Functional instability allows access to DNA in longer Transcription Activator-Like

Effector (TALE) arrays.

Kathryn Geiger-Schuller[1,2], Jaba Mitra[3], Taekjip Ha[1,4,5,6], & Doug Barrick[1]*

[1]T.C. Jenkins Department of Biophysics and Program in Molecular Biophysics, Johns

Hopkins University, 3400 N. Charles St, Baltimore MD 21218.

[2]Current address: Broad Institute of Harvard and Massachusetts Institute of

Technology, Cambridge, MA 02142.

[3]Materials Science and Engineering, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Urbana IL

61801.

[4]Department of Physics, Center for the Physics of Living Cells and Institute for Genomic

Biology, University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign, Urbana IL 61801.

[5]Department of Biophysics and Biophysical Chemistry and Department of Biomedical

Engineering, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore MD 21205.

[6]Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Baltimore, MD, 21205, USA

*Corresponding Author: barrick@jhu.edu, (410) 516-0409

Keywords: TALE repeat, Single-molecule biophysics, FRET, Functional instability,

Deterministic modeling

Abstract

Transcription activator-like effectors (TALEs) bind DNA through an array of

tandem 34-residue repeats. Here, we examine the kinetics of DNA binding for a set of

TALE arrays with varying numbers of identical repeats using single molecule

microscopy. Using a new deterministic modeling approach, we find evidence for

conformational heterogeneity in both the free- and DNA-bound TALE arrays. Combined

with previous work demonstrating populations of partly folded TALE states, our findings

reveal a functional instability in TALE-DNA binding. For TALEs forming less than one

superhelical turn around DNA, partly folded open states inhibit DNA binding. In contrast,

for TALEs forming more than one turn, the partly folded open states facilitate DNA

binding. Overall, we find that increasing repeat number results in significantly slower

interconversion between the various DNA-free and DNA-bound states. These findings

highlight the role of conformational heterogeneity and dynamics in facilitating

macromolecular complex assembly.

Impact Statement

Single molecule DNA-binding trajectories and deterministic modeling analyses

demonstrate a functional role for high energy partly folded states in Transcription

Activator-Like Effectors (TALEs) that could improve future TALEN design.

Introduction

Transcription activator-like effectors (TALEs) are bacterial proteins containing a

domain of tandem DNA-binding repeats as well as a eukaryotic transcriptional activation

domain (Kay et al., 2007; Römer et al., 2007). The repeat domain binds double

stranded DNA with a register of one repeat per base pair. Specificity is determined by

the sequence identity at positions twelve and thirteen in each TALE repeat, which are

referred to as repeat variable diresidues (RVDs) (Boch et al., 2009; Miller et al., 2015;

Moscou and Bogdanove, 2009). This specificity code has enabled design of TALE-

based tools for transcriptional control (Cong et al., 2012; Geissler et al., 2011; Li et al.,

2012; Mahfouz et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2011), DNA modifications (Maeder et al.,

2013), in-cell microscopy (Ma et al., 2013; Miyanari et al., 2013), and genome editing

(TALENs) (Christian et al., 2010; Li et al., 2011).

TALE repeat domains wrap around DNA in a continuous superhelix of 11.5 TALE

repeats per turn (Deng et al., 2012; Mak et al., 2012). Because TALEs contain on

average 17.5 repeats (Boch and Bonas, 2010), most form over 1.5 full turns around

DNA. Many multisubunit proteins that form rings around DNA require energy in the form

of ATP to open or close around DNA (reviewed in O'Donnell and Kuriyan, 2006), yet

TALEs are capable of wrapping around DNA without energy from nucleotide

triphosphate hydrolysis. One possibility is that TALEs bind DNA through an

energetically accessible open conformation. Consistent with this possibility, we

previously demonstrated that TALE arrays can populate partly folded or broken states

(Geiger-Schuller and Barrick, 2016). While the calculated populations of partly folded

states in TALE repeat arrays are small, these populations are many orders of

magnitude larger than calculated populations of partly folded states in other previously

studied repeat arrays (consensus Ankyrin (Aksel et al., 2011) and DHR proteins

(Geiger-Schuller et al., 2018)) suggesting a potential functional role for the high

populations of partially folded states in TALE repeat arrays.

Consensus TALEs (cTALEs) are homopolymeric arrays composed of the most

commonly observed residue at each of the 34 positions of the repeat (Geiger-Schuller

and Barrick, 2016). In addition to simplifying analysis of folding and conformational

heterogeneity in this study, the consensus approach simplifies analysis of DNA binding,

eliminating contributions from sequence heterogeneity and providing an easy means of

site-specific labeling.

Here we characterize DNA binding kinetics of cTALEs using total internal

reflection fluorescence single-molecule microscopy. We find that consensus TALE

arrays bind to DNA reversibly, with high affinity. Analysis of the dwell-times of the on-

and off-states reveals multiphasic binding and unbinding kinetics, suggesting

conformational heterogeneity in both the free and DNA bound state. We develop a

deterministic optimization analysis that supports such a model, and provides rate

constants for both conformational changes and binding. Comparing the dynamics

observed here to previously characterized local unfolding suggests that locally unfolded

states inhibit binding of short cTALE arrays (less than one full superhelical turn around

DNA), whereas they promote binding of long arrays (more than 1 full superhelical turn).

Whereas local folding of transcription factors upon DNA binding is well documented

(Spolar and Record, 1994), local unfolding in the binding process has not. Our results

present a new mode of transcription factor binding where the major conformer in the

unbound state is fully folded, requiring partial unfolding prior to binding. The critical role

of such high energy partly folded states is an exciting example of functional instability.

Results

cTALE design

Consensus TALE (cTALE) repeat sequence was design previously described

(Geiger-Schuller and Barrick, 2016). To avoid self- association of cTALE arrays, we

fused arrays to a conserved N-terminal extension of the PthXo1 gene. Although the

sequence of this domain differs from a TALE repeat sequence, the structure of this

domain closely mimics four TALE repeats (Gao et al., 2012; Mak et al., 2012) and is

required for full transcriptional activation (Gao et al., 2012). In this study, all repeat

arrays contain this solubilizing N-terminal domain.

cTALE local instability promotes population of partly folded states

Figure 1A depicts types of partly folded states of a generic repeat protein. In the

fully folded state, all repeats are folded, and all interfaces are intact. In the end-frayed

states, one or more terminal repeats are unfolded and all interfaces, except the

interface(s) between the unfolded and adjacent folded repeat(s), are intact. In the

internally unfolded states, a central repeat is unfolded and all interfaces, except the

interfaces involving the unfolded repeats, are intact. In the interfacially ruptured state, all

repeats are folded but one interface is disrupted due to local structural distortion.

Figure 1B shows calculated free energy differences between various partly

folded states and the fully folded repeat array for two different RVDs (NS and HD) in an

otherwise identical consensus sequence background, using the intrinsic and interfacial

engeries we determined previously (Geiger-Schuller and Barrick, 2016). The distribution

of partly folded states is calculated for different 20-repeat arrays containing two types of

TALE arrays (with the NS RVD in red and with the HD RVD in blue) as well as

consensus ankyrin arrays (cAnk in black). For cTALE arrays, end frayed states are

within a few *RT* of the folded state, internally unfolded states are highest in energy, and

interfacially ruptured states fall energetically between end frayed and internally unfolded

states.

Changing the RVD sequence affects the distribution of these partly folded states:

HD repeat containing arrays are more likely to internally unfold or interfacially rupture

than NS repeat containing arrays. However, both types of cTALEs are more likely to

populate many of these partly folded states than cAnk is to populate even the lowest

energy partly folded state, the end frayed state. Thus, compared to ankyrin repeats,

cTALEs are locally unstable, meaning they are likely to form partly folded states. As

these states disrupt the superhelix, they may facilitate DNA binding.

