The within-subject application of diffusion tensor MRI and

CLARITY reveals brain structural changes in *Nrxn2* deletion

mice

Eleftheria Pervolaraki Ph.D[1]*, Adam L. Tyson MRes[2,3,4]*, Francesca Pibiri

Ph.D[5], Steven L. Poulter Ph.D[5], Amy C. Reichelt Ph.D[6], R. John Rodgers

Ph.D[7], Steven J. Clapcote Ph.D[1], Colin Lever Ph.D[5], Laura C. Andreae MBBS

Ph.D[2,3]*, James Dachtler Ph.D[1,5]*

[1]School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

[2]Centre for Developmental Neurobiology, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology

and Neuroscience, King's College London, London SE1 1UL, UK

[3]MRC Centre for Neurodevelopmental Disorders, King's College London,

London SE1 1UL, UK

[4]Department of Forensic and Neurodevelopmental Sciences, Institute of

Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London

SE5 8AF, UK

[5]Department of Psychology, Durham University, South Road, Durham, DH1

3LE, UK

[6]Robarts Research Institute, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada,

N6A 5B7, Canada

[7]School of Psychology, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

*These authors contributed equally.

Address for Correspondence: Dr J Dachtler, Department of Psychology,

Durham University, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK. Email:

james.dachtler@durham.ac.uk

Abstract

Background

Of the many genetic mutations known to increase the risk of autism spectrum

disorder, a large proportion cluster upon synaptic proteins. One such family of

presynaptic proteins are the neurexins (NRXN), and recent genetic and

mouse evidence has suggested a causative role for *NRXN2* in generating

altered social behaviours. Autism has been conceptualised as a disorder of

atypical connectivity, yet how single-gene mutations affect such connectivity

remains under-explored. To attempt to address this, we have developed a

quantitative analysis of microstructure and structural connectivity leveraging

diffusion tensor MRI (DTI) with high-resolution 3D imaging in optically cleared

(CLARITY) brain tissue in the same mouse, applied here to the *Nrxn2α*

knockout (KO) model.

Methods

Fixed brains of *Nrxn2α* KO mice underwent DTI using 9.4T MRI, and diffusion

properties of socially-relevant brain regions were quantified. The same tissue

was then subjected to CLARITY to immunolabel axons and cell bodies, which

were also quantified.

Results

DTI revealed increases in fractional anisotropy in the amygdala (including the

basolateral nuclei), the anterior cingulate cortex, the orbitofrontal cortex and

the hippocampus. Axial diffusivity of the anterior cingulate cortex and

orbitofrontal cortex was significantly increased in *Nrxn2α* KO mice, as were

tracts between the amygdala and the orbitofrontal cortex. Using CLARITY, we

find significantly altered axonal orientation in the amygdala, orbitofrontal

cortex and the anterior cingulate cortex, which was unrelated to cell density.

Conclusions

Our findings demonstrate that deleting a single neurexin gene (*Nrxn2α*)

induces atypical structural connectivity within socially-relevant brain regions.

More generally, our combined within-subject DTI and CLARITY approach

presents a new, more sensitive method of revealing hitherto undetectable

differences in the autistic brain.

Key Words

MRI, CLARITY, social, autism, axons, diffusion, structure, imaging

Background

Autism is a common neurodevelopmental disorder, which is highly heritable

(1). While heritability is high, it is also clear that autism is highly polygenic.

Around ~400-1000 genes are involved in autism susceptibility (2-5). Many of

these genes cluster upon proteins relating to synaptic signaling (6). A family of

presynaptic proteins garnering recent interest have been the neurexins

(*NRXNs*). NRXNs are encoded by three genes (*NRXN1, NRXN2, NRXN3;*

note that *CNTNAP1* and *CNTNAP2* are sometimes referred to as *NRXN4*), of

which two major isoforms exist: the longer α proteins with six

laminin/neurexin/sex hormone (LNS) binding domains, and the shorter β

proteins with one LNS binding domain (7, 8).

Mutations within all three *NRXN* genes have been linked to autism (6).

Heterozygous deletions within *NRXN2* have been identified in a number of

individuals with autistic phenotypes. These include an autistic boy and his

father (who had severe language delay but not autism) who both had a

frameshift mutation within exon 12 of *NRXN2* (9); a 570-kb de novo deletion

of 24 genes at chromosome 11q13.1, including *NRXN2*, in a 21-year old man

displaying a clinical phenotype including autistic traits (10); a 1.6Mb deletion

at chromosome region 11q12.3-11q13.1, including *NRXN2*, in a 23-year old

man with intellectual disability and behavioral problems (11); a de novo

frameshift mutation identified in a Chinese man with autism spectrum disorder

(ASD) (12), a 921 kb microdeletion at 11q13 in a 2 year old boy who had

language and developmental delay (although did not meet the autism

diagnosis criteria) (13) and a paternally inherited microRNA miR-873-5p

variant in an ASD individual which altered binding affinity for several risk-

genes including *NRXN2* and *CNTNAP2* (*NRXN4*) (14). Furthermore, recently,

two large-scale reports have identified *NRXN2* with ASD risk. A study of 529

ASD patients and 1,923 controls in a Chinese population identified two

NRXN2 variants which significantly increase ASD risk (15). The second study

employed machine learning approaches across 5000 ASD families to rank the

importance of ASD candidate genes, and ranks *NRXN2* in the top ~0.5% of

genes, i.e. 113th (16). For comparison, *NRXN1*, for which the evidence base

for its links to ASD is broader and stronger, ranks 45, and *CNTNAP2* ranks

211th (16). Consistent with these association studies, we and others have

previously found that homozygous or heterozygous deletion of *Nrxn2α*

induces impairment in social approach and social recognition (17-19). In

summary, although mutations within *NRXN2* are rare, understanding how they

may drive social, ASD-relevant behavioural changes is important. One

important goal is to help elucidate how apparently convergent

pathophysiology in ASD emerges despite marked genetic heterogeneity

(Insert ref Geschwind & State, 2015 cited above); mapping brain alterations

driven by different single genes is thus a crucial task.

Currently it is unknown whether deletion of *Nrxn2α* changes the brain's

microstructure and connectivity. One previous study found coarse alterations

to cell layer thickness within the hippocampus of *Nrxn2α* homozygous KOs

(20). However, cell density measurements are unlikely to reveal the true

extent of changes within the autistic brain. Within the current study, we have

addressed this by developing a dual imaging approach (DTI and CLARITY)

that quantifies the alignment and density of white matter, applied here to brain

regions known to support social behavior in a mouse model of autism.

Diffusion tensor MRI (or DTI) is based upon the movement of water

molecules, a measure that is termed fractional anisotropy (FA). Apparent

diffusion coefficient (ADC) is similar to FA, but quantifies diffusion restriction

as opposed to the spatial symmetry of diffusion. This approach has been used

to explore neuropathological markers in autistic patients; alterations in

myelination, axonal abundance, size and orientation all modify FA and ADC

values (21-23). Using the preferred direction of the diffusion of tensors

between brain regions can be used to explore their potential connection.

Quantification of those computed streamlines by FA and axial and/or radial

diffusion can indicate impairments in regional structural connectivity. Since

aberrant brain connectivity is likely a core feature of autism (24), we reasoned

that the candidate method for probing the autistic brain should combine

tractographic techniques. Accordingly, here, we combined high resolution

imaging of labelled neuronal tracts in brains rendered transparent by

CLARITY with DTI.

CLARITY is a recent development that renders tissue optically transparent

and macromolecule permeable (25). This permits antibody staining and

imaging of much larger tissue volumes than possible under traditional

immunofluorescence techniques. By examining fiber orientation without

sectioning-related artefacts and biases, axonal staining in cleared tissue

affords a deeper understanding of the microstructure and structural

connectivity of a brain region.

Given the social impairments found within *Nrxn2α* mice, we sought to

examine those brain regions most closely linked with social behavior (See

Supplemental Materials). Briefly, we identified four regions of interest (ROIs):

the amygdala, and three brain regions strongly and directly connected to the

amygdala; the hippocampus, orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), and anterior cingulate

cortex (ACC). As predicted, structural connectivity was abnormal in *Nrxn2α*

mice.

Methods

Ethics

All procedures were approved by the University of Leeds and Durham

University Animal Ethical and Welfare Review Boards and were performed

under UK Home Office Project and Personal Licenses in accordance with the

Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986.

Animals

Full details of the animals, their background, genotyping and housing can be

found elsewhere (17). In brief, male B6;129-

Nrxn3tm1Sud/*Nrxn1tm1Sud*/*Nrxn2tm1Sud*/J mice (JAX #006377) were

purchased from the Jackson Laboratory and outbred once to the

C57BL/6NCrl strain (Charles River, Margate, United Kingdom) to obtain mice

that were individually Nrxn2α KO heterozygotes. Subsequently, HET knockout

males were bred with HET females (cousin mating).

Experimental animals

6 adult wild-type males (Charles River, Margate, UK) and 6 age matched

littermate Nrxn2α KO homozygotes (71 days ± 6 days old (SEM)) were

perfused-fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer

saline (PBS) and the brains extracted. The brains were immersed in 4%

PFA/0.1 M PBS for a minimum of 48 hours prior to imaging. Mouse weights

were no specifically taken prior to perfusion. However, in a separate cohort,

wild-type and Nrxn2α KO homozygotes did not significantly differ in body

mass (wild-type, n = 15, 30.9 ± 4.1 g; Nrxn2 KO, n = 10, 28.6 ± 4.3 g, t-test p

= 0.167). We did not specifically time perfusions, although as a matter of

process, each mouse was perfused with ~60 ml of fixative. We cannot rule out

that variance in perfusion timings may have influenced the results, which is a

limitation of the current study. During imaging, the samples were placed in

custom-built MR-compatible tubes containing Fomblin Y (Sigma, Poole,

Dorset, UK).

Due to the relatively low variance, and owing to the complexity and

methodological nature in our experimental approach, we achieved

significance by groups of 6 (power provided in Results). No data was

excluded from the study. Sample randomisation was performed by JD, with

experimenters (EP and ALT) blinded to genotype.

