FBgn0024698 | cG10110 | Cpsf160 | v110571 | 9 | 6 |
| FBgno000114 | cG31762 | aret | 44483 | 18 | 9 | FBgn0261065 | CG7698 | Cpst73 | v39558 | 9 | 5 |
| FBgn0004587 | CG10851 | B52 | v38862 | 16 | 8 | FBgno000377 | CG3193 | crn | v25919 | lethal |  |
| FBgn0004587 | cG10851 | B52 | v38860 | 4 | 2 | FBgnoo39867 | CG2261 | Cstr-50 | v43716 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgn0037660 | CG18005 | beag | v103832 | 8 | 4 | FBgnoo39867 | CG2261 | Cstr-50 | ${ }^{109583}$ | 8 | 4 |
| FBgn0015907 | cG13425 | b | v2912 | 10 | 6 | FBgno027841 | CG7697 | Cstr-64 | v21045/Cyotb | 10 | 6 |
| FBgn0015907 | cG13425 | b | v105271 | 9 | 5 | FBgn0010220 | cG12759 | Dbp45A | v17306 | 6 | 3 |
| FBgn0262475 | C66319 | bru-2 | 50631 | 13 | 7 | FBgn0010221 | CG12760 | Dbp45A | v104183 | 13 | 7 |
| FBgn0264001 | cG43744 | Bru-3 | 50734 | 8 | 4 | FBgno033160 | cG11107 | Dhx15 | v44119/Cyotb | 10 | 6 |
| FBgn0031883 | CG11266 | Caper | 55742 | 10 | 6 | FBgn0031601 | CG3058 | Dim1 | v21258 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgn0031883 | cG11266 | Caper | 55742 | 8 | 4 | FBgno259220 | CG42320 | Doa | v19066 | 9 | 5 |
| FBgn0022942 | cG7035 | Cbp80 | v22331 | 12 | 8 | FBgnoozo306 | CG9696 | dom | v7787 | 2 | 1 |
| FBgn0035136 | CG6905 | Cdc5 | v13492 | 2 | 1 | FBgno000562 | CG4051 | egl | 28969 | 8 | 4 |
| FBgn0035136 | CG6905 | Cdc5 | v109369 | 10 | 5 | FBgno001942 | CG9075 | elf-4a | v42202 | lethal |  |
| FBgn0032690 | CG10333 | CG10333 | v18132 | 12 | 8 | FBgno034237 | CG4878 | elF3-59 | 32880 | lethal |  |
| FBgn0032690 | cG10333 | CG10333 | v18133 | 4 | 2 | FBgno260400 | CG4262 | elav | 28371 | 2 | 1 |
| FBgn0036277 | CG10418 | CG10418 | v105940 | 11 | 6 | FBgno033859 | CG6197 | fand | v104186 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgno037531 | CG10445 | CG10445 | v104753 | 14 | 7 | FBgnoos6850 | cG10419 | Gem2 | v47372 | 13 | 8 |
| FBgno036314 | CG10754 | CG10754 | v31346 | 11 | 8 | FBgnoos6850 | CG10419 | Gem2 | v47374 | 10 | 7 |
| FBgn0039920 | CG11360 | CG11360 | v38491 | 15 | 8 | FBgn0259139 | CG6946 | glo | 33668 | 9 | 6 |
| FBgnoo39920 | CG11360 | CG11360 | v38492 | 11 | 6 | FBgn0259139 | CG6946 | glo | v27752 | 12 | 6 |
| FBgn0036692 | CG13298 | CG13298 | 55257 | 8 | 4 | FBgno001179 | CG8019 | hay | v41023 | 12 | 8 |
| FBgn0035162 | CG13900 | CG13900 | v18955 | 9 | 6 | FBgn0014189 | CG7269 | Hel25E | v22557 | 9 | 5 |
| FBgno035163 | cG13900 | CG13900 | v108248 | 16 | 8 | FBgnool1224 | cG31000 | neph | v33735 | 10 | 6 |
| FBgn0037220 | CG14641 | CG14641 | v110507/cyotb | 11 | 6 | FBgno011224 | cG31000 | neph | v110749 | 18 | 10 |
| FBgno038464 | CG16941 | CG16941 | v20338 | 1 | 1 | FBgn0264491 | CG10293 | how | v13756 | 13 | 7 |