Single-molecule studies of cTALE binding to DNA

To ask if cTALE local instability is relevant for DNA binding kinetics, DNA binding

trajectories were measured using single molecule total internal reflection fluorescence

(smTIRF). Figure 2A shows a schematic of the smTIRF experiments performed to

measure DNA binding. For site-specific cTALE labeling, R30 is mutated to cysteine in a

single repeat. Position 30 is frequently a cysteine in naturally occurring TALEs (in earlier

folding studies, arginine was chosen in the consensus sequence to avoid disulfide

formation) (Geiger-Schuller and Barrick, 2016). This cysteine was Cy3 (FRET donor)-

labelled using maleimide chemistry, and was attached to biotinylated slides via the C-

terminal His_6 tag and α-Penta•His antibodies. At salt concentrations below 300 mM

NaCl, cTALEs aggregate. Because DNA binding is weak at high salt concentrations,

measuring binding kinetics in bulk at high salt is not possible. However, tethering

cTALEs to the quartz slide at high salt prevents self-association, even at the low salt

concentrations required to study DNA binding kinetics. A histogram of $NcTALE_8$ (8 NS-

type repeats and the N-terminal domain) labeled via a cysteine in the first repeat shows

a single peak at zero FRET efficiency, as expected for donor-only constructs (Figure

2B).

To test for DNA binding to tethered cTALE constructs, we added Cy5 (FRET

acceptor)-labeled 15 bp-long DNA ($Cy5.A_{15}/T_{15}$) to tethered $NcTALE_8$. This results in a

new peak at a FRET efficiency of 0.45, indicating that DNA binds directly to cTALE

arrays. As DNA concentration in solution is increased, the peak at 0.45 FRET efficiency

increases in population (Figure 2C-D), suggesting a measurable equilibrium between

free and bound DNA rather than saturation or irreversible binding. In support of this,

single molecule time trajectories show interconversion between bound and unbound

states, providing access to rates of binding and dissociation.

As expected for reversible complex formation, the peak at 0.45 FRET efficiency

can be competed away by adding mixtures of labeled and unlabeled DNA to pre-formed

cTALE-labelled DNA complexes (schematic shown in Figure 2E; pre-formed complex

shown in Figure 2F, competition data shown in Figure 2G-H). Challenging pre-formed

complexes with a mixture of 5 nM unlabeled DNA and 15 nM labeled DNA results in a

slight decrease in the population of the peak at 0.45 FRET (compare Figures 2F and

2G). Challenging with a mixture of 50 nM unlabeled DNA and 15 nM labeled DNA

further decreases the peak at 0.45 FRET (Figure 2H).

cTALE arrays display multiphasic DNA-binding kinetics.

In addition to the short smTIRF movies used to generate smFRET histograms

from many molecules, long movies were also collected to examine the extended

transitions of individual molecules between the low- and high-FRET (0 and 0.45) (Figure

3A-B). A transition from low to high FRET (0 to 0.45) indicates that the acceptor

fluorophore on DNA moved close enough to the donor on the protein for FRET and is

likely a binding event. A transition from high to low FRET (0.45 to 0.0) indicates the

acceptor fluorophore on DNA moved too far away from the donor on the protein for

FRET and is likely an unbinding event. Low-FRET states show low colocalization with

signal upon direct excitation of the acceptor, confirming that high-FRET states are DNA-

bound states and low-FRET states are DNA-free states (Figure 3- figure supplement 1).

These long single molecule traces show both long- and short-lived low- and high-FRET

states, indicating that kinetics are multi-phasic (Figure 3A-B). Binding events (transitions

from low to high FRET) become more frequent as bulk DNA concentration increases

(compare representative traces at 1 nM dsDNA to 15 nM dsDNA; Figure 3A and Figure

3B). Cumulative distributions generated from dwell times in the low FRET state at a

given DNA concentration are best-fit by a double-exponential decay, indicating a

minimum of two kinetic phases associated with binding events (Figure 3C). Cumulative

distributions generated from dwell times in the high FRET state are best-fit by a double-

exponential decay, indicating that there are a minimum of two kinetic phases for

unbinding as well (Figure 3D).

The rate constant for the fast phase in DNA binding shows a linear increase with

DNA concentration (Figure 3E), indicating that this step involves an associative binding

mechanism. The slope of these rate constants as a function of DNA concentration gives

a bimolecular rate constant of 5.9×10^8 $nM^{-1}s^{-1}$, close to the diffusion limit. The rate

constant for the slower phase (0.59 s^{-1}) is independent of DNA concentration indicating

a unimolecular isomerization mechanism (Figure 3E).

In contrast, neither of the two fitted rate constants for transitions from high to low

FRET (0.45 to 0.0; unbinding events) depends on DNA concentration, suggesting that

unbinding involves two (or more) unimolecular processes (Figure 3F). The rate

constants of these two phases are 1.2 s^{-1} and 0.13 s^{-1} respectively.

To rule out kinetic contributions of TALEs threading axially onto the ends of short

DNAs, binding kinetics were measured with capped double-helical DNA sites. Capped

DNA was generated by forming 5'digoxygenin-A_5-Cy5-A_{15} duplexed with 5'-digoxygenin-

T_{26} and adding a three-fold molar excess of anti-Digoxygenin. Low and high FRET dwell

time cumulative distributions generated from capped DNA-binding kinetics are bi-

phasic, similar to distributions from uncapped DNA (Figure 3- figure supplement 2). The

DNA concentration-independent rate constant for binding is roughly the same for

capped DNA as for uncapped DNA (compare $FRET_{L \rightarrow H}$ red and blue triangles in Figure

3- figure supplement 2), as are the dissociation rate constants (compare $FRET_{H \rightarrow L}$ red

and blue triangles in as well as $FRET_{H \rightarrow L}$ red and blue circles in Figure 3- figure

supplement 2). The rate constant for bimolecular binding of capped DNA decreases

compared to that for uncapped DNA (compare $FRET_{L \rightarrow H}$ red and blue circles in Figure

3- figure supplement 2), which is consistent with the expected decrease in the rate of

diffusion of the larger capped DNA. To assess the effect of molecular weight increase

on diffusion of capped versus uncapped DNA, Sednterp (edited by S. E. Harding, 1992),

a program commonly used to estimate sedimentation and diffusion properties of

biomolecules, was used to estimate maximum diffusion coefficients. Including the two

antibodies bound on the ends of capped DNA (320 kDa total) gives an estimated

diffusion coefficient of 4.7x10^{-7} cm^{2}s^{-1}, which is much lower than the estimated diffusion

coefficient of the uncapped DNA (1.5 x10^{-6} cm^{2}s^{-1}). This ~3.6-fold decrease in the

diffusion constant for capped DNA is similar to the 6.7-fold decrease in the bimolecular

rate constant for binding of capped DNA (Figure 3- figure supplement 2).

Longer cTALEs have slower DNA binding and unbinding kinetics

To examine how increasing the length of the cTALE array influences DNA

binding, we generated Cy3-labelled constructs with 16 and 12 cTALE repeats, and

measured binding to a longer Cy5-labelled DNA (A_{23}/T_{23}). Because we did not observe

FRET for these longer constructs, a fluorescence colocalization microscopy protocol

was used instead (Figure 4- figure supplement 1). In this protocol, Cy3 was first imaged

for ten camera frames (1017.5 msec total) to identify positions of single TALE

molecules. Then a long time series of fluorescence images of Cy5 signal were collected

through directly exciting Cy5 on the DNA, and time trajectories of Cy5 signal were

generated from the initially identified single TALE positions.

