Selectively increased autofluorescence at certain locations of skin may become a novel diagnostic biomarker for lung cancer

Mingchao Zhang[1,2,*], Yue Tao[1,2,*], Qing Chang[3,*], Yujia Li[1,2], Tianqing Chu[3,#],

Weihai Ying[1,2,#]

[1]Med-X Research Institute and School of Biomedical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200030, P.R. China; [2]Collaborative Innovation Center for Genetics and Development, Shanghai 200043, P.R. China; [3]Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Shanghai Chest Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200030, P.R. China

*: These authors contributed equally to this work.

#: Corresponding authors:

Weihai Ying, Ph.D.

Professor, School of Biomedical Engineering and Med-X Research Institute

Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200030, P.R. China

E-mail: weihaiy@sjtu.edu.cn

Tianqing Chu, M.D., Ph.D.

Associate Chief Physician

Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Shanghai Chest Hospital

Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200030, P.R. China

E-mail: ctqxxyy@163.com

Abstract

Early diagnosis is critical for improving the 5-year survival rate of lung cancer patients. Our current study tested our hypothesis that increased autofluorescence (AF) of skin and nails may become a novel diagnostic biomarker of lung cancer, which has generated the following findings: First, the AF intensity of the untreated lung cancer patients was significantly higher than that of the healthy persons and the pulmonary infection patients at certain examined locations of the skin and fingernails. Second, the 'Pattern of AF' of healthy controls, pulmonary infection patients and untreated lung cancer patients was markedly different from each other, e.g., in a majority of the locations examined, a higher percentage of the untreated lung cancer patients had increased AF intensity compared with pulmonary infection patients. Third, when the number of the locations with increased AF was used as the sole diagnostic parameter, our ROC analysis showed that the AUC was 0.9067 for differentiating the healthy controls and the untreated lung cancer patients. Fourth, the AF intensity of the right Dorsal Antebrachium of the chemotherapy-treated lung cancer patients was significantly lower than that of the untreated lung cancer patients. Collectively, our study has indicated that the 'Pattern of AF' of lung cancer patients could become a novel biomarker of lung cancer, which holds great promise for non-invasive, rapid and economic diagnosis and screening of lung cancer.

Keywords: Autofluorescence; Lung cancer; Biomarker; Non-invasive; Diagnosis.

Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer deaths around the world (18). Approximately 85% of lung cancer are non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), with approximately 15% of lung cancer being small cell lung cancer (SCLC) (3). The main histological subtypes of NSCLC are adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma (3). If identified at an early stage, surgical resection of NSCLC offers a favorable prognosis, with 5-year survival rates of 70 - 90% for small, localized tumors (stage I) (5,16). However, approximately 75% patients have advanced disease at the time of diagnosis (stage III/IV) (20). As reported by the UK Office for National Statistics in 2014, patients diagnosed with distant metastatic disease (stage IV) had a 1-year survival rate of 15-19%. The majority of the patients of Adenocarcinomas - the most common subtype of lung cancer - come to clinical attention with distantly metastatic or locally advanced disease, since the disease may be asymptomatic in their early stages (17).

CT screening is a widely used diagnostic approach for lung cancer. However, in addition to the concerns of radiation exposures (1) and the cost of testing, CT screening is also associated with a high rate of falsely positive tests which may result in unneeded treatment (1). Because early diagnosis is a key factor determining the 5-year survival rates of the disease, it becomes increasingly valuable to establish approaches that can conduct diagnosis of lung cancer at patients' homes non-invasively and efficiently.