Data Acquisition

Image acquisition has been described elsewhere (26). Each brain was 3D

imaged using the protocol TE: 35 ms, TR: 700 ms and 10 signal averages.

The field of view was set at 128 x 128 x 128, with a cubic resolution of 100

µm/pixel and a b value of 1200 s/mm^2. Further details can be found in

Supplemental Materials.

Image Processing

Parsing of the raw data was semi-automated using DSI Studio, in order to

obtain b-values for every normalized gradient vector on the x, y and z

orientations. Unwanted background, setting a threshold, smoothing of the

data and definition of tissue boundaries was performed prior to the

reconstruction of the final 3D image. DTI analysis parameters were calculated

as previously described (27).

The *ex vivo* mouse brain 3D diffusion-weighted images were reconstructed

from the Bruker binary file using DSI Studio (http://dsi-studio.labsolver.org)

(28). Direction Encoded Colour Map (DEC) images were generated by

combining the information from the primary eigenvectors, diffusion images

and the FA. Images of the primary vectors and their orientation were

reconstructed and superimposed on corresponding FA images to guide the

segmentation of discrete anatomical locations according to the brain atlas

(Figure 1B-D). Region of interest definition was performed by author EP and

corroborated independently by JD, with region area compared between the

experimenters (data not shown). For whole brain region analysis, we used a

similar approach, except regions were segmented for every other slice in the

anterior to posterior extent (Figure 1A-D; Supp. Figure 1) (29). The DSI Studio

DTI reconstruction characterizes the major diffusion direction of the fibre

within the brain (30, 31). Extraction of FA (calculated (26)) and ADC was

performed within selected segmented brain areas for every 3D reconstructed

mouse brain.

Regions of Interest (ROIs)

Our DTI approach was to undertake an *a posteriori* analysis of neural

organization in regions of interest (ROIs) identified by previous literature as

socially-relevant. Given the social impairments found within *Nrxn2α* mice, for

the current study, we identified the brain regions of interest (ROIs) most

closely linked with social behavior, using previously published reports of brain

region involvement in social behaviour. Quantification of c-Fos

immunoreactivity has highlighted the importance of several amygdala nuclei

(including the basolateral) following social exposure (32), but also the anterior

cingulate cortex (ACC), prefrontal cortex and the hippocampus (33). Lesions

to the primate amygdala alter social interactions (34, 35), and amygdala

neurons in primates including humans increase firing rates during social

scenarios (36-38). Consistent with these animal studies, amygdala damage in

humans (39) and amygdala dysfunction in ASD patients (40) impair social

responses. Other socially-important brain regions have also been proposed.

Notably, several studies have implicated the rodent hippocampus in social

behavior, including social memory and sociability (41-43). For instance,

intrahippocampal administration of neurolide-2, which interacts with α-

neurexin, specifically impairs sociability, but not anxiety and spatial learning in

rats (44). These findings are consistent with reports of social deficits in

humans with hippocampal damage (45) and hippocampal abnormalities in

ASD (46, 47). Finally, several studies link the frontal cortex, particularly the

orbitofrontal cortex, which is strongly anatomically connected with the

amygdala(48), to social processing (49, 50), consistent with findings of

abnormalities in orbitofrontal cortex in ASD (48, 51). Control regions of the

primary motor cortex (M1), primary sensory cortex (S1) and the barrel field

were chosen for CLARITY (Supp. Figure 7N-O).

CLARITY

Following MR imaging, the brains were washed in PBS to remove all Fomblin

Y and then incubated for 7 days in hydrogel solution at 4°C prior to

polymerisation at 37°C for 3.5 hours. The tissue was cut into 1.5 mm coronal

sections using a custom 3D-printed brain-slicing matrix based on MRI scans

of an adult C57BL/6 mouse brain (52) and incubated in clearing buffer for 24

days at 37°C with shaking. The cleared tissue was then washed in PBSTN$_3$

(0.1% TritonX-100 and 1.5 mM sodium azide in PBS) for 24 hours at room

temperature and incubated in primary antibody solution (neurofilament (Aves

NF-H) 1:100 in PBSTN$_3$) at 37°C with shaking for 13 days. Samples were

washed, and then incubated in secondary antibody (AlexaFluor 488 goat anti-

chicken IgY) as per the primary. Sections were washed again, and incubated

in 3.6 μM DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) followed by 85% glycerol in

PBS for refractive index matching.

Cleared samples were imaged using a Zeiss 7MP multiphoton microscope at

770 nm using a 20x objective lens (W Plan-Apochromat, NA 1.0, WD 1.7

mm). Images (512 x 512 x 512 voxels or 265 x 265 x 265 μm with an isotropic

resolution of 520 nm) were acquired in ACC, basolateral (BLA) and

basomedial amygdala and OFC) in both hemispheres. DAPI and

neurofilament signal was segmented into cell nuclei and axons, and the

resulting binary images were used to generate values for cell density, axonal

density and axonal alignment.

Full CLARITY methodological details are available within Supplemental

Materials.

Data Availability

Codes to analyse CLARITY datasets are made available by author LCA by

email request to either JD or LCA, subject to reference to the current paper.

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available

from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Data Analysis

All data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). To

assess the variance between genotypes within a single brain structure across

hemispheres (given the importance of hemispheric differences in ASD (53)),

data was analyzed by within subject repeated measures two-way ANOVAs,

with Sidak multiple corrections employed on post hoc testing, or unpaired T-

tests. To correct for multiple comparisons, we employed the Benjamini-

Hochberg Procedure (corrected P values stated). Non-significant statistical

results, particularly hemisphere comparisons, can be found in Supplemental

Materials. Statistical testing and graphs were made using GraphPad Prism

version 6 and SPSS v22.

Results

Nrxn2α **deletion disrupts DTI measures of microstructure in social brain**

regions

To assess whether *Nrxn2α* deletion alters gross morphology, we quantified

whole brain volume using DTI. We found total brain volume for wild-types and

*Nrxn2*α KOs was similar (456.0 ± 14.76 vs. 466.2 ± 11.0 mm^3 (respectively);

$t_{(10)} = 0.55$, $p = 0.59$). Thus, Nrxn2α deletion does not change total brain size.

To quantitatively measure DTI, we examined FA and ADC. FA analyses

changes in the linear orientation (i.e. along an axonal tract), whereas ADC

(mean diffusivity) averages diffusion in all directions (i.e. the X, Y and Z axis),

which is sensitive to changes such as altered alignment. The amygdala is

critically important for social behaviours. To assess whether amygdalar

alterations might account for social impairments in Nrxn2α KO mice, we

segmented the whole amygdala structure and the basolateral nuclei along the

anterior-posterior axis.

The amygdala showed a significant increase in FA in *Nrxn2α* KO mice (Figure

2A) (genotype ($F_{(1, 10)}$ = 11.15, p = 0.022, power = 85.2%)). There was a FA

reduction was observed specifically in the BLA, a region strongly associated

with social behaviours (Figure 2B; genotype ($F_{(1, 10)}$ = 6.31, p = 0.049)). ADC

was not significantly altered in the whole amygdala or BLA (Figure 2C&D; all

genotype: $F_{(1, 10)}$ <1).

We conducted the same analysis for the two prefrontal regions implicated in

social behaviour and autism: the OFC and ACC. The pattern of results was

similar for both regions: FA was significantly altered, while ADC was

unaffected (Figure 3A&B) and the ACC (Figure 3E&F). FA for the OFC was

significantly increased (genotype: ($F_{(1, 10)}$ = 16.14, p = 0.009, power = 95.0%))

but ADC was similar between the genotypes (genotype: ($F_{(1, 10)}$ = 1.43, p =

0.11)). The ACC also had significantly increased FA ($t_{(10)}$ = 2.55, p = 0.03,

power = 71.0%) but ADC was unaltered ($t_{(10)}$ = 0.51, p = 0.618).

We sought to examine whether changes in the amygdala, OFC or ACC FA

and ADC were driven by diffusion in the primary axis (λ_1) or the radial

orientations (λ_2 and λ_3) by characterisation of AD (primary) and RD (radial).

Within the amygdala, neither AD or RD was significantly altered in *Nrxn2α* KO

mice (Figure 2E AD: genotype: $F_{(1,10)}$ = 3.06, p = 0.111, Figure 2F RD:

genotype: $F_{(1,10)}$ = 2.47, p = 0.147). Within the OFC (Figure 3C&D), AD was

significantly increased (genotype: ($F_{(1, 10)}$ = 6.71, p = 0.032, power = 64.7%)),

whereas RD was significantly decreased (genotype: ($F_{(1, 10)}$ = 10.07, p =

0.025, power = 81.5%)), suggesting that both along-tract diffusion and tract

branching were affected. However, in the ACC (Figure 3G-H), only AD was

significantly increased ($t_{(10)}$ = 3.89, p = 0.019, power = 96.9%), with no

alteration in RD ($t_{(10)}$ = 1.35, p = 0.10). Increased AD and decreased RD is

thought to reflect changes in axonal density or orientation (54).

341 DTI reveals altered hippocampal microstructure in *Nrxn2α* KO mice

The hippocampus has recently been associated with social motivation and

social recognition. Since the specific contributions of the dorsal and ventral

hippocampal poles remain unclear, we segmented the whole hippocampus

into anterior (Bregma -1.06 mm – -2.46 mm) (incorporating dorsal) and

posterior (Bregma -2.54 mm – -3.16 mm) (incorporating ventral regions) levels.

FA values in the anterior and posterior hippocampus were significantly

increased (Supp. Figure 4A&E; see figure legend for statistics). However,

ADC was unaltered for the anterior and posterior hippocampus (Supp. Figure

4B&F). AD was significantly increased in both the anterior and posterior

hippocampal regions (Supp. Figure 4C&G). RD was significantly also

significantly decreased in the anterior and posterior hippocampus in *Nrxn2α*

KO mice (Supp. Figure 4D&H).

Lastly, given DTI is most commonly associated with analysis of white matter

tracts, we also quantified the corpus callosum. Changes within the corpus

callosum have repeatedly been highlighted in autism (55, 56), including

mouse models of autism (57, 58). Here, we found significantly increased FA

and reduced ADC in *Nrxn2α* KO mice, which were driven by a significant

reduction in RD (Supp. Figure 6).

