Development of the P300 from childhood to adulthood:

a multimodal EEG and MRI study

Knut Overbye[1], Rene J. Huster[2], Kristine B. Walhovd[1,3], Anders M. Fjell[1,3], and Christian K. Tamnes[2,4]

[1] Center for Lifespan Changes in Brain and Cognition, Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

[2] Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

[3] Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

[4] Department of Psychiatry, Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Oslo, Norway

Correspondence to: Knut Overbye, Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, PO Box 1094 Blindern, 0317 Oslo, Norway; E-mail: knut.overbye@psykologi.uio.no, Phone: 004722845000

Acknowledgments: This study was supported by the Research Council of Norway (to KBW and 230345 to CKT), and the University of Oslo (to KO).

ABSTRACT

Maturation of attentional processes is central to cognitive development. The electrophysiological P300 is associated with rapid allocation of attention, and bridges stimulus and response processing. P300 is among the most studied and robust electrophysiological components, but how different subcomponents of the P300 develop from childhood to adulthood and relate to structural properties of the cerebral cortex is not well understood. We investigated age-related differences in early visual and P300 components, and how individual differences in these components related to cortical structure in a cross-sectional sample of participants 8-19 years (n=88). Participants completed a three-stimulus visual oddball task while high-density EEG was recorded. Cortical surface area and thickness were estimated from T1-weighthed MRI. Group-level blind source separation of the EEG data identified two P300-like components, a frontal P300 and a parietal P300, and a component reflecting early visual processing. Differences in activity across age were found for all components, with the P300 components showing stronger activity for older participants, while the early visual component was stronger for younger participants. Stronger P300 components were positively associated with task performance, independently of age, while negative associations were found for the early visual component. Using a liberal cluster-forming threshold (P<.01), parietal P300 strength was age-independently associated with larger surface area in a region in left lateral temporal cortex. We suggest that the age differences in component strength reflect development of attentional mechanisms, with increased brain responses to task-relevant stimuli representing an increasing ability to focus on relevant information and to respond accurately and efficiently.

Keywords: adolescence; attention; cognitive development; electrophysiology; P3; surface area

INTRODUCTION

From childhood to adulthood, the brain undergoes both complex changes in functional activation patterns and multifaceted regional changes in structural architecture (Blakemore, 2012; Jernigan, Baaré, Stiles, & Madsen, 2011; Segalowitz, Santesso, & Jetha, 2010). These maturational processes are associated with improved cognitive performance, where attentional development plays a key role (Rueda, 2013). The ability to rapidly allocate attention can in part be indexed by the electrophysiological component P300 (Polich, 2007). Previous developmental studies of P300 components have yielded inconsistent findings on the effects of age. Also, there are no studies on the relationships between P300 and brain structure during development. In this study we examined age-related differences in the strength of the P300 and the early visual components that precede it, and how these components are related to both task performance and structural properties of the cerebral cortex.

The P300 is an endogenous positive-going event-related potential elicited in tasks requiring stimulus discrimination, regardless of sensory modality (Polich, 2007; Sutton, Braren, Zubin, & John, 1965; Twomey, Murphy, Kelly, & O'connell, 2015). It is produced when attending to a stimulus and is often interpreted as the first major component of controlled attention (S. Segalowitz & Davies, 2004). However, some argue that earlier components might also reflect aspects of top-down attention, such as the visual N1 (Enge, Fleischhauer, Lesch & Strobel, 2011) and P2 (Sugimoto & Katayama, 2013). Following these early visual components, the P300 peaks sometime after 300 milliseconds (ms) (Linden, 2005; Polich, 2007). The P300 is thought to reflect several overlapping cognitive processes and has been suggested to represent updating of stimulus representations (Donchin, 1981; Polich, 2007), activation of a global conscious workspace (Dehaene, Sergent, & Changeux, 2003), and inhibition of extraneous brain activation to facilitate memory processing (Polich, 2004). It is not solely related to the stimulus or the response to the stimulus, but is assumed to be an integrative component bridging the two (Verleger, 2010).Moreover, there are also several lines of research indicating that the P300 can be broken down into various subcomponents such as the P3a and P3b. In addition, Principal component analysis (PCA) or independent component analysis (ICA) can be used to decompose the P300 (Eichele, Rachakonda, Brakedal, Eikeland, & Calhoun, 2011; van Dinteren, Huster, Jongsma, Kessels, & Arns, 2017).

Changes in absolute P300 amplitude from childhood to adulthood might reflect improved allocation of cognitive resources (van Dinteren, Arns, Jongsma, & Kessels, 2014b). The P300 signal's association with executive functions such as attentional control and inhibition makes it a suitable target for studying functional brain development over adolescence, a period when these cognitive functions are still developing rapidly (Crone & Steinbeis, 2017; Huizinga, Dolan, & van der Molen, 2006). Several cross-sectional developmental studies have examined age-related differences in P300 components, and the oddball paradigm is the most commonly used experimental task (Davies, Segalowitz, & Gavin, 2004; DeBoer, Scott, & Nelson, 2005). These studies generally suggest that P300 amplitude increases with age, as shown in a meta-analysis and two large cross-sectional studies on auditory P300 components by van Dinteren and colleagues (van Dinteren, Arns, Jongsma, & Kessels, 2014a; van Dinteren et al., 2014b) (see also studies on auditory or visual components by Brinkman & Stauder, 2008; Johnstone et al., 2007; Mullis,

Holcomb, Diner, & Dykman, 1985). However, for P300 measured in visual paradigms the opposite has also sometimes been reported, with a decrease in amplitude with increasing age (Berman, Friedman, & Cramer, 1990; Davies et al., 2004; Mahajan & McArthur, 2015; Oades, Dmittmann-Balcar, & Zerbin, 1997; Stige, Fjell, Smith, Lindgren, & Walhovd, 2007). It has also been suggested that the P300's development is modality specific (Segalowitz et al., 2010). All studies mentioned used some variant of extracting EEG amplitudes from set time windows. However, since the P300 potential is driven by signals of multiple temporally and spatially overlapping sources (R van Dinteren et al., 2017), P300 potential amplitudes may sometimes be difficult to interpret (Huster, Plis, & Calhoun, 2015). This might be further complicated by possible developmental shifts in P300 source location and latency (Polich, 2007; Segalowitz et al., 2010). In the present study we aimed to address these complicating phenomena by decomposing the signals using a blind source separation algorithm that is robust to topography and latency differences across individuals (Huster et al., 2015), and thus provide a clearer picture of age-related differences in P300 components, as well as early visual components, from childhood to adulthood. In contrast to the P300, early visual components such as the N1 and P2 have previously been shown to decrease in amplitude through adolescence (Itier & Taylor, 2004; Tomé, Barbosa, Nowak, & Marques-Teixeira; 2015, Ponton, Eggermont, Kwong, & Don, 2000).

