Spontaneous resistance to phage NPV1 confers increased daptomycin susceptibility in

Enterococcus faecalis OG1RF

Khang Ho, Wenwen Huo, Savannah Pas, and Kelli L. Palmer*

Department of Biological Sciences, The University of Texas at Dallas

*Information for corresponding author:

800 West Campbell Road, BSB12

Richardson, Texas 75080

(972) 883-6014

kelli.palmer@utdallas.edu

Abstract

Enterococcus faecalis is a Gram-positive opportunistic pathogen that inhabits the

gastrointestinal tract. Because of the high frequency of antibiotic resistance among

Enterococcus clinical isolates, interest in using phage to treat enterococcal infections and to

decolonize high-risk patients for antibiotic-resistant *Enterococcus* is rising. Bacteria can evolve

phage resistance, but there is little published information on these mechanisms in *E. faecalis*. In

this report, we identified genetic determinants of resistance to ɸNPV1 and their effects on *E.*

faecalis susceptibilities to daptomycin and sodium chloride-induced osmotic stress. We found

that loss-of-function mutations in *epaR* confer ɸNPV1 resistance by blocking phage adsorption.

We attribute the inability of the phage to adsorb to the loss of an extracellular polymer in strains

with inactivated *epaR*. Phage-resistant *epaR* mutants exhibited increased daptomycin and

osmotic stress susceptibility. Our results demonstrate that spontaneous resistance to ɸNPV1

comes at a cost in *E. faecalis* OG1RF, resulting in concomitant increased susceptibilities to

daptomycin and sodium chloride-induced osmotic stress.

Introduction

Enterococcus faecalis is a Gram-positive bacterium that inhabits the human gastrointestinal tract and is associated with nosocomial infections (1). Infections caused by *E. faecalis* can be difficult to treat because of the high frequency of resistance to multiple antibiotics among *E. faecalis* clinical isolates (2). The antibiotic daptomycin can be used to treat certain infections caused by multidrug-resistant enterococci. Daptomycin is a lipopeptide antibiotic that interacts with the enterococcal cell surface and disrupts membrane structure and function (3).

Bacteriophages (phages) are bacterial viruses and natural predators of bacteria. It is reasonable to expect that phages can be employed to treat bacterial infections. However, phages have not been extensively studied in the Western world in the context of therapeutic application until recently due to the availability of antibiotics (4). In recent years, interest in using phages to treat bacterial infections (phage therapy) has reemerged because of the emergence of multidrug-resistant bacteria. For *E. faecalis*, promising studies include the use of phage to eliminate biofilm, a major barrier to antibiotic treatment, and to increase survival rates in mouse models of enterococcal infection (5, 6).

One advantage of phage therapy is limited damage to the native microbiome because of the specificity of the phage to its host (7). The first step to a successful phage infection is the attachment of the phage particle to the proper receptor present on the surface of the host cell. Phage receptors have been extensively studied in certain phage families, including the T series phages, Mu, and λ for Gram-negative bacteria (8-11). Some phage receptors have been characterized in Gram-positive bacteria, including receptors for ɸSPP-1 of *Bacillus subtilis* (12) and the phage c2 group of *Lactococcus lactis* (13, 14). YueB, the ɸSPP-1 receptor, and PIP, the phage c2 receptor, are orthologs and are required for irreversible phage adsorption (12). Enterococcal phage receptors have not been well-characterized. Previously, we and

collaborators identified PIP as a receptor and potential DNA channel for the *E. faecalis* phages

φVPE25 and φVFW (15).

Bacteria can evolve phage resistance. Mechanisms of phage resistance include modification or

loss of the phage receptor (16). However, as phage receptors generally serve physiological

functions in the cell, the modification or loss of a receptor could come at a cost for the bacterial

host. Indeed, spontaneous phage-resistant mutants have altered antibiotic sensitivity in *P.*

aeruginosa (17). Phages utilizing receptors that have roles in antibiotic resistance could be

advantageous for re-sensitizing resistant bacteria to antibiotics.

Considering the increasingly limited treatment options for *E. faecalis* infections and the revival of

interest in using phage therapy to treat bacterial infections, it is crucial that we know the

receptor(s) of enterococcal phages since effective phage cocktails use phages targeting

multiple different receptors (18). Moreover, the roles of these receptors in enterococcal

physiology should be elucidated. In this report, we used a combination of genomic and genetic

approaches to identify a receptor for the tailed, virulent phage φNPV1 (19, 20), which infects *E.*

faecalis OG1RF by a PIP-independent mechanism.

Results

Deletion of *epaR* alters susceptibility to φNPV1. We isolated a OG1RFΔPIP (15) strain with

spontaneous resistance to φNPV1 (Figure 1). We refer to this strain as OG1RF-C. The genome

sequence of OG1RF-C was determined. We identified non-synonymous substitutions in *epaR,*

bgsB, iolA2, and OG1RF_10252 (Table 2). *epaR* is one of the 18 conserved genes of the *epa*

gene cluster (*epaA-epaR*), which codes for synthesis of the enterococcal polysaccharide

antigen (Epa) (20). *epaR* encodes a putative glycosyltransferase with 5 predicted

transmembrane domains, and its role in Epa biosynthesis has not been investigated. The

product of *bgsB* is a putative cytoplasmic protein catalyzing the transfer of glucose from UDP-

glucose to diacylglycerol (DAG) to form monoglucosyl-DAG. An additional glucose is added to

glucosyl-DAG by *bgsA*, forming diglucosyl-DAG. From diglucosyl-DAG, the polymerization of

glycerol-phosphate can occur, resulting in lipoteichoic acid (LTA) (21). *iolA2* is predicted to

encode a methylmalonate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase, which catalyzes the breakdown of

malonic semialdehyde to acetyl-CoA and CO_2 (22). OG1RF_10252 is predicted to encode a

Acyl-ACP_TE domain (pfam01643; e-value $7.510e^{-114}$) which catalyzes the termination of fatty

acyl group extension by hydrolyzing an acyl group on the fatty acid.