| FBgn0033089 | CG17266 | CG17266 | v25243 | 10 | 5 | FBgn0264491 | cG10293 | how | v100775 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgn0033089 | CG17266 | CG17266 | v25244 | 2 | 1 | FBgno004838 | CG10377 | Hrb27c, Hrp48 | v16040 | 12 | 7 |
| FBgno029751 | cG17764 | CG17764 | v20541 | 12 | 7 | FBgno004838 | cG10377 | Hrb27c, Hrp48 | 31685 | 6 | 3 |
| FBgn0029751 | cG17764 | CG17764 | v101894 | 10 | 5 | FBgno004838 | cG10377 | Hrb27c, Hrp48 | 33716 | 8 | 4 |
| FBgno035271 | CG2021 | CG2021 | 28579 | 8 | 5 | FBgno004237 | CG12749 | Hrb87F, hrp36 | $\checkmark 51759$ | 9 | 6 |
| FBgn0031266 | C62807 | CG2807 | v25162 | 8 | 5 | FBgno004237 | CG12749 | Hrb87F, hrp36 | 52937 | 11 | 6 |
| FBgn0037344 | CG2926 | CG2926 | v33589 | 11 | 5 | FBgno004237 | CG12749 | Hrb77e, hrp36 | 31244 | 14 | 8 |
| FBgn050122 | cG30122 | CG30122 | 55209 | 6 | 3 | FBgno001215 | cG9983 | Hrb98DE, hrp38 | 31303 | 10 | 7 |
| FBgn0031631 | cG3225 | CG3225 | v24725 | 9 | 5 | FBgno001215 | cG9983 | Hrb98DE, hrp38 | 32351 | 13 | 8 |
| FBgn0052533 | cG32533 | CG32533 | v38634 | 1 | 1 | FBgn0015949 | CG9854 | hrg | v4283 | 12 | 6 |
| FBgn0052533 | cG32533 | cG32533 | v51785 | 11 | 6 | FBgno002431 | cG9484 | hyd | v44675 | 12 | 6 |
| FBgn0031628 | CG3294 | CG3294 | v26111/TM6B | 12 | 6 | FBgno039691 | CG1972 | Ints11 | v33450 | 7 | 5 |
| FBgn0031628 | c63294 | CG3294 | v26111/тм6в | 12 | 6 | FBgnoos9691 | CG1972 | Ints 11 | v109408 | 8 | 5 |
| FBgn0053108 | cG33108 | CG33108 | v24996 | 9 | 5 | FBgno036570 | CG5222 | Ints9 | v110367 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgn0031229 | cG3436 | CG3436 | 55207/CyOtb | 4 | 2 | FBgno026713 | cG32604 | 1(1)60007 | v31908 | 15 | 8 |
| FBgn0031492 | cG3542 | CG3542 | v26227 | 10 | 5 | FBgnoor6714 | cG32605 | 1(1)60008 | v31909 | 4 | 2 |
| FBgn0031492 | CG3542 | CG3542 | v26229 | 4 | 2 | FBgno086444 | CG10689 | 1 (2)37Cb | v31324 | 9 | 6 |
| FBgn0031493 | cG3605 | CG3605 | v26250 | 12 | 7 | FBgn0263599 | CG5931 | ${ }^{1(3) 72 A b}$ | v43962 | 5 | 3 |
| FBgn0031493 | CG3605 | CG3605 | v26252 | 8 | 5 | FBgn0263600 | CG5932 | ${ }^{1(3) 72 A b}$ | v110666 | 6 | 3 |
| FBgno035987 | CG3689 | CG3689 | v45278 | 10 | 5 | FBgn0035838 | cG7942 | Idbr | v110582 | 8 | 5 |
| FBgn0028474 | C64119 | cG4119 | v26395 | 9 | 5 | FBgno035838 | CG7942 | 1 ldbr | 55661 | 8 | 6 |
| FBgn0028474 | CG4119 | CG4119 | v106696/CyOtb | 10 | 6 | FBgno034834 | CG3162 | Ls2 | v21379 | 11 | 7 |
| FBgn0034598 | CG4266 | CG4266 | v26472 | 14 | 7 | FBgno034834 | CG3162 | LS2 | v21380 | 14 | 7 |
| FBgn0034598 | cG4266 | CG4266 | v26475 | 4 | 2 | FBgn0261067 | CG4279 | LSm1 | v28793 | 11 | 6 |
