

1 **Host identity and functional traits determine the community composition of the**
2 **arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in facultative epiphytic plant species**

3

4 Alguacil MM^{1*}, Díaz G², Torres P², Rodríguez-Caballero G¹, Roldán A¹.

5

6 ¹Soil and Water Conservation Department, Centro de Edafología y Biología Aplicada
7 del Segura-Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CEBAS-CSIC), Campus
8 Universitario de Espinardo, Murcia, Spain.

9 ²Departamento de Biología Aplicada. Área de Botánica. Universidad Miguel
10 Hernández. Avda. De la Universidad s/n. 03202-Elche, Alicante, Spain.

11

12 *Corresponding author: M.M. Alguacil, Tel.: +34-968-396337; Fax: +34-968-396213;

13 E-mail: mmalguacil@cebas.csic.es

14

15

16

17

18 **Running title: Host determine AMF community in facultative epiphytic**

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 **ABSTRACT**

27 The epiphytic vascular flora is scarce and facultative in semiarid Mediterranean
28 ecosystems, thus covering diverse taxonomic groups. However, differently to terrestrial
29 conditions, little is known about the factors driving mycorrhizal communities in
30 epiphytic environments. Here, we investigated the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF)
31 harboured by 31 plant species occurring in the trunks of *Phoenix dactylifera*. We
32 wanted to ascertain if host identity and plant functional traits shape mycorrhizal
33 communities. Specifically, we tested the plant life-cycle (perennial versus annual), the
34 plant life-form (herbaceous versus woody), the plant origin (exotic versus native) and
35 the plant species.

36 The roots were examined by molecular and phylogenetic analysis of AMF community.
37 The plant affiliation to species strongly influenced the AMF assemblages. Plant life-
38 form and plant life-cycle also shaped AMF interactions. The AMF community differed
39 between annual and perennial species and higher AMF richness was detected in
40 perennial plants. The indicator species analysis revealed three Operational Taxonomic
41 Units belonging to the *Glomeraceae*, associated with annual species. However, the
42 epiphytic plants associated with AMF irrespective of whether they were native or not,
43 probably because here no functional differences derive from plant origin.

44

45 **IMPORTANCE**

46 Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) symbiosis has a decisive role in plant nutrient and water
47 uptake by plants, with particular importance in stressful environments. Under semiarid
48 conditions, the facultative epiphytic flora should cope with harsh conditions. While
49 numerous studies have been conducted on factors driving terrestrial AM assemblages,

50 the epiphytic environment remains unexplored. We offer new insights into composition
51 of AM communities as shaped by epiphytic plant host identity and functional traits.

52

53

54

55 **Keywords:** Facultative epiphytes; arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi; diversity; SSU rDNA;
56 semiarid ecosystems;

57

58

59 **INTRODUCTION**

60 Epiphytic habitats are considered as extreme plant environments due to the large fluxes
61 of temperature and low water and nutrient availability they are subjected to. In these
62 conditions, symbiotic associations such as mycorrhizal symbiosis could be crucial
63 because of their widely demonstrated role in plant nutrient and water uptake in
64 terrestrial habits, with particular importance in stressful environments (38).
65 Furthermore, the availability of compatible and suitable mycorrhizal fungi might be a
66 key factor constraining the development and distribution of epiphytic plants and *vice*
67 *versa*.

68 In semiarid Mediterranean ecosystems the epiphytic vascular flora is scarce and
69 facultative or accidental, mainly occurring on the trunks of certain palm species. Here,
70 the microhabitat conditions that originate in the cut leaves formed by the pruning of
71 dead or old leaves allow water and debris accumulation, which enables occasional plant
72 establishment (44,52).

73 Since most vascular epiphytic plants are ferns and their relatives or monocots (11), the
74 mycorrhizal condition, specificity, and dependence have therefore mainly been studied

75 in these taxonomic groups. Most studies concerning epiphytism-mycorrhizas
76 relationships have been conducted in humid tropical habitats and involve orchids, which
77 form mycorrhizas with Basidiomycetes (23,30,31), or other species that form arbuscular
78 mycorrhizas, like those of the Araceae, Clusiaceae, Bromeliaceae, or Begoniaceae
79 (20,33,36). However, very little is known about the facultative epiphytic plant species,
80 belonging to diverse phylogenetic groups, which grow under semiarid Mediterranean
81 conditions. Only the widespread *Sonchus tenerrimus* has been reported to establish a
82 symbiotic relationship with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF), belonging to the
83 *Glomeromycota* (44).

84 But more interesting than checking the mycorrhizal status of epiphytic plants is to
85 understand the factors driving symbiotic communities in epiphytic habitats and
86 consequently their role in the tree canopy ecosystems, which still has been investigated
87 less than in their terrestrial counterparts.