In summary, the microstructural measures most altered by *Nrxn2α* deletion

were increases in FA, AD and RD, including in the hippocampus, in line with

recent work suggesting a role for ventral hippocampus in social memory (43).

DTI tractography reveals *Nrxn2α* deletion affects strucutral connectivity

between the amygdala and orbitofrontal cortex

The amygdala is strongly and bidirectionally connected to both the

hippocampus (59) and the OFC (60). As all three regions are themselves

important for social behaviour, and autism is thought to be, at least in part,

related to abnormal structural connectivity (24), we performed tractography

analysis between the amygdala (and specifically the BLA) and the

hippocampus, and between the amygdala and the OFC.

From the anterior amygdala, we examined the diffusivity (AD and RD) of

connections to the anterior and posterior hippocampus (Supp. Figure 6). We

did not observe differences in RD in the tracts connecting the amygdala with

the hippocampus (see Supp. Table 1 for non-significant statistics). Although

AD between the anterior amygdala and anterior hippocampus did not differ by

genotype, there was a significant interaction between genotype and

hemisphere (genotype x hemisphere ($F_{(1, 10)}$ = 12.12, p = 0.023, power =

88.0%; Figure 4A); post hoc analysis shows this was driven by larger right-vs-

left hemisphere AD values within the *Nrxn2α* KOs only (p = 0.012). This

difference could be driven by the BLA; there was increased AD in both the

BLA/anterior hippocampus tracts (genotype x hemisphere ($F_{(1, 10)}$ = 10.53, p =

0.032, power = 83.2%) and the BLA/posterior hippocampus tracts (genotype x

hemisphere ($F_{(1, 10)}$ = 12.97, p = 0.020, power = 90%), which again was

related to larger right-vs-left hemisphere values in the *Nrxn2α* KOs

(BLA/anterior hippocampus: p = 0.004 and BLA/posterior hippocampus: p =

0.001, (Figure 4C-D)) but not the wild-type (anterior: p = 0.87; posterior: p =

1.00). These results indicate that there are differences for the structural

connectivity of the amygdala with the hippocampus within the left and right

hemisphere in *Nrxn2α* KO mice, with increased axial diffusivity in the right

hemisphere. This finding is particularly interesting, as hemispheric differences

in functional connectivity, particularly affecting connections from the right

amygdala, have been found children with ASD (61, 62).

Finally, we tested connections between the amygdala and the OFC. For AD,

wild-type and Nrxn2α KO mice did not differ by genotype (Figure 4E:

genotype: ($F_{(1, 10)}$ = 2.85, p = 0.09), hemisphere: ($F_{(1, 10)}$ = 6.38, p = 0.052). RD

was strikingly higher in *Nrxn2α* KO mice (Figure 4F: genotype: ($F_{(1, 10)}$ = 26.06,

p = 0.023, power = 99.5%)), indicative of a change in demyelination, axonal

density or orientation (54).

CLARITY reveals fibre disruption in *Nrxn2α* KO mice in the amygdala,

orbitofrontal cortex, and anterior cingulate cortex

To further explore the differences as revealed by DTI, we performed CLARITY

on the same brain tissue used in DTI, and stained with neurofilament and

DAPI to label axons and cell bodies, respectively. We were then able to derive

both the axonal alignment (as in, the geometric alignment of axons (from

linear alignment to random) within 3D space (see Supp. Figure 2)) and

density of the stained fibers, in addition to the cell density.

The pattern of results was broadly similar for both the prefrontal cortical ROIs.

That is, first, axonal alignment was increased in *Nrxn2α* KO mice in the ACC

(Figure 5D: genotype: ($F_{(1, 10)}$ = 16.06, p = 0.011, power = 94.9%) but not the

OFC (Figure 5G: genotype: ($F_{(1, 10)}$ = 5.56, p = 0.059). Second, this could not

be explained by a difference in cell density, since that was similar between the

KO and wild-type mice in both the ACC (Figure 5F: genotype: ($F_{(1, 10)}$ <1),

hemisphere: ($F_{(1, 10)}$ = 1.73, p = 0.11) and the OFC (Figure 5H: genotype: ($F_{(1,}$

$_{10)}$ = 3.09, p = 0.08). An increase in axonal density in *Nrxn2α* KO mice was

reliable in the ACC (Figure 5E: genotype: ($F_{(1, 10)}$ = 14.64, p = 0.014, power =

93.0%), but not in the OFC (Figure 5H: genotype: ($F_{(1, 10)}$ = 3.09, p = 0.083).

We further examined two regions of the anterior amygdala, the BLA and

basomedial (BMA) nuclei, where altered social cellular responses have been

reported in human autism (38). We did not observe any significant differences

for axonal alignment or fibre density in the BLA (see Supp. Figure 7A-C), but

whereas axonal alignment (Figure 5J, genotype: $F_{(1, 10)}$ = 7.70, p = 0.045,

power = 70.6%) but not axonal density (Figure 5K: genotype: ($F_{(1, 10)}$ = 6.10, p

= 0.054) was increased in $Nrxn2\alpha$ KO mice in the basomedial nuclei, while

cell density was unaffected (Figure 5L: genotype: ($F_{(1, 10)}$ <1). Alterations in

axonal alignment and density as directly revealed by CLARITY could explain

the increases in diffusivity and RD in the prefrontal regions, as measured by

DTI.

To test the specificity of these alterations, we examined three further brain

regions; the primary motor cortex (M1; Supp. Figure 7D-F), the primary

somatosensory cortex (S1; Supp. Figure 7H-J) and the barrel field (BF; Supp.

Figure 7K-M). Interestingly, although there were differences between the

hemispheres, there were no statistical differences between the genotypes or

genotype x hemisphere interactions for any measure (Supp. Table 2),

suggesting some specificity of the alterations in social-relevant brain regions

in $Nrxn2\alpha$ KO mice.

In summary, in both the prefrontal ROIs, namely the OFC, and the ACC, DTI

showed that ADC and RD were increased in $Nrxn2\alpha$ KO mice, likely related to

complementary analysis from CLARITY showing that axonal alignment was

altered in $Nrxn2\alpha$ KO mice in both prefrontal ROIs.

Pervolaraki et al.

Discussion

Interestingly, the single-gene deletion of *Nrxn2α* captures several key aspects

of human ASD. In terms of behaviour, three studies have now found social

deficits associated with *Nrxn2α* KO (17-19); in terms of brain structure, as

reported here (summarised below), the *Nrxn2α* KO mouse model shows

altered microstructure and structural connectivity patterns in socially-relevant

brain regions reminiscent of changes in ASD.

A DTI approach has been used for some time to explore neuropathological

markers in autistic patients; alterations in myelination, axonal abundance, size

and orientation all modify FA and ADC values (21, 63), specifically by

reducing amygdala FA (23, 63), and have been used as a quantitative

measure of changes to brain white matter integrity (23, 24). However, several

studies have noted increases in FA in ASD patients (see Table 1 of 64).

Furthermore, both increased RD of various white matter tracts (65, 66) and

increased whole-brain AD (66) have been observed in ASD. The *Nrxn2α* KO

mouse reproduces some of these specific changes, including altered FA and

increases in ADC, AD and RD. Whole brain increases in ADC, AD and RD

(but not FA) have been reported in ASD children, as have increases in ADC

and RD in frontal cortex tracts (66). FA has been noted as reduced in the

amygdala in ASD children and adolescents (67), and right-sided lateralisation

of abnormal amygdala/hippocampus-related connections, as seen in our

Nrxn2α KO mouse, has been noted in high-functioning adolescents/adults

with autism (68).

Whilst the current study specifically explores structural connectivity, it is

difficult to extrapolate as to what these structural changes mean for functional

connectivity in the *Nrxn2α* KO mouse. Hyper and hypo connectivity theories of

autism have remained contentious, and vary in humans by cohort studied

(e.g. by age of participant) (69). Further, in studies that have combined resting

state functional MRI (rsfMRI) and DTI, functional and structural connectivity

do not always overlap (70-72). Our current data suggests that DTI differences

can be explained by altered axonal patterning (i.e. CLARITY). Others have

explored the biological mechanisms linking structural connectivity to altered

functional connectivity. Zhan et al. (2014) found that deletion of the

chemokine receptor Cx3cr1 resulted in impaired synaptic pruning of long-

range connections during development, which manifested as impaired social

behavior caused by decreased frontal functional connectivity, reduced

synaptic multiplicity and weakened coherence of local field potentials (73).

Thus, it is possible that impairments in neuronal structural maturation can

generate functional connectivity deficits that encapsulate core autism

phenotypes.

Our findings corroborate these quantifications of clinical autism, but highlights

the question of what do the different measures of ADC, FA, AD and RD

represent? Importantly, we observed these microstructural changes in various

socially-relevant brain regions against a background of unchanged cell

density in all our study's ROIs. Unexpectedly, this highlights the power of our

new approach. Dudanova et al. (2007) concluded from measures of cell

counting and cortical cell layer thickness that NRXN2 played little role in

normal brain development (20). Indeed, in earlier studies, it was suggested

that deletion of all *Nrxns* was unlikely to affect synaptic development but

instead disrupts synaptic function (74). We propose that measures such as

two-dimensional cell counting may be underestimating the impact of genetic

mutations upon normal development. By staining cleared brain tissue with a

nuclear marker and performing automated three-dimensional cell counting, we

found no effect of *Nrxn2α* deletion on cell density in any region of interest

examined. But this belies the clear effects upon microstructure integrity across

multiple regions as measured by both DTI and CLARITY, and its specificity;

only the socially-relevant brain regions we tested were disrupted, and not

primary sensory or motor regions. Future studies will benefit from employing

more sensitive measures of brain structural connectivity to determine the

relevance of genetic mutations in development.