Increasing the number of cTALE repeats from 8 to 12 and 16 dramatically affects

DNA binding kinetics. Long movies collected over a range of DNA concentrations show

short- and long-lived Cy5 signal on and off states, indicating a level of kinetic

heterogeneity similar to NcTALE$_8$ (Figure 4- figure supplement 1). Single molecule

traces were analyzed using a thresholding filter (see Materials and Methods and Figure

4- figure supplement 1) to identify states and dwell times. Cumulative distributions were

generated from dwell times at low Cy5 signal (unbound states, with lifetimes

representing binding kinetics), and at high Cy5 signal (bound states, with dwell times

representing unbinding kinetics). As with the eight repeat constructs, unbound

cumulative distributions for these longer TALE arrays are best-fit by double exponential

decays, particularly at high DNA concentrations (compare the cumulative distribution at

low DNA concentration, Figure 4- figure supplement 2A, to cumulative distribution at 5

nM DNA, Figure 4- figure supplement 2B). Bound cumulative distributions for longer

TALE arrays are best-fit by double exponential decays (Figure 4- figure supplement 2C-

D). All apparent rate constants are much smaller for $NcTALE_{16}$ and $NcTALE_{12}$

(green/black circles and triangles, Figure 4A-B) compared to $NcTALE_8$, indicating that

binding and unbinding is impeded by increasing the length of the binding surface

between cTALEs and their cognate DNA (Figure 4C). To address whether differences in

binding kinetics are related to experimental differences between colocalization and

FRET assays, alternating laser experiments were performed by switching between

FRET and colocalization detection (every 5 frames) within single molecule trajectories

(Figure 3- figure supplement 1). Changes in FRET and colocalization signals occurred

simultaneously according to single molecule time traces, showing that differences in

binding and unbinding kinetics of short and longer cTALEs are not due to differences in

colocalization and FRET assays (Figure 3 - figure supplement 1).

A deterministic approach to modeling cTALE-DNA binding kinetics.

To determine how the kinetic changes above are partitioned into underlying

kinetic steps in binding, we fitted various kinetic models to the cumulative distributions

for binding and unbinding. In addition to providing information about the mechanism of

binding, this approach allows us to estimate the underlying microscopic rate constants

and compare them for different constructs. This approach is generally applicable to

studies of complex single molecule kinetics. Numerical integration was used to calculate

the relative population of cTALE states as a function of time (Figures 5A-C and 5G-H),

given a binding mechanism, an associated set of rate laws, and a set of initial

conditions. Cumulative distributions of unbound dwell times represent the distribution of

times single molecules spent in the unbound state before transitioning into the bound

state, allowing us to split the kinetic scheme when fitting to single-molecule dwell times.

Among the various models tested, the model that is most consistent with the data

has two unbound DNA-free states and two DNA-bound states. This is consistent with

alternating laser experiments showing that DNA is only colocalized when cTALEs are in

the high FRET state (Figure 3 - figure supplement 1). This four-state model includes a

TALE isomerization step in the absence of DNA from a DNA-binding incompetent

conformation (which we refer to as TALE) to DNA-binding competent conformation

(which we refer to as TALE*). The DNA-binding competent TALE* conformer binds and

unbinds DNA (called TALE* when DNA free and TALE*~DNA when DNA-bound).

Before unbinding, a fraction of TALE*~DNA isomerizes to a longer-lived DNA-bound

state called TALE‡~DNA.

Based on this mechanism, the rate laws for binding are given in equations 1a -

1d.

276
$$\frac{d[TALE]}{dt} = -k_1[TALE] + k_{-1}[TALE^*] \qquad (1a)$$

277
$$\frac{d[TALE^*]}{dt} = k_1[TALE] - k_{-1}[TALE^*] - k_2[TALE^*][DNA] \qquad (1b)$$

278
$$\frac{d[TALE^* \sim DNA]}{dt} = k_2[TALE^*][DNA] \qquad (1c)$$

279
$$K_{eq,DNA-free} = \frac{k_1}{k_{-1}} \qquad (1d)$$

Since the single-molecule dwell-time histograms of the unbound states are insensitive

to the isomerization after DNA binding, the equation describing the time evolution of the

long-lived bound state (TALE‡~DNA) is not relevant to our analysis of unbound-state

lifetimes.

To determine microscopic rate constants k_1, k_{-1}, and k_2, equations 1a-1c were

numerically integrated in Matlab, and the fraction of TALE*~DNA as a function of time

was fitted to the low-FRET cumulative distributions (NcTALE$_8$; Figure 5D-E) or to the no

colocalization cumulative distributions (NcTALE$_{16}$; Figure 5J-K). Microscopic rate

constants were adjusted to reduce sum of the squared residuals between the

concentration of TALE*~DNA (the direct product of binding) as a function of time and

single-molecule cumulative distributions. In both cases, cumulative distributions at

different bulk DNA concentrations were fitted globally. Initial fractions of TALE and

TALE*~DNA were set to zero, and the initial fraction of TALE* was set to one.

Confidence intervals (CI) were estimated by bootstrapping (Table 1; mean and 68% CI

from 2000 or 8000 bootstrap iterations).

Rate laws for dissociation are given in equations 2a - 2d

296
$$\frac{d[TALE^* \sim DNA]}{dt} = -k_{-2}[TALE^* \sim DNA] - k_3[TALE^* \sim DNA] + k_{-3}[TALE^{\ddagger} \sim DNA] \quad (2a)$$

297
$$\frac{d[TALE^{\ddagger} \sim DNA]}{dt} = k_3[TALE^* \sim DNA] - k_{-3}[TALE^{\ddagger} \sim DNA] \qquad (2b)$$

298
$$\frac{d[TALE^*]}{dt} = k_{-2}[TALE^* \sim DNA] \qquad (2c)$$

299
$$K_{eq,DNA-bound} = \frac{k_3}{k_{-3}} \qquad (2d)$$

As with the system of equations above (1a-d), the equation describing the time

evolution of the binding-incompetent free state (TALE) is not relevant to our analysis of

bound-state lifetimes.

To determine microscopic rate constants k_{-2}, k_{-3}, and k_3, equations 2a-2c were

numerically integrated in Matlab, and the fraction of TALE* as a function of time was

fitted to the high-FRET cumulative distributions (NcTALE$_8$; Figure 5F) or to the low

colocalization cumulative distributions (NcTALE$_{16}$; Figure 5L). Microscopic rate

constants were adjusted to reduce sum of the squared residuals between the

concentration of TALE* (the direct product of dissociation) as a function of time and

single-molecule cumulative distributions. In both cases, cumulative distributions at

different bulk DNA concentrations were fitted globally. The initial fraction of

TALE*~DNA conformer was set at one; all other initial fractions were set to zero.

Confidence intervals were estimated by bootstrapping (Table 1; mean and 68% CI from

2000 iterations).

Fitted curves reproduce the experimental cumulative distributions for binding and

unbinding (Figure 5), both for the short and long cTALE arrays, with reasonably small

residuals, over a range of DNA concentrations. Generally, fitted rate constants have

confidence intervals of 10% or smaller (Table 1).

Comparison of microscopic rate constants for 8, 12, and 16 repeats show some

significant differences. The bimolecular microscopic binding rate constant, k_2, is slightly

larger for 8 repeats than for 12 and 16 repeats (1.1, 0.31, and 0.39 $nM^{-1}s^{-1}$ for 8, 12, and

16 repeats respectively). However, microscopic unbinding rate constant, k_{-2}, is higher

for 8 repeat cTALEs (0.66 s^{-1} for $NcTALE_8$ versus 0.13 s^{-1} for $NcTALE_{12}$ and 0.299 s^{-1} for

$NcTALE_{16}$). Also, bound state isomerization (interconversion between TALE*~DNA and

$TALE^{\ddagger}$~DNA) is 5-10 times slower for 16 and 12 repeat cTALEs than 8 repeat cTALEs.

The value of $K_{eq, \text{DNA-free}}$, which is a measure of the equilibrium proportion of the

unbound TALE that is DNA-binding competent (TALE*) to that which is binding-

incompetent (TALE), is larger for cTALEs with 8 repeats ($K_{eq, \text{DNA-free}}$ = 1.32) than for

cTALEs with 12 and 16 repeats ($K_{eq, \text{DNA-free}}$ = 0.11 and $K_{eq, \text{DNA-free}}$ = 0.61, respectively).