Multiple brain regions are known to contribute to P300 generation (Friedman, 2003). Source localization studies indicate that multiple frontal and parietal brain regions are involved (Bocquillon et al., 2011; Wronka, Kaiser, & Coenen, 2012), as well as temporal and parieto-occipital cortices (Halgren et al., 1995; Mahajan & McArthur, 2015; Smith et al., 1990). P3a sources seem to be situated more anteriorly than those of the P3b (Bocquillon et al., 2011; Wronka et al., 2012). A previous study of adults found higher P300 amplitudes to be related to greater cortical thickness in temporoparietal and orbitofrontal cortices, but only in older adults (Fjell, Walhovd, Fischl, & Reinvang, 2007). No previous studies have investigated the relationships between the P300 components and brain structure in development.

In the present study, we investigated age-related differences in both early visual and P300 components, and how individual differences in these components relate to task performance and cortical surface area and thickness. We analyzed electroencephalography (EEG) recorded during a three-stimulus visual oddball paradigm and structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) data from 88 children and adolescents 8-19 years. Group-level blind source separation was applied to the EEG data to estimate independent components of brain activity, and FreeSurfer was used to estimate vertex-wise cortical surface area and thickness. We expected the EEG decomposition to result in one or more components representing the P300. In line with recent large studies and a meta-analysis that have shown P300 amplitudes to increase until young adulthood (van Dinteren et al., 2014a, 2014b), we expect the P300 components to be stronger for older participants, reflecting age-related increases in brain responses to task-relevant stimuli. However, negative age-relationships for our visual P300 components would lend credence to the hypothesis of modality specific developmental patterns, as this has been reported in some studies using visual paradigms (Segalowitz et al., 2010). We also expect task performance to improve with age, and to be positively correlated with the strength of the P300 components. In the age-range studied, cortical thickness decreases substantially, while

surface area increases during childhood and is relatively stable during adolescence (Amlien et al., 2014; Brown et al., 2012; Raznahan et al., 2011; Tamnes et al., 2017; Vijayakumar et al., 2016). Based on this, we tentatively hypothesize that stronger P300 components would be related to thinner cortex and larger cortical surface area in regions associated with P300 generation. Due to the wide cortical distribution of possible sources of the P300 components, and sparse literature on relationships between P300 and cortical structure, these analyses were explorative and performed on a vertex-wise level across the cortical surface. Relationships between P300 strength and both task performance and cortical structure are predicted to hold when controlling for age, reflective of individual differences in the phase of functional brain development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

Children and adolescents between 8 and 19 years of age were recruited to the research project *Neurocognitive Development* (Tamnes et al., 2010; Østby et al., 2009) through newspaper advertisements, and local schools and workplaces. Written informed consent was provided by all participants over 12 years, as well as from a parent or guardian of participants younger than 18 years. Oral informed assent was obtained from participants younger than 12 years. The study was approved by a Norwegian regional committee for medical and health research ethics. Participants aged 16 years or older and a parent completed standardized health interviews regarding each participant. Exclusion criteria included premature birth, a history of injury or disease known to affect central nervous system (CNS) function, ongoing treatment for a mental disorder, use of psychoactive drugs known to affect CNS functioning, and MRI contraindications. Participants were also required to be right-handed, fluent Norwegian speakers, and have normal or corrected-to-normal hearing and vision. A total of 100 children and adolescents fulfilled these criteria, completed the EEG recording and MRI scanning described below, and were by a neuroradiologist deemed free of significant brain injuries or conditions. Data sets from 12 participants were excluded from analyses due to noisy EEG recordings, while no additional subjects had to be excluded due to noisy MRI data, as described in separate sections below. The final sample for our analyses thus included 88 participants (43 female) aged 8.2-19.7 years (mean = 14.4, SD = 3.5). Mean ages for males (14.1 years, SD = 3.52) and females (14.7 years, SD = 3.5) were not significantly different (t = 0.98, p = .332). Mean IQ, as estimated by the four-subtest form of the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (Wechsler, 2014) was 109.4 (SD = 10.3, range = 82-132). IQ scores were not significantly correlated with age (r = .19, p = .072), nor did IQ significantly differ between males (mean = 111.1, SD = 11.9) and females (mean = 108.4, SD = 9.5, t = 1.2, p = .229).

Stimuli and task

EEG was recorded during a three-stimulus visual oddball paradigm (**Fig.1**). The task was administered using the E-Prime software and presented on a 19-inch computer screen with a viewing distance of approximately 80 cm, and responses were obtained on a PST Serial Response Box. Stimuli were presented on a black screen for 1 s, with a 2 s interstimulus interval during which a white fixation cross was presented. Target and standard stimuli were both blue ellipses, with a height and width of 17.5 x 14.5 cm for the target and 15 x 12 cm for the standard stimulus. The

distractor stimulus was a large blue rectangle of 21 x 17 cm. The resulting visual field was about $9° \times 7°$, $10° \times 8°$, and $12° \times 10°$ for the standard, target, and distractor stimuli, respectively. Participants were told that they would be shown small and large blue circles and instructed to respond by key press when presented with the large circle, and not respond to any other stimuli. All participants first completed a short practice block consisting of 10 trials (7 standard, 3 target). The instructions were then repeated before participants completed the main task block, which consisted of a total of 250 stimuli presented in pseudorandomized order (200 standard, 25 target, 25 distractor). Exclusion criteria were set to a minimum of 60% hits on target trials and a maximum of 30% responses to standards or distractors. No participants were excluded based on these behavioral criteria. This experimental paradigm is a variation of one used by Comerchero and Polich (1999), which has been shown to elicit both P3b and P3a event-related potentials (ERPs) (Polich, 2004), and which we have used in previous developmental and aging studies (Fjell, Rosquist, & Walhovd, 2009; Fjell et al., 2007; Stige et al., 2007).

Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of the visual oddball task

Participants were asked to respond by button presses to target stimuli (25 ellipses) and ignore other stimuli. These included standard stimuli (200 smaller ellipses), as well as distractor stimuli (25 rectangles).

EEG data acquisition

Participants performed the task in an electrically shielded room while seated in a comfortable high-back chair. The electrophysiological recordings were done using 128 EEG channels with an electrode placement according to the 10% system (EasyCap Montage No. 15, http://www.easycap.de/). The sampling rate during recording was set to 1000 Hz. The electrodes used were EasyCap active ring electrodes (Ag/AgCl) with impedance conversion circuits integrated into the electrode housing that allows high quality recordings even with high electrode impedance values, thus reducing preparation time and noise. The signals were amplified via a Neuroscan SynAmps2 system, and filtered online with a 40 Hz low-pass and a 0.15 Hz high-pass analog filter prior to digitization and saving of the continuous data set. During recording, all electrodes were referenced to an electrode placed on the left mastoid. Vertical eye blinks were recorded with one electrode above and one electrode below the left eye and a ground electrode was placed anteriorly on the midline.

