The diversity of antibiotic resistance and virulence genes are

correlated in human gut and environmental microbiomes

Pedro Escudeiro,[a]* Joël Pothier,[b] Francisco Dionisio,[a] Teresa Nogueira[a]#

[a] cE3c – Centro de Ecologia, Evolução e Alterações Ambientais, Faculdade de Ciências,

Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal

[b] Atelier de Bioinformatique, ISYEB, UMR 7205 CNRS MNHN UPMC EPHE, Muséum National

d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France

Running Head: Antibiotic resistance and virulence in microbiomes

#Address correspondence to Teresa Nogueira, teresainogueira@gmail.com.

*Present address: Pedro Escudeiro, Microbiology & Biotechnology Group, Biosystems &

Integrative Sciences Institute (BioISI), Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa,

Portugal.

P.E. and J.P. contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Human beings have used large amounts of antibiotics, not only in medical contexts but also,

for example, as growth factors in agriculture and livestock, resulting in the contamination of

the environment. Even when pathogenic bacteria are the targets of antibiotics, hundreds of

non-pathogenic bacterial species are affected as well. Therefore, both pathogenic and non-

pathogenic bacteria have gradually become resistant to antibiotics. We tested whether there

is still co-occurrence of resistance and virulence determinants. We performed a comparative

study of environmental and human gut metagenomes issuing from different individuals and

from distinct human populations across the world. We found a great diversity of antibiotic

resistance determinants (ARd) and virulence factors (VFd) in metagenomes. Importantly

there is a correlation between ARd and VFd, even after correcting for protein family richness.

In the human gut there are less ARd and VFd than in more diversified environments, and yet

correlations between the ARd and VFd are stronger. They can vary from very high in Malawi,

where antibiotic consumption is unattended, to non-existent in the uncontacted

Amerindians. We conclude that there is co-occurrence of resistance and virulence

determinants, suggesting a possible co-selective mechanism. For example, by selecting for

resistant bacteria, we may be selecting for more virulent strains as a side effect of

antimicrobial therapy.

Importance

Every year thousands of tons of antibiotics are used, not only in human and animal health but

also as growth promoters in livestock. Consequently, during the last 75 years, antibiotic

resistant bacterial strains have been selected in human and environmental microbial

communities. This implies that, even when pathogenic bacteria are the targets of antibiotics,

hundreds of non-pathogenic bacterial species are also affected. Here, we performed a

comparative study of environmental and human gut microbial communities issuing from

different individuals and from distinct human populations across the world. We found that

antibiotic resistance and pathogenicity are correlated and anticipate that, by selecting for

resistant bacteria, we may be selecting for more virulent strains, as a side effect of

antimicrobial therapy.

Introduction

Antibiotics are present in microbial communities, not only as a result of the natural lifestyle of microorganisms but also due to the usage of these drugs in agriculture, food industry, livestock, or in human health (1). Therefore, antibiotics can affect bacterial communities as a whole, comprising both pathogenic and non-pathogenic bacteria. Take, for example, the human microbiome, defined as the set of microorganisms that colonize humans. This microbiome is composed of about 3.8e+13 bacterial cells (2) spanning thousands of taxa and colonizing our body's surfaces and bio fluids, including tissues such as skin, mucosa and most importantly, the gastrointestinal tract. Thus, even when virulent bacteria are the targets of antibiotics, the administration of these drugs may also affect many non-pathogenic mutualistic or commensal bacterial species present in individuals undergoing treatment (3).

The resistome (the collection of all antibiotic resistance (AR) genes, which exist in both pathogenic and non-pathogenic bacteria (4)) is frequently encoded on mobile genetic elements. Similarly, the virulome, the set of genes encoding virulence can also be encoded on the mobilome (5–7). Therefore, many bacterial virulence factors (VF) are easily spread in bacterial populations by horizontal gene transfer, converting mutualistic or commensal bacteria into potential opportunistic pathogens. Several examples of highly virulent and multi-resistant disseminated clones have already been reported throughout the literature (for a review see (8)).

A given bacterial population may constitute a life insurance to other bacterial populations present in the same microbiome through at least two different mechanisms. First, if antibiotic resistance genes are coded by plasmids or other mobile genetic elements, they may transfer to pathogenic cells and save them from the negative effects of antibiotics. Indeed, these genetic elements can spread into the bacterial community by horizontal gene transfer, even crossing species (9–11). Curiously, some bacterial strains from different species have been shown to be extremely good donors of certain plasmids. As such, they are able to amplify the number of plasmids in a bacterial community and spread those plasmids to other bacterial

cells (12), a phenomenon probably explained by interactions between different plasmids (13,

14).

Secondly, even bacterial cells not coding for antibiotic resistance determinants nor receiving

mobile elements may be protected by cells coding for certain drug resistance genes. Indeed,

gene products that inactivate antibiotics by degrading or modifying antibiotic molecules are

also decreasing their concentration in the local environment (for a review about mechanisms

of possible indirect resistance see (15)). This mechanism of indirect resistance has been

shown to occur in different systems and to be pervasive (16–20).

