Palaeobiological inferences based on long bone epiphyseal and diaphyseal structure - the forelimb of xenarthrans (Mammalia)

Eli Amson^{1,2}, John A Nyakatura²

¹Museum für Naturkunde, Leibniz-Institut für Evolutions- und Biodiversitätsforschung, Berlin, Germany; *eli.amson@mfn.berlin*

²AG Morphologie und Formengeschichte, Institut für Biologie & Bild Wissen Gestaltung. Ein interdisziplinäres Labor, Humboldt-Universität, Berlin, Germany; *eli.amson@hu-berlin.de*

ORCID

0000-0003-1474-9613 (Eli Amson) 0000-0001-8088-8684 (John A Nyakatura)

ABSTRACT

Trabecular architecture (i.e., the main orientation of the bone trabeculae, their number, mean thickness, spacing, etc.) has been shown experimentally to adapt with extreme accuracy and sensitivity to the loadings applied to the bone during life. However, the potential of trabecular parameters used as a proxy for the mechanical environment of an organism's organ to help reconstruct the lifestyle of extinct taxa has only recently started to be exploited. Furthermore, these parameters are rarely combined to the long-used middiaphyseal parameters to inform such reconstructions. Here we investigate xenarthrans, for which functional and ecological reconstructions of extinct forms are particularly important in order to inform our macroevolutionary understanding of their main constitutive clades, i.e., the Tardigrada (sloths), Vermilingua (anteaters), and Cingulata (armadillos and extinct close relatives). The lifestyles of modern xenarthrans can be classified as fully terrestrial and highly fossorial (armadillos), arboreal (partly to fully) and hook-and-pull digging (anteaters), or suspensory (fully arboreal) and non-fossorial (sloths). The degree of arboreality and fossoriality of some extinct forms, "ground sloths" in particular, is highly debated. We used high-resolution computed tomography to compare the epiphyseal 3D architecture and mid-diaphyseal structure of the forelimb bones of extant and extinct xenarthrans. The comparative approach employed aims at inferring the most probable lifestyle of extinct taxa, using a phylogenetically informed discriminant analysis. Several challenges preventing the attribution of one of the extant xenarthran lifestyles to the sampled extinct sloths were identified. Differing from that of the larger "ground sloths", the bone structure of the small-sized Hapalops (Miocene of Argentina), however, was found as significantly more similar to that of extant sloths, even when accounting for the phylogenetic signal.

Keywords: Bone structure; Forelimb; Locomotion; Palaeobiological inferences; Trabeculae; Xenarthra

1 INTRODUCTION

2 Bone structure is intensively studied in 3 analyses concerned with functional anatomy 4 because it is argued to be extremely plastic. While 5 a genetic blueprint influences bone structure, it has 6 been shown to adapt during life (and especially at 7 an early ontogenetic stage) to its mechanical 8 environment (Ruff et al. 2006). This was argued for 9 trabecular bone, which reacts to loading with great accuracy and sensitivity (Barak et al. 2011). This 11 was also argued for cortical bone, even though the 12 latter is expected to be less plastic, at least in part 13 due to its lower remodeling rate (see review of 14 Kivell, 2016). Comparative studies focusing on 15 either trabeculae or cortical structure intend to 16 leverage this great plasticity to associate structural 17 phenotypes to lifestyles or functional uses of a 18 limb. This has been achieved in some analyses (as

PREPRINT

recently exemplified by Georgiou et al. 2018; Ryan 19 et al. 2018; Tsegai et al. 2018) but not all of them 20 (see review of Kivell 2016), suggesting that some 21 confounding factors are likely to be at play, and 22 23 more generally that the approach is limited. For 24 trabecular bone in particular, important 25 intraspecific variation has been documented (e.g., in Pongo; Tsegai et al. 2013; Georgiou et al. 26 27 2018). Nevertheless, the fact that some analyses 28 successfully distinguished ecological groups might indicate that broad differences of bone structure 29 among lifestyles can exceed, at least in some 30 31 cases, individual variability. Because fossil bone cross-sections at mid-diaphysis have been 32 produced for over a century and a half (Kolb et al. 33 2015), a large number of mid-diaphyseal data 34 35 related to extinct taxa have been acquired, and 36 successfully exploited for palaeobiological 37 inferences (e.g., Germain & Laurin, 2005). Fossil three-dimensional (3D) trabecular architecture has 38 been much less investigated, as, to our 39 knowledge, only few studies have been published, 40 41 which are all focussing on primates (DeSilva & 42 Devlin 2012; Barak et al. 2013; Su et al. 2013; 43 Skinner et al. 2015; Su & Carlson 2017; Ryan et al. 44 2018).

In general terms, it is assumed that the 45 46 diaphysis of long bones tends to be exposed to 47 mostly bending and torsion, and to a lesser extent 48 axial compression (Carter & Beaupré 2001). On the other hand, the architecture of epiphyseal 49 50 trabeculae is usually related to compressive and tensile strains (Biewener et al. 1996; Pontzer et al. 51 2006; Barak et al. 2011). Trabecular and cortical 110 52 compartments are hence expected to have distinct 53 111 54 mechanical properties, which do not necessarily 112 113 55 co-vary. To combine them in a single analysis, it can therefore be argued that the structural 114 56 57 parameters deriving from these two types of 115 58 structures should be considered as distinct 116 (univariate) variables. Because trabecular and 59 117 cortical structure have vielded a functional signal. 60 such a combined analysis could potentially help in 61 our endeavours to associate a bone overall 62 structure to a loading regime, and, eventually, a 63 function. This combined analysis has previously 64 65 been achieved, on extant taxa, via different approaches. Based on epiphyseal regions of 66 interest (ROIs) and mid-diaphyseal sections, Shaw 67 68 & Ryan (2012) examined both compartments in the humerus and femur of anthropoids (see also 69 Lazenby et al. (2008) for handedness within 70 71 humans). They measured individual trabecular and 72 mid-diaphyseal parameters, but did not combine 73 the latter in a single test. Another approach, termed 'holistic analysis' (Gross et al. 2014), was 74 75 used in Pan and Homo whole bones or epiphyses, 76 but parameters were not used conjointly to

discriminate functional groups in the statistical 77 78 assessment either. It is noteworthy, however, that Tsegai et al. (2017), also used this holistic analysis 79 and performed a Principal Component Analysis 80 81 (even though in that case the focus was on cortical 82 bone thickness at the articular surface). Skinner et al. (2015) and Stephens et al. (2016) also used 83 Gross et al. (2014)'s method, but focused on 84 85 trabecular architecture only. This approach is 86 particularly relevant for medium- to large-sized 87 mammals such as Pan or Homo, for which the 88 epiphyses include a complex trabecular 89 architecture with distinct zones of different 90 arrangement (such as the so-called vertical and 91 horizontal trabecular columns in the femoral neck; 92 Hammer 2010). One can note that an entirely 93 different approach, not relying on the 94 measurement of these parameters, but on micro-95 finite element analysis, was also applied to a 96 primate (Huynh Nguyen et al. 2014). To our 97 knowledge, epiphyseal trabecular and middiaphyseal parameters have never been combined 98 99 in a functional analysis about non-primate taxa, 100 and no analysis used both trabecular and cross-101 sectional parameters in the same discriminant test.

102 References to bone structure in "ground sloths", 103 Megatherium in particular, date back to the 19th century (Owen 1861) . But it is only fairly recently 104 105 that quantification of such a structure was 106 performed (Straehl et al. 2013; see review of Amson & Nyakatura 2017). Straehl et al. (2013) 107 108 examined compactness profile of a mid-diaphyseal 109 section in various extant and extinct xenarthrans. They found that most armadillos were characterized by a humeral mid-diaphysis that is relatively more compact than that of the femur. Subsequently, Amson et al. (2017a) studied the epiphyseal trabecular architecture in extant xenarthrans, and found that some parameters, the degree of anisotropy (DA) in particular, differed among functional categories.

118 Indeed, xenarthrans are marked by distinct 119 lifestyles that can be used to define functional categories. Extant xenarthrans were categorized 120 121 by Amson et al. (2017a) as fully arboreal and nonfossorial (extant sloths), intermediate in both 122 fossoriality and arboreality (anteaters), and fully 123 124 terrestrial and fossorial (armadillos), and several 125 fossorial classes were recognized among the 126 latter. Partly following their expectations, Amson et 127 al. (2017a) recovered that the armadillos (and in 128 particular the more highly fossorial ones) differ in 129 their greater DA for instance, which can be expected to be associated with the presence of 130 131 one main loading direction (as opposed to various equally marked directions). Similarly, for mid-132 diaphyseal structure, one could expect those taxa 133

PREPRINT

of which the long bone in guestion experiences 134 135 one main bending direction to be characterized by a more elliptical cross-sectional shape (CSS, see 136 137 below), with the section's major axis aligned along 138 that direction (as the major axis indicates the 139 direction of the greatest bending rigidity; Ruff & 140 Hayes 1983). Because no significant differences 141 were recovered in the mid-diaphyseal global 142 compactness between fossorial and non-fossorial 143 talpid moles (Meier et al. 2013), it seems that a 144 simple relation between this parameter and a loading scheme associated with fossorial activity 145 146 should not be expected (see also Straehl et al. 147 2013).

148 For extant xenarthrans, the functional categories mentioned above mostly match the 149 150 phylogeny, i.e., most categories are aggregated 151 into clades. However, this is likely not true if one 152 includes the extinct xenarthrans, the "ground 153 sloths" in particular, because their lifestyle was 154 interpreted as different from that of their closest 155 relatives, the "tree sloths". Lifestyle reconstruction of extinct xenarthrans dates back to the 18th 156 157 century (see review of Amson & Nyakatura 2017). Various methods were employed to infer the 158 159 lifestyle of extinct xenarthrans. So far, they all 160 relied on bone (and tooth) gross morphology, 161 involving approaches such as comparative 162 functional morphology (Amson et al. 2015), 163 biomechanical modelling (Fariña & Blanco 1996) or muscle reconstruction (Toledo et al. 2013). This 164 165 was found to be challenging, partly because of the lack of modern analogues for some taxa (Vizcaíno 166 et al. 2017), and partly because of the 167 autapomorphic nature of several of the xenarthran 168 169 traits (including that of extant taxa), which makes 170 disentangling the phylogenetic and functional signals difficult (Amson et al. 2017a). Bone 171 172 structure was argued to be extremely plastic, and 173 found in xenarthrans in particular to be mostly 174 devoid of phylogenetic signal (and when a significant signal is found, it is likely due to the 175 176 matching between functional categories and clades; Amson et al. 2017a). The ecophenotypic 177 nature of bone structure traits (which are defined 178 as "biomechanically informative phenotypically 179 180 plastic"; Ryan et al. 2018) is the rationale behind 181 the present endeavour.

