Genomic and phenotypic divergence unveil microgeographic adaptation in the

Amazonian hyperdominant tree *Eperua falcata* Aubl. (Fabaceae)

Louise Brousseau[1,2,6], Paul V. A. Fine[3], Erwin Dreyer[4], Giovanni G. Vendramin[5], Ivan Scotti[6]

[1.] INRA, UMR EcoFoG Ecologie des Forêts de Guyane, Campus Agronomique BP709, 97387

Kourou cedex, French Guiana

[2.] UMR AMAP, IRD, CIRAD, CNRS, INRA, University of Montpellier, Bd de la Lironde,

34398 Montpellier, France.

[3.] Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, 1005 Valley Life

Science Building, Berkeley, California 94720-3140, USA.

[4.] INRA, Université de Lorraine, UMR EEF Ecologie et Ecophysiologie Forestière, 54280

Champenoux, France.

[5.] Institute of Biosciences and BioResources, National Research Council (IBBR-CNR),

Division of Florence, Via Madonna del Piano 10, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy.

[6.] INRA, URFM Ecologie des Forêts Méditerranéennes, Domaine Saint-Paul, Site Agroparc CS

40509, 84914 Avignon cedex 9, France.

Corresponding Author:

Louise Brousseau. IRD, UMR DIADE, 911 Avenue Agropolis, BP 64501, 34394 Montpellier,

France. louise.brousseau@ird.fr

Abstract

Plant populations can undergo very localized adaptation, allowing widely distributed populations to adapt to divergent habitats in spite of recurrent gene flow. Neotropical trees - whose large and undisturbed populations often span a variety of environmental conditions and local habitats - are particularly good models to study this process. Here, we carried out a genome scan for selection through whole-genome sequencing of pools of populations, sampled according to a replicated sampling design, to evaluate microgeographic adaptation in the hyperdominant Amazonian tree *Eperua falcata* Aubl. (Fabaceae). A high-coverage genomic resource of ~250 Mb was assembled *de novo* and annotated, leading to 32,789 predicted genes. 97,062 bi-allelic SNPs were detected over 25,803 contigs, and a custom Bayesian model was implemented to uncover candidate genomic targets of divergent selection. A set of 290 divergence outlier SNPs was detected at the regional scale (between study sites), while 185 SNPs located in the vicinity of 106 protein-coding genes were detected as replicated outliers between microhabitats within regions. Outlier genomic regions are involved in a variety of physiological processes, including plant responses to stress (e.g., oxidative stress, hypoxia and metal toxicity) and biotic interactions. Together with evidence suggesting microgeographic divergence in functional traits, the discovery of genomic targets of microgeographic adaptation in the Neotropics is consistent with the hypothesis that local adaptation is a key driver of ecological diversification, operating across multiple spatial scales, from large- (i.e. regional) to microgeographic- (i.e. landscape) scales.

Introduction

Plant populations can undergo extremely localized adaptation, allowing populations of widely distributed species to adapt to divergent habitats in spite of recurrent gene flow. This phenomenon has been repeatedly observed in annual plants growing in strongly contrasting yet geographically adjacent habitats, particularly in cases with large differences in soil composition (Antonovics, 2006; Antonovics & Bradshaw, 1970; Bradshaw, 1960; Gould, McCouch & Geber, 2014; Jain & Bradshaw, 1966); in some cases, molecular evidence also supports adaptation to habitat mosaics (Fustier et al., 2017; Turner, Bourne, Von Wettberg, Hu, & Nuzhdin, 2010). In a study of *Howea* palm trees, Savolainen et al. (2006) provided strong evidence of a link between adaptation to habitat mosaics and sympatric speciation. The process underlying these instances of adaptation with gene flow has been dubbed 'microgeographic adaptation', whereby adaptive divergence occurs at geographical scales of the same order of magnitude as gene dispersal distance (Richardson, Urban, Bolnick, & Skelly, 2014). Adaptation to multiple optima within a continuous population has been identified as a major mechanism for the maintenance of genetic diversity (Delph & Kelly, 2014). Moreover, conditions under which adaptive divergence can occur with gene flow have been explored theoretically with models including two environmental patches connected by varying levels of gene flow (Bulmer, 1972; Hendry, Day, & Taylor, 2001; Schmid & Guillaume, 2017; Yeaman & Guillaume, 2009; Yeaman & Otto, 2011). These simulations show that a wide range of migration-selection equilibrium states can lead to adaptation to each patch with maintenance of polymorphism (i.e. maintenance of patch specialists, as opposed to the emergence of a generalist genotype).

Data from perennial plants, and from trees in particular, suggest that this may be a general phenomenon, that can be readily observed at the phenotypic level (Brousseau, Bonal, Cigna, & Scotti, 2013; Lind et al., 2017; Vizcaíno-Palomar, Revuelta-Eugercios, Zavala, Alía, & González-Martínez, 2014; Yeaman & Jarvis, 2006) (but see Latreille & Pichot (2017) for a case of a complete lack of microgeographical adaptation). At the molecular level, association between alleles (or genotypes) and habitats has been detected in a variety of studies (see review by Savolainen et al., 2007), suggesting an important role for intra-specific and intra-populational variation in the evolution of habitat specialization (Scotti, González-Martínez, Budde, & Lalagüe, 2016). The advent of genome-wide approaches has increased detection power, allowing to more precisely evaluate the genetic bases of microgeographic adaptation (Eckert et al., 2015; Fustier et al., 2017; Izuno et al., 2017; Lind et al., 2017; Turner et al., 2010). In general, genome scan studies have found only a minority of loci (on the order of a few percent

of the total) that exhibit microgeographic disruptive selection. Yet genome-wide, sequencing-
based approaches can go beyond a finer estimation of the number of loci involved in the
process, because they permit formulating functional interpretations of the divergence process
as sequence annotation can suggest putative function of the target loci (Eckert et al., 2015, 2010;
Fustier et al., 2017; Turner et al., 2010).

Microgeographic adaptation involves the filtering of phenotypes and genotypes that
favor adaptation to habitat patches, and therefore can be viewed as an initial step towards the
diversification of populations, ecotypes and eventually species divergence. In particular,
environmental filtering has frequently been invoked as a driver of niche partitioning and species
diversification in the Neotropics (Baraloto, Morneau, Bonal, Blanc, & Ferry, 2007; Fine, 2015;
Fine, Daly, & Cameron, 2005; Fine, Mesones, & Coley, 2004; Fine et al., 2013; Kraft, Valencia,
& Ackerly, 2008); intra-populational diversification processes across environmental gradients
and/or habitat patches thus may play a key role in the generation of functional diversity.
Moreover, species' short-term adaptive potential (De Kort & Honnay, 2017; Harrisson,
Pavlova, Telonis-Scott & Sunnucks, 2014) largely depends on standing genetic variation, and
microgeographic adaptation can therefore play a role in the maintenance of adaptive genetic
diversity. Indeed, highly heterogeneous landscapes create the conditions for microgeographic
adaptations to various environmental conditions and would increase inter-habitat diversity and
global diversity in meta-populations considered at higher spatial scales, analogous to beta- and
gamma-diversity across species pools in ecology. Measuring the extent of microgeographic
adaptation in the wild is thus of major importance, as it could provide insights into the ability
of populations to evolve towards new phenotypic and genetic optima under global climate
change.

Trees are particularly good models to study microgeographic adaptation: many wild tree
species have large populations with widespread distribution spanning a variety of
microhabitats, harbor large amounts of genetic diversity, and can disperse over long distances
(Fetter, Gugger, & Keller, 2017; Hamrick & Godt, 1990; Neale & Kremer, 2011; Petit &
Hampe, 2006; Sork et al., 2013). Trees should therefore not display major departures from the
conditions approximating selection-migration equilibrium; nevertheless, their long generation
span, combined with continuously changing environmental conditions, may prevent them from
actually reaching equilibrium. Moreover, methods to detect locus-specific divergence (and infer
selection) should work quite well with microgeographic processes in populations of the most
common forest trees, because their relatively shallow (spatial) population structures and large

effective population sizes should minimize the introduction of biases (e.g., because of drift) and the generation of false positives.

Lowland Amazonian rainforests, whose landscapes show fine patchiness of contrasting topographic and edaphic conditions varying over microgeographic scales (**Fig. 1a,c,d**), represent an ideal study system to investigate microgeographic adaptation processes. In Amazonian rainforests, the recent study by ter Steege et al. (2013) reported 227 hyperdominant tree species accounting for half of all stems. Some of these widespread species are also ecological generalists, whose preferences could be explained by individual phenotypic plasticity or by microgeographic adaptation to habitat patchiness (Fortunel et al., 2016). We focus here on dense stands of the hyperdominant tree *Eperua falcata* Aubl. (Fabaceae) in French Guiana that occur across environmentally heterogeneous areas, with the goal of identifying genomic loci undergoing divergence at the microgeographic scale between habitats. To do this, a genome scan was carried out through a replicated sampling design combining regional and microgeographic spatial scales (i.e. replicates of microhabitats, ~300 m apart, in two study sites, ~300 km apart). We analyzed patterns of genomic and phenotypic divergence from large (i.e. regional) to microgeographic spatial scales. A custom Bayesian framework (Brousseau et al., 2016) was designed to identify locus-specific departures from hierarchical genome-wide divergence in replicated sampling designs. This method was preferred over other outlier detection methods because it is suitable for analyzing Pool-Seq data and it is specifically designed to identify adaptive divergence in nested sampling designs under an explicit hierarchical model of neutral divergence, taking advantage of the cumulative divergence effects occurring in replicated population pairs. Results obtained through the custom Bayesian framework were compared to standard methods for verification. The results of tests for genomic divergence at nested geographical scales were supplemented with trait divergence data, obtained from a large-scale reciprocal transplant experiment involving the very same populations and sites studied at the genomic level. The combination of these two approaches allowed us to formulate detailed interpretations of the observed diversity patterns.

Materials and Methods

Species model

Eperua falcata Aubl. (Fabaceae, Caesalpinioideae, **Fig. S1**) is a reportedly non-
nodulating (Villadas, Fernández-López, Ramírez-Saad, & Toro, 2007) tree species. It is
widespread in Northeast Amazonia, from North Brazil to Venezuela through the Guiana shield
(https://demo.gbif.org/species/2943522), and it is a 'hyperdominant' species, the 13th most
abundant tree species of the Amazonian tree flora (ter Steege et al., 2013). It is an evergreen,
late-successional canopy tree species and it is often emergent. It is shade hemi-tolerant (Bonal,
Barigah, Granier, & Guel, 2000) and can tolerate both drought (Bonal, Atger, et al., 2000;
Bonal, Guehl, & Champenoux, 2001) and water-logging (Baraloto et al., 2007). Its distribution
is very clumped and populations are commonly found at high densities. It is a generalist with
respect to microgeographic edaphic conditions, although it is most abundant in water-logged
forests of bottomlands (Baraloto et al., 2007). *E. falcata* flowers and fruits during the rainy
season, and seeds reach maturity in April-May, synchronously among trees in all microhabitats.
Its large pollen grains (>100µm) are dispersed by animals such as bats (Cowan, 1975), while
seed dispersal is autochorous: heavy seeds are dispersed around crowns of mother trees through
explosive pod dehiscence.