88 There is evidence that AMF communities in terrestrial habitats depend on host-plant
89 preference (1,22,42), but the specificity in biotic interactions has been suggested to be
90 mediated by plant functional traits rather than phylogeny (19,37). Plant life-cycle, for
91 instance, may explain differences in AMF communities (2,41). It is likely that
92 differences in AMF communities are due not only to plant life-cycle but also to a
93 combination of factors such as life-form, physiology, phylogeny, or host origin (native
94 vs exotic). It is expected that native plant species have co-evolved together with local
95 AMF and thus their mycorrhizal communities would be different and more diverse to
96 those of exotic plants in a particular environment. Some studies have demonstrated that
97 the AMF associated with exotic plant invaders shift in both abundance and community
98 composition, compared with native species (4,5,13,26). Moreover, functional traits are
99 often conserved during evolution, resulting in closely related species that tend to

100 interact with similar species in such a way that significant correlations have been
101 observed between the phylogenetic composition of plants and AMF assemblages (24).
102 But, as previous reports show, the AMF communities on epiphytic plants are different
103 from those of the surrounding soil habitats (23,44). These results suggest that facultative
104 epiphytic plants from semiarid sites might support distinctive AMF communities shaped
105 by particular plant traits.
106 In this study we investigated facultative epiphytic plant species occurring in the trunks
107 of *Phoenix dactylifera* trees cultivated in orchards under Mediterranean semiarid
108 conditions and we assessed the arbuscular mycorrhizal communities they harbored. We
109 wanted to ascertain 1) if there are AMF in plants growing epiphytically and 2) if host
110 identity and plant functional traits shape these mycorrhizal communities. Specifically,
111 we tested the plant life-cycle (perennial versus annual), the plant life-form (herbaceous
112 versus woody), the plant origin (exotic versus native) and the plant species as driving
113 factors.

114

115 **RESULTS**

116 **PCR and sequence analysis**

117 Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal DNA was successfully amplified from 93 root samples
118 (corresponding to 31 facultative epiphytic species) (Table 1) by nested PCR with the
119 primer combination AML1/AML2, and generated PCR products of the expected band
120 of approximately 795 bps, which were used for cloning and creating the clone libraries.
121 Ninety-three clone libraries, were created. We screened 2790 clones in total (30 clones
122 were analyzed per library); out of these, 1992 clones contained an SSU rDNA fragment
123 and, subsequently, were sequenced. The BLAST search revealed that 1694 sequences
124 had a high degree of similarity (97-100% identity) to sequences from AM fungal taxa

125 and belonged to members of the phylum *Glomeromycota*. The rest of the sequences
126 showed BLAST similarity to plants.

127 Representative sequences of OTUs from root samples of epiphytic species were
128 submitted to the GenBank database and are shown in bold in Fig. S1.

129

130 **Phylogenetic analysis of AMF groups**

131 After phylogenetic analyses of the sequences, 23 AM fungal OTUs were detected in this
132 study (Fig. S1; Table S1). Sequences of the families *Glomeraceae* (6 OTUs),
133 *Diversisporaceae* (3 OTUs), *Gigasporaceae* (1 OTU), *Claroideoglomeraceae* (5
134 OTUs), *Paraglomeraceae* (7 OTUs), and *Archaeosporaceae* (1 OTU) were obtained.

135 Eleven groups of AMF sequences or OTUs - namely *Glomus macrocarpum* (Glo1),
136 *Septoglomus constrictum* (Sep), *Funneliformis mosseae-fragilistratum-caledonium-*
137 *geosporum-coronatum* group (Fu), *Sclerocystis sinuosa* (Sc), *Rhizophagus intraradices-*
138 *irregularis-fasciculatus* group (Rh), *Diversispora spurca-aurantia-eburnea* group
139 (Div1), *Redeckera fulvum* (Red), *Scutellospora aurigloba-callospora* group (Scut),
140 *Claroideoglomus luteum-claroideum-lamellosum-etunicatum* group (Cl2), *Paraglomus*
141 *laccatum-occultum-brasilianum* group (Pa3), and *Archaeospora schenckii-trappei*
142 group (Arch) - clustered with previously identified AMF sequences. Seven OTUs
143 (Glo2, Div2, Cl3, Cl4, Cl5, Pa5, Pa7) did not cluster with any known *Glomeromycota*
144 sequence. The remaining five OTUs (Cl1, Pa1, Pa2, Pa4, Pa6) were not related to any
145 sequences of AMF in the database.

146

147 **Effect of plant origin, life-cycle, life-form and plant species on AM fungal**
148 **community composition**

149 In order to determine whether the number of clones sequenced was sufficient to
150 represent the AM fungal diversity in all the facultative epiphytic species, rarefaction
151 curves were constructed (Fig. 1). With the clones sequenced for each root sample, we
152 covered perfectly the diversity of the AM fungal communities in all the plant species
153 studied, since there was a well-defined leveling-off of all curves, and it is highly
154 unlikely that the sequencing of more clones would have revealed more OTUs.

155 An indicator species analysis (ISA) was conducted to find specific OTUs associated
156 with plant origin, life-cycle, and life-form (Table 2). When the ISA was performed
157 considering the plant origin as the grouping factor, two OTUs were associated with the
158 exotic group (Cl4 and Pa7) and one OTU with the native group (Glo2). Three OTUs
159 were found to be specific for the roots of annual plants when the plant life-cycle factor
160 was considered (Glo1, Fu, Glo2). With respect to plant life-form, one OTU was specific
161 for woody species (Pa7).

162 As shown by the perMANOVA, the plant species factor had highly significant effects
163 on the AMF communities composition and structure ($F=14.45$, $P=1e-04$). Also, the life-
164 cycle and life-form significantly influenced the distribution of the AMF ($F=2.4082$,
165 $P=0.0365$ and $F= 3.0367$, $P=0.0128$, respectively), whereas the plant origin did not have
166 a significant effect ($F=1.6434$, $P=0.1250$) (Table 3).

167

168 **DISCUSSION**

169 In this study we found a high number of facultative epiphytic plant species
170 growing on *Phoenix dactylifera* palm trees being colonized by arbuscular mycorrhizal
171 fungi under semiarid Mediterranean conditions (Table 1). There is only a recent study
172 carried out with one plant species, *Sonchus tenerrimus* L. growing as facultative
173 epiphyte in *P. dactylifera* in semiarid conditions (44), the rest of studies concerning the

174 occurrence of AM symbiosis in epiphytic vascular plants have been conducted in
175 temperate and tropical ecosystems with plant species such as ferns and lycophytes
176 (15,27,32,50).