In this paper we ask whether the abundance of antibiotic resistance protein families correlate

with that of virulence, among bacterial populations. For this, we have chosen to study

metagenomes. There are three main reasons to use metagenomes to address this question.

First, it is known that, for millions of years, bacteria had to cope with the presence of many

other species, mostly competing with them (21), but also cooperating, both phenomena

relying on the full set of genes of the metagenome (21). Secondly, horizontal gene transfer

promotes the genetic relationship between species thus enforcing cooperation (6) avoiding

the emergence of cheaters within the microbiome. Third, the study of metagenomes gives us

access to the repertoire of genes involved in adaptation to the environment, given that many

of these traits are often encoded in the mobilome and thus can be shared by different,

eventually unrelated bacteria. In this context, mining for genes coding for antibiotic

resistance and virulence traits in metagenomes is a reliable way to access the selective

pressures the population is subject to, as well as the co-occurrence of genetic traits of the

whole microbiome.

The present study aims at understanding the relationship between antibiotic resistance

genes and those coding for virulence. Here we show that there is indeed a linkage between

the dissemination of virulence factors and genes coding for antibiotic resistance, within

natural microbiomes, and that this relationship could be influenced by the behaviours of

human populations, spanning from very different geographical locations across the world.

Results

Antibiotic resistance (AR) gene families in the metagenomes

We started by evaluating the quantity of different AR proteins present in the metagenomes under study. We wanted to know how does the diversity of antibiotic resistance gene families' homologues (that is, ARd, the number of different protein families) vary with the metagenome protein family richness (that is the total number of proteins families in a metagenome). To answer this question, we used a data set of environmental metagenomes issuing from diverse ecosystems and biomes, such as oceans, coral atolls, deep oceans, Antarctic aquatic environments and snow, soils, hyper saline sediments, sludge's, microbial fuel cell biofilms, and animal microbial populations (22). In this dataset, there is a broad variation in AR gene diversity (ARd) (Fig 1A). However, there is a correlation between ARd and the protein family richness of the various metagenomes (overall correlation of 0.754). In Fig 1A, regression lines for human gut microbiome (in blue, slope 0.0037) and for other biomes (in black, slope 0.0052) are shown. Nevertheless, the p-value for the difference of these slopes is 1.4e-02, which is significant but not highly significant, does not allow, in our opinion, to draw solid conclusions from this difference.

Another dataset composed by 110 human gut metagenomes, sampled from healthy individuals with ages ranging from 0.05 to 53 years of age, spanning different regions of the world such as: US, Malawi, and the Venezuelan Amazon was also studied (23).

Virulence factor (VF) gene families in the metagenomes

Bacterial virulence factors are proteins that enable pathogenic bacteria to parasitize a host, including gene products involved in adhesion and invasion, secretion, toxins, and iron acquisition systems (24).

The environmental microbiomes of our dataset reveal a great diversity of virulence factors protein families' (VFd) densities (Fig 1B). Since virulence may also be associated with the colonization of different types of biomes (some virulent traits are involved in adaptation to

new environments, by allowing bacteria to adhere and colonize substrates, to access to

resources such as iron, among other functions) besides the context of infection, one can

expect different types of these genes in environmental microbiomes. Moreover, Fig 1B shows

that in human gut microbiomes, VFd versus protein family richness of the metagenomes

achieves an overall correlation coefficient of 0.669. Regression lines for human gut

microbiome (in blue, slope 0.0035) and for other biomes (black, slope 0.0106) are shown. Here

the p-value for difference of these slopes is 1.7e-08, indicating that there could be a

difference, but the points are very spread over all types of biome, and we prefer not to draw

conclusion about these data.

The AR / VF correlations

The main purpose of the present work is to evaluate the relationship, if any, between ARd

and VFd in microbiomes. Therefore, we excluded from our analysis all the gene products that

are both homologues to AR and VF determinants. Thus, we avoid the introduction of a bias

due to both correlations of AR and VF diversities (ARd and VFd) with metagenomes richness.

In the analysis, we systematically analysed the residuals of the regression of ARd on

metagenome richness and the residuals of the regression of VFd on metagenome richness. In

Fig 3 one can see that the residuals of ARd and those of VFd are positively correlated.

Although there is a large variation of ARd (Fig 1A), and VFd (Fig 1B) in environmental

metagenomes, there is a strong correlation between ARd and VFd (Fig 3): the overall partial

correlation between ARd and VFd given metagenome richness is 0.84 (p-value 0.8e-35~i.e.