Skin autofluorescence (AF) has shown promise for non-invasive diagnosis of diabetes, which is based on detecting the AF of advanced glycation end-products (AGEs) of the collagen in dermis (10,13). The excitation wavelength of AGEs' AF (9,11) is profoundly different from that of the epidermal AF of keratins (7,15). Keratins, together with melanin, NADH and FAD, are major epidermal fluorophores (2,15). Our recent study has found that UV-induced epidermal green AF, which is originated from UV-induced keratin 1 proteolysis in the spinous cells of epidermis, can be used as a novel biomarker for predicting UV-induced skin damage (7). Since detection of AF is non-invasive and simple, it is of great significance to further investigate the potential of AF as biomarkers for diseases. Our latest study has further found that the oxidative stress induced by UVC is causative to the increased epidermal AF of mouse ears by inducing keratin 1 proteolysis (12). Since a number of studies have found increased oxidative stress in the plasma of lung cancer patients (4,6,8,14,19), it is warranted to determine if there are increases in the epidermal AF of lung cancer patients, which may become a new diagnostic biomarker for the disease.

In this study, we tested our hypothesis that lung cancer patients may have increased AF in certain locations of their skin, which may become a novel diagnostic biomarker for the disease. Our study has provided first evidence that there are selective increases in green AF intensity at certain locations of the skin of lung cancer patients, which has provided evidence supporting our hypothesis.

Methods

Human subjects

This study was conducted according to a protocol approved by the Ethics Committee of Shanghai Chest Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, and a protocol approved by the Ethics Committee of Shanghai Fifth People's Hospital, Fudan University. The human subjects in our study were divided into five groups: Group 1: The Healthy Group; Group 2: The Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients, who were hospitalized in the Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Shanghai Chest Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University; Group 3: The Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients, including untreated adenocarcinoma, squamous-cell carcinoma and small-cell lung carcinoma patients, who were hospitalized in the Department of Pulmonary Medicine; Group 4: The Group of Chemotherapy-Treated Lung Cancer Patients who were hospitalized in the Department of Pulmonary Medicine; and Group 5: The Group of Acute Ischemic Stroke (AIS) patients who were diagnosed as AIS by the neurologists of the Department of Neurology, Shanghai Fifth People's Hospital, Fudan University.

Autofluorescence determination

A portable AF imaging equipment was used to detect the AF of the fingernails and certain locations of the skin of the human subjects. The excitation wavelength is 485 nm, and the emission wavelength is 500 - 550 nm. For all of the human subjects, the AF intensity in the following seven locations on both hands, i.e., fourteen

locations in total, was determined, including the index fingernails, Ventroforefingers, dorsal index fingers, Centremetacarpus, Dorsal Centremetacarpus, Ventriantebrachium, and Dorsal Antebrachium.

Statistical analyses

All data are presented as mean $\pm$ SEM. Data were assessed by one-way ANOVA, followed by Student – Newman - Keuls *post hoc* test, except where noted. *P* values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

1. The AF intensity at certain locations of the skin of untreated lung cancer patients was significantly higher than that of the healthy persons and the patients of pulmonary infections

We determined the green AF intensity of two index fingernails and twelve locations of the skin of the Healthy Group, the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients, and the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients. We found that the AF intensity of the untreated lung cancer patients was significantly higher than that of the healthy persons at all of the examined positions (Fig. 1A - 1G). The AF intensity of the untreated lung cancer patients was also significantly higher than that of the pulmonary infection patients at right Ventriantebrachium (Fig. 1A), left and right Dorsal Antebrachium (Fig. 1B), and left and right Dorsal Centrimetacarpus (Fig. 1D).

2. The AF asymmetry of untreated lung cancer patients was significantly different from that of the healthy persons and the pulmonary infection patients at certain examined locations

We determined the 'asymmetry of the AF intensity', which is defined as 'difference between the AF intensity of the symmetrical positions of the body'. There was no significant difference among the AF asymmetry of the Healthy Group, the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients, and the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients at Ventriantebrachium (Fig. 2A), Dorsal Antebrachium (Fig. 2B) and Dorsal Centrimetacarpus (Fig. 2D). The AF asymmetry of both the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients and the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients was significantly higher than that of the Healthy Group at Centrimetacarpus (Fig. 2C) and Index Fingernail (Fig. 2G). The AF asymmetry of the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients was significantly higher than that of the Healthy Group at Ventroforefinger (Fig. 2E).   At Dorsal Index Fingers, the AF asymmetry of the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients was significantly higher than that of the Healthy Group and the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients (Fig. 2F).

