powerTCR: a model-based approach to comparative analysis of the clone size distribution of the T cell receptor repertoire

Hillary Koch[1], Dmytro Starenki[2], Sara J. Cooper[2], Richard M. Myers[2], Qunhua Li[1,*],

1 Department of Statistics, Pennsylvania State University
2 HudsonAlpha Institute for Biotechnology

* qunhua.li@psu.edu

Abstract

Sequencing of the T cell receptor repertoire is a powerful tool for deeper study of immune response, but the unique structure of this type of data makes its meaningful quantification challenging. We introduce a new method, the Gamma-GPD spliced threshold model, to address this difficulty. This biologically interpretable model captures the distribution of the TCR repertoire, demonstrates stability across varying sequencing depths, and permits comparative analysis across any number of sampled individuals. We apply our method to several datasets and obtain interesting biological insights. We have implemented our method in the open-source R package powerTCR.

Background

Recent advances in high-throughput sequencing of the T cell receptor (TCR) repertoire provide a new, detailed characterization of the immune system. T cells, each displaying a unique TCR, are capable of responding to presented antigens and initiating an adaptive immune response. An immune response is described by rapid proliferation of T cell clonotypes whose TCRs are specific to the antigen. In humans, it is estimated that the body is capable of producing more than 10^{18} different TCRs [3, 21], where high diversity of the TCR repertoire implies a greater range of pathogens that can be fought off. A variety of studies have been published demonstrating the value in characterizing this immune response for purposes such as describing tumor cell origin [13] and predicting response to cancer therapy and infection [22]. The applications of TCR sequencing are many, but this type of data presents new needs for analysis techniques not met by existing tools for other kinds of genomic experiments.

Several groups have identified that the distribution of larger clone sizes in a sample can be approximated by a power law [4, 7, 20, 33], which means that the number of clones of a given size decays approximately as a power of the clone size. This heavy-tailed distribution comes as a consequence of extensively proliferated clones actively participating in an ongoing immune response. More recent work has aimed to quantify statistically the diversity of the TCR repertoire, initially through the use of various estimators borrowed from ecology, such as species richness, Shannon entropy [9], and clonality. These estimators are known to be highly sensitive to sample size and missing observations. Given that the TCR repertoire is mostly populated by rare clonotypes, many of the clonotypes in the system are absent from any one sample. This presents a challenge to many of the ecological estimators. Model-based approaches to approximating the clone size distribution have also been proposed, with the goal of providing added stability and consequently more statistical power. Some examples are the Poisson-lognormal model [26], Poisson mixture models [8, 11], and a heuristic

ensemble method [15]; however, these models lack a biologically meaningful   28
interpretation, and further do not sufficiently account for the power law-like nature of   29
the data. That is, power law distributions are heavier-tailed than the Poisson or even   30
the lognormal distribution, leading to systematic bias in the model fit.   31

Figure 1. (*A*) A sample repertoire (gray) of a Sarcoidosis patient and the fitted curves
based on the Desponds method (pink) and our method (blue). The Desponds method
only fits the data above the threshold it estimates (approximately 15% of the data). Our
method fits all of the data. The cross marks the threshold estimated by our method.
(*B*) The QQ-plot of the theoretical fit using our model against the empirical data for
the same dataset in (*A*) shows that our model can achieve a good fit.

Previous research has also identified the imperfectness of the power law behavior for   32
the clone size distribution below some clone size threshold [7, 20]. To handle the   33
imperfectness, [7, 20] proposed to model large clones above the threshold using a type-I   34
Pareto distribution, which is a member of the power-law distribution family, and   35
omitted the clones with frequency below that threshold. The threshold is either   36
user-specified or determined from the data based on a goodness-of-fit measure. Indeed,   37
this model has certain biological basis. Through a stochastic differential equations setup   38
that models the birth, death, selection, and antigen-recognition of cells active in the   39
immune system, Desponds et al. [7] showed that the upper tail of the clone size   40
distribution at equilibrium approximately follows a type-I Pareto distribution (Figure   41
1*A*). Unlike the Poisson and lognormal models, parameters in this model are related to   42
relevant actors in the immune response, and can reveal certain biological insights on   43
immune response, such as average T cell lifetime [7]. Yet, the resulting model excludes   44
all clones below a certain frequency threshold.   45

However, even small clones may provide information; for example, Desponds et al.   46
[7] indicated that the generation of new T cells affects the landscape of smaller clone   47
sizes. Other studies have shown that low-frequency clones may support a diverse   48
immune system and present a potential to mobilize against antigens, as in some cases   49
having a clone size distribution highly dominated by a few clones has been correlated   50
with unfavorable clinical outcome [12, 31]. With this in mind, we sought a means to   51
exhaust all available data and consider modeling the complete clone size distribution.   52

To address this question, we propose a novel statistical tool, called powerTCR, to   53
characterize the full distribution of the TCR repertoire. Our method models large clones   54
that are above the threshold, where the power law begins, using the generalized Pareto   55
distribution (GPD), which contains the type-I Pareto distribution as a special case, but   56
provides a more flexible fit. It also models the small clones below the threshold using a   57
truncated Gamma distribution. It determines the threshold in a data-driven manner   58
simultaneously with the characterization of clone size distribution. Our final model   59
contains parameters that are analogous to those found in the type-I Pareto model of   60

Desponds et al. [7], relating our model to the biological interpretation of the dynamics of the immune system. Altogether, this allows our model to more accurately describe the shape of the clone size distribution for both large and small clones. Such a model is well suited for providing a global view of the state of the immune repertoire. It can also be employed to perform comparative analysis of healthy and compromised individuals to identify descriptors of strengths and deficiencies in the immune system.