EEG data processing

Initial pre-processing of the EEG data was done using the Curry 7 neuroimaging suite (http://compumedicsneuroscan.com/curry-neuroimaging-suite/). The continuous EEG data of each subject was visually inspected to identify noisy electrodes, which were then interpolated as the mean of its 8 nearest neighboring electrodes (excluding noisy neighboring electrodes). Data from 12 participants was excluded due to noise that could not be corrected through this interpolation procedure. This included data where noisy electrodes were clustered together, making interpolation from clean electrodes impossible, as well as cases where large portions of the data contained movement artifacts. The continuous EEG was then filtered from 1Hz to 20Hz. Eye-blink artifacts were removed using a regression-based approach as implemented in CURRY. The data sets were then segmented into 900 ms epochs, starting 100 ms before each stimulus presentation. Epochs were baseline corrected relative to the 100 ms time window preceding stimulus presentation.

Group-level blind source separation was applied to estimate a single set of components that capture the representative activity from neural sources commonly expressed across the whole sample (Huster et al. 2015). To do so, a near equal number of trials was extracted from each participant, namely 50 trials from the standard condition, chosen randomly for the set of available trials (maximum 200), and all available trials from both the target and distractor condition (maximum 25 each). Epochs containing false positives or misses were not excluded from this selection to allow for better noise suppression and an equal number of trials for the group decomposition. EEG ERPs and the resulting statistical effects were compared between data with and without misses excluded, and were found to be the same. Then, group-SOBI (second order blind identification; Belouchrani, Abed-Meraim, Cardoso, & Moulines, 1997) was applied to the EEG, preceded by two consecutive principal component analysis steps (PCA; single subject and group-level) as a means of data reduction (Eichele et al. 2011; Huster et al. 2015). Firstly, for each participant, all trials were vertically concatenated and z-standardized over channels, resulting in a two-dimensional matrix with electrodes as rows and time-points as columns spanning all 250 trials. This matrix was then entered into a subject-specific PCA. 7 PCs were selected from each participant, on average accounting for 90% of the variance in the single-subject data. These 7 components were extracted from each subject's data, concatenated vertically (along the dimension originally representing channels), and entered into a second, group-level PCA. Again, 7 group-PCs were extracted and subjected to SOBI (with temporal lags up to 50 data points or 100 ms), resulting in 7 group-level component time-courses. Finally, in order to examine between-subject differences in the group-level components, single-subject activation of those components were reconstructed by matrix multiplication of the original single-subject EEG time-courses with the demixing matrices resulting from the two preceding PCA's and SOBI. (see Eichele et al. 2011; Huster et al. 2015; Huster & Raud, 2018 for details).

We then selected components for further analysis best capturing those ERPs clearly elicited in this paradigm: N1, P2, P3a and P3b. These were identified by visually inspecting the component scalp distributions and the timing of component peaks. This led to the selection of three components (see below). In each of these components, we identified peaks of interest and then extracted the area under the curve from a 40 ms time window surrounding each

peak of interest for each experimental condition for further statistical analysis (hereafter referred to as time frames). The summed area under the curve in each time frame will hereafter be referred to as that component's strength. This measure of component strength is conceptually somewhat similar to ERP amplitude. However, a component's strength is in arbitrary units and the direction of the component deflections does not necessarily reflect the charge of the amplitudes in the EEG data.

MRI Acquisition

MRI data were acquired using a 1.5 Tesla Siemens Avanto scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions) with a 12-channel head coil. For the morphometric analyses we used a 3D T1-weighted MPRAGE pulse sequence with the following parameters: TR/TE/TI/FA = 2400 ms/3.61 ms/1000 ms/8°, matrix 192×192, field of view = 240, 160 sagittal slices, voxel size $1.25 \times 1.25 \times 1.20$ mm. Duration of the sequence was 7 min 42 s. A minimum of two repeated T1-weigthed sequences were acquired. All images were screened immediately after data acquisition and rescanning was performed if needed and possible. The protocol also included a 176-slice sagittal 3D T2-weighted turbo spin-echo sequence (TR/TE = 3390/388 ms) and a 25-slice coronal FLAIR sequence (TR/TE = 7000–9000/109 ms) to aid the radiological examination.

MRI Data Processing

For each participant, the T1-weigthed sequence with best quality as determined by visual inspection of the raw data was chosen for further analysis. Whole-brain volumetric segmentation and cortical reconstruction and was performed with FreeSurfer 5.3, an open source software suite (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/). The details of the procedures are described elsewhere (Dale, Fischl, & Sereno, 1999; Fischl, 2012; Fischl et al., 2002; Fischl, Sereno, & Dale, 1999). Briefly, the processing includes motion correction, removal of non-brain tissue, automated Talairach transformation, segmentation of structures, intensity normalization, tessellation of surfaces, automated topology correction, and surface deformation to optimally place tissue borders. Cortical surface area (white matter surface) maps were computed by calculating the area of every triangle in the tessellation. The triangular area at each location in native space was compared with the area of the analogous location in registered space to give an estimate of expansion or contraction continuously along the surface ("local arealization") (Fischl et al., 1999). Cortical thickness maps for each subject were obtained by calculating the distance between the cortical gray matter and white matter surface at each vertex (Fischl & Dale, 2000). The maps produced are not restricted to the voxel resolution of the original data and are thus capable of detecting submillimeter differences. All processed scans were visually inspected in detail for movement and other artifacts. Minor manual edits were performed by trained operators on 8 subjects, usually restricted to removal of non-brain tissue included within the cortical boundary. All scans were deemed sufficiently free of movement noise to be included. Before statistical analyses, the surface maps for cortical area and thickness were smoothed with a Gaussian kernel of full-width at half maximum of 15 mm.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics and Pearson's correlation were used to characterize the sample and task performance, and to test how task performance was associated with age. For each time frame extracted from the SOBI-components of interest, repeated measures ANOVAs with a Greenhouse-Geisser correction were used to determine whether the component strength differed significantly across the experimental conditions (target, distractor, and standard). Only component strength was entered, without any covariates or between-subject factors. Within each component, conditions that did not differ significantly in the selected time windows were combined by averaging for all further analyses. This was chosen as a data-driven approach to identify which components were not affected by certain characteristics of the stimuli. Pearson's correlation coefficients were computed to assess the relationships between component strength and age. Multiple linear regression was used to determine whether an interaction existed between age and condition on component strength. Partial correlations were computed between component strength and task performance, controlling for age, to rule out task improvement due to maturation in general and thus examine individual differences in the maturational processes indexes by the measured components. Surface-based cortical analyses were performed vertex-wise (point-by-point) using general linear models, as implemented in FreeSurfer 5.3. Main effects of the strength of each extracted component on cortical structure was tested, while controlling for the effects of sex and age. Separate analyses were performed for cortical surface area and thickness maps. The data were tested against an empirical null distribution of maximum cluster size across 10,000 iterations using Z Monte Carlo simulations as implemented in FreeSurfer (Hagler, Saygin, & Sereno, 2006; Hayasaka & Nichols, 2003) synthesized two separate times with cluster-forming thresholds of P < .01 and P <.001 respectively, yielding clusters corrected for multiple comparisons across the surfaces. Clusterwise corrected P < .01 was regarded significant. Mean vertex-wise cortical surface area or thickness values were then extracted from each significant cluster.