FA and ADC can be influenced by changes in axonal density and alignment

(e.g. by myelination, demyelination, axonal damage, loss of white matter

coherence (75)). It is likely that the axonal alignment metric used to quantify

CLARITY more closely reflects the ADC measure of DTI, given that ADC (or

mean diffusivity) equally weights diffusion across all eigenvectors and does

not bias the primary eigenvector as FA does. Thus, it is likely that alterations

in the properties of axons in *Nrxn2α* KO mice are driving these changes in FA

and ADC. Given we see differences in RD, thought to reflect tract branching

and myelination (as it measures λ_2 and λ_3), it is possible that the orientation in

the perpendicular not parallel orientation of fibres is mostly affected. Given the

differences in the amygdala, OFC and ACC, it is possible that even though

neuronal densities are similar in the *Nrxn2α* KO brain, it is the connections

between neurones and brain regions that are perturbed. This would be

consistent with the idea that structural connectivity disruption may represent a

core feature of autism (76). A broader question is how does the loss of

Nrxn2α account for changes in axonal organisation? Ultimately, this question

requires further studies. Others have shown that in *Nrxn2α* KO mice,

excitatory transmitter release is reduced, as is short-term plasticity (18).

Reduced glutamatergic release, even at a relatively long range to the

synapse, can change the complexity of dendritic arbors (77). As this is a gene

deletion model, it is conceivable that altered glutamatergic signalling during

early development impairs appropriate synapse maturation, leading to the

structural changes we see herein. Further, how or whether these structural

changes fully explain the social impairments of *Nrxn2α* KO mice would require

new studies. Conceivably, inducible knock-down of *Nrxn2* (by inducible

knockout, siRNA, optogenetics etc.) within a specific brain region would

provide evidence that social abnormalities are being driven by *Nrxn2* loss.

However, developmentally-dependent altered structural connectivity would be

harder to definitively manipulate to explain changes in social behaviours.

Here we have developed a new application of CLARITY to quantitatively

investigate disease models by combining DTI with high resolution 3D imaging

and automated analysis of axonal fibres in a within subject study. Inevitably,

there are some technical limitations that will require future refinement as this

technology matures.

First, while we used CLARITY and immunolabeling to identify axons, we

cannot know whether axon-related changes alone reflect all the changes we

observed for our DTI measures. Second, whilst we can segment entire brain

regions for DTI analysis, it was not practical to image larger brain areas at the

necessary resolution for CLARITY. While it is theoretically possible that we

may bias sampling of each brain region by picking ROIs for multiphoton

imaging, this was done using atlas-defined coordinates and by an

experimenter blind to the DTI results, so minimising any bias. However, within

the current study, we were only able to apply the CLARITY approach to the

amygdala, OFC and ACC. It was not practical to apply this methodology to the

hippocampus, due to its extremely heterogeneous structure. The small cubic

ROIs could not be reproducibly positioned, and larger ROIs to average across

larger areas of the hippocampus were not possible. Although imaging of fibre

tracts in large volumes of cleared tissue is possible (78), fluorescent labelling

limitations make it impractical for a study of this nature. Despite this, as the

adoption of the CLARITY technique increases, we hope that the use of DTI

and CLARITY to study structural connectivity across spatial scales will

become commonplace.

As yet, no one DTI protocol has emerged as the standard for *in vivo* or *ex vivo*

imaging. Indeed, there has been debate regarding the best number of

diffusion gradients to use, among other parameters (79). Undoubtedly, more

directions that what we used here would facilitate better interpretations, and

this is a limitation of the current work. Despite this, the major purpose of the

current paper is to develop a new generation of CLARITY analysis. We hope

that future studies will refine on both DTI and CLARITY parameters to

maximise analysis methodology. A further potential limitation of the current

study is that groups of six animals may be underpowered. We argue for our

approach here as follows. First, low variance in the datasets permits smaller

group sizes. Second, for most of our significant results, the observed power

was more than 80%. Third, given the technical complexity of this approach,

particularly in its early adoption and refinement stages, large sample

throughput of multiple brain regions is challenging.

In summary, our combined use of DTI and CLARITY has revealed changes in

microstructure and structural connectivity of socially-relevant brain regions in

Nrxn2α KO mice that may underlie their deficits in social behaviour. It is hard

to conceive how these changes could have been observed using classical

experimental approaches. We envisage this approach will deliver a new level

of detail in structural connectivity approaches to understanding autism.

Abbreviations

ACC: anterior cingulate cortex

AD: axial diffusivity

ADC: apparent diffusion coefficient

ASD: autism spectrum disorder

BLA: basolateral amygdala

CLARITY: optically cleared brain tissue

DTI: diffusion tensor imaging

FA: fractional anisotropy

OFC: orbitofrontal cortex

Nrxn2: neurexin II

RD: radial diffusivity

ROI: region of interest

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All experiments were performed under UK Home Office Project and Personal

Licenses in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986,

and with the approval of the University of Leeds and Durham University

Animal Ethical and Welfare Review Boards.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and material

The codes used to quantify the CLARITY datasets are made available by

author LCA by email request to authors LCA or JD, subject to reference to the

current paper. The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study

are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Pervolaraki et al.

Funding

This work was supported by the Guy's and St. Thomas' Charity Prize PhD

scholarship to ALT, a Medical Research Council (UK) grant (G0900625) to

SJC and RJR, a University of Leeds Wellcome Trust ISSF (UK) Fellowship, a

Royal Society (UK) grant (RG130316), an Alzheimer's Society Fellowship

(AS-JF-15-008) to JD, a British Pharmacological Society (UK) grant to JD and

CL, a BBSRC grant to LCA (BB/P000479/1) and a BBSRC grant to CL

(BB/M008975/1). We acknowledge financial support from the Innovative

Medicines Initiative Joint Undertaking under grant agreement no. 115300,

resources of which are composed of financial contribution from the European

Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013) and EFPIA

companies' in kind contribution, the Mortimer D Sackler Foundation and the

Sackler Institute for Translational Neurodevelopment (ALT and LCA). Some

analysis scripts were provided to ALT at the Computational Image Analysis in

Cellular and Developmental Biology course at the Marine Biological

Laboratory (Woods Hole, MA, USA), funded by National Institutes of Health

(R25 GM103792-01).

Authors' contribution

EP, ALT, LCA and JD conceived the study. EP and ALT performed the

experiments. EP, ALT, LCA and JD analysed the data. SJC, RJR, LCA and

JD funded the study. All authors contributed to writing the paper. All authors

have read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

References

1.   Sandin S, Lichtenstein P, Kuja-Halkola R, Larsson H, Hultman CM,
Reichenberg A. The familial risk of autism. Jama. 2014;311(17):1770-7.
2.   Cardno AG, Gottesman, II. Twin studies of schizophrenia: from bow-and-
arrow concordances to star wars Mx and functional genomics. American journal of
medical genetics. 2000;97(1):12-7.
3.   Ronald A, Happe F, Bolton P, Butcher LM, Price TS, Wheelwright S, et al.
Genetic heterogeneity between the three components of the autism spectrum: a twin
study. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry.
2006;45(6):691-9.
4.   Ronemus M, Iossifov I, Levy D, Wigler M. The role of de novo mutations in
the genetics of autism spectrum disorders. Nature reviews Genetics. 2014;15(2):133-
41.
5.   Geschwind DH, State MW. Gene hunting in autism spectrum disorder: on the
path to precision medicine. The Lancet Neurology. 2015;14(11):1109-20.
6.   Sahin M, Sur M. Genes, circuits, and precision therapies for autism and
related neurodevelopmental disorders. Science. 2015;350(6263).
7.   Lise MF, El-Husseini A. The neuroligin and neurexin families: from structure
to function at the synapse. Cellular and molecular life sciences : CMLS.
2006;63(16):1833-49.
8.   Sudhof TC. Synaptic Neurexin Complexes: A Molecular Code for the Logic
of Neural Circuits. Cell. 2017;171(4):745-69.
9.   Gauthier J, Siddiqui TJ, Huashan P, Yokomaku D, Hamdan FF, Champagne
N, et al. Truncating mutations in NRXN2 and NRXN1 in autism spectrum disorders
and schizophrenia. Human genetics. 2011;130(4):563-73.
10.   Mohrmann I, Gillessen-Kaesbach G, Siebert R, Caliebe A, Hellenbroich Y. A
de novo 0.57 Mb microdeletion in chromosome 11q13.1 in a patient with speech
problems, autistic traits, dysmorphic features and multiple endocrine neoplasia type 1.
European journal of medical genetics. 2011;54(4):e461-4.
11.   Boyle MI, Jespersgaard C, Nazaryan L, Ravn K, Brondum-Nielsen K,
Bisgaard AM, et al. Deletion of 11q12.3-11q13.1 in a patient with intellectual
disability and childhood facial features resembling Cornelia de Lange syndrome.
Gene. 2015;572(1):130-4.
12.   Li J, Wang L, Gou H, Shi L, Zhang K, Tang M, et al. Targeted sequencing and
functional analysis reveal brain-size-related genes and their networks in autism
spectrum disorders. Molecular Psychiatry. 2017;22:1282–90.
13.   Yuan H, Li X, Wang Q, Yang W, Song J, Hu X, et al. A de novo 921Kb
microdeletion at 11q13.1 including neurexin 2 in a boy with developmental delay,
deficits in speech and language without autistic behaviors. European journal of
medical genetics. 2018.
14.   Williams SM, An JY, Edson J, Watts M, Murigneux V, Whitehouse AJO, et
al. An integrative analysis of non-coding regulatory DNA variations associated with
autism spectrum disorder. Molecular psychiatry. 2018.