0.0). Indeed, the partial correlation between ARd and VFd given the metagenome richness is

the same as the correlation between residuals of ARd and residuals of VFd (the partial

correlation coefficient for environmental biomes is 0.86 while the one for the human gut is

0.90). When we draw the regression line for the human gut microbiomes from this dataset

(human gut), we can see that the ratio of (residuals ARd)/(residuals VFd) is higher with slope

of 0.63 than for the case of environmental metagenomes with slope of 0.44. The difference in

slopes is significant, but not very significant, with p-value of 3e-02. Despite the fact that the

values for ARd and VFd are lower in gut metagenomes (see Fig 1), one can witness a higher

ratio ARd/VFd (larger slope) of metagenomes pertaining to the human faeces biome relatively

to the slope calculated for all environmental metagenomes (Fig 3). This suggests that there

could be a greater accumulation of ARd over VFd for this particular biome than in

environmental ones.

Fig 4 shows residuals of AR diversity versus the residuals of VF diversity in the human gut

metagenomes for each population (country) and for the three populations together. The

partial Pearson correlation coefficient between ARd and VFd given metagenome richness is

lower in the human gut metagenomes dataset (r=0.68, p-value 3e-22~0.0, Fig 4) than in the

environmental samples (r=0.86 as seen above, Fig 3).

We can further distinguish different trends upon geographical localization of the human

populations under study. The largest contribution to this graph comes from the North

American samples, which account for 66/110 (60%) of the individuals (Fig 4). The

Amerindians (Venezuela) metagenomes account for 21/110 metagenomes (19%) and the

Malawians for 23/110 (21%). These two non-western human populations have very

contrasting lifestyles and exposure to antibiotics: the uncontacted Amerindians, harbouring a

resistome similar to that of pre-antibiotic era; and those of the people from Malawi with a

high prevalence of infectious diseases and widespread use of unprescribed antibiotics (25–

27).

The Pearson partial correlation coefficients for metagenomes in the three populations are

positive and in the same range (North americans: 0.66, Malawians: 0.81, Amerindians: 0.70).

Regressions of the residuals of ARd on the residuals of VFd (Fig 4) shows a smaller slope for

Amerindians (0.20) than for USA (0.31) and Malawians (0.33), but the differences are not

significant: p-values spray from 8e-02 for the difference in Malawians-Amerindians slopes to

7.2e-01 for the difference in Malawians-North americans slopes.

The co-occurrence of AR and VF belonging to the cell envelope

Our results suggest that co-occurrence of AR and VF might be taking place amidst bacterial

communities. We wondered, however, which were the genetic traits that were more prone to

this effect. We have then computed the partial correlations, given the metagenome richness,

between every AR and VF protein families in the metagenomes under study to generate the

correlogram presented in Fig 5. The vast majority of associations falls into the functional

category of multi-drug efflux pumps (AR's) associated with either secretion systems, as well

with iron uptake and adhesion mechanisms (VF's), respectively (Fig 5). Amongst the most

representative associations between AR and VF traits are those belonging to the cell

envelope and the general secretion mechanisms. On the other hand, the AR protein families

for beta-lactamases, and general beta-lactam resistance mechanisms, bore no statistically

significant correlations whatsoever.

Discussion

Antibiotic resistance among environmental and human gut metagenomes

As expected, we found different homologues for antibiotic resistance (AR) gene products belonging to different protein families (AR diversity or ARd) among environmental metagenomes (Fig 1A). We have shown that, in the environmental samples, ARd varies a lot from metagenome to metagenome. This may result from the differential microbial community composition of the metagenomes, whose genetic diversity can be grouped according to the adaptation to the environment in question (22); but also from the fact that the selective pressure for the maintenance of antibiotic resistance genes in environmental microbiome varies widely from environment to environment (28–30). Human gut metagenomes, on the contrary, have a less diversified repertoire of AR determinants (lower ARd) than that of their environmental counterparts.

We found a very strong correlation between the diversity of AR determinants and the metagenome's protein family richness independently of the geographic origin of the human populations. These similar densities of ARd can indicate that, in human gut microbiomes, the number of different AR genes is not influenced by the human lifestyle, such as diet, medical care, access to antibiotics or other cultural habits (31), and/or that the adaptation to the intestinal tract shapes microbial AR diversity as well. This is a surprising results given that antibiotic use can vary from country to country (CDDEP), and, as consequence, individuals from different human populations are under different antibiotic exposition. Forslund and co-workers have demonstrated that there are robust differences in the antibiotic resistance arsenal between countries and that these differences follow the veterinary and human antibiotic use (27).

Virulence among environmental and human gut metagenomes

In what concerns virulence, we can assert that there is a wide diversity and density differences of VFs in environmental metagenomes, which poses as evidence of the plasticity

portrayed by environmental bacteria to adapt to different hosts and niches (Fig 1B). On the

other hand, human gut microbiomes harbour a less diverse VF repertoire, especially in the US

samples, which seem to indicate an evolution towards adaptation to the human gut, or lower

contact with pathogens, eventually due to vaccinations and sanitation.

Association of AR / VF

According to Yatsunenko and colleagues, once the human gut metagenome is established at

the age of 3 years old, it does not diverge much from individual to individual, in what

concerns both phylogenetic diversity and functional richness (23).