To begin to elucidate the roles of these genes in ϕNPV1 susceptibility, we constructed in-frame

deletions of *epaR* and *bgsB*, generating strains OG1RFΔ*epaR* and OG1RFΔ*bgsB*, respectively,

and a double deletion strain, OG1RFΔ*epaR*Δ*bgsB*. Phage susceptibility of each of these

mutants was assayed. Deletion of *epaR* alone was sufficient to confer phage resistance (Figure

1). In contrast, deletion of *bgsB* alone did not alter phage susceptibility. These results indicate

that variation in *epaR* is the major factor conferring resistance to ϕNPV1 in OG1RF-C. Since we

observed that deletion of *epaR* in OG1RF conferred phage resistance to the same extent as

that observed for OG1RF-C, we did not investigate the effects of *iolA2* and OG1RF_10252 on

phage resistance in this study.

The OG1RF-C *epaR* allele confers ϕNPV1 resistance. To determine whether *epaR* mutation

is the major contributor to ϕNPV1 resistance in OG1RF-C, we generated strain

OG1RFΔ*epaR*_Ec, an OG1RFΔ*epaR* strain complemented *in cis* with the *epaR* allele from

OG1RF-C. We also generated strain OG1RFΔ*epaR*_Ew, an OG1RFΔ*epaR* strain with a

reconstituted wild-type *epaR*. Complementation with the *epaR* allele of OG1RF-C conferred

phage resistance to OG1RFΔ*epaR* (Figure 2). The wild-type *epaR* allele restored phage

susceptibility to OG1RFΔ*epaR* (Figure 2). Because the mutated *epaR* allele from OG1RF-C

confers a phage resistance phenotype, as did deletion of *epaR*, we infer that the *epaR* mutation

in OG1RF-C confers loss of function.

***epaR* is required for phage adsorption.** We were next interested in how *epaR* inactivation

protects OG1RF from ɸNPV1 infection. After 15 minutes incubation with ɸNPV1, ~95% of the

phage adsorbed to wild-type OG1RF (Figure 3). In contrast, under the same conditions, ~1-2%

of the phage adsorbed to OG1RF-C or OG1RFΔ*epaR*. Consistent with the observation that

bgsB deletion alone confers no significant phage resistance, ~90% of the phage adsorbed to

OG1RFΔ*bgsB* within the same experimental settings. These data indicate that *epaR* is required

for ɸNPV1 adsorption.

Inactivation of *epaR* leads to a loss of a polymer. Next, we sought to determine whether the

Epa polymer was intact in mutants defective for ɸNPV1 adsorption. The Epa polymer has been

extracted and visualized by different groups using different methods (20, 23). We based our

method on that from Teng, et al. (20). We found that solubilizing the precipitation with 50%

acetic acid improved visualization of the polymers. Gel electrophoresis analysis of carbohydrate

extracts found that OG1RF with either an *epaR* deletion or the *epaR* allele from OG1RF-C

exhibited loss of a band (P1) that is present in wild-type OG1RF, OG1RFΔ*bgsB,* and the

reconstituted *epaR* strain, OG1RFΔ*epaR*_Ew (Figure 4). We conclude that P1 represents an

epaR-dependent polymer. Since NPV1 cannot bind to *epaR* mutants, we hypothesized that the

P1 polymer is the phage receptor. However, we when pre-incubated NPV1 with crude

carbohydrate extract prior to infection of host cells, we did not observe a decrease in PFU for

any extract (Figure S1), suggesting that the polymers in the crude extract are not sufficient for

phage adsorption. We also observed an increase in the intensity of the P2 band in

OG1RFΔ*bgsB* and OG1RFΔ*bgsB*Δ*epaR* compared to wild-type OG1RF, suggesting that the

product P2 is increased in these two deletion strains. Since deletion of *bgsB* in *E. faecalis*

results in accumulation of LTA (21), product P2 may represent LTA.

NPV1-resistant mutants have increased susceptibility to daptomycin. Dale et al. reported

increased daptomycin susceptibility in an OG1RF derivative with a deletion in *epaO* (23).

Moreover, we identified a *bgsB* mutation in a laboratory-evolved *E. faecium* isolate with

decreased daptomycin susceptibility (24). Because of these results, we investigated the

daptomycin MIC of our OG1RF mutants (Figure 5). We found that OG1RF-C, OG1RFΔ*epaR*,

and OG1RFΔ*epaR* complemented with the OG1RF-C *epaR* allele were each significantly more

susceptible to daptomycin than OG1RF. Interestingly, deletion of *bgsB* also conferred increased

daptomycin susceptibility (Figure 5). This was complemented by expression of the wild-type

bgsB allele *in cis*, but not by expression of the OG1RF-C *bgsB* allele *in cis* (Figure 5). Finally,

daptomycin susceptibility was substantially altered in the OG1RFΔ*epaR*Δ*bgsB* mutant, with 3 of

6 experimental trials resulting in an MIC below the level of detection of the E-test strip (<0.016

µg/mL; a value of 0.008 µg/mL was used for these data points in statistical analysis). Without

complete data regarding the MIC of OG1RFΔ*epaR*Δ*bgsB*, we did not quantitatively determine

whether there is a synergistic relationship between *bgsB* and *epaR* regarding daptomycin

susceptibility.

***epaR* protects OG1RF from osmotic stress**. The *epa* gene cluster was up-regulated when *E.*

faecalis V583 was grown with 6.5% sodium chloride supplementation, indicating that the Epa

polymer has a role in osmotic stress response (25). As such, we investigated the effect of

sodium chloride on our *epaR* mutants. We tested our mutants for their tolerance for sodium

chloride stress using BHI agar supplemented with sodium chloride at concentrations of 0%,

2.5%, 5%, and 7.5%. Overnight cultures in stationary phase were serially diluted and spotted on

these agars. We observed fewer CFU for OG1RF-C, OG1RFΔ*epaR*, and OG1RF Δ*epaR*Δ*bgsB*

compared to the wild-type at 7.5% sodium chloride after 72 h incubation (Figure 6). When

sodium chloride concentrations of 5% or lower were used, no effect on growth was observed.