15.   Wang J, Gong J, Li L, Chen Y, Liu L, Gu H, et al. Neurexin gene family
variants as risk factors for autism spectrum disorder. Autism research : official journal
of the International Society for Autism Research. 2018;11(1):37-43.
16.   Duda M, Zhang H, Li HD, Wall DP, Burmeister M, Guan Y. Brain-specific
functional relationship networks inform autism spectrum disorder gene prediction.
Translational psychiatry. 2018;8(1):56.
17.   Dachtler J, Glasper J, Cohen RN, Ivorra JL, Swiffen DJ, Jackson AJ, et al.
Deletion of alpha-neurexin II results in autism-related behaviors in mice.
Translational psychiatry. 2014;4:e484.
18.   Born G, Grayton HM, Langhorst H, Dudanova I, Rohlmann A, Woodward
BW, et al. Genetic targeting of NRXN2 in mice unveils role in excitatory cortical
synapse function and social behaviors. Frontiers in synaptic neuroscience. 2015;7:3.
19.   Dachtler J, Ivorra JL, Rowland TE, Lever C, Rodgers RJ, Clapcote SJ.
Heterozygous deletion of alpha-neurexin I or alpha-neurexin II results in behaviors
relevant to autism and schizophrenia. Behavioral neuroscience. 2015;129(6):765-76.
20.   Dudanova I, Tabuchi K, Rohlmann A, Sudhof TC, Missler M. Deletion of
alpha-neurexins does not cause a major impairment of axonal pathfinding or synapse
formation. The Journal of comparative neurology. 2007;502(2):261-74.
21.   Beaulieu C. The basis of anisotropic water diffusion in the nervous system - a
technical review. NMR in biomedicine. 2002;15(7-8):435-55.
22.   Barnea-Goraly N, Kwon H, Menon V, Eliez S, Lotspeich L, Reiss AL. White
matter structure in autism: preliminary evidence from diffusion tensor imaging.
Biological psychiatry. 2004;55(3):323-6.
23.   Noriuchi M, Kikuchi Y, Yoshiura T, Kira R, Shigeto H, Hara T, et al. Altered
white matter fractional anisotropy and social impairment in children with autism
spectrum disorder. Brain research. 2010;1362:141-9.
24.   Belmonte MK, Allen G, Beckel-Mitchener A, Boulanger LM, Carper RA,
Webb SJ. Autism and abnormal development of brain connectivity. The Journal of
neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2004;24(42):9228-
31.
25.   Chung K, Wallace J, Kim SY, Kalyanasundaram S, Andalman AS, Davidson
TJ, et al. Structural and molecular interrogation of intact biological systems. Nature.
2013;497(7449):332-7.
26.   Pervolaraki E, Anderson RA, Benson AP, Hayes-Gill B, Holden AV, Moore
BJ, et al. Antenatal architecture and activity of the human heart. Interface focus.
2013;3(2):20120065.
27.   Pervolaraki E, Dachtler J, Anderson RA, Holden AV. Ventricular myocardium
development and the role of connexins in the human fetal heart. Scientific reports.
2017;7(1):12272.
28.   Yeh FC, Verstynen TD, Wang Y, Fernandez-Miranda JC, Tseng WY.
Deterministic diffusion fiber tracking improved by quantitative anisotropy. PloS one.
2013;8(11):e80713.
29.   Paxinos G, Franklin K. The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates. Third ed:
Academic Press; 2008.
30.   Basser PJ, Mattiello J, LeBihan D. Estimation of the effective self-diffusion
tensor from the NMR spin echo. Journal of magnetic resonance Series B.
1994;103(3):247-54.
31.   Jiang H, van Zijl PC, Kim J, Pearlson GD, Mori S. DtiStudio: resource
program for diffusion tensor computation and fiber bundle tracking. Computer
methods and programs in biomedicine. 2006;81(2):106-16.

32.   Ferguson JN, Aldag JM, Insel TR, Young LJ. Oxytocin in the medial
amygdala is essential for social recognition in the mouse. The Journal of neuroscience
: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2001;21(20):8278-85.
33.   Tanimizu T, Kenney JW, Okano E, Kadoma K, Frankland PW, Kida S.
Functional Connectivity of Multiple Brain Regions Required for the Consolidation of
Social Recognition Memory. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the
Society for Neuroscience. 2017;37(15):4103-16.
34.   Emery NJ, Capitanio JP, Mason WA, Machado CJ, Mendoza SP, Amaral DG.
The effects of bilateral lesions of the amygdala on dyadic social interactions in rhesus
monkeys (Macaca mulatta). Behavioral neuroscience. 2001;115(3):515-44.
35.   Rosvold HE, Mirsky AF, Pribram KH. Influence of amygdalectomy on social
behavior in monkeys. Journal of comparative and physiological psychology.
1954;47(3):173-8.
36.   Mosher CP, Zimmerman PE, Gothard KM. Neurons in the monkey amygdala
detect eye contact during naturalistic social interactions. Current biology : CB.
2014;24(20):2459-64.
37.   Rutishauser U, Mamelak AN, Adolphs R. The primate amygdala in social
perception - insights from electrophysiological recordings and stimulation. Trends in
neurosciences. 2015;38(5):295-306.
38.   Rutishauser U, Tudusciuc O, Wang S, Mamelak AN, Ross IB, Adolphs R.
Single-neuron correlates of atypical face processing in autism. Neuron.
2013;80(4):887-99.
39.   Adolphs R, Tranel D, Damasio AR. The human amygdala in social judgment.
Nature. 1998;393(6684):470-4.
40.   Baron-Cohen S, Ring HA, Bullmore ET, Wheelwright S, Ashwin C, Williams
SC. The amygdala theory of autism. Neuroscience and biobehavioral reviews.
2000;24(3):355-64.
41.   Maaswinkel H, Baars AM, Gispen WH, Spruijt BM. Roles of the basolateral
amygdala and hippocampus in social recognition in rats. Physiology & behavior.
1996;60(1):55-63.
42.   Kogan JH, Frankland PW, Silva AJ. Long-term memory underlying
hippocampus-dependent social recognition in mice. Hippocampus. 2000;10(1):47-56.
43.   Okuyama T, Kitamura T, Roy DS, Itohara S, Tonegawa S. Ventral CA1
neurons store social memory. Science. 2016;353(6307):1536-41.
44.   van der Kooij MA, Fantin M, Kraev I, Korshunova I, Grosse J, Zanoletti O, et
al. Impaired hippocampal neuroligin-2 function by chronic stress or synthetic peptide
treatment is linked to social deficits and increased aggression.
Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of
Neuropsychopharmacology. 2014;39(5):1148-58.
45.   Rubin RD, Watson PD, Duff MC, Cohen NJ. The role of the hippocampus in
flexible cognition and social behavior. Frontiers in human neuroscience. 2014;8:742.
46.   Nicolson R, DeVito TJ, Vidal CN, Sui Y, Hayashi KM, Drost DJ, et al.
Detection and mapping of hippocampal abnormalities in autism. Psychiatry research.
2006;148(1):11-21.
47.   Schumann CM, Hamstra J, Goodlin-Jones BL, Lotspeich LJ, Kwon H,
Buonocore MH, et al. The amygdala is enlarged in children but not adolescents with
autism; the hippocampus is enlarged at all ages. The Journal of neuroscience : the
official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2004;24(28):6392-401.

48. Bachevalier J, Loveland KA. The orbitofrontal-amygdala circuit and self-
regulation of social-emotional behavior in autism. Neuroscience and biobehavioral
reviews. 2006;30(1):97-117.
49. Beer JS, John OP, Scabini D, Knight RT. Orbitofrontal cortex and social
behavior: integrating self-monitoring and emotion-cognition interactions. Journal of
cognitive neuroscience. 2006;18(6):871-9.
50. Watson KK, Platt ML. Social signals in primate orbitofrontal cortex. Current
biology : CB. 2012;22(23):2268-73.
51. Girgis RR, Minshew NJ, Melhem NM, Nutche JJ, Keshavan MS, Hardan AY.
Volumetric alterations of the orbitofrontal cortex in autism. Progress in neuro-
psychopharmacology & biological psychiatry. 2007;31(1):41-5.
52. Tyson AL, Hilton ST, Andreae LC. Rapid, simple and inexpensive production
of custom 3D printed equipment for large-volume fluorescence microscopy.
International journal of pharmaceutics. 2015;494(2):651-6.
53. Herbert MR, Ziegler DA, Deutsch CK, O'Brien LM, Kennedy DN, Filipek
PA, et al. Brain asymmetries in autism and developmental language disorder: a nested
whole-brain analysis. Brain : a journal of neurology. 2005;128(Pt 1):213-26.
54. Alexander AL, Lee JE, Lazar M, Field AS. Diffusion tensor imaging of the
brain. Neurotherapeutics : the journal of the American Society for Experimental
NeuroTherapeutics. 2007;4(3):316-29.
55. Alexander AL, Lee JE, Lazar M, Boudos R, DuBray MB, Oakes TR, et al.
Diffusion tensor imaging of the corpus callosum in Autism. NeuroImage.
2007;34(1):61-73.
56. Travers BG, Tromp do PM, Adluru N, Lange N, Destiche D, Ennis C, et al.
Atypical development of white matter microstructure of the corpus callosum in males
with autism: a longitudinal investigation. Molecular autism. 2015;6:15.
57. Ellegood J, Babineau BA, Henkelman RM, Lerch JP, Crawley JN.
Neuroanatomical analysis of the BTBR mouse model of autism using magnetic
resonance imaging and diffusion tensor imaging. NeuroImage. 2013;70:288-300.
58. Kumar M, Kim S, Pickup S, Chen R, Fairless AH, Ittyerah R, et al.
Longitudinal in-vivo diffusion tensor imaging for assessing brain developmental
changes in BALB/cJ mice, a model of reduced sociability relevant to autism. Brain
research. 2012;1455:56-67.
59. Pitkanen A, Pikkarainen M, Nurminen N, Ylinen A. Reciprocal connections
between the amygdala and the hippocampal formation, perirhinal cortex, and
postrhinal cortex in rat. A review. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences.
2000;911:369-91.
60. Cavada C, Company T, Tejedor J, Cruz-Rizzolo RJ, Reinoso-Suarez F. The
anatomical connections of the macaque monkey orbitofrontal cortex. A review.
Cerebral cortex. 2000;10(3):220-42.
61. Abrams DA, Lynch CJ, Cheng KM, Phillips J, Supekar K, Ryali S, et al.
Underconnectivity between voice-selective cortex and reward circuitry in children
with autism. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
America. 2013;110(29):12060-5.
62. Murphy ER, Foss-Feig J, Kenworthy L, Gaillard WD, Vaidya CJ. Atypical
Functional Connectivity of the Amygdala in Childhood Autism Spectrum Disorders
during Spontaneous Attention to Eye-Gaze. Autism research and treatment.
2012;2012:652408.