177 In our study the AMF richness was covered in the thirty one epiphytic plants
178 studied with the method used, since all accumulation curves reached a well-defined
179 asymptote. Consistent results for the fungal community structure and relative
180 abundances of fungal taxa can be obtained whatever the sequencing approach used; i.e.,
181 NGS vs cloning-Sanger sequencing (29).

182 The species indicator analysis revealed three OTUs (Glo1, Glo2 and Fu)
183 belonging to the *Glomeraceae* and associated with annual species, Glo2 was also an
184 indicator taxon for native species. One OTU (Pa7) belonging to the *Paraglomeraceae*
185 was an indicator for both woody and exotic species (Table 3). These OTUs showed
186 similarity to database sequences reported previously from a wide range of
187 environmental conditions in terrestrial habitat, varying from stressful to optimal
188 conditions (1,14,18,42,48,49). This shows the high plasticity of these AMF taxa.

189 Epiphytic habitats are characterized by nutritional insufficiency (3), since the
190 substrate on which epiphytic plants grow is accumulate organic matter and rainwater. In
191 our case, the different facultative epiphytic plants species grew in small ledges of cut
192 leaves in the trunks of date palms (*P. dactylifera*) with high organic carbon content
193 (36%) and subjected to large periods of low water availability. Under these conditions,
194 it has been suggested a set of morphological and physiological adaptations in epiphytic
195 taxa to cope water and nutrient shortage (25,36), being reported only the association
196 with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in Mediterranean semiarid ecosystems (44).

197 Our results suggest that the AMF community composition is plant host identity-
198 dependent. Plant affiliation to a species strongly influenced the AMF assemblages in the

199 epiphytic environment (Table 3). This agrees with the hypothesis put forward to explain
200 the phylogenetic distribution of mycorrhizas in land plants (46) and suggests that plant
201 phylogeny might influence the AMF community - as proposed by Montesinos-Navarro,
202 et al. (24), who demonstrated that changes in the phylogenetic composition of plant and
203 AMF assemblages do not occur independently in a patchy environment. The differences
204 in dispersal processes between AMF and plants might explain which group drives the
205 other. According to this hypothesis, in primary successions plants arrive before AMF
206 and then act as a potential filter for the latter, as seems to be the case in the AMF
207 community in palm trunks.

208 Functional traits such as plant life-form and plant cycle also shaped the AMF
209 interactions. The AMF community differed between the annual and perennial epiphytic
210 species ($F=2.4082$; $P=0.0365$) and between herbaceous and woody plant species
211 ($F=3.0367$; $P=0.0128$). The dependence of the composition of the AMF community on
212 the host-plant has been previously reported in different habitats around the world
213 (1,2,6,17,39,42), with different plant ecological groups (e.g. habitat generalists vs
214 specialists) (7,43) or ecosystems (45). In a study in the same semiarid Mediterranean
215 conditions, Alguacil et al. (2) found a different AMF community composition and
216 greater diversity in perennial plant species than in annuals, in accordance with our
217 results (where we detected greater AMF richness in perennial (21 OTUs) than in annual
218 plants (15 OTUs)). These authors explained these differences as being due to the fact
219 that the former are in the soil for longer, giving more opportunities for mycorrhization
220 establishment. Similarly, the continuity of the roots of an individual with time is critical
221 in an epiphytic environment, taking into account the strong limitations to AMF
222 dispersal.

223 Several authors have pointed out the constraints to AMF dispersal in epiphytic
224 habitats, as propagules are mainly dispersed by biotic vectors (10,21,44). Moreover, in
225 this particular habitat, plants generally grow as individuals inside the cut leaves without
226 any connections among root systems, thus preventing AMF spread. Under these
227 circumstances spatial and temporal coincidence of the plants and fungi, which is higher
228 in perennials plants than in annuals, is crucial for mycorrhiza development.

229 In this work the epiphytic plants associated with AMF irrespective of whether
230 they are native or not. This is in accordance with Bunn, et al. (4), who compared 67
231 publications with divergent hypotheses about the enhancement or loss of AMF
232 following invasions by exotic plants and concluded that other factors - such as plant
233 functional groups - better predict AM variations in fungal associations (not only in
234 terms of AM status but also fungal colonization or growth response), as we also found
235 for non-invasive exotic species. Unlike invasive plants - which have specific functional
236 traits that confer the ability to colonize new habitats, usually displacing native species -
237 no invasive ability was observed in the exotic plant species growing in the epiphytic
238 environment provided by the palm orchards.

239 In conclusion, a high diversity of facultative epiphytic plant species are
240 colonized by AMF in the semiarid Mediterranean conditions studied. Plant identity and
241 the functional plant life-cycle and life-form features determine the AMF assemblages.