Interestingly, when cells from an overnight culture were spotted, OG1RFΔ*bgsB* showed no

difference in CFU compared to the wild-type (Figure 6). However, when cells were cultured in

fresh BHI broth 1 h prior to spotting, a CFU difference was observed for OG1RFΔ*bgsB* with

7.5% sodium chloride (Figure S2). These data suggest that both *epaR* and *bgsB* play roles in

sodium chloride-induced osmotic stress response.

EpaR constitutes a major mutational pathway for ɸNPV1 resistance. We isolated 9

spontaneous ɸNPV1-resistant mutants of OG1RF and sequenced the *epaR* region of these

mutants. All 9 mutants have non-synonymous substitutions in *epaR* (Table 3). Since we know

that mutations in *epaR* affect daptomycin susceptibility, we also determined the daptomycin MIC

of these ɸNPV1-resistant strains and found that all were more significantly more susceptible to

daptomycin than the wild-type (Figure S3).

Most of the EpaR sequence (amino acid positions 35-458 of 484 total) is a predicted sugar

transferase domain (TIGR03025; E-value: $2.45e^{-137}$). This domain consists of a conserved C-

terminal region responsible for the sugar transferase activity (pfam02397) and a variable N-

terminal region with predicted flippase activity. All *epaR* mutations in spontaneously ΦNPV1-

resistant OG1RF strains occur in the C-terminal region of the sugar transferase domain (Table

3). We identified other proteins containing the same predicted sugar transferase domain as

EpaR, and we determined that the altered amino acid positions in our mutants are conserved

across 6 of these proteins (Figure S4).

Discussion

Due to the high frequency of antibiotic resistance in *E. faecalis*, alternatives to antibiotics, such

as phage therapy, are of increasing interest in the United States. In this study, we investigated

mechanisms for spontaneous phage resistance in *E. faecalis*. We have reported here that *epaR*

is indispensable for φNPV1 adsorption to *E. faecalis* OG1RF, and that inactivating mutations in

epaR constitute a major pathway for φNPV1 resistance in this strain background. We also found

that inactivating mutations in *epaR* and *bgsB* result in increased susceptibilities to daptomycin

and sodium chloride stress. Our results show that resistance to φNPV1 comes at a cost.

Adsorption to the host is the first step to a productive phage infection. For a tailed phage particle

to successfully adsorb to the host, the tail apparatus on the phage must recognize the

corresponding receptor(s) on the host cell surface. When challenged with a high phage titer in a

resource-limited environment, receptor mutations in host cells are favored over use of

intracellular defense mechanisms (26). This preference for receptor mutations is an especially

important consideration in the design of phage cocktails, as using phage that recognize the

same receptors could result in decreased efficacy of the treatment (18). Receptors for

enterococcal phages have not been well studied. We and collaborators recently identified PIP

as a receptor for phage φVPE25 and φVFW, but PIP is not the sole player in host cell

recognition, as φVFW and φVPE25 can still adsorb to a PIP deletion strain (15). PIP may act as

a DNA channel as is implicated in studies of *L. lactis* (14).

The *epa* gene cluster is involved in the synthesis of a cell wall rhamnose polysaccharide

referred to as the <u>e</u>nterococcal <u>p</u>olysaccharide <u>a</u>ntigen (Epa). There is precedence for cell wall

rhamnose polysaccharides as phage receptors. The structure of the rhamnose polysaccharide

dictates phage host range in *L. lactis* and *S. mutans* (27, 28). In *E. faecalis*, the *epa* gene

cluster consists of 18 core genes (*epaA* to *epaR*) and a set of strain-variable genes that occur

downstream (20, 29). Unfortunately, the Epa structure has not been determined (30), which is a

critical gap in knowledge about the enterococcal cell surface.

The connection between the *epa* gene cluster and φNPV1 resistance was first investigated by

Teng et al, who assessed ΦNPV1 susceptibilities of *E. faecalis* OG1RF mutants with disruptions

in *epaA, epaB, epaE, epaM,* and *epaN* (20). No φNPV1 plaques were obtained for *epaB, epaE,*

epaM, and *epaN* mutants, and plaque production was reduced by 50% in the *epaA* mutant as

compared to the wild-type. However, when the wild-type strain and the *epaA* and *epaB* mutants

were assessed for φNPV1 adsorption, no differences were noted. Teng et al also examined the

polysaccharide content of their mutants and found that production of the 'P1' product was

absent in the *epaB, epaM, epaN* and *epaE* mutants, but a new polysaccharide product referred

to as 'PS12' was synthesized. For the *epaA* mutant, both P1 and P12 were produced. The

results from Teng et al. suggest that a complete Epa product is required for productive φNPV1

infection. Our results support this conclusion as φNPV1 does not absorb to our *epaR* mutants,

nor do *epaR* mutants synthesize the P1 (or P12) product. However, the P1 product may not be

the only requirement for φNPV1 adsorption because no significant decrease in PFU was

observed when φNPV1 was pre-incubated with crude polysaccharide extracts from OG1RF

strains with either wild-type or mutant *epaR* (Figure S1). Alternatively, the availability of P1 to

the phage may differ in whole cells versus crude extracts.

Daptomycin is a lipopeptide antibiotic that is used to treat certain Gram-positive bacterial

infections (31). The mechanism of action for daptomycin in *B. subtilis* begins with daptomycin

binding to the cell membrane, and ultimately leads to the displacement of membrane-associated

proteins essential for cell wall and phospholipid biosynthesis (32). In our study, we found that

inactivating mutations in *epaR* and in *bgsB* lead to increased daptomycin susceptibility in *E.*

faecalis. Deletion of *bgsB* results in loss of glycolipids in the membrane, a longer chain length in

the LTA, and increased charge density of the membrane (21). A higher charge density might

contribute to daptomycin susceptibility through charge-charge interaction with the calcium-

bound daptomycin, but this is speculative. Dale et al. reported that deletion of *epaO* results in

increased daptomycin susceptibility and loss of the Epa polymer, suggesting that the complete

Epa polymer is important for daptomycin resistance (23). The loss of the Epa polymer also

results in defects in cell wall architecture (20, 33). More research on the Epa polymer is required

to mechanistically assess its contribution to antibiotic susceptibility in enterococci.

