Title: Sequence-Dependent DNA Shape Features Regulate Chromatin Accessibility in

Alternatively Activated Macrophages

Authors: Mei San Tang[1,6§], Emily R. Miraldi[2,3], Natasha M. Girgis[1], Richard A. Bonneau[4,5],

P'ng Loke[1§]

Affiliations:

[1]Department of Microbiology, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY

10016, USA.

[2] Divisions of Immunobiology and Biomedical Informatics, Cincinnati Children's Hospital

Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH 45229, USA.

[3] Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH,

45267, USA.

[4] Department of Biology, Center for Genomics and Systems Biology, New York University,

New York, NY 10003, USA.

[5] Simons Center for Data Analysis, Simons Foundation, New York, NY 10011, USA.

[6] Current address: Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University in St

Louis, Saint Louis, MO 63110, USA.

[§]Correspondence to:
meisan@wustl.edu
Png.Loke@nyulangone.org
22

Abstract: Interleukin-4 (IL-4) activates macrophages to adopt a distinct phenotype important for tissue repair and helminth infection, but the molecular basis of chromatin remodeling in response to IL-4 stimulation is not understood. We find that IL-4 activation of terminally differentiated macrophages in mice is accompanied by macrophage-specific chromatin remodeling in regions enriched with binding motifs of similar transcription factors, including the pioneer factor PU.1. However, the PU.1 motifs display macrophage-specific sequence features, including macrophage-specific DNA shape profiles. Mutation studies based on natural genetic variations between C57BL/6 and BALB/c mouse strains demonstrate that accessibility of these IL-4 induced regions can be regulated through differences in DNA shape, where accessibility is only disrupted through a sufficiently large change in DNA shape. Furthermore, the chromatin remodeling process following long-term IL-4 stimulation is accompanied by a change in response to a secondary IL-4 stimulation. We propose a model whereby DNA sequence variations alter accessible chromatin landscape by inducing a large DNA shape change, leading to differences in secondary responses with different host genetics.

Introduction

Macrophage activation is a process by which macrophages transition from a resting state to adopt different phenotypes, in response to specific external stimuli that can either be danger signals or homeostatic and metabolic signals (*1*). The macrophage activation process is accompanied by changes in transcriptional activities and histone modifications genome-wide, orchestrated by combinatorial actions of different transcription factors (TFs) that include lineage-determining pioneer factors (LDTF) (such as PU.1) and stimulus-dependent TFs (such as the STAT and IRF proteins) (*1-5*). Such molecular events have been most extensively characterized for bone marrow derived macrophages (BMDMs) in response to toll-like receptor (TLR) signaling, as a reductionist model for the type 1 immune response to bacterial infections. In contrast, alternatively activated macrophages (AAMs) induced by the type 2 cytokines interleukin-4 (IL-4) and IL-13, adopt a distinct phenotype that can promote expulsion and resolution of helminth infections, as well as tissue repair during chronic inflammation (*6*). Notably, different lineages and phenotypes of tissue macrophages will respond differently to IL-4 stimulation *in vivo* (*7, 8*). Hence, a key question is how and why do different types of macrophages respond differently to the same stimuli? The accessible chromatin landscape of terminally differentiated cells, shaped during differentiation, can determine cellular functions and phenotype (*9, 10*). Different types of tissue macrophages with distinct functions have distinct accessible chromatin profiles (*11*). However, the remodeling of the chromatin landscape in response to external stimulations for different macrophages is poorly understood, especially in the context of alternative activation in response to type 2 cytokines.

We address this question here, where we induced a state of alternative activation using IL-4 stimulation on different types of mouse peritoneal macrophages and used ATAC-Seq (*12*)

to profile the open chromatin landscape in these macrophages. We observed that IL-4 activation

is accompanied by cell-type-specific chromatin remodeling in regions enriched with similar TF

DNA binding motifs, but these similar TF motifs have macrophage-specific sequence

characteristics. Notably, PU.1 and AP-1 motifs in the IL-4 induced regions of AAM^{res} and

AAM^{mono} have different DNA shape profiles. Leveraging the genetic variations between

C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice to perform mutational studies on the accessible regions in AAMs,

we demonstrate that regulatory regions will only experience changes in accessibility if they

undergo sufficiently large changes in DNA shape induced by sequence variants. In a recent study

that characterized TLR4 activation in BMDMs derived from different mouse strains, "silent

mutations" were observed in 20-95% of accessible chromatin regions (i.e. chromatin regions that

contained sequence variants but experienced no change in chromatin accessibility) but were

unexplained, despite the large variety of genomic approaches used (*13*). We propose that DNA

shape features may be an important contributor to this unexplained observation.

Furthermore, long-term exposure to IL-4 stimulation not only leads to a change in the

accessible chromatin landscape, but is also accompanied by persistent changes in cellular

phenotype, including a dampening of response to a repeat IL-4 stimulation. While there have

been considerable number of studies that described the opposing effects of different cytokines

(*14, 15*), none has so far demonstrated this dampening of response with repeated stimulations of

the same kind. Notably, this dampening in response to the second IL-4 stimulation occurs to

different degrees in C57BL/6 and BALB/c mouse strains. In light of the increasing interest in

macrophage alternative activation, it is important to realize that much of what has been defined

for alternative activation (such as the expression of *Arg1*) has been based on studies on the

C57BL/6 mouse strain and many of these findings might not hold true on a different genetics

background.