For this species, previous studies have already reported high heritability in quantitative
growth and leaf traits in a common garden experiment (Brousseau et al., 2013), suggesting high
levels of standing genetic variation for functional phenotypic traits. In addition, seedlings native
to different microhabitats displayed genetically-driven differences in phenotypic traits probably
due to a combination of neutral processes (i.e. short-distance seed flow and local inbreeding)
and local adaptation (Audigeos, Brousseau, Traissac, Scotti-Saintagne, & Scotti, 2013;
Brousseau, Foll, Scotti-Saintagne, & Scotti, 2015).

Sampling design and study sites

We sampled populations that replicated the microgeographic environmental contrast
'bottomland *versus* terra-firme' (~300 m apart) at two regional locations (i.e. study sites) in
French Guiana (~300 km apart), **Fig. 2a**. Laussat (western French Guiana) and Regina (eastern
French Guiana) study sites are located on the coastal Guiana Shield and experience different
rainfall regimes (~2,500 and 3,500 mm/year, respectively) (Brousseau et al., 2015). Each
regional location harbors different microhabitats (hygromorphic sandy bottomlands and
ferralitic *terra-firme* plateaus or hills). In Laussat, a plateau of low elevation is adjacent to a
permanently water-logged bottomland, while Regina is composed of higher elevation hilltops
bordered by abrupt slopes surrounding a seasonally flooded bottomland forest, **Fig. S2**. *E.*

falcata trees are found through the study area, ensuring gene flow between tree populations

occupying different microhabitats (Brousseau et al., 2015), **Fig. 2b**. *E. falcata* stem (> 20 cm

diameter at breast height) density varies between 30 and 50 trees / ha at these locations

(Brousseau et al., 2015). Twenty adult trees for each of the four *regional location ×*

microhabitat combination were sampled, totaling 80 individuals.

Details on soil characteristics of the two sites and on growth and functional characters

of the sampled trees are reported in **File S1**. Soil properties (including soil type composition,

clay/silt/sand composition, fertility, and water table depth) vary between sites and

microhabitats, providing a basis for population divergence. The sampled trees have similar

diameter at breast height (d.b.h.) and have similar canopy status (almost all are dominant trees)

across sites and microhabitats, indicating that sampled populations are demographically

homogeneous, although individuals exhibited phenotypic divergence in some traits.

Molecular methods

Genomic DNA was extracted from fresh leaves according to a modified CTAB protocol

(Doyle & Doyle, 1987): CTAB buffer was supplemented in proteinase K in concentrations of

80   µg/ml,   and   the   deproteinization   step   was   realized   twice   (once   with

Dichloromethane:Chloroform:Isoamylalcool 24:24:1 and once with Chloroform:Isoamylalcool

24:1). Genomic DNA was eluted in Tris-EDTA 0.5X with RNAse A in concentration 10 µg/ml,

and quantified twice using a Nanodrop 2000. Individuals belonging to the same regional

location and microhabitat were pooled together in equimolarity, resulting in four libraries of

pooled individuals. Sequencing libraries were prepared with a TruSeq Kit (Illumina, San Diego,

CA) following manufacturer's instructions. The four libraries were sequenced (paired-end) on

three lanes of an Illumina HiSeq2500. Sequencing library preparation and sequencing were

carried out by IGA (Udine, Italy).

Bioinformatics pipeline and computation of basic diversity statistics

The bioinformatics pipeline is described in **File S2**. Nei's molecular diversity π (Nei,

1987) was computed for each of the four sub-populations at the contig level with unphased SNP

data, under the hypothesis of random mating, as the sum of unbiased estimates of heterozygosity

at the nucleotide (SNP) level (Nei, 1987):

$$\pi = \sum_{i}^{S} H_{e,i}$$

with S = number of polymorphic loci in a contig, and

$$H_{e,i} = H'_{e,i} \frac{n}{n-1}$$

where n = haploid sample size and $H'_{e,i}$ = expected heterozygosity at the ith polymorphism in the contig. Because different contigs have different lengths, to compare across contigs we present $\pi_L = \pi/L$, where L is contig length, that is, molecular diversity per base.

Bayesian modelling of genomic divergence and outlier detection

A Bayesian modelling approach was used to identify outlier SNPs under selection (Brousseau et al., 2016) has described this Bayesian framework in detail and its power has been assessed through simulations, revealing low false discovery and false non-discovery rates. Briefly, allele frequencies were inferred from read counts and pairwise locus-specific G_{ST} was estimated in the model, which integrates this way coverage variability across the genome and libraries.

$$n_{i,m} Bin(p_{i,m}, N_{i,m})$$
$$q_{i,m} = 1 - p_{i,m}$$

where $n_{i,m}$ is the read count of the reference allele and $N_{i,m}$ the total number of read counts at marker *m* in population *i*. $p_{i,m}$ and $q_{i,m}$ are allele frequencies of the reference and the alternative alleles respectively.

$$GST_{(i,j),m} = \left(Ht|(i,j), m - Hs_{(i,j),m}\right)/Ht_{(i,j),m}$$

$G_{ST(i,j),m}$ is the Nei's fixation index (i.e. genetic differentiation) between populations *i* and *j* at marker *m*. $H_{T(i,j),m}$ and $H_{S(i,j),m}$ are the expected heterozygosities in the total metapopulation and within subpopulations respectively:

$$Hs_{(i,j),m} = \left(p_{i,m}q_{i,m}\right) + \left(p_{j,m}q_{j,m}\right)$$

$$Ht_{(i,j),m} = 2\acute{p}_m\acute{q}_m = 2\left(\frac{p_{i,m} + p_{j,m}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{q_{i,m} + q_{j,m}}{2}\right)$$

Pairwise G_{ST} was further partitioned into genome-wide and locus-specific components according to a hierarchical framework: neutral (genome-wide) divergence both between study sites and between microhabitats within each study sites (**Fig. 2a**), and adaptive (locus-specific) divergence both between sites and between microhabitats common to both sites.

$$GST_{(i,j),m} N\left(\mu_{(i,j),m}, \sigma_R^2\right)$$

$$\mu_{(i,j),m} = kr_{(i,j)}(\mu r + \theta r_m) + kl_{laussat(i,j)}(\mu l_{laussat} + \theta l_m) + kl_{regina(i,j)}(\mu l_{regina} + \theta l_m)$$

$$kr_{(i,j)} = \begin{Bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix} ; \; kl_{laussat\,(i,j)} = \begin{Bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix} ; \; kl_{regina\,(i,j)} = \begin{Bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix} ;$$

μr and μl are the global mean of differentiation (over all markers) at regional and local

scales respectively: μr is the global mean of differentiation between sites, $\mu l\_laussat$ is the

global mean of differentiation between microhabitats within the study site of Laussat, and

μl_regina is the global mean of differentiation between microhabitats within the study site of

Régina.

$kr_{i,j}$ and $kl_{i,j}$ are binary matrices describing whether the populations i and j belong to the

same study site (regional scale) and to the same microhabitat within each study site (local scale):

$kr_{i,j} = 1$ if the two populations i and j belong to the different study sites, $kr_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise;

$kl\_laussat_{i,j} = 1$ if the two populations i and j belong to different microhabitats within the study

site of Laussat, $kl\_laussat_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise; $kl\_regina_{i,j} = 1$ if the two populations i and j belong

to different microhabitats within the study site of Régina, $kl\_regina_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise.

θr_m and θl_m are locus-specific parameters describing the departure from the global

means of differentiation at regional (i.e. between sites) and local (i.e. between microhabitats)

scales. Posteriors of θr_m and θl_m are normally distributed allowing thus to attribute an empirical

Bayesian p-value (eBP-value) to each SNP. SNPs with an eBP < 1% were considered as outliers

under divergent (upper tail) or homogenizing (lower tail) selection between sites (θr_m) or

microhabitat within sites (θl_m).

Bayesian Model specifications are described in File S3.

For comparison, two additional methods for divergence outlier detection were also

applied to our data: BayPass (Gautier, 2015) and G2D (Nielsen et al., 2009). The detailed

methods associated to each analysis, as well as the results, are reported in Files S4 and S5,

respectively. The values of the *XtX* and of the G2D statistics (respectively from the BayPass

and the G2D method) from different sets of SNPs (neutral *versus* outlier, at each geographic

scale) detected through our custom Bayesian framework were compared by a non-parametric

Wilcoxon rank sum test with R (function 'wilcox.text'). It has to be noted that the G2D method

also required the identification of the most-likely historical demography model for the sampled

populations, thus providing additional characterization of the sample.

Phenotypic divergence in reciprocal transplants

Reciprocal transplants are ideal to test whether genetic divergence is associated with

phenotypic divergence *in situ*, i.e. in real although uncontrolled conditions. A large reciprocal

transplant experiment across sites and microhabitats was set-up in April-May 2011 to partition
genetic and environmental sources of phenotypic differentiation in the two study sites of
Laussat and Régina. The methodology is described in **File S6**.
280
281 # Results
282
Genomic divergence

Bioinformatics results of *de novo* assembly and annotation are presented in **File S7**.
Almost one hundred thousand (97,062) bi-allelic SNPs were identified in 25,803 contigs and
23,276 scaffolds, according to a stringent procedure, for a SNP density of 0.003/base
(sd=0.004) with a Ti/Tv=1.80, **Table S2** and **Fig. S4**. Normalized molecular diversity π_L varied
between 9×10^{-6} and 8×10^{-3} (**Table S3**), with little variation among populations. Among all
SNPs detected, 41,050 (42.3%) were located in scaffolds with at least one predicted gene, and
56,012 SNPs (57.7%) in scaffolds without any predicted gene (total sequence length of the two
scaffold types: 97828840 and 167106160 bp respectively. The average scaffold length is 3292
bp for gene-containing scaffolds and 687 bp for scaffolds without genes, and the difference
between means is significant: Wilcox rank sum test, W = 6.586e+9, p-value < 2.2e-16). At last,
26,435 SNPs (27.2%) lie within a predicted gene, of which 10,863 (11.2%) within exons, while
14,615 SNPs were close to a predicted gene with a mean distance to a predicted gene of 737 bp
(with min = 1bp and max = 27.2 kb).

The Bayesian model revealed low genome-wide differentiation between populations
and significant departures from neutral expectations for a small subset of SNPs. Genome-wide
genetic differentiation (average G_{ST} across loci) ranged between 0.026 and 0.032 at both
geographical scales (credible intervals are shown in **Fig. S5**). Accordingly, genome-wide
parameters of divergence estimated through G_{ST} partitioning were not higher between regions
than between microhabitats within regions, as confirmed by overlapping credible intervals,
Table S4. Coalescent modelling (**File S5**) suggested that the divergence between sites is about
twice as old as divergence between sub-populations within sites. Additionally, it showed that
populations at both sites globally expanded, although bottomland populations underwent
expansion while hilltop populations underwent contraction. It finally provided fine estimates of
migration rates between the studied populations that were in the order of 1% between sites and
20-30% between microhabitats within site.