In summary, in this study we characterized a mechanism for spontaneous φNPV1 resistance in

E. faecalis OG1RF. The major advance of this study is that we determined that phage

resistance comes at a cost to *E. faecalis* OG1RF; specifically, increased daptomycin

susceptibility. *E. faecalis* OG1RF is a natively daptomycin-sensitive strain that became more

sensitive as a result of phage resistance. We did not assess whether φNPV1 treatment could

reverse resistance in daptomycin-resistant clinical isolates. This is an exciting area for future

research.

Material and Methods

Bacterial strains, media, and bacteriophages. A complete list of bacterial strains and

bacteriophage used in this study can be found in Table 1. *E. faecalis* strains were cultured in

brain heart infusion (BHI; Difco) at 37°C without agitation. *E. coli* strains were cultured in LB

broth at 37°C with shaking at 225 rpm unless otherwise stated. Plates of the appropriate media

were made by adding 1.5% agar to the broth prior to autoclaving. For MIC testing, Muller Hinton

media supplemented with 1.5% agar (MHA) was used. Phages were stored in phage buffer as

previously described (34). Chloramphenicol (Cm) was used at a concentration of 15 µg/mL

when required for selection. 5-bromo 4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (X-Gal) was

used at 120 μg/mL and 40 μg/ml for *E. faecalis* and *E. coli*, respectively. The upper soft agar for

phage assays was M17 medium supplemented with 0.75% agar, while the lower layer was BHI

supplemented with 1.5% agar.

Routine molecular techniques and DNA sequencing. Routine PCR reactions were

performed using Taq polymerase (NEB) per the manufacturer's instructions. Phusion

polymerase (Fisher) was used for cloning procedures per the manufacturer's instructions.

Plasmid was purified using the GeneJET Plasmid miniprep kit (Fisher). Genomic DNA was

isolated using the Ultraclean microbial DNA isolation kit (MoBio). Restriction enzymes, Klenow

fragment, T4 polynucleotide kinase (PNK), T4 DNA ligase, and calf intestinal phosphatase (CIP)

from NEB were used as instructed by the manufacturer. DNA sequencing was performed at the

Massachusetts General Hospital DNA sequencing facility. A complete list of primers used in this

study can be found in Table S1.

Phage spot assay. 0.5 mL of an exponentially growing culture was added to 3 mL soft agar

and poured onto BHI agar. 10 μL of the of phage mixture to be titered was spotted onto the soft

agar. Plaques were counted after 16 h incubation at 37°C, unless otherwise stated.

Phage propagation and storage. Phage stocks were prepared by mixing 450 μL of an

overnight culture of *E. faecalis* OG1RF with ɸNPV1 at an M.O.I of 10^{-2}. The mixture was

incubated at 37°C for 15 min and subsequently added to 3 mL M17 soft agar maintained at

55°C. The soft agar was then poured onto BHI agar and incubated at 37°C for 18 h. 5 mL of

phage buffer was added to the confluently lysed plate and incubated for 20 min at 37°C with

shaking at 75 rpm. The lysate was then collected and centrifuged at 16.6 x g for 1 min to

remove cellular debris. The supernatant was filtered with a Whatman 0.2 μm filter to obtain the

phage stock. The phage stock was stored at 4°C in the dark. Phage titer was determined using

phage spot assays.

Generation of OG1RF deletion mutants. Gene deletion was carried out via the markerless

deletion procedure described by Thurlow et al. (35) with some modifications. Briefly, two 1.0 kb

regions flanking *epaR* were amplified with primers 1-4 from Table S1. The two amplified

products were ligated with an overlap PCR extension through a 21 bp complementary region

underlined in Table S1. The approximately 2.0 kb product was purified and digested with BamHI

and EcoRI. The digested product was ligated to plasmid pLT06 through restriction sites added

on the primers (highlighted in red in Table S1). The ligation product was then purified and

electroporated and propagated in *E. coli* EC1000. OG1RF was made electrocompetent using

the glycine method (36) (3% glycine) and transformed with 1 μg of the plasmid. OG1RF

transformants were screened for successful transformation and subsequently inoculated in BHI

supplemented with Cm at 30°C. The culture was diluted 1:100 in BHI and incubated at 30°C for

2 h followed by 42°C for 4 h. Dilutions of the culture were plated on BHI agar supplemented with

Cm and X-gal, and large blue colonies were screened for plasmid integration using primers 5

and 19. The positive colonies were then restruck, incubated at 42°C, and screened once again

for plasmid integration. Positive clones were cultured in BHI broth at 30°C for 18 h. To counter-

select against clones harboring the plasmid, dilutions of the culture were made on MM9YEG

agar, and the deletion of *epaR* was determined by colony PCR with primers 5 and 6 after 36 h

incubation at 37°C. Clones positive for the deletion were then restruck on BHI agar and

screened again using the same primers. Positive clones were verified for plasmid loss by

streaking on BHI agar supplemented with Cm. The *epaR* region was sequenced to confirm the

deletion. Deletion of *bgsB* was obtained in a similar fashion.

Complementation. Complementation of *epaR* in an OG1RFΔ*epaR* background was obtained

using a similar strategy to deletion. The insert containing the *epaR* gene and flanking 500 bp

upstream and downstream regions was amplified from either OG1RF or OG1RF-C using

primers 7 and 8. pLT06 was digested with SphI and blunt-ended with Klenow fragment; the

blunt-end product was then treated with CIP. The insert was phosphorylated with T4 PNK and

blunt-end ligated to pLT06. The plasmid was purified and transformed into EC1000. Clones with

the correct insert size were screened, and their plasmids isolated. Subsequent steps for

transformation of OG1RF, integration of the plasmid and counterselection on MM9YEG were as

described above for the deletion process. Positive clones for the complementation were

confirmed with primers 5 and 6 after counter-selection on MM9YEG. The complemented *epaR*

allele was verified through Sanger sequencing.

