Whole genome analysis of local Kenyan and global sequences unravels the

epidemiological and molecular evolutionary dynamics of RSV genotype ON1

strains

Otieno JR[1#], Kamau EM[1], Oketch JW[1], Ngoi JM[1], Gichuki AM[1], Binter Š[2,3], Otieno

GP[1], Ngama M[1], Agoti CN[1,4], Cane PA[5], Kellam P[3,6], Cotten M[2,7], Lemey P[8], and

Nokes DJ[1,9]

Affiliations:

1. *Epidemiology and Demography Department, Kenya Medical Research*

Institute (KEMRI) – Wellcome Trust Research Programme, Kilifi, Kenya

2. *Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Hinxton UK*

3. *Kymab Ltd., Babraham Research Campus, Cambridge, UK*

4. *Department of Biomedical Sciences, Pwani University, Kilifi, Kenya*

5. *Public Health England, Salisbury, United Kingdom*

6. *Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Imperial College London,*

London, UK.

7. *Department of Viroscience, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam*

8. *Department of Microbiology and Immunology, KU Leuven- University of Leuven,*

Leuven, Belgium

9. *School of Life Sciences and Zeeman Instutute (SBIDER), University of*

Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

#Corresponding author

James R. Otieno

KEMRI– Wellcome Trust Research Programme, Kilifi, Kenya

P.O. Box 230, 80108, Kilifi, Kenya

e-mail: jotieno@kemri-wellcome.org   phone: +254726387202

Word count

Abstract: 286

Author Summary: 190

Main Text: 5666

Running title: RSV genotype ON1 dynamics in Kilifi and globally

Abstract

The respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) group A variant with the 72-nucleotide duplication in the G gene, genotype ON1, was first detected in Kilifi in 2012 and has almost completely replaced previously circulating genotype GA2 strains. This replacement suggests some fitness advantage of ON1 over the GA2 viruses, and might be accompanied by important genomic substitutions in ON1 viruses. Close observation of such a new virus introduction over time provides an opportunity to better understand the transmission and evolutionary dynamics of the pathogen. We have generated and analyzed 184 RSV-A whole genome sequences (WGS) from Kilifi (Kenya) collected between 2011 and 2016, the first ON1 genomes from Africa and the largest collection globally from a single location. Phylogenetic analysis indicates that RSV-A transmission into this coastal Kenya location is characterized by multiple introductions of viral lineages from diverse origins but with varied success in local transmission. We identify signature amino acid substitutions between ON1 and GA2 viruses within genes encoding the surface proteins (G, F), polymerase (L) and matrix M2-1 proteins, some of which were identified as positively selected, and thereby provide an enhanced picture of RSV-A diversity. Furthermore, five of the eleven RSV open reading frames (ORF) (i.e. G, F, L, N and P), analyzed separately, formed distinct phylogenetic clusters for the two genotypes. This might suggest that coding regions outside of the most frequently studied G ORF play a role in the adaptation of RSV to host populations with the alternative possibility that some of the substitutions are nothing more than genetic hitchhikers. Our analysis provides insight into the epidemiological processes that define RSV spread, highlights the genetic substitutions that characterize emerging strains, and demonstrates the utility of large-scale WGS in molecular epidemiological studies.

Author summary

Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is the leading viral cause of severe pneumonia and bronchiolitis among infants and children globally. No vaccine exists to date. The high genetic variability of this RNA virus, characterized by group (A or B), genotype (within group) and variant (within genotype) replacement in populations, may pose a challenge to effective vaccine design by enabling immune response escape. To date most sequence data exists for the highly variable G gene encoding the RSV attachment protein, and there is little globally-sampled RSV genomic data to provide a fine resolution of the epidemiology and evolutionary dynamics of the pathogen. Here we use long-term RSV surveillance in coastal Kenya to track the introduction, spread and evolution of a new RSV genotype known as ON1 (having a 72-nucleotide duplication in the G gene). We present a set of 184 RSV-A whole genomes, including 154 of RSV ON1 (the first from Africa), describe patterns of local ON1 spread and show genome-wide changes between the two major RSV-A genotypes that may define the pathogen's adaptation to the host. These findings have implications for vaccine design and improved understanding of RSV epidemiology and evolution.

Introduction

Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is the leading viral cause of severe pneumonia and bronchiolitis among infants and children globally (1,2). Individuals remain susceptible to RSV upper respiratory tract reinfection throughout life even though they develop immune responses following primary and secondary RSV infections in childhood (3). No licensed RSV vaccine exists, partly due to the antigenic variability in the virus (4).

The single stranded, negative sense RSV genome encodes 11 proteins of which the attachment glycoprotein (G) is the most variable and a key player of adaptive evolution of the virus (5). The rate of nucleotide substitution for the G gene encoding the attachment protein has been estimated to be 1.83×10^{-3} and 1.95×10^{-3} nucleotide (nt) substitutions/site/year for group A and B, respectively, with some variation dependent on the timescale of observation (6,7). There is evidence of immune driven selection of the G gene (4,8). Although at a lower rate of evolution than for the G gene, there is significant ongoing accumulation of substitutions across the whole genome, again dependent upon the timescale of observation (9,10). At present, there is limited analysis of the selective forces acting on genes other than for the G gene as a result of paucity of whole genome sequences (WGS), particularly from one location over a period of time spanning multiple seasons (11,12). Therefore, it is not apparent whether there are genetic signatures across the rest of the genome that might additionally inform on the adaptive mechanisms of RSV viruses following introduction into communities.

RSV is classified into two Groups, RSV-A and RSV-B (13), differing antigenically

(14), with each group further characterized into genotypes (with genotype defined as

a cluster of viruses each of which has greater genetic distance from viruses of any

other genotype compared to that between viruses of the most diverse genotype

(15,16)). A genotype can be further divided into (i) imported variants which show

greater genetic difference than expected from *in situ* diversification (17,18), and (ii)

local variants arising from recent introduction which subsequently diversify *in situ*

(without time for purifying selection from, for example inter-epidemic bottlenecks)

(10). Studies from our group have shown that within RSV epidemics, there is co-

circulation of RSV viruses belonging to different groups, genotypes and variants both

imported and local, (10,17,18), with the latter not clearly distinguished through partial

G gene sequencing. Consequently, full genome sequencing offers the opportunity to

differentiate introduced from persistent RSV viruses within a given location.

Two recent RSV genotypes with large duplications within the G glycoprotein, BA and

ON1, have been detected globally. The RSV-B BA genotype is characterized by a 60-

nucleotide (nt) duplication while the RSV-A ON1 genotype is characterized by a 72-

nucleotide duplication. Initially detected in Buenos Aires Argentina in 1999, the BA

genotype subsequently spread rapidly throughout the world becoming the

predominant group B genotype and replacing all previous circulating RSV-B

genotypes in certain regions (19,20). The ON1 genotype was first detected in 2010 in

Ontario Canada, a decade after BA, and has also spread globally (21–29). Of interest

is what could be driving the apparent fitness advantage of these emergent genotypes

over the preceding genotypes (30), and whether such insights could be mined from

whole genome sequences.

In this study, we sought to gain a deeper understanding of the epidemiological and

evolutionary dynamics of RSV viral populations through extensive whole genome

sequencing and analysis of samples collected as part of on-going surveillance studies

of respiratory viruses within Kilifi, Coastal Kenya (2011-2016). This was done by

monitoring the unique genotype ON1 72-nucleotide duplication tag whose temporal

progression can be directly followed from when the ON1 viruses first entered the

ON1 'naïve' Kilifi population. This WGS analysis advances previous work on the

patterns of introduction and persistence of the ON1 variant within this community

that utilized partial G gene sequences (31,32), and provides a higher resolution of the

RSV genetic structure, spread and identification of variation that may be associated

with molecular adaptation and apparent fitness advantages.

Materials and Methods

<u>Study population</u>

This study is part of ongoing surveillance of respiratory viruses within Kilifi County,

coastal Kenya, and across the country that is aimed at understanding the epidemiology

and disease burden of respiratory viruses in this region (33). Two sets of samples

were used in the current analysis; (i) samples collected from children (under 5 years

of age) admitted to the Kilifi County Hospital (KCH) presenting with syndromically

defined severe or very severe pneumonia (32,33), and (ii) samples collected from

patients of all ages presenting at health facilities within the Kilifi Health and

Demographic Surveillance System (KHDSS) (34) with acute respiratory illnesses

(ARI).