Locus-specific departures from genome-wide divergence (i.e. outlier SNPs) were
identified from the distribution of locus-specific parameters (θr_m and θl_m). Between 375 and

2,045 outlier SNPs were detected to be under selection with an eBP threshold of 1%: 375 SNPs under homogenizing selection at regional scale, 2,045 SNPs under divergent selection at regional scale, 510 SNPs under homogenizing selection at local scale and 1,770 SNPs under divergent selection at local scale. After multiple-testing correction, the number of significant SNPs fell to 475 outlier SNPs (0.49% of all SNPs screened): 290 SNPs (in 268 contigs) under divergent selection at the regional scale and 185 SNPs (in 168 contigs) under divergent selection at the local scale, with no outlier shared between the regional and the local scales. Outlier SNPs under divergent selection were characterized by clines in allele frequencies between regional locations and/or local microhabitats. Clines in allele frequency across sites and microhabitats are illustrated in **Fig. 4** for different kinds of neutral and outlier SNPs.

The results of the BayPass and G2D analyses are reported in **Files S4 and S5**, respectively, providing support to the findings of our custom hierarchical model. While only six contigs showed up as outliers at both Laussat and Régina sites by the G2D, the value of the G2D statistic was significantly higher for the contigs containing outliers than for the remaining loci (**File S5**). Similarly, comparing the distribution of locus-specific *XtX* between the different sets of SNPs revealed that SNPs identified as outliers by our custom Bayesian model neutral were significantly more divergent than neutral SNPs at both regional and microgeographic scales (W statistics = 27866000 and 14542000 respectively, with p-values < 2.2e-16), **Fig. 5**. *BayPass* detected 283 outlier SNPs at the regional scale under a significance threshold of 99%, 131 of them corresponding to SNPs detected as outliers through our custom Bayesian approach (**File S4**). No microgeographic scale outliers were found by BayPass.

A total of 140 outlier SNPs (29.5% out of 475 outlier SNPs) detected through our custom Bayesian framework were located within predicted genes, among which 39 (8.2%) were within exons, and 86 are in the neighborhood (< 5kb) of one or several predicted genes. However, outlier SNPs were not more likely to be located within exons ($X^2 = 3.9368$, df = 1, p-value = 0.05), within predicted genes ($X^2 = 1.0846$, df = 1, p-value = 0.30), or in the neighborhood of predicted genes ($X^2 = 3.1964$, df = 1, p-value = 0.07), compared to any SNP in the entire dataset. Nevertheless, 87 GO-terms were significantly enriched in the subset of genes surrounding outlier SNPs (84 genes) compared to all predicted genes of the entire reference at the regional scale, and 100 GO-terms were significantly enriched in the subset of genes surrounding outlier SNPs (106 genes) at the local scale, **Table S5**, **Files S8 and S9**.

Phenotypic divergence in reciprocal transplants

Patterns of genomic divergence between sites and microhabitats co-occurred with phenotypic divergence between populations occupying different regions and microhabitats. A significant provenance effect was detected for fourteen traits at the regional scale, and for ten traits at microgeographic scale, **Table 1**, **File S10** and **Fig. S7**.

Seedlings originating from the study site of Laussat grew slowly in height but developed wider stems in diameter at early stages (in 2011), but these differences became non-significant after 2012. They however developed significantly more growth units, a trait which became significant in 2014. Leaf properties also varied between regional provenances: seedlings from Laussat established significantly more leaves (significant in 2014) and leaflets (significant in 2012, 2013 and 2014); these traits being associated with a significantly higher leaf area in September 2012. They also displayed lower chlorophyll content and more predation in 2013 and 2014 although they were less attacked by predators in 2012.

The microhabitat of provenance was also significant for several traits: seedlings from hilltops survived better in 2011 but this result became non-significant at later stages. They also grew slowly in both height (September 2012 and 2013) and diameter (September 2012) but were significantly less attacked than seedlings originating from bottomlands (2011, 2012 and 2014). Although significant in 2014, the microhabitat of provenance effect was not very pronounced regarding the number of growth units, leaves and leaflets.

Significant environmental effects of the transplant site and microhabitat were also detected. Fewer traits (four) were significant at the regional scale than at the micro-geographic scale (twelve), thus indicating a stronger influence of microenvironmental variations on seedling growth. Seedling transplanted in Laussat survived better and established more growth units (significant in 2014) and more leaflets that were also more attacked (significant in 2011). At the microgeographic scale, seedlings transplanted in bottomlands less survived (September 2011) but grew faster in both height (September 2011 and April 2012) and diameter (September 2011, April 2012 and September 2013) at early stages. They also established less leaves and leaflets (significant in 2014) that were less attacked (2011, 2012 and 2014) and had a lower chlorophyll content.

Significant Provenance-by-Environment interactions were detected providing additional evidence of local adaptation at the regional scale. A significant 'local advantage' in Laussat was detected on seedlings' survival at early stages (September 2011 and September 2012), on the development of growth units (2013 and 2014), on the number of leaflets (September 2014) and on chlorophyll content. These interactions were accompanied by a 'home advantage' for seedlings originating from Laussat regarding the number of leaflets, the

379 chlorophyll content, the number of growth units in 2014, and for both provenances regarding
380 the number of growth units in 2013 and survival. Predation measurements, for their part,
381 revealed a local disadvantage in Laussat associated with a home disadvantage for both
382 provenances in 2011 and for seedlings originating from Laussat only in 2013 and 2014.

Discussion

This study analyzed patterns of genomic and phenotypic divergence in wild and
undisturbed forest stands of the Amazonian hyperdominant tree *Eperua falcata* in French
Guiana. Previous studies (Audigeos et al., 2013; Brousseau et al., 2013, 2015) had already
reported signatures of microgeographic divergence both in traits and in molecular data;
nevertheless, they were not able to exhaustively investigate its evolutionary drivers, because
they were based on datasets restricted to few (e.g., candidate gene approach, Audigeos et al.,
2013) or anonymous markers (AFLPs, Brousseau et al., 2015), and the study of
microgeographic adaptation lacked a comprehensive survey including both genomic and
phenotypic levels. Here, we provide new evidence of microgeographic adaptation associated
with (topographic and edaphic) habitat patchiness in this species, by identifying hundreds of
SNP loci that display much higher levels of divergence at the microgeographic and regional
scale than expected by pure chance, and by identifying sets of phenotypic traits that
significantly diverge between populations for each geographic scale.

Patterns of genome-wide divergence.

Genome-wide (supposedly neutral) differentiation was low at both the local and regional
geographic scales, ranging from 2 to 3% (**Fig. S5**), similar to what was previously found by
Brousseau et al. (2015), who reported F_{ST} values ranging from 2 and 3% between microhabitats
within sites, and about 3% between these study sites (based on a screening of about 1,100
AFLPs). In two additional study sites in French Guiana (Paracou and Nouragues), another study
(Audigeos et al., 2013) provided comparable estimates: $F_{ST} \cong 1\%$ between study sites and F_{ST}
from 1 to 2% between microhabitats within sites. The SNP density that we estimated here (a
mean of 3 SNP every 1,000 bp, **Table S2**) was quite low: Neale and Kremer (2011) for example
reported that SNP frequency in trees is generally in the range 1 SNP per 100 bp. However, in

our study the stringent SNP filtering probably filtered out many actual polymorphisms, leading
to an under-estimation of genome-wide SNP density.

Low genome-wide differentiation suggests that high levels of gene flow in *E. falcata*
regularly homogenize (neutral) genome-wide genetic diversity both between regions and
between microhabitats within regions. Even though in absolute terms gene flow across sites
may be relatively small, as suggested by coalescent modeling, rare long-distance seed dispersal
is a common property of tree populations, and appears to have a disproportionate effect on
genetic connectivity, potentially even facilitating local adaptation (Kremer et al., 2012). In
general, the amount of divergence observed here is comparable to what has been found in more
intensively studied temperate or tropical tree species (e.g., Alberto et al., 2010; Dick & Heuertz,
2008; Scalfi et al., 2014). The consequences of recurring inter-habitat gene flow on population
adaptability could be paradoxical. On one hand, extensive gene flow can allow the spread of
advantageous alleles between populations, thus granting high adaptive potential for populations
established in heterogeneous environments. On the other hand, gene flow may also counteract
the effect of natural selection, with migration load halting adaptive divergence of populations
into locally adapted subpopulations (De Kort, Vandepitte, Mergeay, Mijnsbrugge, & Honnay,
2015; Le Corre & Kremer, 2012; Lenormand, 2002; Pujol et al., 2018). The deleterious effect
of gene flow on adaptive divergence could explain the low proportion of outliers detected (see
below); another explanation may be the highly polygenic nature of adaptive traits, which would
prevent individual underlying genes to diverge in frequency (Le Corre & Kremer, 2012).
Nevertheless, high gene flow (and resulting low genome-wide differentiation) did not
completely swamp the signal of adaptive divergence between populations.

Local adaptation across geographic scales.

Locus-specific (putatively adaptive) departures from genome-wide divergence were
detected for 0.49% of the 97,062 SNPs analyzed. Results at the single SNP level were
confirmed by trends at the contig level, showing similar trends of microgeographic
differentiation. Moreover, a comprehensive reciprocal transplant experiment also corroborated
evidence of local adaptation by revealing phenotypic differences at both regional and
microgeographic scales in seedlings at early developmental stages, when natural selection is
expected to be stronger (Petit & Hampe, 2006).

Significantly more outliers were detected at the regional than at the microgeographic
scale: 290 *versus* 185 outlier SNPs (X^2 = 22.826, df = 1, p = 1.77 × 10^{-6}). This result can be

explained as the logical consequence of an order of magnitude difference in the geographical distances between populations: 300 meters between microhabitats within sites versus 300 km between study sites. Indeed, ecological conditions are probably more variable, and divergent selection stronger, at the regional scale (mainly driven by climatic differences associated with different rainfall regimes) than divergent selective pressures between microhabitats separated by hundreds of meters (driven by differences in soil properties), and the effect of divergence would be reinforced by more limited gene flow. The proportion of outliers detected over microgeographic scales, although smaller, is nevertheless relevant. Because the Bayesian model focuses on replicated footprints of adaptation only, it is extremely unlikely that the detected outliers could have been structured between microhabitats in two different regions independently purely by chance (Savolainen, Lascoux, & Merila, 2013). Moreover, no outlier was detected jointly at both regional and microgeographic spatial scales, as shown by the heart-shaped joint distribution of regional- and local-scale locus-specific parameters (Fig. 3). Instead, outliers were always specific to only one spatial scale, suggesting that different portions of the genome respond to different types of environmental constraints. Reciprocal transplants also indicated that different sets of traits diverge between populations at regional and microgeographic scales. Although it is impossible to link the analyzed phenotypic traits to one or several SNPs detected without a comprehensive association study, these results suggest that different environmental drivers would be at stake and that, in future studies, local adaptation in wild populations should be investigated by integrating different spatial scales, including microgeographic habitat patchiness, into the sampling design.

