A rationally designed mimotope library for profiling of the human IgM repertoire

Anastas Pashov[1, *], Velizar Shivarov[2], Maya Hadzhieva[1], Victor Kostov[1,3], Dilyan Ferdinandov[3], Karen-Marie Heinz[5], Shina Pashova[1,4], Milena Todorova[1], Tchavdar Vassilev[1], Thomas Kieber-Emmons[6], Leonardo A. Meza-Zepeda[5], Eivind Hovig[5]

[1] Department of Immunology, Stephan Angeloff Institute of Microbiology, BAS, Sofia, Bulgaria
[2] Laboratory of Clinical Immunology and Department of Clinical Hematology, Sofiamed University Hospital, Sofia, Bulgaria; ORCID: 0000-0001-5362-7999
[3] Neurosurgery Clinic, St. Ivan Rilsky Hospital, MU, Sofia, Bulgaria
[4] Department of Molecular Immunology, Institute of Biology and Immunology of Reproduction, BAS, Sofia, Bulgaria
[5] Department of Tumor Immunology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway
[6] Winthrop P. Rockefeller Cancer Research Center, UAMS, Little Rock, AR, USA

[*] Corresponding author.
Mailing Address:
Institute of Microbiology, BAS,
Acad. G Bonchev St, block 26
Sofia 1113, Bulgaria
E-mail: a_pashov@microbio.bas.bg (AP)
Phone: +359 897 944628

Running title: A rationally designed mimotope library for IgM igome studies

Abstract

Specific antibody reactivities are routinely used as biomarkers but the use of antibody repertoire profiles

is still awaiting recognition. Here we suggest to expedite the adoption of this class of system level

biomarkers by rationally designing a peptide array as an efficient probe for an appropriately chosen

repertoire compartment. Most IgM antibodies are characterized by few somatic mutations,

polyspecificity and physiological autoreactivity with housekeeping function. Previously, probing this

repertoire with a set of immunodominant self-proteins provided only coarse information on repertoire

profiles. In contrast, here we describe the rational selection of a peptide mimotope set, appropriately

sized as a potential diagnostic, that also represents optimally the diversity of the human public IgM

reactivities. A 7-mer random peptide phage display library was panned on pooled human IgM. Next

generation sequencing of the selected phage yielded a non-exhaustive set of 224087 mimotopes which

clustered in 790 sequence clusters. A set of 594 mimotopes, representative of the most significant

clusters, was used to demonstrate that this approach samples symmetrically the space of IgM

reactivities. When probed with diverse patients' sera in an oriented peptide array, this set produced a

higher and more dynamic signal as compared to 1) random peptides, 2) random peptides purged of

mimotope-like sequences and 3) mimotopes from a small subset of clusters. In this respect, the

representative library is an optimized probe of the human IgM diversity. Proof of principle predictors for

randomly selected diagnoses based on the optimized library demonstrated that it contains more than

10^{70} different profiles with the capacity to correlate with diverse pathologies. Thus, an optimized small

library of IgM mimotopes is found to address very efficiently the dynamic diversity of the human IgM

repertoire providing informationally dense and structurally interpretable IgM reactivity profiles.

Author Summary

The presence in the blood of antibodies specific for a particular infectious agent is used routinely as a diagnostic tool. The overall profile of available antibody reactivities (or their repertoire) in an individual has been studied much less. As an omics approach to immunity it can be a rich source of information about the system beyond just the individual history of antigenic exposure. Using a subset of antibodies – IgM, which are involved also in housekeeping functions like removing dead cells, and bacteriophage based techniques for selection of specific peptides, we managed to define a non-exhaustive set of 224087 peptides recognized by IgM antibodies present in most individuals. They were found to group naturally in 790 structural groups. Limiting these to the most outstanding 594 groups, we used one representative from each group to assemble a reasonably small set of peptides that extracts the maximum information from the antibody repertoire at a minimum cost per test. We demonstrate, that this representative peptide library is a better probe of the human IgM diversity than comparably sized libraries constructed on other principles. The optimized library contains more than 10^{70} different potentially profiles useful for the diagnosis, prognosis or monitoring of inflammatory and infectious conditions, tumors, neurodegenerative diseases, etc.

Introduction

The repertoire of human IgM contains a considerable proportion of moderately autoreactive antibodies

characterized by low intrinsic affinity/ low specificity, functioning as a first line of defense (1), as

scavengers of senescent cells and debris (2-6), and even in tumor surveillance (7). It is becoming

increasingly clear that the human antibody repertoire has an organization similar to that of its murine

counterpart (8-12). About one fourth of the murine splenic B lymphocytes that respond to

lipopolysaccharide have B cell receptors which are moderately autoreactive. Affected very little by

somatic mutations and follicular evolution, the physiological self-reactivities largely overlap with

germline-encoded polyspecific antibodies (13-15). Eighty percent of murine serum IgM falls in this

category and is referred to as natural antibodies (nAbs) (16, 17). Apart from the polyspecific splenic B

cells, the source of nAbs in mice seems to be mostly a population of B1-related IgM$^+$ plasma cells

residing in a unique IL-5 dependent bone marrow niche (18). By interacting with structures of self and

carrying housekeeping tasks, this part of the antibody repertoire reacts swiftly to and reflects changes in

the internal environment. Therefore, it can be a better source of biomarkers if probed as a natural

biosensor than other compartments of the antibody repertoire like IgG and IgE.

Our working hypothesis is that an essential part of the human IgM repertoire involved in homeostasis

can be probed by a set of mimotopes, the size of which can be tailored to the diagnostic goals by

optimization. The existing approaches for immunosignature (19, 20) or immunomic (21) analysis of the

immunoglobulin repertoires focus mostly on IgG and have used arrays of either 10^2 proteins or 10^4-10^5

random peptides. The IgM repertoire has been previously probed by protein arrays (22) containing a

biologically determined representative set of autoantigens which is a structurally coarse approach. We

set out to explore the feasibility of a method that, similar to the self-protein "homunculus" arrays,

targets a small set of rationally selected probes, but also preserves the structural interpretability of

peptides in a format applicable for routine diagnostics.

Results

Selection of 7-mer mimotopes

We chose to pan a commercially available 7-mer random peptide phage display library of diversity 10^9.

Thus, the size of the mimotopes would be in the range of the shorter linear B cell epitopes in the IEDB

database (http://www.iedb.org/). At the same time, the close to complete diversity of sequences of that

length could be interrogated. As a repertoire template we used an experimental preparation of human

immunoglobulins for intravenous use enriched in IgM representing a pool of the repertoire of approx.

10 000 healthy donors. The phage eluted from the IgM repertoire were adsorbed on a monoclonal IgM

to filter out phage binding to the constant regions, and thereby focus binding only on the mimotopes

(Fig. 1). The peptide inserts were amplified and deep sequenced using the approach described by

Matochko et al. (2012) (23). Two separate experiments starting with 20% of the original phage library

were performed (experiments A and B), while in a third one (C), a preamplified 20% sample of the

original phage library was used. The yield was 688 860 (experiment A), 518 533 (experiment B) and 131

475 (experiment C) unique reads. The Phred quality score cut off used was 32 with a probability for an

erroneous base call of $6x10^{-4}$. The unique reads from experiments A and B were pooled so that only one

copy of a sequence existed in the final set (n=1 100 124). These were further filtered based on the

number of occurrences of each sequence. The criterion for retaining a sequence was an occurrence in 3-

10 CPM, yielding 224 087 unique sequences using the following rationale. Considering that 78% of the

single base changes lead to a change in the encoded amino acid (24) (see also phD7GC script for the

mean substitution rate in Ph.D-7 library), the used Phred score led to a frequency of 0.00328 for the

sequences with one erroneous amino acid residue if there is only one wrong base call per sequence. The

occurrence of two or more errors in the same read is in the order of 10^{-5} and will be considered

negligible. Since the criteria for inclusion is at least 3 CPM, the probability for all 3 to be erroneous is

3.5×10^{-8}. If at least one of the reads is an actually existing mimotope the presence of wrong calls in the

triplet is non-consequential. Thus, the error rate of the mimotope calls is negligible at Phred score of 32.

