Genomic analysis of European *Drosophila melanogaster* populations reveals

longitudinal structure, continent-wide selection, and previously unknown DNA viruses

Martin Kapun[1,2,3,4,†,*], Maite G. Barrón[1,5,*], Fabian Staubach[1,6,§], Darren J. Obbard[1,7], R. Axel W.

Wiberg[1,8,9], Jorge Vieira[1,10,11], Clément Goubert[1,12,13], Omar Rota-Stabelli[1,14], Maaria Kankare[1,15,§],

María Bogaerts-Márquez[1,5], Annabelle Haudry[1,12], Lena Waidele[1,6], Iryna Kozeretska[1,16,17,], Elena G.

Pasyukova[1,18], Volker Loeschcke[1,19], Marta Pascual[1,20], Cristina P. Vieira[1,10,11], Svitlana Serga[1,16],

Catherine Montchamp-Moreau[1,21], Jessica Abbott[1,22], Patricia Gibert[1,12], Damiano Porcelli[1,23], Nico

Posnien[1,24], Alejandro Sánchez-Gracia[1,20], Sonja Grath[1,25], Élio Sucena[1,26,27], Alan O. Bergland[1,28,§],

Maria Pilar Garcia Guerreiro[1,29], Banu Sebnem Onder[1,30], Eliza Argyridou[1,25], Lain Guio[1,5], Mads

Fristrup Schou[1,19,22], Bart Deplancke[1,31], Cristina Vieira[1,12], Michael G. Ritchie[1,8], Bas J. Zwaan[1,32],

Eran Tauber[1,33], Dorcas J. Orengo[1,20], Eva Puerma[1,20], Montserrat Aguadé[1,20], Paul S. Schmidt[1,34,§],

John Parsch[1,25], Andrea J. Betancourt[1,35], Thomas Flatt[1,2,3,†,*,§], Josefa González[1,5,†,*,§]

[1] The European *Drosophila* Population Genomics Consortium (*DrosEU*). [2] Department of Ecology

and Evolution, University of Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland. [3] Department of Biology,

University of Fribourg, CH-1700 Fribourg, Switzerland. [4] Current affiliations: Department of

Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Sciences, University of Zürich, CH-8057 Zürich,

Switzerland; Division of Cell and Developmental Biology, Medical University of Vienna, AT-1090

Vienna, Austria. [5] Institute of Evolutionary Biology, CSIC- Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona,

Spain. [6] Department of Evolutionary Biology and Ecology, University of Freiburg, 79104 Freiburg,

German. [7] Institute of Evolutionary Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom. [8]

Centre for Biological Diversity, School of Biology, University of St. Andrews, St Andrews, United

Kingdom. [9] Department of Environmental Sciences, Zoological Institute, University of Basel, Basel,

CH-4051, Switzerland.[10] Instituto de Biologia Molecular e Celular (IBMC) University of Porto, Porto,

Portugal. [11] Instituto de Investigação e Inovação em Saúde (I3S), University of Porto, Porto, Portugal.

[12] Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive, UMR CNRS 5558, University Lyon 1, Lyon,

France. [13] Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, 107 Biotechnology Building, Cornell

University, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA. [14] Research and Innovation Centre, Fondazione Edmund

Mach, San Michele all' Adige, Italy. [15] Department of Biological and Environmental Science,

University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland. [16] General and Medical Genetics Department, Taras

Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv, Ukraine. [17] State Institution National Antarctic Center

of Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine, 16 Taras Shevchenko Blvd., 01601, Kyiv, Ukraine.

[18] Laboratory of Genome Variation, Institute of Molecular Genetics of RAS, Moscow, Russia. [19]

Department of Bioscience - Genetics, Ecology and Evolution, Aarhus University, Aarhus C,

Denmark. [20] Departament de Genètica, Microbiologia i Estadística, Facultat de Biologia and Institut

de Recerca de la Biodiversitat (IRBio), Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. [21] Laboratoire

Evolution, Génomes, Comportement et Ecologie (EGCE) UMR 9191 CNRS - UMR247 IRD -

Université Paris Sud - Université Paris Saclay. 91198 Gif sur Yvette Cedex, France. [22] Department of

Biology, Section for Evolutionary Ecology, Lund, Sweden. [23] Department of Animal and Plant

Sciences, Sheffield, United Kingdom. [24] Universität Göttingen, Johann-Friedrich-Blumenbach-Institut

für Zoologie und Anthropologie, Göttingen, Germany. [25] Division of Evolutionary Biology, Faculty of

Biology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Planegg, Germany. [26] Instituto Gulbenkian de

Ciência, Oeiras, Portugal. [27] Departamento de Biologia Animal, Faculdade de Ciências da

Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal. [28] Department of Biology, University of Virginia,

Charlottesville, VA, USA. [29] Departament de Genètica i Microbiologia, Universitat Autònoma de

Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. [30] Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Hacettepe University,

Ankara, Turkey. [31] Laboratory of Systems Biology and Genetics, EPFL-SV-IBI-UPDEPLA, CH-1015

Lausanne, Switzerland. [32] Laboratory of Genetics, Department of Plant Sciences, Wageningen

University, Wageningen, Netherlands. [33] Department of Evolutionary and Environmental Biology and

Institute of Evolution, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel. [34] Department of Biology, University of

Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, USA. [35] Institute of Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool,

Liverpool, United Kingdom.

[†] Co-correspondence: martin.kapun@uzh.ch, thomas.flatt@unifr.ch, josefa.gonzalez@ibe.upf-csic.es

[*] These authors contributed equally to this work

[§] Members of the *Drosophila* Real Time Evolution (Dros-RTEC) Consortium

Abstract

Genetic variation is the fuel of evolution, with standing genetic variation especially important for short-term evolution and local adaptation. To date, studies of spatio-temporal patterns of genetic variation in natural populations have been challenging, as comprehensive sampling is logistically difficult, and sequencing of entire populations costly. Here, we address these issues using a collaborative approach, sequencing 48 pooled population samples from 32 locations, and perform the first continent-wide genomic analysis of genetic variation in European *Drosophila melanogaster*. Our analyses uncover longitudinal population structure, provide evidence for continent-wide selective sweeps, identify candidate genes for local climate adaptation, and document clines in chromosomal inversion and transposable element frequencies. We also characterise variation among populations in the composition of the fly microbiome, and identify five new DNA viruses in our samples.

Introduction

Understanding processes that influence genetic variation in natural populations is fundamental to understanding the process of evolution (Dobzhansky 1970; Lewontin 1974; Kreitman 1983; Kimura 1984; Hudson *et al.* 1987; McDonald & Kreitman 1991; Adrian & Comeron 2013). Until recently, technological constraints have limited studies of natural genetic variation to small regions of the genome and small numbers of individuals. With the development of population genomics, we can now analyse patterns of genetic variation for large numbers of individuals genome-wide, with samples structured across space and time. As a result, we have new insight into the evolutionary dynamics of genetic variation in natural populations (e.g., Hohenlohe *et al.* 2010; Cheng *et al.* 2012; Begun *et al.* 2007; Pool *et al.* 2012; Harpur *et al.* 2014; Zanini *et al.* 2015). But, despite this technological progress, extensive large-scale sampling and genome sequencing of populations remains prohibitively expensive and too labor-intensive for most individual research groups.

Here, we present the first comprehensive, continent-wide genomic analysis of genetic variation of European *Drosophila melanogaster*, based on 48 pool-sequencing samples from 32 populations collected in 2014 (fig. 1) by the European *Drosophila* Population Genomics Consortium (*DrosEU*;

https://droseu.net). *D. melanogaster* offers several advantages for genomic studies of evolution in

space and time. It boasts a relatively small genome, a broad geographic range, a multivoltine life

history which allows sampling across generations on short timescales, simple standard techniques for

collecting wild samples, and a well-developed context for population genomic analysis (e.g., Powell

1997; Keller 2007; Hales *et al.* 2015). Importantly, this species is studied by an extensive

international research community, with a long history of developing shared resources (Larracuente &

Roberts 2015; Bilder & Irvine 2017; Haudry *et al.* 2020).

Our study complements and extends previous studies of genetic variation in *D. melanogaster*, both

from its native range in sub-Saharan Africa and from its world-wide expansion as a human

commensal. The expansion into Europe is thought to have occurred approximately 4,100 - 19,000

years ago and into North America and Australia in the last few centuries (e.g., Lachaise *et al.* 1988;

David & Capy 1988; Li & Stephan 2006; Keller 2007; Sprengelmeyer *et al* 2018; Kapopoulou *et al.*

2018a; Arguello *et al.* 2019). The colonization of novel habitats and climate zones on multiple

continents makes *D. melanogaster* especially useful for studying parallel local adaptation, with

previous studies finding pervasive latitudinal clines in allele frequencies (e.g., Schmidt & Paaby 2008;

Turner *et al.* 2008; Kolaczkowski *et al.* 2011; Fabian *et al.* 2012; Bergland *et al.* 2014; Machado *et al.*

2016; Kapun *et al.* 2016a), structural variants such as chromosomal inversions (reviewed in Kapun &

Flatt 2019), transposable elements (TEs) (Boussy *et al.* 1998; González *et al.* 2008; 2010), and

complex phenotypes (de Jong & Bochdanovits 2003; Schmidt & Paaby 2008; Schmidt *et al.* 2008;

Kapun *et al.* 2016b; Behrman *et al.* 2018), especially along the North American and Australian east

coasts. In addition to parallel local adaptation, these latitudinal clines are, however, also affected by

admixture with flies from Africa and Europe (Caracristi & Schlötterer 2003; Yukilevich & True

2008a; b; Duchen *et al.* 2013; Kao *et al.* 2015; Bergland *et al.* 2016).

In contrast, the population genomics of *D. melanogaster* on the European continent remains

largely unstudied (Božičević *et al.* 2016; Pool *et al.* 2016; Mateo *et al.* 2018). Because Eurasia was

the first continent colonized by *D. melanogaster* as they migrated out of Africa, we sought to

understand how this species has adapted to new habitats and climate zones in Europe, where it has

been established the longest (Lachaise *et al.* 1988; David & Capy 1988). We analyse our data at three levels: (1) variation at single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in nuclear and mitochondrial (mtDNA) genomes (~5.5 x 10^6 SNPs in total); (2) structural variation, including TE insertions and chromosomal inversion polymorphisms; and (3) variation in the microbiota associated with flies, including bacteria, fungi, protists, and viruses.

Results and Discussion

As part of the *DrosEU* consortium, we collected 48 population samples of *D. melanogaster* from 32 geographical locations across Europe in 2014 (table 1; fig. 1). We performed pooled sequencing (Pool-Seq) of all 48 samples, with an average autosomal coverage ≥50x (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). Of the 32 locations, 10 were sampled at least once in summer and once in fall (fig. 1), allowing a preliminary analysis of seasonal change in allele frequencies on a genome-wide scale.

A description of the basic patterns of genetic variation of these European *D. melanogaster* population samples, based on SNPs, is provided in the supplement (see supplementary results, supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). For each sample, we estimated genome-wide levels of π, Watterson's θ and Tajima's D (corrected for pooling; Futschik & Schlötterer 2010; Kofler *et al.* 2011). In brief, patterns of genetic variability and Tajima's D were largely consistent with what has been previously observed on other continents (e.g., Fabian *et al.* 2012; Langley *et al.* 2012; Lack *et al.* 2015, 2016), and genetic diversity across the genome varies mainly with recombination rate (Langley *et al.* 2012). We also found little spatio-temporal variation among European populations in overall levels of sequence variability (table 2).

Below we focus on the identification of selective sweeps, previously unknown longitudinal population structure across the European continent, patterns of local adaptation and clines, and microbiota.