RNA extraction and RT-PCR

All KCH specimens had previously been screened for RSV, RSV group and RSV-A

genotype status (32), while the KHDSS samples were screened afresh. The criterion

for proceeding to WGS was a sample real-time PCR cycle threshold (Ct) value < 30

based on the success rate from previous experience (9), with the exception of four test

samples that were PCR negative or had Ct>30. Viral RNA was extracted using

QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN). Reverse transcription (RT) of RNA

molecules and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification were performed with a

six-amplicon, six-reaction strategy (9), or using a 6 or 14-amplicon strategy

(unpublished) split into two reactions of three and seven amplicons, respectively for

each, *Figure 1A*. Amplification success was confirmed by observing the expected

PCR product size (1200-1500 bp) on 0.6% agarose gels. For the successfully

amplified samples, all the six or two reactions per sample were pooled and purified

for Illumina library preparation.

Illumina library construction and sequencing

The purified PCR products were quantified using Qubit fluorimeter 2.0 (Life

Technologies) and normalized to 0.2 ng/μL. The normalized DNA was tagmented (a

process of fragmentation and tagging) using the Nextera XT (Illumina) library prep

kit as per the manufacturer's instructions. Indices were ligated to the tagmented DNA

using the Nextera XT index kit (Illumina). The barcoded libraries were then purified

using 0.65X Ampure Xp beads. Library quality control was carried out using the

Agilent high sensitivity DNA kit on the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent) to

confirm the expected size distributions and library quality. Each library was

quantified using the Qubit fluorimeter 2.0 (Life Technologies), after which the

libraries were then normalized and pooled at equimolar concentrations based on the

Qubit results. The pooled libraries were sequenced on either (i) Illumina HiSeq

system using 2 x 250 bp PE sequencing at the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute (UK),

or (ii) Illumina MiSeq using 2 x 250 bp PE sequencing at the KEMRI-Wellcome

Trust Research Programme (Kilifi, Kenya).

To determine the proportion of RSV and non-RSV reads in the samples used here,

Kraken v0.10.6 (35) was used with a pre-built Kraken database provided by viral-ngs

(36,37) (downloaded in December, 2015; https://storage.googleapis.com/sabeti-

public/meta_dbs/kraken_ercc_db_20160718.tar.gz). A preliminary quality check of

the sequence reads was done using fastqc (38) with the output per batch aggregated

and visualized by multiqc (39).

Depletion of human reads

Prior to deposition of the raw short reads into NCBI short read archive (SRA),

datasets were depleted of human reads. The raw reads were mapped onto the human

reference genome hg19 using bowtie2 (40) while samtools (41) was used to filter, sort

and recover the unmapped (non-human) reads. The final reads are available in the

NCBI BioProject database under the study accession PRJNA438443.

Genome assembly and coverage

Sequence reads were taxonomically filtered within the viral-ngs pipeline using an

RSV genotype ON1 reference, KC731482. The RSV reads were then used to generate

consensus genome assemblies using viral-ngs versions 1.18.0 and 1.19.0 (36,37)

and/or SPAdes version 3.10.1 (42), selecting the most complete assembly from either

assemblers. In addition, the available Sanger G-gene sequences (31,32) for these same

samples were used to confirm agreement with the WGS assemblies. The genomes

generated in this study are available in GenBank under accession numbers MH181878

- MH182061. The genomes were aligned using MAFFT alignment software v7.305

(43) using the parameters '--localpair --maxiterate *1000*'.

To calculate and visualize depth of coverage, sample raw reads were mapped onto

individual assemblies with BWA (44), samtools (41) used to sort and index the

aligned bam files, and finally bedtools (45) used to generate the coverage depth

statistics. Plotting of the depth of coverage was done in R (46) in the RStudio (47).

<u>Global comparison dataset</u>

All complete and partial genome sequences available in GenBank Nucleotide

database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) as on 19/09/2017 were added to a

global RSV-A genotype ON1 genomic and G-gene dataset. To prepare the global

ON1 dataset, we downloaded all RSV sequences from GenBank (search terms:

respiratory syncytial virus), created a local blast database in Geneious (48), and

performed a local blast search using the 144 nucleotide sequence region of the ON1

genotype. To remove duplicates, the sequences were binned by country of sample

collection, filtered of duplicates and then re-collated into a single dataset. For the

global G-gene dataset of 1,167 sequences, the sequence length ranged from 238-

690bp. The final alignment of 344 ON1 genome sequences comprised the sequences

reported in this study (*n*=154) and additional publicly available GenBank ON1

sequences (*n*=190). In addition to the ON1 genomes, we generated 30 genotype GA2

genome sequences from Kilifi. The alignments were inspected in AliView (49) and

edited manually removing unexpected spurious frame-shift indels (largely

homopolymeric and most likely sequencing errors).

<u>Maximum likelihood phylogenetic analyses and root-to-tip regression</u>

Separate Maximum-Likelihood (ML) phylogenetic trees were generated using

multiple sequence alignments of the three datasets, i.e. Kilifi WGS, and global G-

gene and WGS datasets. The ML trees were inferred using both PhyML and RaxML,

with each optimizing various parts of the tree generation process (i.e. borrowing

strengths of both approaches), using the script generated and deposited by Andrew

Rambaut   at   (https://github.com/ebov/space-

time/tree/master/Data/phyml_raxml_ML.sh). The GTR+G model was used after

determination as the best substitution model by IQ-TREE v.1.4.2 (50).

To determine presence of temporal signal ('clockiness') in our datasets, we used

TempEst v1.5 (51) to explore the relationship between root-to-tip divergence and

sample dates. The data were exported to R (46) to perform a regression with the 'lm'

function.

<u>Estimating number local variant introductions</u>

To differentiate between local variants arising from a recent introduction and

imported variants with greater genetic differences than is expected from local

diversification, we used a pragmatic criterion previously described by *Agoti et al.* in

(17). Briefly, a variant is a virus (or a group of viruses) within a genotype that

possesses $\geq x$ nucleotide differences compared to other viruses. This x nucleotide

differences is a product of the length of the genomic region analyzed, estimated

substitution rate for that region, and time. This analysis was done using usearch

v8.1.1861 (29).

<u>Protein substitution and selection analysis</u>

Using the aligned Kilifi (ON1 and GA2) genome dataset, patterns of change in

nucleotides (single nucleotide polymorphisms or SNPs) and amino acids were sought

using Geneious v11.1.2 (48) and BioEdit 7.2.5 (52), respectively. Potential positively

selected and co-evolving sites within the coding regions were identified using HyPhy

(53) and phyphy (54). SNPs were called from both the complete dataset and from an

alignment of the consensus sequences from GA2 and ON1, whereby a consensus

nucleotide was determined as the majority base at a given position. For the positive

selection analysis, two strategies were used; gene-wide selection detection [BUSTED

(55)] and site-specific selection [SLAC, FEL (56), FUBAR (57) and MEME (58)].

Codon positions with a p-value <0.1 for either the SLAC, FEL and MEME models or

with a posterior of probability >0.9 for the FUBAR method were considered to be

under positive selection.

<u>Bayesian phylogenetics</u>

To infer time-structured phylogenies, Bayesian phylogenetic analyses were performed

using BEAST v.1.8.4 (59). Because of sparse data at the 5' and 3' termini and in the

non-coding regions of the genomic datasets, only the coding sequences (CDS) were

used as input. The SRD06 substitution model (60) was used on the CDS and three

coalescent tree priors were tested, i.e. a constant-size population, an exponential

growth population, and a Bayesian Skyline (61). For each of these tree priors,

combinations with the strict clock model and an uncorrelated relaxed clock model

with log-normal distribution (UCLN) (62) were tested with the molecular clock rate

set to use a non-informative continuous time Markov chain rate reference prior

(CTMC) (63). For each of the molecular clock and coalescent model combinations,

the analyses were run for 150 million Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) steps and

performed both path-sampling (PS) and stepping-stone (SS) to estimate marginal

likelihood (64,65). The best fitting model was a relaxed clock with a Skyline

coalescent model, *Supplementary sheet 1*.

