Title: Multisite phosphorylation regulates phenotypic variability in antibiotic tolerance

Authors: Elizabeth A. Libby [1,2,3], Shlomi Reuveni [2,4,5,6], and Jonathan Dworkin [*,1]

Affiliations:

[1]Department of Microbiology & Immunology, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia

University, New York, NY 10032 USA

[2]Department of Systems Biology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115

[3]Wyss Institute for Biologically Inspired Engineering, Harvard University, Boston, MA 02115

[4]School of Chemistry, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv 6997801, Israel

[5]Center for the Physics and Chemistry of Living Systems. Tel Aviv University, 6997801, Tel Aviv,

Israel.

[6]The Sackler Center for Computational Molecular and Materials Science, Tel Aviv University,

6997801, Tel Aviv, Israel.

*Corresponding author, jonathan.dworkin@columbia.edu, 212.342.3731 (tel)

Classification: Biological Sciences, Microbiology

Keywords: antibiotic tolerance, noise, (p)ppGpp, phenotypic variability, Ser/Thr kinase, kinase

inhibitors, Gram-positive bacteria, eSTK, eSTP, two-component systems.

Abstract:

Isogenic populations of cells exhibit phenotypic variability that has specific physiological consequences. For example, individual bacteria can differ in their sensitivity to an antibiotic, but whether this variability is regulated or an unavoidable consequence of stochastic fluctuations is unclear. We observed that a bacterial stress response gene, the (p)ppGpp synthetase *sasA*, exhibits high levels of extrinsic noise in expression, suggestive of a regulatory process. We traced this variability to the convergence of two signaling systems that together control an event largely unexplored in bacteria, the multisite phosphorylation of a transcription factor. We found that this regulatory intersection is crucial for controlling the appearance of outliers, rare cells with unusually high levels of *sasA* expression. Additionally, by examining the full distributions of gene expression we calculated the importance of multisite phosphorylation in setting the relative abundance of cells with a given a level of SasA. We then created a predictive model for the probability of a given cell surviving antibiotic treatment as a function of *sasA* expression. Therefore, our data show that multisite phosphorylation can be used to strongly regulate bacterial physiology and sensitivity to antibiotic treatment.

Significance Statement:

Cells possess many signaling pathways for sensing and responding to their environment. Although these pathways are commonly characterized individually, signals between separate pathways are often integrated. One way that pathways can intersect is through multisite phosphorylation controlling the activity of a common target, where each phosphorylation is contributed by a separate signaling system. Bacteria are an ideal place to study the effects of these intersections on gene expression since the number of such intersections is comparatively small, and subsequent gene regulation relatively simple. Here we show that signal integration through multisite phosphorylation is important for setting the frequency of bacterial cells with

increased antibiotic tolerance by controlling the heterogeneity, or noise, in gene expression

across the population.

\body

Introduction:

Many bacterial phenotypes, including antibiotic tolerance and virulence, often reflect the

phenotype of a subset of the population rather than the average behavior (1, 2). Subpopulations

of bacteria can arise through purely stochastic processes as well as by regulatory and signaling

pathways (3). Theoretically, one way to create phenotypic diversity via a signaling pathway is

multisite phosphorylation (4, 5). However, it has not been experimentally shown that multisite

phosphorylation regulates variation in gene expression between cells, and subsequently, the

emergence of phenotypic diversity in bacterial populations. Recently, multisite phosphorylation of

transcription factors have been observed in pathways involved in antibiotic tolerance and

virulence (6), suggesting that dynamics of multisite phosphorylation could have particular

physiological relevance.

Bacterial signaling is often conceptualized in the context of two-component signal transduction

systems (TCS) that generally consist of a histidine kinase that phosphorylates a response

regulator on a single residue, which then acts as a transcription factor (7). The stimulus-

dependent response of this type of signaling system architecture has been analyzed theoretically

(8, 9) and experimentally (10, 11), with little cell-to-cell variability observed, regardless of inducer

level. This suggests that extensive cell-to-cell variability is not a general feature of bacterial TCS.

However, some notable exceptions have been found for two-component systems with more

complex architectures, such as the broad distribution of gene expression in the TorS/TorR regulon

in *E. coli* (12) which has recently been shown to be an important factor for cell survival during

oxygen depletion (13). The network architecture of bacterial signal transduction systems may

therefore play an as yet underappreciated role in the dynamics and survival of bacterial

populations.

It has been apparent for over 60 years that bacterial populations contain rare cells that display

increased phenotypic resistance to antibiotics (14). These cells, presumed to be quiescent, have

been implicated in antibiotic treatment failure in genetically susceptible bacterial infections (15).

To date, it remains unclear to what extent the appearance of these rare cells, is subject to

regulation. Emerging evidence strongly implicates elevated levels of the nucleotide second

messenger (p)ppGpp as a causative agent of quiescence in many bacterial species (16-19).

(p)ppGpp downregulates essential cellular processes such as transcription, translation, and DNA

replication (20). Although the precise mechanism of (p)ppGpp synthesis and its direct cellular

targets vary between bacterial species, highly elevated levels of (p)ppGpp confer a quiescent

state to the bacterial cell. As many antibiotics target active cellular processes, the resulting cells

exhibit increased antibiotic tolerance, suggesting that cell-to-cell variability in (p)ppGpp may be

involved in phenotypic resistance to antibiotics (19, 21-23).