3. 'The pattern of AF' of the untreated lung cancer was distinctly different from that of the healthy persons and the pulmonary infection patients

We found that the percentage of the people with no more than one region with increased green AF was 50.0%, 24.1% and 2.6% for the Healthy Group, the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients, and the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients,

respectively (Fig. 3A).   In contrast, the percentage of the people with more than six locations with increased green AF was 7.4%, 20.7% and 61.5% for the Healthy Group, the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients, and the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients, respectively (Fig. 3A).

In all of the locations examined, a markedly higher percentage of the untreated lung cancer patients had increased AF intensity compared with the healthy persons (Fig. 3B).   Moreover, in a majority of the locations examined, a higher percentage of the untreated lung cancer patients had increased AF intensity compared with the pulmonary infection patients (Fig. 3B).

As a major index of 'Pattern of AF', the green AF intensity of all of the examined locations of the three studied groups was listed in Table 1. The table showed that the 'Pattern of AF' was markedly different among the three groups. Moreover, the 'Pattern of AF' of the untreated lung cancer patients was significantly different from that of AIS patients (Table 2).

4. ROC analyses indicated significant promise of the green AF-based technology in differentiating untreated lung cancer patients from either healthy persons or pulmonary infection patients

By using the AF intensity of each examined location as the sole diagnostic parameter, we conducted ROC analyses to determine the AUC for differentiating the healthy persons and untreated lung cancer patients.   The AUC ranged from 0.8196 to 0.878 when the AF intensity of anyone of the examined locations, except right and left

Ventroforefinger and left Centremetacarpus, was used as the sole diagnostic parameter (Fig. 4A - 4G). We also conducted ROC analyses to determine the AUC for differentiating the pulmonary infection patients and untreated lung cancer patients. The AUC was 0.8046 and 0.8841, respectively, when the AF intensity of right or left Dorsal Centremetacarpus was used as the sole diagnostic parameter (Fig. 4D).

When the number of the locations with increased AF was used as the sole diagnostic parameter, our ROC analysis showed that the AUC was 0.9067 for differentiating the healthy controls and the untreated lung cancer patients (Fig. 5A). Moreover, our ROC analysis showed that the AUC was 0.7538 for differentiating the pulmonary infection patients and the untreated lung cancer patients (Fig. 5B).

5. The AF intensity of certain examined locations of the chemotherapy-treated lung cancer patients was significantly lower than that of the untreated lung cancer patients

We determined the green AF intensity of two index fingernails and twelve locations of the skin of the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients and the Group of Chemotherapy-Treated Lung Cancer Patients. We found that the AF intensity of the right Dorsal Antebrachium, but not at other examined locations, of the chemotherapy-treated lung cancer patients was significantly lower than that of the untreated lung cancer patients (Fig. 6).

Discussion

    The major findings of our study include: First, the AF intensity of the untreated lung cancer patients was significantly higher than that of the pulmonary infection patients at certain examined locations of the skin and fingernails. Second, the 'Pattern of AF' of healthy controls, pulmonary infection patients and untreated lung cancer patients was markedly different from each other, e.g., in a majority of the locations examined, a higher percentage of the untreated lung cancer patients had increased AF intensity compared with pulmonary infection patients. Third, when the number of the locations with increased AF was used as the sole diagnostic parameter, our ROC analysis showed that the AUC was 0.9067 for differentiating the healthy controls and the untreated lung cancer patients. Fourth, the AF intensity of the right Dorsal Antebrachium of the chemotherapy-treated lung cancer patients was significantly lower than that of the untreated lung cancer patients. Collectively, our study has indicated that lung cancer patients have selective AF increases in certain locations of their skin and nails, which may become a novel diagnostic biomarker for the disease.