Results

The discrete Gamma-GPD spliced threshold model

Our goal is to model the clone size distribution of a sample immune repertoire. Figure $1A$ shows a typical distribution plotted using the repertoire of a Sarcoidosis patient in [19]. If the data are truly Pareto distributed, this plot would appear linear [6, 23]. However, noting the linear behavior is only true for the far upper tail of the data, this suggests that these data are a departure from the Pareto distribution. This imperfect power law implicates the use of a heavy-tailed distribution above some threshold and a lighter-tailed distribution below that threshold. Here, we model the tail part with a GPD. The GPD, introduced by [24], is a classical distribution typically used to model the values in the upper tail of a data set. This formulation results in a distribution with density

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma}\Big(1 + \xi \frac{x - u}{\sigma}\Big)^{-(1/\xi + 1)}, \tag{1}$$

where $u \in (-\infty, \infty)$ is a threshold that typically needs to be prespecified, $\sigma \in (0, \infty)$ is a scale parameter, and $\xi \in (-\infty, \infty)$ is a shape parameter. The GPD has support $x \geq u$ when $\xi \geq 0$ and $u \leq x \leq u - \sigma/\xi$ when $\xi < 0$. We model the bulk part with a Gamma distribution with the upper tail truncated at the threshold. The Gamma distribution has a flexible shape and can fit many different clone size distributions. The threshold and the parameters in the two distributions are estimated from the data simultaneously. This setup, where data above and below an unknown threshold are drawn from the "bulk" and "tail" distributions respectively, falls into a class of models called spliced threshold models. The typical motivation for the model is the belief that the data above and below the threshold are driven by different underlying processes. We refer the interested reader to [28] for a thorough review of the general spliced threshold model, and its applications in fields such as insurance, hydrology, and finance.

Denote the proportion of data above the threshold u as ϕ. Let the bulk model distribution function be $H_c(x|\boldsymbol{\theta}_b)$ and the tail model distribution function be $G_c(x|\boldsymbol{\theta}_t)$, where subscripts b and t denote the bulk and tail model parameter vectors, respectively. Then the distribution function of the model is given by

$$F_c(x) = \begin{cases} (1 - \phi)\frac{H_c(x|\boldsymbol{\theta}_b)}{H_c(u|\boldsymbol{\theta}_b)} & \text{for } x \leq u \\ 1 - \phi + \phi G_c(x|\boldsymbol{\theta}_t, u) & \text{for } x > u \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

with corresponding density

$$f_c(x) = \begin{cases} (1 - \phi)\frac{h_c(x|\boldsymbol{\theta}_b)}{H_c(u|\boldsymbol{\theta}_b)} & \text{for } x \leq u \\ \phi g_c(x|\boldsymbol{\theta}_t, u) & \text{for } x > u \end{cases}. \tag{3}$$

Because the clone size distribution is count data that typically exhibit numerous ties in the less frequently observed clonotypes, it is appropriate to treat this as a discrete

problem. We modify the model in order to account for any quantized or censored data. Let ψ and Ψ be the density and distribution function of a continuous distribution, and let d be the interval length at which the data are censored. We obtain a quantized analog of ψ by letting

$$\Pr(X = x) = \Psi(x + d) - \Psi(x), \quad x \in k \cdot d, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This results in a discrete model with distribution function

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} (1 - \phi)\frac{H(x|\boldsymbol{\theta}_b)}{H(u-d|\boldsymbol{\theta}_b)} & \text{for } x \leq u - d \\ 1 - \phi + \phi G(x|\boldsymbol{\theta}_t, u) & \text{for } x \geq u \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

and corresponding probability mass function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} (1 - \phi)\frac{h(x|\boldsymbol{\theta}_b)}{H(u-d|\boldsymbol{\theta}_b)} & \text{for } x \leq u - d \\ \phi g(x|\boldsymbol{\theta}_t, u) & \text{for } x \geq u \end{cases}. \tag{5}$$

where $h(x|\boldsymbol{\theta}_b) \sim$ discrete Gamma(α, β), $g(x|\boldsymbol{\theta}_t) \sim$ discrete GPD(u, σ, ξ), and $d = 1$, which specifies that we model integer data (see Methods for the functional form of the discrete Gamma distribution and the discrete GPD). This discretization step turns out to be important for accurate estimation in our scenario. See Additional file 1 for a comparison between the performance of the discrete and continuous models in settings resembling true clone size distributions.

Biological interpretation of the model

The relationship between the discrete Gamma-GPD spliced threshold model and the type-I Pareto model in Desponds et al. [7], hereafter referred to as the Desponds et al. model, allows us to draw connections between some of our parameters and the dynamics of immune response underpinning their approach. First, results from [7] show that the threshold at which the power law begins is indicative of the point over which a clone's large size can be attributed to active immune response, as opposed to noise in the body that arises from processes such as self-recognition. The threshold fitted from the data provides an objective way to narrow down which clonotypes from a sample repertoire should be interrogated further. This notion is convenient for studying factors such as CDR3 (complementarity-determining region 3) amino acid motifs or specific V, D, and J genes important for combating certain antigens, which are typically determined based on a heuristic abundance cutoff. For example, [30] studies the 1,000 most abundant CDR3 amino acid motifs across all sampled peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) libraries, while [19] determines CDR3 amino acid motifs from clones that are present with 10 or more reads in a sampled repertoire. The threshold u estimated with our model, however, introduces a means to select motifs that does not rely on heuristics and automatically scales with sequencing depth.