Results

IL-4 stimulation leads to remodeling of open chromatin landscape in peritoneal macrophages

To examine chromatin remodeling on different types of tissue macrophages, we injected

recombinant IL-4–antibody complex (IL-4c) into the peritoneal cavity of C57BL/6 mice to

induce accumulation of alternatively activated F4/80^{hi}CD206^{-} macrophages of embryonic origin

(AAM^{res}) and compared these with F4/80^{int}CD206^{+} macrophages derived from

Ly6C^{hi} inflammatory blood monocytes (AAM^{mono}) from mice injected with IL-4c and

thioglycollate (*8*). We then used ATAC-seq (*12*) to profile the open chromatin landscape of these

macrophages, in comparison to unstimulated F4/80^{hi}CD206^{-} macrophages of naïve mice and

F4/80^{int}CD206^{+} macrophages from thioglycollate-treated mice (*8*).

The overall differences in accessible chromatin landscape (a total of 61,713 open

chromatin regions) could be attributed mainly to the type of macrophage (27% of total variance),

but alternative activation by IL-4 also altered the accessible chromatin profiles (Figures 1A, B).

Arg1 and *Ucp1*, which are known to be IL-4 inducible (*8*), had constitutively accessible

chromatin regions, whereas *Retnla*, another IL-4 inducible gene, had chromatin regions that

gained accessibility in response to IL-4 (Figure 1B). This IL-4 induced chromatin remodeling

process can be cell-type-specific at certain regions (e.g. regions adjacent to the loci of *Tgfb2*,

Ccl2) (Figure 1B). Of the 61,713 total accessible regions, we identified 1572 regions induced by

IL-4 for AAM^{res} and 1462 regions for AAM^{mono} (Figure S1A). IL-4-dependent regions also had

the largest contribution to the differences in open chromatin profiles between unstimulated and

IL-4 stimulated macrophages (Figure 1C).

The IL-4 induced regions almost all (99% in AAM^{res} and 97% in AAM^{mono}) gained

accessibility from undetectable levels at baseline (Figure 1D). When compared to constitutively

accessible regions (Figure S1B), IL-4 induced regions have distinct sequence properties. They

were more likely to be non-coding intronic elements (binomial enrichment, p = 2.53 × 10^{-5} in

AAM^{res} and p = 8.54 × 10^{-4} in AAM^{mono}) (Figure 1E). In the monocyte-derived macrophages, IL-

4 induced regions were closer to IL-4 induced genes (two-sided Mann-Whitney test, p = 3.41 ×

10^{-25} in AAM^{mono}) (Figure 1F). In tissue-resident macrophages, IL-4 induced regions were lower

in GC content (two-sided Mann-Whitney test, p = 8.65 × 10^{-46} in AAM^{res}) (Figure 1G, left).

These regions were also less likely to overlap with a CpG island (Figure 1G, right). These

differences between constitutively accessible and IL-4 induced regions were observed in both

monocyte-derived and tissue resident macrophages.

IL-4 induced regions contain similar TF motifs with macrophage-specific sequence

characteristics

Even though both AAM^{mono} and AAM^{res} received the same stimulation within the

peritoneal tissue environment, the regions that were remodeled by IL-4 were largely

macrophage-specific (Figure 2A). Of all the 2855 IL-4 induced regions, only 179 regions (6% of

total IL-4 induced regions) were shared between both AAM^{mono} and AAM^{res} (Figure S1C).

While the IL-4 induced regions from AAM^{mono} and AAM^{res} were largely distinct, the DNA

motifs discovered from these distinct regions were grouped into similar families of TFs, which

included PU.1, KLF and the AP-1 family of motifs (Figure 2B). We next used an over-

representation approach to further identify additional motifs that were selectively enriched in the

IL-4 induced regions of AAM^{res} vs. AAM^{mono} (Data S1). Since there were some overlaps

between the motifs discovered by the *de novo* discovery method and over-representation method,

we combined the two sets of motifs for a clustering analysis and merged motifs that were

redundant. Using this approach, we were able to identify macrophage-specific motifs that were

not initially identified by the *de novo* motif discovery method (Figure 2C). Motifs of the GATA

family and of the bHLH class (primarily resembling MYC, with consensus sequence CACGTG),

were specific to IL-4 induced regions of AAM^{res}. In contrast, the NFY and STAT motifs were

specific to IL-4 induced regions of AAM^{mono}. However, these macrophage-specific motifs were

only detected in a minority of IL-4 induced regions (236 of 1572 IL-4 induced peaks in AAM^{res}

and 317 of 1462 IL-4 induced peaks in AAM^{mono}), while the PU.1, KLF and AP-1 motifs

discovered by the *de novo* method were present in approximately 75% of IL-4 induced peaks in

AAM^{res} and AAM^{mono}. This suggests that the families of TFs involved in the IL-4 induced

chromatin remodeling process (PU.1, KLF and AP-1) were largely similar across AAM^{res} and

AAM^{mono}.