The limit of 10 copies on the high copy numbers was applied after comparison of the distributions of the

number of clones by CPM between the original and the preamplified library (Fig. 2). It showed that the

threshold of 10 copies was discriminating the original and preamplified libraries, with diversity in the

latter skewed towards highly proliferating clones. This fact was interpreted in view of the observation

that the affinity selection seemed to favor low CPM clones. Therefore, the high CPM clones were

excluded to avoid a possible contamination with non-selected clones having an advantage when they

are highly proliferating. This restriction led to the exclusion of 9.96% of the reads.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the deep panning experiment.
Figure 2. Results from NGS. Plot of reads distribution by CPM (counts per million). Black –
sample 1 – selected from original library, Red – sample 2 – selected form a pre-amplified original
library. Here the selection caused deviation from the power law (the straight lines) with an
emphasis on the lower CPM. The pre-amplification enriches in better fit phages and leads to a
considerable shrinkage of the highly diverse compartment of low CPM where most of the
targeted diversity lies.
To optimally probe the mimotope sequence space, the sequences were clustered. Thus, the set of 224

087 mimotope sequences were subjected to clustering using the GibbsCluster-2.0 method (25) originally

applied for inferring the specificity of multiple peptide ligands tested on multiple MHC receptors. The

number of clusters was optimized in the range of 100 to 2500 clusters using the Kullback-Leibler

divergence criterion comparing the sequences to the background model of random sequences (25). This

criterion indicated optimal clustering in 790 clusters (Fig. 3). Position weighted matrices (PWM) were

calculated from each cluster and used further to find the most relevant peptide sequence as a

representative of each cluster (Supplement file 2).

Figure 3. Results from GibbsCluster of the mimotopes. Different predefined number of
clusters were screened for the quality of clustering measured by Kullback-Leibler
Divergence – KLD. The inset shows amplified scale around the peak KLD values.

Sequence properties of the mimotope clusters

The overall frequencies of the amino acids residues in the mimotopes selected from the phage library

showed a skewing in favor of the amino acid residues G,W,A,R,T,H,M,P,Q and against C,F,N,Y,I,L and S

(Fig. 4A). The evidence of selection stood out in the overall PWM, showing higher divergence from the

random distribution of the frequencies in the middle of the sequence despite the skewing of the N-

terminus characteristic of the library (Fig. 4B-E). Overrepresentation of proline in positions 2-7 appears

to be a property of the library while the IgM binding selected for negatively charged residues, glycine

and tryptophan. The skewing of the distribution due to the IgM binding was more pronounced in the

middle part of the sequence.

Figure 4. Distribution of the amino acid residues in the mimotope library. (A) Log odds
(LO) relative to background frequencies; (B) sequence logo of the LO by position relative
to the overall background frequencies; (C) sequence logo of the frequencies by position;
(D) sequence logo of the LO by position in an amplified Ph.d.-7 phage library without
ligand selection relative to the overall background frequencies (based on W. L.
Matochko, R. Derda. "Error analysis of deep sequencing of phage libraries: peptides
censored in sequencing". Comput Math Methods Med, 2013, 491612. 2013.,
http://www.chem.ualberta.ca/~derda/parasitepaper/rawfiles/PhD7-GAC-30FuR.txt); (E)
sequence logo of the LO by position relative to the frequencies by position in the
amplified, unselected library shown in (D). The skewing of the distribution in the free N-
terminus appears to be property of the library while the selection by the IgM repertoire
leads to slight skewing in the middle of the sequence towards negatively charged
residues, glycine and tryptophan.

The log odds (LO) forming the position weighted matrices for the 790 clusters were combined in a

linearized form in an overall matrix of 140 positions/amino acids by 790 clusters. This matrix was used to

determine the amino acid residue in each position which define the clusters.

Generation of different mimotope libraries

The mimotope library of more than 200 000 sequences is a rich source of potential mimotope

candidates for vaccine or diagnostics. As a probe of the IgM repertoire for routine diagnostic use,

though, it is impractical. A logical way to construct an optimal smaller mimotope library would be to

include a representative sequence of each of the 790 clusters as they would sample evenly

(symmetrically) the mimotope sequence space, as ensured by the GibbsCluster algorithm. The clusters

vary with respect to the probability of random occurrence of groups of the same size and residue

distribution in a random collection of peptides. The clusters were ranked by significance using this

probability. The top 594 clusters (with p<1e-4) were retained, and only the mimotope with the top LO

score from each cluster was kept as a mimotope prototype for the profile. This library was labeled

peppos. Next, 2.3×10^6 random 7-mer sequences were scored for their similarity to each cluster profile

and ranked. The random sequences that were the least related to any of the clusters in the selected

library were used as a negative control (library pepnegrnd).

Other libraries were also generated based on: 1) uncertainly clustered based on KLD scores of the

peptides in each cluster as shown by the GibbsCluster algorithm (pepneg and pepneglo); 2) 2 groups of 5

highest scoring clusters – lower diversity libraries (pep5 and pepother5); 3) random 7-mer sequences

predicted to belong to any of the 5 highest scoring clusters based on profile scores (pep5pred) and 4)

random 7-mer sequences (peprnd) (see Table 1 for description of all libraries). The number of sequences

per library was constrained by the size of the chip.

Table 1. Libraries of 7-mer peptides studied.

Library	Description	N
peppos	The sequence with the highest score for the respective position weighted matrix from each significant cluster (significant clusters are those for which the number of sequences with more than median PWM score is greater than the expected number of occurrences of such score in random peptides - p<0.0001 by Binomial test) .	594
pep5	A group of 5 of the 288 clusters with best binomial p<1e- 16 : clusters # 2,6,9,10,11.	600
pepother5	A group of 5 of the 288 clusters with best binomial p<1e- 16 : clusters # 115,61,55,53, 258.	1193
pep5pred	A hundred and fifty random sequences with log odds scores greater	750

	than the median score of the respective cluster for each of 5 clusters (# 2,6,9,10,11).	
pepneg	The lowest scoring sequence (using KLD) from each significant cluster. These sequences are least certain to belong to the clusters.	594
pepneglo	Among the set of the lowest scoring sequences (pepneg) using GibbsCluster's own "Corrected" score - those with score <5 ((25)).	82
pepnegrnd	The max scores for each of a set of $2x10^6$ random 7-mer sequences after testing against each cluster PWM are ranked and the sequences with the lowest ranks are retained representing those least related to the mimotope library.	753
peprnd	800 random peptides.	800
	Total	5366

* The random sets are constructed with underlying frequencies in phage display library Ph.D -7 .

Comparison between libraries

Sera from patients with glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), brain metastases of breast cancers (MB) as well

as non-tumor bearing neurosurgery patients (C) were analyzed on the sets of peptides (Table 1)

synthesized in an oriented (C-terminus attached) planar microarray format. In the first round of

experiments, the 8 different libraries defined were compared based on the IgM reactivity in the sera

from 10 patients (Supplement Fig. 2 and 3). The data on the mean serum IgM reactivity of each peptide

across the different sera were grouped by library and the libraries were compared for their overall

reactivity using GLM (Fig. 5A). The proposed optimized library (peppos) had significantly higher

(p<0.001) average reactivity than pepneg, peprnd or pepnegrnd. Interestingly, the library theoretically

purged of relevant reactivities (pepnegrnd) had indeed the lowest reactivity, significantly lower than

both the weakly clustering peptides (pepneg) and the random sequences (peprnd) (Suppl. Table 1).

Next, the capacity of the different libraries to sample symmetrically the space of mimotope reactivities

was tested by comparing the total correlation of the IgM reactivity profiles of the peptides mapped on

the 10 different sera (Fig. 5B). The total correlation is a KLD based multidimensional generalization of

mutual information. High total correlation would signify redundancy in the library with many peptides

sharing similar reactivity profiles. On the contrary, the minimal total correlation would be found in a

library where all reactivity profiles are as dissimilar as possible. The library peppos had the lowest total

correlation, while pepnegrnd, and especially pep5pred had the top total correlation, indicating

redundancy, while the pools of 5 clusters – pep5 and pepother5 – had relatively low correlation. All

differences, except between the top two libraries (the library purged of sequences similar to the clusters

and the library of predicted sequences similar to 5 highly significant clusters), were significant

(Supplement, Table 2).

Another way to test the optimal probing of the mimotope space in the different libraries is to compare

the mean nearest neighbor distance (MNND) of the scaled and centered data of mimotope staining

intensity mapped again to the 10 patients' sera IgM. Theoretically, the projections of the mimotope

reactivities of an optimal library in the space of multiple individual sera/repertoires should be widely

and symmetrically spaced. The MNND of peppos ranked second only to pepneglo (Fig. 5D) and was

significantly higher than MNND of all the other libraries (Supplement Table 4.).

The correlation of the serum profiles based on the different mimotopes (transposing the matrices of the

previous tests) can also be viewed as a criterion for the capacity of the libraries to extract as much

information as possible from the IgM repertoire. Due to the extreme multidimensionality of this feature

matrix and the very small sample size (10 patients), the total correlation approach could not be applied.