Moreover, the shape parameter ξ of the GPD is inversely related to the shape parameter α_d used in the Desponds et al. model (see Methods). As explained by Desponds et al., a small α_d, i.e. a large ξ, implies increased average T cell lifetime and antigenic noise strength. They further show that antigenic noise strength grows as a consequence of a higher initial concentration of antigens and a higher rate at which new antigens are introduced. Interestingly, ξ also positively correlates with the familiar clonality estimator (1-Pielou's evenness [25]). Indeed, as ξ increases, the clone size distribution becomes heavier-tailed—that is, more skewed towards dominating clones.

This trend is in line with that of the clonality estimator, which favors a more uniform clone size distribution as clonality approaches 0 and a distribution dominated by expanded clones as clonality approaches 1. To numerically validate this relationship, we simulated the data from our model and computed the clonality (see Methods). We observed a high correlation between clonality and ξ (Spearman's $\rho \approx 0.9$), confirming that ξ reflects the skewness towards dominating clones (see Additional file 2).

It is worth noting that our model acquires a theoretical gain via the threshold stability property of the GPD [5]. That is, for any generalized Pareto distributed data, the shape parameter ξ remains constant regardless of changes in u. In our context, this means that at decreasing sequencing depths, though the threshold u would decrease due to fewer cells being sampled, the shape parameter ξ in principle would be stable against the variation in sequencing depth. We will demonstrate this gain in stability on a mouse tumor dataset. See Methods for our extension of the threshold stability property to the case of the discrete GPD.

In the following sections, we inspect three different data sets using our model. We compare our results to results from the Desponds et al. model to demonstrate the practical and theoretical benefits of our approach. We also make comparisons to results from the widely used richness, Shannon entropy, and clonality estimators. See Methods for information on computation of competing methods.

Discrimination between tumors from MHC-II positive and control murine breast cancers

The expression of major histocompatability complex II (MHC-II) proteins in tumors correlates with boosted anti-tumor immunity. As part of a study of how MHC-II expression impacts tumor progression and functional plasticity of T cells [18], the CDR3 of TCRβ-chains of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) were sequenced from breast cancer tumor tissue from six BALB/c mice. Three of the mice were grafted with MHC-II expressing tumor cells and three control animals received parental MHC-II-negative cells. Samples were collected at 17 days after the date of treatment. Additional file 3, Table 1 summarizes the number of unique clonotypes observed and the total number of reads in each sample. The count data used for our analyses is available in Additional file 4.

Our method quantifies response to treatment and differentiates treatment groups

We asked whether the MHC-II expression would cause a global change in the TCR repertoire of the host mice. We analyzed the TCR repertoire in the individuals' tumor tissue using our proposed model and the Desponds et al. model. Additional file 3, Tables 2 and 3 show parameter estimates from our model and the Desponds et al. model, respectively. Results from our model support a claim that increased expression of MHC-II induces an increased rate of clonal expansion at the tumor site, as indicated by the uniformly larger estimates of the tail shape parameter ξ in the treatment group.

Because the TCR repertoire is often used as an indicator of an individual's immune potency, we next evaluated how well our method discriminates between samples from case and control groups. We compared our method to the Desponds et al. model and the richness, Shannon entropy, and clonality estimators. For our model, we computed the pairwise Jensen-Shannon distance (JSD) between the fitted distributions of each pair of samples, and then used it as the distance measure to cluster samples with hierarchical clustering (see Methods) using Ward's method. Clustering of the Desponds et al. model was done similarly by using a generalization of JSD to continuous distributions, trading the summation for an integral. For all ecological measures, we computed pairwise

Figure 2. Hierarchical clustering of mouse tumor samples based on different quantifications of the TCR repertoire. The MHC-II-positive individuals are labeled with an 'M', while the control individuals are labeled with a 'C'.

Euclidean distances between estimates which we then used for hierarchical clustering of the samples. Figure 2 shows that our approach clusters the data by treatment and control groups. This is contrasted against all other methods, which display an incorrect construction of the expected true relationships among the experimental subjects.

Our results are robust to variation in sequencing depth

One challenge with TCR experiments is that the sequencing depth directly affects the number of TCR variants that are discovered. It is not simple to obtain the same sequencing depth across individuals, and it is also undesirable to discard data in favor of maintaining an equal number of reads across TCR repertoire libraries. An ideal scheme for comparative analysis achieves stable classification across different sequencing depths. We compared the stability of our model against the Desponds et al. model. To do this, we randomly downsampled the mouse data to 80, 60, 40, and 20% of total reads in the original samples. We fit our model and the Desponds et al. model in each case, then performed hierarchical clustering according to JSD. The clustering induced using our model was the same at every downsampling level, while clustering induced using the Desponds et al. model changed each time. Additional file 5 contains the dendrograms from both models at each downsample level, but Figure 3 summarizes this information by illustrating the relative JSD between sample C54 and the remaining samples across downsample levels. The spliced threshold model clearly maintains a similar trend across downsample levels, not to mention that the relationship inferred distinguishes between treatment and control groups. However, the downsampling study reveals that relative distances between sampled individuals observed using the Desponds et al. model are quite erratic.

The higher stability of our results is due in part to the threshold stability property of the GPD. It is also attributed to the fact that our method models the full clone size distribution. Doing so circumvents the threshold selection problem encountered when applying the Desponds et al. model, a recurrent issue when fitting models such as the type-I Pareto distribution [29]. For their approach, Desponds et al. select the threshold that minimizes the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic [17], a commonly used goodness-of-fit strategy for fitting a power law distribution [32]. However, the results on this dataset show that this strategy does not always yield stable results across different sequencing depths.