BEAST was then run with 300-400 million MCMC steps using the SRD06

substitution model, Skyline tree prior, and relaxed clock model to estimate Bayesian

phylogenies. For the time to the most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) estimates,

the same substitution model and tree prior were used as above but with a strict clock

model. For the global G-gene dataset, BEAST was run with 400 million MCMC steps

using the HKY substitution model, Skyline tree prior, and a relaxed clock model. We

used Tracer v1.6 to check for convergence of MCMC chains and to summarize

substitution rates. Maximum clade credibility (MCC) trees were identified using

TreeAnnotator v1.8.4 after removal of 10% burn-in and then visualized in FigTree

v1.4.3.

<u>Principal component analysis</u>

To check on any clustering and stratification patterns, principal component analysis

(PCA) was performed using the R package FactoMineR (66). The input data were a

matrix of pairwise distances from genome sequence alignment using the "N" model

of DNA evolution, i.e. the proportion or the number of sites that differ between each

pair of sequences. Each genome on the PCA plot was annotated by the continent of

sample origin.

Results

Genome sequencing and assemblies

A total of 184 RSV-A genomes were generated in this study, comprising genotypes

ON1 (*n*=154) and GA2 (*n*=30), collected between February 2012 and April 2016;

Supplementary sheet 2. This dataset included 176 genomes from inpatients at KCH

and 8 genomes from peripheral health centres within the KHDSS. Between 0.2 to 4.3

million short reads were available per sample of which RSV specific reads ranged

between 0.001 to 3.9 million reads. The genome assemblies had a median length of

15,054 nucleotides (range: 13,966-15,322) and mean depth of base coverage per

genome ranging from 39 to 66457.

Whereas the samples for WGS were generally of high viral content (lower Ct value),

it is apparent there was reduced genome yield (proportion of genome assembled) from

samples with lower viral loads (i.e. higher Ct values); *Figure 1B*. However, since the

samples collected at the hospital are from children presenting with severe or very

severe pneumonia cases they generally have high viral loads as shown in *Figure 1C*.

The median fraction of the genome with unambiguous base calls was 98% with

reference length from KC731482. Read coverage across the genomes was non-

uniform, *Figure 1D,* suggesting varied PCR amplification efficiency among primer

pair combinations combined with increased sequencing yield from the ends of the

amplicons.

Bayesian reconstruction of ON1 epidemiological and evolutionary history

The global ON1 whole-genome MCC phylogenetic tree, *Figure 2A*, shows

evolutionary relationship among ON1 viruses from five sampled continents. The

TMRCA of the ON1 strains from the most recent tip (7 April 2016) was estimated to

be 11.07 years [95% HPD: 9.85-12.31], resulting in an estimated ON1 emergence

date of between December 2003 and June 2006. This estimated date of emergence is

earlier than a previous estimate (2008-2009) using the G-gene alone (29), but such a

difference could ideally be a reflection of the different datasets (by geography and

sampling dates). *Comas-Garcia et al.* have reported the earliest ON1 strain identified

to date in November 2009 from central Mexico (67), and from our estimates this

suggests a period of 3-6 years of circulation of this virus before first detection. The

genome-wide substitution rate for the ON1 viruses was estimated at 5.97 X 10^{-4}

nucleotide substitutions per site per year [95% HPD: 5.42-6.58], similar to previous

estimates for RSV group A full length sequences sampled over several epidemics

(9,12) but slower than estimates from both samples collected from a household study

over a single epidemic within the same location and using a global ON1 G-gene

dataset (10,29). Across the genome, estimates of evolutionary rates for individual

ON1 open reading frames (ORFs) varied, *Figure 2B*, with the mean substitution rate

highest in the G-gene, lowest in NS1, and moderate (with tight 95% HPD intervals)

for the whole genome.

The Kilifi ON1 genomes formed three distinct lineages (labelled LI-LIII) on the

global tree in *Figure 2A*. These three ON1 lineages were however placed into two

clades within the Kilifi WGS MCC tree, *Figures 2C* whereby lineages LI and LIII

were placed in clade A while clade B comprised only lineage LII sequences. The two

clades were temporal with clade A mostly comprising sequences from the 2011-2013

RSV epidemic period and clade B comprising sequences from the epidemic period

2013-2016. These clade and temporal patterns are further highlighted by the PCA

analysis in *Figure 2D*. Based on the phylogenetic placement of the Kilifi ON1

lineages on the global tree, we estimate that there could have been at least three

separate introductions of ON1 viruses into Kilifi. For lineage LIII, we sampled only 4

cases, and this is consistent with limited local transmission. In addition, all the eight

outpatient ON1 viruses collected outside KCH were placed within lineage LII and

were interspersed with viruses sampled from inpatient admissions at KCH implying

that our sampling at the hospital might be well representative of the KHDSS

community.

Using the global whole genome ON1 substitution rate estimate above, the Kilifi ON1

genomes dataset (length 15,404 bp) and a pragmatic criterion previously described by

Agoti et al. in (17) to differentiate between local and imported variants, we estimated

that there were up to 73 ON1 introductions into Kilifi. Even when we used the higher

substitution rate previously estimated from ON1 partial G-gene sequences by *Duvvuri*

et al in (29), i.e. 4.10×10^{-3} substitutions/site/year which translates to a difference of

at least 63 nucleotides between any two genomes to be classified as separate

introductions, this resulted in an estimate of 6 separate introductions. This implies that

multiple seeding introductions of viruses within lineages LI and LII may have been

required to sustain their local transmission.

Global ON1 spatiotemporal dynamics

As there are far more partial G gene sequences than full genomes, we explored ON1

spatiotemporal patterns using a set of 1,167 global G gene sequences. The global G

gene MCC tree is shown in *Figure 3* with the corresponding sampling locations in

Supplementary figure 1. Although they do not correspond to well-supported

monophyletic clades, we classify the clusters in C1, C2 and C3 for convenience.

While there was neither a single cluster that was comprised solely of viruses from a

specific continent nor a continent whose viruses were only found within a single

cluster, there was predominance of African/European viruses in cluster C1, Asian in

C2 and European/Asian in C3, suggesting both intra and inter-continental circulation

patterns. The majority of the Kilifi ON1 lineages (LI and LII in *Figure 2A*) were

found in cluster C1, suggesting perhaps a predominantly European source of RSV

introductions into Kilifi, while the lineage LIII viruses were found in cluster C3. All

the African viruses in cluster C1 were from Kilifi while all the Nigerian and all the

South African viruses were only found in clusters C2 and C3 respectively. Further,

the viruses closely related to the ON1 lineage (LIII) with limited transmission in Kilifi

described above were frequently isolated in other locations (cluster C3).

Genomic diversity of Kilifi RSV-A viruses

Pairwise intra-genotypic genetic diversity analysis of the GA2 and ON1 genomes

from Kilifi, *Figure 4A* and *4B*, show unimodal and bimodal distributions respectively

consistent with two genetically distinct circulating strains of the ON1 viruses. *Figure*

4C shows an entropy plot with protein substitution density based on amino acid

polymorphisms for a concatenated set of all RSV proteins from both Kilifi genotype

ON1 and GA2 viruses. Analyzing for substitutions across the genomes, we identified

a total of 746 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) with frequencies of >1% (in

the set of 184 genomes). Of these SNPs, the majority (589, 78.9%) were found within

coding sequences/regions (CDS) with only 145/589 (24.6%) of these coding

mutations resulting in non-synonymous changes, *Supplementary sheet 3*. The three

CDSs with the most substitutions were the polymerase L (39.6%), the glycoprotein G

(14.8%) and the fusion F (14.6%). However, most of the non-synonymous changes

occurred within G, SH and M2-2.

Is the 72-nucleotide duplication a red-herring or masking the complete genomic

identity of ON1 viruses?

The currently known or *de facto* distinguishing feature of the ON1 from GA2 strains

is the 72-nucleotide duplication within the G gene. It has been shown from

phylogenetic analysis of the G-gene that RSV group A genotypes form distinct

clusters (16). However, it has not been investigated if the distinct clustering is

replicated in the other genes especially for the closely related genotypes GA2 and

ON1 viruses. Through an exploratory root-to-tip regression analysis of ORF specific

ML trees, we confirmed that all but the NS1, NS2 and SH proteins had good temporal

signals to proceed with this analysis, *Supplementary figure 2*. Our observations using

the Kilifi GA2 and ON1 WGS dataset indicate that this phylogenetic divergence is

present in the concatenated set of all the 11 RSV-A ORFs (*Figure 5A*) and five

individual coding regions (G, F, L, N and P), *Supplementary figure 3*. However, node

posterior support for divergence between GA2 and ON1 in the N and P proteins was

quite low (50-70%) despite observation of distinct clusters. The date of the MRCA of

the Kilifi ON1 strains was estimated to June 2010 [95% HPD: November 2009-

November 2010], implying a lapse of at least one year before initial detection of

genotype ON1 in Kilifi in February 2012.