Instead, the mean correlation between patient profile pairs was used to compare the libraries after z

transformation of the correlation coefficient to allow comparison by linear models (Fig. 5C). Again, when

tested against the peppos library, the serum IgM reactivity profiles exhibited the lowest correlation -

significantly lower compared to the reactivities with the other libraries except for pepnegrnd and

pepneglo (Supplement. Table 3.)

Figure 5. Statistics testing the libraries' capacity to probe the mimotope reactivity space.
A) Mean reactivity of each peptide across patients grouped by library. The optimized
library peppos has the highest reactivity. For library content see Table 1. B) Total
correlation of the peptide profiles grouped by library across 10 patients. The optimized
library peppos provides the least redundant information. C) Mean correlation of patient
profiles across the peptides in each library compared after z-transformation. The
optimized library peppos provides the most diverse characteristics of the patients,
which indicates a high potential for discrimination of different states but increases the
requirements for the size of the teaching sets to extract models of good generalization.
D) Mean nearest neighbor distance of the peptide profiles across 10 patients in each
library compared after z-transformation. Again, the optimized library peppos appears to
sample the mimotope reactivity space evenly. The width of the bars is proportional to
the size of the sample.

Finally, all four criteria were summarized using a rank product test, which proved that reactivity with

peppos stands out from all the other tested libraries as the optimal among them for probing the IgM

repertoire (Table 2).

Table 2. Rank product test of four criteria for optimal mimotope library:

Library	Rank Products	p Value
pep5	4.864599	0.78057
pep5pred	5.825901	0.924705
pepneg	5.957892	0.937399
pepneglo	2.114743	0.054359
pepnegrnd	6.0548	0.945747
pepother5	3.22371	0.318709
peppos	**1.189207**	**0.001071**
peprnd	4.864599	0.78057

19 **Visualization of the Mimotope Space**

20 T distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-sne) based on the Barnes-Hut algorithm was used to

21 visualize the structure of the mimotope sequence space as represented by the general mimotope library

22 produced by deep panning. The sequences were represented by converting each amino acid residue to a

23 5-dimensional vector of physical property scores as described in Materials and methods. Thus, each 7-

mer peptide is represented by a 35-dimensional vector. The correlation dimension showed that the intrinsic dimension of the sequence space in this mapping was 11.25 for random peptides and 11.05 for the phage selected mimotope library. Principle component analysis indicated that the first 14 principle components account for approximately 75% of its variance (Supplemental Figure 4). The t-sne mapping was done after reducing the 35 dimensions to 14 by PCA (the initial.dims parameter of the Rtsne function). Figure 6 shows the maps for the mimotope library and for an equal number of random 7-mer sequences constructed using the residue background frequencies of the phage display library. Mapping also the five most significant clusters defined by GibbsCluster (the pep5 library) on the t-sne map shows that the two clustering approaches yield different results with only limited correlation between them. Nevertheless, the mapping of the optimized library (peppos) showed that even on this map it covers quite symmetrically the mimotope sequence space (Fig. 7). To compare in more detail the mimotope space to the overall random sequence space, equally sized random samples of 50 000 mimotopes and random sequences were mapped together with the pepnegrnd library. After removing the duplicate sequences, the mixture contained 49964 mimotopes, 49950 random sequences and 684 peptides from the library pepnegrnd. This set of sequences was plotted again using the same approach as above (Fig. 8). While most of the clusters were uniformly represented by the mimotope library, some contained fewer mimotopes, although the sequence space was still sampled uniformly within these clusters. The random peptide sequences selected for their minimal similarity to the mimotope clusters defined in the previous section (library pepnegrnd) mapped to the areas of the low density of mimotopes. The t-sne representation of the mixture of peptides was further clustered using k-means clustering in 350 clusters as a means of binning neighboring points. The number of random peptides and mimotopes were counted in each cluster (Supplement Figure 5). This plot allowed to identify the parts of the sequence space which were underrepresented by the mimotopes. According to this classification of the seqeunces, approximately 42 % of the random sequences, 14% of the mimotopes and 85% of the

pepnegrnd library were in the underrepresented areas (Chi square, p<0.0001). The underrepresented

areas had very similar sequence profiles to the normally represented areas, except for less abundant

charged residues (Suppl. Data file "t-sne cluster profiles").

Figure 6. Visualization of the 7-mer sequence space of the phage display selected
mimotopes (A) and equal number random sequence with the same background residue
frequencies (B) constructed using t-sne based on the Barnes-Hut algorithm. The
peptides in five clusters (included in library pep5) are color coded in (A). The peptide
sequences were encoded using a 5-dimensional score reflecting basic biophysical
properties of the amino acids. Each sequence is represented by a 35 dimensional vector
but the t-sne mapping is performed after initial reduction to 14 dimensions by PCA.
There is only moderate correlation between the clustering visualized by t-sne and the
GibbsCluster classification. The image is of high resolution and can be zoomed for better
detail inspection.

Figure 7. Visualization of the 7-mer mimotope sequence space with the optimized
library peppos marked in red (see fig.8 for details). Although, individual GibbsCluster
defined clusters do not coincide with those shown by t-sne, the mapping of the
optimized library apparently probes quite uniformly the mimotope sequence space.

Figure 8. Visualization of the 7-mer peptide mimotope sequence space representing a
mixture of random sample of 50 000 phage display selected mimotopes (red) and 50
000 random sequences (gray) plus the pepnegrnd library (blue). A part of the sequence
space is represented by mimotopes at a lower density and the sequences unrelated to
the defined 790 mimotope clusters map mostly to this area (blue points). A high
definition version of this figure is included in the supplemental information.
24

Diagnostic potential of a rationally designed mimotope library

A suitably sized universal mimotope library sampling optimally the public IgM reactivities would have

multiple applications both in the theoretical research of antibody repertoires, as well as in the design of

theranostic tools. Having support for the hypothesis that the mimotope library peppos, sampling major

structural clusters, is optimal when compared to a set of 8 other libraries, we next studied its diagnostic

potential using a larger set of patient sera (n=34). Due to the small data set, the main goal was a "proof

of principle" test demonstrating the capacity of the assay to provide feature subsets suitable for building

predictors. The distribution of patients by diagnosis (glioblastoma multiforme – GBM, lung cancer

metastases in the brain – ML, breast cancer metastases in the brain – MB, and non-tumor bearing

patients – C) is shown in Table 3. After cleaning, local, global normalization and balancing the group sizes

which warranted the use of ComBat (26) for the following batch compensation, the reactivity data

represented 28 patients' serum IgM binding to 586 peptides. The comparison between the staining

intensities of the mimotopes (or features) in the patients' diagnostic groups yielded overlapping sets of

reactivities significantly expressed in each diagnosis - 290 features for GBM, 263 for ML, and 204 for C.

Overall, 380 features showed significant reactivity in at least one of the diagnostic groups. The

"negative" peptides (library pepnegrnd) represented 49/206 non-significant and 24/380 significant

reactivities (χ^2, p<0.0001). The finding of individuals with IgM reactive for some of them when testing a

larger group is not a surprise. That is why the background reactivity was considered more reliably

determined by the data analysis, rather than on the mean level of the pepnegrnd library.

Table 3. Patients tested using the optimized library.

Diagnosis	Abbr.	Batches			Total
		G	P	R	
Non-tumor bearing (control)	C	1	3	4	8
Glioblastoma Multiforme	GBM	2	4	9(5)[*]	15 (11)[*]
Lung Cancer (Brain Metastasis)	ML	2	4	3	9

Breast Cancer (Brain Metastasis)	MB	0	0	2(0)[*]	2 (0)[*]
	Total				34

To balance the group sizes between batches, only 5/9 GBM samples from batch "R" were used and the

breast cancer cases were omitted before batch compensation using the ComBat function. All cases in

batch "R" were used in the validation step.

A projection of the cases on the positive reactivities by multidimensional scaling (MDS) showed no

separation (Suppl. Fig.6). The feature space is highly multidimensional. The peptide library is not

targeted to any particular pathology, but represents a universal tool for IgM repertoire studies.

Therefore, a feature selection step is needed for each potential predictor of a given state. A recursive

elimination algorithm was applied, removing at each step the feature, the removal of which improved

maximally the clustering of the diagnostic groups (see Materials and methods section for details).

Furthermore, this feature selection strategy was included in a leave one out validation (LOOV) setting,

used additionally as a bootstrap approach for a further improvement of the feature selection.