Several genomic regions show signatures of continent-wide selective sweeps

To identify genomic regions that have likely undergone selective sweeps in European populations of

D. melanogaster, we used *Pool-hmm* (Boitard *et al.* 2013; see supplementary table S2A,

Supplementary Material online), which identifies candidate sweep regions via distortions in the allele

frequency spectrum. We ran *Pool-hmm* independently for each sample and identified several genomic

regions that coincide with previously identified, well-supported sweeps in the proximity of *Hen1*

(Kolaczkowski *et al.* 2011), *Cyp6g1* (Daborn *et al.* 2002), *wapl* (Beisswanger *et al.* 2006), and around

the chimeric gene *CR18217* (Rogers & Hartl 2012), among others (supplementary table S2B,

Supplementary Material online). These regions also showed local reductions in Tajima's D, consistent

with selection (fig. 2; fig. S1 and fig. S2; Supplementary Material online). The putative sweep regions

that we identified in the European populations included 145 of the 232 genes previously identified

using *Pool-hmm* in an Austrian population (Boitard *et al.* 2012; supplementary table S2C,

Supplementary Material online). We also identified other regions which have not previously been

described as targets of selective sweeps (supplementary table S2A, Supplementary Material online).

Of the regions analysed, 64 showed signatures of selection across all European populations

(supplementary table S2D, Supplementary Material online). Of these, 52 were located in the 10% of

regions with the lowest values of Tajima's D (SuperExactTest; $p < 0.001$). These may represent

continent-wide sweeps that predate the colonization of Europe (e.g., Beisswanger *et al.* 2006) or

which have recently swept across the majority of European populations (supplementary table S2D).

We then asked if there was any indication of selective sweeps particular to a certain habitat. To

this end, we classified the populations according to the Köppen-Geiger climate classification (Peel *et*

al. 2007) and identified several putative sweeps exclusive to arid, temperate and cold regions

(supplementary table S2A, Supplementary Material online). To shed light on potential phenotypes

affected by the potential sweeps we performed a gene ontology (GO) analysis. For temperate

climates, this analysis showed enrichment for functions such as 'response to stimulus', 'transport',

and 'nervous system development'. For cold climates, it showed enrichment for 'vitamin and co-

factor metabolic processes' (supplementary table S2E, Supplementary Material online). There was no

enrichment of any GO category for sweeps associated with arid regions.

Thus, we identified several new candidate selective sweeps in European populations of *D.*

melanogaster, many of which occur in the majority of European populations and which merit future

study, using sequencing of individual flies and functional genetic experiments.

European populations are structured along an east-west gradient

We next investigated whether patterns of genetic differentiation might be due to demographic sub-

structuring. Overall, pairwise differentiation as measured by F_{ST} was relatively low, particularly for

the autosomes (autosomal F_{ST} 0.013–0.059; *X*-chromosome F_{ST}: 0.043–0.076; Mann-Whitney U test;

p < 0.001; supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). The *X* chromosome is expected

to have higher F_{ST} than the autosomes, given its relatively smaller effective population size (Mann-

Whitney U test; *p* < 0.001; Hutter *et al.* 2007). One population, from Sheffield (UK), was unusually

differentiated from the others (average pairwise F_{ST} = 0.027; SE= 0.00043 vs. F_{ST} = 0.04; SE=

0.00055 for comparisons without this population and with this population only; supplementary table

S1, Supplementary Material online). Including this sample in the analysis could potentially lead to

exaggerated patterns of geographic differentiation, as it is both highly differentiated and the furthest

west. We therefore excluded it from the following analyses of geographic differentiation, as this

approach is conservative. (For details see the Supplementary Material online; including or excluding

this population did not qualitatively change our results and their interpretation.)

Despite low overall levels of among-population differentiation, we found that European

populations exhibit clear evidence of geographic sub-structuring. For this analysis, we focused on

SNPs located within short introns, with a length ≤ 60bp and which most likely reflect neutral

population structure (Haddrill *et al.* 2005; Singh *et al.* 2009; Parsch *et al.* 2010; Clemente & Vogl

2012; Lawrie *et al.* 2013). We further filtered out polymorphisms in regions of high recombination (*r*

> 3cM/Mb; Comeron *et al.* 2011) and restricted our analysis to SNPs at least 1 Mb away from the

breakpoints of common inversions, resulting in 4,034 SNPs used for demographic analysis.

We found two signatures of geographic differentiation using these putatively neutral SNPs. First,

we identified a weak but significant correlation between pairwise F_{ST} and geographic distance,

consistent with isolation by distance (IBD; Mantel test; $p < 0.001$; $R^2 = 0.12$, max. $F_{ST} \sim 0.045$; fig.

3A). Second, a principal components analysis (PCA) on allele frequencies showed that the three most

important PC axes explain >25% of the total variance (PC1: 16.71%, PC2: 5.83%, PC3: 4.6%,

eigenvalues = 159.8, 55.7, and 44, respectively; fig 3B). The first axis, PC1, was strongly correlated

with longitude ($F_{1,42} = 118.08$, $p < 0.001$; table 2). Again, this pattern is consistent with IBD, as the

European continent extends further in longitude than latitude. We repeated the above PCA using

SNPs in four-fold degenerate sites, as these are also assumed to be relatively unaffected by selection

(Akashi 1995; Halligan & Keightley 2006; supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online),

and found highly consistent results.

Because there was a significant spatial autocorrelation between samples (as indicated by Moran's

test on residuals from linear regressions with PC1; $p < 0.001$; table 2), we repeated the analysis with

an explicit spatial error model; the association between PC1 and longitude remained significant. To a

lesser extent PC2 was likewise correlated with longitude ($F_{1,42} = 7.15$, $p < 0.05$), but also with altitude

($F_{1,42} = 11.77$, $p < 0.01$) and latitude ($F_{1,42} = 4.69$, $p < 0.05$; table 2). Similar to PC2, PC3 was strongly

correlated with altitude ($F_{1,42} = 19.91$, $p < 0.001$; table 2). We also examined these data for signatures

of genetic differentiation between samples collected at different times of the year. For the dataset as a

whole, no major PC axes were correlated with season, indicating that there were no strong differences

in allele frequencies shared between all our summer and fall samples ($p > 0.05$ for all analyses; table

2). For the 10 locations sampled in both summer and fall, we performed separate PC analyses for

summer and fall. Summer and fall values of PC1 (adjusted R^2: 0.98; $p < 0.001$), PC2 (R^2: 0.74; $p <$

0.001) and PC3 (R^2: 0.81; $p < 0.001$) were strongly correlated across seasons. This indicates a high

degree of seasonal stability in local genetic variation.

Next, we attempted to determine if populations could be statistically classified into clusters of

similar populations. Using hierarchical model fitting based on the first four PC axes from the PCA

mentioned above, we found two distinct clusters (fig. 3B) separated along PC1, supporting the notion

of strong longitudinal differentiation among European populations. Similarly, model-based spatial

clustering also showed that populations were separated mainly by longitude (fig. 3C; using ConStruct,

with K=3 spatial layers chosen based on model selection procedure via cross-validation). We also

inferred levels of admixture among populations from this analysis, based on the relationship between

F_{ST} and migration rate (Wright *et al.* 1951) and using recent estimates of N_e in European populations

($N_e \sim 3.1 \times 10^6$; Duchen *et al.* 2011; for pairwise migration rates see supplementary table S3,

Supplementary Material online). Within the Western European cluster and between the clusters, $4N_em$

was similar ($4N_em$-WE = 43.76, $4N_em$-between = 45.97); in Eastern Europe, estimates of $4N_em$

indicate significantly higher levels of admixture, despite the larger geographic range covered by these

samples ($4N_em$ = 74.17; Mann Whitney U-Test; $p < 0.001$). This result suggests that the longitudinal

differentiation in Europe might be partly driven by high levels of genetic exchange in Eastern Europe,

perhaps due to migration and recolonization after harsh winters in that region. However, these

estimates of gene flow must be interpreted with caution, as unknown demographic events can

confound estimates of migration rates from F_{ST} (Whitlock & MacCauley 1999).

In addition to restricted gene flow between geographic areas, local adaptation may explain

population sub-structure, even at neutral sites, if nearby and closely related populations are

responding to similar selective pressures. We investigated whether any of 19 climatic variables,

obtained from the WorldClim database (Hijmans *et al.* 2005), were associated with the genetic

structure in our samples. These climatic variables represent interpolated averages across 30 years of

observation at the geographic coordinates corresponding to our sampling locations. Since many of

these variables are highly intercorrelated, we analysed their joint effects on genetic variation, by using

PCA to summarize the information they capture. The first three climatic PC axes capture more than

77% of the variance in the 19 climatic variables (supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material

online). PC1 explained 36% of the variance and was strongly correlated ($r >0.75$ or $r <-0.75$) with

climatic variables differentiating 'hot and dry' from 'cold and wet' climates (e.g., maximum

temperature of the warmest month, $r = 0.84$; mean temperature of warmest quarter, $r = 0.86$; annual

mean temperature, $r = 0.85$; precipitation during the warmest quarter, $r = -0.87$). Conversely, PC2

(27.3% of variance explained) distinguished climates with low and high differences between seasons

(*e.g.*, isothermality, $r = 0.83$; temperature seasonality, $r = 0.88$; temperature annual range, $r = -0.78$;

precipitation in coldest quarter, $r = 0.79$). Both PC1 and PC2 were strongly correlated with latitude

(linear regression with PC1: $R^2 = 0.48$, $p < 0.001$; PC2: $R^2 = 0.58$, $p < 0.001$) and PC2 was also

weakly correlated with latitude ($R^2 = 0.11$; linear regression, $p < 0.05$) and altitude ($R^2 = 0.12$; linear

regression, $p < 0.01$).

We next asked whether any of these climate PCs explained any of the genetic structure uncovered

above. Pairwise linear regressions of the first three PC axes based on allele frequencies of intronic

SNPs against the first three climatic PCs revealed that only one significant correlation after

Bonferroni correction: between climatic PC2 ('seasonality') vs. genetic PC1 (longitude; adjusted $\alpha =$

0.017; $R^2 = 0.49$, $P<0.001$). This suggests that longitudinal differentiation along the European

continent might be partly driven by the transition from oceanic to continental climate, possibly

leading to local adaptation to gradual changes in temperature seasonality and the severity of winter

conditions.

Interestingly, the central European division into an eastern and a western clade of *D. melanogaster*

closely resembles known hybrid zones of organisms which form closely related pairs of sister taxa.

These biogeographic patterns have been associated with long-term reductions of gene flow between

eastern and western population during the last glacial maximum, followed by postglacial

recolonization of the continent from southern refugia (Hewitt 1999). However, in contrast to many of

these taxa, which often exhibit pronounced pre- and postzygotic isolation (Szymura & Barton 1986;

Haas & Brodin 2005; Macholán *et al.* 2008, Knief *et al.* 2019), we found low genome-wide

differentiation among eastern and western populations (average max. $F_{ST} \sim 0.045$), perhaps indicating

that the longitudinal division of European *D. melanogaster* is not the result of postglacial secondary

contact.