Assessment of phage resistance and sodium chloride stress tolerance. For assessment of

phage resistance, 500 μL of an 8×10^9 PFU phage stock was added to 3 mL M17 soft agar. The

mixture was then poured onto BHI agar. Bacterial culture dilutions were spotted on the soft agar

and incubated at 37°C for 18 h. For assessment of osmotic stress tolerance, BHI plates were

supplemented with NaCl (0%, 2.5%, 5%, and 7.5%). Overnight cultures of bacteria are serially

diluted and spotted on NaCl-supplemented plates. Plates were imaged after 72 h incubation.

For some experiments, overnight cultures were subcultured into fresh BHI broth for 1 h prior to

serial dilution and spotting.

Phage adsorption assay. An overnight bacterial culture was diluted 1:5 in fresh BHI broth. The

culture was then equilibrated at 37°C for 20 min in a water bath. φNPV1 was added at an M.O.I

of 10^{-3}. After 15 min, a 1 mL aliquot was centrifuged at 16.6 x g for 1 min at room temperature.

500 μL of the supernatant was collected, and its titer is determined with the phage spot assay. A

medium with only phage added (no bacteria) was used as control. Percent adsorption was

determined as follow:

$$\text{Percent Adsorption}(\%) = \frac{PFU_{control} - PFU_{supernantant}}{PFU_{control}} \times 100\%$$

Isolation of φNPV1 resistant mutants. For isolation of a φNPV-1 resistant strain from an

OG1RFΔPIP background, φNPV-1 was used to infect OG1RFΔPIP in a soft agar overlay. The

confluently lysed plates were incubated until presumptive phage-resistant colonies were

observed. These colonies were cultured in BHI broth and used as hosts for φNPV1 infection to

confirm phage resistance. A confirmed φNPV-1 resistant strain, referred to in our study as

OG1RF-C, was stocked and used for genome sequencing.

For isolation of φNPV-1 resistant strains from an OG1RF background, 500 μL of an overnight

culture of OG1RF was infected with φNPV1 at an M.O.I of 10^{-1} in a soft agar overlay. 10

colonies that arose on the confluently lysed plate were struck on BHI plates and incubated at

37°C for 18 h. Single colonies from each of the plates were tested for phage resistance by

cross-streaking against φNPV1. 9 colonies that showed little to no lysis were stocked and used

for daptomycin susceptibility testing and *epaR* sequencing.

Polysaccharide analysis. Polysaccharide extraction was performed as described by Teng et

al. with some modifications (20). 200 mL of an overnight culture was centrifuged and

resuspended in 750 μL 50 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.5. Mutanolysin (0.25 U/μL) and lysozyme (5

mg/mL) were added to the suspension. The suspension was incubated at 37°C for 2 h.

Subsequently, 10 mM $MgSO_4$, 2.5 mM $CaCl_2$, 0.15 mg/mL DNase I, and 0.15 mg/mL RNase A

were added. After an additional 2 h incubation at 37°C, the suspension was centrifuged, and the

cellar debris discarded. Proteinase K (100 μg/mL) was added to the clear supernatant, and the

mixture was incubated for 16 h. Afterwards, the supernatant was extracted twice with

chloroform-phenol-isoamyl alcohol (Sigma Aldrich) and once with chloroform. Ethanol was

added to a final concentration of 80% to precipitate the polysaccharide. The precipitate was

collected by centrifugation and air-dried. The pellet was resuspended in 50% acetic acid (v/v) in

deionized water, and the insoluble material was removed by centrifugation. 30 µL was loaded

onto an 1% agarose gel and electrophoresed for 30 min at 130 V. The gel was soaked in

staining solution containing Stains-All (Alfa Aesar) and left overnight with gentle rocking. The

staining solution was 25% isopropanol, 10% formamide, 65% water, and 0.005% Stains-All.

After 18 h, the gel was destained under light for 40 min prior to visualization.

Daptomycin MIC. Daptomycin MIC was assessed using Etest strips (BioMérieux). 3-5 colonies

of similar sizes were resuspended in 500 µL BHI and evenly distributed over a MHA plate using

a sterile cotton swab. A daptomycin Etest strip was placed onto the plate, and the plate was

incubated for 18 h at 37°C. MIC was determined by recording the number closest to the zone of

inhibition. The MIC reported for each strain is the average of at least three trials. For trials in

which the daptomycin MIC was below the detection limit of the strip (<0.016 µg/mL), the MIC

was reported as 0.008 µg/mL for the purposes of statistical analysis. Data were analyzed using

the two-tailed unpaired Student's t test.

Whole genome sequencing and analysis of OG1RF-C. OG1RF-C genomic DNA was isolated

from overnight culture using the Ultraclean Microbial DNA Isolation kit (Mo Bio) per the

manufacturer's instruction. The gDNA was sequenced using MiSeq with 2 x 150 bp chemistry at

MR DNA (Shallowater, Texas). After sequencing, the reads were mapped to the complete

OG1RF reference (NC_017316.1) using CLC Genomics Workbench (Qiagen). Putative

mutations were detected using the basic variant detector in CLC Genomic Workbench. Variants

occurring at ≥50% frequency in the read assembly and resulting in non-synonymous

substitutions were confirmed with Sanger sequencing. BlastP and NCBI Conserved Domains

were used to analyze conserved domains in proteins. Amino acid alignment was performed with

CLUSTALW (37). Transmembrane helices were predicted with TMHMM version 2.0 (38).

Accession numbers. The Illumina reads for resequencing of OG1RF-C have been deposited in

the Sequence Read Archive under accession number PRJNA450206.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Public Health Service grant R01AI116610 to K.L.P. Khang Ho was

supported by a University of Texas at Dallas Undergraduate Research Fellowship. We gratefully

thank Dr. Gary Dunny for providing phage NPV1.

References Cited

1. **Lebreton F, Willems RJL, Gilmore MS.** 2014. Enterococcus Diversity, Origins in Nature, and Gut Colonization. *In* Gilmore MS, Clewell DB, Ike Y, Shankar N (ed.), Enterococci: From Commensals to Leading Causes of Drug Resistant Infection, Boston.

2. **Kristich CJ, Rice LB, Arias CA.** 2014. Enterococcal Infection-Treatment and Antibiotic Resistance. *In* Gilmore MS, Clewell DB, Ike Y, Shankar N (ed.), Enterococci: From Commensals to Leading Causes of Drug Resistant Infection, Boston.