    Early diagnosis is critical for improving the 5-year survival rate of lung cancer patients. Our current study has provided several lines of evidence suggesting that our AF-based diagnostic approach holds great promise to become a novel non-invasive diagnostic approach for lung cancer: First, the AF intensity at several locations of the skin and fingernails of the untreated lung cancer patients was significantly different from that of the healthy controls and the pulmonary infection patients. Second, the 'Pattern of AF' of the untreated lung cancer patients was

markedly different from both the 'Pattern of AF' of pulmonary infection patients and the 'Pattern of AF' of AIS patients. Third, when the number of the locations with increased AF was used as the sole diagnostic parameter, our ROC analysis showed that the AUC was 0.9067 for differentiating the healthy controls and the untreated lung cancer patients.   Fourth, ROC analyses were conducted to determine the AUC for differentiating the pulmonary infection patients and untreated lung cancer patients, showing that the AUC was 0.8046 and 0.8841, respectively, when the AF intensity of right or left Dorsal Centremetacarpus was used as the sole diagnostic parameter.

Based on these pieces of evidence, we proposed a novel criteria for lung cancer diagnosis - the characteristic 'Pattern of AF' of lung cancer may be used for non-invasive, rapid and economic diagnosis of lung cancer.   It is expected that the sensitivity and specificity of the 'Pattern of AF'-based lung cancer diagnosis would be further enhanced by our following additional strategies, including addition of clinical symptoms of the patients into this diagnostic approach, applications of AI technology to conduct analyses of the structural information of the AF images, and increases of the database of the diagnostic approach. Moreover, our study has also indicated that the 'Pattern of AF' is a novel biomarker of lung cancer.

It is important to elucidate the mechanisms underlying the increases in the green AF of lung cancer patients.   We have found that the oxidative stress induced by UVC mediates the increase in the epidermal green AF of mouse by inducing keratin 1 proteolysis (7).   Our study has also shown that LPS-produced inflammation can also dose-dependently increase the epidermal green AF in mice (21). Moreover,

Epidermal AF intensity was significantly associated with the serum levels of multiple cytokines in LPS-exposed mice (22).   A number of studies have shown significant increases in oxidative stress and inflammation in lung cancer patients (4,6,8,14,19). Therefore, we propose that the oxidative stress and inflammation of lung cancer patients may induce increases in the epidermal AF by such pathways as inducing keratin 1 proteolysis.

Our study has also found that the AF intensity of the right Dorsal Antebrachium of the chemotherapy-treated lung cancer patients was significantly lower than that of the untreated lung cancer patients.   This finding has suggested that the 'Pattern of AF' may also be used for evaluating the therapeutic efficacy of lung cancer.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support by Major Research Grants from the Scientific Committee of Shanghai Municipality #16JC1400502 (to W.Y.) and two research grants from a Major Special Program Grant of Shanghai Municipality (Grant # 2017SHZDZX01) (to W.Y.).

.

References:

1.   Aberle DR, Abtin F, Brown K. Computed tomography screening for lung cancer: has it finally arrived? Implications of the national lung screening trial. *J Clin Oncol* 31: 1002-8, 2013.

2.   Bader AN, Pena AM, Johan van Voskuilen C, Palero JA, Leroy F, Colonna A, Gerritsen HC. Fast nonlinear spectral microscopy of in vivo human skin. *Biomed Opt Express* 2: 365-73, 2011.

3.   Blandin Knight S, Crosbie PA, Balata H, Chudziak J, Hussell T, Dive C. Progress and prospects of early detection in lung cancer. *Open Biol* 7, 2017.