Based on the results above indicating evolutionary divergence across the five ON1

and GA2 proteins, we asked the question; Is the 72-nucleotide duplication the only

marker of the ON1 strains or an accompanying mutation? To answer this question, we

analyzed a concatenated set of 10 RSV ORFs (excluding the G) whereby we observed

distinct and well supported ON1 and GA2 clusters indicating the presence of genetic

markers outside the 72-nucleotide duplication and the G ORF that differentiate

viruses belonging to these two genotypes. With the possibility of additional

substitutions between GA2 and ON1 viruses across the genome and assuming a single

point source of ON1 viruses, we hypothesized two likely scenarios (i) a single ON1-

GA2 split event in which the founder ON1 virus possessed distinctive substitutions

across the five RSV ORFs, or (ii) progressive but rapid accumulation of substitutions

between ON1 and GA2 viruses within the five ORFs.

With regard to scenario (ii) above, it would be important to know what could have

come first; the 72-nucleotide duplication in the G or the changes in the other ORFs?

However, considering that these substitutions could have happened anywhere on the

branch between the GA2-ON1 split time and ON1 TMRCA in *Figure 5A*, it is

impossible to distinguish the order of such substitutions. This dilemma is confirmed

by the overlapping intervals in the divergence timings of the individual ORFs in

Figure 5B.

Identification of signature substitutions differentiating ON1 from GA2 viruses

The presence of phylogenetic divergence between five ORFs of ON1 and GA2

viruses above indicates potential SNPs between these two strains. Through a

comparative genome-wide scan along the RSV-A coding genome, we sought to pick

out SNPs between the consensus sequences of the Kilifi ON1 and GA2 viruses. We

identified 66 signature nucleotide substitutions, i.e. where a signature substitution was

defined as an SNP differentiating ON1 from GA2 viruses, *Supplementary sheet 4*.

While most of these signature substitutions were synonymous, we found 14 non-

synonymous substitutions between the ON1 from GA2 viruses, *Table 1*, of which

nine substitutions were in the G protein, two each in the F and L proteins, and one in

the M2-1 protein. None of these signature substitutions were observed to have an

effect on our RSV multiplex PCR diagnostics as they occur outside the target primer

binding sites in the N gene. Changes at the codon sites 142 and 237 of the G protein

that had these signature substitutions have previously been shown to characterize

antibody escape mutants, and were located within strain-specific epitopes (68). The

two signature substitutions in the F protein (116 and 122) occur within site p27, which

is the most variable antigenic site in the F protein among RSV group A and B

genotypes (69). However, determining the effect of these signature AA substitutions

on potential fitness and phenotype differences between ON1 and GA2 strains will

require targeted functional assays.

It should be noted that the signature ON1-GA2 SNPs above were called from

consensus genome sequences of each genotype, whereby a consensus nucleotide was

a simple majority at a given position. However, five nucleotide positions with the

identified signature polymorphisms resulting in non-synonymous substitutions had

100% nucleotide consensus for GA2 and ON1 sequences and are of great interest, i.e.

codon positions 232, 253, 274 and 314 in the G protein and 598 in the L protein

(respective nucleotide positions in *Table 1*). Additionally, we observed the following

substitutions in ON1 genomes (data not shown) that might also be of interest; (i) ON1

viruses undergoing convergent evolution at particular sites by acquiring nt/AA

substitutions similar to GA2 viruses in recent epidemics (2014-2016), and (ii) ON1

viruses undergoing further diversification through acquisition of nt and/or AA that is

different from GA2 in recent epidemics whereas these two genotypes possessed

different or shared similar nt/AA in earlier epidemics.

Signature substitutions between lineages with successful and limited local

transmission

In an attempt to unravel the molecular basis of the ON1 lineages in Kilifi with varied

local transmission outcomes, we performed a similar genome-wide comparative scan

between the consensus of genomes of viruses with successful (lineages LI and LII)

and those with limited local transmission (lineage LIII) for characteristic signature

polymorphisms. We identified 33 SNPs between these two groups of lineages,

supplementary sheet 3, of which nine resulted in non-synonymous changes; five in G,

two in F and one each in M2-2 and L. In three of these nine SNPs with non-

synonymous substitutions between the two groups of lineages, lineage LIII possessed

similar nucleotides as the GA2 viruses (G: codons P274L and P310L, and F: codon

A122T). Whether these polymorphisms that characterize the two groups of lineages

are neutral mutations or influence local transmission of the virus warrants further

investigation.

Patterns of selective pressure

It is expected that different codon sites in genes would be under differential

evolutionary pressures. We conducted selection analysis on all 11 RSV ORFs for the

dataset. ORF-wide episodic diversifying selection was only detected in the NS1 and

M proteins. A total of nine positively selected codon sites were identified within the G

(73, 201, 250, 251, 273, 310), NS2 (15) and the L (2030, 2122) by at least one

method. Notably, sites 273 and 310 within the G protein detected to be under positive

selection also had the signature SNPs described above between ON1 and GA2

viruses. However, the number of positively selected sites could have been

underestimated in the analysis that was limited to Kilifi RSV-A genomes and care

should be taken while interpreting these results as some of the positively selected sites

were only detected by one method and at default (less stringent) cut-offs.

Discussion

Here we report an in-depth analysis of local and global RSV genotype ON1 evolution

and transmission using whole genome sequence data. We describe RSV-A genomic

diversity and identify polymorphisms with the most potential in influencing RSV

evolution and phenotype. Utilizing genomes from samples collected between 2010–

2016, including 184 complete genomes from Kilifi alone, we obtained a finer

resolution on the pattern of RSV introductions, persistence and evolution in a defined

location, and the changes within the genome that might be important for the survival

of the virus.

Genetic variation not only provides important insights into RSV relatedness by which

to infer transmission but also highlights potential functional changes in the

virus. From our analysis, we find that substitutions are widespread across the RSV

genome but occur at higher frequency within the structural proteins (G and F) and in

parts of the polymerase (L). The G protein has the most genetic flexibility of the RSV

ORFs to accommodate frequent substitutions including large duplications, and

previous studies have described epitope positions within this protein that characterize

escape mutants selected by specific monoclonal antibodies or by natural isolates

(5,68,70,71). Site p27 in the F protein with two signature substitutions has been

shown to possess greater binding activity in sera from young children (<2 years) than

any of the other antigenic sites in the F protein and may be responsible for group

specific immunity due to its great variability between RSV-A and RSV-B viruses

(72). However, the implications of the substitutions in the L protein of the ON1

viruses remain unclear, but considering both its role in genome replication and the

presence of the 72-nucleotide duplication in the G ORF, we posit that either (i) these

polymorphisms might have resulted in a sloppy polymerase, that further resulted in a

slip that generated the 72-nucleotide duplication in the G ORF (73), or (ii) the 72-

nucleotide duplication in the G presented a larger metabolic challenge in replicating a

larger genome and thereby facilitating adaptive polymorphisms within the polymerase

(74). While we also found a considerable number of SNPs in other ORFs other than

the G, F and L proteins, only a very minor proportion of those changes resulted in

amino acid substitutions implying very strong purifying selection in these portions of

the genome.

Based on distinct phylogenetic clustering of ON1 and GA2 viruses in five ORFs, the

emergence of ON1 may be characterized by additional substitutions across the

genome in addition to the 72-nucleotide duplication within the G gene. However,

assuming ON1 diverged from GA2 and through a single ancestral virus, it is unclear

whether the multiple signature substitutions differentiating ON1 from GA2 viruses all

arose from that single split event or have been acquired progressively over time. In

case of the latter, it is unclear the chronology of the changes across the different

ORFs. Understanding how and which mutations define the emergence of a new RSV

variant may be important in describing substitutions that are either crucial for the

survival of the variant and/or of some complementary structural or functional

integrity. It is also likely that some of these substitutions are nothing more than

genetic hitchhikers. Notwithstanding this lack of clarity on ON1 emergence, it has

been shown for influenza A viruses that linked selection amongst antigenic and non-

antigenic genes influences the evolutionary dynamics of novel antigenic variants (75).