Discussion

By measuring DNA-binding kinetics of cTALE arrays that form 0.7, 1, and 1.4

superhelical turns, we probe the functional relevance of locally unfolded TALE states.

We describe a novel method to glean mechanistic details from complex single molecule

kinetics. In our simplified cTALE system, we find conformational heterogeneity in both

DNA free and DNA-bound states. We find that association is slowed in arrays

containing one full turn of repeats or more. Because most natural and designed TALEs

contain more than a full turn of repeats, this finding has important implications for design

of high affinity TALE endonucleases (TALEN) molecules, suggesting that placement of

destabilized repeats at specific positions may increase activity.

cTALEs containing NS RVD bind DNA with high affinity

342   NS is an uncommon RVD in natural TALEs. Previous reports suggest that NS is

343   fairly nonspecific, but may bind with higher affinity than other common RVDs (NG, NI,

344   NN, and HD)(Miller et al., 2015). Our fitted rate constants can be used to calculate the

345   apparent K_d (K_{app}) calculated from using equation as follows:

$$K_{app} = \frac{[TALE-DNA+TALE*-DNA]}{[DNA][TALE+TALE*]}$$

346
$$= \frac{K_{eq,DNA-free}K_2 + K_{eq,DNA-free}K_2 K_{eq,DNA-bound}}{1+K_{eq,DNA-free}}$$ (3)

$$= \frac{\dfrac{k_1}{k_{-1}} \times \dfrac{k_2}{k_{-2}}(1+\dfrac{k_3}{k_{-3}})}{1+\dfrac{k_1}{k_{-1}}}$$

where $K_2 = k_2/k_{-2}$.

Using fitted rate constants from Table 1 in the final equality in equation 3 gives values

for K_{app} of 2.5 nM for the 8 repeat cTALE array, 0.5 nM for the 12 repeat cTALE array,

and 1.0 nM for the 16 repeat cTALE array. Increasing the number of repeats has a

modest affect on the apparent K_d due to the increased population of binding

incompetent DNA free TALE in the 12, and 16 repeat arrays. This affinity change is

small compared to a previous report studying length dependence on affinity of designed

TALEs (dTALEs) showing the K_d of a dTALE decreased by a factor of two with the

addition of only 1.5 repeats (Rinaldi et al., 2017).

TALEs are believed to read out sequence information from one strand (Boch et

al., 2009). Due to the asymmetry of our DNA sequences (poly-dA base-paired with

poly-dT), in principle, the FRET efficiency contains information on the binding

orientation (and thus strand preference). However, based on the crystal structure of the

DNA-bound state of TAL-effector PthXo1 (Mak et al., 2012), we estimate that the

distance between the donor site of NcTALE$_8$ (repeat 1) to the 5' acceptor site on the

DNA (Cy5-A$_{15}$/T$_{15}$) should be similar for both the dA-sense or dT-sense orientations

(Figure 4- figure supplement 3A). Thus, the FRET data does not discriminate between

the two modes of binding for the eight-repeat construct. However, for the 16 repeat NS

RVD cTALE arrays, the PthXo1 model suggests very different distances (25 Å versus

73 Å for the dT-sense or dA-sense respectively, Figure 4- figure supplement 3B)

between the donor site (TALE repeat 14) and the acceptor site (5' Cy5-A$_{23}$/T$_{23}$). To

restrict the number of binding positions available to longer cTALE arrays, the 23 base

pair DNA used for NcTALE$_{16}$ measurements (as well as DNA depicted in Figure 4-

figure supplement 3B) has the same number of additional base pairs as repeats (8

additional repeats and 8 additional base pairs) compared to the 15 base pair DNA used

for NcTALE$_8$ measurements (as well as DNA depicted in Figure 4- figure supplement

3A). While we limited the number of available binding positions, it may be possible for

cTALEs to slide along DNA. However, taking into account the four repeat N-terminal

capping domain, there are only three available base pairs in the bound complex. Thus

we don't expect the distance measurements to change by more than 10Å (~3 base

pairs) if sliding occurs. The observation that there is colocalization but no measurable

FRET when NcTALE$_{16}$ is bound to DNA suggests that cTALEs containing the NS RVD

prefer adenine (the dA-sense mode) compared with thymine bases, consistent with

previous reports (Boch et al., 2009).

Conformational heterogeneity in the unbound state may be caused by local

unfolding

The cumulative distributions of dwell-times in Figure 3 provide clear evidence for

conformational heterogeneity in both the free and DNA-bound cTALEs. Although the

deterministic modeling supports such heterogeneity, puts it in the framework of a

molecular model, and provides a means to determine the microscopic rate and

equilibrium constants, such analysis provides little information about the structural

nature of TALE conformational heterogeneity.

Figure 6 shows a model of cTALE conformational change consistent with DNA

binding kinetics. In this model there are four TALE states. DNA-free cTALEs comprise

both incompetent and binding competent states. DNA-bound cTALES comprise

encounter and locked complexes with DNA. For 8 repeat cTALE arrays, the DNA-

binding competent state is more highly populated than the DNA-binding incompetent

state. In this reaction scheme, the DNA-binding incompetent state can be regarded as

an off-pathway conformation that inhibits DNA binding (Figure 6A).

Because the 8 repeat cTALE array does not form multiple turns of a superhelix,

unfolding to bind DNA is not required. In the model in Figure 6, the binding competent

state is the fully folded conformation, whereas the binding incompetent state includes

partly folded conformations. Consistent with this interpretation, increasing populations of

partly folded states through addition of 1M urea and through entropy enhancing

mutations decreases apparent binding rates of 8 repeat cTALEs (Figure 6- figure

supplement 1). This is also consistent with a partly folded DNA-binding incompetent

state in shorter cTALE arrays.

For 12 and 16 repeat cTALE arrays, the DNA-binding incompetent state is more

highly populated than the DNA-binding competent state. In the model in Figure 6, the

DNA-binding competent state is a high-energy conformation required for DNA binding

(Figure 6B-C). Because 12 and 16 repeat cTALEs are expected to form 1 and 1.4 turns

(excluding the N-terminal domain), we hypothesize that the binding competent state

includes some partly folded states that allow access to DNA. Not all partly folded states

open the array to access DNA; therefore, the binding incompetent state includes some

nonproductive partly folded states in addition to the fully folded state.

In arrays containing 12 or more cTALEs, the binding competent and binding

incompetent states likely include mixtures of many specific partly folded states. Because

the types of partly folded states are unknown, connecting equilibria between binding

competent and binding incompetent states to calculated partly folded equilibria (using

folding free energies similar to Figure 1) is challenging. Future work towards

understanding the structural characteristics of the binding competent state in TALE

arrays of one or more turns would inform which partly folded states to include in the

calculation, making this comparison meaningful. A better structural understanding of the

DNA binding competent state will also allow an opportunity for precise placement of

destabilized repeats in designed TALEN arrays which may enable more precise gene

editing methodologies in both clinical and basic research applications.

TALE functional instability presents a new mode of transcription factor binding

Here we demonstrate kinetic heterogeneity in DNA-bound and unbound TALE

arrays, and we subsequently link the observed heterogeneity to partial unfolding of

TALE arrays. We propose a model where binding requires partial unfolding of TALE

arrays longer than one superhelical turn providing a functional role for previously

observed moderate stability of TALE arrays. The functional instability described is

particularly surprising given the small population of partly folded states which we expect

to be DNA binding competent (partly folded states similar to internally unfolded and

interfacially fractured states depicted in Figure 1A). Discovery of a functional role for the

observed conformational heterogeneity is even more surprising, given the sequence

identity of each of our repeats. Sequence heterogeneity in naturally occurring TALE

arrays may further enable access to partly folded binding-competent states.