RESULTS

Task performance

Participants responded correctly to an average of 93% (SD = .08, range = .64-1.00) for targets with an average median reaction time of 673 ms (SD = 114, range = 481-989). Standard and distractor stimuli were incorrectly responded to 2% (SD = .04, range = .00-.29) and 1% (SD = .02, range = .00-.12) of the time, respectively, and with average median reaction times of 779 ms (SD = 167 range = 346-1304) and 597 ms (SD = 130, range = 439-881), respectively. Number of correct responses (hits) was positively correlated with age (r = .29, p = .005), while number of false positives by responding to standard stimuli, but not to distractor stimuli, was negatively correlated with age (r = -.30, p = .005 and r = .054 p= .618). Reaction times for correct responses to target and incorrect responses to both standard and distractors were significantly related to age, with older participants having faster reaction times (Target: r = -.62, p < .001; Standard l: r = -.33, p = .009; Distractor: r = -.49, p = .010).

EEG decomposition

The SOBI decomposition of the EEG epochs yielded seven components (**Fig.2**). We visually selected those three components that best reflected early visual or P300-like processing as inferred from both component topographies

and activity patterns. A total of four peaks of interest were identified for components 1 through 3: the second positive peak of component 1 (355 ms), is assumed to represent a frontal P300; the large positive peak of component 2 (453 ms), likely represents a parietal P300; the early positive and the early negative peaks of component 3 (129 ms and 211 ms, respectively), likely representing the visual N1 and P2. The timings of the peaks of the frontal and parietal P300 components were set to the mean of the target and distractor conditions, since the standard condition did not show a marked peak for these components. For N1 and P2 the timings of the peaks were set to the mean of all three experimental conditions. The assumptions about the nature of each component are based on their locations on the scalp, the degree of difference between experimental conditions, and the similarity in timing and appearance to the ERPs expected from the experimental paradigm. For each peak of interest, the area under the curve +/-20 ms from the peak was extracted. Repeated measures ANOVAs with a Greenhouse-Geisser correction determined that peak areas differed significantly between conditions for component 1 (F = 10,564, p < .001) and the second peak of component 3 (F = 16,112, p < .001). The same was found for component 2 (F = 151.41, p < .001), but post hoc tests using Bonferroni correction revealed that distractor and target conditions did not differ significantly (p = 1.000), so these were averaged for further analyses. There were no significant differences between any of the experimental conditions for the first peak of interest in component 3 (F = 1.371, p = .256), so these were also combined. From this point forward the terms frontal P300, parietal P300, N1 and P2 will be used to describe the extracted component time frames. The term strength will be used for the summed values of the extracted time frames for each component.

Fig. 2 Component output of Group level SOBI decomposition of all EEG epochs

Top: Component scalp topographies. Bottom: ERPs of all independent components for standard (black, dotted), target (blue) and distractor (red) conditions. Components marked with (*) were kept for further analyses. Peaks of the first three components are assumed to represent the following: (1) frontal P3; (2) parietal P3; (3) N1 and P2. From these we extracted the area under the curve in a 40 ms time window, which were used for all further statistical analyses.

Age-related differences in EEG component strength

Correlation analyses were performed to investigate the associations between the strength of each of the EEG components and age (**Fig.3**). There was a significant positive association between age and the frontal P300 for the distractor condition (r = .25, p = .017), indicating increased strength with age. No significant associations were found for the target or standard conditions (r = .09, p = .433 and r = .03, p = .818, respectively). There was also a

significant positive correlation between age and the parietal P300 for the combined target/distractor condition (r = .25, p = .020), with increased strength with age. No significant association was found for the standard condition (r = .09, p = .386). A significant positive correlation was found between age and the combined conditions (target, distractor and standard) for N1 (r = .49, p < .001), indicating decreased strength with age. Significant negative correlations were also found between age and the strength of P2 for all experimental conditions, indicating decreased strength with age (Standard: r = -.49, p < .001; Target: r = -.41, p < .001; Distractor: r = -.29, p = .006). There was a significant interaction between age and condition (F(3,524) = 5,52 , p <.001, R^2 = .031 = 3.671, p < .001). In sum the results indicate that the P300 components get stronger with age during development, while N1 and P2 get weaker.

Fig. 3 Age-related differences in EEG component strength

Top: ERPs of the three SOBI components used in our analyses for standard (black, dotted), target (blue) and distractor (red) conditions. 40 ms time windows of extracted peak areas are highlighted in orange. Bottom: Scatter plots showing relationships between age and component strength for extracted time windows of each component.

Associations between EEG component strength and task performance

Partial correlations were performed to test the associations between the strength of all EEG components and task performance variables, including hits on targets, false positives for standard and distractor stimuli, and median reaction times, with age included as a covariate. The strength of the frontal P300 for the target condition showed a

significant positive association with the number of correct responses (hits) (r = .28, p = .008) and a significant negative association with the number of false positives to standard stimuli (r = -.24, p = .024) (**Fig.4**). The strength of the combined target and distractor conditions for the parietal P300 component was positively associated with number of hits (r = .22, p = .041). Median reaction time to targets was negatively associated with the strength of the frontal P300 for the target condition (r = -.24, p = .028), as well as the strength of P2 for standard (r = -.22, p = .039), target (r = -.24, p = .028) and distractor (r = -.29, p = .006) conditions.

Fig. 4 Associations between EEG component strength and task performance

Scatter plots showing the relationship between (A) frontal P3 strength for the target condition and median reaction time for target hits, (B) frontal P3 strength for the target condition and proportion hits on target trials and (C) parietal P3 strength for the combined target and standard condition and proportion hits on target trials.

Associations between EEG component strength and cortical structure

Finally, to examine the associations between the strength of the EEG components and cortical structure, surface-based cortical analyses were performed using GLMs, controlling for sex and age. Separate analyses were performed for cortical surface area and cortical thickness. After correction for multiple comparisons using cluster size inference with a conservative cluster-forming threshold of P<.001, no clusters reached significance. However, with a more liberal cluster-forming threshold of P<.01, we found a significant cluster in the middle and inferior temporal gyrus of the left hemisphere where a stronger parietal P300 for the combined target/distractor condition was associated with larger cortical surface area (cluster size = 1409.19 mm2, clusterwise p = .002, MNI max vertex (X, Y, Z) = -58.6, -27.1, -12.7) (**Fig.5**). No other effects reached significance after controlling for multiple comparisons.