Differentiation between subtypes of Sarcoidosis patients

Sarcoidosis is an inflammatory disease that typically is accompanied by an accumulation of activated CD4+ T cells in the lungs. A particularly acute form of Sarcoidosis, called Löfgren's syndrom (LS), occurs with additional, more severe

Figure 3. Robustness to variation in sequencing depth on the mouse tumor data. The figure shows the relative JSD between sample C54 and the remaining samples across downsampling levels, using (A) our model and (B) the Desponds et al. model.

symptoms. A known signature of LS is the bombardment of the lungs with CD4+ T   221
cells, which is expected to significantly alter the entire landscape of the TCR repertoire.   222
We applied our method to TCR repertoire data of LS and non-LS Sarcoidosis patients   223
[1], originally described in [19]. In this study, bronchoscopy with the bronchoalveolar   224
lavage was performed on a cohort of 9 LS and 4 non-LS individuals and prepared for   225
TCR $\alpha-$ and $\beta-$chain sequencing.   226

Figure 4. Differentiation of TCR repertoires between LS and non-LS Sarcoidosis patients. ($A - B$)Multidimensional scaling representation of distances between TCR repertoires computed with JSD for the spliced threshold model and the Desponds et al. model. (C) Centered and scaled estimates of richness, Shannon entropy, and clonality.

We compared the TCR distribution between LS and non-LS Sarcoidosis patients   227
using our method and the competing methods. In order to visualize closeness of samples,   228
we generated a distance matrix using JSD between fitted distributions using our method   229
and the Desponds et al. model. The estimated parameters are in Additional file 3,   230
Tables 5 and 6, respectively. We then applied non-metric multidimensional scaling to   231
the distance matrix and plotted the first two coordinates. For the ecological estimators,   232
we simply plotted centered and scaled estimates. As shown in Figure 4A, results from   233
our model cluster LS patients into a tight group distinct from non-LS patients,   234
bolstering the claim that LS patients exhibit a signature immune response. On the   235
other hand, competing methods fail to uncover any pattern (Figure 4B–C).   236

Relationship between the landscape of the clone size distribution and clinical outcome in glioblastoma patients

We applied our method to data collected during a clinical trial of 13 glioblastoma patients receiving autologous tumor lysate-pulsed dendritic cell (DC) vaccine therapy [2], first detailed in [10]. Three intradermal injections were administered to patients at biweekly intervals. TCRβ chains from PBMC samples were sequenced for the patients prior to vaccinations and two weeks following the final injection. Patients were followed up with and their time to progression (TTP) and overall survival (OS) were recorded. TTP was defined as the time from the first DC vaccination until MRI-confirmed tumor progression. OS was calculated as the time from the first DC vaccination until the patient's death from any cause. We investigated whether current tools using TCRs sequenced only from blood samples indicate anything about patients' survival time and time to progression.

Figure 5. Association with time to progression (TTP) and overall survival (OS) time in glioblastoma patients. (*A*) Patient classification based on TCR repertoire quantification and its relationship to TTP and OS. Patients are classified into two groups (black and gray) using hierarchical clustering according to the estimates of TCR repertoires from the post-treatment samples. Anomalous patients 33296 and 17232 are called out on the Spliced model plot. (*B*) Relationship between the proportion of highly stimulated clones inferred by our method and TTP or OS.

We first fit our model to the pre- and post-treatment samples. In both cases, we classified the patients into two groups using the hierarchical clustering based on our model, the Desponds et al. model, and the richness, Shannon entropy, and clonality estimators. No clear grouping with respect to either TTP or OS could be observed from any clustering on the pre-treatment samples, whether by the model-based methods or the selected estimators (see Additional file 6). However, among post treatment samples, our method tends to cluster together patients with better clinical outcome (Figure 5*A*). This may indicate that the DC therapy alters the landscape of the TCR repertoire into a form that promotes favorable clinical outcome.

We do, however, cluster one patient (ID: 33296) with low TTP and OS in the group with overall higher TTP and OS. Interestingly, this misplaced patient had the lowest estimated TIL count and tumor/PBMC overlap of the entire cohort (Additional file 3, Table 7). Tumor/PBMC overlap was defined as the total number of reads of shared CDR3s normalized by total reads in the tumor and PBMC samples. Similarly, patient

17232 displayed among the best clinical outcome but clustered with lower-performing patients. Patient 17232 had the highest TIL count and level of tumor/PBMC overlap in the whole cohort. This information taken as a whole suggests that, while the clone size distribution found in blood may indicate something about a patient's response to treatment, it still does not guarantee that T cells will infiltrate the tumor, an important factor for clinical benefit [27]. Additional file 7 highlights the clone size distributions of these two patients against all others.

Notably, inferred thresholds (minimum $u = 4$, maximum $u = 6$) on this dataset are much lower than on other datasets. This is because this dataset is sequenced less deeply than the others, which consequently reduces the threshold.

Noting that clones with size at or above the estimated threshold are considered active participators in the immune response, we sought to investigate whether any relationship existed between clinical outcome and the proportion of more highly stimulated cells. We defined the proportion of highly stimulated cells to be the total number of reads at or above the threshold, normalized by the total number of reads in the entire repertoire (Additional file 3, Table 9). We found correlations between this measure and both TTP (Spearman's $\rho = 0.54$) and OS (Spearman's $\rho = 0.80$). Rank scatterplots for these correlations are in Figure 5B. The positive correlation we uncovered suggests that this statistic could be a useful tool to quantify the antigen-specificity of the sample.