182 The aim of this study is to quantify bone 183 diaphyseal and trabecular structure in "ground sloths" in order to infer their lifestyle. Given the 184 185 disparate gross morphology of xenarthrans (e.g., 186 for the humerus, see Mielke et al. 2018a), we believe that studying easily comparable and 187 arguably ecophenotypic traits such as bone 188 structure parameters is highly relevant for this 189 190 purpose. Extant sloths represent but a remnant of

the overall diversity of Tardigrada (also termed 191 192 Folivora or Phyllophaga), and most likely acquired 193 derived lifestyle convergently their highly 194 (Nyakatura 2012; Coutier et al. 2017). The fossil 195 record of early (Palaeocene-Eocene) xenarthrans 196 and especially that of sloths, is rather poor (Gaudin 197 & Croft 2015). It is therefore hard to reconstruct the 198 ancestral lifestyle of Tardigrada, and more 199 generally Xenarthra. To date, no extinct sloths 200 have been reconstructed to have had a 201 suspensory posture and locomotion resembling 202 their extant kin (Pujos et al. 2012). But, because 203 their gross anatomy was considered as similar to 204 that of extant anteaters, Matthew (1912) argued 205 that Hapalops, for instance, was partly arboreal. 206 Such a lifestyle was of course not considered for 207 larger taxa (but see translation of Lund in Owen 208 (1839) for an early opposite view). However, 209 digging capabilities, as well as bipedal stance 210 and/or locomotion, was proposed for several 211 medium-sized (e.g., Glossotherium) to giant-sized 212 (e.g., Megatherium) "ground sloths" (Bargo et al. 2000; Patiño & Fariña 2017). For the present 213 214 analysis, we were able to sample small-sized as 215 well as large-sized "ground sloths." The estimated 216 body sizes of the latter exceed that of extant 217 xenarthrans by two orders of magnitude (see 218 below for body mass estimates). Because this has 219 already been pointed out as a challenge for the 220 reconstruction of extinct xenarthrans' lifestyles 221 (Vizcaíno et al. 2017), and because size might be 222 correlated to at least some bone structure 223 parameters, our approach also identified potential 224 challenges inherent to the taxa and parameters we 225 studied.

226

227 MATERIAL AND METHODS

228 Specimen and scanning procedure

229 The dataset of Amson et al. (2017a), which 230 consists of extant skeletally mature wild-caught 231 xenarthrans, was extended by several extinct 232 sloths roughly spanning the whole body size range 233 of the group: the small-sized (ca. 38 kg; Bargo et 234 al. 2012) Hapalops sp., Ameghino, 1887 (Santa 235 Cruz Formation, Early Miocene, ca. 17 Ma; Perkins 236 et al. 2012), the medium-sized Valgipes bucklandi 237 (ca. 200 kg (Smith et al. 2003); Lagoa Santa, 238 Brazil. Pleistocene; the sampled specimen 239 MNHN.F.BRD29 is labelled Ocnopus gracilis, 240 which is now viewed as a junior synonym (Cartelle 241 et al. 2009), Scelidotherium leptocephalum (ca. 1000 kg; Vizcaíno et al. 2006) and Glossotherium 242 robustum [ca. 1200 kg (Vizcaíno et al. 2006); both 243 244 from 'Pampean', Argentina and Tarija, Bolivia, both

PREPRINT

245 Pleistocene], as well as the large-sized Lestodon 246 armatus [ca. 3200 kg (Vizcaíno et al. 2006); 247 'Pampean', Argentina, Pleistocene] and 248 Megatherium americanum [ca. 4000 kg (Fariña et 304 249 al. 1998); 'Pampean', Argentina, Pleistocene]. The 250 sampled specimens are skeletally mature (a few 251 specimens showed a remnant of epiphyseal line, 252 see below) and did not present apparent bone 307 253 diseases (which were also criteria of selection for 308 254 the extant species, see Amson et al. 2017a). All 309 255 were scanned fossils (micro computed tomography, µCT) using a v|tome|x 240 L system 310 256 311 257 (GE Sensing & Inspection Technologies Phoenix 312 258 X|ray) at the AST-RX platform of the Museum 313 259 national d'Histoire naturelle (Paris, France; 314 260 http://www.ums2700.mnhn.fr/ast-rx/ressources). 315 261 According to the methodology and results of 316 Amson et al. (2017a), we focused our data 262 317 263 acquisition of the trabecular parameters on the 318 264 humeral head and radial trochlea regions of 319 interest (ROIs; see below). Mid-diaphyseal 265 320 266 parameters were acquired for these two bones and 321 for the third metacarpal (Mc III) in all species, when 267 322 268 available. See Table 1 for the list of skeletal 323 269 elements sampled for each extinct species, along 324 with ROIs for which data were successfully 270 325 acquired (see also Amson et al. (2017a) for 271 326 272 sample size and scanning procedure of the extant 327 273 species). For the included specimens, scanning 328 274 resolution ranged from 0.03 to 0.123 mm 329 275 (depending on the size of the specimens). Relative 330 276 resolution, used to assess if the employed 331 277 resolution is adequate to analyse trabecular bone 278 (mean trabecular thickness divided by resolution) 332 279 ranged from 5.1 to 11.5 pixels/trabecula. This is 333 280 considered as appropriate (Sode et al. 2008; Kivell 334 281 et al. 2011; Mielke et al. 2018b). Scanning 335 282 resolution (and relative resolution for the trabecular 336 283 ROIs) for each specimen can be found in 337 284 Supplementary Online Material (SOM) 1. For this 338 285 first endeavour of palaeobiological reconstruction 339 286 of "ground sloths" lifestyle based on bone 340 287 diaphyseal and trabecular structure, we compared 341 the parameters yielded by the fossils to those of 288 342 289 the extant specimens, using the same lifestyle 343 290 categories as defined by Amson et al. (2017a), i.e., 344 291 the fully arboreal extant sloths, intermediate 345 anteaters, and fully terrestrial and fossorial 292 346 293 armadillos.

294

295Qualitativeobservationofthe296diaphyseal structure

Raw image stacks were visualized with the Fiji package (ImageJ2 v. 1.51n and plugins; Schindelin et al. 2012, 2015; Schneider et al. 2012). The 'Orthogonal Views' routine was used to compute

301 longitudinal sections. Sedimentary matrix
302 prevented satisfying segmentation for some
303 specimens but at least some qualitative
304 observations were possible for all specimens (see
305 Table 1).

306 Trabecular parameters

We followed the methodology of Amson et al. (2017a), which involves the use of the BoneJ plugin (Doube et al. 2010) for Fiji. In brief, bones were first placed in the same standard orientation. Then, ROIs were selected in the centre of the studied epiphyses, with the 'Fit Sphere' routine of BoneJ (see Amson et al. 2017a: fig. 2 and Additional files 3, 4). ROI were selected to be as large as possible but without including cortical bone. We used the 'Orthogonal Views' routine of Fiji to ascertain that the centre of the ROI was precisely located at the centre to the studied epiphysis along the mediolateral, anteroposterior, and proximodistal directions. The resulting ('Optimise substack was then thresholded Threshold> Threshold Only' routine) and purified ('Purify' routine). Finally, trabecular parameters were measured. Given the results of Amson et al. (2017a), we focused on the degree of anisotropy (DA), main direction of the trabeculae (MDT), bone volume fraction, BV/TV, connectivity density (Conn.D), trabecular mean thickness (Tb.Th), trabecular mean spacing (Tb.Sp), bone surface area (BS). Other trabecular parameters routinely acquired, however, can also be found in SOM 1.

For some specimens, the lack of contrast between bone and the sedimentary matrix prevented accurate bone segmentation (see Table 1). Thresholding (see above) was successfully performed for the rest of the specimens; some of the latter, however, required manual removal of a few sedimentary particles (using the unthresholded stack to recognize them).

The humerus of two specimens of Hapalops showed a slight remnant of epiphyseal line. A smaller ROI was hence defined to exclude this line (which would have biased the measurements) by cropping isometrically (in 3D) the substack (custom ImageJ script, SOM 2). The cropping coefficient (MNHN.F.SCZ162: 39%; MNHN.F.SCZ164: 72%) 347 was then applied to the whole dataset and 348 trabecular parameters were acquired anew. The 349 means of the latter were compared to the initial 350 parameters. For the dataset cropped at 72%, 351 differences were found as minor (similar MDT; $\Delta DA = 3\%$; $\Delta BV/TV < 1\%$; $\Delta ConnD < 1\%$), while for 352 353 the dataset cropped at 39%, differences were 354 more important (MDT of opposing direction; ΔDA , 355 13%; $\Delta BV/TV = 2\%$; $\Delta ConnD$, 4%). Exceeding a 356 difference of 5% for at least one parameter value,

PREPRINT

357we did not analyse further the latter dataset (and411358excluded MNHN.F.SCZ162 from the analysis of412359trabecular architecture). A remnant of epiphyseal413360line was also observed in *Glossotherium robustum*414361MNHN.F.PAM756, but in its case only qualitative415362observations were made.416

363

364 Mid-diaphyseal parameters

365 The same standardly oriented µCT-scan stacks 366 (see above) were used for the acquisition of middiaphyseal parameters. Using Fiji, a cross-section 367 was selected at mid-diaphysis; the latter was 368 defined as the midpoint between most proximal 369 370 and most distal points of either articular surfaces. 371 Several sampled fossils did not preserve the mid-372 diaphysis. To compare them to the rest of the 373 specimens, the latter were re-sampled at the level 374 closest to mid-diaphysis preserved by each of 375 those fossils (as assessed by superimposition with 376 a complete specimen of the same species; MNHN.F.CSZ164 (humerus): 35% from proximal 377 378 end; MNHN.F.CSZ166 (radius): 72% from the 379 proximal end; see Table 1). Once the diaphyseal 380 cross-sections were selected, they were 381 thresholded automatically (see above), but we 382 manually checked the resulting image, which, in a 383 few instances, required a manual correction of the 384 levels. The whole sectional area (WArea), global compactness (GC: both acquired with a custom 385 386 ImageJ script, SOM 3), and cross-sectional 387 parameters of the 'Slice Geometry' routine of 388 BoneJ (Doube et al. 2010) were acquired. For the 389 following analyses, we focused on cross-sectional 390 area (CSA) and the ratio of second moment of 391 area around major to minor axes (Imax/Imin), also 392 termed cross-sectional shape (CSS). If the ratio is 393 close to one, CSS will usually be roughly circular. 394 Values above one will entail increasingly elliptical shapes. The other diaphyseal parameters, 395 396 however, can also be found in SOM 1. Because it 397 is redundant with GC, CSA will only be used as a 398 potential body size proxy (see below).

