1	
2	
3	
4	Effect of fosfomycin, Cynara scolymus extract, deoxynivalenol and their
5	combinations on intestinal health of weaned piglets
6	
7	Guadalupe Martínez <sup>1,2,3</sup> ¶*, Susana N. Diéguez <sup>1,2,4</sup> ¶, María B. Fernández Paggi <sup>1,2,5</sup> , María
7 8	B. Riccio <sup>1</sup> ; Denisa S. Pérez Gaudio <sup>1,2,3</sup> , Julieta M. Decundo <sup>1,2,3</sup> , Agustina Romanelli <sup>1,2,3</sup> ,
9	Fabián A. Amanto <sup>5</sup> , María O. Tapia <sup>1,2,3</sup> ; Alejandro L. Soraci <sup>1,2,3</sup> ¶*
10	
11	<sup>1</sup> Área Toxicología, Departamento de Fisiopatología, Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias,
12	Universidad Nacional del Centro de la Provincia de Buenos Aires, Tandil, Buenos
13	Aires, Argentina.
14	<sup>2</sup> Centro de Investigación Veterinaria de Tandil (CIVETAN), UNCPBA-CICPBA-
15	CONICET, Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, Campus Universitario, Tandil, Buenos
16	Aires, Argentina.
17	<sup>3</sup> Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas-CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina
18	<sup>4</sup> Comisión de Investigaciones Científicas de la Provincia de Buenos Aires (CIC-PBA),
19	Buenos Aires, Argentina.
20	<sup>5</sup> Área Producción Porcina, Departamento de Producción Animal, Facultad de Ciencias
21	Veterinarias, Universidad Nacional del Centro de la Provincia de Buenos Aires, Tandil,
22	Buenos Aires, Argentina.
23	
24	*Corresponding author
25	E-mail: guadam@vet.unicen.edu.ar
26	
27	<sup>¶</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.

### 28 Abstract

29 Intestinal health of weaning piglets was studied after oral treatments with fosfomycin (FOS), Cynara scolymus extract (CSE), deoxynivalenol (DON) and their 30 combinations. Piglets were divided in groups and received different treatments during 31 15 days, namely DON (1mg/kg of feed), FOS administered into the drinking water (30 32 mg/kg b.w.), CSE (300 g/ton of feed) and all possible combinations including a control 33 group that received clean balanced diet. At day 15, three piglets from each group were 34 euthanized and gastrointestinal tract samples were immediately taken to evaluate pH, 35 bacteriology (enterobacteria and lactic acid bacteria), volatile fatty acids concentration 36 (VFAs), disaccharidases activity (lactase, sucrase and maltase), histology (intestinal 37 absorptive area [IAA] and goblet cells count) and adherence of bacteria to intestinal 38 mucus. Animals receiving FOS and CSE treatments exhibited evident beneficial 39 intestinal effects compared to animals receiving diets free from these compounds. This 40 41 was revealed by a lower enterobacteria population together with a lower E/L, an 42 enhanced production of butyric acid, an increased enzymatic activity (particularly maltase), and a greater IAA and goblet cells count along with an increase in pathogenic 43 44 bacteria adherence to intestinal mucus. Interactions between both treatments resulted in similar beneficial effects as their individual administration. On the contrary, DON 45 produced detrimental effects on intestinal health as a decrease was observed on volatile 46 fatty acids production, enzymatic activity and goblet cells count in animals receiving 47 diets containing sub- toxic concentrations of this mycotoxin. The knowledge of the 48 intestinal effects of these compounds contributes to understand the physiological and 49 pathological gut changes and their potential productive consequences. 50

## 52 Introduction

53 Weaning is considered one of the most critical periods of pig production because of its highly negative impact on health and productive performance of piglets, mainly in 54 55 the first post-weaning days. During this period, the animals are exposed to physiological, immunological, microbiological, social, environmental and nutritional 56 factors that lead to post-weaning stress (1-3). In order to overcome this situation a 57 common, though not rational practice, has been the prophylactic use of antibiotics in 58 intensive pig production. Fosfomycin ((cis 1-2 epoxy propyl) phosphonic acid, FOS) is 59 a broad spectrum bactericide antibiotic, widely used in pig farms in Central and South 60 America, South Africa and Southeast Asia. At weaning FOS is indicated for the 61 treatment of several bacterial infections (Haemophilus parasuis, Streptococcus suis, 62 Pasteurella multocida, Bordetella bronchiseptica, Staphylococcus hyicus, Escherichia 63 64 coli, etc.) associated to stress (4).

In addition, vegetable extracts, particularly Cynara scolymus extract (CSE), have 65 long been used in different species for their hepatoprotective and digestive roles, 66 exerting a choleretic- cholagogue effect, increasing bile concentrations at small 67 intestine level and thus enhancing fat and lipophilic vitamins absorption. In animal 68 production, these compounds are used as feed additives to improve zootechnical 69 70 parameters (5-8) and they have shown further beneficial consequences on intestine and liver functions. Nowadays enteroprotective, trophic, antitoxic and antimicrobial effects 71 are ascribable to bile action (9–12). CSE is used in intensive pig and avian productions. 72 It is obtained from the leaves of the plant and contains caffeolquinic acid derivatives 73 which are known for their choleretic- cholagogue effect in different species (7,13,14), 74 75 including pigs (15).

Among weaning stress factors, the presence of anti-nutritional compounds in 76 feed, such as mycotoxins, negatively influences the productive performance of animals. 77 Deoxynivalenol (DON) is a mycotoxin produced by *Fusarium* species, being pigs the 78 most susceptible species to its toxic effects (16,17). Formerly DON was also called 79 vomitoxin, referring to its emetic effect (18,19). Other clinical signs that have been 80 81 described include reduction in feed intake and complete feed refusal, immunosuppression, haemorrhage and eventually, circulatory shock (20-22). However 82 there is little information on the possible subclinical effects associated to the ingestion 83

of feed contaminated with low DON concentrations, which is highly likely to occur 84 85 (19, 23, 24).

In the productive reality, in innumerable situations, but mostly during weaning, 86 antibiotics, natural extracts and mycotoxins coexist in the animals' diet, and 87 consequently in the gut, regardless the potential interactions among them. 88

89

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of FOS, CSE, DON and their interactions on the intestinal health of weaning piglets. 90

91

#### Materials and methods 92

#### Animals 93

94 The study was carried out according to guidelines of the Animal Welfare Committee of the Faculty of Veterinary Sciences UNCPBA, Argentina, for animal 95 handling and experimentation. One hundred and sixty, healthy, 21 days old weaned 96 piglets  $(6.26 \pm 0.4 \text{ kg body weight [b.w.]})$  of the same genetic line from a commercial 97 farm were used. Piglets were housed in an environmentally controlled barn (22±5°C; 98 light: dark cycle 12:12 h; relative humidity 45-65%), given free access to feed 99 (commercial feed: 3.0 Kcal/Kg of metabolizable energy) and water, and were checked 100 daily. 101

#### Antibiotic, natural extract and mycotoxin 102

Fosfomycin (FOS): Calcium fosfomycin was provided by Bedson S.A. 103 laboratory (Fosbac<sup>®</sup>, Pilar, Buenos Aires, Argentina). The antibiotic dose was 30 mg/kg 104 b.w. administered via drinking water. Water consumption was measured by a water 105 flow meter installed at the entrance pipeline of the weaning room two days before the 106 107 beginning of the trial. Medicated water was prepared daily at 8.00 am, considering water consumption and mean piglets weight. 108

Cynara scolymus extract (CSE): This natural extract was provided by Bedson 109 S.A. laboratory (Bedgen40<sup>®</sup>, Pilar, Buenos Aires, Argentina). Three hundred grams of 110 CSE were uniformly mixed with one ton of feed (15 mg/kg b.w.). 111

Deoxynivalenol (DON): The mycotoxin was produced in our laboratory by 112 113 growing Fusarium graminearum NRRL 28063 in corn at 25°C for 25 days. For DON quantification, samples of ground corn were extracted twice with water/acetonitrile and 114 then with hexane by liquid-liquid extraction. Extracts were passed through DONPREP 115 columns (R-Biopharm, Acre Road, Glascow, Scotland) and evaporated to dryness at 116 40°C. The dry extract was reconstituted with MilliQ water and filtered through 0.22 µm 117 nylon membranes before Injection into HPLC UV/VIS for quantification. A Gilson 118 HPLC system equipped with a Gilson 151 UV-Vis detector and Gilson 712 software 119 120 was used for data analysis (Gilson, Inc., Middleton, USA). The column was a C18; 250 mm  $\times$  3.00 mm Sinergy Hydro RP 4  $\mu$ m (Phenomenex, Torrance, United States) 121 maintained in at 35°C. The mobile phase was water: acetonitrile (90:10) at 0.5 ml/min 122 flow rate. DON was detected at 222 nm and its retention time was 8.7 min. 123

124 Convenient aliquots of ground contaminated corn were uniformly mixed with
125 feed in order to obtain 1 mg DON/ Kg (50 μg/kg b.w.).

### 126 **Experimental groups**

Weaning piglets were randomly assigned to one of eight groups, which were 127 subjected to different treatments for a 15 days period. The dietary treatments were as 128 129 follows: A) balanced diet containing DON (1mg/kg of feed), B) balanced diet and FOS administered into the drinking water (30 mg/kg b.w.), C) balanced diet containing CSE 130 (300 g/ton of feed), D) balanced diet containing DON (1mg/kg of feed) and FOS (30 131 mg/kg b.w.) into the drinking water, E) balanced diet containing DON (1mg/kg of feed) 132 plus CSE (300 g/ton of feed). F) balanced diet containing CSE (300 g/ton of feed) and 133 FOS (30 mg/kg b.w.) into the drinking water, G) balanced diet containing DON 134 (1mg/kg of feed) plus CSE (300 g/ton of feed) and FOS (30 mg/kg b.w.) into the 135 drinking water and, H) balanced diet without FOS, CSE or DON. 136

137 After 15 days of treatment, three piglets of each group were randomly selected138 and euthanized for sampling of the gastrointestinal tract.