Discussion

We have developed a model, the Gamma-GPD spliced threshold model, and demonstrated its utility on several datasets. As shown in our analyses, several biologically relevant descriptive features can be obtained from our model. One is the tail shape parameter ξ, a measure of the weight of the upper tail of the clone size distribution, where a heavier tail of the fitted model implies a more dominated distribution of expanded clones. Another is the proportion of total reads at or above the estimated threshold, a possible measure of intensity of the immune response. The third is the estimated threshold, which is a useful guide to objectively identify CDR3 motifs for downstream analysis. This could involve denoting motifs as only those CDR3s found in TCRs with frequencies at or above the estimated threshold for a given sample, or it could mean studying TCR gene usage among that same group of clonotypes.

Similar to other estimators, our model requires that a repertoire be adequately sampled. Without adequate sampling, the differentiating features between TCR repertoires will be masked [7], and the estimated model parameters will not be reliable. Given the immense diversity of the TCR repertoire, one should in general be cautious about using any method to make inference about a sample TCR repertoire when few cells are sequenced. With sufficient samples, though, the spliced threshold model provides the user a meaningful high-level view of the TCR repertoire.

Conclusions

The diversity of the TCR repertoire and its responsiveness to stimuli provide a high-dimensional biomarker for monitoring the immune system and its adaptivity. Robust assessment of the clone size distribution through TCR sequencing is important for understanding this diversity. The discrete Gamma-GPD spliced threshold model is a flexible model that effectively captures the shape of the clone size distribution. It is especially appropriate since the heavy-tailed GPD is a good fit to model the highly expanded clones that dominate many TCR repertoire samples. The method also provides a means to comparatively analyze a collection of TCR repertoire samples while

maintaining convenient theoretical properties and interpretations.

Compared with existing approaches, our method is more flexible, utilizes the full clone size distribution, is less sensitive to sequencing depth, and identifies the threshold in a data-driven manner. The parameters estimated from our method are biologically relevant and instructive to the dynamics of immune response. Our results on multiple datasets also show that the spliced threshold model is powerful in a range of scenarios for comparing TCR repertoires across samples, revealing potential trends in the landscapes of clone size distributions of affected immune systems.

Methods

Estimation

We use maximum likelihood to estimate the parameters of our model. First, we more explicitly specify the form of our distribution. Letting $x \sim$ discrete Gamma(α, β) and $y \sim$ Gamma(α, β), we write the density of x as

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[\gamma\big(\alpha, \beta(y+1)\big) - \gamma(\alpha, \beta y) \right]$$

for $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, and where $\gamma(\alpha, \beta y)$ is the lower incomplete gamma function

$$\gamma(\alpha, \beta y) = \int_0^{\beta y} t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} dt.$$

If $x \sim$ discrete GPD(u, σ, ξ) and $y \sim$ GPD(u, σ, ξ), on the other hand, we write the density of x as

$$g(x) = \left(1 + \xi \frac{y-u}{\sigma} \right)^{-1/\xi} - \left(1 + \xi \frac{y+1-u}{\sigma} \right)^{-1/\xi}$$

for $u \in (-\infty, \infty)$, $\sigma \in (0, \infty)$, and $\xi \in (-\infty, \infty)$. The discrete GPD has support $x \geq u$ when $\xi \geq 0$ and $u \leq x \leq u - \sigma/\xi$ when $\xi < 0$, where $x \in \mathbb{Z}$.

To proceed, we employ a profile likelihood approach. Let u be the threshold, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_b$ be the bulk parameter vector $\{\alpha, \beta\}$, $\boldsymbol{\theta}_t$ be the tail parameter vector $\{\sigma, \xi\}$, and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ be the parameter vector $\{\boldsymbol{\theta}_b, \boldsymbol{\theta}_t\}$. Let also h and H be the density and distribution function of a discrete Gamma distribution, respectively, and let g be the density of a discrete GPD. Then the complete data likelihood is given by

$$L(\{\boldsymbol{\theta}, u\} \mid \mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left[(1-\phi) \frac{h(x_i \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_b)}{H(u-1 \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_b)} \mathbb{1}(x_i \leq u-1) + \phi g(x_i \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_t, u) \mathbb{1}(x_i \geq u) \right]$$

and the profile likelihood of the model at u is denoted as

$$L_p(u) = \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} L(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \mathbf{x}, u).$$

A grid search over a suitable range of thresholds $\mathbf{u}^\star = (u_1, \ldots, u_k)$ may be implemented to maximize the profile likelihood. In this study, we adopted an approach similar to those of [24] and [34], searching for thresholds at or above the 75% quantile of the sample. The estimated parameters are then

$$\hat{u} = \arg\max_{u^\star \in \mathbf{u}^\star} L_p(u^\star),$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} L(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid u = \hat{u}), \text{ and}$$

$$\hat{\phi} = \frac{n_u}{n},$$

where n is the total number of clones and n_u denotes the number of clones with size greater than or equal to the threshold.

Computation for competing methods

The Desponds et al. model was fit as previously described [7]. Briefly, the model has density

$$f(x) = \frac{\alpha_d u^{\alpha_d}}{x^{\alpha_d + 1}} \tag{6}$$

and distribution function

$$F(x) = 1 - \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\alpha_d} \tag{7}$$

where $u > 0$ is the threshold and $\alpha_d > 0$ is a shape parameter. For each sample TCR repertoire, a grid of potential thresholds $\mathbf{u}^\star = (u_1, \ldots, u_k)$ was constructed by considering every unique clone size in the repertoire. Then, for each u_i, the shape parameter is estimated as

$$\hat{\alpha}_d = n_i \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \ln \frac{x_j}{u_i} \right]^{-1} \tag{8}$$

where n_i is the number of clones with size larger than the threshold u_i. Once this value is computed for every threshold in $\mathbf{u}^\star$, the threshold and corresponding $\hat{\alpha}$ were chosen to minimize the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic.