| FBgn0031287 | CG4291 | CG4291 | v218197m6B | 11 | 6 | FBgno261067 | CG4279 | LSm1 | v50653 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgno035016 | CG4612 | cG4612 | v52497 | 9 | 5 | FBgnoo33450 | cG12924 | Lsm11 | v108336 | 12 | 6 |
| FBgno039566 | C64849 | CG4849 | v21962 | 9 | 5 | FBgno051184 | cG31184 | LSm3 | 56892 | 4 | 2 |
| FBgno032194 | CG4901 | CG4901 | v34904 | 11 | 6 | FBgn0261068 | CG13277 | Lsm7 | v23862 | 10 | 6 |
| FBgno038344 | CG5205 | C65205 | v107282 | 9 | 5 | FBgn0011666 | CG5099 | msi | 55152 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgno039182 | CG5728 | CG5728 | v24697 | 14 | 7 | FBgno262737 | CG7437 | mub | v28024 | 16 | 9 |
| FBgno038927 | CG6015 | CG6015 | 34565 | lethal |  | FBgn0014366 | cG2925 | noi | v20943 | 9 | 5 |
| FBgno030631 | CG6227 | C66227 | v40351 | 11 | 8 | FBgn0015520 | CG10328 | nonA-1 | v101567 | 7 | 4 |
| FBgn0030632 | CG6227 | CG6227 | v40352 | 12 | 6 | FBgn0015520 | cG10328 | nonA-1 | 52934 | 3 | 2 |
| FBgn0004903 | CG6354 | CG6354 | 31333 | 12 | 9 | FBgn0261619 | CG5119 | pabp | v22007 | 9 | 5 |
| FBgn0004903 | cG6354 | CG6354 | 55662 | 8 | 4 | FBgno005648 | C62163 | Pabp2 | v106466 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgn0035675 | CG6610 | CG6610 | v106830 | 10 | 6 | FBgno086895 | CG8241 | pea | v47782 | 9 | 5 |
| FBgn0035675 | CG6610 | CG6610 | 31870 | 10 | 6 | FBgno027784 | CG6011 | Prp18 | v13760 | 10 | 6 |
| FBgn0036828 | CG6841 | CG6841 | v34253/CyOtb | 10 | 5 | FBgn0027784 | CG6011 | Prp18 | $\checkmark 100287$ | 2 | 1 |
| FBgn0030085 | cG6999 | c66999 | v110143 | 11 | 7 | FBgno261119 | C65519 | Prp19 | v108575 | 11 | 6 |
| FBgn0030085 | CG6999 | C66999 | 55157 | 12 | 6 | FBgno261119 | CG5519 | Prp19 | v41438 | 3 | 2 |
| FBgno035872 | cG7185 | CG7185 | v107147 | 5 | 3 | FBgnoo36915 | C67757 | Prp3 | v25548 | 9 | 6 |
| FBgn0035872 | cG7185 | CG7185 | 34804 | 14 | 7 | FBgno036487 | CG6876 | Prp31 | v35131 | 3 | 2 |
| FBgno036734 | cG7564 | CG7564 | v100562 | 10 | 5 | FBgno036487 | CG6876 | Prp31 | v103721 | 6 | 3 |
| FBgno035235 | CG7879 | CG7879 | 56930 | 10 | 5 | FBgno033688 | CG8877 | Prp8 | v18565 | 12 | 7 |
| FBgn0038887 | CG7907 | CG7907 | 55370 | 6 | 3 | FBgn0261552 | cG42670 | Ps | v44710 | 18 | 9 |
| FBgno035253 | cG7971 | CG7971 | v101384 | 10 | 7 | FBgn0261552 | cG42670 | Ps | V24214 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgn0027567 | C68108 | CG8108 | v35344 | 12 | 7 | FBgn0014870 | CG8912 | Psi | V28989 | 16 | 8 |
| FBgn0030697 | CG8565 | CG8565 | v100449 | 10 | 7 | FBgn0014870 | CG8912 | Psi | V28990 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgno030697 | CG8565 | CG8565 | 55368 | 11 | 6 | FBgn0014870 | C68912 | Psi | v105135 | 10 | 7 |