### 139 **pH determination**

As soon as each sample was obtained, pH was measured with a pH meter (UP25, Denver Instrument Company, Denver, Colorado, EE. UU.) in the following portions

of the gastrointestinal tract: caudal portion of the stomach, ileum (15 cm proximal toileocaecal valve), caecum and colon (20 cm distal from caecum).

### 144 Enterobacteriaceae/Lactic acid bacteria ratio (E/L)

The E/L has traditionally been used to determine balance of intestinal microbiota in pigs (25). It has been demonstrated that a greater resistance to gastrointestinal diseases is acquired when animals show a lower E/L (26–28).

148 The intestinal contents from ileum (15 cm proximal to the ileocaecal valve), caecum and colon (20 cm distal from caecum) were collected and kept at 4°C until 149 arrival to the laboratory. One g of sample was diluted in 9 ml of peptone water and 150 151 homogenized by continuous agitation. Counting of viable bacteria was performed by plating serial 10-fold dilutions (in 1% peptone water) onto MRS agar (Britania S.A.) for 152 Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) representative of beneficial bacteria in pigs, and onto Mac 153 Conkey agar (Britania S.A.) for *Enterobacteriaceae* representative of commensal Gram 154 negative bacteria (29-32). Colonies were counted, log transformed and expressed as 155 156 colony forming units per gram of digesta (CFU/g).

### 157 Volatile fatty acids (VFAs)

The caecal content was immediately diluted with phosphoric acid (in a 4:1 proportion) for preservation and kept at -70°C until analyzed. Concentrations of VFAs were determined using gas liquid chromatography according to the method described by Jouany (33). A Shimadzu chromatograph (Model GC–17A, Kyoto, Japan) with a 19091N-133 Innowax 30M column (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used. A mixture of 10 mM Supelco VFAs (C2 to C10) and 2-ethyl-butyric acid (Fluka) as internal standard were used to build calibration curves.

#### 165 **Disaccharidases activity**

The digestive function of the intestine can be evaluated by the activity of disaccharidases present in the microvilli or brush border of the enterocytes (34,35). The evaluation of these enzymes gives information on the physio pathological status of the intestinal mucosa (36).

The four portions of the small intestine (duodenum, proximal jejunum, mediumjejunum and ileum) were opened along the mesenteric border and washed with saline

solution to eliminate the mucus and remaining intestinal contents. The mucosa was 172 scraped off with a scalpel and 1.000 g of this material was weighed. Then, saline 173 174 solution (2 ml) was added to the intestinal mucosa and it was ground with a dispersing instrument (Ultra-Turrax<sup>®</sup>) and a Potter homogenizer. Samples were then cold-175 176 centrifuged at 4°C and 6630 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was used as crude enzyme solution and it was stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until analysis. The protein concentration of each 177 homogenate was determined by Bradford method using bovine serum albumin as 178 standard (37). The activity of sucrase, lactase and maltase was determined by 179 180 quantification of released glucose, according to Dahlqvist method (38). Briefly, the homogenate supernatants were diluted, added to an equal volume of 0.1 M sodium 181 182 maleate buffer (pH 6.0) containing 56 mM lactose, sucrose or maltose, and incubated 183 for 1 h at 37°C. Then, the mixtures were added to the glucose oxidase-peroxidase 184 reagents (Sigma Chemical Company, USA) containing O-dianisidine as chromogen. The absorbance was measured using a spectrophotometer (Dupont, Sorvall Instruments) 185 186 at 450 nm. The activity of disaccharidases was expressed as U/mg protein. One U is defined as the amount of enzyme that hydrolyses 1 mmol of lactose, sucrose or maltose 187 188 in 1 min under the standard assay conditions.

### 189 Histological study

Different measures on villi and crypts can be correlated to nutrient absorption capacity, possible structural alterations of intestinal mucosa and consequent productive yield (34,39–47).

Samples of medium jejunum (1.5 m from stomach) and ileum (20 cm proximal to ileocaecal valve) were washed with saline solution to remove the intestinal content, transversally cut and fixed in Bouin solution (75% saturated picric acid, 20% formaldehyde and 5% acetic acid). After 24 h of fixation, the samples were embedded in paraffin and stained with haemotoxylin and eosin (H&E) and periodic acid-Schiff (PAS).

The intestinal mucosa was examined under light microscope and measured by the Image Analysis Software (ToupTek<sup>TM</sup> ToupView<sup>TM</sup>). The length of villi and width of villi and crypts were measured in H&E- stained sections. The goblet cells count in villi and crypts (expressed as goblet cells/ 100 villi or crypts) was determined using PAS staining (48,49). Means were calculated for each group. The mathematical model

proposed by Kisielinski *et al* was used to estimate the intestinal absorptive area (IAA)
using the following equation (50):

206 
$$IAA = (villusW \times villusL) + \boxed{2} \frac{villusW}{2} + \frac{cryptW}{2} \boxed{2} - \boxed{2} \frac{villusW}{2} \boxed{2} / \boxed{2} \frac{villusW}{2} + \frac{cryptW}{2} \boxed{2}$$

being, *IAA*= intestinal absorptive surface area, *villusW*= villi mean width, *villusL*= villi
mean length, and *cryptW*= crypts mean width.

209 Goblet cells count was used as index of the secretory capacity and the 210 production of protective intestinal mucus (51).

### 211 Adherence of bacteria to intestinal mucus

Mucus quality has been evaluated by its ability to adhere *E. coli*, since bacterial adhesion is associated with the protective and antimicrobial functions of mucus favoring bacterial elimination by the rapid removal of mucus by peristaltic movements (52,53). The interaction between the glycoproteins of the outer layer of the intestinal mucus and *E. coli* would prevent the attachment of bacteria to epithelial cells and subsequent damage (51,53–58).

Ileum samples (15 cm proximal to ileocaecal valve) were opened along the mesenteric border. The mucus was carefully scraped off with a scalpel (leaving intestinal mucosa intact), collected into sterile tubes and kept at -70°C until analyzed.

221 The adherence of bacteria to the intestinal mucus was analyzed according to Bai 222 et a. (59). One hundred milligrams of mucus were diluted with 1.5 ml of saline solution and centrifuged (12.000 rpm, 10 min, 4°C) to remove cell debris and bacteria. The 223 supernatant was sterilized by filtration (13 mm x 0.22 µm nylon filter membranes) and 224 the filtered solution was defined as the original crude mucus that contained 225 glycoproteins responsible for bacteria adherence. A concentration of 10<sup>3</sup> CFU/ml of 226 227 Escherichia coli O157:H7 was incubated with supernatant containing crude mucus for 30 min, at 37°C under continuous agitation. Then the tubes were centrifuged (12.000 228 rpm, 10 min, 4°C) and pellets (with adhered and not adhered bacteria) were resuspended 229 230 in 400 µl saline solution and further centrifuged (2000 rpm, 4°C, 2 min). Two fractions were obtained, the pellet which contained adhered bacteria and the supernatant which 231 contained not adhered bacteria. Aliquots from pellet and supernatant were spread on 232 Mac Conkey Agar with Sorbitol (Britania S.A.) and incubated under aerobic condition 233

for 24 h at 37 °C for colonies count. Results were expressed as percentage of adhered
bacteria to the intestinal mucus.

#### 236 **Statistical analyses**

A 2x2x2 factorial arrangement was used to evaluate interactions between FOS (0 237 vs. 30 mg/kg b.w.), CSE (0 vs. 300 g/ton of feed) and DON (0 vs. 1 mg/kg feed) on the 238 intestinal health of weaned piglets. The response variables (pH, intestinal bacteria, 239 VFAs, dissacharidases activity, IAA, goblet cells and percentage of adhered bacteria to 240 intestinal mucus) were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) by GLM procedure 241 of SAS V9.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Differences between treatments were 242 declared significant when p < 0.05. When significant interactions were observed, 243 244 contrasts were used to compare the different levels of each treatment. Data are presented in tables as means and mean standard error (SEM). 245

246

### 247 **Results**

#### 248 **pH**

pH values are shown in Table 1. No statically significant differences on pH were 249 found neither in gastrointestinal (GI) portions studied for groups treated with CSE and 250 DON nor in interactions between the different factors. In FOS treated groups, no 251 252 statically significant effects were found in caudal portion of stomach and ileum, but piglets that received FOS showed a lower pH (p < 0.01) in caecum and colon. The mean 253 caecal pH was 5.51±0.33 in FOS treated groups and 6.90±0.29 in FOS free groups. The 254 mean pH in the colon was 6.21±0.30 in FOS treated groups and 7.36±0.26 in FOS free 255 256 groups.