Fig. 5 Associations between EEG component strength and cortical structure

Cluster in left hemisphere at a cluster-forming threshold of P < .01 showing a significant association between cortical surface area and parietal P300 activation, independently of age and sex. This cluster did not reach significance at a cluster forming threshold of P < .001.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated age-related differences in early visual and P300 components, and how these components were related to task performance and cortical surface area and thickness. Group-level blind source separation identified three components. Based on the component topographies and temporal activity profiles, they were deemed to represent a parietal P300, a frontal P300, as well as the visual N1 and P2. N1 and P2 decreased in strength with age; while in contrast, the strength of the parietal P300, and the frontal P300 in a distractor condition, increased with age. Individual differences in the strength of the P300 components were positively associated with aspects of better task performance, while the early visual components showed associations in the opposite direction. The strength of the parietal P300 component was also associated with cortical surface area in the left lateral temporal lobe. The associations between the EEG components and age, task performance and cortical structure are discussed further below.

Relationships of age to the identified electrophysiological components

We identified two P300-like components: a frontal P300 and a parietal P300. Both components increased in strength with increasing age. These results are in accordance with those of van Dinteren and colleagues' large cross-sectional study and meta-analysis (van Dinteren et al., 2014a), where auditory P300 amplitude was found to increase through childhood and adolescence and peak in the early 20s. However, the literature on the development of the visual P300 is relatively sparse, and the developmental trajectory is less clear, with several discrepant findings. It has been hypothesized that the developmental trajectory of the P300 might differ for auditory and visual modalities (Segalowitz et al., 2010), since some studies using visual oddball paradigms have found negative age associations. A

study of adolescent girls aged 14-19 found the visual P3b to decrease with age in a control group, but not in a clinical group with borderline personality disorder (Houston, Ceballos, Hesselbrock, & Bauer, 2005). Similarly, another study indicated that the visual P3b decreases with age in participants 8-18 years (Hill & Shen, 2002). Stige et al. (2007) also found visual P3a and P3b to decrease from late childhood to adolescence. All studies mentioned used variants of visual oddball tasks, and it is not clear why these studies found opposite results to the present study. However, developmental studies on visual P300 are still rare and several of the available studies included small samples. To test whether P300 development differs between modalities, future studies will have to directly compare age-related differences in P300 components using similar paradigms with the same participants.

Our results showed that the strength of the frontal P300 showed an age-related increase only for the distractor condition and not the target condition; in contrast to the parietal P300 which increased in both conditions. A possible explanation for this difference is that it reflects a frontal shift, previously observed for the topographical distribution of P300 through development (Mathes, Khalaidovski, Wienke, Schmiedt-Fehr, & Basar-Eroglu, 2016). Another possible interpretation is that the two P300 components we identified represent the subcomponents P3a and P3b, and the differences observed reflect differing developmental trajectories for these P300 subcomponents. The visual oddball paradigm used in our study was designed to be well suited for distinguishing between the P3a and P3b subcomponents, as they are thought to be elicited by novel stimuli that do not require a response (distractors) and active trials that require conscious determination (targets) (Downes, Bathelt, & De Haan, 2017). The two P300-like components from our EEG decomposition were similar to P3a and P3b in several ways. The frontal P300 component was similar to P3a in its topography, and relatively earlier peak. The parietal P300 component resembled P3b in its topography and relatively later peak. However, the parietal P300 component did not differ between the target and the distractor conditions. If the components do in fact reflect P3a and P3b, a possible reason for this discrepancy is that the traditional way of estimating P300 reflects multiple overlapping signals from several sources (Bocquillon et al., 2011; Wronka et al., 2012).

Our decomposition of the EEG data also identified an early visual component which had two marked peaks. These were similar to, and likely reflect, the N1 and P2 evoked potentials. N1 is thought to reflect activity tied to controlled information processing (Segalowitz et al., 2010), while P2 is tied to working memory function (Finnigan, O'Connell, Cummins, Broughton, & Robertson, 2011). In stark contrast to the P300 components, which increased in strength with age, our results showed that both of these early visual components decreased in strength with increasing age. This is consistent with previous developmental studies on N1 (Itier & Taylor, 2004; Tomé, Barbosa, Nowak, & Marques-Teixeira, 2015) and P2 (Ponton, Eggermont, Kwong, & Don, 2000). A possible confounding factor is developmental increase in skull density and thickness, which could also explain a reduction in EEG signal strength through development. However, this likely only has a minor impact across adolescence (Segalowitz et al., 2010), and so is unlikely to explain the age correlations observed in our sample, particularly considering the positive age associations observed for the P300 components, though it might have a modulating influence.

Relationships of the identified electrophysiological components and task performance

N1 strength was not associated with task performance in our data. This does not lend support to the notion proposed by Segalowitz et al. (2010) that lowering of the N1 amplitude might reflect a narrowing of attentional focus and increased vigilance. However, lower P2 strength was associated with faster reaction times in all experimental conditions, and N1 and P2 are commonly viewed as highly dependent parts of the visual evoked potential, though they are not functionally identical (Crowley & Colrain, 2004).The dissociation seen in the age-related differences for the P300 components in contrast to the early visual components was also reflected in the direction of the associations we found between the strength of the EEG components and aspects of task performance. In contrast to the negative association in P2, the strength of the P300 components were positively associated with aspects of task performance. Specifically, the strength of the parietal P300 in the target and distractor conditions was associated with number of correct responses, and the strength of the frontal P300 in the target condition was associated with more correct responses, fewer false positives, and faster reaction time. Similar associations between P300 amplitude and oddball task performance was reported by van Dinteren et al. (2014b), and several developmental studies using stop signal tasks have shown successful trials to be associated with higher P3 amplitudes compared to unsuccessful ones (Dimoska, Johnstone, & Barry, 2006; Dimoska, Johnstone, Barry, & Clarke, 2003; Overtoom et al., 2002). As we controlled for age in our analyses, these results suggest that better task performance was related to EEG signatures more similar to older, more mature adolescents. This might indicate that the components reflect individual differences in maturation of the visual attentional system beyond what can be explained by age alone. As a general summary of the discussion so far, N1 and P2 strength was lower for older adolescents and lower P2 strength was associated with better task performance, while the opposite pattern was seen for the P3 components. From this we speculate that improvements in attention seen in adolescence stems partly from the attentional system becoming more efficient at distributing cognitive resources to task relevant attentional processes.

Relationships of the identified electrophysiological components and cortical characteristics

Using gaussian-based Monte Carlo simulations in surface-based clusterwise analysis has been shown to underestimate the false positive rate (Greve & Fischl, 2017). In order to partially correct for this, we used a high smoothing level (FWHM 15 mm), and then used two separate cluster-forming thresholds of P < .001 and P < .01 to test for associations after more conservative and more liberal correction, respectively. Using the more conservative level (P < .001), we found no significant relationships between the EEG-components and cortical structure. However, at the more liberal cluster-forming threshold (P < .01), we found an age- and sex-independent positive association between the strength of the parietal P300 and cortical surface area in the left middle and inferior temporal gyrus. This is generally consistent with other studies of both children and adults, indicating positive associations between various cognitive functions and cortical surface area (see e.g. Curley et al., 2017; Fjell et al., 2012; Walhovd et al., 2016). No associations were found between the strength of the frontal P300 or the early visual component and cortical surface area, or between any of the EEG components and cortical thickness. Controlling for age was done to prevent potenially specific associations between P300 strength and cortical structure from being

masked by the widespread age-related structural changes in the age-range studied (Tamnes et al., 2017). After controlling for age, the findings can be interpreted as the relative association between cortical structure and P300 strength within each age group.