The most relevant results shown here are that, when corrected for metagenome's protein

family richness, ARd and VFd are strongly correlated both in the environmental samples

(Figs 3) and in the human gut samples (Fig 4), with special emphasis on the human gut.

The North American intestinal samples (Fig 4) show a wide variety of associations between

ARd and VFd, always presenting a statistically significant correlation between these genetic

traits. This result, in itself, reinforces our hypothesis that antibiotic resistance and virulence

are in fact co-associated, suggesting that the mobilization of mobile genetic elements as gene

cassettes, driving to multirresistance, might be taking place. The US population, like other

industrialized countries, is culturally exposed to antibiotics from health care facilities such as

hospitals, antibiotic therapy, and the use of antibiotics in agriculture and livestock.

Malawians and amerindians from Venezuela share, phylogenetically, more similar human gut

microbiomes than the North Americans (23), which belong mainly to a different enterotype

(23, 32). Yet, whereas there is no ARd/VFd correlation among the Venezuelan individuals,

there is a very strong correlation among the Malawian ones. This result highlights the

potential effect of the exposure to antibacterial drugs on promoting the dissemination of

antibiotic resistance by horizontal gene transfer, and the potential co-selection of virulence

traits within bacterial communities. The uncontacted Amerindian microbiome represents a

"frozen" relic of a pre-antibiotic era of the human resistome, while the Malawian gut

microbiome is much more exposed, both to antibiotics, and to colonization by pathogens (25–

27).

Amerindians have no known access to pharmaceutical drugs, as they usually make use of the

traditional indigenous medicine. Malawi is one of the poorest countries in Africa, where most

people live with less than one dollar a day, many people cope with AIDS and bacterial

infections, and where many children suffer from severe malnutrition (25). Nutrition has been

reported to have a big impact on both the human gut microbiome composition and resistome

(31, 33, 34). In Malawi, UNICEF has been implementing a program of Ready-to-Use-

Therapeutic-Food (RUTF) to reduce mortality among children. RUTF often contains antibiotics

such as co-trimoxazole. It has been questioned, however, whether the success of this therapy

is due to re-nutrition or to the combination with antibiotics (35, 36) that could have an effect

on the microbial gut composition. It has been reported that there is widespread resistance to

almost all of antibiotics that are empirically used in Malawi due to the lack of routine

microbiological culture and sensitivity testing (36), but also due to self-medication. Human

gut samples from the Malawi people of the dataset used in the present study are described

as having a high overall resistance potential with an over representation of cephalosporin

and tetracycline resistance genes which may suggest extensive use of old, broad-spectrum

antibiotics, a known problem in many developing countries (27).

The co-representation of AR and VF targeting the cell envelope

Between all the possible statistical AR and VF protein families' correlations, the strongest

ones are among proteins belonging to the bacterial cell envelope (Fig 5). This result is not

surprising, as many proteins that belong to the secretory system or to the secretome itself,

and thus target the bacterial envelope, are frequently encoded on mobile genetic elements

(6). As such, this co-occurrence may also be regarded as a direct consequence of the

dynamics of these genetic elements coding for both types of determinants.

In human gut, the strongest correlations involve efflux pumps that can extrude antibiotics

non-specifically, and adhesion and iron scavenging mechanisms of pathogenicity. One

possible explanation for the fact that the most frequent AR and VF associations in human gut

involve efflux pumps could be that they allow a fast and efficient response to new man-made

antibiotic molecules, while in environmental genomes, specific resistance mechanisms

targeted to specific antibiotic molecules may have had more time to evolve to specific

strategies.

Another possible explanation is that extrusion can also be implicated in pathogenic

mechanisms of human associated pathogens as well as bacteria-host interactions. For

example, biofilm formation in a *Staphylococcus aureus* methicillin resistant (MRSA) strain has

been known to be essentially reliant on the activity of fibronectin binding proteins (37), and

multi-drug efflux pumps have direct implications with the formation and maintenance of such

biofilms (38). Furthermore quinolone resistant *S. aureus* strains up regulate the production of

fibronectin binding proteins when subjected to sub-lethal dosages of ciprofloxacin (39). It has

also been acknowledged that physiological levels of some cations present within the host

promote the up regulation of genes encoding putative efflux transporters, oxidoreductases,

and mechanisms of iron uptake either in *Acinetobacter baumannii* (40) as in *Burkholderia*

cenocepacia (41), which could explain the co-association of iron acquisition systems with

those of multi-drug efflux pumps.

We show here that, even after correcting for protein family richness, there is a correlation

between the diversity of antibiotic resistance and virulence traits in human gut microbiomes

and in environmental microbiomes. Interestingly, this correlation varies from a human

population to another. Human antibiotic exposure due, either to therapy or to the

environment and food, can have an effect on selecting for potentially pathogenic bacteria in

the human gut microbiomes. It can also drive and shape changes on the gene pool of

microbiomes. This means that, by selecting for resistant bacteria, we might be also selecting

for more virulent strains, as a side effect of antimicrobial therapy.