The mechanistic origin of cell-to-cell variability in (p)ppGpp levels across bacterial populations

remains a major open question. To date, this has been best studied in *E. coli*, which has two bi-

functional (p)ppGpp synthetase-hydrolases (RelA and SpoT) (24). In contrast, other bacterial

species often possess dedicated (p)ppGpp synthetases, termed small alarmone synthtases

(SAS), in addition to bi-functional synthetase-hydrolases (25). These SAS proteins can be

activated transcriptionally (20), suggesting that cell-to-cell variability in (p)ppGpp levels could

originate in the transcriptional regulation of the synthetases themselves. In the Gram-positive

bacterium *B. subtilis*, (p)ppGpp synthesis is regulated by three distinct proteins: RelA, SasA, and

SasB (26). *B. subtilis* RelA is a bi-functional (p)ppGpp synthetase-hydrolase, and both SasA and

SasB are dedicated synthetases. Although *relA* and *sasB* transcripts are both readily detectable

during log phase growth, *sasA* (formerly *ywaC*) transcripts are found at considerably lower levels.

However, *sasA* is inducible by certain classes of cell-wall-active antibiotics (27, 28), and its

induction by alkaline shock increases the cellular levels of ppGpp (26). Since *sasA* expression

stops growth (29), SasA-mediated (p)ppGpp synthesis provides a mechanism to induce cellular

quiescence in response to environmental stresses. To date, SasA is only known to be regulated

transcriptionally, so significant cell-to-cell variability in *sasA* expression could produce

physiologically relevant cell-to-cell variability in (p)ppGpp levels.

In this work, we demonstrate that *sasA* expression displays physiologically relevant amounts

of extrinsic noise, although the average level of *sasA* expression is very low during growth.

Furthermore, we find that the distribution of *sasA* expression and the frequency of outliers are

strongly regulated by the activity of a highly conserved eukaryotic-like Ser/Thr kinase system and

its subsequent multisite phosphorylation of a transcription factor. Using quantitative analysis of

the full distributions of *sasA* expression, we find that multisite phosphorylation is responsible for

exponentially regulating the abundance of cells with a given level of SasA and generate a

predictive model for *sasA*-expression-dependent antibiotic tolerance.

Results:

While the population average level of *sasA* expression during growth is extremely low (27),

the average behavior may mask important phenotypic variation between cells. We therefore

generated a transcriptional reporter for *sasA* (P$_{sasA}$-*yfp*) to study the population at the single-cell

level. Surprisingly, there was considerable cell-to-cell variability in P$_{sasA}$-*yfp* (coefficient of

variation, CV~4.95 ± 0.42, mean ± SEM), with most cells having very low expression, and rare

cells showing significantly higher levels of expression (Fig. 1A). Quantification of YFP

fluorescence revealed that a small fraction of the population had much higher (>~10x) levels of

fluorescence than the mean, and rare cells had ~100x.

The high levels of cell-to-cell variability in *sasA* expression could be caused by intrinsic noise

from the promoter itself, or by extrinsic noise originating in an upstream process (32). To

differentiate between these mechanisms, we used a strain with dual fluorescent reporters, P$_{sasA}$-

yfp and P$_{sasA}$-*mcherry* (Fig. 1B). Expression of the dual reporters in individual cells was highly

correlated (Pearson's correlation coefficient, r~0.90±0.08, mean ± SEM), demonstrating that the

noise was largely extrinsic to the promoter (Fig. 1C, D).

To determine if variation in YFP levels were simply caused by global changes in the population

that result in the accumulation of large amounts of fluorescent protein (33), P$_{sasA}$-*yfp* was

compared to a presumably unrelated promoter known to be constitutive during log phase growth,

P$_{veg}$-*mcherry* (34) (Fig. S1). We found that YFP and mCherry levels were not highly correlated,

suggesting that the high levels of variability in *sasA* expression are caused by a *sasA*-specific

pathway. We then tested a previously characterized *sasA* regulator, the sigma factor σ^M (SigM)

(35), that is required for *sasA* expression (28) (Fig. S2A, B). However, *sasA* expression also did

not correlate strongly with *sigM* expression (Fig. S2C, D).

Another potential regulator of *sasA* is the WalR transcription factor observed to bind the *sasA*

promoter in a genome-wide screen (36). WalR is the response regulator of the essential WalRK

two-component system and is activated by phosphorylation of Asp-53 by WalK (37). Once

phosphorylated, WalR can either activate or repress genes in its regulon. A reversible second

phosphorylation on WalR Thr-101 by the eukaryotic-like Ser/Thr kinase-phosphatase pair

PrkC/PrpC (30) further potentiates WalR activity at both activating and repressing sites (31). In

rich media (LB), the multisite phosphorylation of WalR affects gene expression (*e.g.*, enhanced

activation of *yocH*) specifically in post-log phase (31). However, in commonly used defined

minimal media (S7-glucose), there is a consistent PrkC-dependent effect on the population

average level of *yocH* expression throughout log phase (Fig. S3). As *sasA* is known to be

activated by antibiotics such as bacitracin (27), we first tested whether the PrkC/PrpC – WalR

system regulates *sasA* at the population level to determine if WalR activates or represses *sasA*.

We found that PrkC activity represses *sasA* expression through WalR Thr101~P during bacitracin

treatment (Fig. S4). Based on these bulk measurements, we developed a model for *sasA*

regulation (Fig. 2A) in which PrkC activity further potentiates WalR-repressing activity at *sasA*

through a second phosphorylation of WalR at Thr-101. However, it remained unclear to what

extent multisite phosphorylation of WalR affects cell-to-cell variability in *sasA* expression.