We further delineated the proportion of accessible regions containing different

combinations of the PU.1, KLF and AP-1 motifs. Overall, 50% of IL-4 induced regions

contained only a single TF motif (one of either PU.1, KLF or AP-1 only) while 25% of IL-4

induced regions contained multiple TF motifs (Figure 2D, left panel). This trend was shared

across AAM^{res} and AAM^{mono}. However, AAM^{res} had significantly higher number of accessible

regions with the KLF motif (two-sided Fisher's exact test, $p = 9.21 \times 10^{-8}$), while AAM^{mono} had

significantly higher number of accessible regions with the AP-1 motif (two-sided Fisher's exact

test, $p = 9.59 \times 10^{-10}$), suggesting the preferential use of these TFs by AAM^{res} vs. AAM^{mono}

during chromatin remodeling in the context of IL-4 induced alternative activation (Figure 2D,

right panel). Such differences were not observed with the PU.1 motifs. We next examined the

expression levels of these TF families to determine if specific members within each family could

be differentially expressed between AAM^{res} and AAM^{mono}. The 21 TFs of PU.1, KLF and AP-1

families that were highly expressed in peritoneal macrophages almost all demonstrated lineage-

specific expression, both at baseline (Clusters 1 and 2) and with IL-4 stimulation (Clusters 3 and

4) (Figure 2E, Figure S2A).

Members of the same TF families recognize and bind to similar short DNA sequences

genome-wide to cause downstream cellular changes. Since IL-4 induced chromatin remodeling

occurred at largely distinct sites in AAM^{res} vs. AAM^{mono}, additional sequence characteristics

beyond the DNA motif sequences must be associated with the macrophage-specific IL-4 induced

regions. While PU.1 is better characterized as a pioneer factor that shapes the chromatin

landscape during macrophage development (16, 17), it can also unveil latent enhancers during

macrophage activation, through cooperative binding with stimulus-dependent TFs (such as

STAT1, STAT6) (4). In this study, it was the motif most frequently discovered from IL-4

induced regions (Figure 2D, right panel). We focused on these predicted PU.1 binding sites and

characterized their local sequence features in both types of macrophages. We first quantified the

accuracy of PU.1 motif prediction with actual PU.1 binding, by comparing our predicted PU.1

motifs from thioglycollate-elicited macrophages with ChIP-seq-defined PU.1 binding sites of

thioglycollate-elicited macrophages (5, 18). We found that 78% of the PU.1 motif sites predicted

from thioglycollate-induced macrophages in our study (4,282 of total 5,492 predicted PU.1 motif

sites) overlapped with a PU.1 binding site defined by ChIP-seq (Figure S2B).

The PU.1 motifs discovered from the IL-4 induced regions of AAM[res] and AAM[mono] were

prominently different in the nucleotides flanking the core GGAA nucleotides, which were

recognized as the nucleotides directly involved in TF-DNA binding (*19, 20*) (Figure 3A). In

particular, the core-flanking nucleotides on the 5' end of the PU.1 motif in AAM[mono] appeared to

have higher AT content. We asked if this was likely due to different collaborating TFs binding

adjacent to PU.1 in AAM[res] vs. AAM[mono]. To examine this possibility, we selected only for

regions of the IL-4-induced PU.1 motif along with ±25bp flanking sequences from both

AAM[mono] and AAM[res] (Figure S2C) and determined which TF motifs were specific for AAM[res]

vs. AAM[mono]. We observed a greater diversity in TF families specifically enriched around the IL-

4 induced PU.1 motifs of AAM[mono] (Figure 3B). We also found that different motifs from the

family of STAT factors were selectively enriched around the IL-4 induced PU.1 regions from

AAM[res] vs. AAM[mono] (Figure 3B), suggesting that while STAT proteins could similarly bind

cooperatively with PU.1 during the IL-4 induced chromatin remodeling process in both types of

macrophages, there could be macrophage-specific variations in the STAT proteins that were

involved with this process.

Since functional PU.1 binding can also be accurately determined by the local three-

dimensional shape of the DNA at PU.1 binding sites (*20*), we next determined if such sequence

properties could be associated with differences in IL-4 induced PU.1 regions between AAM[mono]

and AAM[res]. We computationally predicted four DNA shape configurations (minor groove width

- MGW, propeller twist – ProT, helical twist – HelT and roll) at the IL-4 induced PU.1 regions

(*21*) and found that IL-4 induced PU.1 regions of AAM[mono] and AAM[res] differed significantly in

shape profiles (Figure 3C). In addition, the motif scores (commonly used as a proxy of TF-DNA

binding affinity) of PU.1 in AAM^{res} were significantly higher than that in AAM^{mono} (two-sided

Mann-Whitney test, p = 3.00×10^{-8}) (Figure 3D).

To determine if cell-type-specific local DNA shape of PU.1 binding sites could be

observed in an independent setting, we predicted DNA shape profiles of PU.1 binding sites

defined by ChIP-seq from different cell types (splenic B cells, thioglycollate-elicited-peritoneal

macrophages and a microglial cell line) (*22, 23*) (Figure S2D). The shape of PU.1 binding sites

specific to each of these cell types were significantly distinct (Figure 3E). These results point

towards the contribution of local DNA shape on the cell-type-specific pattern of PU.1 motif

accessibility and binding.