4.   de Cavanagh EM, Honegger AE, Hofer E, Bordenave RH, Bullorsky EO, Chasseing NA, Fraga C. Higher oxidation and lower antioxidant levels in peripheral blood plasma and bone marrow plasma from advanced cancer patients. *Cancer* 94: 3247-51, 2002.

5.   Goldstraw P, Chansky K, Crowley J, Rami-Porta R, Asamura H, Eberhardt WE, Nicholson AG, Groome P, Mitchell A, Bolejack V, International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer S, Prognostic Factors Committee AB, Participating I, International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer S, Prognostic Factors Committee Advisory B, Participating I. The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: Proposals for Revision of the TNM Stage Groupings in the Forthcoming (Eighth) Edition of the TNM Classification for Lung Cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 11: 39-51, 2016.

6.   Look MP, Musch E. Lipid peroxides in the polychemotherapy of cancer

patients. *Chemotherapy* 40: 8-15, 1994.

7.   Zhang M, Li Y, Maharjan DT,He H, Tao Y, Wu D, Ying W. Keratin-Based Epidermal Green Autofluorescence is a Common Biomarker of Organ Injury. *bioRxiv* 564112; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/564112, 2019.

8.   Margaret AL, Syahruddin E, Wanandi SI. Low activity of manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD) in blood of lung cancer patients with smoking history: relationship to oxidative stress. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 12: 3049-53, 2011.

9.   Meerwaldt R, Graaff R, Oomen PHN, Links TP, Jager JJ, Alderson NL, Thorpe SR, Baynes JW, Gans ROB, Smit AJ. Simple non-invasive assessment of advanced glycation endproduct accumulation. *Diabetologia* 47: 1324-1330, 2004.

10.   Meerwaldt R, Links T, Graaff R, Thorpe SR, Baynes JW, Hartog J, Gans R, Smit A. Simple noninvasive measurement of skin autofluorescence. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1043: 290-8, 2005.

11.   Meerwaldt R, Lutgers HL, Links TP, Graaff R, Baynes JW, Gans RO, Smit AJ. Skin autofluorescence is a strong predictor of cardiac mortality in diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 30: 107-12, 2007.

12.   Zhang M, Maharjan DT, Li Y, Ying W. Oxidative Stress Mediates UVC-Induced Increases in Epidermal Autofluorescence of C57 Mouse Ears. *BioRxiv* 298000; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/298000, 2018.

13.   Moran C, Munch G, Forbes JM, Beare R, Blizzard L, Venn AJ, Phan TG,

Chen J, Srikanth V. Type 2 diabetes, skin autofluorescence, and brain atrophy. *Diabetes* 64: 279-83, 2015.

14. Peddireddy V, Siva Prasad B, Gundimeda SD, Penagaluru PR, Mundluru HP. Assessment of 8-oxo-7, 8-dihydro-2'-deoxyguanosine and malondialdehyde levels as oxidative stress markers and antioxidant status in non-small cell lung cancer. *Biomarkers* 17: 261-8, 2012.

15. Pena A, Strupler M, Boulesteix T, Schanne-Klein M. Spectroscopic analysis of keratin endogenous signal for skin multiphoton microscopy. *Opt Express* 13: 6268-74, 2005.

16. Shah R, Sabanathan S, Richardson J, Mearns AJ, Goulden C. Results of surgical treatment of stage I and II lung cancer. *J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino)* 37: 169-72, 1996.

17. Sholl L. Molecular diagnostics of lung cancer in the clinic. *Transl Lung Cancer Res* 6: 560-569, 2017.

18. Torre LA, Siegel RL, Ward EM, Jemal A. Global Cancer Incidence and Mortality Rates and Trends--An Update. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 25: 16-27, 2016.

19. Tsao SM, Yin MC, Liu WH. Oxidant stress and B vitamins status in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Nutr Cancer* 59: 8-13, 2007.