		on th	he gastrointestinal pH of weaned piglets <sup>1</sup>	stinal pH of w	reaned piglet	ts <sup>1</sup>			
					FOS (mg/kg)	ng/kg)			p - value
			0				30		
;	CSE (g/ton)			300	0	0		300	FOS
Hd	DON (mg/kg)	0	1	0	1	0	1	0 1	I
stomach		$4.08 \pm 0.12$	$3.69 \pm 0.34$	$3.69 \pm 0.34$ $3.34 \pm 0.54$	$2.98 \pm 0.34$	$3.27 \pm 0.28$	$3.18 \pm 0.37$ 4	$2.98 \pm 0.34$ $3.27 \pm 0.28$ $3.18 \pm 0.37$ $4.15 \pm 0.82$ $3.34 \pm 0.06$	0.06 NS
ileum		$7.48 \pm 0.14$	$7.29 \pm 0.15$	$7.29 \pm 0.15$ 6.68 $\pm 0.99$		$7.51 \pm 0.17$ $6.75 \pm 0.90$		$6.27 \pm 0.95$ $6.83 \pm 0.72$ $7.92 \pm 0.32$	0.32 NS
caecum		$6.77 \pm 0.51$	$7.69 \pm 0.29$	$6.40 \pm 0.69$	$6.73 \pm 0.52$	$7.69 \pm 0.29$ $6.40 \pm 0.69$ $6.73 \pm 0.52$ $5.70 \pm 0.06$	$5.18 \pm 0.11$ 4	$5.18 \pm 0.11$ $4.97 \pm 0.25$ $6.19 \pm 1.00$	1.00 0.0041
mean pH in FOS free or treated groups			$6.90 \pm 0.29$	0.29			$5.51 \pm 0.33$	33	
colon		$7.78 \pm 0.28$	$7.63 \pm 0.25$	$6.42 \pm 0.74$	$7.62 \pm 0.07$	$6.40 \pm 0.75$	$6.24 \pm 0.93$	$7.63 \pm 0.25$ $6.42 \pm 0.74$ $7.62 \pm 0.07$ $6.40 \pm 0.75$ $6.24 \pm 0.93$ $5.16 \pm 0.35$ $7.05 \pm 0.96$ $0.0081$	300.0 96.0
mean pH in FOS free or treated groups			$7.36 \pm 0.26$	0.26			$6.21 \pm 0.30$	30	

### 258 Enterobacteriaceae, lactic acid bacteria and E/L

There was no effect of none of the treatments on the studied bacteria at ileum 259 level. LAB counts from caecum and colon did not show any significant differences 260 among treatments and effects of DON on Enterobacteriaceae in these intestinal portions 261 were neither detected. In caecum and colon, FOS and CSE treated groups showed lower 262 Enterobacteriaceae population and E/L regardless the presence of DON (Table 2). A 263 significant antagonistic interaction was observed between FOS and CSE on 264 Enterobacteriaceae count (p=0.0004) and consequently on the E/L (p=0.0016) at 265 caecum level. In this case, the effect of both treatments was less pronounced than the 266 effect they produced as individual factors. An indifferent interaction was observed for 267 268 Enterobacteriaceae count (p=0.0004) and E/L (p=0.0114) at colon level when FOS and CSE were combined, i.e., the effect produced by the combination of FOS and CSE 269 270 was similar to the one observed when they were administered individually.

	0						
		FOS (	FOS (mg/kg)			p- value	
Terre		0	30				
Item CSE (g <sup>to</sup>	CSE 0 (g/ton) 0	300	0	300	FOS	CSE	FOS x CSE
Enterobacteriaceae (log10 CFU/g)							
caecum	<b>6.21 ± 0</b> .	$6.21 \pm 0.55$ $2.93 \pm 0.32$	$2.42 \pm 0.84$ $4.35 \pm 0.62$	.35± 0.62	0.0071	0.0071 0.0331	0.0004
colon	6.67 ± 0.	$6.67 \pm 0.40$ $2.74 \pm 0.37$	$2.53 \pm 0.86$ $3.39 \pm 0.48$	$.39 \pm 0.48$	0.0055	0.0136	0.0004
Lactic acid bacteria (log <sub>10</sub> CFU/g)							
caecum	7.54 ± 0.	$7.54 \pm 0.30 \ 6.83 \pm 0.14$	$6.52 \pm 0.27$ 7.45 $\pm 0.50$	$.45 \pm 0.50$	NS	SN	SN
colon	7.23 ± 0.	$7.23 \pm 0.45$ $7.11 \pm 0.27$	$6.72 \pm 0.19$ $8.03 \pm 0.33$	$.03 \pm 0.33$	NS	SN	NS
E/L							
caecum	0.82 ± 0.	$0.82 \pm 0.06$ $0.43 \pm 0.04$	$0.37 \pm 0.13$ $0.60 \pm 0.09$	·60 ± 0.09	0.0106	0.0106 0.0313	0.0016
colon	0.96 ± 0.	$0.96 \pm 0.11$ $0.39 \pm 0.06$	$0.38 \pm 0.13$ $0.44 \pm 0.07$	$.44 \pm 0.07$	0.0253	0.0337	0.0114

<sup>1</sup> Effects of mycotoxin treatments on the studied intestinal bacteria were not significant.

271

# 272 Volatile fatty acids

273 Concentrations of VFAs were not modified in FOS treated groups and 274 interactions between different factors were not significant (p> 0.05). CSE treated groups increased the concentrations of butyric acid (p = 0.033). For DON treated groups

lower acetic (p = 0.0104) and butyric (p = 0.0001) acids and lower total VFAs

277 concentrations (p=0.0021) were detected (Table 3).

	Table 3. Effe	ct of fosfomyc	in (FOS),	C. scolymus en	xtract (CSE)	and deo	Table 3. Effect of fosfomycin (FOS), <i>C. scotymus</i> extract (CSE) and deoxynivalenol (DON)
		on VF/	As (mmol	on VFAs (mmo/L) in the caecum of weaned piglets <sup>1</sup>	n of weaned	l piglets <sup>1</sup>	
	FOS (mg/kg)	mg/kg)		CSE (g/ton)	(ton)		DON (mg/kg)
VFAs	0	30  p - value	o - value	0	300 p - value	<i>p</i> - value	0 1 $p$ - value
Acetic acid	$49.71 \pm 4.5$	$49.71 \pm 4.5  60.35 \pm 7.31  NS$	NS	$50.29 \pm 4.75$ 58.77 $\pm 6.88$ NS	$8.77 \pm 6.88$	NS	$62.35 \pm 5.55 \ 43.01 \pm 4.33 \ 0.0104$
<b>Propionic acid</b>	$13.62\pm1.27$	$13.62 \pm 1.27 \ 16.44 \pm 1.60$	NS	$13.95 \pm 1.30 \ 15.79 \pm 1.60$ NS	$5.79 \pm 1.60$	NS	$17.74 \pm 1.10 \ 10.84 \pm 1.16$ NS
<b>Butyric acid</b>		$5.87 \pm 0.60$ $6.78 \pm 1.03$	NS	$5.83 \pm 0.65$ $6.76 \pm 0.93$ $0.033$	$5.76 \pm 0.93$	0.033	$8.00 \pm 0.65  3.92 \pm 0.36  0.0001$
<b>Total VFAs</b>	$71.49\pm6.42$	$71.49 \pm 6.42$ $85.53 \pm 9.69$	NS	72.55 ± 6.72 83.07 ± 9.25 NS	$3.07 \pm 9.25$	NS	$90.76 \pm 7.14\ 59.26 \pm 5.81\ 0.0021$
			SN	NS= not significant $(p > 0.05)$ .	> 0.05).		

<sup>1</sup> Interaction between the different factors was not significant (p > 0.05).

278

There were not significant interactions between FOS, CSE and DON on disaccharidases activity (p > 0.05).

It was found that the activity of maltase in the different intestinal regions in 282 piglets from FOS treated groups was significantly higher (p < 0.05) than that observed in 283 284 FOS free groups. FOS treatments also increased sucrose and lactase activity in proximal and medium jejunum and ileum though this effect was not statistically significant. 285 Treatments with CSE produced higher maltase activity in ileum (p=0.0020). However, 286 an effect on the activity of sucrase and lactase was not observed. DON showed negative 287 288 effects for all enzymes in all intestinal portions, being enzymatic activity lower for pigs fed diets supplemented with DON when compared to those without DON 289 290 supplementation. P value< 0.05 was observed for maltase and lactase activity in duodenum and proximal jejunum, sucrase and lactase in medium jejunum and maltase 291 in the ileum (Table 4). 292

		FOS (	FOS (mg/kg)		CSE	CSE (g/ton)		DON	DON (mg/kg)	
I	Item	0	30	p - value	0	300	p - value	0	30	p - value
Duodenum	III									
	Maltase	<b>Maltase</b> 1427.85 ± 169.23 2422.91 ± 338.39 0.0008	$2422.91 \pm 338.39$	0.0008	$1951.87 \pm 350.73$	$1951.87 \pm 350.73$ $1862.65 \pm 246.43$	NS	$2462.37 \pm 339.66$	$2462.37 \pm 339.66$ $1391.43 \pm 147.09$ $0.0004$	0.0004
	Sucrase	$37.02 \pm 15.26$	$36.86 \pm 8.85$	SN	$41.32 \pm 15.17$	$32.90 \pm 10.07$	NS	$41.69 \pm 10.17$	$32.56 \pm 14.43$	NS
	Lactase	$86.84 \pm 28.70$	$88.19 \pm 27.68$	SN	$72.60 \pm 18.06$	$101.23 \pm 34.11$	NS	$141.09 \pm 33.98$	$38.01 \pm 8.36$	0.0092
Proxima	Proximal jejunum									
	Maltase	Maltase 1964.33 ± 232.52 3419.02 ± 456.61 0.0028	$3419.02 \pm 456.61$	0.0028	$2727.34 \pm 465.01$	$2727.34 \pm 465.01$ $2602.81 \pm 362.69$	NS	$3420.65 \pm 461.72$	$3420.65 \pm 461.72 \ 1962.83 \pm 223.01$	0.0028
	Sucrase	$89.26 \pm 29.52$	$133.11 \pm 51.55$	SN	$133.87 \pm 28.64$	<b>88.55 ± 49.20</b>	NS	$145.62 \pm 53.67$	$77.71 \pm 23.98$	NS
	Lactase	$162.66 \pm 49.17$	$257.84 \pm 91.37$	NS	$214.62 \pm 55.72$	$202.56 \pm 84.92$	NS	$324.52 \pm 93.05$	$101.12 \pm 22.84$	0.041
Medium	Medium jejunum									
	Maltase	Maltase 2712.30 ± 293.58 4333.48 ± 493.03 0.0064	$4333.48 \pm 493.03$	0.0064	$3854.78 \pm 549.21$	$3854.78 \pm 549.21$ $3154.17 \pm 349.49$	NS	$4038.04 \pm 432.94$	$4038.04 \pm 432.94 \ 2985.01 \pm 440.51$	NS
	Sucrase	Sucrase 164.87 ± 48.53	$284.39 \pm 66.33$	NS	$259.65 \pm 63.40$	$187.70 \pm 55.14$	NS	$319.49 \pm 69.41$	$132.47 \pm 34.25$	0.0147
	Lactase	$201.64 \pm 62.08$	$342.47 \pm 79.00$	NS	$316.65 \pm 72.98$	$225.47 \pm 71.34$	NS	$407.83 \pm 80.99$	$141.30 \pm 39.20$	0.0105
Ileum										
	Maltase	Maltase 1047.08 ± 129.29 1464.89 ± 265.51 0.0337	$1464.89 \pm 265.51$	0.0337	947.73 ± 122.61	$1524.46 \pm 239.42$	0.002	$1623.23 \pm 259.01$	900.92 ± 75.58	0.0007
	Sucrase	$14.20 \pm 9.13$	$59.01 \pm 45.49$	NS	$15.72 \pm 9.81$	$54.16 \pm 42.12$	NS	$57.68 \pm 45.56$	$15.42 \pm 9.34$	NS
	Lactase	$10.52 \pm 3.42$	$18.26 \pm 5.51$	NS	$14.16 \pm 4.36$	$14.30 \pm 4.87$	NS	$15.69 \pm 4.86$	$12.89 \pm 4.42$	NS
					NS= not significant $(p > 0.05)$ .	p>0.05).				
			<sup>1</sup> Interact	ion between	1 the different factors	<sup>1</sup> Interaction between the different factors was not significant $(p > 0.05)$ .	0.05).			
							1			