Finally, we also characterized local sequence features for the KLF and AP-1 family

motifs. While KLF motif scores were significantly higher in AAM^{mono} (two-sided Mann-

Whitney test, p < $2.2 \times 10\text{-}16$), there were no differences in the DNA shape around the KLF

motifs of AAM^{res} vs. AAM^{mono} (Figure S3A, S3C). In contrast, AP-1 motifs appeared to have

similar motif scores in AAM^{res} and AAM^{mono}, but displayed macrophage-specific DNA shape in

the propeller twist configuration (two-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p = 3.91×10^{-9}) (Figure

S3B, S3D). Together, all these findings suggest that, while chromatin remodeling during

alternative activation of peritoneal macrophages from different lineages are associated with TFs

from the same families, the lineage-specific chromatin remodeling pattern is most likely

achieved by recognition of distinct sequence features flanking the short nucleotide sequences

directly involved in TF-DNA binding. However, depending on the TF family, the sequence

features used to recognize potential binding sites could be different.

Accessibility of IL-4 induced PU.1 regions can be altered through DNA shape change induced

by sequence mutation, without disruption of the PU.1 motif

To determine whether altering the local DNA shape would change accessibility of the

PU.1 motifs, we designed a mutation study using natural variants between the C57BL/6 and

BALB/c mouse strains, by performing ATAC-seq on AAM^{mono} and AAM^{res} generated from mice

of these two different genetics backgrounds. We first defined "strain-specific" (significant

differences in accessibility counts between C57BL/6 and BALB/c at FDR 10%) or "strain-

common" (no significant differences in accessibility count between C57BL/6 and BALB/c) IL-4

induced PU.1 regions from both AAM^{mono} and AAM^{res}. We next focused only on strain-specific

IL-4 induced PU.1 regions with SNP(s) flanking the PU.1 motif (Figure S4A). This allowed us to

ask if the differences in chromatin accessibility between strains were only due to the flanking

sequence mutation(s) and not confounded by disruption of a potential PU.1 binding site. We then

correlated these strain-specific differences in accessibility with phenotypic differences in gene

expression.

We highlight this DNA shape dependent regulatory mechanism at two independent

genomic regions that we infer to be regulating biologically relevant functions. Our first example

is an intergenic accessible region in AAM^{res}, where two different SNPs occurring at the 19th and

24th base pairs upstream of a PU.1 motif led to changes in DNA shape, most drastically with the

ProT and HelT configurations (Figure 4A, Table 1). This was accompanied by decrease in

chromatin accessibility counts (log$_2$ fold change BALB/c vs. C57BL/6 = -2.57, adjusted p-value

= 0.01) and reduced expression of the nearby *Slc30a4* gene in the BALB/c mice (log$_2$ fold

change BALB/c vs. C57BL/6 = -3.43, adjusted p-value = 0.006). *Slc30a4* is a zinc exporter that

affects the survival of *Histoplasma capsulatum* in IL-4 stimulated macrophages (*24*). Our second

example is an intronic region on the *P4hb* gene in AAM^{mono}, where SNPs occurring at the 8th and

24th base pairs upstream of a PU.1 motif led to changes in DNA shape, with the former causing

larger shifts in the MGW and roll configurations (Figure 4B, Table 1). This was, however,

accompanied by increased accessibility instead (log$_2$ fold change BALB/c vs. C57B6L/6 = 1.87,

adjusted p-value = 0.0085) and increased expression of the *P4hb* gene (log$_2$ fold change BALB/c

vs. C57BL/6 = 0.34, adjusted p-value = 0.048).

Overall, PU.1 motif-flanking variants occurred at higher frequency then variants located

directly within the motif (24.3% vs. 5.4% in AAM^{res} and 20.7% vs. 6.9% in AAM^{mono}) (Figure

4C). This suggests that DNA shape readout (a proxy for local environment), instead of direct

base readout during PU.1 binding, is likely to be a more important sequence property that could

influence PU.1 binding. The frequency of local variants was also greater in strain-specific

genomic elements when compared to strain-common regions (two-sided Fisher's exact test, p =

4.59×10^{-4} in AAM^{res}, p = 8.12×10^{-6} in AAM^{mono}), even though strain-common PU.1 motifs could

also have sequence variants present within ±25bp of the PU.1 motif (Figures 4D; Table 2).

A sufficiently large change in DNA shape is required to alter accessibility of chromatin regions

To identify potential mechanisms that could alter PU.1 accessibility without disrupting

the nucleotide sequences directly involved with TF protein binding, we asked if the SNPs

flanking PU.1 motif could reside in non-PU.1 TF motifs, since PU.1 binding events are likely

associated with a combinatorial set of multiple different TFs. While we found that most of the

SNPs flanking the PU.1 motifs did reside in TF motifs, the proportion of motif-disrupting SNPs

appeared to have no significant differences between strain-specific and strain-common PU.1

regions (Table 3), suggesting that disruption of motifs for collaborating TFs alone was unlikely

to be the sole mechanism of chromatin accessibility alteration.