While it is well understood that many transcription factors sometimes undergo

local folding transition upon DNA binding (Spolar and Record, 1994; Tsafou et al.,

2018), the findings here indicate that for TALE arrays, the major conformer is fully

folded, and must undergo a local unfolding transition in order to bind DNA. Taken

together, these findings suggest a new mode of transcription factor binding and provide

compelling evidence for functional instability in TALE arrays.

Conformational heterogeneity in the bound state

Previous reports show that TALEs have multiple diffusional modes when

searching nonspecific DNA (Cuculis et al., 2015). Our work suggests that cTALEs have

multiple binding modes (encounter and locked states in Figure 6) indicating cTALEs

undergo a conformational change also when bound to specific DNA sequences. Table 1

shows that microscopic rate constants for transition into and out of longer lived locked

bound states become much slower in 12 and 16 repeat cTALEs compared with 8 repeat

cTALEs (k_{-3} and k_3). These rate constants decrease much more than the microscopic

unbinding rate constant (the k_{-2} values are 0.66 s^{-1}, 0.13 s^{-1}, and 0.299 s^{-1} for NcTALE$_8$,

NcTALE$_{12}$, and NcTALE$_{16}$ respectively) suggesting a large conformational change that

depends on the number of repeats. Although the model does not provide information on

structure of this conformational change, it is possible this conformational change

involves a slinky motion to decrease helical rise. Consistent with this hypothesis, crystal

structures of TALEs in the free and DNA-bound state show 11.5 repeats per turn in both

states, but the helical rise decreases upon binding (Deng et al., 2012). Another

possibility involves specific interaction with RVDs and bases in the major groove of

DNA. Crystal structures show little deformation of DNA structure, so bending of DNA

seems unlikely. While we can only hypothesize about the structural nature of the

conformational changes, deterministic simulations show that cTALEs bind DNA through

short encounters which occasionally become long-lived locked conformations (Figure

6). Taken together, these findings indicate that functional instability plays a crucial role

in cTALE DNA binding, and demonstrate the importance of conformational dynamics in

complex assembly.

Materials and Methods

Cloning, expression, purification, and labeling

Consensus TALE repeat constructs were cloned with C-terminal His_6 tags via an

in-house version of Golden Gate cloning (Cermak et al., 2011). TALE constructs were

grown in BL21(T1R) cells at 37°C to an OD of 0.6-0.8 and induced with 1 mM IPTG.

Following cell pelleting and lysis, proteins were purified by resuspending the insoluble

material in 6M urea, 300 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP, and 10 mM $NaPO_4$ pH 7.4.

Constructs were loaded onto a Ni-NTA column. Protein was eluted using 250 mM

imidazole and refolded during buffer exchange into 300 mM NaCl, 30% glycerol, 0.5

mM TCEP, and 10 mM $NaPO_4$ pH 7.4.

Labelling of cTALE arrays followed a previously reported protocol (Rasnik et al.,

2004). $NcTALE_8$ and $NcTALE_{12}$ were labeled at residue R30C in the first repeat, while

$NcTALE_{16}$ was labeled at residue R30C in the fourteenth repeat. 1 mg protein was

loaded onto 500 uL NiNTA spin column. The column as washed with 10 column

volumes of 300 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP, and 10 mM $NaPO_4$ pH 7.4. Tenfold molar

excess Cy3 maleimide dye was resuspended in 10 μL DMSO and added to column.

The column was rocked at room temperature for 30 minutes, then at 4°C overnight.

Cy3-labeled protein was eluted with 250 mM imidazole, 300 mM NaCl, 30% glycerol,

0.5 mM TCEP, and 10 mM $NaPO_4$ pH 7.4. Protein was stored in 300 mM NaCl, 30%

glycerol, 0.5 mM TCEP, and 10 mM $NaPO_4$ pH 7.4 at -80°C.

Oligonucleotides

Sequences used for binding studies were 5'-Cy5-A_{15}-3' and 5' T_{15}-3' duplex

(Cy5-A_{15}/T_{15}) for 8 repeat binding studies, and 5'-Cy5-A_{23}-3' and 5' T_{23}-3' duplex (Cy5-

A_{23}/T_{23}) for 12 and 16 repeat binding studies. DNA was annealed at 5 μM concentration

with 1.2-fold molar excess unlabeled strand in 10 mM Tris pH 7.0, 30 mM NaCl.

Single-molecule detection and data analysis

Biotinylated quarts slides and glass coverslips were prepared as previously

described (Rasnik et al., 2004). Cy3-labeled cTALEs were immobilized on biotinylated

slides taking advantage of neutravidin interaction with biotinylated α-penta•His antibody

which binds the His_6 cTALE tag. Slides were pretreated with blocking buffer (5 μL yeast

tRNA, 5 μL BSA, 40 μL T50) before addition of 250 pM labeled cTALE. Cy5-labeled

duplex DNA was mixed with imaging buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 200 mM KCl, 0.5 mg

mL^{-1} BSA, 1 mg mL^{-1} glucose oxidase, 0.004 mg mL^{-1} catalase, 0.8% dextrose and

saturated Trolox ~1mg mL^{-1}) and molecules were imagined using total internal reflection

fluorescence microscopy. The time resolution was 50 msec for $NcTALE_8$ and 100 msec

for $NcTALE_{16}$ and $NcTALE_{12}$. Collection and analysis was performed as previously

described (Roy et al., 2008).

FRET histograms

A minimum of 20 short movies were collected, and the first 5 frames (50 msec

exposure time) were used to generate smFRET histograms. FRET was calculated as

$I_A/(I_A+I_D)$ where I_A and I_D are donor-leakage and background corrected fluorescence

emission of acceptor (Cy5) and donor (Cy3) fluorophores. In competition experiments,

unlabeled DNA with the same sequence as labeled DNA was mixed at indicated

concentrations with labeled DNA prior to imaging.

Dwell time analysis

Long movies were collected with 50 msec exposure time for $NcTALE_8$ and 100

msec exposure time for $NcTALE_{16}$ and $NcTALE_{16}$. At least 20 representative traces at

each DNA concentration were selected and dwell times were determined by fitting as

previously described using HaMMy (McKinney et al., 2006) for FRET in $NcTALE_8$. Dwell

times in $NcTALE_{12}$ and $NcTALE_{16}$ colocalization experiments are determined by using a

thresholding procedure for Cy5 excitation (Figure 4- figure supplement 1). The algorithm

used to identify low and high emission states here is slightly different than previously

described thresholding algorithms (Blanco and Walter, 2010). To reduce the number of

incorrectly identified transitions arising from increased background and noise at higher

Cy5-labeled DNA concentrations, a thresholding algorithm with two limits was

implemented (see Figure 4- figure supplement 1). All FRET and colocalization data are

well described by models with two distinct states (0.0 FRET and ~0.45 FRET as well as

low colocalization and high colocalization). Dwell times of the same state (low versus

high FRET or low versus high colocalization) for all traces at a given DNA concentration

are compiled, and cumulative distribution is generated with spacing equal to imaging

exposure time.

To determine apparent rate constants using model-independent analysis,

cumulative distributions were fitted with single and double exponential decays (Figure 3

and 4). Observed rates from exponential decay fits were plotted as a function of DNA

concentration. Apparent rate constants were calculated as slope of DNA concentration-

dependent observed rates or average of DNA concentration-independent observed

rates.

Deterministic modeling

Equations 1a-1c and 2a-2c were numerically integrated using the ODE15s and ODE45

solver in MATLAB. Microscopic rate constants were adjusted to minimize the sum of

squared residuals between ODE-determined concentration of bound or free TALE and

single molecule cumulative distributions using lsqnonlin in MATLAB. 68% confidence

intervals were estimated by performing 2000 or 8000 bootstrap iterations in which

residuals from the best fit of the model to the data were randomly re-sampled (with

replacement) and re-fitted. All scripts and source data required to run this MATLAB

program called **De**terminstic **M**odeling for **A**nalysis of complex **S**ingle molecule **K**inetics

(DeMASK) are publicly available on GitHub at https://github.com/kgeigers/DeMASK.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank members of the Barrick and Ha lab for their input on this work.