242

243 **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

244 **Study area and sampling**

245 The study area was located at the Historic Palm Grove of Elche (Alicante), southern
246 Spain (38°15' 51, 28''N, 0° 41' 51, 23'' W, 82 m.a.s.l). It covers 550 Ha and contains
247 about 180.000 adult, *Phoenix dactylifera* date palms aged 40-60, planted in one or two

248 rows around cultivated, rectangular-shaped orchard (35). The climate is Mediterranean
249 semiarid, with a mean annual temperature of 17.7°C and a mean annual rainfall of 266
250 mm (www.aemet.es). Three sampling plots separated at least 300 m from each other,
251 and each consisting of two palm orchards (2.000 m² area, 250 palm trees Ha⁻¹), were
252 selected. A total of 31 plant species growing epiphytically between 1.5-2 m height on
253 date palm trunks were sampled at the spring growing season (three individuals of each
254 species, one per plot). Plant species were classified according to their origin into native
255 or exotic (autochthonous or allochthonous), according to their life cycle into annual or
256 perennial and according to life form into herbaceous or wood. Canopy or bare-limb
257 epiphytes were not found. Roots systems were collected, fine roots were separated,
258 briefly rinsed, quickly dried on paper and used for molecular analysis.

259

260 **Roots DNA extraction and PCR**

261 For each sample, 0.2 g fresh root material was frozen with liquid nitrogen, placed into a
262 2-ml screw-cap propylene tube together with two tungsten carbide balls (3 mm) and
263 ground (3 min, 13000 r.p.m.) using a mixer mill (MM 400, Retsch, Haan, Germany).
264 Total DNA was extracted using a DNeasy Plant Mini Kit following the manufacturer's
265 recommendations (Qiagen). The extracted DNA was resuspended in 20 µl of water and
266 stored at -20°C.

267 Several dilutions of extracted DNA (1/10, 1/50, 1/100) were prepared and 2 µl were
268 used as template. Partial small subunit (SSU) ribosomal RNA gene fragments were
269 amplified using nested PCR with the universal eukaryotic primers NS1 and NS4 (47).
270 PCR was carried out in a final volume of 25 µl using PuReTaqTM Ready-To-Go PCR
271 beads (Amershan Pharmacia Biotech), 0.2µM dNTPs and 0.5 µM of each primer (PCR
272 conditions: 94 °C for 3 min, then 30 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 40 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for

273 1 min, followed by a final extension period at 72 °C for 10 min).
274 Then, 2µl from the first PCR were used as template DNA in a second PCR reaction
275 performed using the specific primers AML1 and AML2 (16). PCR reactions were
276 carried out in a final volume of 25 µl using the PuReTaqTM Ready-To-Go PCR beads
277 (Amershan Pharmacia Biotech), 0.2 µM dNTPs and 0.5 µM of each primer (PCR
278 conditions: 94 °C for 3 min, then 30 cycles of 1 min denaturation at 94 °C, 1 min primer
279 annealing at 50 °C and 1 min extension at 72 °C, followed by a final extension period of
280 10 min at 72 °C). Positive and negative controls using PCR positive products and sterile
281 water respectively were also included in all amplifications. All the PCR reactions were
282 run on a Perkin Elmer Cetus DNA Thermal Cycler. Reactions yields were estimated by
283 using a 1.2% agarose gel containing *GelRed*TM (Biotium).

284

285 **Cloning and sequencing**

286 The PCR products of the expected band length, approximately 795 bp were purified
287 using a Gel extraction Kit (Qiagen) cloned into pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega) and
288 transformed into *Escherichia coli* (X11 blue). Putative positive transformants were
289 screened in each resulting SSU rRNA gene library, using 0.7 unit of RedTaq DNA
290 polymerase (Sigma) and the supplied reaction buffer to a final volume of 25µ and a re-
291 amplification with AML1 and AML2 primers with the same cycling conditions
292 described above. Product quality and size were checked in agarose gels as described
293 above. All clones having inserts of the correct size (795 bp) in each library were
294 sequenced using the universal primers SP6 and T7 by Laboratory of Sistemas
295 Genómicos (Valencia, Spain).

296

297 **Phylogenetical analysis**

298 Sequence editing was done using the program FinchTV 1.4.0 (Geospiza, Inc.; Seattle,
299 WA, USA; <http://www.geospiza.com>). A search for similar sequences to the ones from
300 this study was conducted with the BLAST tool (51) provided by GenBank.
301 Phylogenetic analysis was carried out on the sequences obtained in this study and those
302 corresponding to the closest matches from GenBank as well as sequences from cultured
303 AMF taxa including representatives of the major taxonomical groups described by (34).
304 All the sequences were aligned, using the multiple sequence comparison program,
305 MAFFT, version 7.0 (available at <http://align.bmr.kyushu-u.ac.jp/mafft/software>) and
306 the alignment was adjusted manually in BioEdit software version 7.2.5. (12). The
307 program CHIMERA_CHECK 2.7 (Ribosomal Database Project II;
308 <http://rdp.cme.msu.edu>) was used to check for chimeric artifacts among the 18S rDNA
309 sequences.
310 Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree inference was performed with MEGA
311 software (version 5.05) (40). Nucleotide data files were first tested to find the best DNA
312 evolution model. The general time reversible model with a discrete gamma distribution
313 showed the lowest Bayesian information criterion (BIC) scores and was deemed to best
314 describe the nucleotide substitution pattern. Initial trees for the heuristic search were
315 obtained by applying the neighbor-joining method to a matrix of pairwise distances
316 estimated using the maximum composite likelihood (MCL) approach. The robustness of
317 all trees obtained was evaluated by 1000 bootstrap replications. *Endogone pisiformis*
318 Link and *Mortierella polycephala* Coem, were used as the out-groups.
319 Different AMF sequence types or OTUs (operational taxonomic units), were defined as
320 groups of closely related sequences, with a high level of bootstrap support in the
321 phylogenetic analyses (higher than 80%) and sequence similarity $\geq 97\%$.