Climatic predictors identify genomic signatures of local climate adaptation

To further explore climatic patterns, and to identify signatures of local adaptation caused by climatic

differences among populations independent of neutral demographic effects, we tested for associations

of SNP alleles with climatic PC1 and PC2 using BayeScEnv (de Villemereuil & Gaggiotti 2015). The

total number of SNPs tested and the number of "top SNPs" (q-value < 0.05) are given in

supplementary table S5A (Supplementary Material online). A large proportion of the top SNPs were

intergenic (PC1: 33.5%; PC2: 32.2%) or intronic variants (PC1: 50.1%; PC2: 50.5%). Manhattan

plots of q-values for all SNPs are shown in fig. 4. These figures show some distinct "peaks" of highly

differentiated SNPs along with some broader regions of moderately differentiated SNPs (fig. 4). For

example, the circadian rhythm gene *timeout* and the ecdysone signalling genes *Eip74EF* and *Eip75B*

all lie near peaks associated with climatic PC1 ('hot/dry' vs. 'cold/wet'; fig. 4, top panels). We note

that the corresponding genes have been identified in previous studies of clinal (latitudinal)

differentiation in North American *D. melanogaster* (Fabian *et al.* 2012; Machado *et al.* 2016). In fact,

we found a significant overlap between genes associated with PC1 and PC2 from our study and

candidate gene sets from these previous studies of latitudinal clines (SuperExactTest; $p < 0.001$;

Fabian *et al.* 2012; Machado *et al.* 2016). Moreover, the BayeScEnv analysis and *Pool-hmm* analysis

together identify four regions with both climatic associations and evidence for continent-wide

selective sweeps (supplementary table S5B-C, Supplementary Material online). Finally, four other

BayeScEnv candidate genes were previously identified as targets of selection in African and North

American populations based on significant McDonald-Kreitman tests (Langley *et al.* 2012; see

supplementary table S5B-C, Supplementary Material online).

We next asked whether any insights into the targets of local selection could be gleaned from

examining the functions of genes near the BayeScEnv peaks. We examined annotated features within

2kb of significantly associated SNPs (PC1: 3,545 SNPs near 2,078 annotated features; PC2: 5,572

SNPs near 2,717 annotated features; supplementary table S5B and C, Supplementary Material online).

First, we performed a GO term analysis with GOwinda (Kofler & Schlötterer 2012) to ask whether

SNPs associated with climatic PCs are enriched for any gene functions. For PC1, we found no GO

term enrichment. For PC2, we found enrichment for "cuticle development", and "UDP-

glucosyltransferase activity". Next, we performed functional annotation clustering with DAVID

(v6.8; Huang *et al.* 2009), and identified 37 and 47 clusters with an enrichment score > 1.3 for PC1

and PC2, respectively (supplementary table S5D-E, Supplementary Material online). PC1 was

enriched for categories such as "sex differentiation" and "response to nicotine", whereas PC2 was

enriched for functional categories such as "response to nicotine", "integral component of membrane",

and "sensory perception of chemical stimulus" (supplementary table S5D-E, Supplementary Material

online).

We also asked whether the SNPs identified by BayeScEnv show consistent signatures of local

adaptation. Many associated genes (1,205) were also shared between PC1 and PC2. Some genes have

indeed been previously implicated in climatic and clinal adaptation, such as the circadian rhythm

genes *timeless*, *timeout*, and *clock*, the sexual differentiation gene *fruitless*, and the *couch potato*

locus which underlies the latitudinal cline in reproductive dormancy in North America (e.g., Tauber *et*

al. 2007; Schmidt *et al.* 2008; Fabian *et al.* 2012). Notably, these also include the major insulin

signaling genes *insulin-like receptor* (*InR*) and *forkhead box subgroup O* (*foxo*), which have strong

genomic and experimental evidence implicating these loci in clinal, climatic adaptation along the

North America east coast (Paaby *et al.* 2010; Fabian *et al.* 2012; Paaby *et al.* 2014; Durmaz *et al.*

2019). Thus, European populations share multiple potential candidate targets of selection with North

American populations (cf. Fabian *et al.* 2012; Machado *et al.* 2016; also see Božičević *et al.* 2016).

We next turned to examining polymorphisms other than SNPs, i.e. mitochondrial haplotypes as well

as inversion and TE polymorphisms.

Mitochondrial haplotypes also exhibit longitudinal population structure

Mitochondrial haplotypes also showed evidence of longitudinal demographic structure in European

population. We identified two main alternative mitochondrial haplotypes in Europe, G1 and G2, each

with several sub-haplotypes (G1.1 and G1.2 and G2.1, G2.2 and G2.3). The two sub-types, G1.2 and

G2.1, are separated by 41 mutations (fig. 5A). The frequencies of the alternative G1 and G2 haplotype

varied among populations between 35.1% and 95.6% and between 4.4% and 64.9%, respectively (fig.

5B). Qualitatively, three types of European populations could be distinguished based on these

haplotypes: (1) central European populations, with a high frequency (> 60%) of G1 haplotypes, (2)

Eastern European populations in summer, with a low frequency (< 40%) of G1 haplotypes, and (3)

Iberian and Eastern European populations in fall, with a frequency of G1 haplotypes between 40-60%

(supplementary fig. S4, Supplementary Material online). Analyses of mitochondrial haplotypes from a

North American population (Cooper *et al.* 2015) as well as from worldwide samples (Wolff *et al.*

2016) also revealed high levels of haplotype diversity.

While there was no correlation between the frequency of G1 haplotypes and latitude, G1

haplotypes and longitude were weakly but significantly correlated ($r^2 = 0.10$; $p < 0.05$). We thus

divided the dataset into an eastern and a western sub-set along the 20º meridian, corresponding to the

division of two major climatic zones, temperate (oceanic) versus cold (continental) (Peel *et al.* 2007).

This split revealed a clear correlation ($r^2=0.5$; $p<0.001$) between longitude and the frequency of G1

haplotypes, explaining as much as 50% of the variation in the western group (supplementary fig. S4B,

Supplementary Material online). Similarly, in eastern populations, longitude and the frequency of G1

haplotypes were correlated ($r^2 = 0.2$; $p<0.001$), explaining approximately 20% of the variance

(supplementary fig. S4B, Supplementary Material online). Thus, these mitochondrial haplotypes

appear to follow a similar east-west population structure as observed for the nuclear SNPs described

above .

The frequency of polymorphic TEs varies with longitude and altitude

To examine the population genomics of structural variants, we first focused on transposable elements

(TEs). Similar to previous findings, the repetitive content of the 48 samples ranged from 16% to 21%

of the nuclear genome size (Quesneville *et al.* 2005; fig. 6). The vast majority of detected repeats

were TEs, mostly long terminal repeat elements (LTRs; range 7.55 % - 10.15 %) and long

interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs range 4.18 % - 5.52 %), along with a few DNA elements (range

1.16 % - 1.65 %) (supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material online). LTRs have been

previously described as being the most abundant TEs in the *D. melanogaster* genome (Kaminker *et al.*

2002; Bergman *et al.* 2006). Correspondingly, variation in the proportion of LTRs best explained

variation in total TE content (LINE+LTR+DNA) (Pearson's $r = 0.87$, $p < 0.01$, *vs.* DNA $r = 0.58$, $p =$

0.0117, and LINE $r = 0.36$, $p < 0.01$ and supplementary fig. S5A, Supplementary Material online).

For each of the 1,630 TE insertion sites annotated in the *D. melanogaster* reference genome *v.6.04*,

we estimated the frequency at which a copy of the TE was present at that site using *T-lex2* (Fiston-

Lavier *et al.* 2015; see supplementary table S7, Supplementary Material online). On average, 56%

were fixed in all samples. The remaining polymorphic TEs mostly segregated at low frequency in all

samples (supplementary fig. S5B), potentially due to purifying selection (González *et al.* 2008; Petrov

et al. 2011; Kofler *et al.* 2012; Cridland *et al.* 2013; Blumenstiel *et al.* 2014). However, 246 were

present at intermediate frequencies (>10% and <95%) and located in regions of non-zero

recombination (Fiston-Lavier *et al.* 2010; Comeron *et al.* 2012; see supplementary table S7,

Supplementary Material online). Although some of these insertions might be segregating neutrally at

transposition-selection balance (Charlesworth *et al.* 1994; see supplementary fig. S5B, Supplementary

Material online), they are likely enriched for candidate adaptive mutations (Rech *et al.* 2019).

In each of the 48 samples, TE frequency and recombination rate were negatively correlated

genome-wide (Spearman rank sum test; $p < 0.01$), as has also been previously reported for *D.*

melanogaster (Bartolomé *et al.* 2002; Petrov *et al.* 2011; Kofler *et al.* 2012). This remains true when

fixed TE insertions were excluded (population frequency ≥95%) from the analysis, although it was

not statistically significant for some chromosomes and populations (supplementary table S8,

Supplementary Material online). In both cases, the correlation was stronger when broad-scale (Fiston-

Lavier *et al.* 2010) rather than fine-scale (Comeron *et al.* 2012) recombination rate estimates were

used, indicating that the former may best capture long-term population recombination patterns (see

supplementary materials and methods and supplementary table S8, Supplementary Material online).

We next tested whether variation in TE frequencies among samples was associated with spatially

or temporally varying factors. We focused on 111 TE insertions that segregated at intermediate

frequencies, were located in non-zero recombination regions, and that showed an interquartile range

(IQR) > 10 (see supplementary materials and methods, Supplementary Material online). Of these

insertions, 57 were significantly associated with a at least one variable of interest after multiple testing

correction (supplementary table S9A, Supplementary Material online): 13 were significantly

associated with longitude, 13 with altitude, five with latitude, three with season, and 23 insertions

with more than one of these variables (supplementary table S9A, Supplementary Material online).

These 57 TEs were mainly located inside genes (42 out of 57; Fisher's Exact Test, $p > 0.05$;

supplementary table S9A, Supplementary Material online).

The 57 TEs significantly associated with these environmental variables were enriched for two TE

families: the LTR *297* family with 11 copies, and the DNA *pogo* family with five copies (χ^2-values

after Yate's correction < 0.05; supplementary table S9B, Supplementary Material online).

Interestingly, 17 of the 57 TEs coincided with previously identified adaptive candidate TEs,

suggesting that our dataset might be enriched for adaptive insertions (SuperExactTest, $p < 0.001$),

several of which exhibit spatial frequency clines that deviate from neutral expectation

(SuperExactTest, $p < 0.001$, supplementary table S9A, Supplementary Material online; cf.; Rech *et al.*

2019). Moreover, 18 of the 57 TEs also show significant correlations with either geographical or

temporal variables in North American populations (SuperExactTest, $p < 0.001$, supplementary table

S9A, Supplementary Material online; cf.; Lerat *et al.* 2019).

Inversions exhibit latitudinal and longitudinal clines in Europe

Polymorphic chromosomal inversions, another class of structural variants besides TEs, are well-

known to exhibit pronounced spatial (clinal) patterns in North American, Australian and other

populations, possibly due to spatially varying selection (reviewed in Kapun & Flatt 2019; also see

Mettler *et al.* 1977; Knibb *et al.* 1981; Leumeunier & Aulard 1992; Hoffmann & Weeks 2007; Fabian

et al. 2012; Kapun *et al.* 2014; Rane *et al.* 2015; Adrion *et al.* 2015; Kapun *et al.* 2016a). However, in

contrast to North America and Australia, inversion clines in Europe remain poorly characterized

(Lemeunier & Aulard 1992; Kapun & Flatt 2019). We therefore sought to examine the presence and

frequency of six cosmopolitan inversions (*In(2L)t, In(2R)NS, In(3L)P, In(3R)C, In(3R)Mo,*

In(3R)Payne) in our European samples, using a panel of inversion-specific marker SNPs (Kapun *et al.*

2014). All 48 samples were polymorphic for one or more inversions (Figure 6). However, only

In(2L)t segregated at substantial frequencies in most populations (average frequency = 20.2%); all other inversions were either absent or rare (average frequencies: *In(2R)NS* = 6.2%, *In(3L)P* = 4%, *In(3R)C* = 3.1%, *In(3R)Mo* = 2.2%, *In(3R)Payne* = 5.7%) (cf. Kapun *et al.* 2016; Kapun & Flatt 2019).