## 294 Intestinal absorptive area and goblet cells

There was an evident increase in the IAA of medium jejunum in the presence of FOS, CSE and the combination of both factors (p < 0.05). The co-administration of FOS and CSE showed an indifferent type interaction at this level. IAA of ileum increased in piglets that received CSE and an antagonistic interaction between FOS and CSE was detected (p < 0.05). The IAA of medium jejunum and ileum was not affected by the treatments with DON (p > 0.05), (Table 5).

	FOS	FOS (mg/kg)			p- value	
TAA	0	30				
LAA CSE (g/ton)	) 0 300	0 3	300	FOS	CSE	FOS x CSE
M e dium je junum	$5.67 \pm 0.24$ 7.35 $\pm 0.46$ 8.44 $\pm 0.88$ 6.98 $\pm 0.44$	$8.44 \pm 0.88 6.98$	± 0.44	0.0034	0.0034 0.0192 0.0006	0.0006
Ileum	$5.49\pm0.26\ 6.29\pm0.23$	$5.13\pm0.31\ 4.95\pm0.33$	± 0.33	NS	NS 0.0296 0.0205	0.0205

301

Generally, the number of intestinal goblet cells increased with FOS and CSE treatments, whereas a decrease was evident in goblet cells from villi after DON treatments. Goblet cells count in crypts of ileum increased in FOS treated groups (p= 0.0120). The treatments with CSE increased the count of these cells in villi (p= 0.0159)

- and crypts (p = 0.0143) of medium jejunum. A negative effect of DON was observed in
- goblet cells count in villi of medium jejunum (p = 0.0125) and ileum (p = 0.0336) (Table
- 308 6). No significant interactions were detected between FOS, CSE and DON on goblet
- 309 cells count (p > 0.05).

	Table	6. Effect of fosfor	mycin (FC	Table 6. Effect of fosfomycin (FOS), C. scolymus extract (CSE) and deoxynivalenol (DON) on	tract (CSE) and	deoxyniva	lenol (DON) on		
	50	oblet cells/100 villi	and goble	goblet cells/100 villi and goblet cells/100 crypts in the small intestine of weaned piglets <sup>1</sup>	the small intest	tine of wear	ied piglets <sup>1</sup>		
	FOS	FOS (mg/kg)		CSE (g/ton)	g/ton)		DON (mg/kg)	(kg)	
Item	0	30	p - value	0	300	p - value	0	30	p - value
Medium jejunum									
villi		871.69 ± 84.47 1153.33 ± 120.80	SN	886.47 ± 107.87 1114.62 ± 93.93 0.0159	$1114.62 \pm 93.93$	0.0159	1087.80 ± 103.30 882.31 ± 102.77 0.0125	$2.31 \pm 102.77$	0.0125
crypts	$1155.69 \pm 78.55$	$1155.69 \pm 78.55$ $1291.67 \pm 86.14$	NS	$1095.73 \pm 70.53$ $1350.38 \pm 83.63$ $0.0143$	$1350.38 \pm 83.63$	0.0143	1221.07 ± 82.77 1205.77 ± 85.74 NS	$05.77 \pm 85.74$	NS
Ileum									
villiv	$1045.94 \pm 72.8$	1045.94 ± 72.8 954.58 ± 75.52	SN	947.33 ± 81.14 1075.38 ± 61.25	$1075.38 \pm 61.25$	NS	$1102.67 \pm 75.37$ $896.15 \pm 61.61$ $0.0336$	$96.15 \pm 61.61$	0.0336
crypts	$1421.31 \pm 94.7$	$1421.31 \pm 94.7$ $1677.08 \pm 81.43$	0.012	$1437.73 \pm 103.07$ 1638.46 $\pm$ 78.63	$1638.46 \pm 78.63$	NS	$1526.73 \pm 75.23$ $1535.77 \pm 121.05$	35.77 ± 121.08	NS
				NS= not significant $(p > 0.05)$ .	o> 0.05).				
		<sup>1</sup> Intera	ction betwe	<sup>1</sup> Interaction between different factors was not significant $(p > 0.05)$ .	ras not significant (	(2) (2).			

### 311 Adherence of bacteria to the intestinal mucus

Treatments with FOS, CSE and the combination of both resulted in a statistically 312 significant increase in the percentages of adhesion of bacteria to intestinal mucus (p < p313 0.001, p=0.0133 and p=0.0049, respectively) compared to FOS and CSE free groups. 314 In the latter, the adhesion percentage of E. coli was 45.71%, whereas FOS or CSE 315 treated groups increased the percentage of adhesion to 83.67% and 72.75%, 316 respectively. The combination of treatments evidenced an indifferent type interaction. In 317 this case, the adhesion percentage of bacteria was 81.61%. The percentage of bacteria 318 adhered to intestinal mucus was not affected by treatments with DON (p > 0.05), (Table 319 320 7).

			FOS (mg/kg)	ng/kg)			p- value	
<b>Bacterial adhesion</b>			0	3	0			
to the ileum mucus	CSE (g/ton)	0	300	0	300	FOS	CSE	FOS x CSE
Adhered		45.71 ± 4.45	45.71 ± 4.45 72.25 ± 6.42	83.67 ± 4.02	83.67 ± 4.02 81.61 ± 6.08 < 0.0001 0.0133 0.0049	<0.0001	0.0133	0.0049

# 321

# 322 **Discussion**

FOS, CSE and DON are commonly found together in the weaning diet. These compounds, individually or combined, may impact on the important morphological,

histological and microbiota modifications produced during weaning, affecting theanimals' productive outcome.

### 327 Bacteria, VFAs and pH

LAB populations were not affected by none of the treatments in any of the 328 329 intestine portions studied. Natural resistance of LAB strains to antibiotics and bile salts, increased by CSE consumption, has been largely demonstrated (17,60-62), The 330 331 influence of mycotoxins on intestinal microbiota of pigs have been poorly investigated. 332 Available data on the interaction of mycotoxins with bacteria are mainly related to the ability of the intestinal microbiota to detoxify mycotoxins (63–67,24). Results obtained 333 in a study conducted by Waché et al. showed that cultivable bacteria diversity in fecal 334 335 samples was conserved in animals that consumed feed naturally contaminated with DON (2.8 mg/kg) (24). Accordingly, in our study, when piglets received diets 336 337 containing DON at 1mg/kg, alone or in combination with the other factors, significant 338 changes in CFU counts were neither observed for LAB nor for Enterobacteriaciae. In 339 addition, pH values were conserved in all gastrointestinal tract portions after DON treatments. It is likely that gut bacteria possess resistance mechanisms against this 340 341 mycotoxin, in fact in vitro studies identified intestinal bacterial strains that promote 342 metabolism, binding or detoxification of DON (64,67). By contrast, VFAs concentrations were lowered. The normal concentration of VFAs in the caecum varies 343 according to the content and composition of the raw material in the diet, being around 344 345 80 mmol/L for this stage of pig rearing (68–70). In the present study, the decrease in VFAs at caecum level, where the mycotoxin is metabolized, could be explained by a 346 347 detrimental effect of DON on the metabolism of culture independent bacterial populations as it has been previously demonstrated (17,24). 348

A lower count of Enterobacteriaciae population and E/L in caecum and colon 349 was observed in pigs treated with CSE. It has been recently demonstrated by our 350 research group that using CSE as feed additive substantially increases bile production in 351 352 pigs (15). Important bile effects on the intestinal microbiota have been described involving two main mechanisms: direct detergent action on bacterial cell membranes 353 (mainly in proximal intestine) and an indirect action by interacting with specific nuclear 354 receptors (FXR, TGR 5, mainly in large intestine) and thus inducing antimicrobial 355 356 peptides synthesis (10,71–73). Furthermore, Cremers et al. indicated that bile acid salts

have profound effects on many key proteins in bacteria (74). Results from different studies suggest that bile salts could potentially induce DNA damage through oxidative stress in *E. coli* (75–79). Therefore bile acids are thought to have destructive effects on gut microbes except for some bile acid tolerant bacteria. LAB can tolerate biliary acids by expressing bile salts hydrolases (80). This might have contributed to lower *Enterobacteriaceae* count in CSE treated groups in our study without altering LAB.