399

400 Statistics

401 The statistical analysis was performed using R version 3.4.3. Amson et al. (2017a) accounted for 402 403 size effects by computing a phylogenetically 404 informed linear regression for each parameter, 405 against a size proxy. If the regression was found 406 as significant, its residuals were used as the 'size-407 corrected' parameter. But the size of "ground sloths", well exceeding for most of them that of 408 409 extant xenarthrans, could bias such a procedure. 410 Indeed, the slightest error on the regression

coefficients estimation would likely involve 412 drastically different residuals for those outlying 413 taxa (see also Discussion). We therefore favoured, 414 for the present analysis, to normalize those 415 parameters that have a dimension by dividing the 416 trait value by a body size proxy (raised to the same 417 dimension). As body size proxies, we considered 418 TV the specimen-specific (for trabecular 419 parameters) and WArea (mid-diaphyseal 420 parameters) or body mass (BM; species averages, because unknown for most collection specimens). 421 422 Species body masses were taken from the AnAge database (Tacutu et al. 2013) and additional 423 424 sources when necessary (Vizcaíno et al. 1999; Hayssen 2010; Abba & Superina 2016; Smith & 425 426 Owen 2017) for the extant species and from the 427 specific sources mentioned above for the extinct 428 taxa. The coefficient of determination of 429 regressions against a parameter well known to 430 with (Tb.Th for correlate size trabecular CSA 431 parameters and for mid-diaphyseal 432 parameters) indicated that ΒM was more 433 representative of the sample variance for the 434 trabecular parameters, while it was WArea in the case of mid-diaphyseal parameters. They were 435 436 accordingly used as body size proxies in the 437 subsequent analyses.

438 Besides univariate comparisons, we performed 439 linear discriminant analyses to infer the most likely 440 lifestyle of extinct species. Both trabecular and 441 mid-diaphyseal parameters of the humerus and 442 radius were conjointly used in these analyses 443 (parameters from the Mc III were not included 444 because of their lack of discrimination power, see 445 Results). To account for the great body size 446 disparity of the studied taxa, it is the 'size-447 normalized' parameters that were used (raw value 448 divided by the relevant body size proxy if 449 parameter not dimensionless, see above). One 450 analysis per extinct taxon was performed, because 451 we were not able to acquire all parameters for 452 each of them (depending on the successfully 453 processed skeletal elements and ROIs, see Table 454 1). To phylogenetically inform these analyses, we 455 used the function pFDA (Motani & Schmitz 2011; 456 latest version available on 457 github.com/lschmitz/phylo.fda). This 'phylogenetic 458 flexible discriminant analysis' uses the optimised 459 value of Pagel's Lambda to account for the 460 phylogenetic signal (Pagel 1999). As implemented 461 here, the latter can span from 0 to 1, respectively denoting absence of phylogenetic signal and trait 462 463 evolution consistent with a Brownian motion model 464 of evolution. The rest of the pFDA works as a 465 'traditional' discriminant analysis. The training data, 466 stemming in our case from the extant xenarthrans, 467 were classified according to the three main 468 lifestyles, i.e., 'armadillo', 'anteater', and 'extant

PREPRINT

sloth'. The test data relates to the sampled extinct 469 470 sloths. If not already normally distributed (as 471 indicated by a Shapiro test), the parameters were 524 472 log-transformed (and Shapiro tests were run again 525 473 to confirm normality). Collinear variables (highly 474 526 correlated variables as indicated by a correlation 527 475 above 0.9) were excluded.

476 The timetree used to phylogenetically inform 529 477 the tests was based on that used by Amson et al 530 478 (2017a) (which is based on Gibb et al. 2016), and 531 479 was completed with the extinct taxa. The 532 480 relationships between the main clades follow 533 Amson et al. (2017b). The split between 481 534 482 Mylodontidae (represented by Lestodon) and the 535 483 other Eutardigrada (all sloths but Bradypus) was 536 484 set according to the age of the oldest fossil 537 pertaining to the clade (Octodontotherium, ca. 29 485 538 486 Ma (Flynn, Swisher, 1995 in Kay et al., 1998) and 539 487 is thus conservative (Fig. 1). But one can note that 540 488 this age is roughly as old or older that the recent 541 489 molecular estimations of the divergence time 490 between the two genera of extant sloths (Slater et 543 491 al. 2016; Delsuc et al. 2018). The age of 544 492 divergence between Lestodon and Glossotherium 545 493 was set according to the age of Thinobadistes 546 494 (Hemphillian, ca. 9 Ma; Woodburne 2010), which is 547 495 more closely related to Lestodon than 548 Glossotherium according to Gaudin (2004). Extinct 496 549 497 sloths were placed according to their known 550 498 geological ages (see above; for Pleistocene taxa, a 551 relatively young age of 0.1 Ma was arbitrarily 499 552 500 given. Length of the branches leading to nodes of unknown ages, which are in direct relation to 553 501 554 502 extinct taxa, and from these to terminal extinct taxa, were arbitrarily set at 1 and 0.1 Ma, 555 503 556 504 respectively. Caution should be taken regarding 557 505 the phylogenetic scheme used herein, because recent developments (yet to be published) in 558 506 559 507 phylogenetic analyses of xenarthrans, which 560 508 involve ancient DNA, might imply significant 561 509 alterations of our understanding of sloths' systematics (R.D.E. MacPhee, pers. comm., 562 510 563 511 2018).

512

513 Institutional abbreviations

514 **MCL**, Museu de Ciencias Naturais da Pontifícia 515 Universidade Católica de Minas Gerais, Belo 516 Horizonte, Brazil; **MNHN.F**, Muséum national 517 d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, France, Palaeontology 518 collection; **ZMB_MAM**, Museum für Naturkunde 519 Berlin (Germany), Mammals Collection; **ZSM**; 520 Zoologische Staatssammlung München, Germany.

521 **RESULTS**

522 Qualitative observations of diaphyseal 523 structure

In the humerus of small armadillos and anteaters, the medullary cavity is mostly devoid of spongy bone (with just a few isolated trabeculae, e.g., Chaetophractus vellerosus ZSM-1926-24. Fig. 2A; Cyclopes didactylus, ZMB_MAM_3913). In 528 larger members of these clades, the medullary cavity is filled throughout the proximodistal length of the diaphysis by a more or less dense spongiosa (e.g., Priodontes maximus ZSM-1931-293: Myrmecophaga tridactyla. ZMB_MAM_102642; Fig. 2B-C). In extant sloths, a spongiosa can be observed in most of the diaphysis (Bradypus; n=4) or throughout its length (Choloepus, Fig. 2E; n=4), but a central region free of trabeculae subsists. The medullary cavity of the whole diaphysis is full of spongy bone in Glossotherium (n=1; Fig. 2F). It is nearly full in Scelidotherium, with just a small central free region 542 subsisting (n=1). For Hapalops, a clear assessment cannot be given due to the preservation of the specimens at hand (MNHN.F.SCZ162 seems to show a free medullary cavity, but MNHN.F.SCZ164, which only preserves the proximal third of bone, shows a medullary cavity full of spongy bone). The whole diaphysis of the larger sloths Megatherium and Lestodon were not observed, but it is noteworthy that their epiphyses are filled with dense spongiosa (each n=1).

The radius of extant xenarthrans shows the same pattern as the humerus. In *Glossotherium*, *Lestodon*, and *Megatherium*, the medullary cavity of the whole radial diaphysis is essentially full of spongy bone (Fig. 2G; no data for *Hapalops* for which the entire radial epiphysis could not have been sampled). While the hind limb was not sampled for this study, it is noteworthy that the observation of a 'naturally sectioned' tibia of the small-sized *Nothrotherium* (less than ca. 100 kg; Amson et al. 2016) reveals that the medullary cavity is entirely filled with dense spongy bone (Fig. 2D).

567 Univariate comparisons

566

568 The structure of the Mc III of extant species did 569 not differ notably among the lifestyle categories 570 (Fig. 3A-B; Table 2). There is only a tendency for 571 the anteaters and armadillos to have a more compact mid-diaphysis (Fig. 3A). Mc III structure 572 573 was therefore not further studied, and not included 574 in the discriminant analyses (see below). One can 575 note, however, that some armadillos have an outlyingly high CSS (i.e., very elliptic cross-section) 576

PREPRINT

663

at mid-diaphysis (Fig. 3B; the single most elliptic 577 634 578 value is found in the subterranean Calvptophractus 635 579 retusus ZSM-1961-316). A great disparity of CSS 636 580 at this location is found in extinct sloths, with the 637 581 value of Valgipes falling among the outlying and 582 armadillos just mentioned, 639 that of 583 Megatherium being the single lowest (i.e., most 640 584 circular cross-section).

585 The humeral diaphysis in Hapalops is 586 remarkably compact. At mid-diaphysis (n=1), it 587 features the highest GC value of the whole dataset 588 (Fig. 3C; Table 2). At 35% of the diaphyseal length 589 (from the proximal end, level which was sampled to include fragmentary fossils, see Material and 590 591 Methods; n=2), Hapalops falls in the uppermost 592 distribution of the extant sloths, which does not 593 markedly differ from that of armadillos or anteaters. 594 The CSS at humeral mid-diaphysis distinguishes 595 quite clearly the functional categories, with high 596 values (i.e., elliptical cross-sections) in armadillos, 597 intermediate values in anteaters, and low values 598 (i.e., round cross-sections) in extant sloths. In 599 Hapalops, this parameter falls among the 600 particularly tight range of extant sloths (Fig. 3D), but among that of anteaters at 35% of the 601 diaphyseal length. In Scelidotherium (n=1), the GC 602 603 of the humerus at mid-diaphysis is higher than that 604 of most extant xenarthrans, falling in the upper 605 distribution of armadillos and extant sloths (Fig. 606 3C). One should note, however, that this parameter does not yield any clear distinction 607 608 among lifestyles. The humeral CSS at mid-609 diaphysis of Scelidotherium, on the other hand, 610 falls among anteaters (Fig. 3D).