We next determined if there could be differences in the magnitude of DNA shape change

experienced by strain-specific vs. strain-common regions. To answer this question, we identified

18 strain-specific and 63 strain-common IL-4 induced PU.1 regions across both AAM^{res} and

AAM^{mono} that all contained SNPs flanking the PU.1 motif (but not within the motif itself) and

determined the magnitude of DNA shape change induced by the sequence variants in each of

these IL-4 induced PU.1 regions (Figure S4B). We found that strain-specific IL-4 induced PU.1

regions underwent a greater magnitude in DNA shape change induced by the presence of SNPs

(Figure 5A, Table 4), particularly in the DNA minor groove width (two-sided Mann-Whitney

test, $p = 0.03$). In other words, simply having sequence variants alone was insufficient to cause

an alteration in chromatin accessibility, but the sequence variants must cause a sufficiently large

change in DNA shape in order to alter the accessibility profile of a chromatin region.

Based on the observation made on sequence variants proximal to PU.1 motif, we further

extended the analysis to a genome-wide level to determine the features of accessible regions

most likely to be affected by presence of sequence variants. We identified all accessible regions

carrying SNP(s), regardless of the presence of a PU.1 motif or IL-4 inducibility, and categorized

them into 920 strain-specific regions and 4769 strain-common regions, using the definition

described above (Figure S4B). The strain-specific regions had a significantly greater change in

DNA shape secondary to sequence variants and this was most significant in the MGW, ProT and

roll DNA shape configurations (Figure 5B), similar to what was observed for IL-4 induced PU.1

regions, albeit with greater levels of statistical significance due to the higher number of regions

used for comparisons. We also asked if the degree of change in DNA shape could be associated

with differences in SNP frequency. Indeed, SNP frequency positively correlated with the amount

of SNP-induced DNA shape change, especially for the shape features MGW and ProT (Figure

5C). Hence, the observation that chromatin regions must undergo a sufficiently large change in

DNA shape for alteration of accessibility to occur can be generalized across the genome-wide

chromatin landscape in AAMs.

IL-4 induced accessible regions contribute more to the differences in chromatin landscape

between C57BL/6 and BALB/c AAMs

We next used a logistic regression model to systematically examine the contribution of

various genomic features (IL-4 inducibility, % GC, SNP frequencies and whether the region was

non-coding) in altering chromatin accessibility (Figure S5A). We found regions with higher SNP

frequency were more likely to have strain-specific accessibility, which was consistent with our

findings described above (ANOVA p-value $< 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$, Figure S5A). However, we

unexpectedly identified IL-4 inducibility as another significant genomic feature predictive of

strain-specific accessibility (ANOVA p-value $= 4.97 \times 10^{-5}$, Figure S5A). In other words,

accessible regions that were IL-4-inducible were more likely to be strain-specific.

76 of the 920 strain-specific regions (8.26%) examined in this analysis were IL-4 induced

regions, as compared to 231 of 4769 strain-common regions (4.84%) (two-sided Fisher's exact

test, p $= 6.28 \times 10^{-5}$). We also examined all strain-specific regions (regardless of the presence of

sequence variants) and found enrichment for IL-4 induced regions (Figure S5B). Together, these

findings suggest that stimulation-dependent genomic elements were more likely to contribute to

differences in the accessible chromatin landscape of activated macrophages on different genetics

background, further supporting the functionality of these IL-4-induced genomic elements during

alternative activation.

AAMs from C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice are functionally distinct

We next examined whether macrophages from different genetic macrophages were functionally

distinct, by comparing the transcriptional profiles of C57BL/6 and BALB/c AAMs. Even though

most of the differences in transcriptional profiles were predominantly driven by the types of

macrophages (45% of total variance), strain differences also contributed to the considerable

variation in transcriptional profiles (18% of total variance) (Figure 6A). Consistent with this

finding, most of the macrophage-specific functions were conserved across mouse strains and not

affected by differences in genetics backgrounds (Figure 6B, left panel). In contrast, functional

differences secondary to genetics were largely specific to the different types of macrophages

(Figure 6B, right panel). We next examined the strain-specific functional differences in AAM^{res}

and AAM^{mono}, respectively (Figure 6C). Notably, BALB/c AAM^{res} expressed lower levels of

cell-cycle-related genes, in line with the previously reported observation that peritoneal AAM^{res}

have lower proliferation capacity during *Litomosoides sigmodontis* infection(*25*). Furthermore,

the expressions of PD-L2 (*Pdcd1lg2*) (Figure 6D) and MHCII molecules (Figure 6E), which are

cellular markers typically used to characterize alternative activation (*8*) in AAM^{mono} of C57BL/6

background, were significantly reduced in BALB/c AAM^{mono}. Notably, while 3 of the 5 MHCII

genes (*H2-Aa*, *H2-Ab1*, *H2-Eb1*) had significantly higher expression in C57BL/6 AAM^{mono}, *H2-*

Ea-ps expression was specific to BALB/c AAM^{mono}, indicating differences in antigen

presentation between C57BL/6 and BALB/c AAM^{mono}.