Cell-to-cell variability in gene expression can be tuned by changing repressor-binding affinities

(38, 39), suggesting that multisite phosphorylation of WalR may play a critical role in setting the

observed distribution of *sasA* expression across the population. To test this, we measured the

distribution of *sasA* expression in wild type (WT) cells and compared it to genetic backgrounds

that alter the phosphorylation state of WalR: $\Delta prpC$ (no phosphatase, high levels of T101~P), and

$\Delta prkC$ (no kinase, no detectable T101~P) (Fig. 2B). Qualitatively, in the $\Delta prpC$ background, the

frequency of cells with high levels of *sasA* expression was strongly reduced, whereas it was

strongly increased in the $\Delta prkC$ background. The PrpC-dependent effect on *sasA* expression

requires PrkC, since the distribution of *sasA* expression in a strain lacking both the kinase and

phosphatase ($\Delta(prpC\text{-}prkC)$) is very similar to a strain lacking just the kinase.

We first sought to quantify the effect of WalR multisite phosphorylation on the frequency of

"outliers": cells with a level of *sasA* expression above a fixed threshold in each population. We

therefore compared independent measurements of the distribution of *sasA* expression in WT,

$\Delta prpC$, and $\Delta(prpC\text{-}prkC)$ backgrounds (Fig. 2C, left) and found that PrkC significantly affects the

mean frequency of outliers >8 fold by this measure (*walR* ^{WT}, $\Delta prpC$ vs $\Delta(prpC\text{-}prkC)$: **p-

value~0.004, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). We repeated the measurements in a *walR* ^{T101A}

background (Fig. 2C, right) and found that PrkC no longer has a significant effect on the mean

frequency of outliers in the phosphosite mutant background (*walR* ^{T101A}, $\Delta prpC$ vs $\Delta(prpC\text{-}prkC)$:

p-value~0.56, ns, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). These results are consistent with increased WalR

activity by Thr-101 phosphorylation causing increased repression of *sasA*, and thereby regulating

the frequency of *sasA* outliers (Fig. 2D).

Analyzing the absolute frequency of outliers, however, relies on the definition of a cutoff

threshold and therefore does not fully address how multisite phosphorylation affects the entire

distribution of *sasA* expression across the population. To quantify the effect of PrkC on the

distribution of cell-to-cell variability in *sasA* expression, we deconvolved the measured data from

the cellular autofluorescence (SI). This resulted in autofluorescence-free distributions of *sasA*

expression, allowing better quantitative comparison of expression between genetic backgrounds

(Fig. 3A). This deconvolution method uses only the first two moments (i.e., the mean and

variance) of the observed distributions of fluorescence. As such, the auto-fluorescence free

distributions are relatively insensitive to the observed "outliers" in each distribution, but makes a

statistical prediction for those frequencies. To verify the accuracy of the predictions, these

calculated distributions were re-convolved with the cellular autofluorescence and the

reconstructed data set compared to the original data (Fig. S5). Calculation of the relative

enrichment of cells with a given level of *sasA* in each genetic background revealed that maximal

T101~P (Δ*prpC*) compared to no T101~P (Δ*prkC*) results in exponential changes in the relative

abundance of cells at a given level of *sasA* (Fig. 3B).

Together, the model and the outlier analysis in Fig. 2 suggest that PrkC-dependent regulation

of the distribution of *sasA* expression requires the second phosphosite at WalR T101. To test this,

we repeated the deconvolution procedure for a strain expressing a WalR mutant that lacks the

Thr-101 phosphosite, *walR T101A*, and found that the PrkC-dependent effect on *sasA* expression

is indeed WalR Thr-101-dependent (Fig. 3C, Fig. S6A). Thus, multisite phosphorylation is

responsible for the exponential depletion of cells with medium to high levels of *sasA* expression

in the Δ*prpC* background (Fig. 3B).

We then measured how intermediate levels of multisite phosphorylation regulate the

distribution of *sasA* expression using the kinase inhibitor staurosporine to progressively inhibit

PrkC activity (40) (Figs. S6B; S7). The distributions of *sasA* expression were again deconvolved

(Fig. S6C), and we calculated the relative enrichment of cells with a given level of *sasA*

fluorescence at increasing concentrations of staurosporine (Fig. 3D). Titration of PrkC activity

resulted in exponential enrichment of cells with a given level of *sasA*. Therefore, even small

changes in PrkC activity result in large changes in the abundance of "outliers", cells with unusually

high levels of *sasA*.

Since SasA is a (p)ppGpp synthetase, we sought to determine the approximate level of *sasA*

expression at which increased amounts of (p)ppGpp affect cell physiology. To do so, we used a

transcriptional reporter for *ilvA*, a gene that is upregulated in response to an increase in (p)ppGpp

levels (41). Although a direct mapping between absolute levels of (p)ppGpp and *ilvA* expression

has not been established, we reasoned that cells which exhibit a correlated and *sasA*-dependent

increase in *ilvA* expression would have a physiologically relevant level of *sasA* expression. We

observed this increase in cells with *sasA* levels above a certain threshold (Fig. 4A), suggesting

that even in the absence of induction some cells in WT populations have physiologically relevant

levels of *sasA*.