611 There is a clear tendency for the radial 612 diaphysis GC to be highest in armadillos, 613 intermediate in anteaters, and lowest in extant 614 sloths. Hapalops (n=1; sampled at 72% of 615 diaphyseal length) falls among the distribution of armadillos, being slightly higher than extant sloths' 616 values (Table 2). The GC of Glossotherium and 617 618 Lestodon at radial mid-diaphysis is very low, which 619 agrees with the tendency observed in extant sloths (Fig. 3E). The CSS at that location is found as 620 621 rather homogenously low among extant xenarthrans, except for two armadillos with 622 outlying high values. Glossotherium and Lestodon 623 fall beyond the distribution of most extant 624 625 xenarthrans, their CSS being only tied or exceeded 626 by the two outlying armadillos (Fig. 3F).

627 Regarding the trabecular architecture 628 parameters, only the degree of anisotropy (DA) will 629 be presented with univariate comparisons, as it 630 was singled out as the most functionally 631 informative of these parameters in extant 632 xenarthrans (Amson et al. 2017a). But mean 633 values of other trabecular parameters of interest

are also presented in Table 3. For the humeral head, using a ROI representing 72% of the maximum volume (see Material ad Methods section), armadillos are distinguished from other 638 extant xenarthrans by their high values (i.e. more anisotropic architecture). Both Hapalops and Lestodon (n=1 in each case) fall in the upper 641 distribution (i.e., more anisotropic) of extant sloths 642 and anteaters (Fig. 4A). The same pattern is found 643 for the full ROI in Lestodon (no data for Hapalops, 644 see Material ad Methods section). In the distal radius (trochlea), the trabecular architecture of 645 646 armadillos is again found as more anisotropic than 647 in the other extant categories. Moreover, the main distribution of extant sloths is found as clustering at 648 649 the level of the lower values of anteaters. The DA 650 value of Hapalops falls above the main distribution 651 of extant sloths, within that of anteaters (Fig. 4B). 652 *Glossotherium* is the sampled taxon with the single 653 lowest DA value (most isotropic structure). One 654 should note, however, that DA was significantly 655 correlated to body size (see Discussion). The main direction of the trabeculae (MDT) in the radial 656 657 trochlea (humeral head did not yield lifestyle 658 discrimination; Amson et al. 2017b) of both 659 Hapalops and Glossotherium falls outside the 660 distribution of extant xenarthrans (Fig. 4C). In both cases, the MDT falls closer to the distribution of 661 662 extant sloths.

664 Phylogenetically flexible discriminant 665 analyses

666 Each studied "ground sloth" was subject to an 667 independent analysis (see Material and Methods), 668 to predict the most probable lifestyle among the 669 three broad lifestyle categories represented by 670 armadillos. anteaters. and extant sloths. respectively. The results regarding classification of 671 672 each "ground sloth" are given in Table 4, and the 673 corresponding outcomes of the training data 674 (posterior probability of the classification of the 675 extant species according to each discriminant 676 analysis) are given in SOM 4. We also provide the coefficients 677 canonical (weights) of each 678 explanatory variable for each analysis in SOM 5. 679 For Hapalops, 18 parameters could be initially 680 included in the analysis (diaphyseal and trabecular 681 parameters, from both the humerus and radius). 682 Due to high correlation among some variables 683 (Conn.D between two ROIs; between Tb.Th and 684 Tb.Sp of both ROIs; between BS and BV of the 685 radial trochlea ROI), four variables were excluded. 686 The recovered optimal Lambda is 0 (no significant correlation of the trait values with phylogeny) and 687 688 the discrimination is optimal (training 689 0%). Hapalops is misclassification error of

PREPRINT

classified in the category of extant sloths' lifestyle 690 743 691 with a high posterior probability (>99%). Indeed, it 744 falls close to extant sloths' distribution along the 745 692 693 Discriminant Axis (pDA) 1 (Fig. 5A). However, 746 Hapalops clearly falls beyond the distribution of 694 747 695 extant xenarthrans along pDA2. The parameter 748 749 696 contributing the most to the discrimination is the DA (that of the radial trochlea for pDA1 and that of 750 697 698 the humeral head for pDA2; see SOM 5). 751

699 For Lestodon, eight parameters could be 700 included (from the radial diaphysis and humeral 753 701 head trabeculae), of which one was excluded 754 702 because of collinearity (present between Tb.Th 755 and Tb.Sp). The recovered optimal Lambda is 703 756 0.84, and training misclassification error is 50%. It 704 757 is classified in the armadillos' lifestyle category 758 705 706 with a rather low posterior probability (64%), the 759 707 second most probable classification being to 760 708 anteaters (35%). According to this analysis, a 761 709 classification in extant sloth's category is very 762 710 improbable (0.006%). Lestodon falls beyond the 763 711 distribution of extant xenarthrans (Fig. 5B). The 764 712 parameter contributing the most to 765 the discrimination is the 'size-normalized' Tb.Th (for 713 766 714 both pDA1 and pDA2). 767

715 For Glossotherium, eight parameters could be 716 included (from the radial diaphysis and trabeculae 717 of the radial trochlea). The recovered optimal 718 Lambda is 0.88, and training misclassification error is 35%. The most probable classification is to 719 720 anteaters (50%), followed by the equally probable 721 classifications to armadillos or extant sloths (each 722 25%). Glossotherium falls within the distribution of 723 extant xenarthrans, but outside the distribution of 724 each lifestyle class, just outside that of anteaters 725 (Fig. 5C). The parameters contributing the most to 726 the discrimination are the DA (pDA1) and 'size-727 normalized' BS (pDA2).

728 For Scelidotherium, only two parameters could 729 be included (from the humeral diaphysis). An 730 optimal Lambda of 0.96 and a high training misclassification error of 69% were recovered. The 731 732 three possible classifications are roughly equally probable (anteater: 37%; extant sloth: 36%; 733 734 armadillo: 27%). Scelidotherium basically falls in 735 the middle of the distribution of extant xenarthrans 736 (Fig. 5D). The parameter contributing the most to 737 the discrimination is CSS (for both pDA1 and 738 pDA2).

739

740 DISCUSSION

741 On the whole, the classification of extinct sloths 742 to one of the extant xenarthran lifestyles (that of

743 armadillos, anteaters, or extant sloths) based on
744 forelimb bone structure proved to be challenging.
745 This appears to be due to at least three obvious
746 causes: (1) the imperfect lifestyle discrimination
747 based on diaphyseal and trabecular parameters,
748 (2) the difficulties raised by the size correction (for
749 some parameters), and (3) the fact that the values
750 of extinct taxa are outliers with respect to the
751 distribution of extant xenarthrans (for some
752 parameters).

The four discriminant analyses we performed vary greatly in the number of included parameters. As expected, analyses including more parameters yielded a better discrimination. The lowest misclassification error (0%) was obtained for the analysis of Hapalops, for which it was possible to include 14 parameters (18 before exclusion of collinear parameters) from both the diaphysis and epiphyseal trabeculae. The worst discrimination (69% of misclassification error) was found for the analysis of Scelidotherium, for which only two parameters, from the humeral diaphysis, could have been included. This lends support to the approach of combining parameters from several bone compartments, if one endeavours to 768 discriminate lifestyles based on these parameters.

769 Several of the investigated parameters were 770 significantly correlated with body size. To attempt 771 to prevent the size of the studied taxa from influencing the analysis, a common approach is to 772 773 size-correct the raw data using the residuals of a 774 regression of the trait against a body size proxy 775 (Mccoy et al. 2006). This proved to be challenging 776 for extinct sloths, because, for most of them, body 777 size largely exceeds that of extant xenarthrans 778 (Vizcaíno et al. 2017). This potentially makes the 779 size regressions spurious, as the extreme values 780 over-influence the regression coefficients. This is 781 not a trivial consideration for our dataset. For 782 instance, if one would size-correct the DA in the 783 radial trochlea using the residuals of the 784 corresponding size regression, the medium-sized 785 extinct sloth Glossotherium, of which the raw DA value was found as the lowest of the dataset. 786 787 would fall in the middle of the overall distribution. 788 For those parameters that are dimensionless, we 789 hence decided to use the untransformed data. But 790 this is likely to be biased as well. For instance, the 791 scaling exponent of the degree of anisotropy (DA) 792 across primates in the humeral and femoral head 793 was found by Ryan & Shaw (2013) to be 794 significantly negative (but close to 0, which would 795 have denoted isometry). We also found a negative 796 scaling exponent for one of the investigated ROI, 797 the radial trochlea. It would be suboptimal to 798 exclude this parameter, especially because it was 799 found as the best functionally discriminating

PREPRINT

800 parameter in extant xenarthrans (Amson et al. 858 801 2017a). It was also singled out as reflecting joint 859 860 802 loading in primates better than other parameters 803 (Tsegai et al. 2018), and, more generally, DA was 861 804 found as functionally informative in several 862 805 analyses about that clade (e.g. Ryan & Ketcham 863 864 806 2002; Griffin et al. 2010; Barak et al. 2013; Su et al. 2013; Georgiou et al. 2018; Ryan et al. 2018; 807 865 808 Tsegai et al. 2018). A tendency for a more 866 809 anisotropic structure in the femoral head of 867 arboreal squirrels was also demonstrated (Mielke 868 810 811 et al. 2018b). A way to make the size-correction 869 more accurate in our case would be to include 870 812 xenarthrans to the sampling that have a body size 871 813 814 between that of extant species and that of the giant 872 873 815 "ground sloths", i.e., with a mass roughly between 50 kg and 300 kg. Unfortunately, the number of 874 816 817 known xenarthrans of this size range is very 875 818 limited. 876