Despite their overall low frequencies, several inversions showed pronounced clinality. For all of the analyses below, we tested for confounding effects of spatial autocorrelation, by asking if there was significant residual spatio-temporal autocorrelation among samples; all of these test were negative, except for *In(3R)C* (Moran's $I \approx 0$, $p > 0.05$ for all tests; table 3). We observed significant latitudinal clines for *In(3L)P, In(3R)C* and *In(3R)Payne* (generalized linear regression, Inversion frequency ~ Continent * Latitude; $p < 0.001$ for all; see table 3). Clines for *In(3L)P* and *In(3R)Payne* were qualitatively similar for both continents (with frequencies decreasing with latitude, $p < 0.05$ for both), although all inversions differed in their frequency at the same latitude between North America and Europe ($p < 0.001$ for Continent; supplementary table S10, Supplementary Material online).

Latitudinal inversion clines previously observed along the North American and Australian east coasts (supplementary fig. S6 and supplementary table S10, Supplementary Material online; Kapun *et al.* 2016a) have been attributed to spatially varying selection, especially in the case of *In(3R)Payne* (Durmaz *et al.* 2018; Anderson *et al.* 2005; Umina *et al.* 2005; Kennington *et al.* 2006; Rako *et al.* 2006; Kapun *et al.* 2016a,b; Kapun & Flatt 2019). Similar to patterns in North America (Kapun *et al.* 2016a), we observed that clinality of the three inversion polymorphisms was markedly stronger than for putatively neutral SNPs in short introns (see supplementary table S11, Supplementary Material online), suggesting that these polymorphisms may be non-neutral. Together, these finding suggest that latitudinal inversion clines in Europe are shaped by spatially varying selection.

We also detected longitudinal clines for *In(2L)t* and *In(2R)NS*, with both polymorphisms decreasing in frequency from east to west (Inversion frequency ~ Latitude + Longitude + Altitude + Season; $p < 0.01$; table 3; also cf. Kapun & Flatt 2019). Longitudinal clines for these two inversions have also been found in North America (Kapun & Flatt 2019). One of these inversions, *In(2L)t*, also changed in frequency with altitude (table 3). The longitudinal and altitudinal inversion clines did,

however, not deviate from neutral expectation (supplementary table S11, Supplementary Material

online).

European *Drosophila* microbiomes contain Entomophthora, trypanosomatids and previously

unknown DNA viruses

The microbiota can affect life history traits, immunity, hormonal physiology, and metabolic

homeostasis of their fly hosts (e.g., Trinder *et al.* 2017; Martino *et al.* 2017) and might thus reveal

interesting patterns of local adaptation. We therefore examined the bacterial, fungal, protist, and viral

microbiota sequence content of our samples. To do this, we characterised the taxonomic origin of the

non-*Drosophila* reads in our dataset using MGRAST, which identifies and counts short protein motifs

('features') within reads (Meyer *et al.* 2008). We examined 262 million reads in total. Of these, most

were assigned to *Wolbachia* (mean 53.7%; fig. 7; supplementary table S1)*,* a well-known

endosymbiont of *Drosophila* (Werren *et al.* 2008). The abundance of *Wolbachia* protein features

relative to other microbial protein features (relative abundance) varied strongly between samples,

ranging from 8.8% in a sample from Ukraine to almost 100% in samples from Spain, Portugal,

Turkey and Russia (supplementary table S12, Supplementary Material online). Similarly, *Wolbachia*

loads varied 100-fold between samples, as estimated from the ratio of *Wolbachia* protein features to

Drosophila protein features (supplementary table S12, Supplementary Material online). In contrast to

a previous study (Kriesner *et al.* 2016), there was no evidence for clinality of *Wolbachia* loads ($p =$

0.13, longitude; $p = 0.41$, latitude; Kendall's rank correlation). However, these authors measured

infection frequencies while we measured *Wolbachia* loads in pooled samples. Because the frequency

of infection does not necessarily correlate with microbial loads measured in pooled samples, we might

not have been able to detect such a signal in our data.

Acetic acid bacteria of the genera *Gluconobacter*, *Gluconacetobacter*, and *Acetobacter* were the

second largest group, with an average relative abundance of 34.4% among microbial protein features.

Furthermore, we found evidence for the presence of several genera of Enterobacteria (*Serratia,*

Yersinia, Klebsiella, Pantoea, Escherichia, Enterobacter, Salmonella, and *Pectobacterium*). *Serratia*

occurs only at low frequencies or is absent from most of our samples, but reaches a very high relative

abundance among microbial protein features in the Nicosia (Cyprus) summer collection (54.5%). This

high relative abundance was accompanied by an 80x increase in *Serratia* bacterial load.

We also detected several eukaryotic microorganisms, although they were less abundant than the

bacteria. We found trypanosomatids, previously reported to be associated with *Drosophila* in other

studies (Wilfert *et al.* 2011; Chandler & James 2013; Hamilton *et al.* 2015), in 16 of our samples, on

average representing 15% of all microbial protein features identified in these samples.

Fungal protein features make up <3% of all but three samples (from Finland, Austria and Turkey;

supplementary table S12, Supplementary Material online). This is somewhat surprising because

yeasts are commonly found on rotting fruit, the main food substrate of *D. melanogaster*, and co-occur

with flies (Barata *et al.* 2012; Chandler *et al.* 2012). This result suggests that, although yeasts can

attract flies and play a role in food choice (Becher *et al.* 2012; Buser *et al.* 2014), they might not be

highly prevalent in or on *D. melanogaster* bodies. One reason might be that they are actively digested

and thus not part of the microbiome. We also found the fungal pathogen *Entomophthora muscae* in 14

samples, making up 0.18% of the reads (Elya *et al.* 2018).

Our data also allowed us to identify DNA viruses. Only one DNA virus has been previously

described for *D. melanogaster* (Kallithea virus; Webster *et al.* 2015; Palmer *et al.* 2018) and only two

additional ones from other Drosophilid species (*Drosophila innubila* Nudivirus [Unckless 2011],

Invertebrate Iridovirus 31 in *D. obscura* and *D. immigrans* [Webster *et al.* 2016]). In our data set,

approximately two million reads came from *Kallithea* nudivirus (Webster *et al.* 2015), allowing us to

assemble the first complete *Kallithea* genome (>300-fold coverage in the Ukrainian sample

UA_Kha_14_46; Genbank accession KX130344).

We also found reads from five additional DNA viruses that were previously unknown

(supplementary table S13, Supplementary Material online). First, around 1,000 reads come from a

novel nudivirus closely related to both *Kallithea* virus and to *Drosophila innubila* nudivirus (Unckless

2011) in sample DK_Kar_14_41 from Karensminde, Denmark supplementary table S13,

Supplementary Material online). As the reads from this virus were insufficient to assemble the

genome, we identified a publicly available dataset (SRR3939042: 27 male *D. melanogaster* from

Esparto, California; Machado *et al.* 2016) with sufficient reads to complete the genome (provisionally

named "*Esparto* Virus"; KY608910). Second, we also identified two novel Densoviruses

(*Parvoviridae*). The first is a relative of *Culex pipiens* densovirus, provisionally named "*Viltain*

virus", found at 94-fold coverage in sample FR_Vil_14_07 (Viltain; KX648535). The second is

"*Linvill Road* virus", a relative of *Dendrolimus punctatus* densovirus, represented by only 300 reads

here, but with high coverage in dataset SRR2396966 from a North American sample of *D. simulans*,

permitting assembly (KX648536; Machado *et al.* 2016). Third, we detected a novel member of the

Bidnaviridae family, "*Vesanto* virus", a bidensovirus related to *Bombyx mori* densovirus 3 with

approximately 900-fold coverage in sample FI_Ves_14_38 (Vesanto; KX648533 and KX648534).

Finally, in one sample (UA_Yal_14_16), we detected a substantial number of reads from an

Entomopox-like virus, which we were unable to fully assemble (supplementary table S13,

Supplementary Material online).

Using a detection threshold of >0.1% of the *Drosophila* genome copy number, the most commonly

detected viruses were *Kallithea* virus (30/48 of the pools) and *Vesanto* virus (25/48), followed by

Linvill Road virus (7/48) and *Viltain* virus (5/48), with *Esparto* virus and the entomopox-like virus

being the rarest (2/48 and 1/48, respectively). Because *Wolbachia* can protect *Drosophila* from

viruses (Teixeira et al., 2008), we hypothesized that *Wolbachia* loads might correlate negatively with

viral loads, but found no evidence of such a correlation ($p = 0.83$ Kallithea virus; $p = 0.76$ Esparto

virus; $p = 0.52$ Viltain virus; $p = 0.96$ Vesanto 1 virus; $p = 0.93$ Vesanto 2 virus; $p = 0.5$ Linvill Road

virus; Kendall's rank correlation). Perhaps this is because the *Kallithea* virus, the most prevalent

virus in our data set, is not expected to be affected by *Wolbachia* (Palmer et al.,2018). Similarly, Shi

et al. (2018) found no link between *Wolbachia* and the prevalence or abundance of RNA viruses in

data from individual flies.

The variation in bacterial microbiomes across space and time reported here is analysed in more

detail in Wang *et al.* (2020); this study suggests that some of this variation is structured

geographically (cf. Walters *et al.* 2020). Thus, microbiome composition may contribute to phenotypic

differences and local adaptation among populations, (Haselkorn *et al.* 2009; Richardson *et al.* 2012;

Staubach *et al.* 2013; Kriesner *et al.* 2016; Wang and Staubach 2018).

Conclusions

Here, we have comprehensively sampled and sequenced European populations of *D. melanogaster* for

the first time (fig. 1). We find that European *D. melanogaster* populations are longitudinally

differentiated for putatively neutral SNPs, mitochondrial haplotypes as well as for inversion and TE

insertion polymorphisms. Potentially adaptive polymorphisms also show this pattern, possibly driven

by the transition from oceanic to continental climate along the longitudinal axis of Europe. We note

that this longitudinal differentiation qualitatively resembles the one observed for human populations

in Europe (e.g., Cavalli-Sforza 1966; Xiao et al. 2004; Francalacci & Sanna 2008; Novembre *et al.*

2008). Given that *D. melanogaster* is a human commensal (Keller 2007, Arguello *et al.* 2019), it is

thus tempting to speculate that the demographic history of European populations might have been

influenced by past human migration. Outside Europe, east-west structure has been previously found in

sub-Saharan Africa populations of *D. melanogaster*, with the split between eastern and western

African populations having occurred ~70 kya (Michalakis & Veuille 1996; Aulard *et al.* 2002;

Kapopoulou *et al.* 2018b), a period that coincides with a wave of human migration from eastern into

western Africa (Nielsen *et al.* 2017). However, in contrast to the pronounced pattern observed in

Europe, African east-west structure is relatively weak, explaining only ~2.7% of variation, and is

primarily due to an inversion whose frequency varies longitudinally. In contrast, our demographic

analyses are based on SNPs located in >1 Mb distance from the breakpoints of the most common

inversions, making it unlikely that the longitudinal pattern we observe is driven by inversions.

Our extensive sampling was feasible only due to synergistic collaboration among many research

groups. Our efforts in Europe are paralleled in North America by the *Dros-RTEC* consortium

(Machado *et al.* 2019), with whom we are collaborating to compare population genomic data across

continents. Together, we have sampled both continents annually since 2014; we aim to continue to

sample and sequence European and North American *Drosophila* populations with increasing spatio-

temporal resolution in future years. With these efforts, we hope to provide a rich community resource

for biologists interested in molecular population genetics and adaptation genomics.