Using this approach, we tested the capacity of the selected feature sets to separate dichotomously each

of the diagnoses from the rest. As expected, LOOV based on a very small training set did not show

significant prediction. In an attempt to improve the generalization of the prediction, next we used the

data from LOOV as a bootstrap scheme testing the predictor's efficiency as a function of the number of

bootstrap feature sets the features used appear in ("commonality") (see Materials and Methods). The

predictor was constructed after dimensionality reduction by MDS to two dimensions and using a radial

basis function (Gaussian) kernel-based support vector machine. The performance of the model was

measured using the Matthew's correlation coefficient (MCC). As can be seen in Fig. 9, the most efficient

predictors were composed on the basis of features with intermediate "commonality", i.e. – found in

more than 50% of the bootstrap derived sets. Figure 10 shows the distribution of these features after

applying this algorithm to each of the three diagnoses separately.

Figure 9. Matthew's correlation coefficient as a measure of the prediction quality for
SVM models constructed using GBM predicting feature sets of different minimal
commonality. Minimal commonality of n means that the features in the set are found in
n or more of the bootstrap sets. The validation set consists of the cases in batch "R" that
were omitted from the batch compensated united sets. The model predicts these cases
as belonging to the same class as the rest of the respective cases in batch "R". Since the
values in batch "R" were not subject to batch compensation the validation also serves as
a control against confounding introduced by the ComBat function.

Among the set of features selected to differentiate the GBM cases, we used further those of 50%

commonality (n=55) to predict the diagnoses of all the cases of batch "R". By including cases omitted

before the batch compensation, this batch served both as a source of a validation set, as well as a

control for the lack of confounding effect of the batch compensation. As can be seen from Fig. 11, the

feature selection strategy yielded a predictor classifying correctly the validation cases using an

appropriately tuned support vector machine model (the color shade of the plot stands for the

probabilities of each point to belong to the GBM or non-GBM set). The Supplemental Figure 8 shows a

schematic representation of the used feature selection algorithm.

Figure 10. Venn diagram of the overlapping sets of features (peptide reactivities) of 50%
commonality calculated separately for each diagnosis. These are the optimal sets for the
prediction of each diagnosis based on the proof of principle training set. The figures
correspond to the number of features in the corresponding intersection.

Figure 11. Multidimensional scaling plot of cases in batch "R" based on the feature set
of minimal commonality of 50%. See figure 9 for details. The encircled points
correspond to the validation set.

Discussion

The introduction of high-throughput omics screening methods has expanded the knowledge base and the potential for diagnosis. All these approaches have led to the identification of biomarkers as profiles extracted from a particular dynamic diversity – proteome, genome, glycome, secretome, etc. A less explored source of biomarker profiles is the IgM antibody repertoire. IgM antibodies appear early in the course of an infection. However, they fall relatively fast, even after restimulation, providing a dynamic signal. Consequently, IgM antibodies have gained interest as biomarkers of physiological or pathological processes (27-31) but remain underused as immunodiagnostics, although their interactions with sets of antigens have been studied in a range of platforms (27, 30-33).

The use of the antibody repertoire as a source of biomarkers has been defined and approached in multiple ways. First came the technically minimalistic, but conceptually loaded, semiquantitative immunoblotting, developed 20 years ago. This technique served as no less than a paradigm setter for systems immunology (34-39). The further development produced methods that have been referred to as functional immunomics (21) in terms of protein reactivities, as immunosignaturing (19) in terms of random peptide libraries, or described as a deep panning technique (40) and in terms of IgOme of mimotopes selected from random phage display libraries. Here we describe the design of the first mimotope library for the analysis of the human IgM repertoire of reactivities recurrent in most individuals (12, 41, 42).

The deep panning approach relies on next generation sequencing (NGS), and thus requires balancing between sequence fidelity and diversity. Within a number of reads limited by the technology (i.e. – on the order of 10^7), high sequencing depths are impossible when the target diversity is itself on the order of 10^5-10^6. Hence our choice of 3 copies as a lower limit. In addition, highly represented sequences are to be avoided, in order to reduce the effects of the collapse of diversity during phage display amplification. Choosing reads of less than 10 copies, we obtain a set of 224 087 mimotopes which does

not contain any of the parasitic sequences reported by Matochko et al. (43). The use of monodispersed

droplets-compartmentalized phage amplification (44) can overcome the latter problem. But even with

diversity affected by discarding sequences of one and two copies per million on the one hand, and

overgrowth of phage clones on the other, our strategy still manages to find a general representation of

the mimotope sequence space by identifying clusters of mimotopes. This relatively small set of

structural classes is hypothesized to be related to the modular organization of the repertoire defined

previously (45).

In general structural terms, the reduced sequence entropy of the N-terminus and the abundance of

prolines of the selected mimotopes reflects mostly the preexisting bias in the library. The central role of

prolines in the natural antibody mimotopes has also been observed previously (46). Tchernychev et al.

also used a phage display library, but now it is clear that the high proline content is related to the bias of

the particular phage display library. This property of the library may facilitate the discovery of

mimotopes because prolines are associated with turns and flanking structures and proline abundance

also reduces the entropic component of the binding, which is important in the case of polyspecific

antibodies and peptide ligands. The selection by the IgM repertoire led to an enrichment of tryptophan

and negatively charged residues in the middle of the sequences indicating that antibodies selected

mostly loop like structures (facilitated by the presence of prolines) with preference for negative charges.

The abundance of tryptophan is also interesting in terms of its propensity (together with proline) to

mimic carbohydrate structures (47).

The mimotope library of diversity 10^{5} we derived by deep panning reflects the recurrent (also referred

to as public) IgM specificities found in the human population. It can be used as is in large arrays when

applicable. Using this library, a generalization of the mimotope sequence space based on Gibbs

Clustering was attempted, yielding the best clustering in 790 sequence clusters. The latter are viewed as

broad structural contexts, each including multiple polyspecificities. This classification was used to

produce a smaller and more applicable library for clinical use, of a subset of approx. 600 mimotopes

(peppos) by picking representative sequences from the most significant clusters. Thus, this library was

designed to optimally represent the mimotopes' main public reactivity patterns found in the phage

selection experiment. The proposed optimized library could be used as a tool for the study of the IgM

public repertoire, as a source of mimotopes for immunotherapeutics design (48-51), but it may serve

also as a multipurpose diagnostic tool.

To study the properties of the optimized small library peppos, we compared it to 7 other libraries (Table

1.). Far from exhaustive, this set of 7-mer libraries represented the major classes of alternatives to the

optimized library: - random peptides, restricted sets of mimotopes, different groups underrepresented

sequences and predicted sequences. When the 8 libraries were compared across patients, the

reactivities to the optimized library peppos had the lowest total correlation, while pepnegrnd, and

especially the predicted mimotopes pep5pred, had the top total correlation indicating redundancy of

the reactivity profiles. Thus, peppos proved the most informative, while the predicted pep5pred had the

highest redundancy. The latter library represents similar peptides defined by a rule (PWM) derived on

the basis of another library - pep5. Sequence profiles capture the central sequence theme, but mislead

about the existing diversity in the cluster. The other measures used to test peppos were two more

correlation measures that capture different aspects of this redundancy, as well as the mean intensity to

ensure that the library provides a sufficiently strong signal.

As a diagnostic tool, the optimized small library has some key properties that distinguish it from other

omic sets. Since it is designed to represent practically ubiquitous public specificities, the diagnostic

profiles would be quantitatively, rather than qualitatively, defined by combinations of reactivities to the

different mimotopes. Indeed, the sets of features (mimotope reactivities), significantly expressed in the

different diagnoses, were overlapping considerably. No single reactivity was correlating strongly with a

whole diagnostic group, but subsets of reactivities collectively could separate the diagnoses. Thus,

feature selection becomes essential for the design of predictors based on polyspecificities. Using the proposed algorithms, the typical feature set tuned for a dichotomous separation of diagnoses contained between 28 and 111 sequences (median=66). The improvement of generalization by keeping only features recurring in the bootstrap feature selection cycles helped reduce the overfitting of the models. The optimal feature set for GBM diagnosis contained 55 mimotopes. Thus, if the library provides in the order of 500 significant reactivities, the theoretical capacity of this approach is $>10^{70}$ different subsets. These are further increased by at least 6 orders of magnitude when quantitatively different profiles are considered. Thus, the information provided by a typical IgM binding assay with the library is probably enough to describe any physiological or pathological state of clinical relevance reflected in the IgM repertoire. Of course, this is just an estimate of the resolution of the method. The number of naturally occurring profiles and their correlation with clinically relevant states will determine the actual capacity. Training with appropriately sized teaching sets for clinically relevant predictors will help clarify this.