Methodological considerations

Whole-genome sequencing of population pools has opened a new window of opportunity, especially for non-model species. Their analysis however remains complicated as it raises many methodological and statistical challenges, even more in the case of complex – presently *replicated* – sampling designs.

The Bayesian modelling framework implemented here was specifically designed to detect replicated footprints of divergent selection between microhabitats under an explicit hierarchical model of neutral divergence both between and within study sites, thus taking into account variable levels of gene flow across spatial scales. This custom framework however suffers from several limitations, including computation time, estimation uncertainty and detection power.

Computation time limitation was overcame by splitting the SNP dataset into ten

independent subsets. The fact that neutral parameters were similar across subsets suggests that

locus-specific parameters were estimated under similar conditions of hierarchical neutral

divergence between populations across subsets (**Fig. S6**). This is a natural consequence of the

largely fragmented reference assembled *de novo*: SNPs were randomly scattered across the

genome and they can therefore be considered as independent. Although SNPs located on the

same scaffolds are physically linked, they did not cause major discrepancies in the estimation

of neutral parameters across subsets.

Assessing the chances of F_{ST}-based selection tests to detect false-positives and to miss

true-positive outliers is another challenge, and this issue strongly depends on the size of the

dataset. While the power of our custom Bayesian model was not estimated for very large

numbers of loci by Brousseau et al. (2016), we included in the preset study an additional

correction for multiple-testing (with a FDR threshold of 10%) to deal with the large number of

SNPs analyzed. Although linking directly FDR with FNDR, and subsequently with the power

of our model is difficult, there exist theoretical and numerical expectations of the False Non-

Discovery Rates (FNDR) of multiple tests based on the chosen FDR threshold. In particular,

Genovese & Wasserman (2002) show that, for an FDR threshold of 5%, FNDR is always below

20% for cases where most tests are expected to be true negatives. We can expect that only a

small minority of loci is under divergent selection at our small phylogenetic and spatial scales.

Finally, Sarkar (2006) defines the power $\pi_0 = 1 - FDR - FNDR$; therefore, in our case, power

should be in the order of 1- 0.1 - O(0.2), that is, no larger than 70%, and probably somewhat

smaller.

Another source of bias lies in the inference of population-specific allele frequencies and

genetic differentiation from read counts in population pools because of the double sampling

uncertainties, (1) when sampling individuals from a population, and (2) when sampling reads

from the pool of haploid sequences during sequencing (Ferretti, Ramos-Onsins, & Pérez-

Enciso, 2013; Hivert, Leblois, Petit, Gautier, & Vitalis, 2018). This limit was tackled by

applying a library-level coverage threshold to detect SNPs: a threshold of 20X was used, that

is equal the number of diploid individuals (or half the haploid sample size) in each population

pool. Applying library-level coverage threshold to infer library-specific allele frequency is

mandatory to reduce the probability of missing alleles at low frequency, although fluctuations

in the sampling process are unavoidable and sequencing all distinct lineages in a pool of 20

diploid individuals remains unlikely even at 40X coverage (Ferretti et al., 2013). Aware of these

limitations, uncertainties in allele frequencies were however further integrated in estimates of

pairwise genetic differentiation (G_{ST}) between libraries under the Bayesian framework.

It is also important to stress that our Bayesian approach may miss footprints of polygenic

adaptation, if adaptation acts in different ways in the two study sites (through different selection

strengths and/or different loci affected) which is known to be common in wild trees (Berg &

Coop, 2014; Harrisson et al., 2017; Lind et al., 2017). Even if the Bayesian model employed

here proved its robustness to detect footprints of polygenic adaptation caused by weak selection

strengths (s=0.05) from genotype data in simulations (Brousseau et al., 2016), it may still miss

footprints of polygenic adaptation if the strength of selection is variable among loci (Sork et al.,

2013). Indeed, F_{ST}-based methods are more prone to detect adaptation caused by loci of large

effects than by loci with small effects, especially since adaptation occurs with ongoing gene

flow (Hedrick, 2006; Le Corre & Kremer, 2012; Savolainen et al., 2013; Yeaman & Whitlock,

2011). In other words, the set of outliers detected is probably enriched with loci of large effects.

Moreover, it is possible that the genetic architecture of traits selected at different geographical

scales differs, thus explaining both the differences in numbers of outliers detected and lack of

overlap. Indeed, the reciprocal transplant experiment showed that different sets of traits

inherently diverged between sites and between microhabitats within sites. It is also likely that

traits closer to metabolic pathways (such as chlorophyll content, possibly chemical defense

against grazers) are less polygenic than complex growth traits, and that therefore the chances

of identifying single-locus outliers vary between these different sets of traits.

Beyond theoretical considerations, the results obtained were further compared with two

other widespread selection tests suitable for analyzing Pool-Seq data, G2D and *BayPass*,

respectively. Applying different methods often produce partially different results, because of

inherent conceptual and mathematical differences in methods formulation and implementation.

For example, G2D can detect replicated footprints of selection taking into account the

demographic history of the studied populations at the contig-level, not at the SNP level.

BayPass v2.1, for its part, takes into account complex neutral structuring through the inference

of a genome-wide variance-covariance matrix and allows detecting SNPs that are over-

differentiated between standing populations and the ancestral one, without however

distinguishing outliers caused by crossed-factors nor repeated binary contrasts. The identity and

quantity of outlier contigs or SNPs detected in the present study thus vary between these

different selection tests. Comparing the distribution of locus-specific differentiation (G-values

and *XtX*) between the different sets of SNPs detected by our custom Bayesian framework

however revealed a shared trend: the extent of differentiation was always higher for outlier

SNPs than for neutral SNPs, indicating that the sets of SNPs detected as outliers at both regional and microgeographic scales were enriched in SNPs influenced by divergent selection although they possibly hide false-positive outliers.

Microgeographic adaptation

This study was primarily designed to test the hypothesis of replicated microgeographic adaptation within two independent study sites, and the regional scale was thus taken into account to avoid confounding footprints of adaptation between large-scale and small-scale factors, and to compare the strength of adaptive divergence between spatial scales (in terms of number of SNPs affected). For these reasons, our interpretation thus focuses on footprints of microgeographic adaptation only thereafter.

Although detecting adaptive divergence between regions at geographic scales on the order of hundreds kilometers is not surprising, detecting patterns of microgeographic adaptive divergence on the order of hundreds meters is less common in tree populations. By providing evidence of adaptation to microgeographic habitat patchiness at both genomic and phenotypic levels, our results agree with previous studies showing soil variation as a driver of adaptation in trees and, more specifically, in the Neotropics (Audigeos et al., 2013; Brousseau et al., 2015).

Topography-linked microgeographic patchiness is likely driven by a complex interaction of abiotic and biotic factors (including soil types (Misiewicz & Fine, 2014), water-logging and flooding events, water availability (Clark, Palmer, & Clark, 1999), seasonal soil drought (Markewitz, Devine, Davidson, Brando, & Nepstad, 2010), soil fertility (John et al., 2007), light availability (Ferry, Morneau, Bontemps, Blanc, & Freycon, 2010), competition and predation (Fine et al., 2004)). At the community level, the study by Fortunel et al. (2016) already suggested a mechanism through which microgeographic adaptation may take place: seedling mortality of *terra firme* species was high when planted in seasonally flooded habitats. At the intra-specific level, previous studies have reported examples of adaptation to soil nutrient composition such as potassium deficiency in *Cornus florida* (Cornaceae) (Pais, Whetten, & Xiang, 2017), to aluminum and phosphate ion concentrations in *Pinus contorta* (Pinaceae) (Eckert, Shahi, Datwyler, & Neale, 2012), to substrate age in *Metrosideros polymorpha* (Myrtaceae) (Izuno et al., 2017), to soil types in *Abies religiosa* (Pinaceae) (Méndez-González, Jardón-Barbolla, & Jaramillo-Correa, 2017), or to soil water availability in *Pinus albicaulis* (Pinaceae) (Lind et al., 2017). Preliminary evidence of microgeographic adaptation has been recently reported in Neotropical tree species, including *E. falcata* (Audigeos et al., 2013;

Brousseau et al., 2013, 2015; Torroba-Balmori et al., 2017). We provide here additional

evidence of microgeographic adaptation in *E. falcata* at the genomic scale, also corroborated

by significant effects of the microhabitat of provenance at the phenotypic level.

It is, however, difficult to identify the exact genomic targets of natural selection,

particularly in non-model species. First, because linking complex phenotypes (growth and leaf

traits) with the SNPs analyzed is impossible without an accurate association genomics

approach. Second, because of potential hitchhiking between causal mutations and the analyzed

SNPs, and because of the lack of chromosome-level genome assembly as well as no exhaustive

genome-wide estimates of linkage disequilibrium in this species (but linkage disequilibrium is

nearly zero beyond few hundred base pairs in coding sequences, Audigeos et al., 2013). Despite

these limitations, the identity of the genes neighboring outlier SNPs may provide useful

information, as many 'divergence' outlier SNPs detected here were located within or close to

(< 5 kb) protein-coding genes involved in a variety of biological functions (**Files S8 and S9**),

with 106 genes neighboring a genomic footprint of microgeographic divergence (i.e. one or

several outlier SNPs) and 100 Gene Ontology terms (corresponding to 49 genes) significantly

enriched in the subset of genes neighboring outlier SNPs, **Table S5**. Some of them are

particularly meaningful regarding their biological significance in terms of survival, growth,

defense and reproduction (**Files S8 and S9**). Functional annotation and subsequent enrichment

tests are however to be taken with caution. Although they can provide useful clues about the

biological functions of the genes identified, they do not necessarily provide a proof of the results

functional meaning (Pavlidis, Jensen, Stephan, & Stamatakis, 2012).