Further, it has been demonstrated experimentally that adaptive evolution is a multi-

step process that occurs in waves (76). There is an initial adaptive wave that occurs

rapidly and is characterized by founder or gatekeeper mutations. Thereafter,

additional waves of evolutionary fine-tuning occur (77). Similar studies in RSV

would be important in determining if such dynamics do characterize their

evolutionary history and also might inform the design of an RSV vaccine.

Undoubtedly, ON1 is rapidly replacing GA2 in Kilifi suggesting that this variant has

some fitness advantage. We previously showed that genotype ON1 viruses did not

result in more severe disease compared to GA2 viruses in Kilifi (32). However, there

are conflicting reports globally with some indicating that ON1 is more virulent and

others reporting ON1 being less virulent than GA2 (78,79). Even with the discordant

results, which may also be due to differences in study populations and analysis

methods, there might be phenotypic differences between viruses belonging to these

two genotypes. Identification of such phenotypic differences and the potential drivers

might augment our current understanding of the pathogenesis of this virus.

Observations from this study using whole genomes reinforce previous findings based

on partial G-gene sequences (17,18,22,32) that RSV epidemics are characterized by

the introduction and circulation of multiple variants. In addition, persistence within

the community seems to be sustained by only a proportion of these introductions. We

have characterized genomic substitutions that distinguish between successful and

dead-end ON1 lineages in Kilifi. Nonetheless, it is evident that besides viral genetic

factors there could be other determinants of successful onward transmission of a virus

lineage considering that the non-persistent ON1 strains in Kilifi were abundant in

other parts of the world albeit with varied frequencies relative to other genotypes.

Such determinants warrant further investigations and could include the host factors

(e.g. births, immunity, genetics, contact patterns and mobility) and environmental

factors (e.g. temperature, rainfall and humidity).

We live in times of rapid global movement of people, which may influence the spread

of infectious diseases. The observation that_most of the Kilifi sequences clustered

with sequences from Europe and Asia suggests that RSV introductions into Kilifi

originate predominantly from these two continents. It might not be surprising that

Europe is a primary source of RSV introduction into Kilifi, or even a destination for

viruses from Kilifi, considering that it accounts for the largest single group of tourists

to Kenya (80). In addition, the increasing Chinese economic interests in Africa

(including Kenya) in becoming Africa's largest trade partner has resulted in an influx

of Chinese into Africa for trade, work and tourism (81). However, there are far too

few partial ON1 sequences from Africa (only from Kenya, South Africa and Nigeria)

and no ON1 genomes from outside Kilifi Kenya to help define intra-African spread

dynamics in detail (which we hypothesize might be more impactful on the many local

introductions). In fact, a recent study suggests that in the recent past domestic tourism

accounts for more than half of the growth in Kenya's tourism (82). As such,

availability of sequences from across the country would be critical in deciphering if

and how such tourist activities influence virus transmission patterns in Kenya.

Accordingly, such observations could be helpful in the design of future RSV

transmission intervention strategies.

References

1.   Nair H, Nokes DJ, Gessner BD, Dherani M, Madhi SA, Singleton RJ, et al.

Global burden of acute lower respiratory infections due to respiratory syncytial

virus in young children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet

[Internet]. Lancet Publishing Group; 2010 May 1 [cited 2012 Apr

16];375(9725):1545–55. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2864404&tool=pm

centrez&rendertype=abstract

2.   Nokes DJ, Okiro EA, Ngama M, Ochola R, White LJ, Scott PD, et al.

Respiratory syncytial virus infection and disease in infants and young children

observed from birth in Kilifi District, Kenya. Clin Infect Dis [Internet]. 2008

Jan 1 [cited 2012 Mar 22];46(1):50–7. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2358944&tool=pm

centrez&rendertype=abstract

3.   Agoti CN, Mwihuri AG, Sande CJ, Onyango CO, Medley GF, Cane PA, et al.

Genetic relatedness of infecting and reinfecting respiratory syncytial virus

strains identified in a birth cohort from rural Kenya. J Infect Dis [Internet].

2012 Nov 15 [cited 2013 Aug 7];206(10):1532–41. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3475639&tool=pm

centrez&rendertype=abstract

4.   Cane PA. Molecular epidemiology of respiratory syncytial virus. Rev Med

Virol [Internet]. 2001 Jan [cited 2014 May 9];11(2):103–16. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11262529

5.   Cane PA, Pringle CR. Evolution of subgroup A respiratory syncytial virus:

evidence for progressive accumulation of amino acid changes in the attachment

protein. J Virol [Internet]. 1995 May 1 [cited 2014 Apr 9];69(5):2918–25.

Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=188990&tool=pmc

entrez&rendertype=abstract

6.   Zlateva KT, Lemey P, Vandamme A, Van Ranst M. Molecular Evolution and

Circulation Patterns of Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus Subgroup A :

Positively Selected Sites in the Attachment G Glycoprotein Molecular

Evolution and Circulation Patterns of Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus

Subgroup A : Positi. J Virol. 2004;78(9):4675–83.

7.   Zlateva KT, Lemey P, Moës E, Ranst M Van, Moe E. Genetic Variability and

Molecular Evolution of the Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus Subgroup B

Attachment G Protein Genetic Variability and Molecular Evolution of the

Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus Subgroup B Attachment G Protein. J

Virol. 2005;79(14):9157.

8.   Sullender WM. Respiratory syncytial virus genetic and antigenic diversity. Clin

Microbiol Rev. 2000 Jan;13(1):1–15, table of contents.

9.   Agoti CN, Otieno JR, Munywoki PK, Mwihuri AG, Cane PA, Nokes DJ, et al.

Local evolutionary patterns of human respiratory syncytial virus derived from

whole-genome sequencing. J Virol [Internet]. 2015 Jan 21 [cited 2015 Feb

5];89(7):3444–54. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4403408&tool=pm

centrez&rendertype=abstract

10.   Agoti CN, Munywoki PK, Phan MVT, Otieno JR, Kamau E, Bett A, et al.

Transmission patterns and evolution of respiratory syncytial virus in a

community outbreak identified by genomic analysis. Virus Evol [Internet].

2017;3(1). Available from:

https://academic.oup.com/ve/article/3066353/Transmission

11.   Tan L, Lemey P, Houspie L, Viveen MC, Jansen NJG, van Loon AM, et al.

Genetic Variability among Complete Human Respiratory Syncytial Virus

Subgroup A Genomes: Bridging Molecular Evolutionary Dynamics and

Epidemiology. PLoS One [Internet]. 2012 Jan [cited 2014 Feb

13];7(12):e51439. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3517519&tool=pm

centrez&rendertype=abstract

12.   Tan L, Coenjaerts FEJ, Houspie L, Viveen MC, van Bleek GM, Wiertz EJHJ,

et al. The comparative genomics of human respiratory syncytial virus

subgroups A and B: genetic variability and molecular evolutionary dynamics. J

Virol [Internet]. 2013 Jul [cited 2013 Aug 7];87(14):8213–26. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3700225&tool=pm

centrez&rendertype=abstract

13.   Mufson MA, Orvell C, Rafnar B, Norrby E. Two Distinct Subtypes of Human

Respiratory Syncytial Virus. J Veneral Virol [Internet]. 1985;66 (Pt

10(10):2111–24. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2413163

14.   Sande CJ, Mutunga MN, Medley GF, Cane PA, Nokes DJ. Group- and

genotype-specific neutralizing antibody responses against respiratory syncytial

virus in infants and young children with severe pneumonia. J Infect Dis

[Internet]. 2013 Feb 1 [cited 2015 Feb 26];207(3):489–92. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3541697&tool=pm

centrez&rendertype=abstract

15.   Trento A, Ábrego L, Rodriguez-Fernandez R, González-Sánchez MI,

González-Martínez F, Delfraro A, et al. Conservation of G Protein Epitopes in

Respiratory Syncytial Virus (Group A) Despite Broad Genetic Diversity: Is

Antibody Selection Involved in Virus Evolution? J Virol [Internet]. 2015 May

20 [cited 2015 Jul 1];89(May):JVI.00467-15. Available from:

http://jvi.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/JVI.00467-15

16.   Peret TC, Hall CB, Schnabel KC, Golub JA, Anderson LJ. Circulation patterns

of genetically distinct group A and B strains of human respiratory syncytial

virus in a community. J Gen Virol [Internet]. 1998 Sep;79 (Pt 9):2221–9.

Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9747732

17.   Agoti CN, Otieno JR, Ngama M, Mwihuri AG, Medley GF, Cane P a., et al.

Successive Respiratory Syncytial Virus Epidemics in Local Populations Arise

719  from Multiple Variant Introductions Providing Insights into Virus Persistence.

720  J Virol [Internet]. 2015;89(September):JVI.01972-15. Available from:

721  http://jvi.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/JVI.01972-15

722 18. Otieno JR, Agoti CN, Gitahi CW, Bett A, Ngama M, Medley GF, et al.

723  Molecular evolutionary dynamics of respiratory syncytial virus group A in

724  recurrent epidemics in coastal Kenya. J Virol [Internet].

725  2016;90(10):JVI.03105-15. Available from:

726  http://jvi.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/JVI.03105-15

727 19. Trento a. Major changes in the G protein of human respiratory syncytial virus

728  isolates introduced by a duplication of 60 nucleotides. J Gen Virol [Internet].

729  2003 Nov 1 [cited 2012 Jun 18];84(11):3115–20. Available from:

730  http://vir.sgmjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1099/vir.0.19357-0

731 20. Trento A, Casas I, Calderón A, Garcia-Garcia ML, Calvo C, Perez-Breña P, et

732  al. Ten years of global evolution of the human respiratory syncytial virus BA

733  genotype with a 60-nucleotide duplication in the G protein gene. J Virol.

734  2010;84(15):7500–12.

735 21. Eshaghi A, Duvvuri VR, Lai R, Nadarajah JT, Li A, Patel SN, et al. Genetic

736  variability of human respiratory syncytial virus a strains circulating in Ontario:

737  A novel genotype with a 72 nucleotide G gene duplication. PLoS One

738  [Internet]. 2012 Jan [cited 2012 Apr 10];7(3):e32807. Available from:

739  http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3314658&tool=pm

740  centrez&rendertype=abstract

741 22. Agoti CN, Otieno JR, Gitahi CW, Cane PA, James Nokes D. Rapid spread and

742  diversification of respiratory syncytial virus genotype ON1, Kenya. Emerg

743   Infect Dis. 2014;20(6):950–9.

744 23. Pierangeli A, Trotta D, Scagnolari C, Ferreri ML, Nicolai A, Midulla F, et al.

745   Rapid spread of the novel respiratory syncytial virus a on1 genotype, central

746   Italy, 2011 to 2013. Eurosurveillance [Internet]. European Centre for Disease

747   Prevention and Control (ECDC) - Health Comunication Unit; 2014 Jul 3 [cited

748   2016 Apr 29];19(26):20843. Available from:

749   http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=20843

750 24. Prifert C, Streng A, Krempl CD, Liese J, Weissbrich B. Novel respiratory

751   syncytial virus a genotype, Germany, 2011-2012. Emerg Infect Dis [Internet].

752   2013 Jun [cited 2016 Apr 29];19(6):1029–30. Available from:

753   http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3713827&tool=pm

754   centrez&rendertype=abstract

755 25. Auksornkitti V, Kamprasert N, Thongkomplew S, Suwannakarn K,

756   Theamboonlers A, Samransamruajkij R, et al. Molecular characterization of

757   human respiratory syncytial virus, 2010-2011: Identification of genotype ON1

758   and a new subgroup B genotype in Thailand. Arch Virol [Internet]. 2014 Mar

759   [cited 2016 Apr 29];159(3):499–507. Available from:

760   http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24068580

761 26. Avadhanula V, Chemaly RF, Shah DP, Ghantoji SS, Azzi JM, Aideyan LO, et

762   al. Infection with novel respiratory syncytial virus genotype Ontario (ON1) in

763   adult hematopoietic cell transplant recipients, Texas, 2011-2013. J Infect Dis

764   [Internet]. 2015 Feb 15 [cited 2016 Apr 29];211(4):582–9. Available from:

765   http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25156562

766 27. Valley-Omar Z, Muloiwa R, Hu N-C, Eley B, Hsiao N-Y. Novel respiratory

syncytial virus subtype ON1 among children, Cape Town, South Africa, 2012.

Emerg Infect Dis [Internet]. 2013 Apr [cited 2014 Oct 22];19(4):668–70.

Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3647422&tool=pm

centrez&rendertype=abstract

28.   Tsukagoshi H, Yokoi H, Kobayashi M, Kushibuchi I, Okamoto-Nakagawa R,

Yoshida A, et al. Genetic analysis of attachment glycoprotein (G) gene in new

genotype ON1 of human respiratory syncytial virus detected in Japan.

Microbiol Immunol [Internet]. 2013 Sep [cited 2014 Oct 22];57(9):655–9.

Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23750702

29.   Duvvuri VR, Granados A, Rosenfeld P, Bahl J, Eshaghi A, Gubbay JB.

Genetic diversity and evolutionary insights of respiratory syncytial virus A

ON1 genotype: global and local transmission dynamics. Sci Rep [Internet].

Nature Publishing Group; 2015;5(April):14268. Available from:

http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/srep14268

30.   Hotard AL, Laikhter E, Brooks K, Hartert T V., Moore ML. Functional

Analysis of the 60 Nucleotide Duplication in the Respiratory Syncytial Virus

Buenos Aires Strain Attachment Glycoprotein. J Virol [Internet].

2015;89(16):JVI.01045-15. Available from:

http://jvi.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/JVI.01045-15

31.   Agoti C, Otieno J, Gitahi C, Cane P, Nokes D. Rapid Spread and

Diversification of Respiratory Syncytial Virus Genotype ON1, Kenya. Emerg

Infect Dis. 2014;20(6).

32.   Otieno JR, Kamau EM, Agoti CN, Lewa C, Otieno G, Bett A, et al. Spread and

Evolution of Respiratory Syncytial Virus A Genotype ON1, Coastal Kenya,

2010–2015. Emerg Infect Dis [Internet]. 2017 Feb;23(2). Available from:

http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/23/2/16-1149_article.htm

33. Nokes DJ, Ngama M, Bett A, Abwao J, Munywoki P, English M, et al.

Incidence and severity of respiratory syncytial virus pneumonia in rural

Kenyan children identified through hospital surveillance. Clin Infect Dis

[Internet]. 2009 Nov 1 [cited 2012 Mar 22];49(9):1341–9. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2762474&tool=pm

centrez&rendertype=abstract

34. Scott JAG, Bauni E, Moisi JC, Ojal J, Gatakaa H, Nyundo C, et al. Profile: The

Kilifi health and demographic surveillance system (KHDSS). Int J Epidemiol

[Internet]. 2012 Jun [cited 2015 Jan 27];41(3):650–7. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3396317&tool=pm

centrez&rendertype=abstract

35. Wood DE, Salzberg SL. Kraken: ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification

using exact alignments. Genome Biol [Internet]. 2014;15(3):R46. Available

from: http://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/gb-2014-15-3-

r46

36. Park DJ, Dudas G, Wohl S, Goba A, Whitmer SLM, Andersen KG, et al. Ebola

Virus Epidemiology, Transmission, and Evolution during Seven Months in

Sierra Leone. Cell. 2015;161(7):1516–26.

37. Park DJ, Tomkins-Tinch C, Ye S, Jungreis I, Metsky H, Shlyakhter I, et al.

Broad Institute viral-ngs [Internet]. 2016. Available from:

https://zenodo.org/record/1040266#.WgL5nLaQ1Ps

38.   Andrews S. FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data

[Internet]. 2010. Available from:

http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/

39.   Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Käller M. MultiQC: Summarize analysis

results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics.

2016;32(19):3047–8.

40.   Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. Ultrafast and memory-efficient

alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biol

[Internet]. 2009 Jan [cited 2013 May 21];10(3):R25. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2690996&tool=pm

centrez&rendertype=abstract

41.   Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The

Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics [Internet].