The ecological estimators [9, 25] were computed as follows. For a sample X, let $S(X)$ be the sample richness, defined as the number of unique clonotypes in X, and let p_i be the number of cells of clonotype i normalized by the total number of cells in the sample. Then, the Shannon entropy of X is

$$H(X) = -\sum_{i=1}^{S(X)} p_i \ln p_i \tag{9}$$

and the clonality of X is

$$C(X) = 1 - \frac{H(X)}{\ln S(X)}. \tag{10}$$

ξ is inversely related to the the shape parameter of the type-I Pareto distribution

The Desponds et al. model, which is a type-I Pareto distribution, and the "tail" part of our model, which is a GPD, are closely related. In fact, the GPD contains the type-I Pareto distribution as a special case. We can write the distribution function of the GPD as

$$F(x) = 1 - \left(1 + \xi\frac{x - u}{\sigma}\right)^{-1/\xi}. \tag{11}$$

Now, let $x \sim \text{GPD}(u, \frac{u}{\alpha_d}, \frac{1}{\alpha_d})$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(x; u, \frac{u}{\alpha_d}, \frac{1}{\alpha_d}\right) &= 1 - \left[1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_d}\left(\frac{x - u}{u/\alpha_d}\right)\right]^{-\alpha_d} \\
&= 1 - \left[1 + \left(\frac{x - u}{u}\right)\right]^{-\alpha_d} \\
&= 1 - \left(\frac{u}{x}\right)^{\alpha_d}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is exactly the distribution function of a type-I Pareto distribution with threshold u and shape α_d (Equation 7). Of course, this exact relationship only holds when $\sigma = \frac{u}{\alpha_d}$. Nevertheless, α_d and ξ perform the same function in their respective distributions, adjusting the weight of the tail. This relationship always holds – a larger ξ (smaller α_d) implies a heavier-tailed distribution, while a smaller ξ (larger α_d) implies a lighter-tailed distribution.

Correlation between ξ and clonality

We conjecture that ξ, the shape parameter of the GPD, positively correlates with clonality. We numerically validated this claim using a simulated cohort of 48 clone size distributions. That is, we generated samples of $n = 20,000$ clonotypes, where our 48 parameter settings were derived from every combination of $\alpha \in \{3, 5, 10\}$, $\xi \in \{.25, .5, .75, 1.1\}$, and $\phi \in \{0.1, 0.15, 0.2, 0.25\}$. We chose $\beta = 0.15$, $\sigma = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\beta}$, and $u = \lfloor Q_{\alpha,\beta}(1 - \phi) \rceil$ in each simulation, where $Q_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the quantile function of the Gamma distribution with mean $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$. To adjust for the effect of sample size on clonality, we downsampled the simulated data so that each sample contained the same number of reads (415,989 total reads per sample). We computed the clonality of each simulated TCR repertoire on these adjusted datasets.

Comparative analysis of multiple samples using the spliced threshold model

The relationship between a pair of TCR repertoires can be elucidated by evaluating the distance between their fitted spliced threshold models. Several methods to compare densities are available. We propose measuring the distance between each pair of distributions using Jensen-Shannon distance (JSD) [16]. This metric is a symmetric and smoothed adaptation of the well-known Kullback-Leibler divergence that does not require the distributions under comparison to share the same support.

Given discrete distributions P and Q, the JSD between P and Q is

$$JSD(P,Q) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\Big[\sum_i (P_i \ln\frac{P_i}{M_i}) + \sum_i (Q_i \ln\frac{Q_i}{M_i})\Big]}, \tag{12}$$

where $M_i = \frac{1}{2}(P_i + Q_i)$. The resulting distances allow analysis and visualization via multidimensional scaling or hierarchical clustering of the samples. Throughout our study, we use Ward's method for hierarchical clustering.

Threshold stability of the discrete GPD

The threshold stability property of the GPD is well-established [5]. Here, we show that the property also holds for the discrete GPD. Let $X \sim$ discrete $\text{GPD}(u, \sigma, \xi)$ and denote its distribution function as F with F_c as its continuous analog. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
P(X - u \le x + 1 | X \ge u) &= \frac{P(u \le X \le x + u + 1)}{P(X \ge u)} \\
&= \frac{F(x + u + 1; u, \sigma, \xi) - F(u; u, \sigma, \xi)}{1 - F(u; u, \sigma, \xi)} \\
&= \frac{F_c(x + u + 2; u, \sigma, \xi) - F_c(u + 1; u, \sigma, \xi)}{1 - F_c(u + 1; u, \sigma, \xi)} \\
&= \frac{\left(1 + \frac{\xi}{\sigma}\right)^{-1/\xi} - \left(1 + \xi\frac{x+2}{\sigma}\right)^{-1/\xi}}{\left(1 + \frac{\xi}{\sigma}\right)^{-1/\xi}} \\
&= 1 - \left(1 + \xi\frac{x+1}{\sigma+\xi}\right)^{-1/\xi} \\
&= F_c(x + 1; 0, \sigma + \xi, \xi) \\
&= F(x; 0, \sigma + \xi, \xi).
\end{aligned}$$

This states that if $X \sim$ discrete $\text{GPD}(u, \sigma, \xi)$, then $X - u \sim$ discrete $\text{GPD}(0, \sigma + \xi, \xi)$. Or, for our application, consider a clone size distribution, where clones larger than some threshold u are distributed according to the discrete GPD. At decreasing sequencing depths, this estimated u decreases, implying naturally that the size a clone in the sample must achieve to be considered "expanded" decreases. Still, while u shrinks, the threshold stability property states that ξ remains constant.