20. Walters S, Maringe C, Coleman MP, Peake MD, Butler J, Young N, Bergstrom S, Hanna L, Jakobsen E, Kolbeck K, Sundstrom S, Engholm G, Gavin A, Gjerstorff ML, Hatcher J, Johannesen TB, Linklater KM, McGahan CE,

Steward J, Tracey E, Turner D, Richards MA, Rachet B, Group IMW. Lung cancer survival and stage at diagnosis in Australia, Canada, Denmark, Norway, Sweden and the UK: a population-based study, 2004-2007. *Thorax* 68: 551-64, 2013.

21. Li Y, Zhang M, Tao Y, Ying W. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) induces increased epidermal green autofluorescence of mouse. *bioRxiv* 501189; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/501189, 2019.

22. Li Y, Zhang M, Yang Z, Ying W. Epidermal green autofluorescence intensity is significantly associated with the serum levels of multiple cytokines of LPS-exposed mice. *bioRxiv* 823062; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/823062, 2019.

Figure Legends:

Fig. 1.   The AF intensity of certain examined locations of untreated lung cancer patients was significantly higher than that of the healthy persons and the pulmonary infection patients.   (A) At right Ventriantebrachium, the AF intensity of the untreated lung cancer patients was significantly higher than that of the healthy persons and the pulmonary infection patients. At left Ventriantebrachium, the AF intensity of the untreated lung cancer patients was significantly higher than that of the healthy persons.   (B) At both left and right Dorsal Antebrachium, the AF intensity of the untreated lung cancer patients was significantly higher than that of the healthy persons and the pulmonary infection patients. (C) At both left and right Centrimetacarpus, the AF intensity of the untreated lung cancer patients was significantly higher than that of the healthy persons. (D) At both left and right Dorsal Centrimetacarpus, the AF intensity of the untreated lung cancer patients was significantly higher than that of the healthy persons and the pulmonary infection patients. (E) At both left and right Ventroforefinger, the AF intensity of the untreated lung cancer patients was significantly higher than that of the healthy persons.   (F) At both left and right Dorsal Index Finger, the AF intensity of the untreated lung cancer patients was significantly higher than that of the healthy persons.   (G) At both left and right Index Fingernails, the AF intensity of the untreated lung cancer patients was significantly higher than that of the healthy persons. The number of subjects in the Healthy Group, the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients, and the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients was 54, 29, and 39, respectively.   *, $p < 0.05$; **, p

< 0.01; ***, $p < 0.001$; #, $p < 0.05$ (Student t-test); ##, $p < 0.01$ (Student t-test); ###, p

< 0.001 (Student t-test).

Fig. 2. The AF asymmetry of untreated lung cancer patients was significantly different from that of the healthy persons and the pulmonary infection patients at certain examined locations. There was no significant difference among the AF asymmetry of the Healthy Group, the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients, and the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients at Ventriantebrachium (A), Dorsal Antebrachium (B) and Dorsal Centrimetacarpus (D). The AF asymmetry of both the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients and the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients was significantly higher than that of the Healthy Group at Centrimetacarpus (C) and Index Fingernail (G). The AF asymmetry of the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients was significantly higher than that of the Healthy Group at Ventroforefinger (E). At Dorsal Index Fingers, the AF asymmetry of the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients was significantly higher than that of the Healthy Group and the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients (F). The number of subjects in the Healthy Group, the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients, and the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients was 54, 29, and 39, respectively. *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ***, $p < 0.001$; #, $p < 0.05$ (Student t-test).

Fig. 3. Comparisons of certain properties of the AF of the Healthy Group, the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients, and the Group of Untreated Lung

Cancer Patients. (A) The percentages of the people with 0-1, 2-3, 4-6, or 6+ locations with increased AF intensity were markedly different among the Healthy Group, the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients, and the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients. (B) In all of the locations examined, a markedly higher percentage of the untreated lung cancer patients had increased AF intensity compared with the healthy persons. In a majority of the locations examined, a higher percentage of the untreated lung cancer patients had increased AF intensity compared with the pulmonary infection patients. In the scale of the figure, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 denotes 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%. The number of subjects in the Healthy Group, the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients, and the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients was 54, 29, and 39, respectively.