877 819 It was already obvious from univariate 820 comparisons that the bone structure in Hapalops, 821 the small-sized extinct sloth, departed from the condition observed in extant xenarthrans. Indeed, 822 823 the overall great compactness of its humeral 824 diaphysis does not seem to be matched by any other sampled xenarthrans (but see aquatic 825 specialization of Thalassocnus; Amson et al. 826 827 2014). This does not seem to be a systemic bone 828 mass increase (Amson et al. 2018), because 829 neither the trabecular parameters nor the 887 830 compactness of the radial diaphysis of this taxon 831 seems to be notably affected by bone mass increase. Finding a compact humerus 832 is 833 particularly surprising, as the stylopod can be 891 834 expected to be less compact in terrestrial 835 mammals (Amson & Kolb 2016). In the case of 893 836 Lestodon, it was not obvious from univariate 894 837 comparisons that its bone structure was outlying, 838 but both the latter and Hapalops fell outside the 896 839 range of extant xenarthrans in the respective 897 discriminant analyses. One may hence conclude 840 that, based on their bone structure, the humerus 841 842 and radius of both Hapalops and Lestodon were likely involved in a loading regime different from 843 those associated with the lifestyles of extant 844 902 xenarthrans. For Hapalops, one can however note 903 845 846 that the phylogenetically informed discriminant analysis strongly supports a classification within 847 848 extant sloths' category, which might indicate that 849 some aspects of their mechanical environment 850 were similar. The main direction of the trabecular (MDT) also agrees with the fact that the bone 851 852 structure of extant sloth is different but the most 853 similar of the three extant lifestyles discriminated 854 here (Fig. 4C). Based on bone gross morphology, 855 Hapalops was previously reconstructed as partly or primarily arboreal (Matthew 1912; White 1997). 856 857 Both bone structure and gross morphology

therefore seem to point in the same direction for the reconstruction of Hapalops' lifestyle. The largesized Lestodon, on the other hand, is not classified with strong support to one of the extant groups. The least probable classification is to extant sloths' lifestyle (0.03% of posterior probability), which might suggest that the bone structure of Lestodon resembles more that of anteaters and armadillos. Naturally, suspensory posture has never been purported for this elephant-sized sloth. Lestodon was interpreted as traviportal (slow-moving with both guadrupedal and bipedal stances) by Toledo (1996), and the forelimb gross morphology was found to be consistent with fossorial activity (but probably not to procure food (Coombs 1983); see Bargo et al. (2000) for a more tempered interpretation). Including other fossorial and nonfossorial taxa in the sampling of the bone structure analysis will be necessary to suggest a more precise assertion regarding the digging habits of this taxon (but its large size will be problematic, 878 879 see above). The two other extinct sloths subject to 880 discriminant analysis, Glossotherium and а 881 Scelidotherium, differ from the former two in falling 882 within the distribution of extant xenarthrans. 883 However, in neither case is the classification clear, 884 and it seems that acquiring additional bone structure parameters will be necessary to draw 885 886 reliable conclusions.

The Mc III did not yield clear discrimination among the extant lifestyles and was hence not 888 889 included in the discriminant analyses. But one can 890 note that an interesting pattern was observed in the cross-sectional shape (CSS) of extinct sloths at mid-diaphysis. Indeed, high values, denoting 892 elliptic sections, are found in Valgipes and Glossotherium. Such a bone structure is expected 895 to be suited to resist bending along its major axis (Ruff & Hayes 1983). This is consistent with previous lifestyle reconstruction of *Glossotherium*, 898 which is argued to have had fossorial habits (Coombs 1983; Bargo et al. 2000) supposedly 899 900 entailing a well-marked main direction of bending. Furthermore, it might suggest that Valgipes had 901 similar habits, which, to our knowledge, was never purported.

904 A medulla filled with spongy bone was 905 observed in large-sized mammals, and argued to 906 be a potential adaptation to graviportality 907 (Houssaye et al. 2015). It does not seem to be 908 possible to easily draw such a conclusion for 909 xenarthrans: whatever their lifestyle, xenarthrans 910 with a mass of roughly 5 kg (e.g., Tamandua) and over tend to fill their medullary cavity with spongy 911 912 bone. This is true for the forelimb, as described 913 here (and as also reported by Houssaye et al. (2015) for the humerus), but likely also for the hind 914

PREPRINT

limb, as suggested by the tibia of the small-sized 915 971 916 extinct sloth Nothrotherium described herein. In the 972 case of xenarthrans, the great quantity of 973 917 918 diaphyseal trabeculae might be related to another 974 919 aspect affecting bone structure, such as mineral 975 920 homeostasis and/or metabolism. While more 976 921 experimental data is required to discuss it beyond 977 922 speculation, it was reported that extant sloths (at 978 923 least the two-toed sloth Choloepus) are prone to 979 924 soft tissue mineralization likely due to mineral 980 925 imbalance (Han & Garner 2016). One can 981 therefore speculate that the observed great 982 926 927 quantity of diaphyseal trabeculae might be a 928 storage mechanism for mineral in excess.

929 The extremely low metabolism of extant sloths 930 was suggested by Montañez-Rivera et al. (2018) 984 931 as a potential explaining factor for their low cortical 985 932 compactness (CC). Indeed, they found that extant 986 933 sloths depart in that regard from other extant 987 934 xenarthrans as well as from two extinct sloths (the 988 935 small-sized Hapalops and Parocnus). No 989 936 quantitative assessment of CC was performed 990 937 here. But we can report that, at mid-diaphysis, the 991 938 CC of the sampled extinct sloths was generally 992 observed as low (when an observation was 939 993 possible), similar to armadillos and anteaters. 940 994 941 Nevertheless, two specimens showed a rather 995 942 porous cortex, Hapalops (humerus; 996 943 MNHN.F.SCZ162) and Glossotherium (radius; 997 944 MNHN.F.PAM756), though not as porous as that 998 of most extant sloths. A dedicated analysis of 945 999 946 extinct sloths' CC is required to investigate this trait 1000 947 and possibly use it to inform metabolic rate 1001 948 reconstruction in extinct sloths. 1002

1003 949 Comparison of long bone's cross-sections 1004 950 among specimens should be performed at the 1005 951 same location, usually defined as a percentage of 1006 952 the bone's length (e.g., Ruff & Hayes 1983). Here, 1007 953 mid-diaphysis (i.e., 50% of bone length) was 1008 selected for complete bones, and, for fragmentary 954 1009 specimens (some fossils), it is the preserved level 955 1010 closest to mid-diaphysis that was used (the other 956 1011 specimens were resampled accordingly). Because 957 1012 of the xenarthran bones' morphology, most 958 1013 examined cross-sections were located at the level 959 1014 960 of a prominent bony process. One could therefore 1015 961 consider selecting cross-sections avoiding those 1016 962 processes to test their influence on bone structural 1017 963 parameters. Acquiring cross-sectional properties 1018 964 along the whole diaphysis and assessing the 1019 965 proximodistal evolution of biomechanical 1020 properties can also be considered for complete 1020 966 967 bones (Houssaye & Botton-Divet 2018).

968 In addition to the lifestyle of an individual, one 1022 AKNOWLEDGEMENTS 969 can expect for its bone structure to be affected by 970 age, health status, and possibly other factors

varying intraspecifically (such as sex differences; Eckstein et al. 2007). Details regarding these potential factors are mostly unknown for fossils. To control for these factors as much as feasible, the sampled specimens were chosen to be devoid of apparent bone diseases and skeletally mature (even though several presented a remnant of epiphyseal line, see above). It is our assumption that variations in bone structure that relate to a different lifestyle can be expected to be of greater magnitude than intraspecific variations. But this chiefly remains to be demonstrated.

CONCLUSION 983

Bone structure of the diaphysis and epiphyses of the third metacarpal, humerus, and radius was here investigated in several species of extinct sloths, comparing it to that of extant xenarthrans. Related parameters were successfully acquired and included in phylogenetically flexible discriminant analyses. The latter constitute, to our knowledge, the first analyses that conjointly include both diaphyseal and trabeculae parameters to discriminate lifestyles. However, no extinct sloths are here confidently ascribed to one of the lifestyles exhibited by extant xenarthrans. This might be due to several factors, and we identified as challenges for the present analysis the lack of discrimination power of some parameters, the difficulties raised by sizecorrelated parameters, and the fact that some parameters fall outside the range described by extant taxa. The humeral and radial structure of the small-sized Hapalops, from the Miocene of Argentina, was nevertheless found as more reminiscent of that of extant sloths, which agrees with the conclusions drawn based on gross morphology. The humeral and radial structure of the large-sized Lestodon, from the Pleistocene of Argentina, clearly departs from that of extant sloths, and is more similar to that of anteaters and armadillos. The singular bone structure of xenarthrans, including a medullary cavity filled with spongy bone in most taxa, and a low cortical compactness in extant sloths, deserves further investigation. Because Xenarthra is argued to be one of the four early diverging clades of placental mammals (Delsuc & Douzery 2008; Asher et al. 2009; Gaudin & Croft 2015), such investigations are not only important for the understanding of the evolutionary history of the clade, but potentially for that of Mammalia as well.

PREPRINT

1023 We warmly thank the following curators and 1073 collection managers: Guillaume Billet (Muséum 1074 1024 1025 national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris; MNHN), Cástor 1075 1026 Cartelle (Museu de Ciencias Naturais da Pontifícia 1076 Universidade Católica de Minas Gerais, Belo 1077 1027 Horizonte, Brazil), Anneke H. van Heteren 1078 1028 (Zoologische Staatssammlung München), Frieder 1079 1029 1030 Mayer and Christiane Funk (Museum für Naturkunde Berlin), Thomas Kaiser and Nelson 1080 1031 1032 Ribeiro Mascarenhas (Universität Hamburg), Irina (Senckenberg 1081 1033 Ruf and Katrin Krohmann Forschungsinstitut und Naturmuseum), Stefan 1082 1034 Merker (Staatlichen Museums für Naturkunde 1083 1035 (Zoologische 1084 1036 Stuttgart). Eva Bärmann 1037 Forschungsmuseum Alexander Koenig). Anneke 1038 H. van Heteren (Zoologische Staatssammlung 1085 1039 München), Patrick Arnold (Friedrich-Schiller- 1086 1040 Universität Jena), and Aurore Canoville (NC State 1087 University) are acknowledged for helping with 1088 1041 acquisition of the extant species scans. We thank 1089 1042 1043 Patricia Wills, Marta Bellato, and Maïté Adam 1090 1044 (AST-RX platform, MNHN) for acquiring the extinct species scans. We acknowledge Luis D. Verde 1091 1045 1046 Arregoitia for his help with the function pFDA. 1092 Andrew Pitsillides (acting as a reviewer), an 1093 1047 anonymous reviewer, Alexandra Houssaye (acting 1094 1048 as a PCI recommender) and an additional PCI 1049 recommender are thanked for the improvement 1050 1095 1051 they brought to the manuscript.

1052 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

1053 Funding

This research received support from the 1100 1054 1055 **SYNTHESYS** Project 1101 http://www.SYNTHESYS.info/ which is financed by 1102 1056 European Community Research Infrastructure 1103 1057 Action under the FP7 Integrating Activities 1104 1058 Programme. EA was funded by the Alexander von 1059 Humboldt Foundation. JAN and EA were funded 1105 1060 by the German Research Council (DFG EXC 1027 1106 1061 1062 and DFG AM 517/1-1, respectively). 1107 1108 **Competing interests** 1063 1109 The authors declare they have no personal or 1110 1064 financial conflict of interest relating to the content 1111 1065 of this preprint. 1066 1112 1113 Author contributions 1067 1114 1068 Conceptualization and methodology, EA, JAN: 1115 1069 Formal analysis, EA; Investigation, EA; Writing -

1070Original draft, EA; Writing – Review & editing, EA, 11161071JAN. All authors gave final approval for publication.11171072Data availability1120

All the raw scans of fossil specimens sampled for the present analysis will be available from the MNHN collection database pending an embargo. The extant species specimens sampled come from various collections. The corresponding raw scans are available upon reasonable request to the authors.