Materials and methods

Metagenomic datasets

Our human gut query cohort included 110 previously studied, and publicly available metagenomes pertaining to individuals from different regions of Venezuela, Malawi, and the US, as well as a broad age span (0.05 to 53 years) (23) (dataset of the project mgp98 "Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography (WGS)" at the MG-RAST metagenomic analysis server). All the metagenomes files were generated by the same team and project, and by using the same bioinformatics pipeline. Our environmental cohort comprised 64 previously selected, and publicly available environmental metagenomes, belonging to 12 different biomes (dataset collection of the metagenomes referred in the "Metagenomic mining for microbiologists" study) (22). Although Delmont's team report using a dataset comprised of 77 metagenomes, there are only 70 MG-RAST accession numbers present in the article's appendix, of which only 64 are publicly available. Both project's metagenomes were downloaded from MG-RAST on the 29th of January 2016, under FASTA format, making use of successive calls to its Application Programming Interface (API) (42) using the respective MG-RAST's accession numbers available in the aforementioned bibliography. Each FASTA file comprised clustered protein-coding sequences, retrieved from MG-RAST's file-formatting pipeline (550.cluster.aa90.faa files). The protein sequences enclosed in these files are clustered at 90% identity, containing non-redundant translated sequences. These protein-coding formatted FASTA files contain the translation of one representative from each cluster. Thus, the numbers of protein sequences for a given metagenome used here represent its richness.

BLAST, VFDB and Resfams

For every metagenome present in our query, a BLASTP (43) search was performed against the VFDB database for bacterial virulence factors families (Virulence Factors of Pathogenic Bacteria VF.pfasta dataset of the Institute of Pathogen Biology, China) (24) and the Resfams AR Proteins database of bacterial antibiotic resistance protein families (Resfams AR Proteins

- v1.2 database of the Dantas Lab at the Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis)

(44). An alternative approach made use of the Antibiotic Resistance Genes Database (ARDB)

(reference antibiotic resistance genes dataset resisGenes.pfasta of the Center for

Bioinformatics and Computational Biology University of Maryland) (45), but several

hindrances concerning its sub-classification by functional antibiotic resistance protein

families made us discard the possibility of using such database to address of AR and VF

protein family co-occurrence in metagenomes.

The BLAST+ executables package was downloaded on the 17th of November 2015 (ncbi-

blast-2.2.31+ version). The VFDB was downloaded on the 11th of November 2013 (31

classified FASTA files of bacterial virulence factor sub-families), and the Resfams database

was downloaded on the 29th of January 2016, (123 classified FASTA files of bacterial

antibiotic resistance protein subfamilies. Every protein enclosed in each of the addressed

metagenomes, was used as a query in order to search for similarities to either AR or VF

protein-coding traits. Hence, we aimed at retrieving the best hit (best scored alignment) that

enabled us to assign an AR or VF function to each of the aforementioned metagenomic

proteins. Every BLASTP search was performed with a very stringent e-value cut-off of 1e-15

(10 orders of magnitude lower than the conservative commonly used E-value of 1e-5). Next,

we filtered the resulting output files, as to only retrieve the alignment hits with >60%

coverage of the query size, and whose query and subject length ratio is between 0.75 and 1.5.

When using a combination of this very stringent e-value of 1e-15 together with coverage, and

length ratio filters, we expect to only retrieve true homologues, avoiding false positives.

Furthermore, from all the generated alignments between our metagenomic query cohort and

the preceding databases, we computed the representative counts for the different gene

families that coded for either AR or VF traits. Thus, the amounts of different classes (gene

families) that are present in a given metagenome represent its diversity in terms of AR or VF

traits.

We have also removed hits for proteins that aligned with both antibiotic resistance and

virulence factor proteins (25.9% of hits against the VFDB, and 29,9% of the hits against the

Resfams database). The abovementioned filters and algorithms were implemented making

use of Unix scripting languages (GNU Awk version 4.0.1, and Z-Shell version 5.0.2), under a

Linux environment.

Statistical Analysis

To test for relationships in metagenomes between the presence of both antibiotic resistance

and virulence factors traits, we proceeded as follows. Our expectation is that the diversity

number for homologues of antibiotic resistance protein families (henceforth denoted as ARd)

and the diversity number for homologues of virulence factors protein families (denoted as

VFd) in each metagenome (clustered at 90% identity) increases with the protein family

richness of the latter (being that the protein family richness here means the total number of

cluster representative proteins). Given the potential diversity of these protein families, one

does not expect ARd and VFd to level off with a metagenome's protein family richness.