AAMs from C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice respond differently to secondary stimulation by IL-4

Primary stimulation of macrophages could lead to the formation of *de novo* enhancer and

transcriptional memory, which consequently hastens the kinetics of activation upon a second

repeated stimulation (*4, 26*). We hypothesized that the chromatin remodeling that occurred with

IL-4 stimulation in AAMs may translate into enhanced secondary responses with repeated IL-4

stimulation. To test this hypothesis, we compared the responsiveness of *in vivo* derived

F4/80^{int}CD206^{+} AAM^{mono} and M^{mono} to a secondary *ex vivo* stimulus of 24 hours (Figure 7A).

The secondary stimulation was performed after the harvested macrophages were rested overnight

in the absence of IL-4. These differences in secondary responses were also compared between

C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice.

AAM^{mono} from C57BL/6 mice were transcriptionally more distinct from M^{mono} after 24

hours of *ex vivo* culture without IL-4 stimulation, when compared to AAM^{mono} from BALB/c

mice (Figure 7B). In general, across both mouse strains, *in vivo* IL-4 stimulation led to greater

transcriptional changes than *ex vivo* IL-4 stimulation (Figure 7B-7C). AAM^{mono} were also less

responsive to the *ex vivo* IL-4 stimulation, as compared to M^{mono}, indicating that the pre-

treatment with *in vivo* IL-4 led to an overall unresponsiveness to a secondary *ex vivo* IL-4

stimulation and this was contrary to what we had hypothesized. However, the degree of

unresponsiveness to the secondary *ex vivo* IL-4 stimulation was more pronounced in AAM^{mono}

from C57BL/6 mice than that in BALB/c AAM^{mono} (Figure 7D).

By taking a supervised approach, we determined that 80.6% of the genes that were

inducible by *ex vivo* IL-4 in C57BL/6 macrophages would no longer be responsive if the

macrophages were pre-stimulated with *in vivo* IL-4. This was significantly greater (two-sided

Fisher's exact test, $p = 1 \times 10^{-9}$) than that observed for BALB/c macrophages, where 53.9% of

genes that were inducible by *ex vivo* IL-4 would no longer be responsive after pre-treatment with

in vivo IL-4 (Figure 7E). Some of the genes that were unresponsive to the secondary IL-4

stimulation were genes that remained persistently upregulated overnight in the absence of IL-4

stimulation (45% of unresponsive genes in C57BL/6 AAM^{mono} and 35% of unresponsive genes

in BALB/c AAM^{mono}). On the other hand, BALB/c macrophages had significantly higher

number of genes that were enhanced in upregulation upon IL-4 restimulation (23.8% in BALB/c

vs. 6.0% in C57BL/6, two-sided Fisher's exact test, $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$) (Figure 7E). When we

examined the subset of genes that were only upregulated in BALB/c macrophages with repeated

IL-4 stimulation (Figure 7F, Cluster C6), this included *Arg1* (Figure 7G). In AAM^{mono} of

C57BL/6 mice, *Arg1* was downregulated overnight in the absence of IL-4 and was equally

responsive to *ex vivo* IL-4 stimulation in both M^{mono} and AAM^{mono}. In contrast, in macrophages

of BALB/c mice, *Arg1* expression was only induced with repeated IL-4 stimulations (Figure

7G). Together, these findings suggest that *in vivo* IL-4 activation did not only lead to remodeling

of the accessible chromatin landscape, but also led to persistent differences in cellular response

that were different between C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice.

Discussion

In this study, we define and characterize IL-4 induced chromatin accessibility with *in*

vivo alternative activation of AAM^{res} and AAM^{mono}. Although the pioneer factor PU.1 motif is

similarly associated with IL-4 induced regions in both macrophages lineages, the local DNA

shape features at these PU.1 motifs are macrophage-specific. By leveraging natural genetic

variation between mouse strains, we demonstrate that the accessibility of putative PU.1 binding

sites can be altered by DNA sequence mutations that cause a sufficiently large change in DNA

shape, without disruption of the PU.1 motif itself. We show that these IL-4-induced elements are

more likely to be specific to either AAMs from C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice. Finally, we show

that *in vivo* derived AAMs from C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice are functionally distinct and more

importantly, they have different degree of responsiveness to secondary stimulation. BALB/c

macrophages are more responsive to the secondary stimulation, when compared to C57BL/6

macrophages. Enhanced secondary response to stimulation is a hallmark of trained immunity,

which is also associated with epigenetic changes in macrophages (*27-29*). Hence, variation in

DNA sequences resulting from different genetic backgrounds in mice may affect whether

secondary responses are enhanced or dampened.

Most studies on regulation of immune cell differentiation or activation states are focused

on the presence or absence of specific TFs. However, less is known about how these TFs read

the genetic code in order to bind DNA and cause changes to cellular phenotypes. Here, we find

that similar TF motifs (i.e. putative TF binding sites consisting of similar short DNA sequences)

can have macrophage-specific sequence features, indicating that similar TFs recognize DNA

binding sites through sequence features beyond the core DNA-protein interacting base pairs.

Furthermore, by specifically examining the IL-4 induced PU.1 regions, we find that the PU.1

regions in both types of macrophages were not only selectively enriched with different TFs, but

were also associated with different local DNA shape profiles, specifically in the DNA propeller

twist and helical twist configurations. However, while the DNA propeller twist and helical twist

are associated with TF family-specific binding pattern, the DNA minor groove width, on the

other hand, appeared to be associated with accessible vs. non-accessible PU.1 regions. These

observations are consistent with findings from a study that determined how bHLH proteins

(Tye7 vs. Cbf1) with similar DNA binding motifs could recognize distinct binding regions in the

yeast genome. DNA propeller twist was predictive of binding preferences between Tye7 vs.