Supplementary information

SOM 1. Raw data. Excel document, of which each worksheet corresponds to a sampled region.

SOM 2. ImageJ macro to crop isometrically a stack in 3D.

SOM 3. ImageJ macro to acquire mid-diaphyseal parameters.

SOM 4. Lifestyle classification of the extant taxa, the training data of the phylogenetically flexible discriminant analyses (one analysis was performed per extinct taxon, each on a different worksheet).

SOM 5. Canonical coefficients for each phylogenetically flexible discriminant analysis (one analysis was performed per extinct taxon, each on a different worksheet).

REFERENCES

1099

- 1096Abba, A. M., and M. Superina. 2016. Dasypus1097hybridus(Cingulata: Dasypodidae).1098Mammalian Species 48:10–20.
 - Amson, E., C. Argot, H. G. McDonald, and C. de Muizon. 2015. Osteology and functional morphology of the axial postcranium of the marine sloth *Thalassocnus* (Mammalia, Tardigrada) with paleobiological implications. Journal of Mammalian Evolution 22:473–518.
 - Amson, E., P. Arnold, A. H. van Heteren, A. Canoville, and J. A. Nyakatura. 2017a. Trabecular architecture in the forelimb epiphyses of extant xenarthrans (Mammalia). Frontiers in Zoology 14:52.
 - Amson, E., G. Billet, and C. de Muizon. 2018. Evolutionary adaptation to aquatic lifestyle in extinct sloths can lead to systemic alteration of bone structure. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 285:20180270.
 - Amson, E., J. D. Carrillo, and C. Jaramillo. 2016. Neogene sloth assemblages (Mammalia, Pilosa) of the Cocinetas Basin (La Guajira, Colombia): implications for the Great American Biotic Interchange. Palaeontology 59.

PREPRINT

Amson, E., and C. Kolb. 2016. Scaling effect on 1171 1121 the mid-diaphysis properties of long bones-1122 the case of the Cervidae (deer). The Science 1172 1123 1124 of Nature 103:58. 1173 1174 1125 Amson, E., C. de Muizon, and T. J. Gaudin. 2017b. 1175 A reappraisal of the phylogeny of the 1176 1126 1127 Megatheria (Mammalia: Tardigrada), with an 1128 emphasis on the relationships of the 1177 1129 Thalassocninae. the marine sloths, 1178 1130 Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 1179 179:217-236. 1131 1180 1181 Amson, E., C. de Muizon, M. Laurin, C. Argot, and 1132 1133 V. de Buffrénil. 2014. Gradual adaptation of 1182 1134 bone structure to aquatic lifestyle in extinct 1183 1135 sloths from Peru. Proceedings of the Royal 1184 1136 Societv B: Biological Sciences 1137 281:20140192. 1185 1186 1138 Amson, E., and J. A. Nyakatura. 2017. The 1187 1139 postcranial musculoskeletal system of 1188 1140 Xenarthrans: Insights from over two centuries of research and future directions. Journal of 1189 1141 Mammalian Evolution, doi: 10.1007/s10914- 1190 1142 1143 017-9408-7. 1191 1192 1144 Asher, R. J., N. Bennett, and T. Lehmann. 2009. 1193 1145 The new framework for understanding 1146 placental mammal evolution. BioEssays 1194 1147 31:853-864. 1195 1196 1148 Barak, M. M., D. E. Lieberman, and J.-J. Hublin. 1197 1149 2011. A Wolff in sheep's clothing: Trabecular 1198 1150 bone adaptation in response to changes in 1199 1151 joint loading orientation. Bone 49:1141-1151. 1200 Barak, M. M., D. E. Lieberman, D. Raichlen, H. 1201 1152 1153 Pontzer, A. G. Warrener, and J. J. Hublin. 1202 1154 2013. Trabecular evidence for a human-like 1203 1155 gait in Australopithecus africanus. PLoS ONE 1204 1156 8. 1205 1206 1157 Bargo, M. S., N. Toledo, and S. F. Vizcaíno. 2012. 1207 1158 Paleobiology of the Santacrucian sloths and anteaters (Xenarthra, Pilosa). Pp. 216-242 in 1208 DeSilva, J. M., and M. J. Devlin. 2012. A 1159 1160 S. F. Vizcaíano, R. F. Kay, and M. S. Bargo, 1209 1161 Miocene Paleobiology eds. Early in 1210 1162 Patagonia: High-Latitude Paleocommunities 1211 1163 of the Santa Cruz Formation. Cambridge 1212 1164 University Press, Cambridge. 1213 1165 Bargo, M. S., S. F. Vizcaíno, F. M. Archuby, and R. 1214 E. Blanco. 2000. Limb bone proportions, 1215 1166 1167 strength and digging in some Lujanian (late 1216 1168 Pleistocene-early Holocene) mylodontid 1217 1169 around sloths (Mammalia, Xenarthra). 1218 1170 Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 20:601-

610.

- Biewener, A. A., N. L. Fazzalari, D. D. Konieczynski, and R. V. Baudinette. 1996. Adaptive changes in trabecular architecture in relation to functional strain patterns and disuse. Bone 19:1-8.
- Cartelle, C., G. De Iuliis, and R. L. Ferreira. 2009. Systematic revision of tropical Brazilian (Xenarthra, scelidotheriine sloths Mylodontoidea). Journal Vertebrate of Paleontology 29:555-566.
- Carter, D. R., and G. S. Beaupré. 2001. Skeletal Function and form. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Coombs, M. C. 1983. Large mammalian clawed herbivores: comparative study. а Transactions of the American Philosophical Society, New series 73:1-96.
- Coutier, F., L. Hautier, R. Cornette, E. Amson, and G. Billet. 2017. Orientation of the lateral semicircular canal in Xenarthra and its links with head posture and phylogeny. Journal of Morphology 278.
- Delsuc, F., and E. J. P. Douzery. 2008. Recent advances and future prospects in xenarthran molecular phylogenetics. Pp. 11-23 in S. F. Vizcaino and W. J. Loughry, eds. The Biology of the Xenarthra. University Press of Florida, Gainesville.
- Delsuc, F., M. Kuch, G. C. Gibb, J. Hughes, P. Szpak, J. Southon, J. Enk, A. T. Duggan, and N. Poinar. 2018. H. Resolving the phylogenetic position of Darwin's extinct ground sloth (Mylodon darwinii) using mitogenomic and nuclear exon data. Proceedings of the Royal Society В 285:20180214.
- comparative study of the trabecular bony architecture of the talus in humans, nonhuman primates, and Australopithecus. Journal of Human Evolution 63:536-551.
- Doube, M., M. M. Kłosowski, I. Arganda-Carreras, F. P. Cordelières, R. P. Dougherty, J. S. Jackson, B. Schmid, J. R. Hutchinson, and S. J. Shefelbine. 2010. BoneJ: Free and extensible bone image analysis in ImageJ. Bone 47:1076-1079.

PREPRINT

1219 1220 1221	Eckstein, F., M. Matsuura, V. Kuhn, M. Priemel, R. 1 Müller, T. M. Link, and E. M. Lochmüller. 1 2007. Sex differences of human trabecular		the internal trabecular system. Annals of Anatomy 192:168–177.
1222 1223 1224	bone microstructure in aging are site-1 dependent. Journal of Bone and Mineral 1		Han, S., and M. M. Garner. 2016. Soft tissue mineralization in captive 2-toed sloths. Veterinary Pathology 53:659–665.
1225 1226 1227	Fariña, R. A., and R. E. Blanco. 1996. 1 <i>Megatherium</i> , the stabber. Proceedings of 1 the Royal Society B 263:1725–1729. 1		Hayssen, V. 2010. <i>Bradypus variegatus</i> (Pilosa: Bradypodidae). Mammalian Species 42:19– 32.
1228 1229 1230 1231 1232	 Fariña, R. A., S. F. Vizcaíno, and M. S. Bargo. 1 1998. Body mass estimations in Lujanian 1 (late Pleistocene-early Holocene of South 1 America) mammal megafauna. 1 Mastozoología Neotropical 5:87–108. 	1276 1277	Houssaye, A., and L. Botton-Divet. 2018. From land to water: evolutionary changes in long bone microanatomy of otters (Mammalia: Mustelidae). Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, doi: 10.1093/biolinnean/bly118.
1233 1234 1235 1236 1237	Gaudin, T. J. 2004. Phylogenetic relationships 1 among sloths (Mammalia, Xenarthra, 1 Tardigrada): the craniodental evidence. 1 Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 1 140:255–305.	1281 1282	Houssaye, A., K. Waskow, S. Hayashi, A. H. Lee, and J. R. Hutchinson. 2015. Biomechanical evolution of solid bones in large animals: a microanatomical investigation. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 117:350–371.
1238 1239 1240 1241 1242	1	1286 1287 1288 1289	Huynh Nguyen, N., D. H. Pahr, T. Gross, M. M. Skinner, and T. L. Kivell. 2014. Micro-finite element (μFE) modeling of the siamang (<i>Symphalangus syndactylus</i>) third proximal phalanx: The functional role of curvature and the flexor sheath ridge. Journal of Human
1242 1243 1244	Skinner. 2018. Trabecular bone patterning in 1 the hominoid distal femur. PeerJ 6:e5156.	1291	Evolution 67:60–75.
1245 1246 1247 1248	Germain, D., and M. Laurin. 2005. Microanatomy 1 of the radius and lifestyle in amniotes 1 (Vertebrata, Tetrapoda). Zoologica Scripta 1	1293 1294	Kivell, T. L. 2016. A review of trabecular bone functional adaptation: what have we learned from trabecular analyses in extant hominoids and what can we apply to fossils? Journal of Anatomy 228:569–594.
1249 1250 1251 1252 1253 1253	 Gibb, G. C., F. L. Condamine, M. Kuch, J. Enk, N. 1 Moraes-Barros, M. Superina, H. N. Poinar, 1 and F. Delsuc. 2016. Shotgun mitogenomics 1 provides a reference phylogenetic framework 1 and timescale for living xenarthrans. 1 Molecular Biology and Evolution 33:621–642. 	1298 1299 1300	Kivell, T. L., M. M. Skinner, R. Lazenby, and JJ. Hublin. 2011. Methodological considerations for analyzing trabecular architecture: an example from the primate hand. Journal of anatomy 218:209–25.
1255 1256 1257 1258 1259	Griffin, N. L., K. D'Août, T. M. Ryan, B. G. 1 Richmond, R. A. Ketcham, and A. Postnov. 1 2010. Comparative forefoot trabecular bone 1 architecture in extant hominids. Journal of 1 human evolution 59:202–13.	1303 1304 1305	 Kolb, C., T. M. Scheyer, K. Veitschegger, A. M. Forasiepi, E. Amson, A. A. E. Van der Geer, L. W. Van den Hoek Ostende, S. Hayashi, and M. R. Sánchez-Villagra. 2015. Mammalian bone palaeohistology: A survey and new data with emphasis on island forms. PeerJ 2015.
1260 1261 1262 1263 1264	1	1310	Lazenby, R. A., D. M. L. Cooper, S. Angus, and B. Hallgrímsson. 2008. Articular constraint, handedness, and directional asymmetry in the human second metacarpal. Journal of Human Evolution 54:875–885.
1265 1266	Hammer, A. 2010. The structure of the femoral neck: A physical dissection with emphasis on 1	1314	Matthew, W. D. 1912. The ancestry of edentates:

PREPRINT

1315 1316 1317	as illustrated by the skeleton of <i>Hapalops</i> , a tertiary ancestor of the ground sloths. American Museum Journal 12:300–303.		
1318 1319 1320 1321 1322	Mccoy, M. W., B. M. Bolker, C. W. Osenberg, B. G. Miner, and J. R. Vonesh. 2006. Size correction: Comparing morphological traits among populations and environments. Oecologia 148:547–554.	1366 1367	Ρ
1323 1324 1325 1326 1327 1328	Meier, P. S., C. Bickelmann, T. M. Scheyer, D. Koyabu, and M. R. Sánchez-Villagra. 2013. Evolution of bone compactness in extant and extinct moles (Talpidae): exploring humeral microstructure in small fossorial mammals. BMC evolutionary biology 13:55.	1371 1372 1373	Ρ
1329 1330 1331 1332 1333	Mielke, F., E. Amson, and J. A. Nyakatura. 2018a. Morpho-functional analysis using procrustes superimposition by static reference. Evolutionary Biology, doi: 10.1007/s11692- 018-9456-9.	1377 1378 1379	
1334 1335 1336 1337 1338	Mielke, M., J. Wölfer, A. H. van Heteren, E. Amson, and J. A. Nyakatura. 2018b. Trabecular architecture in the sciuromorph femoral head: allometry and functional adaptation. Zoological Letters 4:10.	1383 1384	Ρ
1339 1340 1341 1342	Montañez-Rivera, I., J. A. Nyakatura, and E. Amson. 2018. Bone cortical compactness in "tree sloths" reflects convergent evolution. Journal of Anatomy, doi: 10.1111/joa.12873.	1386 1387 1388 1389 1390 1391	R
1343 1344 1345 1346	Motani, R., and L. Schmitz. 2011. Phylogenetic versus functional signals in the evolution of form–function relationships in terrestrial vision. Evolution 65:2245–2257.	1392 1393 1394 1395	R
1347 1348 1349 1350	Nyakatura, J. A. 2012. The convergent evolution of suspensory posture and locomotion in tree sloths. Journal of Mammalian Evolution 19:225–234.	1396	R
1351 1352 1353 1354	Owen, R. 1861. Memoir on the <i>Megatherium</i> , or giant ground-sloth of America (<i>Megatherium americanum</i> , Cuvier). Williams and Norgate, London.	1400	R
1355 1356 1357	Owen, R. 1839. Part I. Fossil Mammalia. Pp. 1– 111 <i>in</i> C. Darwin, ed. The Zoology of the Voyage of HMS Beagle. Smith Elder and Co,	1405	
1358 1359 1360	London. Pagel, M. 1999. Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. Nature 401:877–884.	1406 1407 1408 1409	R
1361	Patiño, S. J., and R. A. Fariña. 2017. Ungual	1410	S

phalanges analysis in Pleistocene ground sloths (Xenarthra, Folivora). Historical Biology 29:1065–1075.

- Perkins, M. E., J. G. Fleagle, M. T. Heizler, B. Nash, T. M. Bown, A. A. Tauber, and M. T. Dozo. 2012. Tephrochronology of the Miocene Santa Cruz and Pinturas Formations, Argentina. Pp. 23–40 *in* S. F. Vizcaíno, R. F. Kay, and M. S. Bargo, eds. Early Miocene Paleobiology in Patagonia: high-latitude paleocommunities of the Santa Cruz Formation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Pontzer, H., D. E. Lieberman, E. Momin, M. J. Devlin, J. D. Polk, B. Hallgrímsson, and D. M. L. Cooper. 2006. Trabecular bone in the bird knee responds with high sensitivity to changes in load orientation. The Journal of Experimental Biology 209:57–65.
- Pujos, F., T. J. Gaudin, G. De Iuliis, and C. Cartelle. 2012. Recent advances on variability, morpho-functional adaptations, dental terminology, and evolution of sloths. Journal of Mammalian Evolution 19:159–169.
- Ruff, C. B., and W. C. Hayes. 1983. Crosssectional geometry of Pecos Pueblo femora and tibiae - a biomechanical investigation: I. Method and general patterns of variation. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 60:359–81.
- Ruff, C. B., B. Holt, and E. Trinkaus. 2006. Who's afraid of the big bad Wolff?: "Wolff's law" and bone functional adaptation. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 129:484–498.
- Ryan, T. M., K. J. Carlson, A. D. Gordon, N. Jablonski, C. N. Shaw, and J. T. Stock. 2018. Human-like hip joint loading in *Australopithecus africanus* and *Paranthropus robustus*. Journal of Human Evolution 121:12–24.
- Ryan, T. M., and R. A. Ketcham. 2002. The threedimensional structure of trabecular bone in the femoral head of strepsirrhine primates. Journal of Human Evolution 43:1–26.
- Ryan, T. M., and C. N. Shaw. 2013. Trabecular bone microstructure scales allometrically in the primate humerus and femur. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 280:20130172.

1361 Patiño, S. J., and R. A. Fariña. 2017. Ungual 1410 Schindelin, J., I. Arganda-Carreras, E. Frise, V.

PREPRINT

1474

- 1411 Kaynig, M. Longair, T. Pietzsch, S. Preibisch, 1460
- 1412 C. Rueden, S. Saalfeld, B. Schmid, J.-Y. 1461 1413
- Tinevez, D. J. White, V. Hartenstein, K. 1462 1414 Eliceiri, P. Tomancak, and A. Cardona. 2012. 1463
- 1415 Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-
- 1416 image analysis. Nature Methods 9:676-682. 1464
- 1465
- Schindelin, J., C. T. Rueden, M. C. Hiner, and K. 1466 1417
- 1418 W. Eliceiri. 2015. The ImageJ ecosystem: An 1467
- 1419 open platform for biomedical image analysis, 1468
- 1420 Molecular Reproduction and Development
- 1421 82:518-529.
- 1470 1422 Schneider, C. A., W. S. Rasband, and K. W. 1471
- 1423 Eliceiri. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years 1472
- 1424 of image analysis. Nature Methods 9:671-
- 1425 675. 1473
- 1426 Shaw, C. N., and T. M. Rvan, 2012, Does skeletal 1475 1427 anatomy reflect adaptation to locomotor 1476 1428 patterns? Cortical and trabecular architecture 1477 1429 in human and nonhuman anthropoids.
- 1430 147:187-200. 1431 1479
- 1480 1432 Skinner, M. M., N. B. Stephens, Z. J. Tsegai, A. C. 1481
- 1433 Foote, N. H. Nguyen, T. Gross, D. H. Pahr, J. 1482 Hublin, and T. L. Kivell. 2015. Human-like 1483 1434 1435 hand use in Australopithecus africanus. 1484 1436 Science 347:395-399.
- Slater, G. J., P. Cui, A. M. Forasiepi, D. Lenz, K. 1486 1437 1438 Tsangaras, B. Voirin, N. de Moraes-Barros, 1487 1439 R. D. E. MacPhee, and A. D. Greenwood. 1488 1440 2016. Evolutionary relationships among 1489 1441 extinct and extant sloths: The evidence of
- 1442 mitogenomes and retroviruses. Genome 1490 1443 Biology and Evolution 8:607-621. 1491 1492
- 1444 Smith, F. A., S. K. Lyons, S. K. M. Ernest, K. E.
- Jones, D. M. Kaufman, T. Dayan, P. A. 1493 1445 1446 Marquet, J. H. Brown, and J. P. Haskell. 1494 1447 2003. Body Mass of Late Quaternary 1495 1448 Mammals. Ecology 84:3403. 1496
- 1497 1449 Smith, P., and R. D. Owen. 2017. Calyptophractus
- 1450 (Cingulata: Dasypodidae). 1498 retusus 1451 1499
 - Mammalian Species 49:57-62.
- 1500 1452 Sode, M., A. J. Burghardt, R. A. Nissenson, and S. 1501 1453 Majumdar. 2008. Resolution dependence of 1502 1454 the mon-metric trabecular structure indices. 1503
- 1455 Bone 42:728-736. 1504 1456 Stephens, N. B., T. L. Kivell, T. Gross, D. H. Pahr, 1505 1457 R. A. Lazenby, J.-J. Hublin, I. Hershkovitz, 1506
- 1458 and M. M. Skinner. 2016. Trabecular 1507 1459 architecture in the thumb of Pan and Homo: 1508

implications for investigating hand use, loading, and hand preference in the fossil record. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 161:603-619.