The authors acknowledge the support of the Center for Molecular Biophysics at Johns

Hopkins and Dr. Katherine Tripp for instrumental and technical support. Support to

KGS was provided by NIH training grant T32-GM008403. Support for this project was

provided by NIH grant 1R01-GM068462 to DB and GM112659 to TH.

References

References

Aksel, T., Majumdar, A., Barrick, D., 2011. The contribution of entropy, enthalpy, and
hydrophobic desolvation to cooperativity in repeat-protein folding. Struct. Lond.
Engl. 1993 19, 349–360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2010.12.018
Blanco, M., Walter, N., 2010. Analysis of Complex Single Molecule FRET Time
Trajectories. Methods Enzymol. 472, 153–178. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-
6879(10)72011-5
Boch, J., Bonas, U., 2010. Xanthomonas AvrBs3 family-type III effectors: discovery and
function. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 48, 419–436. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-
phyto-080508-081936
Boch, J., Scholze, H., Schornack, S., Landgraf, A., Hahn, S., Kay, S., Lahaye, T.,
Nickstadt, A., Bonas, U., 2009. Breaking the code of DNA binding specificity of
TAL-type III effectors. Science 326, 1509–1512.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1178811
Cermak, T., Doyle, E.L., Christian, M., Wang, L., Zhang, Y., Schmidt, C., Baller, J.A.,
Somia, N.V., Bogdanove, A.J., Voytas, D.F., 2011. Efficient design and assembly
of custom TALEN and other TAL effector-based constructs for DNA targeting.
Nucleic Acids Res. 39, e82. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr218
Christian, M., Cermak, T., Doyle, E.L., Schmidt, C., Zhang, F., Hummel, A., Bogdanove,
A.J., Voytas, D.F., 2010. Targeting DNA Double-Strand Breaks with TAL Effector
Nucleases. Genetics 186, 757–761. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.110.120717
Cong, L., Zhou, R., Kuo, Y.-C., Cunniff, M., Zhang, F., 2012. Comprehensive
interrogation of natural TALE DNA-binding modules and transcriptional repressor
domains. Nat. Commun. 3, 968. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1962
Cuculis, L., Abil, Z., Zhao, H., Schroeder, C.M., 2015. Direct observation of TALE
protein dynamics reveals a two-state search mechanism. Nat. Commun. 6, 7277.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8277
Deng, D., Yan, C., Pan, X., Mahfouz, M., Wang, J., Zhu, J.-K., Shi, Y., Yan, N., 2012.
Structural basis for sequence-specific recognition of DNA by TAL effectors.
Science 335, 720–723. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1215670
edited by S. E. Harding, A.J.R., 1992. Analytical ultracentrifugation in biochemistry and
polymer science. Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge [England].
Gao, H., Wu, X., Chai, J., Han, Z., 2012. Crystal structure of a TALE protein reveals an
extended N-terminal DNA binding region. Cell Res. 22, 1716–1720.
https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2012.156
Geiger-Schuller, K., Barrick, D., 2016. Broken TALEs: Transcription Activator-like
Effectors Populate Partly Folded States. Biophys. J. 111, 2395–2403.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2016.10.013
Geiger-Schuller, K., Sforza, K., Yuhas, M., Parmeggiani, F., Baker, D., Barrick, D.,
2018. Extreme stability in de novo-designed repeat arrays is determined by
unusually stable short-range interactions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 201800283.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1800283115

Geissler, R., Scholze, H., Hahn, S., Streubel, J., Bonas, U., Behrens, S.-E., Boch, J.,
2011. Transcriptional activators of human genes with programmable DNA-
specificity. PloS One 6, e19509. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019509
Kay, S., Hahn, S., Marois, E., Hause, G., Bonas, U., 2007. A bacterial effector acts as a
plant transcription factor and induces a cell size regulator. Science 318, 648–
651. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1144956
Li, T., Huang, S., Jiang, W.Z., Wright, D., Spalding, M.H., Weeks, D.P., Yang, B., 2011.
TAL nucleases (TALNs): hybrid proteins composed of TAL effectors and FokI
DNA-cleavage domain. Nucleic Acids Res. 39, 359–372.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq704
Li, Y., Moore, R., Guinn, M., Bleris, L., 2012. Transcription activator-like effector hybrids
for conditional control and rewiring of chromosomal transgene expression. Sci.
Rep. 2, 897. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep00897
Ma, H., Reyes-Gutierrez, P., Pederson, T., 2013. Visualization of repetitive DNA
sequences in human chromosomes with transcription activator-like effectors.
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110, 21048–21053.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319097110
Maeder, M.L., Angstman, J.F., Richardson, M.E., Linder, S.J., Cascio, V.M., Tsai, S.Q.,
Ho, Q.H., Sander, J.D., Reyon, D., Bernstein, B.E., Costello, J.F., Wilkinson,
M.F., Joung, J.K., 2013. Targeted DNA demethylation and activation of
endogenous genes using programmable TALE-TET1 fusion proteins. Nat.
Biotechnol. 31, 1137–1142. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2726
Mahfouz, M.M., Li, L., Piatek, M., Fang, X., Mansour, H., Bangarusamy, D.K., Zhu, J.-
K., 2012. Targeted transcriptional repression using a chimeric TALE-SRDX
repressor protein. Plant Mol. Biol. 78, 311–321. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-
011-9866-x
Mak, A.N.-S., Bradley, P., Cernadas, R.A., Bogdanove, A.J., Stoddard, B.L., 2012. The
crystal structure of TAL effector PthXo1 bound to its DNA target. Science 335,
716–719. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1216211
McKinney, S.A., Joo, C., Ha, T., 2006. Analysis of single-molecule FRET trajectories
using hidden Markov modeling. Biophys. J. 91, 1941–1951.
https://doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.106.082487
Miller, J.C., Zhang, L., Xia, D.F., Campo, J.J., Ankoudinova, I.V., Guschin, D.Y.,
Babiarz, J.E., Meng, X., Hinkley, S.J., Lam, S.C., Paschon, D.E., Vincent, A.I.,
Dulay, G.P., Barlow, K.A., Shivak, D.A., Leung, E., Kim, J.D., Amora, R., Urnov,
F.D., Gregory, P.D., Rebar, E.J., 2015. Improved specificity of TALE-based
genome editing using an expanded RVD repertoire. Nat. Methods 12, 465–471.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3330
Miyanari, Y., Ziegler-Birling, C., Torres-Padilla, M.-E., 2013. Live visualization of
chromatin dynamics with fluorescent TALEs. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 20, 1321–
1324. https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2680
Moscou, M.J., Bogdanove, A.J., 2009. A simple cipher governs DNA recognition by TAL
effectors. Science 326, 1501. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1178817

Newville, M., Stensitzki, T., Allen, D.B., Ingargiola, A., 2014. LMFIT: Non-Linear Least-
640   Square Minimization and Curve-Fitting for Python¶. Zenodo.
641   https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11813
642   O'Donnell, M., Kuriyan, J., 2006. Clamp loaders and replication initiation. Curr. Opin.
643   Struct. Biol. 16, 35–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2005.12.004
644   Rasnik, I., Myong, S., Cheng, W., Lohman, T.M., Ha, T., 2004. DNA-binding Orientation
645   and Domain Conformation of the E.coli Rep Helicase Monomer Bound to a
646   Partial Duplex Junction: Single-molecule Studies of Fluorescently Labeled
647   Enzymes. J. Mol. Biol. 336, 395–408. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2003.12.031
648   Rinaldi, F.C., Doyle, L.A., Stoddard, B.L., Bogdanove, A.J., 2017. The effect of
649   increasing numbers of repeats on TAL effector DNA binding specificity. Nucleic
650   Acids Res. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx342
651   Römer, P., Hahn, S., Jordan, T., Strauss, T., Bonas, U., Lahaye, T., 2007. Plant
652   pathogen recognition mediated by promoter activation of the pepper Bs3
653   resistance gene. Science 318, 645–648. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1144958
654   Roy, R., Hohng, S., Ha, T., 2008. A practical guide to single-molecule FRET. Nat.
655   Methods 5, 507–516. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1208
656   Spolar, R.S., Record, M.T., 1994. Coupling of local folding to site-specific binding of
657   proteins to DNA. Science 263, 777–784.
658   Tsafou, K., Tiwari, P.B., Forman-Kay, J.D., Metallo, S.J., Toretsky, J.A., 2018. Targeting
659   Intrinsically Disordered Transcription Factors: Changing the Paradigm. J. Mol.
660   Biol., Intrinsically Disordered Proteins 430, 2321–2341.
661   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2018.04.008
662   Zhang, F., Cong, L., Lodato, S., Kosuri, S., Church, G.M., Arlotta, P., 2011. Efficient
663   construction of sequence-specific TAL effectors for modulating mammalian
664   transcription. Nat. Biotechnol. 29, 149–153. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1775
665