Elevated levels of (p)ppGpp confer a quiescent state to the bacterial cell resulting in antibiotic

tolerance (23, 42). Cell-to-cell variability in (p)ppGpp production has been proposed to result in

cell-to-cell variability in antibiotic survival (43, 44). However, a direct and quantitative relationship

between the expression of a (p)ppGpp synthetase and the probability of survival for an individual

cell has not been demonstrated. We therefore sought to determine if cells with pre-existing high

levels of *sasA* preferentially survive antibiotic exposure, and if so, provide a model for how the

level of *sasA* expression influences the probability of survival for a given cell.

We used ciprofloxacin, a DNA gyrase inhibitor that does not significantly increase the

population average level of *sasA* expression (27). We measured (Fig. S8) and deconvolved (Fig.

4B,C) the distributions of *sasA* expression (P_{sasA}-*yfp*) both pre-and post-ciprofloxacin treatment

that results in ~99% killing in both WT and Δ*sasA* backgrounds. Using these distributions, we

calculated the relative enrichment of cells with a given level of *sasA* following antibiotic treatment

(Fig. 4D), yielding a simple model for the effect of antibiotic treatment on the distribution of *sasA*

expression (SI). Because antibiotic treatments can be affected by many processes, we separated

out the size of the *sasA*-dependent effect by using a Δ*sasA* mutant as a control. WT populations

exhibited a significant increase in the fraction of cells with elevated levels of *sasA* (Fig. 4D). This

effect is strongly reduced in the Δ*sasA* background, demonstrating that a substantial part of the

enrichment, particularly at high levels of *sasA* expression, is *sasA*-dependent.

We then sought to determine whether our enrichment model reflects the probability of survival

for cells as a function of *sasA* expression. Since SasA is a (p)ppGppp synthetase, the *sasA*-

dependent enrichment we observe post-ciprofloxacin treatment could be due to an expression-

dependent probability of surviving antibiotic treatment. Alternately, since the measured increase

in mean fluorescence was relatively small (~2 fold), it is also possible that ciprofloxacin acts in a

complex, expression-dependent, manner to generate the observed post-treatment distribution of

sasA expression but does not affect survival. To differentiate between these hypotheses, we used

FACS to sort bacteria prior to ciprofloxacin treatment from both WT and Δ*sasA* populations into

"high" (upper ~1%) and "low" (~average) P*sasA*-*yfp* expression groups (Fig. 4E). Following

ciprofloxacin treatment (as in Figs. 4B,C, S8), the relative survival of "high" and "low" expression

cells, or the survival ratio, was assayed by plating for CFUs (Fig. 4F). The average fluorescence

cutoff values used in the FACS experiments, low: 4.1±1.8 and high: 779.5±134.9 (mean ± SEM,

3 experiments), were then used as inputs for a model where the enrichment of cells with increased

levels of *sasA* (Fig. 4D) is caused by increased survival (SI). The model yielded good agreement

with the results of the FACS experiments: it predicted relative survival ratios of ~9 for wild-type,

and ~2 for Δ*sasA*, respectively, compared to the measured values of ~9.5 ± 0.6 (WT) and 1.8 ±

0.7 (Δ*sasA*) (Fig. 4F). Therefore, the enrichment of cells with elevated levels of *sasA* post-

ciprofloxacin treatment can be largely attributed to the increased survival probability of pre-

existing cells in the population with elevated *sasA* expression.

Taken together, our results demonstrate that an important consequence of PrkC-dependent

multisite phosphorylation of WalR is the regulation of cell-to-cell variability, or noise, in the WalR

regulon gene *sasA*. By comparing the full distributions of gene expression, we demonstrate that

this effect is not just confined to the regulation of outliers in gene expression above an arbitrary

threshold within the population, but has an exponential effect on the relative abundance of cells

with a given level of expression. By analyzing the full distributions of expression we are also able

to demonstrate that *sasA* expression also continuously affects the antibiotic tolerance of individual

cells: specifically, the survival probability during a fixed course antibiotic treatment. This model

(SI) is consistent with cell sorting experiments that explicitly demonstrate that the observed

distributions are a consequence of survival probability.

Discussion:

Antibiotic tolerance is believed to be an important factor in the failure of antibiotic treatments

and a key step toward the development of antibiotic resistance (45). We therefore sought to trace

the origin of the cell-to-cell variability in expression of *sasA* and determine if it can be regulated

by genetic or chemical means. Noise in gene expression can be conceptually separated into

intrinsic and extrinsic noise. Although it is difficult to design strategies to specifically target events

generated by intrinsic noise, extrinsic noise may have upstream regulatory pathways that can be

modulated. Therefore, it is significant that the cell-to-cell variability in *sasA* was dominated by

extrinsic noise at high levels of expression (Fig.1) that have the strongest effect on antibiotic

tolerance (Fig. 4). Furthermore, since multisite phosphorylation is responsible for setting the

observed distribution of cell-to-cell variability (Figs. 2, 3), this regulatory pathway could be a novel

antibiotic target.

Multisite phosphorylation can expand the range of a protein's function, generating both switch-

like (46, 47), and graded (48, 49) changes in average activity. In contrast, here we observed only

minimal changes in the average levels of *sasA* expression as a function of PrkC activity, but

measured up to a ~100-fold effect on the frequency of "outliers", cells with particularly high levels

of expression (Fig. 3B). This response was shown to be graded, rather than switch-like, likely

arising as a consequence of the integration of signals from two distinct signaling systems. A single

phosphorylation at WalR Asp-53 strongly, but imperfectly, represses the *sasA* promoter. The

addition of the second phosphorylation at Thr-101 by a distinct signaling system then acts as a

second input to further regulate WalR. Interestingly, even small changes in activity of the second

system result in marked changes in the frequency of outliers.