Therefore, we assume a linear relationship between ARd and metagenome's protein family

richness, with a fixed 0 intercept. The same for VFd. Our results will show that these

assumptions are reasonable. Thus, to avoid spurious correlation, we corrected the diversity of

ARd and VFd in a metagenome by taking into account its protein family richness: we have

computed the partial correlations between ARd and VFd given the metagenome richness,

and equivalently, when plotting ARd versus VFd, we have instead taken residuals of

regression of ARd on metagenome richness and residuals of regression of VFd on

metagenome richness. Recall that the partial correlation measures the degree of association

between two random variables, with the effect of a set of controlling random variables

removed. For example, the partial correlation between A and B given a third variable C

correlated with A and B, is the correlation between the residuals e_a and e_b resulting from the

linear regression of A with C and of B with C. If r_{AB}, r_{AC} and r_{BC} are the correlation coefficients

between A and B, A and C, and B and C, the partial correlation between A and B given C,

$r_{AB.C}$, can be computed as $r_{AB.C} = \frac{r_{AB} - r_{AC}r_{BC}}{\sqrt{1-r^2_{AC}}\sqrt{1-r^2_{BC}}}$.

Acknowledgements

We thank Eduardo P. C. Rocha for critical reading of the manuscript and constant interest

and suggestions since the beginning of this work, Guillaume Sapriel for suggestions to
improve the methods, Pedro David, Eric Duchaud, Guillaume Achaz, and all the members of
our teams for the fruitful discussions, Octávio Paulo, Francisco Pina-Martins and Sophie
Brouillet for the technical help, and granting access to the servers.

Conceived and designed the experiments: JP TN. Performed the experiments: PE. Analysed
the data: JP FD TN. Wrote the paper: JP FD TN.

392 References

1. Castanon JIR. 2007. History of the use of antibiotic as growth promoters in European
poultry feeds. Poult Sci 86:2466–2471.

2. Sender R, Fuchs S, Milo R. 2016. Revised Estimates for the Number of Human and
Bacteria Cells in the Body. PLoS Biol 14:e1002533.

3. Francino MP. 2015. Antibiotics and the Human Gut Microbiome: Dysbioses and
Accumulation of Resistances. Front Microbiol 6:1543.

4. Wright GD. 2007. The antibiotic resistome: the nexus of chemical and genetic diversity.
Nat Rev Microbiol 5:175–186.

5. Svara F, Rankin DJ. 2011. The evolution of plasmid-carried antibiotic resistance. BMC
Evol Biol 11:130.

6. Nogueira T, Rankin DJ, Touchon M, Taddei F, Brown SP, Rocha EPC. 2009. Horizontal
gene transfer of the secretome drives the evolution of bacterial cooperation and
virulence. Curr Biol 19:1683–1691.

7. Nogueira T, Touchon M, Rocha EPC. 2012. Rapid evolution of the sequences and gene
repertoires of secreted proteins in bacteria. PLoS One 7:e49403.

8.   Beceiro A, Tomas M, Bou G. 2013. Antimicrobial Resistance and Virulence: a Successful
or Deleterious Association in the Bacterial World? Clin Microbiol Rev 26:185–230.

9.   Sommer MOA, Church GM, Dantas G. 2010. The human microbiome harbors a diverse
reservoir of antibiotic resistance genes. Virulence 1:299–303.

10. Andersson DI, Hughes D. 2014. Microbiological effects of sublethal levels of antibiotics.
Nat Rev Microbiol 12:465–478.

11. Vega NM, Gore J. 2014. Collective antibiotic resistance: mechanisms and implications.
Curr Opin Microbiol 21:28–34.

12. Dionisio F, Matic I, Radman M, Rodrigues OR, Taddei F. 2002. Plasmids spread very fast
in heterogeneous bacterial communities. Genetics 162:1525–1532.

13. Gama JA, Zilhão R, Dionisio F. 2017. Multiple plasmid interference – Pledging allegiance
to my enemy's enemy. Plasmid 93:17–23.

14. Gama JA, Zilhão R, Dionisio F. 2017. Conjugation efficiency depends on intra and
intercellular interactions between distinct plasmids: Plasmids promote the immigration
of other plasmids but repress co-colonizing plasmids. Plasmid 93:6–16.

15. Wright G. 2005. Bacterial resistance to antibiotics: Enzymatic degradation and
modification. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 57:1451–1470.

16. Nicoloff H, Andersson DI. 2015. Indirect resistance to several classes of antibiotics in
cocultures with resistant bacteria expressing antibiotic-modifying or -degrading
enzymes. J Antimicrob Chemother 71:100–110.

17. Sorg RA, Lin L, van Doorn GS, Sorg M, Olson J, Nizet V, Veening J-W. 2016. Collective
Resistance in Microbial Communities by Intracellular Antibiotic Deactivation. PLoS Biol
14:e2000631.

18. Domingues IL, Gama JA, Carvalho LM, Dionisio F. 2017. Social behaviour involving drug
resistance: the role of initial density, initial frequency and population structure in
shaping the effect of antibiotic resistance as a public good. Heredity 119:295–301.