The novelty of our approach is based on the combination of several previously existing concepts:

First, the antibody repertoire is compartmentalized in various ways (by isotype, B-cell subsets, nature or origin of the antigens, relatedness to immunopathology, etc.). Early studies argued that the physiologically autoreactive natural antibodies comprise such a consistent, organized compartment (36, 39, 52-55). The consistency of the natural antibody self-reactivity among individuals was considered evidence for the existence of a relatively small set of preferred self-antigens. Such "public reactivities" are most probably related to the germline repertoire of antibodies generated by evolutionarily encoded paratope features and negative/positive selection (22, 56). These antibodies were targeted using protein microarrays, the utility of which has been previously demonstrated (21, 22, 31, 45). Recently, the existence of structurally distinct public V-regions has been analyzed using repertoire sequencing (12), noting that they are often found in natural antibodies. If the repertoire should be read as a source of information providing consistent patterns that can be mapped to physiological and pathological states,

the public natural autoreactivity seems to be a suitable but underused compartment. Respectively, IgM seems more suitable than IgG or IgE (although IgA could be also very useful, especially for probing the microbiome influence). Therefore, the template used for this study was a pooled human IgM preparation, which emphasizes common specificities and dilutes out unique or rare ones.

Second, germline variable regions are characterized by polyspecificity or cross-reactivity with protein and non-protein antigens (14). This raises the question: Do nominal antigens actually exist for polyspecific germline antibodies, and what is the structure of this convoluted (because it is polyspecific) repertoire of reactivities? It seems that going for epitopes could be a way to approach the repertoire convolution. Yet, the actual epitopes will be mostly conformational and hard to study. In similar tasks, mimotopes are often used (57-60), although M.H. Van Regenmortel argues that mimotopes are of little use to structural prediction of the B-cell epitope (59). Therefore, their utility might be rather in the structural study of the repertoire as a whole.

Third, the usage of peptide arrays for the analysis of the antibody repertoire is increasingly popular (40, 61-64). It involves the use of random peptide arrays for extracting repertoire immunosignatures on the one hand and deep panning of phage display libraries to analyze antibody responses on the other. Since an antibody can often cross-react with a linear epitope that is part of the nominal conformational epitope (59), the 7-residue library offers suitable short mimotopes as compared to typical B-cell epitopes. Furthermore, from the Immunoepitope Database (http://www.iedb.org) collection of linear B cell epitopes, 4821 of 45829 entries are less than 8 residues long.

The study of the IgM repertoire might be expected to give information about interactions that occur mostly in the blood and the tissues with fenestrated vessels since, unlike IgG, IgM cannot easily cross the normal vascular wall. Yet, IgM tissue deposits are a common finding in diverse inflammatory conditions (65-67) and especially in the disorganized vasculature of the tumors, where they are a key

element of the innate immune surveillance mechanism (7, 68, 69). Changes in the IgM repertoire further

reflect B cell function affected by antigenic, danger and inflammatory signals, but also by anatomical

changes leading to vascular permeability or disruption. Thus, IgM repertoire monitoring has the

potential to provide clinically relevant information about most of the pathologies involving inflammation

and vascular remodeling, as well as all types of cancer. The library also provides a rich source of

mimotopes that can be screened for different theranostic tasks focused on particular targets. On an

omics scale, the optimized mimotope library proposed here probes efficiently the relevant repertoire of

public IgM reactivities matching its dynamic diversity with potentially over 10^{70} distinct profiles. The

major task ahead is designing studies aimed at efficiently extracting specific diagnostic profiles and

building appropriate predictors, e.g. – for classifying immunotherapy responders, predicting the risk of

malignancy in chronic inflammation, etc.

Materials and methods

Deep panning

Human IgM was isolated from a sample of IgM enriched IVIg - IgM-Konzentrat (Biotest AG, Dreieich,

Germany, generously provided by Prof. Srini Kaveri), while human monoclonal IgM paraprotein was

isolated from an IgM myeloma patient's serum selected from the biobank at the Center of Excellence for

Translational Research in Hematology at the National Hematology Hospital, Sofia (with the kind

cooperation of Dr. Lidiya Gurcheva). In both cases, IgM was purified using affinity chromatography with

polyclonal anti-μ antibody coupled to agarose (A9935, SIGMA-ALDRICH, USA). A 7-mer random peptide

library (E8100S, Ph.D. -7, New England Biolabs, USA) was panned overnight at 4°C on pooled human IgM

adsorbed on polystyrene plates at a concentration of 0.1 mg/ml, washed, eluted with glycine buffer at

pH 2.7 and immediately brought to pH7. The eluate was transferred to a plate coated with monoclonal

IgM and incubated according to the same protocol, but this time the phage solution was collected after

adsorption and amplified once, according to Matochko et al. (23). Briefly, the phage DNA was extracted

and the peptide-coding fragment amplified by PCR. The amplicons were subjected to deep sequencing

using the Next Seq platform (Illumina, USA), performed at the Sequencing Core Facility of Oslo

University Hospital.

Mimotope selection

The reads from the deep sequencing experiment were processed using the script provided by Matochko

et al. (23). Reads found in 3-10 copies per million (CPM) were selected and subjected to clustering using

the GibbsCluster-2.0 method (25). The number of clusters was optimized using the Kulback-Leibler

distance (KLD) from the background model of random sequences (25). Position weighted matrices

(PWM) were defined for each cluster using pseudo counts as follows:

$$PWM_{k,j} = log_2\left(\frac{\sum I(X_{i,j} = k) + b_k\sqrt{N}}{(N + \sqrt{N})b_k}\right)$$

where i=1..N are the rows of the alignment, j=1:7 are the columns of the alignment, I(aa=k) is the

indicator function used to count the occurrences of amino acid k in column j and b_k is the background

frequency of amino acid k in the phage display library (70).

Using the PWMs, the median of the log odds (LO) scores of the peptides in each cluster was calculated.

Next, the probability of the occurrence of peptides with LO greater than the respective median in a set

of random peptides 10-fold larger than the analyzed library was determined empirically. Using this

estimate, the probability of the chance occurrence of as many peptides with scores higher than the

median score as observed in each cluster was calculated using the binomial distribution. The

probabilities, thus found for each cluster, were used as a criterion for their relevance to the mimotope

library being generated.

1 For filtering random peptide sequences for sequences related to the mimotope library, each of 2.3×10^6

2 random 7-mer peptide sequences was tested against each of the PWM of mimotope clusters defined.

3 For each random peptide, only the score of the top scoring cluster was retained, and the peptides were

4 ranked in the ascending order of these scores. The lowest ranking peptides represented random

5 sequences that were the least related to any of the clusters in the selected library were used.

6 The clustering of the mimotopes was visualized using the t-sne (t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor

7 Embedding) algorithm (71) in its implementation using the faster Barnes-Hut algorithm (Rtsne package)

8 with theta parameter default value of 0.5 and a maximum of 1500 iterations (72).

9 The amino acid residues were described using the 5-dimensional scale of amino acid residue properties

10 published by Hellberg et al. (73). The amino acid residue properties quantification used was the same as

11 for the pepStat binding normalization (74). The 5 scales ($z1$-$z5$) were extracted as the latent variables

12 describing the major factors underlying the variability of amino acids in the space of 26 physicochemical

13 parameters. Thus, each peptide was represented by a vector of 35 scores corresponding to its seven

14 positions. For a comparison, the same number of random 7-mer peptides generated using the amino

15 acid background frequencies of Ph.D.-7 were clustered using the same parameters. The clusters in the t-

16 sne plot were labeled using k-mean clustering.

17 **Patients' sera**

18 Sera from randomly selected patients with glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), low grade glioma (G), brain

19 metastases of breast (MB) or lung (ML) cancers, as well as non-tumor bearing patients (C) (herniated

20 disc surgery, trauma, etc.) of the Neurosurgery Clinic of St. Ivan Rilski University Hospital, Sofia acquired

21 according to the rules of the ethics committee of the Medical University in Sofia, after its approval and

22 obtaining informed consent, were analyzed on the sets of peptides defined in microarray format. The

23 sera were aliquoted and stored at -20°C. Before staining the sera were thawed, incubated for 30 min at

37°C for dissolution of IgM complexes, diluted 1:100 with PBS, pH 7.4, 0.05% Tween 20 with 0.1% BSA,

further incubated for 30 min at 37°C and filtered through 0.22µm filters before application on the chips.

Peptide microarray

The customized microarray chips were produced by PEPperPRINT^{TM} (Heidelberg, Germany) by synthesis

in situ as 7-mer peptides attached to the surface through their C-terminus and a common spacer GGGS.

The layout was in a format of a single field of up to 5500 or five fields of up to 600 peptides in randomly

positioned duplicates. The chips were blocked for 60 minutes using PBS, pH 7.4, 0.05% Tween 20 with

1% BSA on a rocker, washed 3x1 min with PBS, pH 7.4, 0.05% Tween 20 and incubated with sera in

dilutions equivalent to 0.01 mg/ml IgM (approx. 1:100 serum dilution) on a rocker overnight at 4°C.