322

323 **Statistical analysis**

324 The number of clones for each AM fungal OTUs in each plant species was used to
325 calculate the rarefaction curves. The rarefaction curves were produced by plotting the
326 number of OTUs observed against the number of sequences obtained using the freely
327 available Analytic Rarefaction software (version 1.3)
328 (<http://www.uga.edu/~strata/software/anRareReadme.html>).

329 In order to ascertain whether the AMF communities composition and structure were
330 significantly affected by the experimental factors (plant origin, life form, life cycle and
331 plant species) a permutational multivariate analysis of variance (perMANOVA) was
332 performed with the adonis function in vegan (28) using the Jaccard distance matrix and
333 999 permutations.

334 Three different indicator species analyses (ISA) were conducted on the OTUs
335 presence/absence dataset using the “indicspecies” package implemented in R (8). This
336 analysis allows us identifying species which are associated to a classifier factor by
337 calculating an Indicator Value (IndVal) (9). We considered as classifier factors the plant
338 origin, life form and life cycle. The statistical significance of the indicator values was
339 tested using a permutation test with 999 permutations.

340 The AMF OTUs richness was subjected to ANOVA to test for significant differences
341 between different factors (plant origin, life cycle, life form and plant species). For the
342 comparisons among means the Duncan’s test at $P < 0.05$ was used. All the statistical
343 procedures were carried out with the software package IBM SPSS Statistic 24.0 for
344 Windows.

345 **Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** A total of 109 representative sequences of
346 OTUs from root samples generated in this study have been deposited at the National

347 Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>)
348 under the accession numbers MG835459-MG835567.

349

350 **References**

- 351 1. **Alguacil, M.M., Torres, M.P., Torrecillas, E., Díaz, G. and Roldán, A.** 2011.
352 Plant type differently promote the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi biodiversity in
353 the rhizosphere after revegetation of a degraded, semiarid land. *Soil Biol*
354 *Biochem.* **43**:167–173.
- 355 2. **Alguacil, M.M., Torrecillas, E., Roldán, A., Díaz, G. and Torres, M.P.** 2012.
356 Perennial plant species from semiarid gypsum soils support higher AMF
357 diversity in roots than the annual *Bromus rubens*. *Soil Biol Biochem.* **49**:132-
358 138.
- 359 3. **Benzing, D.H.** 1990. Vascular epiphytes. General biology and related biota.
360 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 361 4. **Bunn, R.A., Ramsey, P.W. and Lekberg, Y.** 2015. Do native and invasive
362 plants differ in their interactions with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi? A met-
363 analysis. *J Ecol.* **103**:1547-1556.
- 364 5. **Busby, R.R., Stromberger, M.E., Rodriguez, G., Gebhart, D.L. and**
365 **Paschke, M.W.** 2012. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal community differs
366 between coexisting native shrub and introduced annual grass. *Mycorrhiza.*
367 **23**:129-141.
- 368 6. **Ciccolini, V., Ercoli, L., Davison, J., Vasar, M., Opik, M. and Pellegrino, E.**
369 2016. Land-use intensity and host plant simultaneously shape the composition
370 of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities in a Mediterranean drained
371 peatland. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* **92**:(12), fiw186.

- 372 7. **Davison, J., Öpik, M., Daniell, T.J., Moora, M. and Zobel, M.** 2011.
373 Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities in plant roots are not random
374 assemblages. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* **78**:103–115.
- 375 8. **De Cáceres, M. and Legendre, P.** 2009. Associations between species and
376 groups of sites: indices and statistical inference. *Ecology.* **90**:3566–3574.
- 377 9. **Dufrêne, M. and Legendre, P.** 1997. Species assemblages and indicator
378 species: the need for a flexible asymmetrical approach. *Ecol Monograph.*
379 **67**:345–366.
- 380 10. **Fernández, N., Fontenla, S. and Messuti, I.** 2010. Mycorrhizal Status of
381 Obligate and Facultative Epiphytic Ferns in a Valdivian Temperate Forest of
382 Patagonia, Argentina. *Am Fern J.* **100**:16-26.
- 383 11. **Freitas, L., Salino, A., Neto, L.M., Almeida, T.E., Mortara, S.R.,**
384 **Stehmann, J.R., Amorim, A.M., Guimarães, E.F., Coelho, M.N., Zanin, A.**
385 **and Forzza, R.C.** 2016. A comprehensive checklist of vascular epiphytes of the
386 Atlantic Forest reveals outstanding endemic rates. *PhytoKeys.* **58**:65-79.
- 387 12. **Hall, T.A.** 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor
388 and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symp Ser.* **41**:95-
389 98.
- 390 13. **Hawkes, C.V., Belnap, J., D'Antonio, C. and Firestone, M.K.** 2006.
391 Arbuscular mycorrhizal assemblages in native plant roots change in the
392 presence of invasive exotic grasses. *Plant Soil.* **281**:369-380.
- 393 14. **Kottke, I., Haug, I., Setaro, S., Suarez, J.P., Weiss, M., Preussing, M.,**
394 **Nebel, M. and Oberwinkler, F.** 2008. Guilds of mycorrhizal fungi and their
395 relation to trees, ericads, orchids and liverworts in a neotropical mountain rain
396 forest. *Basic Appl Ecol.* **9**:13-23.