2009 Aug 15 [cited 2013 Feb 27];25(16):2078–9. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2723002&tool=pm

centrez&rendertype=abstract

42.   Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich A a., Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, et

al. SPAdes: A New Genome Assembly Algorithm and Its Applications to

Single-Cell Sequencing. J Comput Biol [Internet]. 2012 May [cited 2013 Nov

6];19(5):455–77. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3342519&tool=pm

centrez&rendertype=abstract

43.   Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version

7: Improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol [Internet]. 2013

Apr 1 [cited 2014 Jul 13];30(4):772–80. Available from:

http://mbe.oxfordjournals.org/content/30/4/772

44. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler

transform. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(14):1754–60.

45. Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: A flexible suite of utilities for comparing

genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2010;26(6):841–2.

46. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing

[Internet]. R Foundation for Statistical Computing Vienna Austria. 2015. p.

{ISBN} 3-900051-07-0. Available from: http://www.r-project.org/

47. RStudio Team -. RStudio: Integrated Development for R. [Online] RStudio,

Inc, Boston, MA URL http//www rstudio com. 2016;RStudio, Inc., Boston,

MA.

48. Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, et al.

Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for

the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2012

Jun 15;28(12):1647–9. Available from:

https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-

lookup/doi/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199

49. Larsson A. AliView: A fast and lightweight alignment viewer and editor for

large datasets. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(22):3276–8.

50. Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. Terrace Aware Data Structure for

Phylogenomic Inference from Supermatrices. Syst Biol [Internet]. Oxford

University Press; 2016 Apr 26 [cited 2016 Sep 6];syw037. Available from:

http://sysbio.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/doi/10.1093/sysbio/syw037

51.   Rambaut A, Lam TT, Max Carvalho L, Pybus OG. Exploring the temporal

864   structure of heterochronous sequences using TempEst (formerly Path-O-Gen).

865   Virus Evol [Internet]. 2016;2(1):vew007. Available from:

866   https://academic.oup.com/ve/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ve/vew007

867   52.   Hall T. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and

868   analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser [Internet].

869   1999;41:95–8. Available from:

870   http://jwbrown.mbio.ncsu.edu/JWB/papers/1999Hall1.pdf

871   53.   Pond SLK, Frost SDW, Muse S V. HyPhy: hypothesis testing using

872   phylogenies. Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2005 Mar 1;21(5):676–9. Available

873   from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15509596

874   54.   J. Spielman S. phyphy: Python package for facilitating the execution and

875   parsing of HyPhy standard analyses. J Open Source Softw [Internet]. 2018 Jan

876   17;3(21):514. Available from: http://joss.theoj.org/papers/10.21105/joss.00514

877   55.   Murrell B, Weaver S, Smith MD, Wertheim JO, Murrell S, Aylward A, et al.

878   Gene-wide identification of episodic selection. Mol Biol Evol.

879   2015;32(5):1365–71.

880   56.   Kosakovsky Pond SL, Frost SDW, Pond SLK, Frost SDW. Not So Different

881   After All: A Comparison of Methods for Detecting Amino Acid Sites Under

882   Selection. Mol Biol Evol [Internet]. 2005 May 1 [cited 2014 Jan

883   27];22(5):1208–22. Available from:

884   http://mbe.oxfordjournals.org/content/22/5/1208%5Cnhttp://mbe.oxfordjournal

885   s.org/content/22/5/1208.full.pdf%5Cnhttp://mbe.oxfordjournals.org/content/22/

886   5/1208.short%5Cnhttp://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15703242

57.   Murrell B, Moola S, Mabona A, Weighill T, Sheward D, Kosakovsky Pond SL,

et al. FUBAR: A fast, unconstrained bayesian AppRoximation for inferring

selection. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30(5):1196–205.

58.   Murrell B, Wertheim JO, Moola S, Weighill T, Scheffler K, Kosakovsky Pond

SL. Detecting individual sites subject to episodic diversifying selection. Malik

HS, editor. PLoS Genet [Internet]. Public Library of Science; 2012 Jan [cited

2014 Jan 20];8(7):e1002764. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3395634&tool=pm

centrez&rendertype=abstract

59.   Drummond AJ, Suchard MA, Xie D, Rambaut A. Bayesian phylogenetics with

BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. Mol Biol Evol [Internet]. 2012 Aug [cited 2014

Jan 21];29(8):1969–73. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3408070&tool=pm

centrez&rendertype=abstract

60.   Shapiro B, Rambaut A, Drummond AJ. Choosing appropriate substitution

models for the phylogenetic analysis of protein-coding sequences. Mol Biol

Evol [Internet]. 2006 Jan [cited 2012 Oct 27];23(1):7–9. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16177232

61.   Drummond AJ, Rambaut A, Shapiro B, Pybus OG. Bayesian coalescent

inference of past population dynamics from molecular sequences. Mol Biol

Evol [Internet]. 2005;22(5):1185–92. Available from:

http://mbe.oupjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1093/molbev/msi103

62.   Drummond AJ, Ho SYW, Phillips MJ, Rambaut A. Relaxed phylogenetics and

dating with confidence. PLoS Biol. 2006;4(5):699–710.

63.   Ferreira MAR, Suchard MA. Bayesian analysis of elapsed times in continuous-
912   time Markov chains. Can J Stat. 2008;36(3):355–68.

913   64.   Baele G, Lemey P, Bedford T, Rambaut A, Suchard MA, Alekseyenko A V.
914   Improving the accuracy of demographic and molecular clock model
915   comparison while accommodating phylogenetic uncertainty. Mol Biol Evol.
916   2012;29(9):2157–67.

917   65.   Baele G, Li WLS, Drummond AJ, Suchard MA, Lemey P. Accurate model
918   selection of relaxed molecular clocks in Bayesian phylogenetics. Mol Biol
919   Evol. 2013;30(2):239–43.

920   66.   Lê S, Josse J, Husson F. FactoMineR : An R Package for Multivariate
921   Analysis. J Stat Softw [Internet]. 2008;25(1):253–8. Available from:
922   http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S016041200800113X%5Cnhttp://w
923   ww.jstatsoft.org/v25/i01/

924   67.   Comas-García A, Noyola DE, Cadena-Mota S, Rico-Hernández M, Bernal-
925   Silva S. Respiratory Syncytial Virus-A ON1 Genotype Emergence in Central
926   Mexico in 2009 and Evidence of Multiple Duplication Events. J Infect Dis
927   [Internet]. 2018 Jan 24; Available from: https://academic.oup.com/jid/advance-
928   article/doi/10.1093/infdis/jiy025/4823205

929   68.   Martínez I, Dopazo J, Melero JA. Antigenic structure of the human respiratory
930   syncytial virus G glycoprotein and relevance of hypermutation events for the
931   generation of antigenic variants. J Gen Virol [Internet]. 1997 Oct 1 [cited 2014
932   Apr 9];78(10):2419–29. Available from:
933   http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9349460

69.   Hause AM, Henke DM, Avadhanula V, Shaw CA, Tapia LI, Piedra PA.

Sequence variability of the respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) fusion gene

among contemporary and historical genotypes of RSV/A and RSV/B. PLoS

One. 2017;12(4).

70.   García O, Martín M, Dopazo J, Arbiza J, Frabasile S, Russi J, et al.

Evolutionary pattern of human respiratory syncytial virus (subgroup A):

cocirculating lineages and correlation of genetic and antigenic changes in the G

glycoprotein. J Virol [Internet]. 1994 Sep [cited 2015 Feb 3];68(9):5448–59.

Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=236945&tool=pmc

entrez&rendertype=abstract

71.   Cane P a. Analysis of linear epitopes recognised by the primary human

antibody response to a variable region of the attachment (G) protein of

respiratory syncytial virus. J Med Virol [Internet]. 1997 Apr;51(4):297–304.

Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9093944

72.   Fuentes S, Coyle EM, Beeler J, Golding H, Khurana S, Wilson PC. Antigenic

Fingerprinting following Primary RSV Infection in Young Children Identifies

Novel Antigenic Sites and Reveals Unlinked Evolution of Human Antibody

Repertoires to Fusion and Attachment Glycoproteins. PLOS Pathog [Internet].

1005;1–24. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005554

73.   Komissarova N, Kashlev M. Transcriptional arrest: Escherichia coli RNA

polymerase translocates backward, leaving the 3' end of the RNA intact and

extruded. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997;94(March):1755–60.