We detected several genes involved in plant response to stress close to SNPs detected

as outliers at the microgeographic scale. For example, a glutaredoxin-C11 (predgene_010110)

involved in managing the level of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in plant cells (Mittler,

Vanderauwera, Gollery, & Van Breusegem, 2004), a H_2O_2-responsive gene encoding a

metallopeptidase M24 family protein which is up-regulated under stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana*

(Ordoñez et al., 2014), and a gene encoding a plant cysteine oxidase 4-like (predgene 003921)

involved in response to flooding and soil hypoxia (Weits et al., 2014; White et al., 2017) were

detected. Oxidative stress is very common in bottomlands, where water-logging and seasonal

flooding events cause soil hypoxia and oxygen deprivation in root cells, leading to the

production of ROS (Blokhina, Virolainen, & Fagerstedt, 2003). This observation is concordant

with a previous candidate-gene approach in which a catalase (i.e. a gene involved in ROS

detoxification) has been reported under selection in this species (Audigeos et al., 2013). We

also detected five genes with possible implications in lipid biosynthesis (predgenes 006075,

019500, 002577, 001066, 000689), as for example a palmitoyl-acyl carrier protein thioesterase which is known to play an essential role for plant growth and seed development (Bonaventure, Salas, Pollard, & Ohlrogge, 2003; Dörmann, Voelker, & Ohlrogge, 2000). Lipid metabolism is of high importance for plant development, from early germination to reproduction. Indeed, lipids are the primary material for cutin, and they are largely involved in stress signaling and resistance (Okazaki & Saito, 2014). We also found two genes involved in proline amino acid biosynthesis (predgenes 007023 and 007024), which is accumulated in response to drought stress in plants (Fu, Ma, Chen, Gu, & Gong, 2018). A calmodulin-like protein (probable CML48, predgene 022002) was also detected in the neighborhood of a microgeographic outlier. Calmodulin and calmodulin-like plant calcium sensors have evolved unique functions of high importance in the regulation of plant development and plant response to stress (Perochon, Aldon, Galaud, & Ranty, 2011). We also found a probable cellulose synthase A (predgene 000745) whose mutations can alter morphology or induce resistance to cellulose biosynthesis inhibitors as reported in *A. thaliana* (Richmond, 2000), a gene for plant metal tolerance (predgene 014347), and a mRNA-decapping enzyme-like (predgene 011170) which is of importance for early seedling development (Goeres et al., 2007).

Two genes mediating biotic interactions - through plant defense against pathogens and recognition of symbiotic bacteria – were also detected as potential targets of microgeographic adaptation. In particular, plant chemical defense against herbivores and pathogens is likely to play a key role in microgeographic adaptation; previous studies have experimentally demonstrated that a trade-off between growth and antiherbivore defenses strengthens habitat specialization between congeneric species within large Neotropical plant families (e.g., Annonaceae, Burseraceae, Euphorbiaceae, Fabaceae, Malvaceae) (Fine et al., 2004, 2013, 2006). Here, we detected a chitinase (predgene 000254), a family of enzymes known for their role in resistance to pathogens (Grover, 2012; Punja & Zhang, 1993). This is fully consistent with the finding of significant provenance effects of seedling predation (estimated by the number of leaflets attacked) in the present study, which suggests biotic selective pressures exerted by herbivore communities should contribute to microgeographic adaptation. We also discovered a gene involved in recognition of symbiotic bacteria (LysM receptor-like kinase, predgene 002974) which enables the model legume *Lotus japonicus* to recognize its bacterial symbiont (Madsen et al., 2003; Radutoiu et al., 2003; Ryals et al., 2008). Symbiotic nitrogen fixation is a specific feature of legumes, and nutrient availability largely varies across microhabitats (Ferry et al., 2010). The presence of genes involved in the perception of symbiotic bacteria close to genomic footprints of microgeographic adaptation in this supposedly non-

nodulating legume (Villadas et al., 2007) is particularly interesting. However, accurate

functional characterizations of the proteins surrounding outlier SNPs are required to draw

robust conclusions about the physiological processes involved in microgeographic adaptation.

Microevolution in the (Neo)tropics.

Although largely exploratory, our results open a new window into the evolutionary

processes underlying the maintenance of genetic and functional diversity, and support the

hypothesis of niche evolution as a driver of habitat specialization and diversification (Leibold,

2008). Microgeographic adaptation driven by a complex interaction of abiotic and biotic factors

may be an initial step towards habitat specialization and ecological (sympatric) speciation by

trees (Feder, Egan, & Nosil, 2012; Savolainen et al., 2006). While it is true that

microgeographic adaptation is not at all restricted to (Neo)tropical trees (e.g., Lind et al., 2017;

Scotti et al., 2016), the extreme patchiness of micro-environmental conditions and the overall

levels of competition for resources and space observed in tropical ecosystems may amplify the

specialization of trees to specific microhabitats. In this study we provide support for this process

at the intra-populational level in an Amazonian tree species. However, the efficacy of

microgeographic adaptive divergence at a small but non-negligible fraction of loci in driving

ecological speciation (as opposed to the effect of genome-wide neutral divergence, caused by

strong restrictions in gene flow, in assisting allopatric speciation) requires further investigation.

In particular, a comprehensive genome-wide association study in our reciprocal transplant

would provide detailed information on the functional role of the SNPs and genes potentially

under selection.

It is also possible that microgeographic adaptation contributes to the generalist and

hyperdominant distribution of *E. falcata* (ter Steege et al., 2013). In this view, local adaptation

to habitat patches would maintain multiple adaptation optima (i.e. multiple genetic

combinations of alleles at different loci), thus pre-adapting the populations to a multitude of

environmental situations. Indeed, two evolutionary processes can theoretically allow

populations to cope with environmental changes, such as global climate change, and avoid

extinction (Aitken, Yeaman, Holliday, Wang, & Curtis-McLane, 2008; Harrisson et al., 2014):

populations can migrate to new areas to track ecological niches, causing shifts in the species'

distribution, and/or adapt locally to the new conditions through the action of natural selection

(Hoffmann & Sgro, 2011). These processes are likely to occur simultaneously and populations'

response to global climate change can be viewed as a 'race where populations are tracking the

moving optima both in space and time' (Kremer et al., 2012). From the standpoint of the entire
metapopulation of *E. falcata* in the region, local adaptation to multiple optima would be
tantamount to population-level balancing selection, which is considered to be a major
component of adaptive potential (Barrett & Schluter, 2008; Delph & Kelly, 2014). Such a
reservoir of adaptively useful genetic diversity may help the species deal with environmental
challenges.

To conclude, here we provide new, genomic-scale, evidence of divergent selection at
both regional and microgeographic scales in the Amazonian hyperdominant *Eperua falcata*,
suggesting that microgeographic environmental heterogeneity caused by variations in
topography and edaphic factors creates the conditions for local adaptation in lowland
Neotropical rainforests. We notably detected signals of adaptive divergence at many SNPs,
whose surrounding genes may ultimately constitute the genomic basis for local adaptation.
Accurate functional annotation further provided some interesting clues as to the biological
processes targeted by divergent selection, emphasizing the potential roles of abiotic stresses
related to water-logging and drought, and of biotic interactions, in driving local adaptation. We
firmly believe that new advances in next-generation sequencing technologies and in Bayesian
methods will contribute to fill the gaps in our understanding of trees evolution in the Neotropics,
and we strongly recommend the integration of different spatial scales (including
microgeographic habitat patchiness) in future studies addressing the processes of adaptation
and diversification in lowland rainforests of Amazonia.

Acknowledgements

This study has been funded by the ANR '*BIOADAPT FLAG*' (ref. ANR-12-ADAPT-0007-01)
and benefited from an '*Investissement d'Avenir*' grant (CEBA, ref. ANR-10-LABX-25-01),
both managed by the French *Agence Nationale de la Recherche*. Louise Brousseau has been
funded by a young investigator grant '*Contrat Jeune Scientifique*' (CJS) of the *French National*
Institute for Agronomical Research (INRA). We are grateful to the *Genotoul bioinformatics*
platform Toulouse Midi-Pyrenees (Bioinfo Genotoul) for providing computing and storage
resources. We thank Saint-Omer Cazal (INRA, UMR EcoFoG) and Julien Engel (IRD, UMR
AMAP) for technical assistance and botanical identifications. We thank Bruno Ferry
(AgroParisTech, UFR FAM), Hendik Davi (INRA, URFM) for sharing soil and functional data
of adult tree populations. We thank Dr Anna Stavrinides for helpful discussions about the

functional interpretation of the results. We are also grateful to Victoria Sork, Andrew Eckert and two anonymous reviewers for their very useful comments on this study.

Author contribution

LB, ED and IS designed the experiment. LB set up the reciprocal transplant experiment and analyzed related phenotypic data under the supervision of IS and ED. LB sampled leaf material, extracted and conditioned DNA, implemented the bioinformatics pipeline and analyzed SNPs data with the custom Bayesian framework and *BayPass* under the supervision of IS and GVV. IS computed basic diversity statistics and implemented G2D analyses. All authors wrote the article.

References

Aitken, S. N., Yeaman, S., Holliday, J. A., Wang, T., & Curtis-McLane, S. (2008). Adaptation, migration or extirpation: climate change outcomes for tree populations. *Evolutionary Applications*, *1*(1), 95–111. doi: 10.1111/j.1752-4571.2007.00013.x

Alberto, F., Niort, J., Derory, J., Lepais, O., Vitalis, R., Galop, D., & Kremer, A. (2010). Population differentiation of sessile oak at the altitudinal front of migration in the French Pyrenees. *Molecular Ecology*, *19*(13), 2626–2639. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04631.x

Antonovics, J. (2006). Evolution in closely adjacent plant populations X: long-term persistence of prereproductive isolation at a mine boundary. *Heredity*, *97*(1), 33–37. doi: 10.1038/sj.hdy.6800835

Antonovics, Janis, & Bradshaw, A. D. (1970). EVOLUTION IN CLOSELY ADJACENT PLANT POPULATIONS. VIII. Clinal patterns at a mine boundary. *Heredity*, *25*, 349–362.

Audigeos, D., Brousseau, L., Traissac, S., Scotti-Saintagne, C., & Scotti, I. (2013). Molecular divergence in tropical tree populations occupying environmental mosaics. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *26*(3), 529–544. doi: 10.1111/jeb.12069

Baraloto, C., Morneau, F., Bonal, D., Blanc, L., & Ferry, B. (2007). Seasonal water stress tolerance and habitat associations within four neotropical tree genera. *Ecology*, *88*(2), 478–489. doi: 10.1890/0012-9658(2007)88[478:SWSTAH]2.0.CO;2

Barrett, R. D. H., & Schluter, D. (2008). Adaptation from standing genetic variation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *23*(1), 38–44. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2007.09.008

Berg, J. J., & Coop, G. (2014). A Population Genetic Signal of Polygenic Adaptation. *PLoS*
Genetics, *10*(8), e1004412. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004412

Blokhina, O., Virolainen, E., & Fagerstedt, K. V. (2003). Antioxidants, oxidative damage and
oxygen deprivation stress: A review. *Annals of Botany*, *91*(2), 179–194. doi:
10.1093/aob/mcf118

Bonal, D., Atger, C., Barigah, T. S., Ferhi, A., Guehl, J. M., & Ferry, B. (2000). Water
acquisition patterns of two wet tropical canopy tree species of French Guiana as inferred
from H218O extraction profiles. *Ann For Sci*, *57*, 717–724.

Bonal, D., Barigah, T. S., Granier, A., & Guel, J. M. (2000). Late-stage canopy tree species
with extremely low d13C and high stomatal sensitivity to seasonal soil drought in the
tropical rainforest of French Guiana. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, *23*, 445–449.