Fig. 4. ROC analyses using the AF intensity of each examined location as the sole diagnostic parameter showed significant promise of the green AF-based technology in differentiating untreated lung cancer patients from either healthy persons or pulmonary infection patients. By using the AF intensity of each examined location as the sole diagnostic parameter, we conducted ROC analyses to determine the AUC for differentiating the healthy persons and untreated lung cancer patients (A-G). The AUC ranged from 0.8196 to 0.878 when the AF intensity of anyone of the examined locations, except right and left Ventroforefingers and left Centremetacarpus, was used as the sole diagnostic parameter. ROC analyses also showed that the AUC for differentiating the pulmonary infection patients and

untreated lung cancer patients was 0.8046 and 0.8841, respectively, when the AF intensity of right or left Dorsal Centremetacarpus was used as the sole diagnostic parameter. The number of subjects in the Healthy Group, the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients, and the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients was 54, 29, and 39, respectively.

Fig. 5. ROC analyses using the number of the locations with increased AF as the sole diagnostic parameter showed significant promise of the green AF-based technology in differentiating untreated lung cancer patients from either healthy persons or pulmonary infection patients. (A) When the number of the locations with increased AF was used as the sole diagnostic parameter, our ROC analysis showed that the AUC was 0.9067 for differentiating the healthy controls and the untreated lung cancer patients. (B) When the number of the locations with increased AF was used as the sole diagnostic parameter, our ROC analysis showed that the AUC was 0.7538 for differentiating the pulmonary infection patients and the untreated lung cancer patients. The number of subjects in the Healthy Group, the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients, and the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients was 54, 29, and 39, respectively.

Fig. 6. The AF intensity of certain examined locations of the chemotherapy-treated lung cancer patients was significantly lower than that of the untreated lung cancer patients. (A-G) The AF intensity of the right Dorsal

Antebrachium, but not at other examined locations, of the chemotherapy-treated lung cancer patients was significantly lower than that of the untreated lung cancer patients. The number of subjects in the Healthy Group, the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients and the Group of Chemotherapy-Treated Lung Cancer Patients was 54, 39, and 35, respectively.

Legends of Tables:

Table 1. 'Pattern of AF' was markedly different among the the Healthy Group, the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients, and the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients. The number of subjects in the Healthy Group, the Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients, and the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients was 54, 29, and 39, respectively.

Table 2. he 'Pattern of AF' of the untreated lung cancer patients was significantly different from that of acute ischemic stroke (AIS) patients. The number of subjects in the Healthy Group, the AIS Group, and the Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients was 54, 104, and 39, respectively.

Ventriantebrachium

Right

Left

Healthy Group vs Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients

Area=0.8295
95% confidence interval: 0.7457 to 0.9133

Area=0.8196
95% confidence interval: 0.7338 to 0.9054

Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients vs Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients

Area=0.7401
95% confidence interval: 0.6177 to 0.8624

Area=0.6729
95% confidence interval: 0.5387 to 0.807

Dorsal Antebrachium

Right

Left

Healthy Group vs Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients

Area=0.867
95% confidence interval: 0.7951 to 0.939

Area=0.8538
95% confidence interval: 0.7769 to 0.9306

Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients vs Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients

Area=0.7545
95% confidence interval: 0.6413 to 0.8676

Area=0.7188
95% confidence interval: 0.6017 to 0.8358

Centremetacarpus

Right

Left

Healthy Group vs Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients

Area=0.8500
95% confidence interval: 0.7718 to 0.9281

Area=0.7621
95% confidence interval: 0.6645 to 0.8597

Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients vs Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients

Area=0.6083
95% confidence interval: 0.4644 to 0.7522

Area=0.603
95% confidence interval: 0.4543 to 0.7517

Dorsal Centremetacarpus

Right

Left

Healthy Group vs Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients

Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients vs Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients

Ventroforefinger

Right

Left

**Healthy Group
vs
Group of Untreated Lung
Cancer Patients**

**Group of Pulmonary Infection
Patients
vs
Group of Untreated Lung
Cancer Patients**

Dorsal Index Finger

Right

Left

Healthy Group vs Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients

Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients vs Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients

Index Fingernail

Right

Left

Healthy Group vs Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients

Group of Pulmonary Infection Patients vs Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients

A

Healthy Group
vs
Group of Untreated Lung
Cancer Patients

B

Group of Pulmonary Infection
Patients
vs
Group of Untreated Lung
Cancer Patients

- ■ Healthy Group
- ■ Group of Untreated Lung Cancer Patients
- ■ Group of Chemotherapy-Treated Lung Cancer

Factors		Healthy Group (n=54)	Pulmonary Infection Group (n=29)	Untreated Lung Cancer Group (n=39)
AF of Ventroforefinger	R	9.12±0.67	13.72±1.9##	18.46±2.09###
	L	10.49±1.02	15.5±2.44#	15.19±1.7#
AF of Index Fingernail	R	6.28±0.49	12.61±1.44###	17.61±2.56###
	L	6.6±0.58	15.05±2.41###	17.2±2.4###
AF of Dorsal Index Finger	R	5.28±0.45	10.06±2.08##	10.73±2.07##
	L	5.93±0.62	11.87±3.99	11.55±1.44###
AF of Ventriantebrachium	R	6±0.74	7.64±1.06	12±1.47###
	L	6.61±1.07	8.44±1.23	11.17±1.39##
AF of Dorsal Antebrachium	R	4.64±0.53	6.68±1.01	11.16±1.46###
	L	5.88±1.5	6.26±0.66	10.12±1.37#
AF of Centremetacarpus	R	6.15±0.6	10.89±2.16##	12.67±2.08###
	L	5.75±0.43	9.95±1.91##	8.97±0.65###
AF of Dorsal Centremetacarpus	R	3.54±0.28	4.66±0.72	7.82±0.65###
	L	3.89±0.46	3.57±0.5	10.37±2.57##

AF Intensity of the healthy Group, the pulmonary infection group and untreated lung cancer group. (student t-test, two tail)

Factors		Healthy Group (n=54)	AIS Group (n=104)	Untreated Lung Cancer Group (n=39)
AF of Ventroforefinger	R	9.12±0.67	26.95±1.91###	18.46±2.09###
	L	10.49±1.02	26±1.72###	15.19±1.7#
AF of Index Fingernail	R	6.28±0.49	24.76±2.07###	17.61±2.56###
	L	6.6±0.58	25.56±2.01###	17.2±2.4###
AF of Dorsal Index Finger	R	5.28±0.45	17.77±1.91###	10.73±2.07##
	L	5.93±0.62	20.44±2.53###	11.55±1.44###
AF of Ventriantebrachium	R	6±0.74	12.57±1.33###	12±1.47###
	L	6.61±1.07	14.44±1.76##	11.17±1.39##
AF of Dorsal Antebrachium	R	4.64±0.53	11.45±1.16###	11.16±1.46###
	L	5.88±1.5	10.58±0.94##	10.12±1.37#
AF of Centremetacarpus	R	6.15±0.6	12.55±0.99###	12.67±2.08###
	L	5.75±0.43	15.44±1.48###	8.97±0.65###
AF of Dorsal Centremetacarpus	R	3.54±0.28	8.38±0.78###	7.82±0.65###
	L	3.89±0.46	7.53±0.75###	10.37±2.57##

AF Intensity of the healthy Group, the acute ischemic disease (AIS) group and untreated lung cancer group. (student t-test, two tail)