Materials and methods

A detailed description of the materials and methods is provided in the supplementary materials and

methods (see Supplementary Material online); here we give a brief overview of the dataset and the

basic methods used. The 2014 *DrosEU* dataset represents the most comprehensive spatio-temporal

sampling of European *D. melanogaster* populations to date (fig.1; supplementary table S1,

Supplementary Material online). It comprises 48 samples of *D. melanogaster* collected from 32

geographical locations across Europe at different time points in 2014 through a joint effort of 18

research groups. Collections were mostly performed with baited traps using a standardized protocol

(see supplementary materials and methods, Supplementary Material online). From each collection, we

pooled 33–40 wild-caught males. We used males as they are more easily distinguishable

morphologically from similar species than females. Despite our precautions, we identified a low level

of *D. simulans* contamination in our sequences; we computationally filtered these sequences from the

data prior to further analysis (see Supplementary Material online). To sequence these samples, we

extracted DNA and barcoded each sample, and sequenced the ~40 flies per sample as a pool (Pool-

Seq; Schlötterer *et al.* 2014), as paired-end fragments on a *Illumina NextSeq 500* sequencer at the

Genomics Core Facility of Pompeu Fabra University. Samples were multiplexed in 5 batches of 10

samples, except for one batch of 8 samples (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online).

Each multiplexed batch was sequenced on 4 lanes at ~50x raw coverage per sample. The read length

was 151 bp, with a median insert size of 348 bp (range 209-454 bp). Our genomic dataset is available

under NCBI Bioproject accession PRJNA388788. Sequences were processed and mapped to the *D.*

melanogaster reference genome (v.6.12) and reference sequences from common commensals and

pathogens. Our bioinformatic pipeline is available at https://github.com/capoony/DrosEU_pipeline.

To call SNPs, we developed custom software (*PoolSNP*; see supplementary material and methods;

https://github.com/capoony/PoolSNP), using stringent heuristic parameters. In addition, we obtained

genome sequences from African flies from the *Drosophila* Genome Nexus (DGN;

http://www.johnpool.net/genomes.html; see supplementary table S14 for SRA accession numbers).

We used data from 14 individuals from Rwanda and 40 from Siavonga (Zambia). We mapped these

data to the *D. melanogaster* reference genome using the same pipeline as for our own data above, and

built consensus sequences for each haploid sample by only considering alleles with > 0.9 allele

frequencies. We converted consensus sequences to *VCF* and used *VCFtools* (Danecek et al. 2011) for

downstream analyses. Additional steps in the mapping and variant calling pipeline and further

downstream analyses of the data are detailed in in the supplementary materials and methods

(Supplementary Materials online).

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary materials and methods, supplementary results and supplementary figs. S1–S13 and

supplementary tables S1–S18 are available at Molecular Biology and Evolution online

(http://www.mbe.oxfordjournals.org/).

Acknowledgments

We thank two anonymous reviewers and the editors for their helpful comments on a previous version

of our manuscript. We are grateful to the members of the *DrosEU* and Dros-RTEC consortia and to

Dmitri Petrov (Stanford University) for support and discussion. *DrosEU* is funded by a Special Topic

Networks (STN) grant from the European Society for Evolutionary Biology (ESEB). Computational

analyses were partially executed at the Vital-IT bioinformatics facility of the University of Lausanne

(Switzerland), the computing facilities of the CC LBBE/PRABI in Lyon (France), the bwUniCluster

of the state of Baden-Württemberg (bwHPC), and the University of St Andrews Bioinformatics Unit

which is funded by a Wellcome Trust ISSF award (grant 105621/Z/14/Z). We are grateful to Oscar

Gaggiotti for advice on BayeScEnv analyses.

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
University of Freiburg Research Innovation Fund 2014. Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG)	STA1154/4-1Project 408908608	Fabian Staubach
Academy of Finland	#268241	Maaria Kankare
Academy of Finland	#272927	Maaria Kankare
Russian Foundation of Basic Research	#15-54-46009 CT_a	Elena G. Pasyukova
Danish Natural Science Research Council	4002-00113	Volker Loeschcke
Ministerio de Economia y Competitividad	CTM2017-88080 (AEI/FEDER, UE)	Marta Pascual
CNRS	UMR 9191	Catherine Montchamp-Moreau
Vetenskapsrådet	2011-05679	Jessica Abbott
Vetenskapsrådet	2015-04680	Jessica Abbott
Emmy Noether Programme of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft,(DFG)	PO 1648/3-1	Nico Posnien
National Institute of Health (NIH)	R35GM119686	Alan O. Bergland
Ministerio de Economia y Competitividad	CGL2013-42432-P	Maria Pilar Garcia Guerreiro
Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK)	#214Z238	Banu Sebnem Onder
ANR Exhyb	14-CE19-0016	Cristina Vieira
Network of Excellence LifeSpan	FP6 036894	Bas J. Zwaan
IDEAL	FP7/2007-2011/259679	Bas J. Zwaan
Israel Science Foundation	1737/17	Eran Tauber
National Institute of Health (NIH)	R01GM100366	Paul S. Schmidt
Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG)	PA 903/8-1	John Parsch
Austrian Science Fund (FWF)	P32275	Martin Kapun
Austrian Science Fund (FWF)	P27048	Andrea J. Betancourt
Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC)	BB/P00685X/1	Andrea J. Betancourt
Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF)	PP00P3_133641	Thomas Flatt
Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF)	PP00P3_165836	Thomas Flatt
Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF)	31003A_182262	Thomas Flatt
European Commission	H2020-ERC-2014CoG-647900	Josefa González

594
595

References

Adrian AB, Comeron JM (2013) The *Drosophila* early ovarian transcriptome provides insight to the

molecular causes of recombination rate variation across genomes. *BMC Genomics*, **14**, 1-12.

Adrion JR, Hahn MW, Cooper BS (2015) Revisiting classic clines in *Drosophila melanogaster* in the

age of genomics. *Trends in Genetics*, **31**, 434–444.

Akashi H (1995) Inferring weak selection from patterns of polymorphism and divergence at "silent"

sites in *Drosophila* DNA. *Genetics*, **139**, 1067-1076.

Anderson AR, Hoffmann AA, McKechnie SW, Umina PA, Weeks AR (2005) The latitudinal cline in

the *In(3R)Payne* inversion polymorphism has shifted in the last 20 years in Australian

Drosophila melanogaster populations. *Molecular Ecology,* **14**, 851–858.

Arguello JR, Laurent S, Clark AG. (2019) Demographic History of the Human Commensal

Drosophila melanogaster. Genome Biology and Evolution 11:844–854.

Aulard S, David JR, Lemeunier F (2002) Chromosomal inversion polymorphism in Afrotropical

populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetic Research*, **79**, 49–63.

Barata A, Santos SC, Malfeito-Ferreira M, Loureiro V (2012) New insights into the ecological

interaction between grape berry microorganisms and *Drosophila* flies during the development of

sour rot. *Microbial Ecology*, **64**, 416–430.

Bartolomé C, Maside X, Charlesworth B (2002) On the Abundance and Distribution of Transposable

Elements in the Genome of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **19**,

926–937.

Becher PG, Flick G, Rozpędowska E *et al.* (2012) Yeast, not fruit volatiles mediate *Drosophila*

melanogaster attraction, oviposition and development. *Functional Ecology*, **26**, 822–828.

Begun DJ, Holloway AK, Stevens K *et al.* (2007) Population Genomics: Whole-Genome Analysis of

Polymorphism and Divergence in *Drosophila simulans*. *PLoS Biology*, **5**, e310.

Behrman EL, Howick VM, Kapun M *et al.* (2018) Rapid seasonal evolution in innate immunity of

wild *Drosophila melanogaster. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B*, **285**, 20172599.

Beisswanger S, Stephan W, De Lorenzo D (2006) Evidence for a Selective Sweep in the *wapl* Region

of *Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics*, **172**, 265–274.

Bergland AO, Behrman EL, O'Brien KR, Schmidt PS, Petrov DA (2014) Genomic Evidence of Rapid

and STable Adaptive Oscillations over Seasonal Time Scales in *Drosophila. PLoS Genetics*, **10**,

e1004775.

Bergland AO, Tobler R, González J, Schmidt P, Petrov D (2016) Secondary contact and local

adaptation contribute to genome-wide patterns of clinal variation in *Drosophila* melanogaster.

Molecular Ecology, **25**, 1157–1174.

Bergman, C. M., Quesneville, H., Anxolabehere, D. & Ashburner, M. (2006) Recurrent insertion and

duplication generate networks of transposable element sequences in the Drosophila melanogaster

genome. *Genome Biology*, **7**, R112.

Blumenstiel JP, Chen X, He M, Bergman CM (2014) An Age-of-Allele Test of Neutrality for

Transposable Element Insertions. *Genetics*, **196**, 523–538.

Boitard S, Schlötterer C, Nolte V, Pandey RV, Futschik A (2012) Detecting Selective Sweeps from

Pooled Next-Generation Sequencing Samples. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **29**, 2177–2186.

Boitard S, Kofler R, Françoise P, Robelin D, Schlötterer C, Futschik A (2013) Pool-hmm: a Python

program for estimating the allele frequency spectrum and detecting selective sweeps from next

generation sequencing of pooled samples. *Mol Ecol Resour,* **13**, 337–340.

Boussy IA, Itoh M, Rand D, Woodruff RC (1998) Origin and decay of the P element-associated

latitudinal cline in Australian *Drosophila melanogaster. Genetica*, **104**, 45–57.

Božičević V, Hutter S, Stephan W, Wollstein A (2016) Population genetic evidence for cold

adaptation in European *Drosophila melanogaster* populations. *Molecular Ecology*, **25**, 1175–

1191.

Buser CC, Newcomb RD, Gaskett AC, Goddard MR (2014) Niche construction initiates the evolution

of mutualistic interactions. *Ecology Letters*, **17**, 1257–1264.

Caracristi G, Schlötterer C (2003) Genetic Differentiation Between American and European

Drosophila melanogaster Populations Could Be Attributed to Admixture of African Alleles.

Molecular Biology and Evolution, **20**, 792–799.

Cavalli-Sforza LL (1966) Population Structure and Human Evolution. *Proceedings of the Royal*

Society of London B, **164**, 362–379.

Chandler JA, James PM (2013) Discovery of trypanosomatid parasites in globally distributed

Drosophila species. *PLoS ONE*, **8**, e61937.

Chandler JA, Eisen JA, Kopp A (2012) Yeast communities of diverse *Drosophila* species:

comparison of two symbiont groups in the same hosts. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*,

78, 7327–7336.

Charlesworth B, Sniegowski P, Stephan W (1994) The evolutionary dynamics of repetitive DNA in

eukaryotes. *Nature*, **371**, 215–220.

Cheng C, White BJ, Kamdem C *et al.* (2012) Ecological genomics of *Anopheles gambiae* along a

latitudinal cline: a population-resequencing approach. *Genetics*, **190**, 1417–1432.

Clemente F, Vogl C (2012) Unconstrained evolution in short introns? – An analysis of genome-wide

polymorphism and divergence data from *Drosophila*. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, **25**, 1975–

1990.

Cooper BS, Burrus CR, Ji C, Hahn MW, Montooth KL (2015) Similar Efficacies of Selection Shape

Mitochondrial and Nuclear Genes in Both *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Homo sapiens*. *G3*, **5**,

2165–2176.

Cridland JM, Macdonald SJ, Long AD, Thornton KR (2013) Abundance and distribution of

transposable elements in two *Drosophila* QTL mapping resources. *Molecular Biology and*

Evolution, **30**, 2311–2327.

Daborn PJ, Yen JL, Bogwitz MR *et al.* (2002) A single p450 allele associated with insecticide

resistance in *Drosophila*. *Science*, **297**, 2253–2256.

David JR, Capy P (1988) Genetic variation of *Drosophila melanogaster* natural populations. *Trends*

in Genetics, **4**, 106–111.

de Jong G, Bochdanovits Z (2003) Latitudinal clines in *Drosophila melanogaster*: body size,

allozyme frequencies, inversion frequencies, and the insulin-signalling pathway. *Journal of*

Genetics, **82**, 207–223.