After 3x1 minute washing the chips were incubated with secondary antibodies at RT, washed, rinsed

with distilled water and dried by spinning in a vertical position in empty 50 ml test tubes at 100 x g for 2

minutes.

Microarray data treatment

The microarray images were acquired using a GenePix 4000 Microarray Scanner (Molecular Devices,

USA). The densitometry was done using the GenePix® Pro v6.0 software. All further analysis was

performed using publicly available packages of the R statistical environment for Windows (v3.4.1)

(Bioconductor – Biostrings, limma, pepStat, sva, e1071, Rtsne, clvalid, entropy, RankProd, multcomp) as

well as in house developed R scripts (https://github.com/ansts/IgMimoPap1 and

https://github.com/ansts/IgMimoPap2

).

Local normalization

The spot intensities of a non-treated chip were subtracted from the stained chip spot intensities.

Treatment with secondary antibody only did not result in any binding. Next, the local background had to

be inferred due to the dense spot layout. To that end an approximated background was smoothed by support vector regression and the result passed to the backgroundCorrect (75) function for local normalization using the normexp (mle) method. The initial background approximation is described in the supplement file 1.

Global normalization

The peptides with missing values (flagged "bad") in some of the patients were removed. Log transformed locally normalized microarray data were next normalized for amino acid composition dependent binding using the ZpepQuad method of pepStat package (76). This step is considered indispensable because of the strong effect of amino acid composition on binding due mostly to electrostatic interactions. The amino acid residue properties were quantified using the 5 dimensional descriptor z1-z5 of Sandberg et al. (1998) (74). This was followed by global normalization using normalizeCyclicLoess (method affy) from the package limma (75) and subjected to batch effect compensation using the ComBat (77) function from package sva whenever necessary (for different chip batches and for different channels). The data was acquired in 2 different batches using the green (batch G) and the red channel (batch R). Eleven cases were part of an earlier experiment and that subset of the data could be used also in this assay (batch P). For batch effect compensation the groups were balanced by selecting a subset of the patients with relatively even representation of each tested diagnosis. The criterion for inclusion of the 5 GBM patients from batch "R" was the minimal difference of the mean and coefficient of variation from the mean and CV of the whole group of GBM in that batch. Finally, each peptide binding intensity was represented by the mean of its duplicates.

Because of a lack of a suitable negative control, the baseline binding was determined from the data. The clean data used for the testing of the diagnostic potential was subjected to dimensionality reduction of the peptide reactivities using t-sne (71), which clearly outlined a group of peptides with uniformly low

reactivities, (Supplement fig.1) that was considered background binding. The mean of the background

binding intensity was subtracted from the data before testing for significant reactivities.

A general linear model, followed by Tukey contrast, was used to compare the expression of reactivities

in the different tested libraries. The total correlation between the different peptide reactivity profiles of

each library with 10 patients' serum IgM was used as a measure of the redundancy of the library. The

profiles were viewed as points in a 10-mer space with each dimension corresponding to a patient. Total

correlation was calculated as KLD from the theoretical maximal entropy joint distribution of the profile

data, binned appropriately. To keep the number of bins comparable to the number of peptides, the

intensity values for each patient were discretized just to "high" and "low" relative to the median for the

studied library, effectively centering each library's data and, thus, removing the effect of the median

intensity of each library. To further limit the number of bins, randomly selected bins (the same for all

libraries) were aggregated in groups of 8, yielding 128 bins for the calculation of the baseline and the

actual joint distributions. To equalize the size of the libraries, random samples of 380 peptides were

used from each library, except for pepneglo which was excluded from this analysis due to its small size.

The mean KLD values were determined in a bootstrap procedure with the described sampling repeated

with replacement 100 times for the bin aggregation and 30 times for the library resampling producing

3000 samples. KLD for each library was thus calculated using the entropy::KL function.

The same approach could not be used for the transposed matrix, due to the disproportionately high

number of bins necessary to describe the distribution in high/low values of 500-1000 different peptides.

Instead, the mean correlation coefficient between the patient profiles across the peptides was used. To

compare the mean correlation values between libraries by GLM, the correlation values were converted

to z-scores.

Another test of the optimal sampling of the mimotope space was the mean nearest neighbor distance

(NND) per library which was normalized (nNND) relative to the theoretical mean distance between the

points in the 10-dimensional data cloud for the different libraries:

$$nNND_L = \frac{NND_L}{\left(\frac{V}{N_L}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}},$$

4   where L=1..8, N_L is the number of peptides in each library, k is the dimensionality (10 since the peptides

5   are compared on the basis to their reactivity to 10 patients' sera) and V is the volume of the data cloud

6   approximated as a k-dimensional ellipsoid (78):

$$V = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{k}{2}}}{k\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{k} (2 * \sigma_i),$$

where σ_i is the standard deviation of the data along the i_{th} dimension (the values of the i_{th} serum) and Γ is

the gamma function. The logarithms of nNND were compared by general linear models (GLM).

Together with median intensity per library as a measure of the intensity of the signal, total correlation,

mean correlation between patients' sera reactivities and mean nearest neighbor distance were

combined to measure the optimization of the libraries. To make all four criteria positively correlated

with the desired qualities of the libraries, the sign of the correlation measures was changed. The rank

product method (p value for consistent "high expression" across the four tests) was used to test the

optimization of the libraries.

Feature selection algorithm

The composition of the library underwent a small change - 75 of the lowest scoring sequences from

pepnegrnd library were added to the library as a negative control. Because of the size limit of the final

library, this addition was done by replacement of 75 of the selected positive peptides. For the design of

a practical algorithm for extracting information about a particular diagnosis, a feature selection

approach was used based on recursive feature elimination. It used the quality of clustering of the

predetermine diagnostic groups when mapped to only the selected subset of features as a criterion. The

cluster separation of the cases of interest was measured using a combined clustering criterion:

$$Crit = \frac{100 * Dunn(d,c) * BH\gamma(d,c)}{Conn(d,c)}$$

Where Dunn(d,c) is the Dunn's clustering criterion (79, 80) which is based on single inter-cluster and

intra-cluster distances (extreme case), BHγ(d,c) is the Baker-Hubert Gamma index (81) which gives the

overall agreement of distances and cluster assignment based on all the data, Conn is the connectivity

validation measure for a given clustering partitioning based on 10 nearest neighbors , which emphasizes

the agreement of distances and partitions in the vicinity of each case; d is the matrix of distances

between the cases, and c is the diagnosis code for the cases. Supplement Figure 7 shows the typical

traces of the clustering criterion as a function of the number of features thus obtained with the best set

of features corresponding to the maximum of the clustering criterion.

Let F be the set of features used - the union of features of significant expression in any diagnosis

(n=380). The recursive elimination features selection from F was performed multiple times in a leave

one out setting, both as a direct validation as well as a bootstrap scheme used to improve further the

generalization of the proof of principle predictor.

The following algorithm was used for predicting GBM as contrasted to the rest of the cases. Let N be the

number of cases. Each of the N bootstrap samples contained the binding data for $N-1$ cases. The

recursive elimination feature selection applied to each of those samples ultimately produced an

intersecting family of N feature sets. To ensure better generalization, only the features common for at

least 2 of those bootstrap generated feature sets were analyzed. The common features were pooled by

the number of sets they were common for (or "commonality") in groups with commonality greater than

a threshold, i.e. – the group labeled 1 included all features, group n included features found in at least n

bootstrap sets and group 28 contained the features found in all bootstrap sets. These groups were used

to find the commonality level providing the best performing feature set.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS This work was performed with the support of EEA/Norway Grant BG09/D03-103

and the Bulgarian Fund for Scientific Research Grant D01-11/2016. The authors wish to thank Prof.

Radha Nagarajan, Prof. Ivanka Tsakovska and Prof. Soren Hairabedyan for critically reading the

manuscript and a number of useful comments.

References

1.	Baumgarth N, Herman OC, Jager GC, Brown LE, Herzenberg LA, Chen J. B-1 and B-2 cell-derived immunoglobulin M antibodies are nonredundant components of the protective response to influenza virus infection. J Exp Med. 2000;192(2):271-80.

2.	Ochsenbein AF, Fehr T, Lutz C, Suter M, Brombacher F, Hengartner H, et al. Control of early viral and bacterial distribution and disease by natural antibodies. Science. 1999;286(5447):2156-9.

3.	Vollmers HP, Brandlein S. Tumors: too sweet to remember? Mol Cancer. 2007;6:78.

4.	Matter MS, Ochsenbein AF. Natural antibodies target virus-antibody complexes to organized lymphoid tissue. Autoimmun Rev. 2008;7(6):480-6.