3. **Tran TT, Munita JM, Arias CA.** 2015. Mechanisms of drug resistance: daptomycin resistance. Ann N Y Acad Sci **1354:**32-53.

4. **Deresinski S.** 2009. Bacteriophage therapy: exploiting smaller fleas. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America **48:**1096-1101.

5. **Khalifa L, Brosh Y, Gelman D, Coppenhagen-Glazer S, Beyth S, Poradosu-Cohen R, Que YA, Beyth N, Hazan R.** 2015. Targeting *Enterococcus faecalis* biofilms with phage therapy. Applied and environmental microbiology **81:**2696-2705.

6. **Zhang W, Mi Z, Yin X, Fan H, An X, Zhang Z, Chen J, Tong Y.** 2013. Characterization of *Enterococcus faecalis* phage IME-EF1 and its endolysin. PloS one **8:**e80435.

7. **Loc-Carrillo C, Abedon ST.** 2011. Pros and cons of phage therapy. Bacteriophage **1:**111-114.

8. **Langenscheid J, Killmann H, Braun V.** 2004. A FhuA mutant of *Escherichia coli* is infected by phage T1-independent of TonB. FEMS microbiology letters **234:**133-137.

9. **Yu F, Mizushima S.** 1982. Roles of lipopolysaccharide and outer membrane protein OmpC of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in the receptor function for bacteriophage T4. Journal of bacteriology **151:**718-722.

10. **Sandulache R, Prehm P, Kamp D.** 1984. Cell wall receptor for bacteriophage Mu G(+).

Journal of bacteriology **160:**299-303.

11. **Marchal C, Perrin D, Hedgpeth J, Hofnung M.** 1980. Synthesis and maturation of

lambda receptor in *Escherichia coli* K-12: *in vivo* and *in vitro* expression of gene *lamB*

under *lac* promoter control. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the

United States of America **77:**1491-1495.

12. **Baptista C, Santos MA, Sao-Jose C.** 2008. Phage SPP1 reversible adsorption to

Bacillus subtilis cell wall teichoic acids accelerates virus recognition of membrane

receptor YueB. Journal of bacteriology **190:**4989-4996.

13. **Tremblay DM, Tegoni M, Spinelli S, Campanacci V, Blangy S, Huyghe C, Desmyter**

A, Labrie S, Moineau S, Cambillau C. 2006. Receptor-binding protein of *Lactococcus*

lactis phages: identification and characterization of the saccharide receptor-binding site.

Journal of bacteriology **188:**2400-2410.

14. **Monteville MR, Ardestani B, Geller BL.** 1994. Lactococcal bacteriophages require a

host cell wall carbohydrate and a plasma membrane protein for adsorption and ejection

of DNA. Applied and environmental microbiology **60:**3204-3211.

15. **Duerkop BA, Huo W, Bhardwaj P, Palmer KL, Hooper LV.** 2016. Molecular Basis for

Lytic Bacteriophage Resistance in Enterococci. MBio **7**.

16. **Labrie SJ, Samson JE, Moineau S.** 2010. Bacteriophage resistance mechanisms.

Nature reviews. Microbiology **8:**317-327.

17. **Chan BK, Sistrom M, Wertz JE, Kortright KE, Narayan D, Turner PE.** 2016. Phage

selection restores antibiotic sensitivity in MDR *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Scientific

reports **6:**26717.

18. **Chan BK, Abedon ST, Loc-Carrillo C.** 2013. Phage cocktails and the future of phage

therapy. Future microbiology **8:**769-783.

19.   **Trotter KM, Dunny GM.** 1990. Mutants of *Enterococcus faecalis* deficient as recipients

449   in mating with donors carrying pheromone-inducible plasmids. Plasmid **24:**57-67.

450   20.   **Teng F, Singh KV, Bourgogne A, Zeng J, Murray BE.** 2009. Further characterization

451   of the *epa* gene cluster and Epa polysaccharides of *Enterococcus faecalis*. Infect Immun

452   **77:**3759-3767.

453   21.   **Theilacker C, Sava I, Sanchez-Carballo P, Bao Y, Kropec A, Grohmann E, Holst O,**

454   **Huebner J.** 2011. Deletion of the glycosyltransferase *bgsB* of *Enterococcus faecalis*

455   leads to a complete loss of glycolipids from the cell membrane and to impaired biofilm

456   formation. BMC microbiology **11:**67.

457   22.   **Yoshida K, Yamaguchi M, Morinaga T, Kinehara M, Ikeuchi M, Ashida H, Fujita Y.**

458   2008. *myo*-Inositol catabolism in *Bacillus subtilis*. The Journal of biological chemistry

459   **283:**10415-10424.

460   23.   **Dale JL, Cagnazzo J, Phan CQ, Barnes AM, Dunny GM.** 2015. Multiple roles for

461   *Enterococcus faecalis* glycosyltransferases in biofilm-associated antibiotic resistance,

462   cell envelope integrity, and conjugative transfer. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy

463   **59:**4094-4105.

464   24.   **Bhardwaj P, Hans A, Ruikar K, Guan Z, Palmer KL.** 2018. Reduced Chlorhexidine

465   and Daptomycin Susceptibility in Vancomycin-Resistant *Enterococcus faecium* after

466   Serial Chlorhexidine Exposure. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **62**.

467   25.   **Solheim M, La Rosa SL, Mathisen T, Snipen LG, Nes IF, Brede DA.** 2014.

468   Transcriptomic and functional analysis of NaCl-induced stress in *Enterococcus faecalis*.

469   PloS one **9:**e94571.

470   26.   **Westra ER, van Houte S, Oyesiku-Blakemore S, Makin B, Broniewski JM, Best A,**

471   **Bondy-Denomy J, Davidson A, Boots M, Buckling A.** 2015. Parasite Exposure Drives

472   Selective Evolution of Constitutive versus Inducible Defense. Current biology : CB

473   **25:**1043-1049.