Dobzhansky T (1970) *Genetics of the Evolutionary Process*. Columbia University Press.

Duchen P, Zivkovic D, Hutter S, Stephan W, Laurent S (2013) Demographic inference reveals

African and European admixture in the North American *Drosophila melanogaster* population.

Genetics, **193**, 291–301.

Durmaz E, Benson C, Kapun M, Schmidt P, Flatt T (2018) An Inversion Supergene in *Drosophila*

Underpins Latitudinal Clines in Survival Traits. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology,* **31**, 1354-1364..

Durmaz E, Rajpurohit S, Betancourt N, Fabian DK, Kapun M, Schmidt P, Flatt T (2019) A clinal

polymorphism in the insulin signaling transcription factor *foxo* contributes to life-history

adaptation in *Drosophila*. *Evolution,* **73**, 1774-1792.

Elya C, Lok TC, Spencer QE, McCausland H, Martinez CC, Eisen MB (2018) Robust manipulation

of the behavior of *Drosophila melanogaster* by a fungal pathogen in the laboratory, *eLife, 7,*

e34414

Fabian DK, Kapun M, Nolte V *et al.* (2012) Genome-wide patterns of latitudinal differentiation

among populations of *Drosophila melanogaster* from North America. *Molecular Ecology*, **21**,

4748–4769.

Fiston-Lavier A-S, Barrón MG, Petrov DA, González J (2015) T-lex2: genotyping, frequency

estimation and re-annotation of transposable elements using single or pooled next-generation

sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **43**, e22–e22.

Fiston-Lavier A-S, Singh ND, Lipatov M, Petrov DA (2010) *Drosophila melanogaster* recombination

rate calculator. *Gene*, **463**, 18–20.

Francalacci P, Sanna D (2008) History and geography of human Y-chromosome in Europe: a SNP

perspective. *Journal of Anthropological Sciences*, **86**, 59–89.

Futschik A & Schlötterer C (2010) The next generation of molecular markers from massively parallel

sequencing of pooled DNA samples. *Genetics*, **186**, 207–218.

González J, Karasov TL, Messer PW, Petrov DA (2010) Genome-Wide Patterns of Adaptation to

Temperate Environments Associated with Transposable Elements in *Drosophila. PLoS Genetics*,

6, e1000905.

González J, Lenkov K, Lipatov M, Macpherson JM, Petrov DA (2008) High Rate of Recent

Transposable Element–Induced Adaptation in *Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS Biology*, **6**, e251.

Haas F, Brodin A. (2005). The Crow *Corvus corone* hybrid zone in southern Denmark and northern

Germany. *Ibis* **147**:649–656.

Haddrill PR, Charlesworth B, Halligan DL, Andolfatto P (2005) Patterns of intron sequence

evolution in *Drosophila* are dependent upon length and GC content. *Genome Biology*, **6**, R67.

Hales KG, Korey CA, Larracuente AM, Roberts DM (2015) Genetics on the Fly: A Primer on the

Drosophila Model System. *Genetics*, **201**, 815–842.

Halligan DL, Keightley PD 2006 Ubiquitous selective constraints in the *Drosophila* genome revealed

by a genome-wide interspecies comparison. *Genome Research*, **16**, 875-884.

Harpur BA, Kent CF, Molodtsova D *et al.* (2014) Population genomics of the honey bee reveals

strong signatures of positive selection on worker traits. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences of the United States of America, **111**, 2614–2619.

Haselkorn TS, Markow TA, Moran NA (2009) Multiple introductions of the *Spiroplasma* bacterial

endosymbiont into *Drosophila. Molecular Ecology*, **18**, 1294–1305.

Haudry A, Laurent S, Kapun M. 2020. Population Genomics on the Fly: Recent Advances in

Drosophila. In: Dutheil JY, editor. Statistical Population Genomics. Vol. 2090. New York, NY:

Springer US. p. 357–396.

Hewitt GM. (1999). Post-glacial re-colonization of European biota. *Biological Journal of the Linnean*

Society **68**:87–112.

Hijmans RJ, Cameron SE, Parra JL, Jones PG, Jarvis A. 2005. Very high resolution interpolated

climate surfaces for global land areas. *Int. J. Climatol.* 25:1965–1978.

Hohenlohe PA, Bassham S, Etter PD *et al.* (2010) Population Genomics of Parallel Adaptation in

Threespine Stickleback using Sequenced RAD Tags. *PLoS Genetics*, **6**, e1000862.

Hoffmann AA, Weeks AR (2007) Climatic selection on genes and traits after a 100 year-old invasion:

a critical look at the temperate-tropical clines in *Drosophila melanogaster* from eastern Australia.

Genetica, **129**, 133–147.

Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA (2009) Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists

using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nature Protocols,* **4**, 44–57.

Hudson RR, Kreitman M, Aguadé M (1987) A test of neutral molecular evolution based on nucleotide

data. *Genetics*, **116**, 153–159.

Hutter S, Li H, Beisswanger S, De Lorenzo D, Stephan W (2007) Distinctly Different Sex Ratios in

African and European Populations of *Drosophila melanogaster* Inferred From Chromosomewide

Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Data. *Genetics*, **177**, 469–480.

Kaminker, J.S., Bergman, C.M., Kronmiller, B. *et al.* (2002) The transposable elements of

the *Drosophila melanogaster* euchromatin: a genomics perspective. *Genome Biol.*,

3, research0084.

Kao JY, Zubair A, Salomon MP, Nuzhdin SV, Campo D (2015) Population genomic analysis

uncovers African and European admixture in *Drosophila melanogaster* populations from the

south-eastern United States and Caribbean Islands. *Molecular Ecology*, **24**, 1499–1509.

Kapopoulou A, Kapun M, Pavlidis P, *et al.* (2018a) Early split between African and European

populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Preprint at *bioRxiv*, doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/340422

Kapopoulou A, Pfeifer S, Jensen J, Laurent S (2018b). The demographic history of African

Drosophila melanogaster. Preprint at *bioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/340406

Kapun M, Flatt T (2019) The adaptive significance of chromosomal inversion polymorphisms in

Drosophila melanogaster. Molecular Ecology, **28**, 1263-1282

Kapun M, Fabian DK, Goudet J, Flatt T (2016a) Genomic Evidence for Adaptive Inversion Clines in

Drosophila melanogaster. Molecular Biology and Evolution, **33**, 1317–1336.

Kapun M, Schmidt C, Durmaz E, Schmidt PS, Flatt T (2016b) Parallel effects of the inversion

In(3R)Payne on body size across the North American and Australian clines in *Drosophila*

melanogaster. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, **29**, 1059–1072.

Kapun M, van Schalkwyk H, McAllister B, Flatt T, Schlötterer C (2014) Inference of chromosomal

inversion dynamics from Pool-Seq data in natural and laboratory populations of *Drosophila*

melanogaster. *Molecular Ecology*, **23**, 1813–1827.

Keller A (2007) *Drosophila melanogaster*'s history as a human commensal. *Current Biology*, **17**,

R77–R81.

Kennington JW, Partridge L, Hoffmann AA (2006) Patterns of Diversity and Linkage Disequilibrium

Within the Cosmopolitan Inversion *In(3R)Payne* in *Drosophila melanogaster* Are Indicative of

Coadaptation. *Genetics*, **172**, 1655 – 1663.

Kimura M (1984) *The Neutral Theory of Molecular Evolution*. Cambridge University Press.

Knibb WR, Oakeshott JG, Gibson JB (1981) Chromosome Inversion Polymorphisms in *Drosophila*

melanogaster. I. Latitudinal Clines and Associations between Inversions in Australasian

Populations. *Genetics*, **98**, 833–847.

Knief U, Bossu CM, Saino N, Hansson B, Poelstra J, Vijay N, Weissensteiner M, Wolf JBW. (2019).

Epistatic mutations under divergent selection govern phenotypic variation in the crow hybrid

zone. *Nat Ecol Evol*, **3**, 570–576.

Kofler R, Schlötterer C (2012) GOwinda: Unbiased analysis of gene set enrichment for genome-wide

association studies. *Bioinformatics*, **28**, 2084-2085.

Kofler R, Betancourt AJ, Schlötterer C (2012) Sequencing of pooled DNA samples (Pool-Seq)

uncovers complex dynamics of transposable element insertions in *Drosophila melanogaster*.

PLoS Genetics, **8**, e1002487.

Kofler R, Orozco-terWengel P, De Maio N *et al.* (2011) PoPoolation: A Toolbox for Population

Genetic Analysis of Next Generation Sequencing Data from Pooled Individuals. *PLoS ONE*, **6**,

e15925.

Kolaczkowski B, Kern AD, Holloway AK, Begun DJ (2011) Genomic Differentiation Between

Temperate and Tropical Australian Populations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics*, **187**, 245–

260.

Kreitman M (1983) Nucleotide polymorphism at the alcohol dehydrogenase locus of *Drosophila*

melanogaster. *Nature*, **304**, 412–417.

Kriesner P, Conner WR, Weeks AR, Turelli M, Hoffmann AA (2016) Persistence of a *Wolbachia*

infection frequency cline in *Drosophila melanogaster* and the possible role of reproductive

dormancy. *Evolution*, **70**, 979–997.

Lachaise D, Cariou M-L, David JR *et al.* (1988) Historical Biogeography of the *Drosophila*

melanogaster Species Subgroup. In Hecht MK, Wallace B, Prance GT (Eds.) *Evolutionary*

Biology (pp. 159–225) Boston: Springer.

Lack JB, Cardeno CM, Crepeau MW *et al.* (2015) The *Drosophila* genome nexus: a population

genomic resource of 623 *Drosophila melanogaster* genomes, including 197 from a single

ancestral range population. *Genetics*, **199**, 1229–1241.

Lack JB, Lange JD, Tang AD, Corbett-Detig RB, Pool JE (2016) A Thousand Fly Genomes: An

Expanded *Drosophila* Genome Nexus. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **33**, 3308–3313.

Langley CH, Stevens K, Cardeno C *et al.* (2012) Genomic variation in natural populations of

Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics, **192**, 533–598.

Larracuente AM, Roberts DM (2015) Genetics on the Fly: A Primer on the *Drosophila* Model

System. *Genetics* **201**, 815–842.

Lawrie DS, Messer PW, Hershberg R, Petrov DA (2013) Strong Purifying Selection at Synonymous

Sites in *D. melanogaster. PLoS Genetics,* **9**, e1003527.

Lerat E, Goubert C, Guirao-Rico S, Merenciano M, Dufour A-B, Vieira C, González J (2019)

Population-specific dynamics and selection patterns of transposable element insertions in

European natural populations. *Molecular Ecology*, **28**,1506–1522.

Lemeunier F, Aulard S (1992). Inversion polymorphism in *Drosophila melanogaster.* In: Krimbas

CB, & Powell JR (Eds.), *Drosophila Inversion Polymorphism* (pp. 339–405), New York: CRC

Press.

Lewontin RC (1974) *The Genetic Basis of Evolutionary Change*. Columbia University Press.

Li H, Ruan J, Durbin R (2008) Mapping short DNA sequencing reads and calling variants using

mapping quality scores. *Genome Research*, **18**, 1851–1858.

Li H, Stephan W (2006) Inferring the Demographic History and Rate of Adaptive Substitution in

Drosophila. PLoS Genetics **2**, 10.

Machado HE, Bergland AO, O'Brien KR *et al.* (2016) Comparative population genomics of

latitudinal variation in *Drosophila simulans* and *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Molecular Ecology*,

25, 723–740.