74.   Canchaya C, Proux C, Fournous G, Bruttin A, Brüssow H. Prophage genomics.

Microbiol Mol Biol Rev [Internet]. Am Soc Microbiol; 2003;67(2):238–276,

table of contents. Available from:

http://mmbr.asm.org/cgi/content/abstract/67/2/238

75.   Raghwani J, Thompson RN, Koelle K. Selection on non-antigenic gene

segments of seasonal influenza A virus and its impact on adaptive evolution.

Virus Evol [Internet]. 2017;3(2). Available from:

http://academic.oup.com/ve/article/doi/10.1093/vex034/4614565

76.   Stern A, Yeh M Te, Zinger T, Smith M, Wright C, Ling G, et al. The

Evolutionary Pathway to Virulence of an RNA Virus. Cell. 2017;169(1):35–

46.e19.

77.   Grubaugh ND, Andersen KG. Experimental Evolution to Study Virus

Emergence. Cell. 2017. p. 1–3.

78.   Yoshihara K, Le MN, Okamoto M, Wadagni ACA, Nguyen HA, Toizumi M,

et al. Association of RSV-A ON1 genotype with Increased Pediatric Acute

Lower Respiratory Tract Infection in Vietnam. Sci Rep [Internet]. 2016 Jun

16;6:27856. Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/srep27856

79.   Panayiotou C, Richter J, Koliou M, Kalogirou N, Georgiou E, Christodoulou

C. Epidemiology of respiratory syncytial virus in children in Cyprus during

three consecutive winter seasons (2010-2013): age distribution, seasonality and

association between prevalent genotypes and disease severity. Epidemiol Infect

[Internet]. 2014 Nov [cited 2016 Apr 29];142(11):2406–11. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24476750

80.   The Report: Kenya 2017 [Internet]. Oxford Business Group; 2017 [cited 2017

Dec 5]. Available from: https://www.oxfordbusinessgroup.com/kenya-

2017/tourism

81.   More than minerals [Internet]. The Economist; [cited 2017 Dec 5]. Available

984   from: https://www.economist.com/news/middle-east-and-africa/21574012-

985   chinese-trade-africa-keeps-growing-fears-neocolonialism-are-overdone-more

986   82.   Sunday F. US not Kenya's largest tourism market. Standard Group Limited

987   [Internet]. Nairobi; 2018 Feb 20; Available from:

988   https://www.standardmedia.co.ke/business/article/2001270358/us-not-kenya-s-

989   largest-tourism-market

1000

1001

1002

1003

 Figure Legends

 Figure 1: Sample sequencing and genome details

 The two RSV-A whole genome amplification strategies used in this study are shown

 in (A), i.e. six and fourteen amplicons. For each panel the positions of primer targets

 for each amplicon are indicated. The locations of the 11 RSV ORFs are indicated on

 top of panel 1. (B) The proportion of RSV genome length sequence recovered (using

 KC731482 as the reference) was plotted as a function of sample's diagnostic real-time

 PCR Ct value. (C) The distribution of the diagnostic real-time PCR Ct values for the

 samples reported here. (D) Shows the log values of the sequencing depth (see

 Methods) at each position of the genome assemblies along the concatenated RSV

 ORFs (i.e. excluding the intergenic regions).

 Figure 2: Global and local ON1 MCC trees and PCA

 (A) Maximum clade credibility tree inferred from 344 global full genome sequences

 (see Methods), annotated with the identified Kilifi lineages (I-III) and the tips colour

 coded with the continent of sample collection. Node labels are posterior probabilities

 indicating support for the selected nodes. (B) shows the evolutionary rate estimates

 for the different genotype ON1 ORFs. (C) is an MCC tree inferred from 154 ON1

 genomes from Kilifi annotated with identified clades A and B, and the tips colour

 coded with the epidemic season. (D) is a PCA analysis (see Methods) of the same

 dataset as (C) and similarly annotated. Percentage of variance explained by each

 component is indicated on the axis.

1029 **Figure 3: Global ON1 G-gene MCC phylogenetic tree**

1030 A maximum clade credibility tree inferred from 1,167 partial ON1 G gene global

1031 sequences with the tips colour coded with the source continent.

1032

1033 **Figure 4: Pairwise genomic distances and genome-wide amino acid variation**

1034 The distribution of pairwise genetic distances between genotype GA2 and ON1

1035 genome sequences are shown in (A) and (B), respectively. (C) is an entropy plot

1036 showing amino acid variation along the concatenated Kilifi RSV-A genomes.

1037

Figure 5: Estimated TMRCA for Kilifi RSV-A viruses and ORFs

(A) Maximum clade credibility tree inferred from 184 RSV-A complete genome

sequences (coding regions only) from Kilifi with the tips colour coded by genotype,

i.e. ON1 (cyan) and GA2 (red). The two node bars indicate the 95% HPD interval for

the TMRCA for the Kilifi GA2 and ON1 viruses (grey), and Kilifi ON1 strains (blue).

Node labels are posterior probabilities indicating support for the selected nodes. (B)

shows the TMRCA (with 95% HPD interval) of the different ORFs of the RSV-A

genotype GA2 and ON1 viruses. The (*) indicates node posterior support of <0.9 for

the split between GA2 and ON1 in the N and P ORFs.

1047

1048 **Supporting Information Legends**

1049

1050 **S1 Fig: Sampling locations of the global ON1 G-gene dataset**

1051 A map showing source country locations of the global ON1 G-gene sequences dataset

1052 analyzed here with circles representative of relative proportion of contributing

1053 sequences by country

1054

1055
1056
1057 **S2 Fig: Root-to-tip regression analysis of Kilifi RSV-A ORFs**

1058 A root-to-tip regression analysis of ML trees from whole genomes and 11 separate

1059 coding regions, with the points colour coded by genotype; GA2 (red) and ON1 (cyan).

1060
1061 **S3 Fig: BEAST MCC ON1-GA2 divergence trees for different ORFs**

1062 MCC trees inferred from different ORFs of 184 RSV-A complete genome sequences

1063 from Kilifi with the tips colour coded by genotype, i.e. ON1 (cyan) and GA2 (red).

1064
1065 **S1 Table: Model selection to infer time-structured phylogenies**

1066
1067 **S2 Table: Study samples and genomes details**

1068
1069 **S3 Table: SNPs identified from dataset of all Kilifi genomes**

1070
1071 **S4 Table: Signature SNPs between ON1 and GA2 viruses**

1072
1073 **S5 Table: Signature SNPs between successful and limited transmission ON1**

1074 **lineages**

Figure 1: Sample sequencing and genome details

Figure 2: Global and local (Kilifi) MCC time-resolved trees and evolutionary rate estimates

Figure 3: Global ON1 Bayesian G-gene phylogenetic tree

Figure 4: Pairwise genomic distances and genome-wide amino acid variation

Figure 5: Estimated TMRCA for Kilifi RSV-A viruses and ORFs

Table 1: Signature nucleotide and amino acid polymorphisms between genotype ON1 and GA2 viruses

ORF	αORF Nt Pos.	ORF AA Pos.	Change	AA Change	SNP Type
G	424	142	TT -> CA	L -> Q	Substitution
G	622	208	C -> A	L -> I	Transversion
G	695	232	G -> A	G -> E	Transition
G	709	237	A -> G	N -> D	Transition
G	758	253	A -> C	K -> T	Transversion
G	817	273	T -> A	Y -> N	Transversion
G	821	274	C -> T	P -> L	Transition
G	851	284	72 nt duplication	24 AA insertion	Deletion
G	929 (GA2: 857)	310	C -> T	P -> L	Transition
G	941 (GA2: 869)	314	T -> C	L -> P	Transition
F	346	116	A -> G	N -> D	Transition
F	364	122	G -> A	A -> T	Transition
M2-1	349	117	A -> C	N -> H	Transversion
L	1792	598	C -> T	H -> Y	Transition
L	5175	1725	A -> T	E -> D	Transversion

ORF=Open Reading Frame, Nt=Nucleotide, AA=Amino Acid, Pos.=Position
αPositions are relative to ON1 strains, in which complementary positions in GA2 (without the duplication) within the G protein are shown in brackets.

Supplementary figure 1: *Sampling locations of the global ON1 G-gene dataset with circles representative of relative proportion of contributing sequences by country*

Supplementary figure 2: *Root-to-tip regression analysis of Kilifi RSV-A ORFs*

Supplementary figure 3: *BEAST MCC trees showing divergence between ON1(cyan) and GA2 (red) ORFs*

N

NS1

NS2

P

SH

All ORFs except G