63. Sundaram SK, Kumar A, Makki MI, Behen ME, Chugani HT, Chugani DC.
Diffusion tensor imaging of frontal lobe in autism spectrum disorder. Cerebral cortex.
2008;18(11):2659-65.
64. Travers BG, Adluru N, Ennis C, Tromp do PM, Destiche D, Doran S, et al.
Diffusion tensor imaging in autism spectrum disorder: a review. Autism research :
official journal of the International Society for Autism Research. 2012;5(5):289-313.
65. Ameis SH, Fan J, Rockel C, Voineskos AN, Lobaugh NJ, Soorya L, et al.
Impaired structural connectivity of socio-emotional circuits in autism spectrum
disorders: a diffusion tensor imaging study. PloS one. 2011;6(11):e28044.
66. Shukla DK, Keehn B, Muller RA. Tract-specific analyses of diffusion tensor
imaging show widespread white matter compromise in autism spectrum disorder.
Journal of child psychology and psychiatry, and allied disciplines. 2011;52(3):286-95.
67. Jou RJ, Jackowski AP, Papademetris X, Rajeevan N, Staib LH, Volkmar FR.
Diffusion tensor imaging in autism spectrum disorders: preliminary evidence of
abnormal neural connectivity. The Australian and New Zealand journal of psychiatry.
2011;45(2):153-62.
68. Conturo TE, Williams DL, Smith CD, Gultepe E, Akbudak E, Minshew NJ.
Neuronal fiber pathway abnormalities in autism: an initial MRI diffusion tensor
tracking study of hippocampo-fusiform and amygdalo-fusiform pathways. Journal of
the International Neuropsychological Society : JINS. 2008;14(6):933-46.
69. Stanfield AC, McIntosh AM, Spencer MD, Philip R, Gaur S, Lawrie SM.
Towards a neuroanatomy of autism: a systematic review and meta-analysis of
structural magnetic resonance imaging studies. European psychiatry : the journal of
the Association of European Psychiatrists. 2008;23(4):289-99.
70. Vissers ME, Cohen MX, Geurts HM. Brain connectivity and high functioning
autism: a promising path of research that needs refined models, methodological
convergence, and stronger behavioral links. Neuroscience and biobehavioral reviews.
2012;36(1):604-25.
71. Rudie JD, Brown JA, Beck-Pancer D, Hernandez LM, Dennis EL, Thompson
PM, et al. Altered functional and structural brain network organization in autism.
NeuroImage Clinical. 2012;2:79-94.
72. Uddin LQ, Supekar K, Menon V. Reconceptualizing functional brain
connectivity in autism from a developmental perspective. Frontiers in human
neuroscience. 2013;7:458.
73. Zhan Y, Paolicelli RC, Sforazzini F, Weinhard L, Bolasco G, Pagani F, et al.
Deficient neuron-microglia signaling results in impaired functional brain connectivity
and social behavior. Nature neuroscience. 2014;17(3):400-6.
74. Missler M, Zhang W, Rohlmann A, Kattenstroth G, Hammer RE, Gottmann
K, et al. Alpha-neurexins couple Ca2+ channels to synaptic vesicle exocytosis.
Nature. 2003;423(6943):939-48.
75. Alba-Ferrara LM, de Erausquin GA. What does anisotropy measure? Insights
from increased and decreased anisotropy in selective fiber tracts in schizophrenia.
Frontiers in integrative neuroscience. 2013;7:9.
76. Minshew NJ, Williams DL. The new neurobiology of autism: cortex,
connectivity, and neuronal organization. Archives of neurology. 2007;64(7):945-50.
77. Andreae LC, Burrone J. Spontaneous Neurotransmitter Release Shapes
Dendritic Arbors via Long-Range Activation of NMDA Receptors. Cell reports. 2015.
78. Ye L, Allen WE, Thompson KR, Tian Q, Hsueh B, Ramakrishnan C, et al.
Wiring and Molecular Features of Prefrontal Ensembles Representing Distinct
Experiences. Cell. 2016;165(7):1776-88.

79.   Jones DK. The effect of gradient sampling schemes on measures derived from
diffusion tensor MRI: a Monte Carlo study. Magnetic resonance in medicine.
2004;51(4):807-15.
80.   Wu D, Xu J, McMahon MT, van Zijl PC, Mori S, Northington FJ, et al. In
vivo high-resolution diffusion tensor imaging of the mouse brain. NeuroImage.
2013;83:18-26.
81.   Vo A, Sako W, Dewey SL, Eidelberg D, Ulug AM. 18FDG-microPET and
MR DTI findings in Tor1a+/- heterozygous knock-out mice. Neurobiology of disease.
2015;73:399-406.
82.   Kumar M, Duda JT, Hwang WT, Kenworthy C, Ittyerah R, Pickup S, et al.
High resolution magnetic resonance imaging for characterization of the neuroligin-3
knock-in mouse model associated with autism spectrum disorder. PloS one.
2014;9(10):e109872.
83.   Ruest T, Holmes WM, Barrie JA, Griffiths IR, Anderson TJ, Dewar D, et al.
High-resolution diffusion tensor imaging of fixed brain in a mouse model of
Pelizaeus-Merzbacher disease: comparison with quantitative measures of white matter
pathology. NMR in biomedicine. 2011;24(10):1369-79.
84.   Kim S, Pickup S, Fairless AH, Ittyerah R, Dow HC, Abel T, et al. Association
between sociability and diffusion tensor imaging in BALB/cJ mice. NMR in
biomedicine. 2012;25(1):104-12.
85.   Lebel C, Benner T, Beaulieu C. Six is enough? Comparison of diffusion
parameters measured using six or more diffusion-encoding gradient directions with
deterministic tractography. Magnetic resonance in medicine. 2012;68(2):474-83.
86.   Ni H, Kavcic V, Zhu T, Ekholm S, Zhong J. Effects of number of diffusion
gradient directions on derived diffusion tensor imaging indices in human brain. AJNR
American journal of neuroradiology. 2006;27(8):1776-81.
87.   Hasan KM, Parker DL, Alexander AL. Comparison of gradient encoding
schemes for diffusion-tensor MRI. Journal of magnetic resonance imaging : JMRI.
2001;13(5):769-80.
88.   Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T,
et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nature methods.
2012;9(7):676-82.
89.   Peng H, Ruan Z, Long F, Simpson JH, Myers EW. V3D enables real-time 3D
visualization and quantitative analysis of large-scale biological image data sets.
Nature biotechnology. 2010;28(4):348-53.
90.   Linkert M, Rueden CT, Allan C, Burel JM, Moore W, Patterson A, et al.
Metadata matters: access to image data in the real world. The Journal of cell biology.
2010;189(5):777-82.
91.   Perge JA, Niven JE, Mugnaini E, Balasubramanian V, Sterling P. Why do
axons differ in caliber? The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the
Society for Neuroscience. 2012;32(2):626-38.
92.   Otsu N. A Threshold Selection Method from Gray-Level Histograms. IEEE
Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics. 1979;9(1):62-6.
93.   Rosin P. Unimodal thresholding. Pattern Recognition. 2001;34(11):2083-96.
94.   Lee T, Kashyap R, Chu C. Building Skeleton Models via 3-D Medial Surface
Axis Thinning Algorithms. CVGIP: Graphical Models and Image Processing.
1994;56(6):462-78.
95.   Kerschnitzki M, Kollmannsberger P, Burghammer M, Duda GN, Weinkamer
R, Wagermaier W, et al. Architecture of the osteocyte network correlates with bone

material quality. Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the
American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. 2013;28(8):1837-45.
96.   Franklin K, Paxinos G. The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates:
Academic Press; 2008.
945

Figure Legends

Figure 1

Quantification of CLARITY imaging. **A** Sections of DTI-scanned brain were

segmented at different Bregma levels for (i) the orbitofrontal cortex, (ii) the

anterior hippocampus and amygdala, (iii) the mid hippocampus and posterior

amygdala and (iv) the posterior hippocampus. **B-D** DTI-scanned brains were

computed for tracts. Tissue from wild-type and *Nrxn2α* KO mice were cleared

and stained for neurofilament and DAPI (**E**). **F** Automated MATLAB scripts

were used to segment the DAPI (blue) and neurofilament (purple) channels

such that cell density and axonal density and orientation could be calculated.

G is representative of a CLARITY-derived 3D stacked image of a DAPI and

neurofilament of a region of interest, with **H** being the corresponding

segmented image. Scale bar: 100 μm.

Figure 2

Deletion of *Nrxn2α* increases amygdala fractional anisotropy (FA) but not

apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC). DTI images of the amygdala was

segmented at two regions; the whole amygdala in the anterior to posterior

extent or the basolateral amygdala (BLA) centred at Bregma -1.94 mm. FA of

the whole amygdala structure was significantly increased (**A**) but was

decreased in the BLA (**B**). However, ADC was similar between the genotypes

(**C** and **D**). Axial (AD) (**E**) and radial diffusivity (RD) (**F**) was unaltered in the

amygdala. $**=P<0.01$, $*=P<0.05$. Error bars represent s.e.m. Wild-type n=6,

Nrxn2α KO n=6.

Figure 3

Nrxn2α KO mice have increased fractional anisotropy (FA) and axial (AD) and

radial diffusivity (RD) in the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) and the anterior

cingulate cortex (ACC). FA was significant different between wild-types and

Nrxn2α KO mice for FA in the OFC (**A**) and ACC (**E**), but ADC was not

significantly changed in *Nrxn2α* KO mice in both prefrontal regions (**B** and **F**).

The OFC has significantly increased AD and RD (**C&D**), whereas only AD

was increased in the ACC (**G-H**). **=$P<0.01$, *=$P<0.05$. Error bars represent

s.e.m. Wild-type n=6, *Nrxn2α* KO n=6.

Figure 4

Tractographic analysis of amygdala-hippocampus and amygdala-orbitofrontal

cortex (OFC) connectivity. Amygdala-hippocampal connections are

characterised by greater right hemisphere axial diffusivity (AD) *Nrxn2α* KO

mice (**A**) but not radial diffusivity (RD) (**B**). Specific to the BLA, connections to

the anterior hippocampus (**C**) and posterior hippocampus (**D**) have greater

right hemisphere AD. Although the amygdala-OFC connection was similar

between the genotypes for AD (**E**), *Nrxn2α* KO mice had significantly

increased RD (**F**). *=$P<0.05$, ***=$P<0.001$. Error bars represent s.e.m. Wild-

type n=6, Nrxn2α KO n=6.