- Straehl, F. R., T. M. Scheyer, A. M. Forasiepi, R. D. E. MacPhee, and M. R. Sánchez-Villagra. 2013. Evolutionary patterns of bone histology and bone compactness in xenarthran mammal long bones. PLoS ONE 8:e69275.
- 1469 Su, A., and K. J. Carlson. 2017. Comparative analysis of trabecular bone structure and orientation in South African hominin tali. Journal of Human Evolution 106:1-18.
 - Su. A., I. J. Wallace, and M. Nakatsukasa, 2013. Trabecular bone anisotropy and orientation in an Early Pleistocene hominin talus from East Turkana, Kenya. Journal of Human Evolution 64:667-677.
- American journal of physical anthropology 1478 Tacutu, R., C. T. Craig, A. Budovsky, D. Wuttke, G. Lehmann, D. Taranukha, J. Costa, V. E. Fraifeld, and J. De Magalhães, 2013, Human Genomic Resources: Integrated Ageing databases and tools for the biology and genetics of ageing. Nucleic Acids Research 41:D1027-D1033.
 - 1485 Toledo, N., M. S. Bargo, and S. F. Vizcaíno. 2013. Muscular reconstruction and functional morphology of the forelimb of early Miocene sloths (Xenarthra, Folivora) of Patagonia. Anatomical record 296:305-325.
 - Toledo, P. M. 1996. Locomotor Patterns within the Pleistocene Sloths. PhD Thesis, University of Colorado.
 - Tsegai, Z. J., T. L. Kivell, T. Gross, N. Huynh Nguyen, D. H. Pahr, J. B. Smaers, and M. M. Skinner. 2013. Trabecular bone structure correlates with hand posture and use in hominoids. PLoS ONE 8.
 - Tsegai, Z. J., M. M. Skinner, A. H. Gee, D. H. Pahr, G. M. Treece, J.-J. Hublin, and T. L. Kivell. 2017. Trabecular and cortical bone structure of the talus and distal tibia in Pan and Homo. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 163:784-805.
 - Tsegai, Z. J., M. M. Skinner, D. H. Pahr, J.-J. Hublin, and T. L. Kivell. 2018. Systemic patterns of trabecular bone across the human and chimpanzee skeleton. Journal of Anatomy 232:641-656.

PREPRINT

1509	Vizcaíno, S. F., M. S. Bargo, and G. H. Cassini. 1522
1510	2006. Dental occlusal surface area in relation
1511	to body mass, food habits and other 1523
1512	biological features in fossil xenarthrans. 1524
1513	Ameghiniana 43:11–26. 1525
	1526
1514	Vizcaíno, S. F., R. A. Fariña, and G. V. Mazzetta. 1527
1515	1999. Ulnar dimensions and fossoriality in 1528
1516	armadillos. Acta Theriologica 44:309–320. 1529
1517	Vizcaíno, S. F., N. Toledo, and M. S. Bargo. 2017. 1530

1518Advantages and limitations in the use of 15311519extant xenarthrans (Mammalia) as 15321520morphological models for paleobiological 15331521reconstruction. Journal of Mammalian

Evolution, doi: 10.1007/s10914-017-9400-2.

- White, J. L. 1997. Locomotor Adaptations in Miocene Xenarthrans. Pp. 246–264 *in* R. F. Kay, R. H. Madden, R. L. Cifelli, and J. J. Flynn, eds. Vertebrate paleontology in the neotropics. The Miocene fauna of La Venta, Colombia. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington.
- Woodburne, M. O. 2010. The Great American Biotic Interchange: dispersals, tectonics, climate, sea level and holding pens. Journal of Mammalian Evolution 17:245–264.

PREPRINT

Table 1. List of fossils with type of data acquired for each bone.

		Data type		
Species	Specimen number			
		Humerus	Radius	Mc III
Hapalops sp.	MNHN.F.SCZ166	-	72%MD; 100%TA	-
Hapalops sp.	MNHN.F.SCZ164	35%MD; 72%TA; (39%TA)	-	-
Hapalops sp.	MNHN.F.SCZ162	50%MD; 35%MD; (39%TA)	-	-
Lestodon armatus	MNHN.F.PAM754	-	50%MD	
Lestodon armatus	MNHN.F.PAM755	-	-	50%MD
Lestodon armatus	MNHN.F.PAM95	100%TA	-	-
Glossotherium robustum	MNHN.F.PAM756	QO	50%MD; 100%TA	-
Glossotherium robustum	MNHN.F.PAM141	-	-	50%MD
Scelidotherium leptocephalum	MNHN.F.PAM236	50%MD	-	-
Valgipes bucklandi	MNHN.F.BRD29	-	-	50%MD
Megatherium americanum	MNHN.F.PAM753	-	-	50%MD
Megatherium americanum	MNHN.F.PAM758	-	QO	-

Footnotes. Abbreviations: 'n'-MD, mid-diaphyseal data, with 'n' the position of the sampled cross-section expressed as the length percentage from the proximal end; 'n'-TA, trabecular architecture data, with 'n' the cropping coefficient that was used, if any (see Material and Methods section); QO, only qualitative observations were performed.

PREPRINT

	CSA (mm ²)	GC (NU)	CSS (NU)
Mc III diaphysis, 50%MD			
Armadillos	26.188	69.181	2.013
Anteaters	56.562	73.790	2.072
Extant sloths	17.577	62.650	1.940
Lestodon	1239.645	64.056	1.925
Glossotherium	1017.827	78.109	2.855
Megatherium	2100.754	74.779	1.257
Valgipes	590.903	69.296	3.835
Humeral diaphysis, 50%			
Armadillos	65.763	68.462	4.443
Anteaters	144.838	66.472	2.998
Extant sloths	59.237	72.764	1.202
Hapalops	229.325	89.848	1.189
Scelidotherium	2780.843	80.851	2.604
Humeral diaphysis, 35%			
Armadillos	44.231	46.528	2.615
Anteaters	117.554	57.493	1.845
Extant sloths	63.013	64.837	1.240
Hapalops	235.345	75.282	1.845
Radial diaphysis, 50%			
Armadillos	17.185	89.036	2.651
Anteaters	57.970	83.132	2.225
Extant sloths	31.743	77.222	2.175
Lestodon	1474.742	71.340	5.030
Glossotherium	788.320	67.652	4.003
Radial diaphysis, 72%			
Armadillos	28.369	76.179	3.846
Anteaters	69.146	77.233	2.867
Extant sloths	35.096	71.261	5.116
Hapalops	92.887	79.769	6.320

Table 2. Mean values of diaphyseal parameters of interest for each lifestyle category and extinct taxon.

Footnotes. Percentage indicates the position of the sampled cross-section, expressed as the length percentage from the proximal end. Abbreviations: NU, no units.

PREPRINT

			T T ()	T 0 ()		
	DA (NU)	Conn.D (nb.mm ⁻³)	Tb.Th (mm)	Tb.Sp (mm)	BS/TV (mm ⁻¹)	BV/TV (NU)
Humeral head 100%						
Armadillos	0.597	12.349	0.250	0.472	3.378	0.408
Anteaters	0.401	11.595	0.264	0.414	3.429	0.453
Extant sloths	0.425	9.360	0.308	0.494	3.139	0.443
Lestodon	0.501	0.584	0.802	0.810	1.226	0.580
Humeral head 72%						
Armadillos	0.616	12.311	0.242	0.461	3.566	0.414
Anteaters	0.401	11.377	0.261	0.421	3.563	0.448
Extant sloths	0.439	9.027	0.317	0.496	3.158	0.450
Hapalops	0.516	3.224	0.386	0.555	3.389	0.504
Radial trochlea 100%						
Armadillos	0.789	16.047	0.342	0.372	3.868	0.490
Anteaters	0.629	11.187	0.296	0.441	3.199	0.440
Extant sloths	0.565	8.750	0.304	0.515	2.387	0.400
Hapalops	0.600	3.026	0.263	0.847	1.550	0.241
Glossotherium	0.432	1.018	0.425	1.245	0.593	0.225

Table 3. Mean values of trabecular parameters of interest for each lifestyle category and extinct taxon.

Footnotes. Percentage indicates the cropping coefficient that was used (100% denoting the lack thereof; see Material and Methods section). Abbreviation: NU, no units.

PREPRINT

Table 4. Lifestyle classification of the extinct taxa as predicted by phylogenetically flexible discriminant analyses (because of the difference in the included predictive variables for each taxon, a dedicated discriminant analysis was performed for each of them).

	Predicted class	P(ant)	P(arma)	P(sloth)
Hapalops	sloth	0.000	0.000	1.000
Lestodon armatus	arma	0.353	0.641	0.006
Glossotherium robustum	ant	0.505	0.249	0.246
Scelidotherium leptocephalum	ant	0.367	0.273	0.360

Abbreviations: P("class"), the posterior probability for the extinct taxon to be classified as "class"; ant, anteater; arma, armadillo; sloth, extant sloth.

PREPRINT

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Timetree depicting the time-calibrated phylogenetic relationships of the xenarthrans included in the phylogenetically flexible linear discriminant analyses. See Material and Methods section for the sources used to build the timetree.

Figure 2. Qualitative observations of diaphyseal structure in xenarthrans. Longitudinal sections of humeri (A-C, E-F, all from CT-scans), tibia (D, 'natural' section), and radius (G, from CT-scan). A, *Chaetophractus vellerosus* (ZSM 1926-24); B, *Priodontes maximus* (ZSM 1931-293); C, *Myrmecophaga tridactyla* (ZMB_MAM_77025); D, *Nothrotherium maquinense* (MCL 2821); E, *Choloepus didactylus* (ZMB_MAM_35825); F, *Glossotherium robustum* (MNHN.F.TAR 767); G, *Lestodon armatus* (MNHN.F.PAM 754). Scale bars: A-E, 1 cm; F-G, 10 cm.

Figure 3. Univariate comparisons of mid-diaphyseal parameters. A, Mc III Global Compactness (GC); B, Mc III cross-sectional shape (CSS); C, humeral GC; D, humeral CSS; E, radial GC; F, radial CSS. Thresholded middiaphyseal virtual sections are depicted for the extinct sloths. Abbreviations: ant, anteaters; arma, armadillos; Glos, *Glossotherium*; Hapa, *Hapalops*; Lest, *Lestodon*; Meg, *Megatherium*; Sce, *Scelidotherium*; sloth, extant sloths.

Figure 4. Univariate comparisons of trabecular anisotropy parameters. A, degree of anisotropy (DA) in the humeral head ROI, reduced at 72% of its maximum size (see Material and Methods section); B, DA in the radial trochlea; C, main direction of the trabeculae (MDT) in the radial trochlea. Abbreviations: ant, anteaters; arma, armadillos; Glos, *Glossotherium*; Hapa, *Hapalops*; sloth, extant sloths.

Figure 5. Phylogenetically flexible linear discriminant analyses using humeral and radial bone structure parameters. One analysis per extinct taxon (referred as of "unknown" class) was performed, because of the difference in the parameters that could be included (see Material and Methods section and Table 1). A,

PREPRINT

Hapalops; B, *Lestodon*; C, *Glossotherium*; D, *Scelidotherium*. Abbreviations: ant, anteaters; arma, armadillos; sloth, extant sloths. Next to each discriminant axis is given between brackets the corresponding percentage of explained between-group variance. The size of extinct sloths' representations gives a rough indication of their body sizes.