Transcriptional regulation of outliers in eukaryotes has been shown to be predictive of which

cancer cells survive drug treatment (50). Here we found that transcriptional regulation by multisite

phosphorylation is also critical for setting the pre-existing distribution of survival probabilities for

cells within a bacterial population. Distinct from bacterial persistence, which is characterized by

bi-phasic killing, these survival probabilities reflect antibiotic tolerance or the killing kinetics during

a relatively short, fixed time-course, antibiotic treatment (51). In the Δ*sasA* background, we

observed a much weaker dependence of antibiotic tolerance on *sasA* expression. This residual

dependence is consistent with previous results that have implicated many global processes in

antibiotic tolerance including heterogeneity in growth state (22, 52, 53) and enhanced expression

of drug efflux pumps (54, 55). This is also consistent with the relatively weak correlation in

expression between *sasA* and the constitutive promoter *veg* (Fig. S2). Indeed, it remains to be

seen precisely how cellular physiology changes in a *sasA*-expression dependent manner. SasA

has been shown to be important for ribosome assembly in *B. subtilis* (29) and for survival during

envelope stress in *S. aureus* (56). More generally, various cellular processes are known to be

directly and indirectly affected by rising (p)ppGpp levels including inhibition of DNA primase

activity (57), and reduction in intracellular GTP pools (58) thereby downregulating rRNA

transcription (59). As our results show that antibiotic survival increases continuously with *sasA*

expression, it suggests that SasA exerts a continuous effect proportional to its level on

physiological processes that mediate ciprofloxacin killing. Therefore, multisite phosphorylation

may provide a "bet-hedging" strategy to regulate the phenotypic diversity of a bacterial population,

serving as a broadly useful mechanism to tune the frequency of rare phenotypes that facilitate

survival under adverse conditions.

References:

1. Arnoldini M*, et al.* (2014) Bistable expression of virulence genes in salmonella leads to the formation of an antibiotic-tolerant subpopulation. *PLoS Biol* 12(8):e1001928.

2. Norman TM, Lord ND, Paulsson J, & Losick R (2015) Stochastic Switching of Cell Fate in Microbes. *Annual review of microbiology* 69:381-403.

3. Maamar H, Raj A, & Dubnau D (2007) Noise in gene expression determines cell fate in Bacillus subtilis. *Science* 317(5837):526-529.

4. Gunawardena J (2005) Multisite protein phosphorylation makes a good threshold but can be a poor switch. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102(41):14617-14622.

5. Ortega F, Garces JL, Mas F, Kholodenko BN, & Cascante M (2006) Bistability from double phosphorylation in signal transduction. Kinetic and structural requirements. *FEBS J* 273(17):3915-3926.

6. Wright DP & Ulijasz AT (2014) Regulation of transcription by eukaryotic-like serine-threonine kinases and phosphatases in Gram-positive bacterial pathogens. *Virulence* 5(8):863-885.

7. Laub MT & Goulian M (2007) Specificity in two-component signal transduction pathways. *Annu Rev Genet* 41:121-145.

8. Batchelor E & Goulian M (2003) Robustness and the cycle of phosphorylation and dephosphorylation in a two-component regulatory system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100(2):691-696.

9. Shinar G, Milo R, Martinez MR, & Alon U (2007) Input output robustness in simple bacterial signaling systems. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104(50):19931-19935.

10. Batchelor E, Silhavy TJ, & Goulian M (2004) Continuous control in bacterial regulatory circuits. *J Bacteriol* 186(22):7618-7625.

11. Miyashiro T & Goulian M (2008) High stimulus unmasks positive feedback in an autoregulated bacterial signaling circuit. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 105(45):17457-17462.

12. Roggiani M & Goulian M (2015) Oxygen-Dependent Cell-to-Cell Variability in the Output of the Escherichia coli Tor Phosphorelay. *J Bacteriol* 197(12):1976-1987.

13. Carey JN*, et al.* (2018) Regulated Stochasticity in a Bacterial Signaling Network Permits Tolerance to a Rapid Environmental Change. *Cell*.

14. Bigger JW (1944) Treatment of staphylococcal infections with penicillin by intermittent sterilisation. *Lancet* 244:497–500.

15. Fauvart M, De Groote VN, & Michiels J (2011) Role of persister cells in chronic infections: clinical relevance and perspectives on anti-persister therapies. *J Med Microbiol* 60(Pt 6):699-709.

16. Abranches J*, et al.* (2009) The molecular alarmone (p)ppGpp mediates stress responses, vancomycin tolerance, and virulence in Enterococcus faecalis. *J Bacteriol* 191(7):2248-2256.

17. Gaca AO*, et al.* (2013) Basal levels of (p)ppGpp in Enterococcus faecalis: the magic beyond the stringent response. *MBio* 4(5):e00646-00613.

18.   Dordel J, *et al.* (2014) Novel determinants of antibiotic resistance: identification of
mutated loci in highly methicillin-resistant subpopulations of methicillin-resistant
Staphylococcus aureus. *MBio* 5(2):e01000.