| FBgn0032883 | cG9323 | cG9323 | v44984 | 12 | 8 | FBgn0028577 | CG12085 | put68 | v109796 | 8 | 4 |
| FBgn0032883 | cG9323 | cG9323 | v110410 | 8 | 4 | FBgno003165 | CG9755 | pum | 36676 | 12 | 7 |
| FBgn0015621 | CG3642 | Clp | v26259 | 12 | 7 | FBgn0022987 | CG4816 | qk54B | 34896 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgn0015621 | CG3642 | Clp | v26261 | 13 | 7 | FBgn0022986 | CG3613 | qkr58E-1 | 55159 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgn0263995 | CG43738 | cpo | 28360 | 8 | 4 | FBgn0022985 | CG5821 | qkr58E-2 | 55279/Cyotb | 6 | 3 |
| FBgn0027873 | CG1957 | Cpsf100 | 50893/TM6B | 8 | 5 | FBgn0022984 | CG3584 | qkr58E-3 | 55922 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgn0027873 | CG1957 | Cpsf100 | 50893/TM6B | 8 | 5 | FBgn0260944 | CG17136 | Rbp1 | v21083/m68 | 12 | 6 |


| Flybase Number | CG Number | Gene Name | RNAild | no. of olled | no. of animals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FBgn0260944 | CG17136 | Rbp1 | v110008 | 11 | 6 |
| FBgn0030479 | CG1987 | Rbp1-1ike | v105883 | 10 | 6 |
| FBgn0030479 | CG1987 | Rbp 1 -ike | 44100 | 4 | 2 |
| FBgn0260943 | CG32169 | rbp6 | 61324/CyOtb | 8 | 4 |
| FBgn0015778 | cG9412 | rin | 33392/тм6в | 12 | 7 |
| FBgn0003261 | CG10279 | Rm62 | v46908/TM6B | 12 | 6 |
| FBgn0037707 | CG16788 | RnpS1 | 56910 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgn0037707 | CG16788 | RnpS1 | 36580 | 6 | 3 |
| FBgn0005649 | CG5422 | Rox8 | v100563 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgn0005649 | cG5422 | Rox8 | v41439 | 12 | 6 |
| FBgn0011305 | CG5655 | Rsf1 | v22186/TM3 | 15 | 10 |
| FBgn0267790 | cG9373 | rump | 42665/Cyotb | 6 | 3 |
| FBgn0039229 | CG6995 | Saf-B | 51759 |  | 5 |
| FBgn0265298 | CG5442 | sc35 | v40590 | 5 | 3 |
| FBgn0265298 | CG5442 | SC35 | v104978 | 6 | 3 |
| FBgn0025571 | CG5836 | SF1 | v13426 | 3 | 2 |
| FBgn0040284 | CG6987 | SF2 | v27775/тм3 | 13 | 7 |
| FBgn0040284 | CG6987 | SF2 | v27776/TM6B | 6 | 4 |
| FBgn0052423 | CG32423 | shep | 43545 | 4 | 3 |
| FBgn0002354 | CG1420 | Slu7 | v103587 | 5 | 3 |
| FBgn0262601 | CG5352 | SmB | v40587 | 3 | 2 |
| FBgn0262601 | CG5352 | SmB | v110713 | 12 | 6 |
| FBgn0261933 | CG10753 | SmD1 | v31343/TM6B | 8 | 4 |
| FBgn0261933 | CG10753 | SmD1 | v31342 | 7 | 4 |
| FBgn0261789 | CG1249 | SmD2 | v31947 | 4 | 2 |
| FBgn0261789 | CG1249 | SmD2 | v31946 | 8 | 4 |
| FBgn0261789 | CG1249 | SmD2 | v100690 | 4 | 2 |
| FBgn0023167 | C68427 | SmD3 | v35933 | 8 | 5 |
| FBgn0261790 | CG18591 | SmE | v23569 | 4 | 2 |
| FBgn0261790 | CG18591 | SmE | v23570/тм6B | 10 | 5 |
| FBgn0000426 | CG16792 | SmF | v107644/CyOtb | lethal |  |
| FBgn0000426 | CG16792 | SmF | 26734 | 12 | 6 |
| FBgn0036641 | CG16725 | Smn | v100392 | 7 | 4 |