Funding

This work was supported by NIH training grant T32 GM102057 (CBIOS training program to The Pennsylvania State University) (to HK), by NIH grants R01GM109453 (to QL), and by funding from the HudsonAlpha Institute and the Breast Cancer Research Foundation of Alabama (to DS, SJC, and RMM).

Author's contributions

HK and QL developed the statistical method, designed the data analysis and performance evaluation, and interpreted the results. HK implemented the method, developed the software package and analyzed the data. DS, SJC and RMM provided

assistance on the biological interpretation of the data and results. HK and QL wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

List of abbreviations

TCR: T cell receptor, **GPD**: generalized Pareto distribution, **CDR3**: complementarity-determining region 3, **MHC-II**: major histocompatability complex II, **TIL**: Tumor infiltrating lymphocyte, **JSD**: Jensen-Shannon distance, **LS**: Löfgren's syndrom, **DC**: dendritic cell, **TTP**: time to progression, **OS**: overall survival, **PBMC**: peripheral blood mononuclear cells

Availability of data and materials

The mouse data generated and analyzed in this study are available in the Additional File 4. The Sarcoidosis patient data analyzed in this study are available at Gene Expression Omnibus (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) under accession number GSE100378. The glioma data analyzed in this study are available in the immunoSEQ Analyzer®database (https://clients.adaptivebiotech.com). Our method is implemented in the R package powerTCR, freely available on BioConductor (https://bioconductor.org/packages/devel/bioc/html/powerTCR.html) under an Artistic-2.0 license [14].

Additional Files

Additional file 1 — Simulation

AdditionalFile1.pdf
    Details on simulation study comparing the discrete and continuous models.

Additional file 2 — Correlation between ξ and clonality

AdditionalFile2.pdf
    Information on simulation study that finds strong positive relationship between ξ and the clonality estimator.

Additional file 3 — Summaries for 3 analyzed datasets

AdditionalFile3.pdf
    Tables summarizing number of unique clonotypes per sample, total reads sequenced per sample, and other patient-specific information for the Sarcoidosis and glioblastoma datasets. Additionally, tables containing fitted model parameter estimates for both our model and the competing model, as well as ecological estimator values, computed for every sample.

Additional file 4 — Count data used in mouse tumor analyses

mouse_tumor_counts.csv
    This file contains the read counts of unique, in-frame clonotypes for the mouse tumor analyses. Each column in the file corresponds to a sample repertoire, with column labels matching those used in our main text. That is, individuals marked with 'C' belong to the control group, while those marked with 'M' belong to the MHC-II-expressing group.

For each individual, the data are sorted from the count of the most frequently observed clonotype down to the count of the least frequently observed clonotype.

Additional file 5 — Dendrograms for downsampling study

AdditionalFile5.pdf

We downsampled mouse tumor data to 80, 60, 40, and 20% of total reads. We used JSD to compute pairwise distances between the samples for our model fits and the Desponds et al. model fits at each downsample level and did hierarchical clustering using Ward's method. The dendrograms for each model at each downsample level are presented here.

Additional file 6 — Clustering of pre- and post-treatment glioblastoma patient samples

AdditionalFile6.pdf

Clustering dendrograms generated on pre- and post-treatment glioblastoma samples. Groupings presented for the post-treatment samples here correspond to the colored groupings in Figure 5.

Additional file 7 — Clone size distributions of glioblastoma patients

AdditionalFile7.pdf

This plot calls out patients 33296 and 17232 from the glioblastoma patients. Patient 33296 incorrectly clustered with the individuals with favorable clinical outcome, while patient 17232 incorrectly clustered with individuals with unfavorable clinical outcome.

References

1. Gene expression omnibus. Accessed 10 February 2018.

2. immunoseq analyzer ®database. Accessed 17 November 2017.

3. T. P. Arstila, A. Casrouge, V. Baron, J. Even, J. Kanellopoulos, and P. Kourilsky. A direct estimate of the human $\alpha\beta$ t cell receptor diversity. *Science*, 286(5441):958–961, 1999.

4. J. D. Burgos and P. Moreno-Tovar. Zipf-scaling behavior in the immune system. *Biosystems*, 39(3):227–232, 1996.

5. E. Castillo and A. S. Hadi. Fitting the generalized pareto distribution to data. *J Am Stat Assoc.*, 92(440):1609–1620, 1997.

6. P. Cirillo. Are your data really pareto distributed? *Physica A*, 392(23):5947–5962, 2013.

7. J. Desponds, T. Mora, and A. M. Walczak. Fluctuating fitness shapes the clone-size distribution of immune repertoires. *P Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 113(2):274–279, 2016.

8. M. Guindani, N. Sepúlveda, C. D. Paulino, and P. Müller. A bayesian semiparametric approach for the differential analysis of sequence counts data. *J R Stat Soc C-Appl.*, 63(3):385–404, 2014.

9. M. O. Hill. Diversity and evenness: a unifying notation and its consequences. *Ecology*, 54(2):427–432, 1973.

10. M. S. Hsu, S. Sedighim, T. Wang, J. P. Antonios, R. G. Everson, A. M. Tucker, L. Du, R. Emerson, E. Yusko, C. Sanders, et al. Tcr sequencing can identify and track glioma-infiltrating t cells after dc vaccination. *Cancer Immunol Res.*, 4(5):412–418, 2016.

11. J. Kaplinsky and R. Arnaout. Robust estimates of overall immune-repertoire diversity from high-throughput measurements on samples. *Nat Commun.*, 7, 2016.