19. Ponomarova O, Patil KR. 2015. Metabolic interactions in microbial communities:

untangling the Gordian knot. Curr Opin Microbiol 27:37–44.

20. Rosenthal AZ, Matson EG, Eldar A, Leadbetter JR. 2011. RNA-seq reveals cooperative

metabolic interactions between two termite-gut spirochete species in co-culture. ISME J

5:1133–1142.

21. Foster KR, Bell T. 2012. Competition, Not Cooperation, Dominates Interactions among

Culturable Microbial Species. Curr Biol 22:1845–1850.

22. Delmont TO, Malandain C, Prestat E, Larose C, Monier J-M, Simonet P, Vogel TM. 2011.

Metagenomic mining for microbiologists. ISME J 5:1837–1843.

23. Yatsunenko T, Rey FE, Manary MJ, Trehan I, Dominguez-Bello MG, Contreras M, Magris

M, Hidalgo G, Baldassano RN, Anokhin AP, Heath AC, Warner B, Reeder J, Kuczynski J,

Caporaso JG, Lozupone CA, Lauber C, Clemente JC, Knights D, Knight R, Gordon JI.

2012. Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. Nature 486:222–227.

24. Chen L, Xiong Z, Sun L, Yang J, Jin Q. 2012. VFDB 2012 update: toward the genetic

diversity and molecular evolution of bacterial virulence factors. Nucleic Acids Res

40:D641–5.

25. WHO. Global Health Observatory (GHO) data. WHO.

26. UNICEF. Committing to Child Survival: A Promise Renewed – Progress Report 2015.

UNICEF.

27. Forslund K, Sunagawa S, Kultima JR, Mende DR, Arumugam M, Typas A, Bork P. 2013.

Country-specific antibiotic use practices impact the human gut resistome. Genome Res

23:1163–1169.

28. Martinez JL. 2008. Antibiotics and Antibiotic Resistance Genes in Natural Environments.

Science 321:365–367.

29. Martínez JL, Baquero F. 2002. Interactions among strategies associated with bacterial

infection: pathogenicity, epidemicity, and antibiotic resistance. Clin Microbiol Rev

15:647–679.

30. Fitzpatrick D, Walsh F. 2016. Antibiotic resistance genes across a wide variety of
metagenomes. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 92.

31. Ghosh TS, Gupta SS, Nair GB, Mande SS. 2013. In silico analysis of antibiotic resistance
genes in the gut microflora of individuals from diverse geographies and age-groups. PLoS
One 8:e83823.

32. Arumugam M, Raes J, Pelletier E, Le Paslier D, Yamada T, Mende DR, Fernandes GR, Tap
J, Bruls T, Batto J-M, Bertalan M, Borruel N, Casellas F, Fernandez L, Gautier L, Hansen
T, Hattori M, Hayashi T, Kleerebezem M, Kurokawa K, Leclerc M, Levenez F, Manichanh
C, Bjørn Nielsen H, Nielsen T, Pons N, Poulain J, Qin J, Sicheritz-Ponten T, Tims S,
Torrents D, Ugarte E, Zoetendal EG, JunWang, Guarner F, Pedersen O, de Vos WM,
Brunak S, Doré J, MetaHIT Consortium, Weissenbach J, Dusko Ehrlich S, Bork P. 2011.
Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome. Nature 474:666–666.

33. Ghosh TS, Gupta SS, Bhattacharya T, Yadav D, Barik A, Chowdhury A, Das B, Mande SS,
Nair GB. 2014. Gut microbiomes of Indian children of varying nutritional status. PLoS One
9:e95547.

34. Pehrsson EC, Tsukayama P, Patel S, Mejía-Bautista M, Sosa-Soto G, Navarrete KM,
Calderon M, Cabrera L, Hoyos-Arango W, Bertoli MT, Berg DE, Gilman RH, Dantas G.
2016. Interconnected microbiomes and resistomes in low-income human habitats. Nature
533:212–216.

35. Alcoba G, Kerac M, Breysse S, Salpeteur C, Galetto-Lacour A, Briend A, Gervaix A. 2013.
Do children with uncomplicated severe acute malnutrition need antibiotics? A
systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 8:e53184.

36. Makoka MH, Miller WC, Hoffman IF, Cholera R, Gilligan PH, Kamwendo D, Malunga G,
Joaki G, Martinson F, Hosseinipour MC. 2012. Bacterial infections in Lilongwe, Malawi:
aetiology and antibiotic resistance. BMC Infect Dis 12:67.

37. McCourt J, O'Halloran DP, McCarthy H, O'Gara JP, Geoghegan JA. 2014. Fibronectin-

binding proteins are required for biofilm formation by community-associated methicillin-
resistant Staphylococcus aureus strain LAC. FEMS Microbiol Lett 353:157–164.

38. Soto SM. 2013. Role of efflux pumps in the antibiotic resistance of bacteria embedded in a
biofilm. Virulence 4:223–229.