Machado H, Bergland AO, Taylor R *et al.* (2019) Broad geographic sampling reveals predictable,

pervasive, and strong seasonal adaptation in *Drosophila*. Preprint at *bioRxiv*, doi:

https://doi.org/10.1101/337543.

Macholán M, Baird SJ, Munclinger P, Dufková P, Bímová B, Piálek J. (2008). Genetic conflict

outweighs heterogametic incompatibility in the mouse hybrid zone? *BMC Evolutionary Biology*

8:271.

Martino ME, Ma D, Leulier F (2017) Microbial influence on *Drosophila* biology. *Current Opinion in*

Microbiology, **38**, 165–170.

Mateo L, Rech GE, González J (2018) Genome-wide patterns of local adaptation in *Drosophila*

melanogaster: adding intra European variability to the map. Preprint at *bioRxiv*, doi:

https://doi.org/10.1101/269332

McDonald JH, Kreitman M (1991) Adaptive protein evolution at the *Adh* locus in *Drosophila*.

Nature, **351**, 652–654.

Mettler LE, Voelker RA, Mukai T (1977) Inversion Clines in Populations of *Drosophila*

melanogaster. *Genetics*, **87**, 169–176.

Meyer F, Paarmann D, D'Souza M *et al.* (2008) The metagenomics RAST server - a public resource

for the automatic phylogenetic and functional analysis of metagenomes. *BMC Bioinformatics*, **9**,

386.

Michalakis Y, Veuille M (1996) Length variation of CAG/CAA trinucleotide repeats in natural

populations of *Drosophila melanogaster* and its relation to the recombination rate. *Genetics*, **143**,

1713–1725.

Nielsen R, Akey JM, Jakobsson M *et al.* (2017) Tracing the peopling of the world through genomics.

Nature, **541**, 302-310.

Novembre J, Johnson T, Bryc K, *et al.* (2008) Genes mirror geography within Europe. *Nature*, **456**,

98-101.

Paaby AB, Bergland AO, Behrman EL, Schmidt PS (2014) A highly pleiotropic amino acid

polymorphism in the *Drosophila* insulin receptor contributes to life-history adaptation. *Evolution*,

68, 3395-3409.

Paaby AB, Blacket MJ, Hoffmann AA, Schmidt PS (2010) Identification of a candidate adaptive

polymorphism for *Drosophila* life history by parallel independent clines on two continents.

Molecular Ecology, **19**, 760-774.

Palmer WH, Medd NC, Beard PM, Obbard DJ (2018) Isolation of a natural DNA virus of Drosophila

melanogaster, and characterisation of host resistance and immune responses. *PLOS Pathogens*,

14, e1007050

Parsch J, Novozhilov S, Saminadin-Peter SS, Wong KM, Andolfatto P (2010) On the utility of short

intron sequences as a reference for the detection of positive and negative selection in *Drosophila.*

Molecular Biology and Evolution, **27**, 1226–1234.

Peel MC, Finlayson BL, McMahon TA (2007) Updated world map of the Köppen-Geiger climate

classification. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, **11**, 1633–1644.

Petrov DA, Fiston-Lavier AS, Lipatov M, Lenkov K, González J (2011) Population Genomics of

Transposable Elements in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **28**,

1633–1644.

Pool JE, Braun DT, Lack JB (2016) Parallel Evolution of Cold Tolerance Within *Drosophila*

melanogaster. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **34,** 349–360.

Pool JE, Corbett-Detig RB, Sugino RP *et al.* (2012) Population Genomics of Sub-Saharan *Drosophila*

melanogaster: African Diversity and Non-African Admixture. *PLoS Genetics*, **8**, e1003080.

Powell JR (1997) *Progress and Prospects in Evolutionary Biology: The Drosophila Model*. Oxford

University Press.

Quesneville H, Bergman CM, Andrieu O, Autard D, Nouaud D, et al. (2005) Combined evidence

annotation of transposable elements in genome sequences. *PLoS Comp Biol* **1(2)**: e22.

Rako L, Anderson AR, Sgrò CM, Stocker AJ, Hoffmann AA (2006) The association between

inversion *In(3R)Payne* and clinally varying traits in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetica*, **128**,

373–384.

Rane RV, Rako L, Kapun M, LEE SF (2015) Genomic evidence for role of inversion *3RP* of

Drosophila melanogaster in facilitating climate change adaptation. *Molecular Ecology*, **24**,

2423–2432.

Rech GE, Bogaerts-Márquez M, Barrón MG, Merenciano M, Villanueva-Cañas JL, Horváth V,

Fiston-Lavier A-S, Luyten I, Venkataram S, Quesneville H, Petrov DA, González J (2019) Stress

response, behavior, and development are shaped by transposable element-induced mutations in

Drosophila. PLOS Genetics, **15**, e1007900.

Richardson MF, Weinert LA, Welch JJ *et al.* (2012) Population Genomics of the *Wolbachia*

Endosymbiont in *Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS Genetics*, **8**, e1003129.

Rogers RL, Hartl DL (2012) Chimeric genes as a source of rapid evolution in *Drosophila*

melanogaster. Molecular Biology and Evolution, **29**, 517–529.

Schlötterer C, Tobler R, Kofler R, Nolte V (2014) Sequencing pools of individuals - mining genome-

wide polymorphism data without big funding. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, **15**, 749–763.

Schmidt PS, Paaby AB (2008) Reproductive Diapause and Life-History Clines in North American

Populations of *Drosophila melanogaster. Evolution*, **62**, 1204–1215.

Schmidt PS, Zhu CT, Das J *et al.* (2008) An amino acid polymorphism in the *couch potato* gene

forms the basis for climatic adaptation in *Drosophila melanogaster. Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, **105**, 16207–16211.

Singh ND, Arndt PF, Clark AG, Aquadro CF (2009) Strong evidence for lineage and sequence

specificity of substitution rates and patterns in *Drosophila. Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **26**,

1591–1605.

Sprengelmeyer QD, Mansourian S, Lange JD, Matute DR, Cooper BS, Jirle EV, Stensmyr MC, Pool

JE. (2020). Recurrent Collection of Drosophila melanogaster from Wild African Environments

and Genomic Insights into Species History. *Mol Biol Evol* **37**:627–638.

Staubach F, Baines JF, Künzel S, Bik EM, Petrov DA (2013) Host species and environmental effects

on bacterial communities associated with *Drosophila* in the laboratory and in the natural

environment. *PLoS ONE*, **8**, e70749.

Szymura JM, Barton NH. (1986). Genetic analysis of a hybrid zone between the fire-bellied toads,

Bombina bombina and *B. variegata*, near Cracow in Southern Poland. *Evolution* **40**:1141–1159.

Tauber E, Zordan, M, Sandrelli F, Pegoraro M, Osterwalder N, Breda C, Daga A, Selmin A, Monger

K, Benna C, Rosata E, Kyriacou CP, Costa R (2007) Natural selection favors a newly derived

timeless allele in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Science,* **316,**1895-1899.

Trinder M, Daisley BA, Dube JS, Reid G (2017) *Drosophila melanogaster* as a High-Throughput

Model for Host-Microbiota Interactions. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, **8**, 751.

Turner TL, Levine MT, Eckert ML, Begun DJ (2008) Genomic analysis of adaptive differentiation in

Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics, **179**, 455–473.

Umina PA, Weeks AR, Kearney MR, McKechnie SW, Hoffmann AA (2005) A rapid shift in a classic

clinal pattern in *Drosophila* reflecting climate change. *Science*, **308**, 691–693.

Unckless RL (2011) A DNA virus of *Drosophila. PLoS ONE*, **6**, e26564.

de Villemereuil P, Gaggiotti OE (2015) A new FST-based method to uncover local adaptation using

environmental variables. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*. **6**: 1248 – 1258.

Walters AM, Matthews MK, Hughes R, Malcolm Jaanna, Rudman S, Newell PD, Douglas AE,

Schmidt PS, Chaston JM (2018) The microbiota influences the Drosophila melanogaster life

history strategy. bioRxiv. 471540

Wang Y, Kapun M, Waidele L, Kuenzel S, Bergland AO, Staubach F. (2020). Common structuring

principles of the *Drosophila melanogaster* microbiome on a continental scale and between host

and substrate. Environmental Microbiology Reports **12**:220–228.

Wang Y, Staubach F (2018); Individual variation of natural *D.melanogaster*-associated bacterial

communities, *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, **365**, fny017

Webster CL, Longdon B, Lewis SH, Obbard DJ (2016) Twenty-Five New Viruses Associated with

the Drosophilidae (Diptera). *Evolutionary Bioinformatics Online*, **12**, 13–25.

Webster CL, Waldron FM, Robertson S *et al.* (2015) The Discovery, Distribution, and Evolution of

Viruses Associated with *Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS Biology*, **13**, e1002210.

Werren JH, Baldo L, Clark ME (2008) Wolbachia: master manipulators of invertebrate biology.

Nature Reviews Microbiology, **6**, 741–751.

Whitlock MC, McCauley DE (1999) Indirect measures of gene flow and migration: $F_{ST}{\neq}1/(4Nm+1)$.

Heredity, **82**,117–125.

Wilfert L, Longdon B, Ferreira AGA, Bayer F, Jiggins FM (2011) Trypanosomatids are common and

diverse parasites of *Drosophila. Parasitology*, **138**, 858–865.

Wolff JN, Camus MF, Clancy DJ, Dowling DK (2016) Complete mitochondrial genome sequences of

thirteen globally sourced strains of fruit fly (*Drosophila melanogaster*) form a powerful model

for mitochondrial research. *Mitochondrial DNA Part A,* **27**, 4672–4674.

Wright S (1951) The genetical structure of populations. *Ann Eugen* **15**, 323–354.

Xiao F-X, Yotova V, Zietkiewicz E *et al.* (2004) Human *X*-chromosomal lineages in Europe reveal

Middle Eastern and Asiatic contacts. *European Journal of Human Genetics*, **12**, 301–311.

Yukilevich R, True JR (2008a) Incipient sexual isolation among cosmopolitan *Drosophila*

melanogaster populations. *Evolution*, **62**, 2112–2121.

Yukilevich R, True JR (2008b) African morphology, behavior and phermones underlie incipient

sexual isolation between us and Caribbean *Drosophila melanogaster. Evolution*, **62**, 2807–2828.

Zanini F, Brodin J, Thebo L *et al.* (2015) Population genomics of intrapatient HIV-1 evolution. *eLife*,

4, e11282.

Tables

Table 1. Sample information for all populations in the *DrosEU* dataset. Origin, collection date, season and sample size (number of chromosomes: *n*) of

the 48 samples in the *DrosEU* 2014 data set. Additional information can be found in supplementary table S1 (Supplementary Material online).