Multiple brain regions are known to contribute to P300 generation (Friedman, 2003). Intriguingly, the inferior temporal gyrus has previously been implicated in generating the visual P3b. A combined fMRI/EEG study by Bledowski et al. (2004) that used a three stimulus visual oddball task similar to the one used in our study found P3b to be generated by inferior temporal and parietal regions, while P3a was found to be produced mainly by the insula and frontal regions. The authors suggest that the cortical activation in the inferior temporal region during P3b generation could reflect the categorization of visual stimuli by higher visual areas. However, other areas of the temporal lobes have been pegged as possible sources of the P300 more generally, along with several other regions. Several neural generators of the auditory P300 have been suggested, including the prefrontal cortex, the temporo-parietal junction and the primary auditory cortex (Friedman, 2003). A meta-analysis of fMRI studies using visual and auditory oddball tasks by Kim (2014) concluded that oddball effects were strongly associated with a ventral, modality-independent attentional network. This network included the temporo-parietal junction, the anterior medial frontal gyrus, the anterior insula and the anterior cingulate cortex, as well as the inferior frontal junction and the sensory cortical regions associated with the sensory modality relevant for the task. In a source localization study of the P300 using a visual oddball paradigm similar to the one used in our study, Bocquillon et al. (2011) suggested that the dorsal frontoparietal network generates the P300, with inferior parietal areas involved in target-specific processing. Of studies on cortical structure, Fjell et al. (2007) found that higher P3a amplitude was positively related to cortical thickness in parietal regions, the temporo-parietal junction and in the middle frontal gyrus, but only for elderly adults.

In sum, the middle and inferior temporal gyrus region that was found to be associated with the strength of the parietal P300 at a liberal cluster-forming threshold does not overlap with previously identified modality independent P300 sources, but is known to be involved in visual shape processing (Gerlach et al., 2002) and has been identified as one source of the visual P3b by Bledowski et al. (2004). From this we can speculate that the observed association between larger cortical surface area in this region and greater parietal P300 strength is indicative of a maturational difference in attentional target processing in the visual modality. Longitudinal studies are however needed to clearly establish developmental trajectories of P300 components and how these changes relate to structural brain maturation. Also, methodological studies comparing the results of studies using decomposition techniques and traditional ERP analyses for well-studied samples and paradigms could prove enlightening.

Conclusions

Our results suggest that P300 increases in strength with increasing age through adolescence, while early visual component strength decreases. Task performance improved with age, and was associated with stronger P300 components and weaker early visual components, independently of age. Finally, using a liberal cluster-forming threshold, parietal P300 strength was, independently of age, associated with larger cortical surface area in the middle and inferior temporal gyrus for the left hemisphere, but this did not reach significance at a more conservative threshold. We suggest that the results reflect functional brain maturation in terms of increasing brain responses to task-relevant stimuli, reflective of a more mature and efficient visual attention system.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. Ethical approval: "All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards."

REFERENCES

Amlien, I. K., Fjell, A. M., Tamnes, C. K., Grydeland, H., Krogsrud, S. K., Chaplin, T. A., . . . Walhovd, K. B. (2014). Organizing principles of human cortical development—thickness and area from 4 to 30 years: insights from comparative primate neuroanatomy. *Cerebral cortex, 26*(1), 257-267. doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhu214

Belouchrani, A., Abed-Meraim, K., Cardoso, J.-F., & Moulines, E. (1997). A blind source separation technique using second-order statistics. *IEEE Transactions on signal processing, 45*(2), 434-444. doi: https://doi.org/10.1109/78.554307

Berman, S., Friedman, D., & Cramer, M. (1990). A developmental study of event-related potentials during explicit and implicit memory. *International Journal of Psychophysiology, 10*(2), 191-197. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8760(90)90034-B

Blakemore, S.-J. (2012). Imaging brain development: the adolescent brain. *Neuroimage, 61*(2), 397-406. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.11.080

Bledowski, C., Prvulovic, D., Hoechstetter, K., Scherg, M., Wibral, M., Goebel, R., & Linden, D. E. (2004). Localizing P300 generators in visual target and distractor processing: a combined event-related potential and functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Journal of Neuroscience, 24*(42), 9353-9360. doi: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1897-04.2004

Bocquillon, P., Bourriez, J.-L., Palmero-Soler, E., Betrouni, N., Houdayer, E., Derambure, P., & Dujardin, K. (2011). Use of swLORETA to localize the cortical sources of target- and distracter-elicited P300 components. *Clinical neurophysiology, 122*(10), 1991-2002. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2011.03.014

Brinkman, M. J., & Stauder, J. E. (2008). The development of passive auditory novelty processing. *International Journal of Psychophysiology, 70*(1), 33-39. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2008.04.005

Brown, T. T., Kuperman, J. M., Chung, Y., Erhart, M., McCabe, C., Hagler, D. J., . . . Bloss, C. S. (2012). Neuroanatomical assessment of biological maturity. *Current Biology, 22*(18), 1693-1698. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.07.002

Comerchero, M. D., & Polich, J. (1999). P3a and P3b from typical auditory and visual stimuli. *Clinical neurophysiology, 110*(1), 24-30. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-5597(98)00033-1

Crone, E. A., & Steinbeis, N. (2017). Neural Perspectives on Cognitive Control Development during Childhood and Adolescence. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2017.01.003

Crowley, K. E., & Colrain, I. M. (2004). A review of the evidence for P2 being an independent component process: age, sleep and modality. *Clinical neurophysiology, 115*(4), 732-744. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2003.11.021

Curley, L. B., Newman, E., Thompson, W. K., Brown, T. T., Hagler, D. J., Akshoomoff, N., . . . Jernigan, T. L. (2017). Cortical morphology of the pars opercularis and its relationship to motor-inhibitory performance in

a longitudinal, developing cohort. *Brain Structure and Function*, 1-10. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-017-1480-5

Dale, A. M., Fischl, B., & Sereno, M. I. (1999). Cortical surface-based analysis: I. Segmentation and surface reconstruction. *Neuroimage, 9*(2), 179-194. doi: https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.1998.0395

Davies, P. L., Segalowitz, S. J., & Gavin, W. J. (2004). Development of response-monitoring ERPs in 7-to 25-year-olds. *Developmental neuropsychology, 25*(3), 355-376. doi: https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326942dn2503_6

DeBoer, T., Scott, L. S., & Nelson, C. A. (2005). Event-related potentials in developmental populations.