- 397 15. **Lara-Perez, L.A., Valdes-Baizabal, M.D., Noa-Carrazana, J.C., Zulueta-**
398 **Rodríguez, R., Lara-Capistrán, L. and Andrade-Torres, A.** 2015.
399 Mycorrhizal associations of ferns and lycopods of central Veracruz, Mexico.
400 *Symbiosis*. **65**:85-92.
- 401 16. **Lee, J., Lee, S. and Young, J.P.W.** 2008. Improved PCR primers for the
402 detection and identification of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *FEMS Microbiol*
403 *Ecol.* **65**:339-349.
- 404 17. **Li, L.F., Li, T., Zhang, Y. and Zhao, Z.W.** 2010. Molecular diversity of
405 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and their distribution patterns related to host-
406 plants and habitats in a hot and arid ecosystem, southwest China. *FEMS*
407 *Microbiol Ecol.* **71**:418-427.
- 408 18. **Long, L.K., Yao, Q., Guo, J., Yang, R.H., Huang, Y.H. and Zhu, H.H.** 2010.
409 Molecular community analysis of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with
410 five selected plant species from heavy metal polluted soils. *Eur J Soil Biol.*
411 **46**:288-294.
- 412 19. **López-García, A., Varela-Cervero, S., Vasar, M., Öpik, M., Barea, J.M.**
413 **and Azcón-Aguilar, C.** 2017. Plant traits determine the phylogenetic structure
414 of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities. *Mol ecol.* **26**:6948-6959.
- 415 20. **Maffia, B., Nadkarni, N. and Janos, D.** 2000. Vesicular-arbuscular
416 mycorrhizae of epiphytic and terrestrial Piperaceae. *In* N. Nadkarni and N.
417 Wheelwright (Eds.) *Monteverde: Ecology and conservation of a tropical cloud*
418 *forest*, pp. 338–339. Oxford University Press, New York.
- 419 21. **Mangan, S.A. and Adler, G.H.** 2000. Consumption of arbuscular mycorrhizal
420 fungi by terrestrial and arboreal small mammals in a Panamanian cloud forest. *J*
421 *Mammal.* **81**:563–570.

- 422 22. **Martínez-García, L. and Pugnaire, F.** 2011. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
423 host preference and site effects in two plant species in a semiarid environment.
424 *Appl Soil Ecol.* **48**:313-317.
- 425 23. **Martos, F., Munoz, F., Paillet, T., Kotte, I., Gonneau, C. and Selosse, M.A.**
426 2012. The role of epiphytism in architecture and evolutionary constraint within
427 mycorrhizal networks of tropical orchids. *Mol Ecol.* **21**:5098–5109.
- 428 24. **Montesinos-Navarro, A., Segarra-Moragues, J.G., Valiente-Banuet, A. and**
429 **Verdú, M.** 2015. Evidence for phylogenetic correlation of plant–AMF
430 assemblages? *Ann Bot.* **115**:171–177.
- 431 25. **Moreira, A.S.F.P., de Lemos, J.P. and Isaias RMD.** 2013. Structural
432 adaptations of two sympatric epiphytic orchids (Orchidaceae) to a cloudy forest
433 environment in rocky outcrops of Southeast Brazil. *Rev Biol Trop.* **61**:1053–
434 1065.
- 435 26. **Mummey, D.L., Rilig, M.C. and Holben, W.E.** 2005. Neighboring plant
436 influences on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal community composition as
437 assessed by T-RFLP analysis. *Plant Soil.* **271**:83-90.
- 438 27. **Muthukumar, T. and Prabha, K.** 2013. Arbuscular mycorrhizal and septate
439 endophyte fungal associations in lycophytes and ferns of south India.
440 *Symbiosis.* **59**:15-33.
- 441 28. **Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P., O'Hara, R.,**
442 **Simpson, G., Solymos, P., Henry, M., Stevens, H. and Wagner, H.** 2016.
443 *vegan: community ecology package.* R Package Version. 2, pp. 3–5.
- 444 29. **Öpik, M., Metsis, M., Daniell, T.J., Zobel, M. and Moora, M.** 2009. Large-
445 scale parallel sequencing reveals host ecological group specificity of

- 446 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a boreonemoral forest. *New Phytol.* **184**:424-
447 437.
- 448 30. **Otero, J.T., Ackerman, J. and Bayman, P.** 2004. Differences in mycorrhizal
449 preferences between two tropical orchids. *Mol Ecol.* **13**:2393-2404.
- 450 31. **Otero, J.T., Flanagan, N., Herre, E., Ackerman, J. and Bayman, P.** 2007.
451 Widespread mycorrhizal specificity correlates to mycorrhizal function in the
452 neotropical, epiphytic orchid *Inonopsis utriulariodes* (Orchidaceae). *Am J Bot.*
453 **94**:1944-1950.
- 454 32. **Prashar, I.B., Sharma, S. and Khullar, S.P.** 2005. Mycorrhizal associates of
455 some ferns from Kangra district (Himachal Pradesh). *Indian Fern J.* **22**:81–86.
- 456 33. **Rains, K.C., Nadkarni, N.M. and Bledsoe, C.S.** 2003. Epiphytic and
457 terrestrial mycorrhizas in a lower montane Costa Rican cloud forest.
458 *Mycorrhiza.* **13**:257–264.
- 459 34. **Redecker, D., Schüßler, A., Stockinger, H., Stürmer, S.L., Morton, J.B. and**
460 **Walker, C.** 2013. An evidence-based consensus for the classification of
461 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Glomeromycota). *Mycorrhiza.* **23**:515–531.
- 462 35. **Rivera, D., Obón, O., Alcaraz, F., Carreño, E., Laguna, E., Amorós, A.,**
463 **Johnson, D., Díaz, G. and Morte, A.** 2014. Date Palm Status and Perspective
464 in Spain. Chapter 26.
- 465 36. **Rowe, A.R. and Pringle, A.** 2005. Morphological and molecular evidence of
466 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal association in Costa Rica epiphytic bromeliads.
467 *Biotropica.* **37**: 245-250.
- 468 37. **Scheublin, T.R., Ridgway, K.P., Young, J.P.W. and van der Heijden,**
469 **M.G.A.** 2004. Nonlegumes, legumes, and root nodules harbor distinct