The administration of CSE in the piglets' diet increased the concentration of 363 butyric acid, an important energetic VFA in large intestine (81-84). This finding is in 364 365 agreement with other scientific studies that detected an increase in the proportion of butyrate and an equal or lower concentration of acetate in diets containing other natural 366 367 extracts (85–90). The no significant change in the levels of acetate could be attributed to the fact that butyrate producing bacteria are able to use acetate as a substrate. In this 368 369 way, acetic acid constitutes a type of substrate for cross-feeding interactions that occur among colonic bacteria (91-95). 370

FOS reduced *Enterobacteriaceae* populations in caecum and colon exerting a bactericide effect, related to its low oral bioavailability (96). LAB populations, capable of resisting relatively high bactericide antibiotic concentrations through different adaptive mechanisms (97,98), were not affected by FOS. Further, a reduction in pH was observed in caecum and colon as consequence of the diminished E/L in FOS treated groups. These findings, together with an increase of butyrate production (p> 0.05) represent an important favorable aspect of intestinal health in weaning piglets.

378 Interactions observed between FOS and CSE treatments could be explained by a possible interference of their mechanisms of action: The modes by which antimicrobial 379 380 intestinal peptides kill bacteria are varied. The cytoplasmic membrane is a frequent target, but peptides may also interfere with DNA and protein synthesis, protein folding, 381 and cell wall synthesis. Thereby, some peptides form a complex with different cell wall 382 precursors inhibiting cell wall biosynthesis. On the other hand, FOS is transported into 383 384 bacteria via both glycerol-3-phosphate and hexose phosphate membrane transporter systems. Besides, it interferes with the cytoplasmic step of bacterial cell wall 385 biosynthesis, the formation of the peptidoglycan precursor UDP N-acetylmuramic acid 386 (99–103). Interference between the action of FOS and intestinal peptides (induced by 387 biliary acids through nuclear receptors), at cytoplasmatic or membrane transporter level 388 could occur. Moreover, some cytoplasmic peptides show bacteriostatic effects that 389

could antagonize bactericidal effect of FOS that requires bacteria to grow at log phase to
exert it's action; i.e. antagonistic or indifference effects may be due to inhibition of
bacterial growth by static agents (104).

### 393 Intestinal morpho-physiology

Clinical symptoms characteristic of DON intoxication were not observed in the 394 animals under study. In order to evaluate intestinal health, morphological and 395 396 physiological integrity of intestinal mucosa was studied. The mycotoxin DON 397 administered at 1mg/Kg of feed in our experiment did not affect IAA, which is in agreement with studies that indicate that higher DON concentrations are needed to 398 deteriorate the tissue at this level (105). However, in the present study, treatments with 399 400 DON adversely affected the number of goblet cells. Similarly, Obremski et al. obtained a lower goblet cells count in jejunum of piglets maintained on diets contaminated with 401 402 DON for 14 days (106). In addition, Bracarense et al. and Gerez et al. also reported lower goblet cell counts in jejunum after administration of 1.5 to 3 mg/kg DON 403 404 respectively in the diet of animals during 4 to 5 weeks (107,108). Apart from a lower goblet cells count, a lower expression of mucins (mainly MUC1, MUC2 and MUC3) by 405 406 these cells would be expected after the ingestion of low DON concentrations (109–111). 407 In our study this effect was reflected by a lower adherence of *E*. *coli* to mucus (p > 0.05; data not shown). Moreover, disaccharidases activity decreased with DON treatments, 408 particularly maltase and sucrose, in the different portions of the intestine. The 409 undesirable effect of the mycotoxin could be a consequence of its mechanism of action 410 as a potent inhibitor of protein synthesis, including the synthesis of disaccharidases (21). 411

After FOS treatments, IAA and goblet cells were considerably increased. In a 412 previous study, Pérez Gaudio et al. demonstrated a protective effect of the antibiotic 413 FOS on in vitro cell cultures that would favor a trophic effect on intestinal mucosa 414 (112). On the other hand, certain antibiotics modulate physiological inflammation 415 decreasing the catabolic cost of maintaining immune response, thereby favoring 416 mucosal anabolic processes (113-117). A greater goblet cells count improved mucus 417 production which was revealed by a greater pathogenic bacterial adhesion. Enzymatic 418 activity was also increased in FOS treated groups, being maltase the most active 419 disaccharidase, as expected for the age and diet of the animals (118). 420

CSE as an additive in the diet significantly increased IAA and goblet cells. 421 422 These findings are consistent with previous works which reported that using different 423 sources of natural extracts increased villi height and villi: crypts ratio in the small intestine of weaned piglets (95,119,120). In pigs, the action of bile acids on the G 424 protein-coupled bile acid receptor (TGR5) found in enteroendocrine cells stimulates 425 secretion of glucagon like peptides (GLP)-1 and 2, which function respectively as the 426 major incretin hormone involved in glucose homeostasis and key trophic hormone in 427 intestinal adaptation and growth in response to food ingestion. In fact, the induction of 428 429 GLP-2 secretion, by TGR 5, is involved in the trophic action of bile acids in the intestinal lumen (121,122). The observed increase in IAA and goblet cells in our study 430 431 could be explained by the direct trophic effect of the increased bile production (73,123) 432 when CSE is added to the diet. As stated before, the increased bacterial adherence to 433 mucus would be a direct consequence of the increased number of goblet cells rendering a better mucus quality. Maltase activity, which plays an important role at weaning, was 434 435 increased in CSE treated groups at ileum level. This could be related to the trophic effect of bile acids, augmented after CSE administration, in this portion of the intestine 436 437 through interaction with specific nuclear receptors (10,12,73,124).

Beneficial effects observed after co-administration of FOS and CSE on IAA and bacterial adherence to mucus did not exceed the benefits of individual treatments (antagonistic or indifferent interactions). It could be possible that anti-inflammatory mechanisms exerted by FOS and biliary acids, that involve cytokines produced by intestine immune cells, interfere at different levels (117,125–135).

## 443 **Conclusions**

The gastrointestinal mucosa is the first biological barrier that makes contact to different compounds present in feed, and consequently, it could be exposed to dietary toxins. Thereby the intestinal epithelial cells are target for antibiotic, natural extracts used as additives and mycotoxins.

In the present study, we have demonstrated the impact of FOS, CSE and DON on intestinal health parameters. DON showed a deleterious effect at different levels of the intestinal epithelium at sub- toxic concentrations. This could represent a predisposing factor to progressive weight loss, digestive problems and diarrhea as well as a reduction in the intestinal barrier function. The antibiotic FOS and CSE improved all studied parameters in relation with the intestinal health. Interactions between both treatments resulted in similar beneficial effects as the individual administration, there remains work to be done investigating the specific mechanisms which contribute to this type of interactions.

Finally, the knowledge of the intestinal effects of these compounds contributes to understand the physiological/physio-pathological gut changes and their potential productive consequences. Particularly, CSE could be considered as a nutritional strategy to prevent enteric disorders and improve intestinal health in post-weaned piglets, emerging as a possible alternative to preventive use of antibiotics. In addition, the presence of mycotoxins in feed even at sub-toxic concentrations may cause detrimental gastrointestinal effects and should not be underestimated.

464

## 465 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y
Técnicas (PICT 2012- 2398) from Argentina.

468 The authors would like to thank Edgardo Rodriguez and Sandra E. Pérez for469 collaborating with this study.

470

## 471 **References**

- Hampson DJ, Kidder DE. Influence of creep feeding and weaning on brush
   border enzyme activities in the piglet small intestine. Res Vet Sci. 1986;40(1):
   24–31.
- 475 2. Lallès J, Bosi P, Smidt H, Stokes CR. Weaning A challenge to gut
  476 physiologists. Livest Sci. 2007;108: 82–93.
- 477 3. Heo JM, Opapeju FO, Pluske JR, Kim JC, Hampson DJ, Nyachoti CM.
  478 Gastrointestinal health and function in weaned pigs: a review of feeding
  479 strategies to control post-weaning diarrhoea without using in-feed antimicrobial
  480 compounds. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr. 2013;97: 207–237.
- 481 4. Martineau G-P, Morvan H. Maladies d'élevage des porcs: diagnostics, causes,
  482 traitements. France Agricole Editions; 2010.

5. Schiavone A, Righi F, Quarantelli A, Bruni R, Serventi P, Fusari A. Use of
Silybum marianum fruit extract in broiler chicken nutrition: influence on
performance and meat quality. 2007;91: 256–262.

- 486 6. Abbasi F, Samadi F. Effect of Different Levels of Artichoke (Cynara scolymus
  487 L.) Leaf Powder on the Performance and Meat Quality of Japanese Quail. Poult
  488 Sci J. 2014;2(2): 95–111.
- 489 7. Martínez D, Uculmana C. Artichoke extract (Cynara scolymus L.): experiences
  490 of use in animal production markets and opportunities for its production in Peru.
  491 Agroindustrial Sci. 2016;1: 155–161.
- 492 8. Saeed M, Babazadeh D, Arif M, Arain MA, Bhutto ZA, Shar AH, et al.
  493 Silymarin: a potent hepatoprotective agent in poultry industry. Worlds Poult Sci
  494 J. 2017;73(3): 483–492.
- 495 9. Bertók L. Bile acids in physico-chemical host defence. Pathophysiology.
  496 2004;11(3): 139–145.
- Inagaki T, Moschetta A, Lee YK, Peng L, Zhao G, Downes M, et al. Regulation
  of antibacterial defense in the small intestine by the nuclear bile acid receptor.
  Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2006;103(10): 3920–3925.
- 500 11. Mikov M, Fawcett J, Kuhajda K, Kevresan S. Pharmacology of Bile Acids and
  501 their Derivatives: Absorption Promoters and Therapueutic Agents. Eur J drug.
  502 2006;31(3): 237–251.
- 503 12. Chiang JYL. Bile acids: regulation of synthesis. J Lipid Res. 2009;50(10): 1955–
  504 1966.
- 505 13. Speroni E, Cervellati R, Govoni P, Guizzardi S, Renzulli C, Guerra MC. Efficacy
  506 of different Cynara scolymus preparations on liver complaints. J
  507 Ethnopharmacol. 2003;86: 203–211.
- Wegener T, Fintelmann V. Pharmacological properties and therapeutic profile of
  artichoke (Cynara scolymus L.). Wien Med Wochenschr. 1999;149(8–10): 241–
  247.
- 511 15. Martínez G, Diéguez SN, Rodríguez E, Decundo JM, Romanelli A, Fernández
  512 Paggi MB, et al. Effect of *Cynara scolymus* and *Silybum marianum* extracts on