39. Bisognano C, Kelley WL, Estoppey T, Francois P, Schrenzel J, Li D, Lew DP, Hooper DC,
Cheung AL, Vaudaux P. 2004. A recA-LexA-dependent pathway mediates ciprofloxacin-
induced fibronectin binding in Staphylococcus aureus. J Biol Chem 279:9064–9071.

40. Hood MI, Jacobs AC, Sayood K, Dunman PM, Skaar EP. 2010. Acinetobacter baumannii
increases tolerance to antibiotics in response to monovalent cations. Antimicrob Agents
Chemother 54:1029–1041.

41. Drevinek P, Holden MTG, Ge Z, Jones AM, Ketchell I, Gill RT, Mahenthiralingam E. 2008.
Gene expression changes linked to antimicrobial resistance, oxidative stress, iron
depletion and retained motility are observed when Burkholderia cenocepacia grows in
cystic fibrosis sputum. BMC Infect Dis 8.

42. Wilke A, Bischof J, Harrison T, Brettin T, D'Souza M, Gerlach W, Matthews H, Paczian T,
Wilkening J, Glass EM, Desai N, Meyer F. 2015. A RESTful API for accessing microbial
community data for MG-RAST. PLoS Comput Biol 11:e1004008.

43. Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. 1997.
Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs.
Nucleic Acids Res 25:3389–3402.

44. Gibson MK, Forsberg KJ, Dantas G. 2015. Improved annotation of antibiotic resistance
determinants reveals microbial resistomes cluster by ecology. ISME J 9:207–216.

45. Liu B, Pop M. 2009. ARDB--Antibiotic Resistance Genes Database. Nucleic Acids Res
37:D443–D447.

  Figure captions

Figure 1. Distribution of the diversity number of antibiotic resistance and virulence

factor protein families by environmental metagenomes' protein family richness

The vertical axes represent the total diversity count of AR protein families' homologues (ARd)

(1. A) and VF protein families' homologues (VFd) (1.B), present in metagenomes. The

horizontal axes represent the protein family richness of the metagenome, that is, the number

of cluster representative sequences - see Methods. Each dot represents one of the 64

metagenomes. In 1.A (resp.1B), the black lines represent the regressions of the ARd (resp.

VFd) of all the metagenomes on the metagenome richness. The points are scattered showing

that the diversity of AR and even more the diversity of VF gene families can vary greatly from

metagenome to metagenome. The light green lines represent the linear regressions of the

ARd (resp. VFd) of the human faeces metagenomes subset on metagenome richness.

Figure 2. Distribution of the diversity number of antibiotic resistance and virulence

factor protein families in human gut metagenomes versus metagenome protein

family richness

The vertical axes represent the total diversity count of AR protein families' homologues (ARd)

(2. A) and VF protein families' homologues (VFd) (2.B), present in the 110 human gut

metagenomes (see Material and Method). As in Figure 1, the horizontal axes represent the

protein family richness of the metagenomes. Each dot represents one of the 110 human gut

metagenomes. In 2.A (resp.2B), the black lines represent the regressions of the ARd (resp.

VFd) of all the metagenomes on the metagenome richness. The green lines represent the

linear regression of the ARd (resp. VFd) of the USA metagenomes subset on metagenome

richness, the red lines are regression lines for the Malawian metagenomes, and the blue lines

are those for the Venezuelan metagenomes.

Figure 3. Distribution of AR by VF diversity in environmental metagenomes.

Scatter plot ARd residuals versus VFd residuals of each environmental and human faeces 61

metagenomes (see Material and Method). Residuals are taken from the regressions shown in

Figure 1.A and 1.B. Each dot represents a metagenome. The green line represents the

regression line of ARd residuals environmental metagenomes on VFd residuals (the grey

shading is the 95% confidence interval) and its slope is 0.44. The red line represents the

regression line of ARd residuals of human faeces metagenomes on VFd residuals and the

slope is 0.63. The difference in slopes is significant, but not very significant, with p-value of

3e-02.

Figure 4. Distribution of AR by VF diversity in Human gut metagenomes.

Scatter plot ARd residuals versus VFd residuals of each human gut 110 metagenomes.

Residuals are taken from the regressions shown in Figure 2.A and 2.B. Each dot represents a

metagenome. In 2.A (resp.2B), the black lines represent the regression of the ARd residuals

on VFd residuals for all the metagenomes. The green line represents the linear regression of

the ARd residuals on VFd residuals for the USA metagenomes subset, the red line is the

regression line for the Malawian metagenomes, and the blue line is the one for the

Venezuelan metagenomes.

Figure 5. Correlogram of VR and AR protein families.

Partial correlations for AR and VF protein families given metagenome richness have been

computed. The color indicate negative (blue) or positive (red) partial correlation. Intensity of

the color indicates the value. The point sizes indicate the significances of the partial

correlation (white squares indicate no significant correlation).

1. A

1. B

2. A

2. B

3.

4.

5.