474   27.   **Ainsworth S, Sadovskaya I, Vinogradov E, Courtin P, Guerardel Y, Mahony J,**

475   **Grard T, Cambillau C, Chapot-Chartier MP, van Sinderen D.** 2014. Differences in

476   lactococcal cell wall polysaccharide structure are major determining factors in

477   bacteriophage sensitivity. MBio **5:**e00880-00814.

478   28.   **Shibata Y, Yamashita Y, van der Ploeg JR.** 2009. The serotype-specific glucose side

479   chain of rhamnose-glucose polysaccharides is essential for adsorption of bacteriophage

480   M102 to *Streptococcus mutans*. FEMS microbiology letters **294:**68-73.

481   29.   **Palmer KL, Godfrey P, Griggs A, Kos VN, Zucker J, Desjardins C, Cerqueira G,**

482   **Gevers D, Walker S, Wortman J, Feldgarden M, Haas B, Birren B, Gilmore MS.**

483   2012. Comparative genomics of enterococci: variation in *Enterococcus faecalis*, clade

484   structure in *E. faecium*, and defining characteristics of *E. gallinarum* and *E. casseliflavus*.

485   MBio **3:**e00318-00311.

486   30.   **Mistou MY, Sutcliffe IC, van Sorge NM.** 2016. Bacterial glycobiology: rhamnose-

487   containing cell wall polysaccharides in Gram-positive bacteria. FEMS microbiology

488   reviews **40:**464-479.

489   31.   **Humphries RM, Pollett S, Sakoulas G.** 2013. A current perspective on daptomycin for

490   the clinical microbiologist. Clin Microbiol Rev **26:**759-780.

491   32.   **Muller A, Wenzel M, Strahl H, Grein F, Saaki TN, Kohl B, Siersma T, Bandow JE,**

492   **Sahl HG, Schneider T, Hamoen LW.** 2016. Daptomycin inhibits cell envelope synthesis

493   by interfering with fluid membrane microdomains. Proceedings of the National Academy

494   of Sciences of the United States of America.

495   33.   **Dale JL, Nilson JL, Barnes AMT, Dunny GM.** 2017. Restructuring of *Enterococcus*

496   *faecalis* biofilm architecture in response to antibiotic-induced stress. NPJ Biofilms

497   Microbiomes **3:**15.

498   34.   **Kutter E, Sulakvelidze A.** 2005. Bacteriophages : biology and applications. CRC Press,

499   Boca Raton, FL.

35.   **Thurlow LR, Thomas VC, Hancock LE.** 2009. Capsular polysaccharide production in

Enterococcus faecalis and contribution of CpsF to capsule serospecificity. Journal of

bacteriology **191:**6203-6210.

36.   **Cruz-Rodz AL, Gilmore MS.** 1990. High efficiency introduction of plasmid DNA into

glycine treated *Enterococcus faecalis* by electroporation. Molecular & general genetics :

MGG **224:**152-154.

37.   **Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ.** 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity

of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-

specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic acids research **22:**4673-4680.

38.   **Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer EL.** 2001. Predicting

transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete

genomes. Journal of molecular biology **305:**567-580.

39.   **Gold OG, Jordan HV, van Houte J.** 1975. The prevalence of enterococci in the human

mouth and their pathogenicity in animal models. Archives of oral biology **20:**473-477.

40.   **Dunny GM, Brown BL, Clewell DB.** 1978. Induced cell aggregation and mating in

Streptococcus faecalis: evidence for a bacterial sex pheromone. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **75:**3479-3483.

41.   **Leenhouts K, Buist G, Bolhuis A, ten Berge A, Kiel J, Mierau I, Dabrowska M,**

Venema G, Kok J. 1996. A general system for generating unlabelled gene

replacements in bacterial chromosomes. Molecular & general genetics : MGG **253:**217-

224.

Figure legends.

Figure 1. Phage susceptibility of *E. faecalis* OG1RF and derivatives. Overnight cultures were diluted in PBS and spotted on BHI plates with or without 10^9 PFU/mL phiNPV1. Images were taken after 18 h incubation at 37°C. The image shown is representative of three independent trials.

Figure 2. Phage susceptibility of complemented strains of OG1RFΔ*epaR*. Overnight cultures were diluted in PBS and spotted on BHI plates with or without 10^9 PFU/mL phiNPV1. Images were taken after 18 h incubation at 37°C. The image shown is representative of three independent trials.

Figure 3. Phage adsorption assays. Overnight cultures were diluted 1:5 in fresh BHI and equilibrated at 37°C. phiNPV1 was added at a M.O.I. of 10^{-2}. After 15 min incubation, 1 mL of each culture was centrifuged, and the supernatant was titered with the phage spot assay. A medium with only phage was used as the control. Percent adsorption was calculated as [(PFU culture – PFU control)/ PFU control] *100%. Data are the average of three independent trials.

Figure 4. Carbohydrate extract analysis. Carbohydrate was extracted from 200 mL overnight cultures and visualized with Stains-all. The image shown is representative of two independent trials.

Figure 5. Daptomycin MICs of *E. faecalis* OG1RF and derivatives. Daptomycin MIC was determined by Etest. Data are the average of at least three independent trials. For statistical analysis, DAP MICs were compared to that of wild-type OG1RF. ***, $p < 0.001$; and *, $p < 0.05$.

Figure 6. Susceptibility to sodium chloride-induced osmotic stress. Overnight cultures

were diluted in PBS and spotted on BHI plates with or without sodium chloride. Images were

taken after 72 h incubation. The image shown is representative of three independent trials.

Supplemental Figures

Figure S1: Percent PFU recovery from pre-incubation of phiNPV1 with polysaccharide

extract. Polysaccharide extract was prepared as described above except for the final solvent

being phage buffer rather than 50% acetic acid. phiNPV1 was added at a PFU of 10^5 and the

mixture was incubated for 15 minutes at 37°C. The PFU was evaluated with phage spot assay.

The percent PFU recovery was calculated using a phage buffer only as the base value. The

experiment was performed in duplicate.