- 513 bile production in pigs. J Appl Anim Res. 2018;46(1): 1059–1063.
- 16. Rotter BA. Invited review: Toxicology of deoxynivalenol (vomitoxin). J Toxicol
  Environ Heal Part A. 1996;48(1): 1–34.
- Fiotrowska, K. Śliżewska, A. Nowak, Ł. Zielonka, Z. Żakowska, M. Gajęcka
  MG. The Effect of Experimental Fusarium Mycotoxicosis on Microbiota
  Diversity in Porcine Ascending Colon Contents. Toxins (Basel). 2014;6: 2064–
  2081.
- 520 18. Vesonder RF, Ciegler A, Jensen AH. Isolation of the emetic principle from
  521 Fusarium-infected corn. Appl Microbiol. 1973;26(6): 1008–1010.
- 522 19. Eriksen GS, Pettersson H. Toxicological evaluation of trichothecenes in animal
  523 feed. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 2004;114: 205–239.
- Lawlor PG, Lynch PB. peer reviewed Mycotoxins in pig feeds 2: clinical
  aspects. Ir Vet J. 2001;54(4): 172–176.
- 526 21. Pestka JJ. Deoxynivalenol : Toxicity , mechanisms and animal health risks. Anim
  527 Feed Sci Technol. 2007;137: 283–298.
- Alizadeh A, Braber S, Akbari P, Garssen J, Fink-gremmels J. Deoxynivalenol
  Impairs Weight Gain and Affects Markers of Gut Health after Low-Dose, ShortTerm Exposure of Growing Pigs. Toxins (Basel). 2015;7: 2071–2095.
- 23. Roigé MB, Aranguren SM, Riccio MB, Pereyra S, Soraci AL, Tapia MO.
  Mycobiota and mycotoxins in fermented feed, wheat grains and corn grains in
  Southeastern Buenos Aires Province, Argentina. Rev Iberoam Micol. 2009;26(4):
  233–237.
- 535 24. Waché YJ, Valat C, Postollec G, Bougeard S, Burel C, Oswald IP, et al. Impact
  536 of deoxynivalenol on the intestinal microflora of pigs. Int J Mol Sci. 2009;10(1):
  537 1–17.
- 538 25. Castillo M, Martín-Orúe SM, Anguita M, Pérez JF, Gasa J. Adaptation of gut
  539 microbiota to corn physical structure and different types of dietary fibre. Livest
  540 Sci. 2007;109(1–3): 149–152.
- 541 26. Han KS, Balan P, Molist Gasa F, Boland M. Green kiwifruit modulates the

colonic microbiota in growing pigs. Lett Appl Microbiol. 2011;52(4): 379–385.

- 543 27. O'Shea CJ, Sweeney T, Lynch MB, Callan JJ, O'Doherty J V. Modification of
  544 selected bacteria and markers of protein fermentation in the distal gastrointestinal
  545 tract of pigs upon consumption of chitosan is accompanied by heightened manure
  546 odor emissions. J Anim Sci. 2011;89(5): 1366–1375.
- 547 28. Chen K, Gao J, Li J, Huang Y, Luo X, Zhang T. Effects of probiotics and
  548 antibiotics on diversity and structure of intestinal microflora in broiler chickens.
  549 African J Microbiol Res. 2012;6(37): 6612–6617.
- 550 29. Macconkey AT. Note on a New Medium for the Growth and Differentiation of
  551 the Bacillus Coli Communis and the Bacillus Typhi Abdominalis. Lancet.
  552 1900;156(4010): 20.
- 553 30. De Man JC, Rogosa M, Sharpe ME. A medium used for the cultivation of
  554 Lactobacilli. J Appl Bacteriol. 1960;23: 130–135.
- White LA, Newman MC, Cromwell GL, Lindemann MD. Brewers dried yeast as
  a source of mannan oligosaccharides for weanling pigs. J Anim Sci. 2002;80(10):
  2619–2628.
- Mikkelsen LL, Jensen BB. Effect of fructo-oligosaccharides and transgalactooligosaccharides on microbial populations and microbial activity in the
  gastrointestinal tract of piglets post-weaning. Anim Feed Sci Technol.
  2004;117(1-2): 107-119.
- Jouany JP. Volatlile fatty acid and alcohol determination in digestive contents,
  silage juices, bacterial cultures and anaerobic fermentor contents. Sci Aliments.
  1982; 2: 131–144.
- 565 34. Pluske JR, Thompson MJ, Atwood CS, Bird PH, Williams IH, Hartmann PE.
  566 Maintenance of villus height and crypt depth, and enhancement of disaccharide
  567 digestion and monosaccharide absorption, in piglets fed on cows' whole milk
  568 after weaning. Br J Nutr. 1996;76: 409–422.
- 35. Awad WA, Ghareeb K, Paßlack N, Zentek J. Dietary inulin alters the intestinal
  absorptive and barrier function of piglet intestine after weaning. Res Vet Sci.
  2013;95(1): 249–254.

572 36. Solaymani-Mohammadi S, Singer SM. Host Immunity and Pathogen Strain
573 Contribute to Intestinal Disaccharidase Impairment following Gut Infection. J
574 Immunol. 2011;187(7): 3769–3775.

- 575 37. Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram
  576 quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem.
  577 1976;72(1-2): 248-254.
- 578 38. Dahlqvist A. Method for Assay of Intestinal Disaccharidases. Anal Biochem
  579 1964;7: 18–25.
- 39. Grant AL, Thomas JW, King KJ, Liesman JS. Effects of dietary amines on the
  small intestinal variables in neonatal pigs fed soy protein isolate. J Anim Sci.
  1990;68: 363–371.
- 40. Pluske JR, Williams IH, Aherne FX. Villous height and crypt depth in piglets in
  response to increases in the intake of cows' milk after weaning. Anim Sci.
  1996;62(1): 145–158.
- 41. van Beers-Schreurs HM, Nabuurs MJ, Vellenga L, Kalsbeek-van der Valk HJ,
  Wensing T, Breukink HJ. Weaning and the weanling diet influence the villous
  height and crypt depth in the small intestine of pigs and alter the concentrations
  of short-chain fatty acids in the large intestine and blood. J Nutr. 1998;128(6):
  947–953.
- 591 42. Spreeuwenberg MA, Verdonk JM, Gaskins HR, Verstegen MW. Small intestine
  592 epithelial barrier function is compromised in pigs with low feed intake at
  593 weaning. J Nutr. 2001; 131(5): 1520–1527.
- Montagne L, Pluske JR, Hampson DJ. A review of interactions between dietary
  fibre and the intestinal mucosa, and their consequences on digestive health in
  young non-ruminant animals. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 2003;108(1–4): 95–117.
- 597 44. Budiño FEL, Thomaz MC, Kronka RN, Nakaghi LSO, Tucci FM, Fraga AL, et
  598 al. Effect of probiotic and prebiotic inclusion in weaned piglet diets on structure
  599 and ultra-structure of small intestine. Brazilian Arch Biol Technol. 2005;48(6):
  600 921–929.
- 45. Hedemann MS, Eskildsen M, Lærke HN, Pedersen C, Lindberg JE, Laurinen P,

et al. Intestinal morphology and enzymatic activity in newly weaned pigs fed
contrasting fiber concentrations and fiber properties. J Anim Sci. 2006;84(6):
1375–1386.

- 46. Awad WA, Ghareeb K, Abdel-Raheem S, Bohm J. Effects of dietary inclusion of
  probiotic and synbiotic on growth performance, organ weights, and intestinal
  histomorphology of broiler chickens. Poult Sci. 2009;88(1): 49–56.
- 47. Xu ZR, Hu CH, Xia MS, Zhan XA, Wang MQ. Effects of dietary
  fructooligosaccharide on digestive enzyme activities, and intestinal microflora
  and morphology of male broilers. Poult Sci. 2003;82: 1030–1036.
- 48. Buddle JR, Bolton JR. The pathophysiology of diarrhoea in pigs. Pig News Inf.
  1992;13: 41–45.
- 49. Burrin DG, Stoll B, Van Goudoever JB, Reeds PJ. 1 9 Nutrient Requirements for
  Intestinal Growth and Metabolism in the Developing Pig. In: Digestive
  Physiology of Pigs: Proceedings of the 8th Symposium. CABI; 2001. p. 75.
- 50. Kisielinski K, Willis S, Prescher a, Klosterhalfen B, Schumpelick V. A simple
  new method to calculate small intestine absorptive surface in the rat. Clin Exp
  Med. 2002;2(3): 131–135.
- 51. Piel C, Montagne L, Sève B, Lallès J-P. Increasing digesta viscosity using
  carboxymethylcellulose in weaned piglets stimulates ileal goblet cell numbers
  and maturation. J Nutr. 2005;135(1): 86–91.
- 52. Wadolkowski EA, Laux DC, Cohen PS. Colonization of the StreptomycinTreated Mouse Large-Intestine by A Human Fecal Escherichia-Coli Strain Role
  of Growth in Mucus. Infect Immun. 1988;56(5): 1030–1035.
- 53. Edelman S, Leskelä S, Ron E, Apajalahti J, Korhonen TK. In vitro adhesion of an
  avian pathogenic Escherichia coli O78 strain to surfaces of the chicken intestinal
  tract and to ileal mucus. Vet Microbiol. 2003;91(1): 41–56.
- 54. Blomberg L, Krivan HC, Cohen PS, Conway PL. Piglet ileal mucus contains
  protein and glycolipid (galactosylceramide) receptors specific for Escherichia
  coli K88 fimbriae. Infect Immun. 1993;61(6): 2526–2531.
- 631 55. Blomberg L, Gustafsson L, Cohen PS, Conway PL, Blomberg A. Growth of