12. C. Keane, C. Gould, K. Jones, D. Hamm, D. Talaulikar, J. Ellis, F. Vari, S. Birch, E. Han, P. Wood, et al. The t-cell receptor repertoire influences the tumor microenvironment and is associated with survival in aggressive b-cell lymphoma. *Clin Cancer Res.*, 23(7):1820–1828, 2017.

13. I. R. Kirsch, R. Watanabe, J. T. O'Malley, D. W. Williamson, L.-L. Scott, C. P. Elco, J. E. Teague, A. Gehad, E. L. Lowry, N. R. LeBoeuf, et al. Tcr sequencing facilitates diagnosis and identifies mature t cells as the cell of origin in ctcl. *Sci Transl Med*, 7(308):308ra158–308ra158, 2015.

14. H. Koch. *powerTCR: Model-Based Comparative Analysis of the TCR Repertoire*, 2018. R package version 0.99.33.

15. D. J. Laydon, A. Melamed, A. Sim, N. A. Gillet, K. Sim, S. Darko, J. S. Kroll, D. C. Douek, D. A. Price, C. R. Bangham, and B. Asquith. Quantification of htlv-1 clonality and tcr diversity. *PLoS Comput Biol.*, 10(6):e1003646, 2014.

16. J. Lin. Divergence measures based on the shannon entropy. *IEEE T Inform Theory*, 37(1):145–151, 1991.

17. F. J. Massey Jr. The kolmogorov-smirnov test for goodness of fit. *J Am Stat Assoc.*, 46(253):68–78, 1951.

18. T. McCaw, M. Li, D. Starenki, S. Cooper, S. Meza-Perez, R. Arend, A. LoBuglio, D. Buchsbaum, A. Forero, and T. Randall. The expression of class ii major histocompatibility molecules on breast tumors delays t cell exhaustion, expands the t cell repertoire and slows tumor growth. *bioRxiv*.

19. A. M. Mitchell, Y. Kaiser, M. T. Falta, D. J. Munson, L. G. Landry, A. Eklund, M. Nakayama, J. E. Slansky, J. Grunewald, and A. P. Fontenot. Shared $\alpha\beta$ tcr usage in lungs of sarcoidosis patients with löfgren's syndrome. *J Immunol.*, 199(7):2279–2290, 2017.

20. Y. N. Naumov, E. N. Naumova, K. T. Hogan, L. K. Selin, and J. Gorski. A fractal clonotype distribution in the cd8+ memory t cell repertoire could optimize potential for immune responses. *J Immunol.*, 170(8):3994–4001, 2003.

21. K. Naylor, G. Li, A. N. Vallejo, W.-W. Lee, K. Koetz, E. Bryl, J. Witkowski, J. Fulbright, C. M. Weyand, and J. J. Goronzy. The influence of age on t cell generation and tcr diversity. *J Immunol*, 174(11):7446–7452, 2005.

22. M. Neller, J. Burrows, M. Rist, J. Miles, and S. Burrows. High frequency herpesvirus-specific clonotypes in the human t cell repertoire can remain stable over decades with minimal turnover. *J Virol*, pages JVI–02180, 2012.

23. M. E. Newman. Power laws, pareto distributions and zipf's law. *Contemp Phys.*, 46(5):323–351, 2005.

24. J. Pickands. Statistical inference using extreme order statistics. *Ann Stat.*, pages 119–131, 1975.

25. E. Pielou. *An introduction to mathematical ecology.* Wiley-Inter-science, New York, 1969.

26. G. A. Rempala, M. Seweryn, and L. Ignatowicz. Model for comparative analysis of antigen receptor repertoires. *J Theor Biol.*, 269(1):1–15, 2011.

27. S. A. Rosenberg, P. Spiess, and R. Lafreniere. A new approach to the adoptive immunotherapy of cancer with tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes. *Science*, 233(4770):1318–1321, 1986.

28. C. Scarrott. Univariate extreme value mixture modeling. In D. K. Dey and J. Yan, editors, *Extreme Value Modeling and Risk Analysis: Methods and Applications*, pages 41–67. CRC Press, Boca Raton.

29. C. Scarrott and A. MacDonald. A review of extreme value threshold estimation and uncertainty quantification. *REVSTAT–Stat J.*, 10(1):33–60, 2012.

30. J. S. Sims, B. Grinshpun, Y. Feng, T. H. Ung, J. A. Neira, J. L. Samanamud, P. Canoll, Y. Shen, P. A. Sims, and J. N. Bruce. Diversity and divergence of the glioma-infiltrating t-cell receptor repertoire. *P Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 113(25):E3529–E3537, 2016.

31. A. Snyder, T. Nathanson, S. A. Funt, A. Ahuja, J. B. Novik, M. D. Hellmann, E. Chang, B. A. Aksoy, H. Al-Ahmadie, E. Yusko, et al. Contribution of systemic and somatic factors to clinical response and resistance to pd-l1 blockade in urothelial cancer: An exploratory multi-omic analysis. *PLoS Med.*, 14(5):e1002309, 2017.

32. J. M. Van Zyl. Application of the kolmogorov–smirnov test to estimate the threshold when estimating the extreme value index. *Commun Stat Simulat*, 40(2):199–207, 2011.

33. J. A. Weinstein, N. Jiang, R. A. White, D. S. Fisher, and S. R. Quake. High-throughput sequencing of the zebrafish antibody repertoire. *Science*, 324(5928):807–810, 2009.

34. T. S. T. Wong and W. K. Li. A threshold approach for peaks-over-threshold modeling using maximum product of spacings. *Stat Sinica*, pages 1257–1272, 2010.