19.   Maisonneuve E & Gerdes K (2014) Molecular mechanisms underlying bacterial
persisters. *Cell* 157(3):539-548.

20.   Liu K, Bittner AN, & Wang JD (2015) Diversity in (p)ppGpp metabolism and effectors.
Curr Opin Microbiol 24:72-79.

21.   Nguyen D, *et al.* (2011) Active starvation responses mediate antibiotic tolerance in
biofilms and nutrient-limited bacteria. *Science* 334(6058):982-986.

22.   Balaban NQ, Merrin J, Chait R, Kowalik L, & Leibler S (2004) Bacterial persistence as a
phenotypic switch. *Science* 305(5690):1622-1625.

23.   Maisonneuve E, Castro-Camargo M, & Gerdes K (2013) (p)ppGpp Controls Bacterial
Persistence by Stochastic Induction of Toxin-Antitoxin Activity. *Cell* 154(5):1140-1150.

24.   Cashel M, Gentry D, Hernandez V, & Vinella D (1996) The stringent response in
Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium. *Esherichia coli and Salmonella; Cellular*
and Molecular Biology, (ASM Press, Washington DC), Vol 2, pp 1458-1496.

25.   Atkinson GC, Tenson T, & Hauryliuk V (2011) The RelA/SpoT homolog (RSH)
superfamily: distribution and functional evolution of ppGpp synthetases and hydrolases
across the tree of life. *PLoS One* 6(8):e23479.

26.   Nanamiya H, *et al.* (2008) Identification and functional analysis of novel (p)ppGpp
synthetase genes in Bacillus subtilis. *Mol Microbiol* 67(2):291-304.

27.   D'Elia MA, *et al.* (2009) Probing teichoic acid genetics with bioactive molecules reveals
new interactions among diverse processes in bacterial cell wall biogenesis. *Chem Biol*
16(5):548-556.

28.   Eiamphungporn W & Helmann JD (2008) The Bacillus subtilis sigma(M) regulon and its
contribution to cell envelope stress responses. *Mol Microbiol* 67(4):830-848.

29.   Tagami K, *et al.* (2012) Expression of a small (p)ppGpp synthetase, YwaC, in the
(p)ppGpp(0) mutant of Bacillus subtilis triggers YvyD-dependent dimerization of
ribosome. *MicrobiologyOpen* 1(2):115-134.

30.   Gaidenko TA, Kim TJ, & Price CW (2002) The PrpC serine-threonine phosphatase and
PrkC kinase have opposing physiological roles in stationary-phase Bacillus subtilis cells.
J Bacteriol 184(22):6109-6114.

31.   Libby EA, Goss LA, & Dworkin J (2015) The Eukaryotic-Like Ser/Thr Kinase PrkC
Regulates the Essential WalRK Two-Component System in Bacillus subtilis. *PLoS*
Genet 11(6):e1005275.

32.   Elowitz MB, Levine AJ, Siggia ED, & Swain PS (2002) Stochastic gene expression in a
single cell. *Science* 297(5584):1183-1186.

33.   Leveau JH & Lindow SE (2001) Predictive and interpretive simulation of green
fluorescent protein expression in reporter bacteria. *J Bacteriol* 183(23):6752-6762.

34.   Radeck J, *et al.* (2013) The Bacillus BioBrick Box: generation and evaluation of essential
genetic building blocks for standardized work with Bacillus subtilis. *J Biol Eng* 7(1):29.

35. Thackray PD & Moir A (2003) SigM, an extracytoplasmic function sigma factor of
Bacillus subtilis, is activated in response to cell wall antibiotics, ethanol, heat, acid, and
superoxide stress. *J Bacteriol* 185(12):3491-3498.

36. Salzberg LI*, et al.* (2013) The WalRK (YycFG) and sigma(I) RsgI regulators cooperate to
control CwlO and LytE expression in exponentially growing and stressed Bacillus subtilis
cells. *Mol Microbiol* 87(1):180-195.

37. Dubrac S, Bisicchia P, Devine KM, & Msadek T (2008) A matter of life and death: cell
wall homeostasis and the WalKR (YycGF) essential signal transduction pathway. *Mol*
Microbiol 70(6):1307-1322.

38. Jones DL, Brewster RC, & Phillips R (2014) Promoter architecture dictates cell-to-cell
variability in gene expression. *Science* 346(6216):1533-1536.

39. Sanchez A, Garcia HG, Jones D, Phillips R, & Kondev J (2011) Effect of promoter
architecture on the cell-to-cell variability in gene expression. *PLoS Comput Biol*
7(3):e1001100.

40. Shah IM, Laaberki MH, Popham DL, & Dworkin J (2008) A eukaryotic-like Ser/Thr kinase
signals bacteria to exit dormancy in response to peptidoglycan fragments. *Cell*
135(3):486-496.

41. Kriel A*, et al.* (2014) GTP dysregulation in Bacillus subtilis cells lacking (p)ppGpp results
in phenotypic amino acid auxotrophy and failure to adapt to nutrient downshift and
regulate biosynthesis genes. *J Bacteriol* 196(1):189-201.

42. Fisher RA, Gollan B, & Helaine S (2017) Persistent bacterial infections and persister
cells. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 15(8):453-464.

43. Hahn J, Tanner AW, Carabetta VJ, Cristea IM, & Dubnau D (2015) ComGA-RelA
interaction and persistence in the Bacillus subtilis K-state. *Mol Microbiol* 97(3):454-471.

44. Germain E, Castro-Roa D, Zenkin N, & Gerdes K (2013) Molecular mechanism of
bacterial persistence by HipA. *Mol Cell* 52(2):248-254.