Figure 1. cTALEs populate partly folded states. (A) Cartoon of different partly folded

TALE conformational states. End-frayed states have one (or more) terminal repeats

unfolded. Internally unfolded states have a central repeat unfolded. Interfacially

fractured states have a disrupted interface between adjacent repeats. (B) Free energies

of partly folded states, calculated from previously published measurements and analysis

(Geiger-Schuller and Barrick, 2016), relative to the fully folded state, for consensus

TALE repeats with the NS repeat-variable diresidue sequence (cTALE(NS), red),

consensus TALE repeats with the HD repeat-variable diresidue sequence (cTALE(HD),

blue), and consensus ankyrin repeats (cAnk, black).

Figure 2. cTALEs bind dsDNA reversibly. (A) Schematic of single-molecule FRET

assay, with donor-labelled cTALE attached to a surface, and acceptor-labelled DNA free

in solution. (B-D) Single molecule FRET histograms show the appearance of a peak at

a FRET efficiency of 0.45 with increasing labelled DNA, consistent with a DNA-bound

cTALE. (E) Schematic of single-molecule FRET competition assay, with donor-labelled

cTALE attached to a surface, and acceptor-labelled DNA as well as competitor

unlabeled DNA free in solution. (F-H) Single molecule FRET histograms show the

disappearance of the peak at 0.45 FRET efficiency with increasing unlabeled competitor

DNA. Conditions: 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 200 mM KCl.

Figure 3. Single Molecule (SM) kinetics show multiple phases in binding and

unbinding kinetics. (A-B) Long time trajectories showing transitions between low- and

high-FRET states (efficiencies of 0 and 0.45). The top panel shows calculated FRET

efficiency in blue and two-state Hidden Markov Model fit in green (McKinney et al.,

2006). The bottom panels show Cy3 and Cy5 fluorescence emission in green and red

respectively. At low DNA concentration (A), the low FRET state predominates. As DNA

concentration is increased (B), more time is spent in the high FRET state, because the

dwell times in the low FRET state are shorter. At low DNA concentrations, there

appears to be long- and short-lived high-FRET states. Likewise, at near-saturating DNA

concentrations, there appear to be long and short-lived low FRET states. (C, D)

Cumulative distributions of low- and high-FRET dwell times (blue circles). Fits to single-

exponentials (black) show large nonrandom residuals (lower panels), consistent with the

heterogeneity noted in (A) and (B). Double-exponentials (red) give smaller, more

uniform residuals. (E) Apparent association rate constants as a function of DNA

concentration. The apparent rate constants for the fast phase depend on DNA

concentration (blue circles), indicating a bimolecular step binding event. The apparent

rate constants for the slow phase do not depend on DNA-concentration (blue triangles),

suggesting an isomerization event. (F) Apparent dissociation rate constants as a

function of DNA concentration (phase 1 shown in blue circles, and phase 2 shown in

blue triangles). Neither phase shows a DNA concentration dependence, indicating a

dissociation and/or isomerization events. 67.4% confidence intervals are estimated

using the conf_interval function of lmfit by performing F-tests (Newville et al., 2014).

Conditions: 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 200 mM KCl.

Figure supplement 1. Alternating laser experiments show agreement between $cTALE_8$

FRET and colocalization kinetics.

Figure supplement 2. cTALEs do not slide onto ends of short dsDNA.

Source data 1. List of values used to construct long time trajectories.

Source data 2. List of values used to construct CDFs.

Figure 4. A 16-repeat TALE protein binds and unbinds DNA more slowly than an

eight repeat protein. (A) Apparent association rate constants as a function of DNA

concentration for an 8 repeat cTALE (blue), a 12 repeat cTALE (black), and a 16 repeat

cTALE (green). 8 repeat TALE kinetics are measured by FRET ($FRET_{L \to H}$) while 12 and

16 repeat TALE kinetics are measured by colocalization ($E_{L \to H}$). The apparent rate

constants for the fast phase of binding are DNA concentration dependent (blue, black,

and green circles), indicating a bimolecular binding event. The DNA concentration-

dependence is greatest (larger slope) for the 8 repeat cTALE. The apparent rate

constants for the slow phase do not depend on DNA-concentration (blue, black, and

green triangles), suggesting an isomerization event. (B) Apparent dissociation rate

constants as a function of DNA concentration (phase 1 shown in circles, and phase 2

shown in triangles). Neither phase shows a DNA concentration dependence, indicating

a dissociation and/or isomerization events. Rate constants for all phases are slower for

the 12-repeat construct (black) and 16-repeat construct (green) than for the 8-repeat

construct (blue), particularly for the bimolecular binding step. (C) Log_{10} of rate constants

for 8 (blue), 12 (black), and 16(green) repeat cTALEs. Units of the bimolecular binding

rate constant are $nM^{-1}s^{-1}$, other unimolecular rate constants have units s^{-1}. 67.4%

confidence intervals are estimated using the conf_interval function of lmfit by performing

F-tests (Newville et al., 2014). Conditions: 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 200 mM KCl.

Figure supplement 1. Colocalization trajectories show TALE-DNA binding and

unbinding events.

Figure supplement 2. Bound and unbound lifetimes of 16- and 12-repeat TALE

proteins are consistent with multiphasic binding and unbinding.

Figure supplement 3. Distance estimates between labeling sites for $NcTALE_8$ and

$NcTALE_{16}$ and the 5' ends of bound DNA.

Figure 5. Deterministic simulations provide evidence for conformational

heterogeneity in the unbound state. The model most consistent with data is shown at

the top. Unbound TALEs can exist in DNA-binding competent (TALE*) or DNA-binding

incompetent (TALE) states. DNA-bound TALEs can exist in short-lived (TALE*~DNA) or

long-lived (TALE‡~DNA) DNA-bound states. Cumulative distributions of dwell-times

(shown as blue points) from 8 repeat single-molecule time trajectories (A-F) and 16

repeat single-molecule time trajectories (G-L) were analyzed with the model (best-fit

shown in black). (A-C and G-I) Populations of states as a function of time, generated by

numerical integration in Matlab. (D-F and J-L) Cumulative distributions in blue circles

and best fit lines are shown in black. Best-fit microscopic rate constants and 68%

confidence intervals are listed in Table 1.

Figure 6. TALEs with multiple superhelical turns must break to bind DNA. Single-

molecule FRET studies and deterministic modeling support a model where TALEs exist

in four states: binding incompetent, binding competent, encounter complex, and locked.

In this model, for TALEs that form less than one full superhelical turn (8 repeats, A),

partly folded states are off-pathway and slow down binding. For longer TALEs that form

one (12, B) or more (16, C) complete superhelical turns, partial unfolding is required for

binding. DNA-bound TALEs form both encounter complexes and higher-affinity locked

conformations. Dynamics of long (12 and 16-repeat; B-C) TALEs bound to DNA are

significantly slower than for the shorter (8-repeat; A) TALE.