5.	Avrameas S, Guilbert B, Dighiero G. Natural antibodies against tubulin, actin myoglobin, thyroglobulin, fetuin, albumin and transferrin are present in normal human sera, and monoclonal immunoglobulins from multiple myeloma and Waldenstrom's macroglobulinemia may express similar antibody specificities. Ann Immunol (Paris). 1981;132C(2):231-6.

6.	Panda S, Zhang J, Tan NS, Ho B, Ding JL. Natural IgG antibodies provide innate protection against ficolin-opsonized bacteria. EMBO J. 2013;32(22):2905-19.

7.	Vollmers HP, Brandlein S. Natural antibodies and cancer. N Biotechnol. 2009;25(5):294-8.

8.	Prieto JMB, Felippe MJB. Development, phenotype, and function of non-conventional B cells. Comparative immunology, microbiology and infectious diseases. 2017;54:38-44.

9.	Lobo PI. Role of Natural Autoantibodies and Natural IgM Anti-Leucocyte Autoantibodies in Health and Disease. Front Immunol. 2016;7:198.

10.	Rothstein TL, Griffin DO, Holodick NE, Quach TD, Kaku H. Human B-1 cells take the stage. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2013;1285:97-114.

11.	Weller S, Braun MC, Tan BK, Rosenwald A, Cordier C, Conley ME, et al. Human blood IgM "memory" B cells are circulating splenic marginal zone B cells harboring a pre-diversified immunoglobulin repertoire. Blood. 2004.

12.	Greiff V, Weber CR, Palme J, Bodenhofer U, Miho E, Menzel U, et al. Learning the High-Dimensional Immunogenomic Features That Predict Public and Private Antibody Repertoires. The Journal of Immunology. 2017;199(8):2985-97.

13.	Van Regenmortel MH. Specificity, polyspecificity, and heterospecificity of antibody-antigen recognition. J Mol Recognit. 2014;27(11):627-39.

14.	Willis JR, Briney BS, DeLuca SL, Crowe JE, Jr., Meiler J. Human Germline Antibody Gene Segments Encode Polyspecific Antibodies. PLoS Comput Biol. 2013;9(4):e1003045.

15.	Cohen IR, Young DB. Autoimmunity, microbial immunity and the immunological homunculus. Immunol Today. 1991;12(4):105-10.

16.	Avrameas S, Guilbert B, Mahana W, Matsiota P, Ternynck T. Recognition of self and non-self constituents by polyspecific autoreceptors. Int Rev Immunol. 1988;3(1-2):1-15.

17.	Avrameas S. Natural autoantibodies: from 'horror autotoxicus' to 'gnothi seauton'. Immunol Today. 1991;12(5):154-9.

18.	Reynolds AE, Kuraoka M, Kelsoe G. Natural IgM is produced by CD5- plasma cells that occupy a distinct survival niche in bone marrow. J Immunol. 2015;194(1):231-42.

19.	Hughes AK, Cichacz Z, Scheck A, Coons SW, Johnston SA, Stafford P. Immunosignaturing Can Detect Products from Molecular Markers in Brain Cancer. PLoS ONE. 2012;7(7):e40201.

20.	Stafford P, Halperin R, Legutki JB, Magee DM, Galgiani J, Johnston SA. Physical Characterization of the "Immunosignaturing Effect". Molecular & Cellular Proteomics. 2012;11(4).

21.	Quintana FJ, Hagedorn PH, Elizur G, Merbl Y, Domany E, Cohen IR. Functional immunomics: microarray analysis of IgG autoantibody repertoires predicts the future response of mice to induced diabetes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101 Suppl 2:14615-21.

22. Merbl Y, Zucker-Toledano M, Quintana FJ, Cohen IR. Newborn humans manifest autoantibodies to defined self molecules detected by antigen microarray informatics. J Clin Invest. 2007;117(3):712-8.

23. Matochko WL, Chu K, Jin B, Lee SW, Whitesides GM, Derda R. Deep sequencing analysis of phage libraries using Illumina platform. Methods. 2012;58(1):47-55.

24. Abdullah T, Faiza M, Pant P, Rayyan Akhtar M, Pant P. An Analysis of Single Nucleotide Substitution in Genetic Codons - Probabilities and Outcomes. Bioinformation. 2016;12(3):98-104.

25. Andreatta M, Lund O, Nielsen M. Simultaneous alignment and clustering of peptide data using a Gibbs sampling approach. Bioinformatics. 2013;29(1):8-14.

26. Nygaard V, Rodland EA, Hovig E. Methods that remove batch effects while retaining group differences may lead to exaggerated confidence in downstream analyses. Biostatistics (Oxford, England). 2016;17(1):29-39.

27. Silverman GJ, Srikrishnan R, Germar K, Goodyear CS, Andrews KA, Ginzler EM, et al. Genetic imprinting of autoantibody repertoires in systemic lupus erythematosus patients. Clin Exp Immunol. 2008;153(1):102-16.

28. Sharron B-Z, Dror YK, Gittit D, Asaf M, Yifat M, Francisco JQ, et al. Individual and meta-immune networks. Physical Biology. 2013;10(2):025003.

29. Mao J, Ladd J, Gad E, Rastetter L, Johnson MM, Marzbani E, et al. Mining the pre-diagnostic antibody repertoire of TgMMTV-neu mice to identify autoantibodies useful for the early detection of human breast cancer. J Transl Med. 2014;12:121.

30. Butvilovskaya VI, Popletaeva SB, Chechetkin VR, Zubtsova ZI, Tsybulskaya MV, Samokhina LO, et al. Multiplex determination of serological signatures in the sera of colorectal cancer patients using hydrogel biochips. Cancer Med. 2016.

31. Merbl Y, Itzchak R, Vider-Shalit T, Louzoun Y, Quintana FJ, Vadai E, et al. A Systems Immunology Approach to the Host-Tumor Interaction: Large-Scale Patterns of Natural Autoantibodies Distinguish Healthy and Tumor-Bearing Mice. PLoS ONE. 2009;4(6):e6053.

32. Stafford P, Wrapp D, Johnston SA. General Assessment of Humoral Activity in Healthy Humans. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2016;15(5):1610-21.

33. Campbell CT, Gulley JL, Oyelaran O, Hodge JW, Schlom J, Gildersleeve JC. Serum Antibodies to Blood Group A Predict Survival on PROSTVAC-VF. Clinical Cancer Research. 2013;19(5):1290-9.

34. Haury M, Grandien A, Sundblad A, Coutinho A, Nobrega A. Global analysis of antibody repertoires. 1. An immunoblot method for the quantitative screening of a large number of reactivities. Scand J Immunol. 1994;39(1):79-87.

35. Stahl D, Yeshurun M, Gorin NC, Sibrowski W, Kaveri SV, Kazatchkine MD. Reconstitution of Self-Reactive Antibody Repertoires of Autologous Plasma IgM in Patients with Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma Following Myeloablative Therapy. Clinical Immunology. 2001;98(1):31-8.

36. Mouthon L, Haury M, Lacroix-Desmazes S, Barreau C, Coutinho A, Kazatchkine MD. Analysis of the normal human IgG antibody repertoire. Evidence that IgG autoantibodies of healthy adults recognize a limited and conserved set of protein antigens in homologous tissues. J Immunol. 1995;154(11):5769-78.

37. Lacroix-Desmazes S, Mouthon L, Coutinho A, Kazatchkine MD. Analysis of the natural human IgG antibody repertoire: life-long stability of reactivities towards self antigens contrasts with age-dependent diversification of reactivities against bacterial antigens. Eur J Immunol. 1995;25(9):2598-604.

38. Nobrega A, Haury M, Grandien A, Malanchere E, Sundblad A, Coutinho A. Global analysis of antibody repertoires. II. Evidence for specificity, self-selection and the immunological "homunculus" of antibodies in normal serum. Eur J Immunol. 1993;23(11):2851-9.

39. Mouthon L, Nobrega A, Nicolas N, Kaveri SV, Barreau C, Coutinho A, et al. Invariance and restriction toward a limited set of self-antigens characterize neonatal IgM antibody repertoires and prevail in autoreactive repertoires of healthy adults. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995;92(9):3839-43.

40. Ryvkin A, Ashkenazy H, Smelyanski L, Kaplan G, Penn O, Weiss-Ottolenghi Y, et al. Deep Panning: steps towards probing the IgOme. PLoS One. 2012;7(8):e41469.

41. Truck J, Ramasamy MN, Galson JD, Rance R, Parkhill J, Lunter G, et al. Identification of antigen-specific B cell receptor sequences using public repertoire analysis. J Immunol. 2015;194(1):252-61.

42. Gu H, Tarlinton D, Muller W, Rajewsky K, Forster I. Most peripheral B cells in mice are ligand selected. J Exp Med. 1991;173(6):1357-71.