Dehaene, S., Sergent, C., & Changeux, J.-P. (2003). A neuronal network model linking subjective reports and objective physiological data during conscious perception. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 100*(14), 8520-8525. doi: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1332574100

Dimoska, A., Johnstone, S. J., & Barry, R. J. (2006). The auditory-evoked N2 and P3 components in the stop-signal task: indices of inhibition, response-conflict or error-detection? *Brain and cognition, 62*(2), 98-112. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2006.03.011

Dimoska, A., Johnstone, S. J., Barry, R. J., & Clarke, A. R. (2003). Inhibitory motor control in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: event-related potentials in the stop-signal paradigm. *Biological Psychiatry, 54*(12), 1345-1354. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223(03)00703-0

Donchin, E. (1981). Surprise!… surprise? *Psychophysiology, 18*(5), 493-513. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.1981.tb01815.x

Downes, M., Bathelt, J., & De Haan, M. (2017). Event-related potential measures of executive functioning from preschool to adolescence. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology, 59*(6), 581-590. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.13395

Enge, S., Fleischhauer, M., Lesch, K. P., & Strobel, A. (2011). On the role of serotonin and effort in voluntary attention: evidence of genetic variation in N1 modulation. Behavioural brain research, 216(1), 122-128. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2010.07.021

Eichele, T., Rachakonda, S., Brakedal, B., Eikeland, R., & Calhoun, V. D. (2011). EEGIFT: group independent component analysis for event-related EEG data. *Computational intelligence and neuroscience, 2011*, 9. doi: https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/129365

Finnigan, S., O'Connell, R. G., Cummins, T. D., Broughton, M., & Robertson, I. H. (2011). ERP measures indicate both attention and working memory encoding decrements in aging. *Psychophysiology, 48*(5), 601-611. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.2010.01128.x

Fischl, B. (2012). FreeSurfer. *Neuroimage, 62*(2), 774-781. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.01.021

Fischl, B., & Dale, A. M. (2000). Measuring the thickness of the human cerebral cortex from magnetic resonance images. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 97*(20), 11050-11055. doi: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.200033797

Fischl, B., Salat, D. H., Busa, E., Albert, M., Dieterich, M., Haselgrove, C., . . . Klaveness, S. (2002). Whole brain segmentation: automated labeling of neuroanatomical structures in the human brain. *Neuron, 33*(3), 341-355. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(02)00569-X

Fischl, B., Sereno, M. I., & Dale, A. M. (1999). Cortical surface-based analysis: II: inflation, flattening, and a surface-based coordinate system. *Neuroimage, 9*(2), 195-207. doi: https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.1998.0396

Fjell, A. M., Rosquist, H., & Walhovd, K. B. (2009). Instability in the latency of P3a/P3b brain potentials and cognitive function in aging. *Neurobiology of aging, 30*(12), 2065-2079. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2008.01.015

Fjell, A. M., Walhovd, K. B., Brown, T. T., Kuperman, J. M., Chung, Y., Hagler, D. J., . . . McCabe, C. (2012). Multimodal imaging of the self-regulating developing brain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 109*(48), 19620-19625. doi: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1208243109

Fjell, A. M., Walhovd, K. B., Fischl, B., & Reinvang, I. (2007). Cognitive function, P3a/P3b brain potentials, and cortical thickness in aging. *Human brain mapping, 28*(11), 1098-1116. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20335

Friedman, D. (2003). Cognition and aging: a highly selective overview of event-related potential (ERP) data. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology, 25*(5), 702-720. doi: https://doi.org/10.1076/jcen.25.5.702.14578

Gerlach, C., Aaside, C., Humphreys, G., Gade, A., Paulson, O. B., & Law, I. (2002). Brain activity related to integrative processes in visual object recognition: bottom-up integration and the modulatory influence of stored knowledge. *Neuropsychologia, 40*(8), 1254-1267. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0028-3932(01)00222-6

Hagler, D. J., Saygin, A. P., & Sereno, M. I. (2006). Smoothing and cluster thresholding for cortical surface-based group analysis of fMRI data. *Neuroimage, 33*(4), 1093-1103. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.07.036

Halgren, E., Baudena, P., Clarke, J. M., Heit, G., Marinkovic, K., Devaux, B., . . . Biraben, A. (1995). Intracerebral potentials to rare target and distractor auditory and visual stimuli. II. Medial, lateral and posterior temporal lobe. *Electroencephalography and clinical neurophysiology, 94*(4), 229-250. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4694(95)98475-N

Hayasaka, S., & Nichols, T. E. (2003). Validating cluster size inference: random field and permutation methods. *Neuroimage, 20*(4), 2343-2356. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2003.08.003

Hill, S. Y., & Shen, S. (2002). Neurodevelopmental patterns of visual P3b in association with familial risk for alcohol dependence and childhood diagnosis. *Biological Psychiatry, 51*(8), 621-631. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223(01)01301-4

Houston, R. J., Ceballos, N. A., Hesselbrock, V. M., & Bauer, L. O. (2005). Borderline personality disorder features in adolescent girls: P300 evidence of altered brain maturation. *Clinical neurophysiology, 116*(6), 1424-1432. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2005.01.013

Huizinga, M., Dolan, C. V., & van der Molen, M. W. (2006). Age-related change in executive function: Developmental trends and a latent variable analysis. *Neuropsychologia, 44*(11), 2017-2036. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2006.01.010

Huster, R. J., Plis, S. M., & Calhoun, V. D. (2015). Group-level component analyses of EEG: validation and evaluation. *Frontiers in neuroscience, 9*. doi: https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2015.00254

Huster, R. J., & Raud, L. (2018). A Tutorial Review on Multi-subject Decomposition of EEG. Brain topography, 31(1), 3-16. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10548-017-0603-x

Itier, R. J., & Taylor, M. J. (2004). Effects of repetition learning on upright, inverted and contrast-reversed face processing using ERPs. *Neuroimage, 21*(4), 1518-1532. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2003.12.016

Jernigan, T. L., Baaré, W. F., Stiles, J., & Madsen, K. S. (2011). Postnatal brain development: structural imaging of dynamic neurodevelopmental processes. *Progress in brain research, 189*, 77. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53884-0.00019-1

Johnstone, S. J., Dimoska, A., Smith, J. L., Barry, R. J., Pleffer, C. B., Chiswick, D., & Clarke, A. R. (2007). The development of stop-signal and Go/Nogo response inhibition in children aged 7–12 years: performance and event-related potential indices. *International Journal of Psychophysiology, 63*(1), 25-38. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2006.07.001

Kim, H. (2014). Involvement of the dorsal and ventral attention networks in oddball stimulus processing: A meta-analysis. *Human brain mapping, 35*(5), 2265-2284. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22326

Linden, D. E. (2005). The P300: where in the brain is it produced and what does it tell us? *The Neuroscientist, 11*(6), 563-576. doi: https://doi.org/10.1177/1073858405280524

Mahajan, Y., & McArthur, G. (2015). Maturation of mismatch negativity and P3a response across adolescence. *Neuroscience letters, 587*, 102-106. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2014.12.041