632 633		Escherichia coli K88 in piglet ileal mucus: Protein expression as an indicator of type of metabolism. J Bacteriol. 1995;177(23): 6695–6703.
634 635 636	56.	Pestova MI, Clift RE, Jason Vickers R, Franklin MA, Mathew AG. Effect of weaning and dietary galactose supplementation on digesta glycoproteins in pigs. J Sci Food Agric. 2000;80(13): 1918–1924.
637 638	57.	Mathew A. Seeking Alternatives to Growth Promoting Antibiotics Alan. Manitoba Swine Semin. 2002;16: 115–128.
639 640 641	58.	Erdem AL, Avelino F, Xicohtencatl-Cortes J, Girón JA. Host protein binding and adhesive properties of H6 and H7 flagella of attaching and effacing Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol. 2007;189(20): 7426–7435.
642 643 644	59.	Bai X, Liu X, Su Y. Inhibitory effects of intestinal mucus on bacterial adherence to cultured intestinal epithelial cells after surface burns. Chin Med J. 2000;113(5): 449–450.
645 646	60.	Korhonen J. Antibiotic Resistance of Lactic Acid Bacteria. PhD Thesis. 2010. 1- 75 p.
647 648	61.	Dowarah R, Verma AK, Agarwal N. The use of Lactobacillus as an alternative of antibiotic growth promoters in pigs: A review. Anim Nutr. 2017;3(1): 1–6.
649 650	62.	Liao SF, Nyachoti M. Using probiotics to improve swine gut health and nutrient utilization. Anim Nutr. 2017;3(4): 331–343.
651 652	63.	He P, Young LG, Forsberg C. Microbial transformation of deoxynivalenol (vomitoxin). Appl Environ Microbiol. 1992;58(12): 3857–3863.
653 654 655	64.	Kollarczik B, Gareis M, Hanelt M. In Vitro Transformation of the Fusarium Mycotoxins Deoxynivafenof and Zearafenone by the Normal Gut Microflora of Pigs Birgit. Nat Toxins. 1994;2: 105–110.
656 657 658	65.	Eriksen GS, Pettersson H, Johnsen K, Lindberg JE. Transformation of trichothecenes in ileal digesta and faeces from pigs. Arch Tierernahr. 2002;56(4): 263–274.
659 660	66.	Niderkorn V, Boudra H, Morgavi DP. Binding of Fusarium mycotoxins by fermentative bacteria in vitro. J Appl Microbiol. 2006;101(4): 849–856.

661 67. Young JC, Zhou T, Yu H, Zhu H, Gong J. Degradation of trichothecene
662 mycotoxins by chicken intestinal microbes. Food Chem Toxicol. 2007;45(1):
663 136–43.

664 68. Sauer WC, Mosenthin R, Hartog L a Den. The effect of source of fiber on ileal
and fecal amino acid digestibility and bacterial nitrogen excretion in growing
pigs . J Anim Sci. 1991;69: 4070–4077.

- 667 69. Knudsen KEB, Jensen BB, Hansen I. Digestion of polysaccharides and other 668 major components in the small and large intestine of pigs fed on diets consisting 669 of oat fractions rich in β-D-glucan. Br J Nutr. 1993;70(2): 537–556.
- Freire JPB, Guerreiro AJG, Cunha LF, Aumaitre A. Effect of dietary fibre source
  on total tract digestibility, caecum volatile fatty acids and digestive transit time in
  the weaned piglet. Anim Feed Sci Technol. 2000;87(1–2): 71–83.
- D'Aldebert E, Biyeyeme Bi Mve MJ, Mergey M, Wendum D, Firrincieli D,
  Coilly A, et al. Bile Salts Control the Antimicrobial Peptide Cathelicidin Through
  Nuclear Receptors in the Human Biliary Epithelium. Gastroenterology.
  2009;136(4): 1435–1443.
- Nie Y, Hu J, Yan X. Cross-talk between bile acids and intestinal microbiota in
  host metabolism and health. J Zhejiang Univ B. 2015;16(6): 436–446.
- 679 73. Burrin D, Stoll B, Moore D. Digestive physiology of the pig symposium:
  680 Intestinal bile acid sensing is linked to key endocrine and metabolic signaling
  681 pathways. J Anim Sci. 2013;91(5): 1991–2000.
- 682 74. Cremers CM, Knoefler D, Vitvitsky V, Banerjee R, Jakob U. Bile salts act as
  683 effective protein-unfolding agents and instigators of disulfide stress in vivo. Proc
  684 Natl Acad Sci. 2014;111(16): E1610–E1619.
- Kandell RL, Bernstein C. Bile salt/acid induction of DNA damage in bacterial
  and mammalian cells: implications for colon cancer. Nutr Cancer. 1991; 16(3–4):
  227–238.
- 688 76. Bernstein H, Payne CM, Bernstein C, Schneider J, Beard SE, Crowley CL.
  689 Activation of the promoters of genes associated with DNA damage, oxidative
  690 stress, ER stress and protein malfolding by the bile salt, deoxycholate. Toxicol

#### 691 Lett. 1999;108(1): 37–46.

- 692 77. Bernstein C, Bernstein H, Payne CM, Beard SE, Schneider J. Bile salt activation
  693 of stress response promoters in Escherichia coli. Curr Microbiol. 1999;39(2): 68–
  694 72.
- 695 78. Chou JH, Greenberg JT, Demple B. Posttranscriptional repression of Escherichia
  696 coli OmpF protein in response to redox stress: positive control of the micF
  697 antisense RNA by the soxRS locus. J Bacteriol. 1993;175(4): 1026–1031.
- 698 79. Oh JT, Cajal Y, Skowronska EM, Belkin S, Chen J, Van Dyk TK, et al. Cationic
  699 peptide antimicrobials induce selective transcription of micF and osmY in
  700 Escherichia coli. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2000;1463(1): 43–54.
- 80. Begley M, Gahan CGM, Hill C. The interaction between bacteria and bile. FEMS
  Microbiol Rev. 2005;29(4): 625–651.
- 81. Bederska-Lojewska D, Pieszka M. Modulating gastrointestinal microflora of pigs
  through nutrition using feed additives. Ann Anim Sci. 2011;11(3): 333–355.
- 82. Steer T.; Carpenter H.; Tuohy K.; Gibson G.R. Perspectives on the role of the
  human gut microbiota and its modulation by pro- and prebiotics. Nutr Res Rev.
  2000;13(2000): 229–254.
- 83. Samanta AK, Jayapal N, Senani S, Kolte AP, Sridhar M. Prebiotic inulin: Useful dietary adjuncts to manipulate the livestock gut microflora. Brazilian J Microbiol.
  2013;44(1): 1–14.
- 84. Blottière HM, Buecher B, Galmiche J-P, Cherbut C. Molecular analysis of the
  effect of short-chain fatty acids on intestinal cell proliferation. Proc Natl Acad
  Sci. 2003;62: 101–106.
- 85. Levrat M-A, Rémésy C, Demigné C. High propionic acid fermentations and
  mineral accumulation in the cecum of rats adapted to different levels of inulin. J
  Nutr. 1991;121(11): 1730–1737.
- 717 86. Campbell JM, Fahey GC, Wolf BW. Nutrient Metabolism Selected Indigestible
  718 Oligosaccharides Affect Large Bowel Mass, Cecal and Fecal Short-Chain Fatty
  719 Acids, pH and Microflora in Rats 1,2. J Nutr. 1997;127: 130–136.

Kleessen B, Hartmann L, Blaut M. Oligofructose and long-chain inulin: influence
on the gut microbial ecology of rats associated with a human faecal flora. Br J
Nutr. 2001;86(2): 291–300.

- 88. Poulsen M, Mølck A, Jacobsen BL. Different effects of short- and long-chained
  fructans on large intestinal physiology and carcinogen-induced aberrant crypt
  foci in rats. Nutr Cancer. 2002;42: 194–205.
- 726 89. Gibson GR, Probert HM, Loo J Van, Rastall RA, Roberfroid MB. Dietary
  727 modulation of the human colonic microbiota: updating the concept of prebiotics.
  728 Nutr Res Rev. 2004;17(2): 259–275.
- 90. Loh G, Eberhard M, Brunner RM, Hennig U, Kuhla S, Kleessen B, et al. Inulin
  alters the intestinal microbiota and short-chain fatty acid concentrations in
  growing pigs regardless of their basal diet. J Nutr. 2006;136(5): 1198–1202.
- Barcenilla A, Pryde SE, Martin JC, Duncan SH, Stewart CS, Henderson C, et al.
  Phylogenetic relationships of butyrate-producing bacteria from the human gut.
  Appl Environ Microbiol. 2000;66(4): 1654–1661.
- Duncan SH, Holtrop G, Lobley GE, Calder AG, Stewart CS, Flint HJ.
  Contribution of acetate to butyrate formation by human faecal bacteria. Br J Nutr.
  2004;91(6):915–923.
- Mølbak L, Thomsen LE, Jensen TK, Bach Knudsen KE, Boye M. Increased
  amount of Bifidobacterium thermacidophilum and Megasphaera elsdenii in the
  colonic microbiota of pigs fed a swine dysentery preventive diet containing
  chicory roots and sweet lupine. J Appl Microbiol. 2007;103(5): 1853–1867.
- Patterson JK, Yasuda K, Welch RM, Miller DD, Lei XG. Supplemental Dietary
  Inulin of Variable Chain Lengths Alters Intestinal Bacterial Populations in
  Young Pigs. J Nutr. 2010;140(12): 2158–2161.
- P45 95. Liu H, Ivarsson E, Dicksved J, Lundh T, Lindberg JE. Inclusion of Chicory
  (Cichorium intybus L.) in pigs' diets affects the intestinal microenvironment and
  the gut microbiota. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2012;78(12): 4102–4109.
- 96. Pérez D, Soraci A, Tapia M. Pharmacokinetics and bioavailability of calcium
  fosfomycin in post weaning piglets after oral administration. Int J Agro Vet Med