43. Matochko WL, Cory Li S, Tang SK, Derda R. Prospective identification of parasitic sequences in phage display screens. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42(3):1784-98.

44. Derda R, Tang SK, Li SC, Ng S, Matochko W, Jafari MR. Diversity of phage-displayed libraries of peptides during panning and amplification. Molecules. 2011;16(2):1776-803.

45. Madi A, Hecht I, Bransburg-Zabary S, Merbl Y, Pick A, Zucker-Toledano M, et al. Organization of the autoantibody repertoire in healthy newborns and adults revealed by system level informatics of antigen microarray data. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2009;106(34):14484-9.

46. Tchernychev B, Cabilly S, Wilchek M. The epitopes for natural polyreactive antibodies are rich in proline. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997;94(12):6335-9.

47. Luo P, Agadjanyan M, Qiu J, Westerink MA, Steplewski Z, Kieber-Emmons T. Antigenic and immunological mimicry of peptide mimotopes of Lewis carbohydrate antigens. Mol Immunol. 1998;35(13):865-79.

48. Scott JK. Discovering peptide ligands using epitope libraries. [Review]. Trends in Biochemical Sciences. 1992;17(7):241-5.

49. Westerink MA, Giardina PC, Apicella MA, Kieber-Emmons T. Peptide mimicry of the meningococcal group C capsular polysaccharide. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995;92(9):4021-5.

50. Kieber-Emmons T. Peptide mimotopes of carbohydrate antigens. Immunol Res. 1998;17(1-2):95-108.

51. Pashov A, Canziani G, Monzavi-Karbassi B, Kaveri SV, Macleod S, Saha R, et al. Antigenic properties of peptide mimotopes of HIV-1-associated carbohydrate antigens. J Biol Chem. 2005;280(32):28959-65.

52. Cohen IR. The cognitive paradigm and the immunological homunculus. Immunol Today. 1992;13(12):490-4.

53. Cohen IR. Autoantibody repertoires, natural biomarkers, and system controllers. Trends Immunol. 2013;34(12):620-5.

54. Lacroix-Desmazes S, Mouthon L, Pashov A, Barreau C, Kaveri SV, Kazatchkine MD. Analysis of antibody reactivities toward self antigens of IgM of patients with Waldenstrom's macroglobulinemia. Int Immunol. 1997;9(8):1175-83.

55. Mouthon L, Lacroix-Desmazes S, Nobrega A, Barreau C, Coutinho A, Kazatchkine MD. The self-reactive antibody repertoire of normal human serum IgM is acquired in early childhood and remains stable throughout life. Scand J Immunol. 1996;44:243-51.

56. Hardy RR, Hayakawa K. Positive and negative selection of natural autoreactive B cells. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2012;750:227-38.

57. Putterman C, Deocharan B, Diamond B. Molecular analysis of the autoantibody response in peptide-induced autoimmunity. J Immunol. 2000;164(5):2542-9.

58. Pashov A, Monzavi-Karbassi B, Kieber-Emmons T. Immune surveillance and immunotherapy: Lessons from carbohydrate mimotopes. Vaccine. 2009;27(25-26):3405-15.

59. Van Regenmortel MH. What is a B-cell epitope? Methods Mol Biol. 2009;524:3-20.

60. Huang J, He B, Zhou P. Mimotope-based prediction of B-cell epitopes. Methods Mol Biol. 2014;1184:237-43.

61.   Weber LK, Palermo A, Kugler J, Armant O, Isse A, Rentschler S, et al. Single amino acid fingerprinting of the human antibody repertoire with high density peptide arrays. J Immunol Methods. 2017;443:45-54.

62.   Weiss-Ottolenghi Y, Gershoni JM. Profiling the IgOme: meeting the challenge. FEBS Lett. 2014;588(2):318-25.

63.   Navalkar KA, Johnston SA, Stafford P. Peptide based diagnostics: Are random-sequence peptides more useful than tiling proteome sequences? J Immunol Methods. 2014.

64.   Legutki JB, Zhao ZG, Greving M, Woodbury N, Johnston SA, Stafford P. Scalable high-density peptide arrays for comprehensive health monitoring. Nature communications. 2014;5:4785.

65.   Kulthanan K, Pinkaew S, Suthipinittharm P. Diagnostic value of IgM deposition at the dermo-epidermal junction. Int J Dermatol. 1998;37(3):201-5.

66.   Borrelli M, Maglio M, Agnese M, Paparo F, Gentile S, Colicchio B, et al. High density of intraepithelial γδ lymphocytes and deposits of immunoglobulin (Ig)M anti-tissue transglutaminase antibodies in the jejunum of coeliac patients with IgA deficiency. Clinical and experimental immunology. 2010;160(2):199-206.

67.   Chan RK, Ding G, Verna N, Ibrahim S, Oakes S, Austen WG, Jr., et al. IgM binding to injured tissue precedes complement activation during skeletal muscle ischemia-reperfusion. J Surg Res. 2004;122(1):29-35.

68.   Hensel F, Hermann R, Schubert C, Abe N, Schmidt K, Franke A, et al. Characterization of glycosylphosphatidylinositol-linked molecule CD55/decay-accelerating factor as the receptor for antibody SC-1-induced apoptosis. Cancer Res. 1999;59(20):5299-306.

69.   Vollmers HP, Brandlein S. The "early birds": natural IgM antibodies and immune surveillance. Histol Histopathol. 2005;20(3):927-37.

70.   de Hoon M, Vitkup D. Comparative Systems Biology of the Sporulation Initiation Network in Prokaryotes. In: Eskin E, Ideker T, Raphael B, Workman C, editors. Systems Biology and Regulatory Genomics: Joint Annual RECOMB 2005 Satellite Workshops on Systems Biology and on Regulatory Genomics, San Diego, CA, USA; December 2-4, 2005, Revised Selected Papers. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2006. p. 62-9.

71.   van der Maaten LJP, Hinton G. Visualizing Data using t-SNE. Journ Machine Learning Res. 2008;9:27.

72.   van der Maaten L. Accelerating t-SNE using Tree-Based Algorithms. J Machine Learn Res. 2014;15:3221-45.

73.   Hellberg S, Sjoestroem M, Skagerberg B, Wold S. Peptide quantitative structure-activity relationships, a multivariate approach. Journal of Medicinal Chemistry. 1987;30(7):1126-35.

74.   Sandberg M, Eriksson L, Jonsson J, Sjostrom M, Wold S. New chemical descriptors relevant for the design of biologically active peptides. A multivariate characterization of 87 amino acids. J Med Chem. 1998;41(14):2481-91.

75.   Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43(7):e47.

76.   Imholte G, Sauteraud R, Gottardo R. Analyzing Peptide Microarray Data with the R pepStat Package. Methods Mol Biol. 2016;1352:127-42.

77.   Johnson WE, Li C, Rabinovic A. Adjusting batch effects in microarray expression data using empirical Bayes methods. Biostatistics (Oxford, England). 2007;8(1):118-27.

78.   Wilson JA. Volume of n-dimensional ellipsoid. Sciencia Acta Xaveriana. 2010;1(1):101-6.

79.   Handl J, Knowles J, Kell DB. Computational cluster validation in post-genomic data analysis. Bioinformatics. 2005;21(15):3201-12.

80.   Dunn JC. Well-Separated Clusters and Optimal Fuzzy Partitions. Journal of Cybernetics. 1974;4(1):95-104.

81. Baker FB, Hubert LJ. Measuring the Power of Hierarchical Cluster Analysis. Journal of the American Statistical Association. 1975;70(349):31-8.

Author Contribution:

A.P. conceptualized the project, analyzed the results performing all the in silico work, supervised experiments except for the sequencing as well as the overall project execution and prepared the manuscript;

M. Hadzhieva ran the phage display experiments;

V.K. and M. T. ran the microarray experiments up to data processing, catalogued and maintained the seroteque;

V.S., M. Heinz and L.A.M.Z. carried out the DNA isolation, PCR and sequencing;

E.H. supervised the sequencing task, participated in conceptualizing the project and the preparation of the manuscript;

S. P. and M.T. performed the data processing of microarray scans;

T.V. and T.K.E participated in conceptualizing the project, analysis of the results and the preparation of the manuscript;

D.F. was responsible for the patient selection, informed consent, ethics committee protocol preparation, blood collection and serum preparation.

Fig.1

Fig. 2

A

Selected Mimotopes with Five Highly Significant Clusters

B

Random Peptides

Selected Mimotopes with the Optimized Library

Fig. 7

Mixture of Selected Mimotopes and Random Peptides

Fig. 8

Fig. 10

Fig. 11