- 470 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* **70**:6240-
471 6246.
- 472 38. **Smith, S.E. and Read, D.J.** 2008. *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*. Third edition.
473 Amsterdam: Academic Press.
- 474 39. **Sýkorová, Z., Wiemken, A. and Redecker, D.** 2007. Cooccurring *Gentiana*
475 *verna* and *Gentiana acaulis* and their neighboring plants in two Swiss upper
476 montane meadows harbor distinct arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities.
477 *Appl Environ Microbiol.* **73**:5426-5434.
- 478 40. **Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Stecher, G., Nei, M. and Kumar, S.**
479 2011. MEGA5: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum
480 likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol Biol*
481 *Evol.* **28**:2731-2739.
- 482 41. **Torrecillas, E., Alguacil, M.M. and Roldán, A.** 2012. Differences in the AMF
483 diversity in soil and roots between two annual and perennial gramineous plants
484 co-occurring in a Mediterranean, semiarid degraded area. *Plant Soil.* **354**:97–
485 106.
- 486 42. **Torrecillas, E., Alguacil, M.M. and Roldan, A.** 2012. Host Preferences of
487 Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Colonizing Annual Herbaceous Plant Species in
488 Semiarid Mediterranean Prairies. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* **78**:6180-6186.
- 489 43. **Torrecillas, E., Alguacil, M.M., Roldán, A., Díaz, G., Montesinos-Navarro,**
490 **A. and Torres, M.P.** 2014. Modularity reveals a tendency of arbuscular
491 mycorrhizal fungi to interact differently with generalist and specialist plant
492 species in gypsum soils. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* **80**:5457-5466.
- 493 44. **Torrecillas, E., Torres, P., Alguacil, M.M., Querejeta, J.I. and Roldán, A.**
494 2013. Influence of habitat and climate variables on arbuscular mycorrhizal

- 495 fungus community distribution, as revealed by a case study of facultative plant
496 epiphytism under semiarid conditions. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* **79**:7203-7209.
- 497 45. **Veresoglou, S.D. and Rillig, M.C.** 2014. Do closely related plants host similar
498 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities? A meta-analysis. *Plant Soil.* **377**:
499 395-406.
- 500 46. **Wang, B. and Qiu, Y.L.** 2006. Phylogenetic distribution and evolution of
501 mycorrhizas in land plants. *Mycorrhiza.* **16**:299–363.
- 502 47. **White, T.J., Bruns, T., Lee, S. and Taylor, J.** 1990. Amplification and direct
503 sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis, M.A.,
504 Gelfand, D.H., Sninsky, J.J., and White, T.J. (Ed.), *PCR protocols. A guide to*
505 *methods and applications*, San Diego, pp. 315-322
- 506 48. **Wubet, T., Weiss, M., Kottke, I., Teketay, D. and Oberwinkler, F.** 2006.
507 Phylogenetic analysis of nuclear small subunit rDNA sequences suggests that
508 the endangered African Pencil Cedar, *Juniperus procera*, is associated with
509 distinct members of Glomeraceae. *Mycol Res.* **110**:1059-1069.
- 510 49. **Yamato, M., Yagame, T. and Iwase, K.** 2011. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
511 in roots of non-photosynthetic plants, *Sciaphila japonica* and *Sciaphila*
512 *tosaensis* (Triuridaceae). *Mycoscience.* **52**:217-223.
- 513 50. **Zhang, Y., Guo, L.D. and Liu, R.J.** 2004. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
514 associated with common pteridophytes in Dujiangyan, Southwest China.
515 *Mycorrhiza.* **14**:25–30.
- 516 51. **Zhang, Z., Schwartz, S., Wagner, L. and Miller, W.** 2000. A greedy
517 algorithm for aligning DNA sequences. *J Comput Biol.* **7**:203-214.
- 518 52. **Zotz, G.** 2013. The systematic distribution of vascular epiphytes – a critical
519 update. *Bot J Linn Soc.* **171**:453-481.

520

521 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

522 MM Alguacil was supported by the Ramon and Cajal programme (Ministerio de
523 Educación y Ciencia, Spain). This research was supported by the Spanish Plan
524 Nacional-FEDER Projects CGL-2015-64168-R and CGL2013-42312-R.

525

526 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial
527 or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545 **Figure 1.** Sampling effort curves for the AM fungal community in the plants species
546 growing as facultative epiphytes on date palm trees (*Phoenix dactylifera*). Aa:
547 *Asparagus acutifolius*; Ad: *Asparagus densiflorus*; Af: *Asphodelus fistulosus*; Bp:
548 *Brachychiton populneus*; Bd: *Brachypodium distachyon*; Cs: *Centaurium spicatum*;
549 Cm: *Chenopodium murale*; Cb: *Conyza bonariensis*; Cd: *Cynodon dactylon*; Ep:
550 *Euphorbia peplus*; Jm: *Jacaranda mimosifolia*; Lc: *Lantana camara*; Ma: *Melia*
551 *azedarach*; Mc: *Myrtus communis*; Mm: *Medicago minima*; Oa: *Oxalis acetosella*; Oe:
552 *Olea europea*; Op: *Oxalis pes-caprae*; Pj: *Parietaria judaica*; Pm: *Piptatherum*
553 *miliaceum*; Pt: *Pittosporum tobira*; Ra: *Rhamnus alaternus*; Rp: *Rubia peregrina*; Sn:
554 *Solanum nigrum*; So: *Sonchus oleraceus*; Sse: *Sedum sediforme*; Ss: *Stenotaphrum*
555 *secundatum*; St: *Sonchus tenerrimus*; Sv: *Setaria viridis*; Uu: *Urtica urens* ; Vp:
556 *Veronica persica*.