Bonal, D., Guehl, J. M., & Champenoux, F. (2001). Contrasting patterns of leaf water
potential and gas exchange responses to drought in seedlings of tropical rainforest
species. *Functional Ecology*, *15*, 490–496.

Bonaventure, G., Salas, J. J., Pollard, M. R., & Ohlrogge, J. B. (2003). Disruption of the
FATB gene in Arabidopsis demonstrates an essential role of saturated fatty acids in plant
growth. *The Plant Cell*, *15*(4), 1020–1033. Retrieved from
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12671095

Bradshaw, A. (1960). Population differentiation in *Agrostis tenuis* Sibth. III. Populations in
varied environments. *New Phytol*, *59*, 92–103.

Brousseau, L., Bonal, D., Cigna, J., & Scotti, I. (2013). Highly local environmental variability
promotes intrapopulation divergence of quantitative traits: An example from tropical rain
forest trees. *Annals of Botany*, *112*(6), 1169–1179. doi: 10.1093/aob/mct176

Brousseau, L., Foll, M., Scotti-Saintagne, C., & Scotti, I. (2015). Neutral and adaptive drivers
of microgeographic genetic divergence within continuous populations: The case of the
neotropical tree *Eperua falcata* (aubl.). *PLoS ONE*, *10*(3), e0121394. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0121394

Brousseau, L., Postolache, D., Lascoux, M., Drouzas, A. D., Källman, T., Leonarduzzi, C., …
Vendramin, G. G. (2016). Local Adaptation in European Firs Assessed through
Extensive Sampling across Altitudinal Gradients in Southern Europe. *PLOS ONE*, *11*(7),
e0158216. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0158216

Bulmer, M. G. (1972). Multiple Niche Polymorphism. *American Naturalist*, *106*, 254–257.

Clark, D. B., Palmer, M. W., & Clark, D. A. (1999). Edaphic fators and the landscape-scale
distribution of tropical rain forest trees. *Ecology*, *80*, 2662–2675.

Cowan, R. S. (1975). *A monograph of the genus Eperua (Leguminosae-Caesalpinioideae)*.

Smithsonian Institution Press.

De Kort, H., Vandepitte, K., Mergeay, J., Mijnsbrugge, K. V., & Honnay, O. (2015). The

population genomic signature of environmental selection in the widespread insect-

pollinated tree species *Frangula alnus* at different geographical scales. *Heredity*, *115*(5),

415–425. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2015.41

De Kort, Hanne, & Honnay, O. (2017). Assessing Evolutionary Potential in Tree Species

Through Ecology-Informed Genome Screening. In P. Pontarotti (Ed.), *Evolutionary*

Biology: Self/Nonself Evolution, Species and Complex Traits Evolution, Methods and

Concepts (pp. 313–327). doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-61569-1_17

Delph, L. F., & Kelly, J. K. (2014). On the importance of balancing selection in plants. *New*

Phytologist, *201*(1), 45–56. doi: 10.1111/nph.12441

Dick, C. W., & Heuertz, M. (2008). The complex biogeographic history of a widespread

tropical tree species. *Evolution*, *62*(11), 2760–2774. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-

5646.2008.00506.x

Dörmann, P., Voelker, T. A., & Ohlrogge, J. B. (2000). Accumulation of palmitate in

Arabidopsis mediated by the acyl-acyl carrier protein thioesterase FATB1. *Plant*

Physiology, *123*(2), 637–644. Retrieved from

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10859193

Doyle, J. J., & Doyle, J. L. (1987). A rapid DNA isolation procedure from small quantities of

fresh leaf tissues. *Phytochem Bull*, *19*, 11–15.

Eckert, A. J., Maloney, P. E., Vogler, D. R., Jensen, C. E., Mix, A. D., & Neale, D. B. (2015).

Local adaptation at fine spatial scales: an example from sugar pine (*Pinus lambertiana*,

Pinaceae). *Tree Genetics & Genomes*, *11*(3), 42. doi: 10.1007/s11295-015-0863-0

Eckert, A. J., Shahi, H., Datwyler, S. L., & Neale, D. B. (2012). Spatially variable natural

selection and the divergence between parapatric subspecies of lodgepole pine (*Pinus*

contorta, Pinaceae). *American Journal of Botany*, *99*(8), 1323–1334. doi:

10.3732/ajb.1200055

Eckert, A. J., van Heerwaarden, J., Wegrzyn, J. L., Nelson, C. D., Ross-Ibarra, J., González-

Martínez, S. C., … Neale, D. B. (2010). Patterns of population structure and

environmental associations to aridity across the range of loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.,

Pinaceae). *Genetics*, *185*(3), 969–982. doi: 10.1534/genetics.110.115543

Feder, J. L., Egan, S. P., & Nosil, P. (2012). The genomics of speciation-with-gene-flow.

Trends in Genetics, *28*(7), 342–350.

Ferretti, L., Ramos-Onsins, S. E., & Pérez-Enciso, M. (2013). Population genomics from pool

sequencing. *Molecular Ecology*, *22*(22), 5561–5576. doi: 10.1111/mec.12522

Ferry, B., Morneau, F., Bontemps, J.-D. D., Blanc, L., & Freycon, V. (2010). Higher treefall

rates on slopes and waterlogged soils result in lower stand biomass and productivity in a

tropical rain forest. *Journal of Ecology*, *98*(1), 106–116. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-

2745.2009.01604.x

Fetter, K. C., Gugger, P. F., & Keller, S. R. (2017). *Landscape Genomics of Angiosperm*

Trees: From Historic Roots to Discovering New Branches of Adaptive Evolution. doi:

10.1007/7397_2016_19

Fine, P. V. A. (2015). Ecological and Evolutionary Drivers of Geographic Variation in

Species Diversity. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *46*(1), 369–

392. doi: doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-112414-054102

Fine, P. V. A., Daly, D. C., & Cameron, K. M. (2005). The contribution of edaphic

heterogeneity to the evolution and diversity of Burceraceae trees in the Western Amazon.

Evolution, *59*(7), 1464-1478. doi: 10.1111/j.0014-3820.2005.tb01796.x

Fine, P. V. A., Mesones, I., & Coley, P. D. (2004). Herbivores promote habitat specialization

by trees in Amazonian forests. *Science*, *305*(5684), 663–665. doi:

10.1126/science.1098982

Fine, P. V. A., Metz, M. R., Lokvam, J., Mesones, I., Zuniga, J. M. A., Lamarre, G. P. A., …

Baraloto, C. (2013). Insect herbivores, chemical innovation, and the evolution of habitat

specialization in Amazonian trees. *Ecology*, *94*(8), 1764–1775. doi: 10.1890/12-1920.1

Fine, P. V. A., Miller, Z. J., Mesones, I., Irazuzta, S., Appel, H. M., Stevens, M. H. H., …

Coley, P. D. (2006). The growth-defense trade-off and habitat specialization by plants in

Amazonian forests. *Ecology*, *87*(sp7), S150–S162. doi: 10.1890/0012-

9658(2006)87[150:TGTAHS]2.0.CO;2

Fortunel, C., Paine, C. E. T. T., Fine, P. V. A. A., Mesones, I., Goret, J.-Y. Y., Burban, B., …

Baraloto, C. (2016). There's no place like home: seedling mortality contributes to the

habitat specialisation of tree species across Amazonia. *Ecology Letters*, *19*(10), 1256–

1266. doi: 10.1111/ele.12661

Fu, Y., Ma, H., Chen, S., Gu, T., & Gong, J. (2018). Control of proline accumulation under

drought via a novel pathway comprising the histone methylase CAU1 and the

transcription factor ANAC055. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *69*(3), 579–588. doi:

10.1093/jxb/erx419

Fustier, M.-A., Brandenburg, J.-T., Boitard, S., Lapeyronnie, J., Eguiarte, L. E., Vigouroux,

Y., … Tenaillon, M. I. (2017). Signatures of local adaptation in lowland and highland

teosintes from whole-genome sequencing of pooled samples. *Molecular Ecology*, *26*(10),

2738–2756. doi: 10.1111/mec.14082

Gautier, M. (2015). Genome-Wide Scan for Adaptive Divergence and Association with

Population-Specific Covariates. *Genetics*, *201*(4), 1555–1579. doi:

10.1534/genetics.115.181453

Genovese, C., & Wasserman, L. (2002). Operating characteristics and extensions of the false

discovery rate procedure. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical*

Methodology), *64*(3), 499–517. doi: 10.1111/1467-9868.00347

Goeres, D. C., Van Norman, J. M., Zhang, W., Fauver, N. A., Spencer, M. Lou, & Sieburth,

L. E. (2007). Components of the Arabidopsis mRNA decapping complex are required for

early seedling development. *The Plant Cell*, *19*(5), 1549–1564. doi:

10.1105/tpc.106.047621

Gould, B., McCouch, S., & Geber, M. (2014). Variation in soil aluminium tolerance genes is

associated with local adaptation to soils at the Park Grass Experiment. *Molecular*

Ecology, *23*(24), 6058–6072. doi: 10.1111/mec.12893

Grover, A. (2012). Plant Chitinases: Genetic Diversity and Physiological Roles. *Critical*

Reviews in Plant Sciences, *31*(1), 57–73. doi: 10.1080/07352689.2011.616043

Hamrick, J. L., & Godt, M. J. W. (1990). Allozyme diversity in plant species. *Plant*

Population Genetics, Breeding, and Genetic Resources., 43–63. Retrieved from

https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/19901612624

Harrisson, K. A., Amish, S. J., Pavlova, A., Narum, S. R., Telonis-Scott, M., Rourke, M. L.,

… Sunnucks, P. (2017). Signatures of polygenic adaptation associated with climate

across the range of a threatened fish species with high genetic connectivity. *Molecular*

Ecology, *26*(22), 6253–6269. doi: 10.1111/mec.14368

Harrisson, K. A., Pavlova, A., Telonis-Scott, M., & Sunnucks, P. (2014). Using genomics to

characterize evolutionary potential for conservation of wild populations. *Evolutionary*

Applications, *7*(9), 1008–1025. doi: 10.1111/eva.12149

Hedrick, P. W. (2006). Genetic Polymorphism in Heterogeneous Environments: The Age of

Genomics. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *37*(1), 67–93.

Hendry, A. P., Day, T., & Taylor, E. B. (2001). Population mixing and the adaptive

divergence of quantitative traits in discrete populations: a theoretical framework for

empirical tests. *Evolution; International Journal of Organic Evolution*, *55*(3), 459–466.

Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11327154

883 Hivert, V., Leblois, R., Petit, E. J., Gautier, M., & Vitalis, R. (2018). Measuring Genetic

884   Differentiation from Pool-seq Data. *Genetics*, *210*(1), 315–330. doi:

885   10.1534/genetics.118.300900

886 Hoffmann, A. A., & Sgro, C. M. (2011). Climate change and evolutionary adaptation. *Nature*,

887   *470*(7335), 479–485.