45. Levin-Reisman I*, et al.* (2017) Antibiotic tolerance facilitates the evolution of resistance.
Science 355(6327):826-830.

46. Ferrell JE, Jr. & Ha SH (2014) Ultrasensitivity part II: multisite phosphorylation,
stoichiometric inhibitors, and positive feedback. *Trends Biochem Sci* 39(11):556-569.

47. Nash P*, et al.* (2001) Multisite phosphorylation of a CDK inhibitor sets a threshold for the
onset of DNA replication. *Nature* 414(6863):514-521.

48. Zaytsev AV*, et al.* (2015) Multisite phosphorylation of the NDC80 complex gradually
tunes its microtubule-binding affinity. *Mol Biol Cell* 26(10):1829-1844.

49. Pufall MA*, et al.* (2005) Variable control of Ets-1 DNA binding by multiple phosphates in
an unstructured region. *Science* 309(5731):142-145.

50. Shaffer SM*, et al.* (2017) Rare cell variability and drug-induced reprogramming as a
mode of cancer drug resistance. *Nature* 546(7658):431-435.

51. Kester JC & Fortune SM (2014) Persisters and beyond: mechanisms of phenotypic drug
resistance and drug tolerance in bacteria. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol* 49(2):91-101.

52. Conlon BP*, et al.* (2016) Persister formation in Staphylococcus aureus is associated with
ATP depletion. *Nature microbiology* 1:16051.

53.   Gutierrez A, *et al.* (2017) Understanding and Sensitizing Density-Dependent Persistence
425   to Quinolone Antibiotics. *Mol Cell* 68(6):1147-1154 e1143.

426   54.   Adams KN, *et al.* (2011) Drug tolerance in replicating mycobacteria mediated by a
427   macrophage-induced efflux mechanism. *Cell* 145(1):39-53.

428   55.   Pu Y, *et al.* (2016) Enhanced Efflux Activity Facilitates Drug Tolerance in Dormant
429   Bacterial Cells. *Mol Cell* 62(2):284-294.

430   56.   Geiger T, Kastle B, Gratani FL, Goerke C, & Wolz C (2014) Two small (p)ppGpp
431   synthases in Staphylococcus aureus mediate tolerance against cell envelope stress
432   conditions. *J Bacteriol* 196(4):894-902.

433   57.   Wang JD, Sanders GM, & Grossman AD (2007) Nutritional control of elongation of DNA
434   replication by (p)ppGpp. *Cell* 128(5):865-875.

435   58.   Kriel A, *et al.* (2012) Direct regulation of GTP homeostasis by (p)ppGpp: a critical
436   component of viability and stress resistance. *Mol Cell* 48(2):231-241.

437   59.   Krasny L & Gourse RL (2004) An alternative strategy for bacterial ribosome synthesis:
438   Bacillus subtilis rRNA transcription regulation. *EMBO J* 23(22):4473-4483.

Acknowledgements:

We thank Eric Brown for the strain EB1385, Isaac Plant (Silver lab) for the plasmid pIP384, and

Amir Figueroa at the Microbiology & Immunology Core Facility at Columbia University for

assistance with flow cytometry and cell sorting. This work was supported by NIH GM114213 and

a BWF Investigators in the Pathogenesis of Infectious Disease award to JD, a grant from the

Department of Systems Biology at Harvard Medical School to EAL, and SR was supported by

NIH GM095784 and gratefully acknowledges support from the Azrieli Foundation. Author

Contributions: Conceived and designed the experiments EAL and JD. EAL performed the

experiments. EAL and SR analyzed data. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: EAL and

SR. Wrote the paper: EAL, SR and JD.

Figures and Figure Legends

Figure 1: *sasA* exhibits cell-to-cell variability in expression.

A) P*sasA*-*yfp* expression in log phase culture. **Left:** YFP image. Color scale indicates the fold

change relative to the population average. **Right:** Phase contrast. Scale bar indicates 10

μm.

B) Schematic of dual-color transcriptional reporter strain to characterize the noise in *sasA*

expression. Two copies of the *sasA* promoter driving different reporters (P*sasA*-*yfp*, P*sasA*-

mcherry) are inserted at separate ectopic loci.

C) Evidence for extrinsic noise in *sasA* expression**.** Images of single cells from the dual

reporter strain with significant fluorescence shown in mCherry (**Left**), YFP (**Center**), and

phase contrast (**Right**) channels. Scale bar indicates 5 μm.

D) Quantification of cellular fluorescence intensities for single cells in the experiment

described in **B,C**. Values were normalized relative to the population mean value for each

channel. Data shown was measured on ~ 580 cells with detectable fluorescence in both

channels. The fluorescence of the two reporters have a Pearson's correlation coefficient

of r ~ 0.90±0.08 (SEM). For each reporter, the CV ~ 4.95±0.42 (SEM).

Figure 2: The Ser/Thr kinase PrkC and phosphatase PrpC regulate cell-to-cell variability in *sasA*

    A) Model for PrkC-dependent regulation of *sasA*. WalR binding to the *sasA* promoter represses *sasA*. WalR activity is primarily controlled by phosphorylation on Asp 53 by its cognate histidine kinase WalK, and secondarily by phosphorylation on Thr 101 by the Ser/Thr kinase PrkC. WalR T101~P can be dephosphorylated by the phosphatase PrpC. T101~P further enhances the repressing activity of WalR D53~P, resulting in lower expression of *sasA* under conditions with high Thr 101~P (e.g., Δ*prpC*). Under conditions lacking Thr 101~P (*e.g.,* Δ*prkC*), the increased repression of *sasA* is relieved.