ID	Country	Location	Coll. Date	Number ID	Lat (°)	Lon (°)	Alt (m)	Season	n	Coll. name
AT_Mau_14_01	Austria	Mauternbach	2014-07-20	1	48.38	15.56	572	S	80	Andrea J. Betancourt
AT_Mau_14_02	Austria	Mauternbach	2014-10-19	2	48.38	15.56	572	F	80	Andrea J. Betancourt
TR_Yes_14_03	Turkey	Yesiloz	2014-08-31	3	40.23	32.26	680	S	80	Banu Sebnem Onder
TR_Yes_14_04	Turkey	Yesiloz	2014-10-23	4	40.23	32.26	680	F	80	Banu Sebnem Onder
FR_Vil_14_05	France	Viltain	2014-08-18	5	48.75	2.16	153	S	80	Catherine Montchamp-Moreau
FR_Vil_14_07	France	Viltain	2014-10-27	7	48.75	2.16	153	F	80	Catherine Montchamp-Moreau
FR_Got_14_08	France	Gotheron	2014-07-08	8	44.98	4.93	181	S	80	Cristina Vieira
UK_She_14_09	United Kingdom	Sheffield	2014-08-25	9	53.39	-1.52	100	S	80	Damiano Porcelli
UK_Sou_14_10	United	South Queensferry	2014-07-14	10	55.97	-3.35	19	S	80	Darren Obbard

	Kingdom									
CY_Nic_14_11	Cyprus	Nicosia	2014-08-10	11	35.07	33.32	263	S	80	Eliza Argyridou
	United									
UK_Mar_14_12	Kingdom	Market Harborough	2014-10-20	12	52.48	-0.92	80	F	80	Eran Tauber
	United									
UK_Lut_14_13	Kingdom	Lutterworth	2014-10-20	13	52.43	-1.10	126	F	80	Eran Tauber
DE_Bro_14_14	Germany	Broggingen	2014-06-26	14	48.22	7.82	173	S	80	Fabian Staubach
DE_Bro_14_15	Germany	Broggingen	2014-10-15	15	48.22	7.82	173	F	80	Fabian Staubach
UA_Yal_14_16	Ukraine	Yalta	2014-06-20	16	44.50	34.17	72	S	80	Iryna Kozeretska
UA_Yal_14_18	Ukraine	Yalta	2014-08-27	18	44.50	34.17	72	S	80	Iryna Kozeretska
UA_Ode_14_19	Ukraine	Odesa	2014-07-03	19	46.44	30.77	54	S	80	Iryna Kozeretska
UA_Ode_14_20	Ukraine	Odesa	2014-07-22	20	46.44	30.77	54	S	80	Iryna Kozeretska
UA_Ode_14_21	Ukraine	Odesa	2014-08-29	21	46.44	30.77	54	S	80	Iryna Kozeretska
UA_Ode_14_22	Ukraine	Odesa	2014-10-10	22	46.44	30.77	54	F	80	Iryna Kozeretska
UA_Kyi_14_23	Ukraine	Kyiv	2014-08-09	23	50.34	30.49	179	S	80	Iryna Kozeretska
UA_Kyi_14_24	Ukraine	Kyiv	2014-09-08	24	50.34	30.49	179	F	80	Iryna Kozeretska
UA_Var_14_25	Ukraine	Varva	2014-08-18	25	50.48	32.71	125	S	80	Oleksandra Protsenko

UA_Pyr_14_26	Ukraine	Pyriatyn	2014-08-20	26	50.25	32.52	114	S	80	Oleksandra Protsenko
UA_Dro_14_27	Ukraine	Drogobych	2014-08-24	27	49.33	23.50	275	S	80	Iryna Kozeretska
UA_Cho_14_28	Ukraine	Chornobyl	2014-09-13	28	51.37	30.14	121	F	80	Iryna Kozeretska
UA_Cho_14_29	Ukraine	Chornobyl Yaniv	2014-09-13	29	51.39	30.07	121	F	80	Iryna Kozeretska
SE_Lun_14_30	Sweden	Lund	2014-07-31	30	55.69	13.20	51	S	80	Jessica Abbott
DE_Mun_14_31	Germany	Munich	2014-06-19	31	48.18	11.61	520	S	80	John Parsch
DE_Mun_14_32	Germany	Munich	2014-09-03	32	48.18	11.61	520	F	80	John Parsch
PT_Rec_14_33	Portugal	Recarei	2014-09-26	33	41.15	-8.41	175	F	80	Jorge Vieira
ES_Gim_14_34	Spain	Gimenells (Lleida)	2014-10-20	34	41.62	0.62	173	F	80	Lain Guio
ES_Gim_14_35	Spain	Gimenells (Lleida)	2014-08-13	35	41.62	0.62	173	S	80	Lain Guio
FI_Aka_14_36	Finland	Akaa	2014-07-25	36	61.10	23.52	88	S	80	Maaria Kankare
FI_Aka_14_37	Finland	Akaa	2014-08-27	37	61.10	23.52	88	S	80	Maaria Kankare
FI_Ves_14_38	Finland	Vesanto	2014-07-26	38	62.55	26.24	121	S	66	Maaria Kankare
DK_Kar_14_39	Denmark	Karensminde	2014-09-01	39	55.95	10.21	15	F	80	Mads Fristrup Schou
DK_Kar_14_41	Denmark	Karensminde	2014-11-25	41	55.95	10.21	15	F	80	Mads Fristrup Schou
CH_Cha_14_42	Switzerland	Chalet à Gobet	2014-07-24	42	46.57	6.70	872	S	80	Martin Kapun
CH_Cha_14_43	Switzerland	Chalet à Gobet	2014-10-05	43	46.57	6.70	872	F	80	Martin Kapun

AT_See_14_44	Austria	Seeboden	2014-08-17	44	46.81	13.51	591	S	80	Martin Kapun
UA_Kha_14_45	Ukraine	Kharkiv	2014-07-26	45	49.82	36.05	141	S	80	Svitlana Serga
UA_Kha_14_46	Ukraine	Kharkiv	2014-09-14	46	49.82	36.05	141	F	80	Svitlana Serga
UA_Cho_14_47	Ukraine	Chornobyl Applegarden	2014-09-13	47	51.27	30.22	121	F	80	Svitlana Serga
UA_Cho_14_48	Ukraine	Chornobyl Polisske	2014-09-13	48	51.28	29.39	121	F	70	Svitlana Serga
UA_Kyi_14_49	Ukraine	Kyiv	2014-10-11	49	50.34	30.49	179	F	80	Svitlana Serga
UA_Uma_14_50	Ukraine	Uman	2014-10-01	50	48.75	30.21	214	F	80	Svitlana Serga
RU_Val_14_51	Russia	Valday	2014-08-17	51	57.98	33.24	217	S	80	Elena Pasyukova

942 **Table 2. Clinality of genetic variation and population structure.** Effects of geographic variables and/or seasonality on genome-wide average levels of

943 diversity (π, θ and Tajima's D; top rows) and on the first three axes of a PCA based on allele frequencies at neutrally evolving sites (bottom rows). The values

944 represent F-ratios from general linear models. Bold type indicates F-ratios that are significant after Bonferroni correction (adjusted α'=0.0055). Asterisks in

945 parentheses indicate significance when accounting for spatial autocorrelation by spatial error models. These models were only calculated when Moran's I test,

946 as shown in the last column, was significant. *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$; ***$p < 0.001$.

Factor	Latitude	Longitude	Altitude	Season	Moran's I
$\pi_{(X)}$	4.11*	1.62	**15.23***	1.65	0.86
$\pi_{(Aut)}$	0.91	2.54	**27.18***	0.16	-0.86
$\theta_{(X)}$	2.65	1.31	**15.54***	2.22	0.24
$\theta_{(Aut)}$	0.48	1.44	**13.66***	0.37	-1.13
$D_{(X)}$	0.02	0.38	5.93*	3.26	-2.08
$D_{(Aut)}$	0.09	0.76	5.33*	0.71	-1.45
PC1	0.63	**118.08***(***)**	3.64	0.75	**4.2***
PC2	**4.69***	**7.15***	**11.77****	1.68	-0.32
PC3	0.39	0.23	**19.91***	0.28	1.38

949 **Table 3**. **Clinality and/or seasonality of chromosomal inversions.** The values represent F-ratios from generalized linear models with a binomial error

950 structure to account for frequency data. Bold type indicates deviance values that were significant after Bonferroni correction (adjusted α'=0.0071). Stars in

951 parentheses indicate significance when accounting for spatial autocorrelation by spatial error models. These models were only calculated when Moran's I test,

952 as shown in the last column, was significant. *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$; ***$p < 0.001$

Factor	Latitude	Longitude	Altitude	Season	Moran's I
In(2L)t	2.2	**10.09****	**43.94*****	0.89	-0.92
In(2R)NS	0.25	**14.43*****	2.88	2.43	1.25
In(3L)P	**21.78*****	2.82	0.62	3.6	-1.61
In(3R)C	**18.5****(***)	0.75	1.42	0.04	2.79**
In(3R)Mo	0.3	0.09	0.35	0.03	-0.9
In(3R)Payne	**43.47*****	0.66	1.69	1.55	-0.89

    FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1. The geographic distribution of population samples. Locations of all samples in the 2014

DrosEU data set. The color of the circles indicates the sampling season for each location: ten of the

32 locations were sampled at least twice, once in summer and once in fall (see table 1 and

supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). Note that some of the 12 Ukrainian

locations overlap in the map.

Fig. 2. **Signals of selective sweeps in European populations.** The central panel shows the

distribution of Tajima's D in 50 kb sliding windows with 40 kb overlap, with red and green dashed

lines indicating Tajima's $D = 0$ and -1, respectively. The top panel shows a detail of a genomic region

on chromosomal arm *2R* in the vicinity of *Cyp6g1* and *Hen1* (highlighted in red), genes reportedly

involved in pesticide resistance. This strong sweep signal is characterized by an excess of low-

frequency SNP variants and overall negative Tajima's D in all samples. Colored solid lines depict

Tajima's D for each sample (see supplementary fig. S2 for color codes, Supplementary Material

online); the black dashed line shows Tajima's D averaged across all samples. The bottom panel shows

a region on *3L* previously identified as a potential target of selection, which shows a similar strong

sweep signature. Notably, both regions show strongly reduced genetic variation (supplementary fig.

S1, Supplementary Material online).

Fig. 3. Genetic differentiation among European populations. (A) Average F_{ST} among populations

at putatively neutral sites. The centre plot shows the distribution of F_{ST} values for all 1,128 pairwise

population comparisons, with the F_{ST} values for each comparison obtained from the mean across all

4,034 SNPs used in the analysis. Plots on the left and the right show population pairs in the lower

(blue) and upper (red) 5% tails of the F_{ST} distribution. (B) PCA analysis of allele frequencies at the

same SNPs reveals population sub-structuring in Europe. Hierarchical model fitting using the first

four PCs showed that the populations fell into two clusters (indicated by red and blue), with cluster

assignment of each population subsequently estimated by *k*-means clustering. (C) Admixture

proportions for each population inferred by model-based clustering with *ConStruct* are highlighted as

pie charts (left plot) or Structure plots (centre). The optimal number of 3 spatial layers (K) was

inferred by cross-validation (right plot).

Fig. 4. Manhattan plot of SNPs with *q*-values < 0.05 in association tests with PC1 or PC2 of the

bioclimatic variables. Vertical lines denote the breakpoints of common inversions. The gene names

highlight some candidate genes found in our study and which have previously been identified as

varying clinally by Fabian *et al.* (2012) and Machado *et al.* (2016) along the North American east

coast. Note that for ease of plotting, *q*-values of 0 were set to 10% of the smallest observed *q*-value.

Fig. 5. Mitochondrial haplotypes. (A) TCS network showing the relationship of 5 common

mitochondrial haplotypes; (B) estimated frequency of each mitochondrial haplotype in 48 European

samples.

Fig. 6. **Geographic patterns in structural variants**. The upper panel shows stacked bar plots with

the relative abundances of TEs in all 48 population samples**.** The proportion of each repeat class was

estimated from sampled reads with dnaPipeTE (2 samples per run, 0.1X coverage per sample). The

lower panel shows stacked bar plots depicting absolute frequencies of six cosmopolitan inversions in

all 48 population samples.

Fig. 7: Microbiome. Relative abundance of *Drosophila*-associated microbes as assessed by

MGRAST classified shotgun sequences. Microbes had to reach at least 3% relative abundance in one

of the samples to be represented

1000

1001

1002   **Figure 1**

1003

1004

1005 **Figure 2**

1006

1007

Figure 3

1011   **Figure 4**

1012

1013   **Figure 5**

1014

1015

Figure 6

1019   **Figure 7**

1020