Cbf1, while DNA minor groove width was predictive of high vs. low affinity binding sites (*30*).

In a different study, DNA minor groove width was identified as an important predictor of actual

PU.1 binding in BMDMs (*20*). However, questions remain as to how differences in DNA shape

mechanistically translate into differences in chromatin remodeling. Other than the possibility of

different co-binding factors, different variants of the PU.1 protein could also be present in

AAM^{res} vs. AAM^{mono}, leading to different binding preferences genome-wide. Since DNA shape

is a consequence of DNA sequence, both modes of DNA recognition are confounded and

difficult to dissociate from one another. It is, therefore, important to identify which amino acid

residue(s) in the PU.1 protein could be involved with this DNA shape readout, as mutating these

residues could potentially be a strategy to identify PU.1 binding sites that are solely dependent

on DNA shape readout, without being confounded by DNA sequences (*31*).

While our initial goal was to explore how different lineages of macrophages remodel

their chromatin in response to the same IL-4 stimuli, our findings on the potential role of DNA

shape features in regulating chromatin accessibility around binding motifs led us to test our

model utilizing natural genetic variations between C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice. We know by

now that most genetic variants reside within non-coding regions of the genome, but less is

known about why some of these regulatory elements are affected by the presence of sequence

variants and some are not. For example, 20-95% of accessible regions in BMDMs with TLR4

activation could contain a sequence variant, yet the accessibility of these regions remained

unaffected (*13*). In our comparison between C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice, we find that a

regulatory element containing multiple sequence variants is far more likely to experience an

alteration in chromatin accessibility and this is due to a greater change in DNA shape. This

observation is not specific to PU.1, but can also be observed with all accessible chromatin

regions in AAMs genome-wide. While the presence of multiple sequence variants within a single

regulatory element indicates the need to further decipher which of these variants are truly causal,

this finding nonetheless suggests that DNA shape change could represent a generalizable means

to prioritize which regulatory elements are more likely to be affected by sequence variants.

However, we are still unable to draw a convincing conclusion on how this disruption of

chromatin accessibility leads to actual functional differences. This challenge of associating

chromatin structure with gene expression has already been described by several other groups and

it is now generally thought that the structural changes (chromatin organization and TF binding)

induced by DNA sequence variants are often more pronounced than the associated functional

changes (*13, 32, 33*).

Several studies have recently described the combinatorial effects of cytokines on

macrophage activation, although most of these studies have focused on the opposing effects of

type 1 and type 2 cytokines (*14, 15*). When repeated stimulation with the same cytokine was

performed and demonstrated "transcriptional memory" (*4, 26*), these studies were conducted

using short-term stimulation in BMDMs. As such, no comparable studies have so far

demonstrated the dampening of response to repeated cytokine stimulation after *in vivo* alternative

activation. Whereas an enhanced secondary response in macrophage function is now considered

to be a key component of "trained immunity", our results here suggest that such "training" may

also include a dampening of responses under certain circumstances that may be dependent on the

genetic background of the host. It would be important to determine if this dampening of response

was specific to *in vivo* IL-4 stimulation, particularly in the setting of a physiological helminth

infection model, which should have continuous production of type 2 cytokines at high abundance

and also over a chronic infection course. Furthermore, given that the dampening of response

occurred to different degree between the C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice, it would be important to

determine if similar observations can be made within the human population and how immune

cells from individuals of different genetics backgrounds and with different cytokine production

capacities would respond differently to repeated environmental stimulations.

Supplementary Materials

Materials and Methods

Fig. S1. IL-4 stimulation leads to remodeling of open chromatin landscape in peritoneal
macrophages.

Fig. S2. Motif analyses on IL-4 induced regions.

Fig. S3. Sequence features of KLF and AP-1 motifs.

Fig. S4. Leveraging on natural genetic variation in C57BL/6 and BALB/c mouse strains to
perform a mutation study.

Fig. S5. Genomic features associated with strain-specificity.

Data file S1. List of motifs identified by over-representation approach (related to Figure 2C).

Data file S2. DNA shape plots of all IL-4 induced PU.1 motif sites with flanking SNPs and
strain-specific chromatin accessibility (related to Figures 4A).

Data file S3. Coordinates and sequences of all strain-specific IL-4 induced PU.1 regions (related
to Figures 4A-B).

Data file S4. List of pathways enriched among strain-specific genes from AAM^{res} and AAM^{mono}
(related to Figure 6C).

References and Notes:

1.   C. K. Glass, G. Natoli, Molecular control of activation and priming in macrophages.
Nature immunology **17**, 26 (2016).
2.   S. Ghisletti *et al.*, Identification and Characterization of Enhancers Controlling the
Inflammatory Gene Expression Program in Macrophages. *Immunity* **32**, 317-328 (2010).
3.   G. Natoli, S. Ghisletti, I. Barozzi, The genomic landscapes of inflammation. *Genes &*
development **25**, 101-106 (2011).
4.   R. Ostuni *et al.*, Latent enhancers activated by stimulation in differentiated cells. *Cell*
152, 157-171 (2013).
5.   M. U. Kaikkonen *et al.*, Remodeling of the enhancer landscape during macrophage
activation is coupled to enhancer transcription. *Molecular cell* **51**, 310-325 (2013).