888 Izuno, A., Kitayama, K., Onoda, Y., Tsujii, Y., Hatakeyama, M., Nagano, A. J., … Isagi, Y.

889   (2017). The population genomic signature of environmental association and gene flow in

890   an ecologically divergent tree species *Metrosideros polymorpha* (Myrtaceae).

891   *Molecular Ecology*, *26*(6), 1515–1532. doi: 10.1111/mec.14016

892 Jain, S. K., & Bradshaw, A. D. (1966). Evolutionary divergence among adjacent plant

893   populations. I. The evidence and its theoretical analysis. *Heredity*, *21*(3), 407–441.

894   Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1966.42

895 John, R., Dalling, J. W., Harms, K. E., Yavitt, J. B., Stallard, R. F., Mirabello, M., … Foster,

896   R. B. (2007). Soil nutrients influence spatial distributions of tropical tree species.

897   *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of USA*, *104*(3), 864–869.

898 Kraft, N. J., Valencia, R., & Ackerly, D. D. (2008). Functional traits and niche-based tree

899   community assembly in an Amazonian forest. *Science*, *322*, 580–582.

900 Kremer, A., Ronce, O., Robledo-Arnuncio, J. J., Guillaume, F., Bohrer, G., Nathan, R., …

901   Schueler, S. (2012). Long-distance gene flow and adaptation of forest trees to rapid

902   climate change. *Ecology Letters*, *15*(4), 378–392. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-

903   0248.2012.01746.x

904 Latreille, A. C., & Pichot, C. (2017). Local-scale diversity and adaptation along elevational

905   gradients assessed by reciprocal transplant experiments: lack of local adaptation in silver

906   fir populations. *Annals of Forest Science*, *74*(4), 77. doi: 10.1007/s13595-017-0673-7

907 Le Corre, V., & Kremer, A. (2012). The genetic differentiation at quantitative trait loci under

908   local adaptation. *Molecular Ecology*, *21*(7), 1548–1566. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-

909   294X.2012.05479.x

910 Leibold, M. A. (2008). Ecology: Return of the niche. *Nature*, *454*(7200), 39–41. doi:

911   10.1038/454039a

912 Lenormand, T. (2002). Gene flow and the limits to natural selection. *Trends in Ecology and*

913   *Evolution*, *17*(4), 183–189. doi: 10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02497-7

914 Lind, B. M., Friedline, C. J., Wegrzyn, J. L., Maloney, P. E., Vogler, D. R., Neale, D. B., &

915   Eckert, A. J. (2017). Water availability drives signatures of local adaptation in whitebark

916   pine (Pinus albicaulis Engelm.) across fine spatial scales of the Lake Tahoe Basin, USA.

Molecular Ecology, 26(12), 3168–3185. doi: 10.1111/mec.14106

Madsen, E. B., Madsen, L. H., Radutoiu, S., Olbryt, M., Rakwalska, M., Szczyglowski, K., …

Stougaard, J. (2003). A receptor kinase gene of the LysM type is involved in

legumeperception of rhizobial signals. *Nature*, 425(6958), 637–640. doi:

10.1038/nature02045

Markewitz, D., Devine, S., Davidson, E. A., Brando, P., & Nepstad, D. C. (2010). Soil

moisture depletion under simulated drought in the Amazon: Impacts on deep root uptake.

New Phytologist, 187(3), 592–607. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03391.x

Méndez-González, I. D., Jardón-Barbolla, L., & Jaramillo-Correa, J. P. (2017). Differential

landscape effects on the fine-scale genetic structure of populations of a montane conifer

from central Mexico. *Tree Genetics & Genomes*, 13(1), 30. doi: 10.1007/s11295-017-

1112-5

Misiewicz, T. M., & Fine, P. V. A. (2014). Evidence for ecological divergence across a

mosaic of soil types in an Amazonian tropical tree: *Protium subserratum* (Burseraceae).

Molecular Ecology, 23(10), 2543–2558. doi: 10.1111/mec.12746

Mittler, R., Vanderauwera, S., Gollery, M., & Van Breusegem, F. (2004). Reactive oxygen

gene network of plants. *Trends in Plant Science*, 9(10), 490–498. doi:

10.1016/J.TPLANTS.2004.08.009

Neale, D. B., & Kremer, A. (2011). Forest tree genomics: growing resources and applications.

Nature Review Genetics, 12(2), 111–122.

Nei, M. (1987). *Molecular Evolutionary Genetic*. Columbia University Pres, New York.

Nielsen, R., Hubisz, M. J., Hellmann, I., Torgerson, D., Andrés, A. M., Albrechtsen, A., …

Clark, A. G. (2009). Darwinian and demographic forces affecting human protein coding

genes. *Genome Research*, 19(5), 838–849. doi: 10.1101/gr.088336.108

Okazaki, Y., & Saito, K. (2014). Roles of lipids as signaling molecules and mitigators during

stress response in plants. *The Plant Journal*, 79(4), 584–596. doi: 10.1111/tpj.12556

Ordoñez, N. M., Marondedze, C., Thomas, L., Pasqualini, S., Shabala, L., Shabala, S., &

Gehring, C. (2014). Cyclic mononucleotides modulate potassium and calcium flux

responses to H_2O_2 in Arabidopsis roots. *FEBS Letters*, 588(6), 1008–1015. doi:

10.1016/j.febslet.2014.01.062

Pais, A. L., Whetten, R. W., & Xiang, Q. (2017). Ecological genomics of local adaptation in

Cornus florida L. by genotyping by sequencing. *Ecology and Evolution*, 7(1), 441–465.

doi: 10.1002/ece3.2623

Pavlidis, P., Jensen, J. D., Stephan, W., & Stamatakis, A. (2012). A Critical Assessment of

Storytelling: Gene Ontology Categories and the Importance of Validating Genomic

Scans. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *29*(10), 3237–3248. doi:

10.1093/molbev/mss136

Perochon, A., Aldon, D., Galaud, J.-P., & Ranty, B. (2011). Calmodulin and calmodulin-like

proteins in plant calcium signaling. *Biochimie*, *93*(12), 2048–2053. doi:

10.1016/J.BIOCHI.2011.07.012

Petit, R. J., & Hampe, A. (2006). Some evolutionary consequences of being a tree. *Annu Rev*

Ecol Evol Syst, *37*(1), 187–214.

Pujol, B., Blanchet, S., Charmantier, A., Danchin, E., Facon, B., Marrot, P., … Winney, I.

(2018). The Missing Response to Selection in the Wild. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*.

doi: 10.1016/J.TREE.2018.02.007

Punja, Z. K., & Zhang, Y. Y. (1993). Plant chitinases and their roles in resistance to fungal

diseases. *Journal of Nematology*, *25*(4), 526–540. Retrieved from

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19279806

Radutoiu, S., Madsen, L. H., Madsen, E. B., Felle, H. H., Umehara, Y., Grønlund, M., …

Stougaard, J. (2003). Plant recognition of symbiotic bacteria requires two LysM

receptor-like kinases. *Nature*, *425*(6958), 585–592. doi: 10.1038/nature02039

Richardson, J. L., Urban, M. C., Bolnick, D. I., & Skelly, D. K. (2014). Microgeographic

adaptation and the spatial scale of evolution. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *29*(3), 165–

176. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2014.01.002

Richmond, T. (2000). Higher plant cellulose synthases. *Genome Biology*, *1*(4),

reviews3001.1. doi: 10.1186/gb-2000-1-4-reviews3001

Ryals, J. A., Neuenschwander, U. H., Willits, M. G., Molina, A., Steiner, H. Y., Hunt, M. D.,

… Stacey, G. (2008). A LysM Receptor-Like Kinase Plays a Critical Role in Chitin

Signaling and Fungal Resistance in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell Online*, *20*(2), 471–481.

doi: 10.1105/tpc.8.10.1809

Sarkar, S. K. (2006). False discovery and false nondiscovery rates in single-step multiple

testing procedures. *Annals of Statistics*, *34*(1), 394–415. doi:

10.1214/009053605000000778

Savolainen, O., Lascoux, M., & Merilä, J. (2013). Ecological genomics of local adaptation.

Nature Review Genetics, *14*(11), 807–820. Retrieved from

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrg3522

Savolainen, O., Pyhäjärvi, T., & Knürr, T. (2007). Gene flow and local adaptation in trees.

Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst., *38*, 595–619.

Savolainen, V., Anstett, M.-C. C., Lexer, C., Hutton, I., Clarkson, J. J., Norup, M. V, …

Baker, W. J. (2006). Sympatric speciation in palms on an oceanic island. *Nature*,

441(7090), 210–213.

Scalfi, M., Mosca, E., Di Pierro, E. A., Troggio, M., Vendramin, G. G., Sperisen, C., …

Neale, D. B. (2014). Micro- and Macro-Geographic Scale Effect on the Molecular

Imprint of Selection and Adaptation in Norway Spruce. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(12), e115499. doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0115499

Schmid, M., & Guillaume, F. (2017). The role of phenotypic plasticity on population

differentiation. *Heredity*, *119*(4), 214–225. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2017.36

Scotti, I., González-Martínez, S. C., Budde, K. B., & Lalagüe, H. (2016). Fifty years of

genetic studies: what to make of the large amounts of variation found within

populations? *Annals of Forest Science*, *73*(1), 69–75. doi: 10.1007/s13595-015-0471-z

Sork, V. L., Aitken, S. N., Dyer, R. J., Eckert, A. J., Legendre, P., & Neale, D. B. (2013).

Putting the landscape into the genomics of trees: approaches for understanding local

adaptation and population responses to changing climate. *Tree Genetics & Genomes*,

9(4), 901–911. doi: 10.1007/s11295-013-0596-x

ter Steege, H., Pitman, N. C. A., Sabatier, D., Baraloto, C., Salomão, R. P., Guevara, J. E., …

Silman, M. R. (2013). Hyperdominance in the Amazonian tree flora. *Science*, *342*(6156).

Retrieved from http://www.sciencemag.org/content/342/6156/1243092.abstract

Torroba-Balmori, P., Budde, K. B., Heer, K., González-Martínez, S. C., Olsson, S., Scotti-

Saintagne, C., … Heuertz, M. (2017). Altitudinal gradients, biogeographic history and

microhabitat adaptation affect fine-scale spatial genetic structure in African and

Neotropical populations of an ancient tropical tree species. *PLoS ONE*, *12*(8), e0182515.

doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0182515

Turner, T. L., Bourne, E. C., Von Wettberg, E. J., Hu, T. T., & Nuzhdin, S. V. (2010).

Population resequencing reveals local adaptation of *Arabidopsis lyrata* to serpentine

soils. *Nature Genetics*, *42*(3), 260–263.