Figure 5

CLARITY reveals differences in axonal alignment and fibre density in *Nrxn2α*

KO mice. (**A-C**) Representative images of the CLARITY-treated brain, with

ROI defined for the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), orbitofrontal cortex

(OFC), basomedial amygdala (BMA) and basolateral amygdala (BLA). For the

ACC, the axonal alignment (**D**) and axon density (**E**) were significantly altered

in KO mice, but cell density was unaltered (**F**). Within the medial OFC, only

axonal alignment was significantly altered in KOs (**G**), with axon density (**H**)

and cell density (**I**) being similar. For the BMA, both the axonal alignment (**J**)

and axon density (**K**) were significantly increased, whilst cell density was

unaltered (**L**). *=P<0.05, **=P<0.01. Error bars represent s.e.m. Wild-type

n=6, *Nrxn2α* KO n=6.

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009 **The within-subject application of diffusion tensor MRI and**

1010 **CLARITY reveals brain structural changes in *Nrxn2* deletion**

1011 **mice**

1012

1013

1014 **Supplemental Material**

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020 Eleftheria Pervolaraki Ph.D, Adam L. Tyson MRes, Francesca Pibiri Ph.D,

1021 Steven L. Poulter Ph.D, Amy C. Reichelt Ph.D, R. John Rodgers Ph.D,

1022 Steven J. Clapcote Ph.D, Colin Lever Ph.D, Laura C. Andreae M.D. Ph.D,

1023 James Dachtler Ph.D

1024

1025

1026

1027
1028

Supplemental Materials and Methods

Diffusion Tensor MRI

Data Acquisition

Brain MR imaging was performed on a vertical 9.4 Tesla spectrometer (Bruker

AVANCE II NMR, Ettlingen, Germany) with an 89 mm wide bore, 3 radio

frequency channels with digital broadband frequency synthesis (6-620 MHz)

and an imaging coil with diameter of 25 mm for hydrogen (1H). 3D images for

each brain were obtained using a DT-MRI protocol (TE: 35 ms, TR: 700 ms,

10 signal averages). The field of view was set at 128 x 128 x 128, with a cubic

resolution of 100 μm/pixel and a b value of 1200 s/mm^2. For each brain,

diffusion weighted images were obtained in 6 directions, based upon recent

published protocols (80-84). The subject of the number of diffusions gradients

has been debated (79), with studies suggesting limited benefits of using more

than 6 directions in biological tissue (85-87). The imaging time for each brain

was 60 hours.

1044

CLARITY

Solutions:

Hydrogel solution: 2% PFA 2% acrylamide 0.05% bis-acrylamide and 0.25%

VA-044 thermal initiator (2,2'-Azobis[2-(2-imidazolin-2-yl) propane]

dihydrochloride) in PBS, pH 7.4.

Clearing buffer: 8% Sodium dodecyl sulfate in 200mM boric acid, pH 8.5.

1051

Multiphoton imaging – methodological outline

Cleared samples were mounted in custom 3D printed chambers for two-

photon imaging. Images were acquired using ZEN Black (Zeiss, Germany).

DAPI signal was detected using a 485 nm short pass filter, and neurofilament

using a 500-550 nm band pass filter. The power of the excitation laser was

varied to maximise the dynamic range for each image, but all other

parameters were kept constant. The images were analysed using custom

MATLAB (version 9.1, The Mathworks Inc.) scripts. Two-dimensional images

were visualised using ImageJ (88) and three-dimensional images using

Vaa3D (89).

1062

Pervolaraki et al.

Multiphoton imaging and analysis – image analysis method

Pre-processing

Image files were loaded into MATLAB (The Mathworks Ltd.) using the

BioFormats toolbox (90), and the raw image data were obtained along with

the precise voxel dimensions from the metadata. Each two-dimensional (2D)

image from the three-dimensional (3D) stack was initially corrected for uneven

background illumination by element-wise division by a 2D reference image.

This reference image was calculated as the mean 2D image through the 3D

stack, which was smoothed using a 2D Gaussian kernel with a full-width at

half maximum (FWHM) of 20 % of the geometric mean of the dimensions of

the 2D image (mean dimension). The image was denoised by filtering the

image with a Gaussian kernel with a standard deviation of one pixel.

Background subtraction was carried out by subtracting a smoothed, filtered

image (FWHM 10 % of the mean dimension). Each pixel was then smoothed

using a 3D Gaussian kernel with FWHM of 1.5 µm (the largest axonal

diameter expected according to Perge et al. (91).

Segmentation

The numerical gradient of the image in each dimension $(\Delta X, \Delta Y, \Delta Z)$ was

calculated, and these were combined to calculate the magnitude of the

gradient $\left(\sqrt{\Delta X^2 + \Delta Y^2 + \Delta Z^2} \right)$. The resulting image was thresholded, using

a combination of the Otsu (1979) and Rosin (2001) methods (Rosin threshold

+ 2/5 Otsu threshold) (92, 93). The gradient image highlights the edge of each

axon; to combine these into a single object, the image was dilated and then

eroded with a cubic structuring element (each side being 1.5 µm, to 'close' the

largest axons as per Perge et al. (91)). Very small objects (less than 50 µm^3)

were removed from the image as they reflected noise, very small neuronal

processes and gradients around cells.

1090

Owing to variations in staining intensity of different axons, the thresholding

produced segmented axons of various thicknesses that did not necessarily

reflect the true structure. To remove this bias, the thresholded image was

skeletonised using a homotopic thinning algorithm (94) implemented in

MATLAB(95). The resulting image was dilated and then eroded using a cubic

structuring element (10 pixels on each side for dilation, 9 for erosion) to
produce connected processes with a uniform two-pixel diameter. This dilation
ensured that the voxels in the binary image were connected via their faces (6-
connected) rather than just their corners (26-connected), which better reflects
the true structure of biological processes. This method detects most large
axons at the expense of smaller processes, and the loss of any information
about axon diameter. These steps are outlined in Supp. Figure 2.

Analysis

The density of axons was calculated as the fraction of the image volume
taken up by the segmented axons. A measure of axonal alignment was
calculated by determining the mean axonal alignment along each dimension.
This alignment was calculated by moving along the 3D image in a single
dimension, keeping the coordinates in the other dimensions constant, and
counting the number of times the pixel intensity did not change (i.e. how many
times an axon was not entered or left). This number was averaged across
each face of the image volume and scaled to the length of each dimension to
produce a metric of how constant the image intensity is in that dimension. The
perfect case of no intensity change (i.e. all axons are aligned perfectly with a
particular dimension) gives a value of 1. The greater the difference between
this measure in each three dimensions, the more aligned the axons must be
(i.e. their directions are anisotropic). The standard deviation of this measure
across the three dimensions was calculated as the axonal alignment.

1118

The alignment calculation is illustrated in Supp. Figure 3 for a simple, two-
dimensional case. Supp. Figure 3a shows the case of low axonal alignment,
and Supp. Figure 3b shows the case of high axonal alignment. In each case,
for illustration, each pixel represented by a small square on the grid is classed
as either containing an axon or not. In the real images, the pixels are smaller,
and are actually binary. In each axis, the number of pixel transitions in which
the presence of an axon does not change is divided by the number of
transitions, and the average is calculated. The standard deviation of this
average for all axes is the measure of axonal alignment. When the alignment
is low, the two averages are similar, and the standard deviation is low. When
the alignment is high the two averages are very different, and the standard

Pervolaraki et al.

1130   deviation is high. To analyse the real data, this same calculation is carried out

1131   in 3D, but in a much larger grid of voxels. Cell density was calculated as the

1132   number of cells per mm^3.

1133
1134
1135

Supp. Table 1

Brain Region	DTI Measure	ANOVA Comparison	F Value	P Value
Amygdala-Anterior Hippocampus	AD	Genotype	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.164
		Hemisphere	$F_{(1,10)} = 2.10$	P = 0.097
		Genotype x Hemisphere	**$F_{(1,10)} = 12.12$**	**P = 0.023**
Amygdala-Anterior Hippocampus	RD	Genotype	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.149
		Hemisphere	$F_{(1,10)} = 1.32$	P = 0.106
		Genotype x Hemisphere	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.155
Amygdala-Posterior Hippocampus	AD	Genotype	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.142
		Hemisphere	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.189
		Genotype x Hemisphere	$F_{(1,10)} = 4.54$	P = 0.061
Amygdala-Posterior Hippocampus	RD	Genotype	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.151
		Hemisphere	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.135
		Genotype x Hemisphere	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.128
BLA-Anterior Hippocampus	AD	Genotype	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.167
		Hemisphere	**$F_{(1,10)} = 6.59$**	**P = 0.047**
		Genotype x Hemisphere	**$F_{(1,10)} = 10.53$**	**P = 0.032**
BLA-Anterior Hippocampus	RD	Genotype	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.158
		Hemisphere	$F_{(1,10)} = 2.59$	P = 0.092
		Genotype x Hemisphere	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.173
BLA-Posterior Hippocampus	AD	Genotype	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.169
		Hemisphere	**$F_{(1,10)} = 12.79$**	**P = 0.018**
		Genotype x Hemisphere	**$F_{(1,10)} = 12.97$**	**P = 0.02**
BLA-Posterior Hippocampus	RD	Genotype	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.162
		Hemisphere	$F_{(1,10)} = 3.11$	P = 0.077
		Genotype x Hemisphere	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.178

Statistical analysis of the anterior (Bregma -1.94 mm), and posterior (Bregma -3.28 mm) amygdala-hippocampal connections, analysed for axial diffusion (AD) and radial diffusion (RD). Analysis was performed using repeated

Pervolaraki et al.

1142   measure two-way ANOVAs for genotype and hemisphere (Benjamini-
1143   Hochberg corrected (corrected P values stated)).

Pervolaraki et al.