B) Histograms demonstrating PrkC-dependent cell-to-cell variability in *sasA*. P*sasA*-*yfp* reporter activity was quantified by flow cytometry in wild type (WT, gray), Δ*prpC* (orange), Δ*prkC* (green), and Δ(*prpC-prkC*) (blue) backgrounds. Shaded region of each plot indicates the range of cellular autofluorescence observed. Each histogram was computed from data on $\sim3.0*10^4$ events.

C) Percentage of outliers in each genetic background. At least 4 independent experiments, similar to and including the representative one shown in **B**, were performed in *walR* ^{WT} (**Left**) and *walR* ^{T101A} (**Right**) backgrounds. Each experiment was normalized to a control and outliers were defined as cells above a fixed threshold level of normalized fluorescence (~1250 A.U.). Dots represent the percentage of each population that is above the threshold; bars and lines represent the mean and SEM, respectively.

D) Summary of each genotype, its effect on the maximal occupancy of the two known WalR phosphosites, and the expected effect on WalR activity relative to WT. For each genotype the mean percentage of outliers in *sasA* expression (shown in **C**) are also summarized. Note that in the Δ*prpC* background, the T101 phosphorylation is stabilized (denoted as **T101***).

Figure 3: Multisite phosphorylation causes exponential changes in abundance of cells with a given level of *sasA* expression

A) Functional fits of autofluorescence-free distributions of *sasA* expression. A

deconvolution algorithm was used to remove the contribution of autofluorescence

from the measured distributions of *sasA* expression shown in Figure 2B. Validation of

the fits are shown in Fig. S5.

B) Effect of PrkC on the distribution of *sasA* expression. For each genotype in **A** the

frequency of cells with a given level of *sasA* expression was normalized against the

equivalent frequency in the WT population. On this semi-logarithmic plot, straight lines

indicate that the enrichment factor changes ~exponentially with fluorescence.

C) PrkC-dependent regulation of cell-to-cell variability in *sasA* is WalR Thr-101-

dependent. P*sasA*-*yfp* reporter activity was quantified by flow cytometry in a Δ*prpC*

walR T101A (yellow, solid) background and compared to Δ*prpC* (orange), Δ(*prpC*-

prkC) (blue), and Δ(*prpC*-*prkC*) *walR T101A* (yellow, dashed) backgrounds in the

same experiment. Plots were generated by applying the deconvolution method

described in **A** to the measured data in Fig. S6A.

D) Gradual inhibition of PrkC results in progressive enrichment of cells with elevated

levels of *sasA*. P*$_{sasA}$*-*yfp* reporter activity was quantified by flow cytometry during

treatment with increasing concentrations of staurosporine: 0 (solvent only; black), 50,

100 nM, and 1 µM (shades of magenta), in otherwise WT populations. For reference,

a Δ(*prpC-prkC*) (blue) population treated with solvent only is shown; note that the

effect of staurosporine saturates at this level. Plots were generated by applying the

deconvolution method described in **A** to the measured data in Fig. S6B,C. For each

condition the frequency of cells with a given level of *sasA* expression was normalized

against the equivalent frequency in the WT population. As in **B**, straight lines indicate

that enrichment grows ~exponentially with fluorescence. Enrichment becomes more

pronounced as the concentration of staurosporine increases and saturates at the level

of complete inhibition ~Δ(*prpC-prkC*).

Figure 4: Cells with elevated *sasA* expression preferentially survive antibiotic treatment

A) The distribution of *ilvA* (mCherry) and *sasA* expression (YFP) in single cells. Shown are contour plots of wild type (WT; blue) and Δ*sasA* (red) populations treated with 100 μg/ml bacitracin to induce *sasA* expression. Contour lines shown are at 2% levels. A correlated increase in *ilvA* expression is observed in WT cells with *sasA* levels greater than the dashed line. Data represents ~$3.0*10^4$ events for each population.

B) Autofluorescence-free distributions of *sasA* expression before (dark gray) and after (light gray) ciprofloxacin treatment in an otherwise WT background based on the data shown in Fig. S8A.

C) Autofluorescence-free distributions of *sasA* expression before (dark red) and after (light red) ciprofloxacin treatment in a Δ*sasA* background based on the data shown in Fig. S8B.

D) Enrichment plot following antibiotic treatment for WT and Δ*sasA* populations. For each genotype in **B** and **D** the frequency of cells with a given level of P*sasA*-*yfp* after antibiotic

treatment was normalized against the corresponding frequency prior to antibiotic

treatment. Dashed lines indicate the average values used in **E** and **F**.

E) Histograms of P$_{sasA}$-*yfp* fluorescence in WT (black) and Δ*sasA* (red) backgrounds

measured by flow cytometry prior to sorting into "low" (4.1 ± 1.8) or "high" (779.5 ±

134.9) expression groups (relative mean ± SEM, 3 experiments). Each histogram is

comprised of data obtained from ~$3.0*10^4$ events and is from a representative

experiment used in **F**.

F) Relative survival of the "high" and "low" expression populations in **E** after treatment with

ciprofloxacin for ~3.5 h as in **B,C**. Bars indicate the mean survival ratio of the "high" to

"low" expressing populations. The data shown represents 3 independent experiments

(paired t-test, p-value ~ 0.009, **). The red dashed line represents a survival ratio of 1,

indicating no advantage.