Mathes, B., Khalaidovski, K., Wienke, A. S., Schmiedt-Fehr, C., & Basar-Eroglu, C. (2016). Maturation of the P3 and concurrent oscillatory processes during adolescence. *Clinical neurophysiology, 127*(7), 2599-2609. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2016.04.019

Mullis, R., Holcomb, P. J., Diner, B. C., & Dykman, R. A. (1985). The effects of aging on the P3 component of the visual event-related potential. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology/Evoked Potentials Section, 62*(2), 141-149. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-5597(85)90026-7

Oades, R., Dmittmann-Balcar, A., & Zerbin, D. (1997). Development and topography of auditory event-related potentials (ERPs): Mismatch and processing negativity in individuals 8–22 years of age. *Psychophysiology, 34*(6), 677-693. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8986.1997.tb02143.x

Overtoom, C. C., Kenemans, J. L., Verbaten, M. N., Kemner, C., van der Molen, M. W., van Engeland, H., . . . Koelega, H. S. (2002). Inhibition in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a psychophysiological study of the stop task. *Biological Psychiatry, 51*(8), 668-676. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223(01)01290-2

Polich, J. (2004). Clinical application of the P300 event-related brain potential. *Physical medicine and rehabilitation clinics of North America, 15*(1), 133-161. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1047-9651(03)00109-8

Polich, J. (2007). Updating P300: An integrative theory of P3a and P3b. *Clinical neurophysiology, 118*(10), 2128-2148. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2007.04.019

Ponton, C. W., Eggermont, J. J., Kwong, B., & Don, M. (2000). Maturation of human central auditory system activity: evidence from multi-channel evoked potentials. *Clinical neurophysiology, 111*(2), 220-236. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1388-2457(99)00236-9

Raznahan, A., Shaw, P., Lalonde, F., Stockman, M., Wallace, G. L., Greenstein, D., . . . Giedd, J. N. (2011). How does your cortex grow? *Journal of Neuroscience, 31*(19), 7174-7177. doi: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0054-11.2011

Rueda, M. R. (2013). 15 Development of Attention. *The Oxford Handbook of Cognitive Neuroscience, Volume 2: The Cutting Edges, 2*, 296. doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199988693.013.0015

Segalowitz, S., & Davies, P. L. (2004). Charting the maturation of the frontal lobe: an electrophysiological strategy. *Brain and cognition, 55*(1), 116-133. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-2626(03)00283-5

Segalowitz, S. J., Santesso, D. L., & Jetha, M. K. (2010). Electrophysiological changes during adolescence: a review. *Brain and cognition, 72*(1), 86-100. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2009.10.003

Smith, M. E., Halgren, E., Sokolik, M., Baudena, P., Musolino, A., Liegeois-Chauvel, C., & Chauvel, P. (1990). The intracranial topography of the P3 event-related potential elicited during auditory oddball. *Electroencephalography and clinical neurophysiology, 76*(3), 235-248. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4694(90)90018-F

Stige, S., Fjell, A. M., Smith, L., Lindgren, M., & Walhovd, K. B. (2007). The development of visual P3a and P3b. *Developmental neuropsychology, 32*(1), 563-584. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/87565640701361096

Sugimoto, F., & Katayama, J. I. (2013). Somatosensory P2 reflects resource allocation in a game task: assessment with an irrelevant probe technique using electrical probe stimuli to shoulders. International Journal of Psychophysiology, 87(2), 200-204. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2013.01.007

Sutton, S., Braren, M., Zubin, J., & John, E. (1965). Evoked-potential correlates of stimulus uncertainty. *Science, 150*(3700), 1187-1188. doi: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.150.3700.1187

Tamnes, C. K., Herting, M. M., Goddings, A.-L., Meuwese, R., Blakemore, S.-J., Dahl, R. E., . . . Crone, E. A. (2017). Development of the cerebral cortex across adolescence: a multisample study of inter-related longitudinal changes in cortical volume, surface area, and thickness. *Journal of Neuroscience, 37*(12), 3402-3412. doi: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3302-16.2017

Tamnes, C. K., Østby, Y., Fjell, A. M., Westlye, L. T., Due-Tønnessen, P., & Walhovd, K. B. (2010). Brain maturation in adolescence and young adulthood: regional age-related changes in cortical thickness and white matter volume and microstructure. *Cerebral cortex, 20*(3), 534-548. doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhp118

Tomé, D., Barbosa, F., Nowak, K., & Marques-Teixeira, J. (2015). The development of the N1 and N2 components in auditory oddball paradigms: a systematic review with narrative analysis and suggested normative values. *Journal of Neural Transmission, 122*(3), 375-391. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-014-1258-3

Twomey, D. M., Murphy, P. R., Kelly, S. P., & O'connell, R. G. (2015). The classic P300 encodes a build-to-threshold decision variable. *European Journal of Neuroscience, 42*(1), 1636-1643. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.12936

van Dinteren, R., Arns, M., Jongsma, M. L., & Kessels, R. P. (2014a). Combined frontal and parietal P300 amplitudes indicate compensated cognitive processing across the lifespan. *Frontiers in aging neuroscience, 6*. doi: https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2014.00294

van Dinteren, R., Arns, M., Jongsma, M. L., & Kessels, R. P. (2014b). P300 development across the lifespan: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS one, 9*(2), e87347. doi: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0087347

van Dinteren, R., Huster, R., Jongsma, M., Kessels, R., & Arns, M. (2017). Differences in Cortical Sources of the Event-Related P3 Potential Between Young and Old Participants Indicate Frontal Compensation. *Brain topography*, 1-12. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10548-016-0542-y

Verleger, R. (2010). Popper and P300: Can the view ever be falsified that P3 latency is a specific indicator of stimulus evaluation? *Clinical neurophysiology, 121*(8), 1371-1372. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2010.01.038

Vijayakumar, N., Allen, N. B., Youssef, G., Dennison, M., Yücel, M., Simmons, J. G., & Whittle, S. (2016). Brain development during adolescence: A mixed-longitudinal investigation of cortical thickness, surface area, and volume. *Human brain mapping, 37*(6), 2027-2038. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.23154

Walhovd, K. B., Krogsrud, S. K., Amlien, I. K., Bartsch, H., Bjørnerud, A., Due-Tønnessen, P., . . . Kremen, W. S. (2016). Neurodevelopmental origins of lifespan changes in brain and cognition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 201524259. doi: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1524259113

Wechsler, D. (2014). Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale–Fourth Edition (WAIS–IV).

Wronka, E., Kaiser, J., & Coenen, A. M. (2012). Neural generators of the auditory evoked potential components P3a and P3b.

Østby, Y., Tamnes, C. K., Fjell, A. M., Westlye, L. T., Due-Tønnessen, P., & Walhovd, K. B. (2009). Heterogeneity in subcortical brain development: a structural magnetic resonance imaging study of brain maturation from 8 to 30 years. *Journal of Neuroscience, 29*(38), 11772-11782. doi: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1242-09.2009