Figure supplement 1. Urea and destabilizing mutations decrease apparent binding rate

of cTALE$_8$.

Table 1. Kinetic parameters obtained from deterministic simulation fits.

	k_1 (sec^{-1})	k_{-1} (sec^{-1})	$K_{eq, DNA-free}$	k_2(sec^{-1}nM^{-1})	k_{-2} (sec^{-1})	k_3 (sec^{-1})	k_{-3} (sec^{-1})	$K_{eq, DNA-bound}$ (nM^{-1})
NcTALE$_8$[a]	0.17 [0.16, 0.18]	0.13 [0.12, 0.14]	1.32 [1.26, 1.39]	1.1 [1.08, 1.12]	0.66 [0.65, 0.67]	0.36 [0.35, 0.37]	0.222 [0.218, 0.227]	1.62 [1.58, 1.66]
NcTALE$_{12}$[b]	0.135 [0.133, 0.137]	1.26 [1.09, 1.34]	0.11 [0.10, 0.12]	0.31 [0.28, 0.33]	0.130 [0.129, 0.131]	0.043 [0.042, 0.044]	0.0435 [0.0428, 0.0442]	0.99 [0.97, 1.00]
NcTALE$_{16}$[a]	0.26 [0.25, 0.27]	0.43 [0.39, 0.47]	0.61 [0.57, 0.64]	0.39 [0.38, 0.41]	0.299 [0.298, 0.300]	0.074 [0.073, 0.076]	0.078 [0.076, 0.079]	0.96 [0.95, 0.97]

68% confidence intervals shown in brackets are from 2,000[a] and 8,000[b] iterations of bootstrap analysis.

 Supplemental Material

Figure 3- figure supplement 1. Alternating laser experiments show agreement

between cTALE$_8$ FRET and colocalization kinetics. (A-C) Three representative time

trajectories. In these trajectories, excitation alternated between red and green with 250

msec at each color. Top panels show detection of red and green light resulting from

green laser excitation. High red (and low green) fluorescence result from FRET. Lower

panels show detection of red light resulting from red laser excitation. There is strong

780   correlation between periods of high FRET in the upper panels (high red fluorescence,

781   low green fluorescence) and periods of high red fluorescence (from DNA binding) in the

782   lower panel. Conditions: 200 mM KCl, 20 mM Tris pH 8.0.

Figure 3 – figure supplement 2. cTALEs do not slide onto ends of short dsDNA.

Apparent association and dissociation rate constants as a function of DNA

concentration for an 8 repeat cTALE array (NcTALE$_8$) binding to uncapped (blue) and

capped (red) DNA, measured by single molecule FRET dwell time analysis. (A) Rate

constants for conversion from the low to the high FRET state (FRET$_{L\rightarrow H}$). Rate

constants for the faster phase increase with DNA concentration (circles), indicating a

bimolecular event. The DNA concentration-dependence is stronger (larger slope, k=0.59

$\pm$ 0.08 nM^{-1} sec^{-1}) with uncapped DNA than with capped DNA (k=0.09 $\pm$ 0.05 nM^{-1} sec^{-}

^{1}), which is likely a result of the faster diffusion of small, uncapped DNA (10 kDa)

compared to large, capped DNA (320 kDa). Rate constants for the slower phase are not

DNA-concentration dependent (triangles). (B) Rate constants for conversion from the

high to the low FRET state (FRET$_{H\rightarrow L}$). Neither phase shows a DNA concentration

dependent, indicating unimolecular steps. Conditions: 200 mM KCl, 20 mM Tris pH 8.0.

Figure 4- figure supplement 1. Colocalization trajectories show TALE-DNA

binding and unbinding events. (A-C) One representative time trajectory and

colocalization analysis for a sixteen repeat TALE, NcTALE$_{16}$, incubated with 1 nM Cy5-

A$_{15}$/T$_{15}$. In the colocalization protocol, both the green and red lasers were used in the

first ten frames (not shown) to identify single molecule locations. For all subsequent

frames, Cy5-labeled DNA was continuously excited with the red laser. Red light

emission images (from Cy5) were collected with time steps of 100 msec. Trajectories

were generated from sites of red/green colocalization in the first ten frames, and were

corrected for background Cy5 emission (red trajectories above). For each trajectory, we

set two thresholds for low and high Cy5 emission (C). A molecule is designated to be in

the high emission (DNA-bound) state above the high threshold, and in the low emission

state below the low threshold. From this state-assignment procedure, dwell-times were

812   determined in the high (bound) and low (unbound) states (C). Conditions: 200 mM KCl,

813   20 mM Tris pH 8.0.

Figure 4- figure supplement 2. Bound and unbound lifetimes of 16- and 12-repeat

TALE proteins are consistent with multiphasic binding and unbinding. (A, B)

Cumulative distributions of low-FRET dwell times (blue circles) for a 16-repeat construct

at low and high DNA concentration. Fits to single-exponentials (black) show large

nonrandom residuals (lower panels) which are most pronounced at high DNA

concentrations, consistent with the binding heterogeneity observed in eight repeat

cTALEs (Figure 3). Double-exponentials (red) give smaller, more uniform residuals. (C,

D) Cumulative distributions of high-FRET dwell times (blue circles) for 16- and 12-

824   repeat constructs at high DNA concentration. Fits to single-exponentials (black) show

825   large nonrandom residuals (lower panels), consistent with the dissociation

826   heterogeneity observed in eight repeat cTALEs. Double-exponentials (red) give smaller,

827   more uniform residuals.

Figure 4- figure supplement 3. Distance estimates between labeling sites for

NcTALE$_8$ and NcTALE$_{16}$ and the 5' ends of bound DNA. The crystal structure of

PthXo1 (PDB: 3UGM) (Mak et al., 2012) in complex with DNA (colored by repeat) as a

model of donor-acceptor fluorophore distances . (A) The distance from C30 in the first

repeat (the site of labelling in NcTALE$_8$) to the 5' base of the sense strand (light grey) is

38.3Å; the distance to the 5' base of the antisense strand (dark grey) is 37.4Å. (B) The

distance from C30 in the fourteenth repeat (the site of labelling in NcTALE$_{16}$) to the 5'

base of the sense strand (light grey) is 72.9Å; the distance to the 5' base of the

antisense strand (dark grey) is 25.1Å. Note that although there is likely to be some

variation these distances since the DNAs used here are longer (15 and 23 bases) than

the TALE arrays (8 and 16 repeats, each with a four-repeat N-capping domain), the

differences in donor-acceptor distances for the 16 repeat construct (B) are likely to be

robust to registry shifts of a few bases.

Figure 6- figure supplement 3 1. Urea and destabilizing mutations decrease

apparent binding rate of cTALE$_8$. (A) Apparent association rate constants as a

function of DNA concentration for an 8 repeat cTALE in 0 M urea (blue), 1 M urea

(pink), and with destabilizing point mutations (yellow; mutations substitute leucine at

position 1 in the fourth repeat with a glycine). The DNA concentration dependence is

strongest (steepest slope) in the absence of urea and destabilizing point substitutions

(circles). Rates in the slower phase (triangles) appear unaffected by urea or mutational

destabilization. (B) Apparent dissociation rate constants as a function of DNA

concentration (phase 1 shown in circles, and phase 2 shown in triangles). Rates in the

both phases appear unaffected by urea and mutational destabilization. Conditions: 200

mM KCl, 20 mM Tris pH 8.0.

Source Data

Figure 3- source data 1. List of values used to construct long time trajectories.

Long time trajectories showing transitions between low- and high-FRET states

(efficiencies of 0 and 0.45) for 1 nM dsDNA (source data 1A) and 15 nM dsDNA (source

data 1B) depicted in Figure 3A-B.

Figure 3- source data 2. List of values used to construct CDFs. Cumulative

distributions of low-FRET (source data 2A) and high-FRET (source data 2B) dwell times

depicted in Figure 3C-D.