| FBgn0003449 | CG4528 | snf | 51459 | 16 | 8 |
| FBgn0003449 | CG4528 | snf | 55914 | 9 | 5 |
| FBgn0016978 | CG8749 | SnRNP-U1-70K | V23150 | 11 | 8 |
| FBgn0016978 | CG8749 | snRNP-U1-70K | v23151 | 10 | 6 |
| FBgn0261792 | cG5454 | SnRNP-U1-C | v22132 | 11 | 6 |
| FBgn0261792 | CG5454 | snRNP-U1-C | v22133 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgn0261791 | cG9742 | SNRPG | v39256 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgn0015818 | CG3780 | Spx | v40471 | 9 | 5 |
| FBgn0015818 | cG3780 | Spx | v40472 | 9 | 5 |
| FBgn0263396 | CG16901 | sqd, hrp40 | v32395 | 12 | 6 |
| FBgn0263396 | CG16901 | sqd, hrp40 | 31302 | 20 | 10 |
| FBgn0036340 | CG11274 | SRm160 | v6439 | 9 | 5 |
| FBgn0036340 | CG11274 | SRm160 | v100751 | 8 | 4 |
| FBgn0015298 | CG4457 | Srp19 | 51160 | lethal |  |
| FBgn0024285 | CG4602 | Srp54 | v51088 | 8 | 6 |
| FBgn0024285 | CG4602 | Srp54 | 55254 | 9 | 5 |
| FBgn0026370 | CG8174 | SRPK | v103416 | 9 | 6 |
| FBgn0025702 | CG11489 | stpk79D | v47544 | 8 | 5 |
| FBgn0025702 | CG11489 | sppk790 | v47545 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgn0003520 | C65753 | stau | 31247 | 9 | 5 |
| FBgn0003559 | CG17170 | su(f) | v110125 | 6 | 3 |
| FBgn0003638 | CG3019 | su(wa) | V25597 | 12 | 9 |
| FBgn0003638 | CG3019 | su(wa) | v104716 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgn0264270 | CG43770 | Sx1 | 34393 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgn0037371 | CG2097 | Sym | v33470 | 9 | 5 |
| FBgn0038826 | CG17838 | syp | 56972 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgn0038826 | CG17838 | syp | v33012 | 15 | 9 |
| FBgn0025790 | CG10327 | TBPH | $\checkmark 38377$ | 7 | 4 |
| FBgn0025790 | CG10327 | TBPH | v38379 | 10 | 5 |
| FBgn0003741 | CG16724 | tra | v2560 | 12 | 6 |
| FBgn0003742 | CG10128 | tra2 | v8868 | 9 | 5 |
| FBgn0039117 | CG10210 | tst | v38356 | 8 | 4 |
| FBgn0039117 | CG10210 | tst | v108216 | 12 | 6 |
| FBgn0033378 | CG8781 | tsu | 55367 | 11 | 6 |
| FBgn0033378 | CG8781 | tsu | 28955 | 9 | 5 |
| FBgn0033210 | CG1406 | U2A | v17358/тм6B | 9 | 5 |
| FBgn0033210 | CG1406 | U2A | v109815 | 11 | 6 |
| FBgn0017457 | CG3582 | U2af38 | v110075 | 9 | 5 |
| FBgn0017457 | CG3582 | U2af38 | 29304 | 13 | 7 |
| FBgn0005411 | cG9998 | U2at50 | V24176 | 11 | 6 |
| FBgn0005411 | CG9998 | U2at50 | v24177 | 10 | 6 |
| FBgn0036733 | cG6322 | U4-U6-60K | v34242 | 8 | 6 |
| FBgn0036733 | CG6322 | U4-U6-60K | v110393 | 10 | 6 |
| FBgn0030354 | CG1559 | Upi1 | 43144 | 12 | 7 |
| FBgn0028554 | CG10203 | $\times 16$ | v31203 | 14 | 8 |
| FBgn0028555 | CG10204 | $\times 16$ | v100226 | 11 | 7 |
| FBgn0021895 | CG18426 | ytr | 55704 | 4 | 2 |