Villadas, P. J., Fernández-López, M., Ramírez-Saad, H., & Toro, N. (2007). Rhizosphere-

bacterial community in *Eperua falcata* (Caesalpiniaceae) a putative nitrogen-fixing tree

from French Guiana rainforest. *Microbial Ecology*, *53*(2), 317–327. doi:

10.1007/s00248-006-9158-1

Vizcaíno-Palomar, N., Revuelta-Eugercios, B., Zavala, M. A., Alía, R., & González-Martínez,

S. C. (2014). The Role of Population Origin and Microenvironment in Seedling

Emergence and Early Survival in Mediterranean Maritime Pine (*Pinus pinaster* Aiton).

PLoS ONE, *9*(10), e109132. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0109132

Weits, D. A., Giuntoli, B., Kosmacz, M., Parlanti, S., Hubberten, H.-M., Riegler, H., … Licausi, F. (2014). Plant cysteine oxidases control the oxygen-dependent branch of the N-end-rule pathway. *Nature Communications*, *5*, 3425. doi: 10.1038/ncomms4425

White, M. D., Klecker, M., Hopkinson, R. J., Weits, D. A., Mueller, C., Naumann, C., … Flashman, E. (2017). Plant cysteine oxidases are dioxygenases that directly enable arginyl transferase-catalysed arginylation of N-end rule targets. *Nature Communications*, *8*, 14690. doi: 10.1038/ncomms14690

Yeaman, S., & Guillaume, F. (2009). Predicting adaptation under migration load: the role of genetic skew. *Evolution*, *63*(11), 2926–2938. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00773.x

Yeaman, S., & Jarvis, A. (2006). Regional heterogeneity and gene flow maintain variance in a quantitative trait within populations of lodgepole pine. *Proceedings Biological Sciences*, *273*(1594), 1587–1593. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2006.3498

Yeaman, S., & Otto, S. P. (2011). Establishment and maintenance of adaptive genetic divergence under migration, selection, and drift. *Evolution*, *65*(7), 2123–2129. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01277.x

Yeaman, S., & Whitlock, M. C. (2011). The genetic architecture of adaptation under migration-selection balance. *Evolution*, *65*(7), 1897–1911. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01269.x

Figures

Fig. 1. (a) Relief map of forest landscapes in the region of Régina, French Guiana. The map was created through the French 'Géoportail' (https://www.geoportail.gouv.fr/): official geospatial data were provided by the French Institute of Geographic and Forest Information ©IGN 2017. (b) *Eperua falcata* sapling. (c) and (d) Forest communities associated with topography and related soil types: *terra-firme* forests on ferralitic soils at the top of hills (c), water-logged forests on hydromorphic soils in bottomlands (d).

1050

1051 **Fig. 2.** (a) Sampling design scheme and correspondence with genome-wide parameters of

1052 divergence estimated through the hierarchical Bayesian model at regional (μr) and local scales

1053 ($\mu l_{Laussat}$ and $\mu l_{Régina}$ respectively). (b) Distribution of geographic distances between trees in the

1054 study sites of Laussat (Western French Guiana) and Régina (Eastern French Guiana). Vertical

1055 dotted bars indicate the range of habitat turnover in each study site.

1056

1057

Fig. 3. (a) Locus-specific parameters (θr_m and θl_m) and normal distribution inferred by the Bayesian model. Vertical bars indicate the location of outliers under homogenizing (lower tail) and divergent (upper tail) selection. Left: regional scale (θr_m), right: local scale (θl_m). (b) Proportion of outlier SNPs under selection at regional (i.e. between study sites) and at local (i.e. between microhabitats within sites) scales. (c) Joint distribution of regional and local-scale locus-specific parameters inferred through the Bayesian model. Blue and green dots indicate outliers under divergent selection at the regional and local scale, respectively.

1065

Regional scale	Neutral	Divergent selection	Neutral
Local scale	Neutral	Neutral	Divergent selection

1066

Fig. 4. Clines in allele frequency between regional locations (i.e. study sites) and microhabitats for the different kinds of (randomly sampled) neutral and outlier SNPs at different geographical scales.

1072

1073 **Fig. 5.** Comparison of the *XtXi* statistics estimated using BayPass across sets of SNPs: those

1074 detected as outliers at regional and microgeographic scales, respectively, and those detected as

1075 neutral by our custom Bayesian modelling framework.

1076

1077

Tables

Table 1. Results of reciprocal transplants - linear models of phenotypic value decomposition.

Trait	Date	Seed_mass	Site prov	Site trans	Topo prov	Topo trans	Site prov x trans	Topo prov x trans	AIC	Retained model
				Effects (p-values)						
Survival	june 2011	0.227	0.969	0.288	0.044	0.255	NA	NA	389.38	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
Survival	september 2011	0.111	0.406	0.21	0.156	0.025	0.027	0.508	574.31	Trait~ Prov_site * Transplant_site + Prov_topo * Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
Survival	april 2012	0.022	0.456	0.145	0.101	0.104	NA	NA	673.43	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
Survival	september 2012	0.004	0.99	0.088	0.34	0.061	0.034	0.693	725.71	Trait~ Prov_site * Transplant_site + Prov_topo * Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
Survival	september 2013	0.241	0.425	0.059	0.419	0.09	0.1	0.293	804.61	Trait~ Prov_site * Transplant_site + Prov_topo * Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
Survival	september 2014	0.027	0.267	0.046	0.953	0.236	NA	NA	872.21	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
N Growth Units	september 2011	0	0.9744	0.7382	0.9596	0.5188	NA	NA	1744.67	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo
N leaves	september 2011	1.00E-04	0.6557	0.4786	0.5822	0.4829	NA	NA	1963.09	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo
N leaflets	september 2011	0	0.0575	0.047	0.5591	0.3378	NA	NA	3105.56	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo
N leaflets attacked	september 2011	0	0.509	0.041	0.008	0	0	0.8	2521.05	Trait~ Prov_site * Transplant_site + Prov_topo * Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
N Growth Units	april 2012	5.00E-04	0.3917	0.1237	0.4413	0.597	NA	NA	2374.30	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo
N leaves	april 2012	0	0.6879	0.6725	0.6272	0.2792	NA	NA	2081.12	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo
N leaflets	april 2012	0	0.001	0.65	0.502	0.479	0.425	0.841	3286.33	Trait~ Prov_site * Transplant_site + Prov_topo * Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
N leaflets attacked	april 2012	0	0.008	0.921	0.051	0.011	NA	NA	2479.99	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)

Trait	Date									Model
N Growth Units	september 2012	0	0.37	0.403	0.558	0.789	NA	NA	2728.67	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
N leaves	september 2012	4.00E-04	0.6198	0.4812	0.6739	0.1758	NA	NA	2196.86	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo
N leaflets	september 2012	0	0.002	0.674	0.606	0.359	0.317	0.978	3536.14	Trait~ Prov_site * Transplant_site + Prov_topo * Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
N Growth Units	september 2013	0	0.535	0.402	0.243	0.48	0.04	0.863	2637.24	Trait~ Prov_site * Transplant_site + Prov_topo * Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
N leaves	september 2013	0	0.061	0.905	0.467	0.366	NA	NA	2366.96	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
N leaflets	september 2013	0	0	0.582	0.029	0.565	NA	NA	4072.96	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
N leaflets attacked	september 2013	0	0	0.564	0.486	0.153	0	0.199	3183.24	Trait~ Prov_site * Transplant_site + Prov_topo * Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
N Growth Units	september 2014	0	0.011	0.042	0.011	0.141	0.014	0.567	5893.73	Trait~ Prov_site * Transplant_site + Prov_topo * Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
N leaves	september 2014	0	0	0.168	0.019	0	NA	NA	4682.05	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
N leaflets	september 2014	0	0	0.358	0	0	0.001	0.962	10890.30	Trait~ Prov_site * Transplant_site + Prov_topo * Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
N leaflets attacked	september 2014	0	0	0.338	0.017	0.005	0.009	0.977	7905.87	Trait~ Prov_site * Transplant_site + Prov_topo * Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
Height	september 2011	0	0.004	0.901	0.322	0	0.098	0.136	3614.54	Trait~ Prov_site * Transplant_site + Prov_topo * Transplant_topo
Height	april 2012	0	0.412	0.709	0.475	0.085	0.053	0.907	5272.12	Trait~ Prov_site * Transplant_site + Prov_topo * Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
Height	september 2012	0	0.112	0.494	0.022	0.317	NA	NA	3974.47	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
Height	september 2013	0	0.067	0.669	0.04	0.257	NA	NA	4105.51	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
Height	september 2014	0	0.892	0.098	0.14	0.32	0.1	0.886	6579.71	Trait~ Prov_site * Transplant_site + Prov_topo * Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
Diameter	september 2011	0	0.0653	0.4053	0.1628	3.00E-04	NA	NA	1401.39	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo
Diameter	april 2012	0	0.722	0.532	0.064	0.039	NA	NA	1566.20	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
Diameter	september 2012	0	0.956	0.548	0.09	0.12	NA	NA	1336.35	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)

Trait	Date									Model
Diameter	september 2013	0	0.709	0.477	0.309	0.004	NA	NA	1445.02	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
Diameter	september 2014	0	0.216	0.181	0.602	0.459	NA	NA	3503.87	Trait~ Prov_site + Transplant_site + Prov_topo + Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
Leaf area	september 2011	0	0.133	0.431	0.092	0.595	0.314	0.618	6904.20	Trait~ Prov_site * Transplant_site + Prov_topo * Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
Leaf area	april 2012	0	0.047	0.318	0.159	0.894	0.312	0.114	10518.37	Trait~ Prov_site * Transplant_site + Prov_topo * Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
Leaf area	september 2012	0	0.014	0.773	0.586	0.325	0.234	0.726	10539.89	Trait~ Prov_site * Transplant_site + Prov_topo * Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)
SPAD	April 2013	0.001	0.004	0.054	0.254	0	0	0.111	4293.16	Trait~ Prov_site * Transplant_site + Prov_topo * Transplant_topo + (1 | Garden)

1081

1085

Supplementary figures and tables

Supplementary figures S1 to S7.

Supplementary tables S1 to S5.

1090

Online supporting information

Supplementary file S1. Soil characteristics of the two sites and on growth and functional characters of the sampled trees

Supplementary file S2. Bioinformatics pipeline

1095 **Supplementary file S3.** Bayesian model specifications

Supplementary file S4. BayPass – methodology & results

Supplementary file S5. G2D – methodology & results

Supplementary file S6. Reciprocal transplants – methodology

Supplementary file S7. Bioinformatics results

1100 **Supplementary file S8.** BLASTp and functional annotation of genes neighboring (< 5 kb) 'divergence' outlier SNPs at the microgeographic scale.

Supplementary file S9. Interactive gene network to easily navigate through the functional annotation of genes neighboring 'divergence' outlier SNPs (< 5 kb) at the microgeographic scale. Green bubbles indicate predicted genes ; blue and pink bubbles indicate Gene ontology

1105 terms, with size depending on the number of predicted genes neighboring an outlier SNP flagged with each GO term ; pink bubbles indicate GO terms significantly enriched between the subset of predicted genes neighboring outlier SNPs compared to the all predicted genes.

Supplementary file S10. Reciprocal transplants – linear models raw outputs

1110