Figure S2: Effects of osmotic pressure on *epaR* and *bgsB* deletion strains of OG1RF. 500

μL of overnight cultures of indicated strains were centrifuged. The pellets were then

resuspended in fresh broth for 1 hour before spotting on plates with (A) or without NaCl (B). The

image was taken after 72 hours of incubation. The image is a representative of 3 replicates.

Figure S3: Daptomycin MIC of 9 phiNPV1 resistant strains derived from a confluently

lysed plate. 3-5 colonies of indicated strains were resuspended in BHI and swabbed onto a

MHB plate. Daptomycin strip was applied to the surface of each plate. The plates were

incubated for 18 hours prior to MIC determination. The data represent the average of 6 trials.

MIC data points below the detection limit of 0.016 μg/mL were taken to be 0.008 μg/mL for

statistical treatment. ***, $p < 0.001$.

Figure S4: Clustal W alignment of EpaR and its homologues. Conserved residues are

highlighted in red. Blue asterisks indicate Blue asterisks indicate where substitutions occurred in

phiNPV1 resistant strains of OG1RF.

Table 1. Strains and plasmid used.

Strains and plasmids	Description	Reference
E. faecalis strains		
OG1RF	Human oral cavity isolate	(39, 40)
OG1RFΔPIP	PIP deletion strain	(15)
OG1RF-C	OG1RFΔPIP φNPV1-resistant strain	This work
OG1RFΔ*bgsB*	OG1RF *bgsB* deletion mutant	This work
OG1RFΔ*epaR*	OG1RF *epaR* deletion mutant	This work
OG1RFΔ*bgsB*Δ*epaR*	OG1RF *bgsB* and *epaR* double deletion mutant	This work
OG1RFΔ*epaR*_Ew	OG1RFΔ*epaR* with wild-type *epaR* complementation *in cis*	This work
OG1RFΔ*epaR*_Ec	OG1RFΔ*epaR* complemented with OG1RF-C *epaR* allele *in cis*	This work
OG1RFΔ*bgsB* _Bw	OG1RFΔ*epaR* with wild-type *bgsB* complementation *in cis*	This work
OG1RFΔ*bgsB* _Bc	OG1RFΔ*epaR* complemented with OG1RF-C *bgsB* allele *in cis*	This work
OG1RF_R2	OG1RF φNPV1-resistant strain	This work
OG1RF_R3	OG1RF φNPV1-resistant strain	This work
OG1RF_R4	OG1RF φNPV1-resistant strain	This work
OG1RF_R5	OG1RF φNPV1-resistant strain	This work
OG1RF_R6	OG1RF φNPV1-resistant strain	This work
OG1RF_R7	OG1RF φNPV1-resistant strain	This work
OG1RF_R8	OG1RF φNPV1-resistant strain	This work
OG1RF_R9	OG1RF φNPV1-resistant strain	This work
OG1RF_R10	OG1RF φNPV1-resistant strain	This work
E. coli strains		
EC1000	Cloning host. Provides *repA in trans*	(41)
Plasmids		
pLT06	Cloning vector, temperature sensitive *repA*, Cam^r	(35)
pLT06_Δ*bgsB*	*bgsB* deletion construct	This work
pLT06_Δ*epaR*	*epaR* deletion construct	This work
pLT06_Ew	*epaR* wild-type allele complementation construct	This work
pLT06_Ec	*epaR* OG1RF-C allele complementation construct	This work
pLT06_Bw	*bgsB* wild-type allele complementation construct	This work
pLT06_Bc	*bgsB* OG1RF-C allele complementation construct	This work

579
580   Table 2. SNPs detected in OG1RF-C strain.

Position	Base pair change	Amino acid change	Annotation
1800903	C→T	D361N	*epaR*
1878245	G→T	S453Y	*bgsB*
2308650	C→A	G134*	*iolA*
260665	Insertion of A	H44fs	OG1RF_10252

582   Table 3. *epaR* variations in spontaneous ɸNPV1-resistant *E. faecalis* OG1RF strains.
583

Strain	Mutation type	Mutation	Amino acid change
R3	Transversion	C→ A	G409V
R5		C→ A	D428Y
R7		C→ A	G409V
R10		C→ A	G409V
R8		C→ A	D428Y
R4		T→ A	K424*
R9	Transition	C→ T	G378D
OG1RF-C		C→ T	D362N
R6	Deletion	Deletion of 101 bp	M356fs
R2	Deletion	Deletion of 1 bp	D355fs

584
585

Figure 1

Figure 1. Phage susceptibility of *E. faecalis* OG1RF and derivatives. Overnight cultures were diluted in PBS and spotted on BHI plates with or without 10^9 PFU/mL phiNPV1. Images were taken after 18 h incubation at 37°C. The image shown is representative of three independent trials.

Figure 2

Figure 2. Phage susceptibility of complemented strains of OG1RFΔ*epaR.*
Overnight cultures were diluted in PBS and spotted on BHI plates with or without 10^9 PFU/mL phiNPV1. Images were taken after 18 h incubation at 37°C. The image shown is representative of three independent trials.

Figure 3

Figure 3. Phage adsorption assays. Overnight cultures were diluted 1:5 in fresh BHI and equilibrated at 37°C. phiNPV1 was added at a M.O.I. of 10^{-2}. After 15 min incubation, 1 mL of each culture was centrifuged, and the supernatant was titered with the phage spot assay. A medium with only phage was used as the control. Percent adsorption was calculated as [(PFU culture – PFU control)/ PFU control] *100%. Data are the average of three independent trials.

Figure 4

Figure 4. Carbohydrate extract analysis. Carbohydrate was extracted from 200 mL overnight cultures and visualized with Stains-all. The image shown is representative of two independent trials.

Figure 5

Figure 5. Daptomycin MICs of *E. faecalis* OG1RF and derivatives. Daptomycin MIC was determined by Etest. Data are the average of at least three independent trials. For statistical analysis, DAP MICs were compared to that of wild-type OG1RF. ***, $p < 0.001$; and *, $p < 0.05$.

Figure 6

Figure 6. Susceptibility to sodium chloride-induced osmotic stress. Overnight cultures were diluted in PBS and spotted on BHI plates with or without sodium chloride. Images were taken after 72 h incubation. The image shown is representative of three independent trials.