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

Table 1. Plant species growing as facultative epiphytes on date palm trees (*Phoenix dactylifera*) at the Historic Palm Grove of Elche (Alicante, Spain).

Species	Abb	Life Form	Life Cycle	Origin
<i>Asparagus acutifolius</i> L.	Aa	Woody	Perennial	Native
<i>Asparagus densiflorus</i> (Kunth)	Ad	Woody	Perennial	Exotic
<i>Asphodelus fistulosus</i> L.	Af	Herbaceous	Perennial	Native
<i>Brachychiton populneus</i> (Schott & Endl.) R. Br.	Bp	Woody	Perennial	Exotic
<i>Brachypodium distachyon</i> (L.) P. Beauv.	Bd	Herbaceous	Perennial	Native
<i>Centaurium spicatum</i> (L.) Fristch.	Cs	Herbaceous	Annual	Native
<i>Chenopodium murale</i> L.	Cm	Herbaceous	Annual	Native
<i>Conyza bonariensis</i> (L.) Cronquist	Cb	Herbaceous	Annual	Exotic
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> (L.) Pers.	Cd	Herbaceous	Perennial	Native
<i>Euphorbia peplus</i> L.	Ep	Herbaceous	Annual	Native
<i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i> D. Don	Jm	Woody	Perennial	Exotic
<i>Lantana camara</i> L.	Lc	Woody	Perennial	Exotic
<i>Medicago minima</i> (L.) L.	Mm	Herbaceous	Annual	Native
<i>Melia azedarach</i> L.	Ma	Woody	Perennial	Exotic
<i>Myrtus communis</i> L.	Mc	Woody	Perennial	Native
<i>Olea europea</i> L.	Oe	Woody	Perennial	Native
<i>Oxalis acetosella</i> L.	Oa	Herbaceous	Perennial	Exotic
<i>Oxalis pes-caprae</i> L.	Op	Herbaceous	Perennial	Exotic
<i>Parietaria judaica</i> L.	Pj	Herbaceous	Annual	Native
<i>Piptatherum miliaceum</i> (L.) Coss.	Pm	Herbaceous	Perennial	Native
<i>Pittosporum tobira</i> (Thunb.) W.T. Aiton	Pt	Woody	Perennial	Exotic
<i>Rhamnus alaternus</i> L.	Ra	Woody	Perennial	Native
<i>Rubia peregrina</i> L.	Rp	Herbaceous	Perennial	Native
<i>Sedum sediforme</i> (Jacq.) Grulich.	Sse	Herbaceous	Perennial	Native
<i>Setaria viridis</i> (L.) P. Beauv.	Sv	Herbaceous	Annual	Native
<i>Solanum nigrum</i> L.	Sn	Herbaceous	Annual	Exotic
<i>Sonchus oleraceus</i> L.	So	Herbaceous	Annual	Native
<i>Sonchus tenerrimus</i> L.	St	Herbaceous	Annual	Native
<i>Stenotaphrum secundatum</i> (Walter) Kuntze	Ss	Herbaceous	Perennial	Exotic
<i>Urtica urens</i> L.	Uu	Herbaceous	Annual	Native
<i>Veronica persica</i> Poir.	Vp	Herbaceous	Annual	Native

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

					585
Table 2.	Indicator species analyses.				586
					587
					588
					589
OTUs associated to plant origin					590
				Indicator	591
Group Exotic				Value	592
#sps. 1	A	B	Index	p-value	593
Cl4	0.8791	0.2424	0.462	0.0035	594
Pa7	1.0000	0.0909	0.302	0.0473	595
Group Native					596
#sps. 1					597
Glo2	1.0000	0.1667	0.408	0.0307	598
OTUs associated to plant life cycle					599
Group Annual					600
#sps. 4					601
Glo1	0.5746	0.8056	0.680	0.0297	602
Fu	0.7037	0.3333	0.484	0.0375	603
Glo2	0.8636	0.2222	0.438	0.0063	604
OTUS associated to plant life form					605
Group Woody					606
#sps. 1					607
Pa7	1.0000	0.1000	0.316	0.0323	607

608

Table 3. PERMANOVA analysis of the effect of plant origin, life cycle, life form and plant species on the distribution of AMF OTUs in plant species growing as facultative epiphytes on date palm trees (*Phoenix dactylifera*) under semiarid Mediterranean conditions.

	Df	SS	MS	F. Model	R ²	Pr (>F)
Origin	1	0.406	0.406	1.643	0.017	0.125
Life cycle	1	0.594	0.595	2.408	0.025	0.036*
Life form	1	0.750	0.750	3.037	0.032	0.013*
Plant species	30	20.75	0.692	14.46	0.874	1e-04***

Df, degrees of freedom; SS, sum of squares; MS, mean squares; Pr value by permutation

*In bold, statistically significant relationships ($P \leq 0.05$)

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