750 Sci. 2012;6(6): 424–4
---------------------------

- 97. Bernier SP, Surette MG. Concentration-dependent activity of antibiotics in
  natural environments. Front Microbiol. 2013;4: 1–14.
- Munita JM, Arias CA, Unit AR, Santiago A De. HHS Public Access. Mech
  Antibiot Resist. 2016;4(2): 1–37.
- 755 99. Kahan FM, Kahan JS, Cassidy PJ, Kropp H. the Mechanism of Action of
  756 Fosfomycin (Phosphonomycin). Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1974;235(1): 364–386.
- 757 100. Gobernado M. Revisión Fosfomicina. Rev Española Quimioter. 2003;16(1): 15–
  758 40.
- 101. Castañeda-García A, Rodríguez-Rojas A, Guelfo JR, Blázquez J. The glycerol-3phosphate permease GlpT is the only fosfomycin transporter in Pseudomonas
  aeruginosa. J Bacteriol. 2009;191(22): 6968–6974.
- Popovic M, Steinort D, Pillai S, Joukhadar C. Fosfomycin: an old, new friend?
  Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2010;29(2): 127–142.
- Pérez DS, Tapia MO, Soraci AL. Fosfomycin: Uses and potentialities in
  veterinary medicine. open Vet J. 2014;4(1): 26–43.
- Nguyen LT, Haney EF, Vogel HJ. The expanding scope of antimicrobial peptide
  structures and their modes of action. Trends Biotechnol. 2011;29(9): 464–472.
- 105. Grenier B, Applegate TJ. Modulation of intestinal functions following mycotoxin
  ingestion: Meta-analysis of published experiments in animals. Toxins. 2013;5(2):
  396–430.
- 106. Obremski K, Zielonka L, Gajecka M, Jakimiuk E, Bakula T, Baranowski M, et
  al. Histological estimation of the small intestine wall after administration of feed
  containing deoxynivalenol, T-2 toxin and zearalenone in the pig. Pol J Vet Sci.
  2008;11(4): 339–345.
- 107. Bracarense A-PFL, Lucioli J, Grenier B, Drociunas Pacheco G, Moll W-D,
  Schatzmayr G, et al. Chronic ingestion of deoxynivalenol and fumonisin, alone or
  in interaction, induces morphological and immunological changes in the intestine
  of piglets. Br J Nutr. 2012;107(12): 1776–1786.

- 108. Gerez JR, Pinton P, Callu P, Grosjean F, Oswald IP, Bracarense AP aula FL.
  Deoxynivalenol alone or in combination with nivalenol and zearalenone induce
  systemic histological changes in pigs. Exp Toxicol Pathol. 2015;67(2): 89–98.
- 109. Laparra JM, Sanz Y. Comparison of in vitro models to study bacterial adhesion
  to the intestinal epithelium. Lett Appl Microbiol. 2009;49(6): 695–701.
- Pinton P, Graziani F, Pujol A, Nicoletti C, Paris O, Ernouf P, et al.
  Deoxynivalenol inhibits the expression by goblet cells of intestinal mucins
  through a PKR and MAP kinase dependent repression of the resistin-like
  molecule β. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2015;59(6): 1076–1087.
- 111. Robert H, Payros D, Pinton P, Théodorou V, Mercier-Bonin M, Oswald IP.
  Impact of mycotoxins on the intestine: are mucus and microbiota new targets? J
  Toxicol Environ Heal Part B Crit Rev. 2017;20(5): 249–275.
- Pérez Gaudio DS, Martínez G, Fernández Paggi MB, Riccio MB, Decundo JM,
  Diéguez S, et al. Protective Effect of Fosfomycin on Deoxynivalenol- Treated
  Cell Cultures. Eur J Biomed Pharm Sci. 2016;3(7): 99–106.
- Niewold TA. The nonantibiotic anti-inflammatory effect of antimicrobial growth
  promoters, the real mode of action? A hypothesis. Poult Sci. 2007;86:605–609.
- 114. Costa E, Uwiera RR, Kastelic JP, Selinger LB, Inglis GD. Non-therapeutic
  administration of a model antimicrobial growth promoter modulates intestinal
  immune responses. Gut Pathog. 2011;3(1): 1–15.
- Niewold TA. Mechanisms of antibiotics: how do they really work. Banff Pork
  Semin Proceedings, Banff, Alberta, Canada, 15-17 January 2013. 2013;24(2013):
  103–106.
- Brown K, Zaytsoff SJM, Uwiera RRE, Inglis GD. Antimicrobial growth
  promoters modulate host responses in mice with a defined intestinal microbiota.
  Sci Rep. 2016;6: 1–13.
- Brown K, Uwiera RRE, Kalmokoff ML, Brooks SPJ, Inglis GD. Antimicrobial
  growth promoter use in livestock: a requirement to understand their modes of
  action to develop effective alternatives. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2017; 49(1):
  12–24.

118. Collington GK, Parker DS, Armstrong DG. The influence of inclusion of either
an antibiotic or a probiotic in the diet on the development of digestive enzyme
activity in the pig. Br J Nutr. 1990;64(1): 59–70.

Touchette KJ, Carroll JA, Allee GL, Matteri RL, Dyer CJ, Beausang LA, et al.
Effect of spray-dried plasma and lipopolysaccharide exposure on weaned pigs : I
Effects on the immune axis of weaned pigs . K J Touchette , J A Carroll , G L
Allee , R L Matteri , C J Dyer , L A Beausang and M E Zannelli The online
version of this artic. J Anim Sci. 2002;80: 494–501.

- Liu P, Piao XS, Kim SW, Wang L, Shen YB, Lee HS, et al. Effects of chitooligosaccharide supplementation on the growth performance, nutrient
  digestibility, intestinal morphology, and fecal shedding of and in weaning pigs. J
  Anim Sci. 2008;86(10): 2609–2618.
- 121. le Roux CW, Borg C, Wallis K, Vincent RP, Bueter M, Goodlad R, et al. Gut
  Hypertrophy After Gastric Bypass Is Associated With Increased Glucagon-Like
  Peptide 2 and Intestinal Crypt Cell Proliferation. Ann Surg. 2010;252(1): 50–56.
- Jain AK, Stoll B, Burrin DG, Holst JJ, Moore DD. Enteral bile acid treatment
  improves parenteral nutrition-related liver disease and intestinal mucosal atrophy
  in neonatal pigs. AJP Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2012;302(2): G218–G224.
- de Diego-Cabero N, Mereu A, Menoyo D, Holst JJ, Ipharraguerre IR. Bile acid
  mediated effects on gut integrity and performance of early-weaned piglets. BMC
  Vet Res. 2015;11(1): 1–8.
- 830 124. Stojancevic M, Stankov K, Mikov M. The impact of farnesoid X receptor
  831 activation on intestinal permeability in inflammatory bowel disease. Can J
  832 Gastroenterol. 2012;26(9): 631–637.
- Morikawa K, Oseko F, Morikawa S, Sawada M. Immunosuppressive activity of
  fosfomycin on human T-lymphocyte function in vitro. Antimicrob Agents
  Chemother. 1993;37(12): 2684–2687.
- Perez Fernandez P, Herrera I, Martinez P, Gomez-Lus ML, Prieto J.
  Enhancement of the susceptibility of Staphylococcus aureus to phagocytosis after
  treatment with fosfomycin compared with other antimicrobial agents.
  Chemotherapy. 1995;41(1): 45–49.

127. Krause R, Patruta S, Daxböck F, Fladerer P, Wenisch C. The effect of
fosfomycin on neutrophil function. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2001;47(2): 141–
146.

- Morikawa K, Zhang J, Nonaka M, Morikawa S. Modulatory effect of macrolide
  antibiotics on the Th1- and Th2-type cytokine production. Int J Antimicrob
  Agents. 2002;19(1): 53–59.
- Tullio V, Cuffini AM, Banche G, Mandras N, Allizond V, Roana J, et al. Role of
  fosfomycin tromethamine in modulating non-specific defence mechanisms in
  chronic uremic patients towards ESBL-producing Escherichia coli. Int J
  Immunopathol Pharmacol. 2008;21(1): 153–160.
- Buret AG. Immuno-modulation and anti-inflammatory benefits of antibiotics:
  The example of tilmicosin. Can J Vet Res. 2010;74(1): 1–10.
- 131. Shen YB, Piao XS, Kim SW, Wang L, Liu P, Yoon I, et al. Effects of yeast
  culture supplementation on growth performance, intestinal health, and immune
  response of nursery pigs. J Anim Sci. 2009;87(8) :2614–2624.
- Yokota SI, Okabayashi T, Yoto Y, Hori T, Tsutsumi H, Fujii N. Fosfomycin
  suppresses RS-virus-induced Streptococcus pneumoniae and Haemophilus
  influenzae adhesion to respiratory epithelial cells via the platelet-activating factor
  receptor. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2010;310(1): 84–90.
- Michalopoulos AS, Livaditis IG, Gougoutas V. The revival of fosfomycin. Int J
  Infect Dis. 2011;15(11): e732–e739.
- 134. Zhang Y, Limaye PB, Renaud HJ, Klaassen CD. Effect of various antibiotics on
  modulation of intestinal microbiota and bile acid profile in mice. Toxicol Appl
  Pharmacol. 2014;277(2): 138–145.
- 135. Falagas ME, Vouloumanou EK, Samonis G, Vardakas KZ. Fosfomycin. Clin
  Microbiol Rev. 2016;29(2): 321–347.

866