Supp. Table 2

Brain Region	CLARITY Measure	ANOVA Comparison	F Value	P Value
M1	OI	Genotype	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.182
		Hemisphere	$F_{(1,10)} = 1.74$	P = 0.108
M1	Cell Density	Genotype	$F_{(1,10)} = 2.04$	P = 0.099
		Hemisphere	$F_{(1,10)} = 1.41$	P = 0.117
M1	Fibre Density	Genotype	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.171
		Hemisphere	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.176
S1	OI	Genotype	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.185
		Hemisphere	**$F_{(1,10)} = 36.86$**	**P = 0.005**
S1	Cell Density	Genotype	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.131
		Hemisphere	**$F_{(1,10)} = 13.73$**	**P = 0.016**
S1	Fibre Density	Genotype	$F_{(1,10)} = 1.73$	P = 0.110
		Hemisphere	**$F_{(1,10)} = 8.51$**	**P = 0.038**
BF	OI	Genotype	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.191
		Hemisphere	**$F_{(1,10)} = 10.59$**	**P = 0.034**
BF	Cell Density	Genotype	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.133
		Hemisphere	**$F_{(1,10)} = 8.70$**	**P = 0.041**
BF	Fibre Density	Genotype	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.144
		Hemisphere	$F_{(1,10)} <1$	P = 0.126

Statistical analysis of the primary motor cortex (M1), primary somatosensory cortex (S1) and the barrel field (BF). CLARITY imaged regions were then analysed for orientation index (OI), cell density and fibre density. Analysis was performed using repeated measure two-way ANOVAs for genotype and hemisphere (Benjamini-Hochberg corrected (corrected P values stated)).

1153   **Supp. Figure 1**
1154

1155
Atlas maps representing manual segmentation of regions of interest (ROI),
overlaid with segmented brain regions from a fractional anisotropy-coloured
brain slice. (**A**) The orbitofrontal cortex ROI. (**B**) The ACC ROI. (**C**) The
anterior hippocampus, anterior amygdala and basolateral amygdala ROI. (**D**)
The mid hippocampus and posterior amygdala ROI. (**E**) The posterior
hippocampus ROI. The atlas maps were used with the permission of the
Authors (96).
1163
1164

1165 **Supp. Figure 2**

1166
1167 Analysis methodology of axonal segmentation from multiphoton images.

Pervolaraki et al.

 Supp. Figure 3

1169

1170
A and B. Illustration of the axonal alignment calculation in a simple two-
dimensional case. The grey grid represents the image pixels, the black lines
axons and the dashed lines represent the calculation process. Standard
deviation denoted as std. **C and D.** Cingulate cortex images taken from wild-
type and Nrxn2α KO mice, visually representing the greater axonal alignment
and density in KO mice.

Supp. Figure 4

DTI quantified for the whole anterior hippocampus (Bregma -1.06 mm – -2.46 mm) and posterior hippocampus (Bregma -2.54 mm – -3.16 mm). (**A**) Fractional anisotropy (FA) in the anterior hippocampus was significantly increased in Nrxn2α KO mice (genotype: $F_{(1,10)}$ = 15.63, p = 0.0027) but (**B**) apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) was not altered (genotype: $F_{(1,10)}$ <1, p = 0.738). (**C**) Axial diffusivity (AD) (genotype: $F_{(1,10)}$ = 16.17, p = 0.0024) and (**D**) radial diffusivity (RD) (genotype: $F_{(1,10)}$ = 5.05, p = 0.048) were both significantly altered in Nrxn2α KO mice. In the posterior hippocampus, in Nrxn2α KO mice, (**E**) FA was significant increased (genotype: $F_{(1,10)}$ = 15.62, p = 0.0027), (**F**) ADC was similar to wild-types (genotype: $F_{(1,10)}$ <1, p = 0.679), (**G**) AD was increased (genotype: $F_{(1,10)}$ = 22.31, p = 0.0008) and (**H**) RD was significantly reduced (genotype: $F_{(1,10)}$ = 5.34, p = 0.043). Error bars

1194   represent s.e.m. * = P<0.05, ** = P<0.01, *** = P<0.001. Wild-type n=6,
1195   Nrxn2α KO n=6.
1196

1197 **Supp. Figure 5**
1198
1199

1200
1201
DTI quantification of the corpus callosum (Bregma 0.98 mm). To examine the
integrity of white matter tracts within Nrxn2α KO mice, we examined diffusivity
in the corpus callosum. (**A**) Fractional anisotropy (FA) was significantly
increased in Nrxn2α KO mice (genotype: $t_{(10)}$ = 2.50, p = 0.032) and apparent
diffusion coefficient (ADC) (**B**) significantly decreased (genotype: $t_{(10)}$ = 2.28, p
= 0.046). This difference appeared to be driven predominantly by radial
diffusivity (RD), as axial diffusion (AD) (**C**) was not significantly different
(genotype: $t_{(10)}$ = 1.49, p = 0.168) whilst RD (**D**) was significantly reduced in
Nrxn2α KO mice (genotype: $t_{(10)}$ = 2.45, p = 0.034). Error bars represent
s.e.m. * = P<0.05. Wild-type n=6, Nrxn2α KO n=6.
1212

1213 **Supp. Figure 6**
1214

1215
1216
Axial diffusivity (AD) and radial diffusivity (RD) of computed tracts of
connections from the amygdala to hippocampus. Tracts from the anterior
amygdala to the posterior hippocampus (Bregma -2.46 mm) were analysed
for AD (**A**) and RD (**B**). No significant differences between the tracts of
Nrxn2α KO mice were observed. No significant differences were found for RD
of tracts specifically from the basolateral nuclei of the amygdala (BLA) to the
anterior (**C**) or posterior (**D**) hippocampus. Error bars represent s.e.m. Wild-
type n=6, Nrxn2α KO n=6.
1225
1226

Supp. Figure 7

CLARITY-derived quantification of fibres and cell density within the basolateral amygdala (BLA) and control regions (**A**) Although there were trends towards increased axonal alignment and fibre density (**B**) in Nrxn2α KO mice, no significant differences were found. (**C**) Cell density in the BLA was similar between the genotypes. Statistical analysis (Supp. Table 4) was performed for the primary motor cortex (M1; **D-F**), primary somatosensory cortex (S1; **H-J**) and the barrel field (BF; **K-M**). No genotypic differences were found for any measure within these cortical regions. (N-O) CLARITY images of the scanned regions of interest. Error bars represent s.e.m. Wild-type n=6, Nrxn2α KO n=6.

1241

References

1.   Wu D, Xu J, McMahon MT, van Zijl PC, Mori S, Northington FJ, et al. In vivo high-resolution diffusion tensor imaging of the mouse brain. NeuroImage. 2013;83:18-26.

2.   Vo A, Sako W, Dewey SL, Eidelberg D, Ulug AM. 18FDG-microPET and MR DTI findings in Tor1a+/- heterozygous knock-out mice. Neurobiology of disease. 2015;73:399-406.

3.   Kumar M, Duda JT, Hwang WT, Kenworthy C, Ittyerah R, Pickup S, et al. High resolution magnetic resonance imaging for characterization of the neuroligin-3 knock-in mouse model associated with autism spectrum disorder. PloS one. 2014;9(10):e109872.

4.   Ruest T, Holmes WM, Barrie JA, Griffiths IR, Anderson TJ, Dewar D, et al. High-resolution diffusion tensor imaging of fixed brain in a mouse model of Pelizaeus-Merzbacher disease: comparison with quantitative measures of white matter pathology. NMR in biomedicine. 2011;24(10):1369-79.

5.   Kim S, Pickup S, Fairless AH, Ittyerah R, Dow HC, Abel T, et al. Association between sociability and diffusion tensor imaging in BALB/cJ mice. NMR in biomedicine. 2012;25(1):104-12.

6.   Jones DK. The effect of gradient sampling schemes on measures derived from diffusion tensor MRI: a Monte Carlo study. Magnetic resonance in medicine. 2004;51(4):807-15.

7.   Lebel C, Benner T, Beaulieu C. Six is enough? Comparison of diffusion parameters measured using six or more diffusion-encoding gradient directions with deterministic tractography. Magnetic resonance in medicine. 2012;68(2):474-83.

8.   Ni H, Kavcic V, Zhu T, Ekholm S, Zhong J. Effects of number of diffusion gradient directions on derived diffusion tensor imaging indices in human brain. AJNR American journal of neuroradiology. 2006;27(8):1776-81.

9.   Hasan KM, Parker DL, Alexander AL. Comparison of gradient encoding schemes for diffusion-tensor MRI. Journal of magnetic resonance imaging : JMRI. 2001;13(5):769-80.

10.   Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nature methods. 2012;9(7):676-82.

11.   Peng H, Ruan Z, Long F, Simpson JH, Myers EW. V3D enables real-time 3D visualization and quantitative analysis of large-scale biological image data sets. Nature biotechnology. 2010;28(4):348-53.

12.   Linkert M, Rueden CT, Allan C, Burel JM, Moore W, Patterson A, et al. Metadata matters: access to image data in the real world. The Journal of cell biology. 2010;189(5):777-82.

13.   Perge JA, Niven JE, Mugnaini E, Balasubramanian V, Sterling P. Why do axons differ in caliber? The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience. 2012;32(2):626-38.

14.   Otsu N. A Threshold Selection Method from Gray-Level Histograms. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics. 1979;9(1):62-6.

15.   Rosin P. Unimodal thresholding. Pattern Recognition. 2001;34(11):2083-96.

16.   Lee T, Kashyap R, Chu C. Building Skeleton Models via 3-D Medial Surface Axis Thinning Algorithms. CVGIP: Graphical Models and Image Processing. 1994;56(6):462-78.

17.   Kerschnitzki M, Kollmannsberger P, Burghammer M, Duda GN, Weinkamer R, Wagermaier W, et al. Architecture of the osteocyte network correlates with bone

1292   material quality. Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the
1293   American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. 2013;28(8):1837-45.
1294   18.   Franklin K, Paxinos G. The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates:
1295   Academic Press; 2008.
1296

Figure 1

A

i — Bregma +2.58 mm

ii — Bregma -1.94 mm

iii — Bregma -2.46 mm

iv — Bregma -3.28 mm

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5