6.   J. E. Allen, R. M. Maizels, Diversity and dialogue in immunity to helminths. *Nature*
Reviews Immunology **11**, 375 (2011).
7.   U. M. Gundra *et al.*, Vitamin A mediates conversion of monocyte-derived macrophages
into tissue-resident macrophages during alternative activation. *Nature immunology* **18**,
642-653 (2017).
8.   U. M. Gundra *et al.*, Alternatively activated macrophages derived from monocytes and
tissue macrophages are phenotypically and functionally distinct. *Blood* **123**, 22 (2014).
9.   R. E. Thurman *et al.*, The accessible chromatin landscape of the human genome. *Nature*
489, 75 (2012).
10.   A. B. Stergachis *et al.*, Developmental fate and cellular maturity encoded in human
regulatory DNA landscapes. *Cell* **154**, 888-903 (2013).
11.   Y. Lavin *et al.*, Tissue-resident macrophage enhancer landscapes are shaped by the local
microenvironment. *Cell* **159**, 1312-1326 (2014).
12.   J. D. Buenrostro, P. G. Giresi, L. C. Zaba, H. Y. Chang, W. J. Greenleaf, Transposition of
native chromatin for fast and sensitive epigenomic profiling of open chromatin, DNA-
binding proteins and nucleosome position. *Nature methods* **10**, 1213-1218 (2013).
13.   V. M. Link *et al.*, Analysis of Genetically Diverse Macrophages Reveals Local and
Domain-wide Mechanisms that Control Transcription Factor Binding and Function. *Cell*
173, 1796-1809.e1717 (2018).
14.   V. Piccolo *et al.*, Opposing macrophage polarization programs show extensive
epigenomic and transcriptional cross-talk. *Nature immunology* **18**, 530-540 (2017).
15.   K. Kang *et al.*, Interferon-gamma Represses M2 Gene Expression in Human
Macrophages by Disassembling Enhancers Bound by the Transcription Factor MAF.
Immunity **47**, 235-250.e234 (2017).
16.   J. C. Walsh *et al.*, Cooperative and antagonistic interplay between PU.1 and GATA-2 in
the specification of myeloid cell fates. *Immunity* **17**, 665-676 (2002).
17.   S. R. McKercher *et al.*, Targeted disruption of the PU.1 gene results in multiple
hematopoietic abnormalities. *The EMBO Journal* **15**, 5647-5658 (1996).
18.   S. Heinz *et al.*, Effect of natural genetic variation on enhancer selection and function.
Nature **503**, 487-492 (2013).
19.   C. R. Escalante *et al.*, Crystal structure of PU.1/IRF-4/DNA ternary complex. *Molecular*
cell **10**, 1097-1105 (2002).
20.   I. Barozzi *et al.*, Coregulation of transcription factor binding and nucleosome occupancy
through DNA features of mammalian enhancers. *Molecular cell* **54**, 844-857 (2014).
21.   T. Zhou *et al.*, DNAshape: a method for the high-throughput prediction of DNA
structural features on a genomic scale. *Nucleic acids research* **41**, W56-W62 (2013).
22.   A. Crotti *et al.*, Mutant Huntingtin promotes autonomous microglia activation via
myeloid lineage-determining factors. *Nature neuroscience* **17**, 513 (2014).
23.   S. Heinz *et al.*, Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime
cis-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. *Molecular cell* **38**,
576-589 (2010).
24.   K. S. Vignesh *et al.*, IL-4 induces metallothionein 3-and SLC30A4-dependent increase in
intracellular $Zn2+$ that promotes pathogen persistence in macrophages. *Cell reports* **16**,
3232-3246 (2016).
25.   S. M. Campbell *et al.*, Myeloid cell recruitment versus local proliferation differentiates
susceptibility from resistance to filarial infection. *eLife* **7**, (2018).

26.   B. Daniel *et al.*, The IL-4/STAT6/PPARγ signaling axis is driving the expansion of the
RXR heterodimer cistrome, providing complex ligand responsiveness in macrophages.
Nucleic Acids Research, gky157-gky157 (2018).
27.   B. Novakovic *et al.*, beta-Glucan Reverses the Epigenetic State of LPS-Induced
Immunological Tolerance. *Cell* **167**, 1354-1368.e1314 (2016).
28.   I. Mitroulis *et al.*, Modulation of Myelopoiesis Progenitors Is an Integral Component of
Trained Immunity. *Cell* **172**, 147-161.e112 (2018).
29.   E. Kaufmann *et al.*, BCG Educates Hematopoietic Stem Cells to Generate Protective
Innate Immunity against Tuberculosis. *Cell* **172**, 176-190.e119 (2018).
30.   R. Gordân *et al.*, Genomic regions flanking E-box binding sites influence DNA binding
specificity of bHLH transcription factors through DNA shape. *Cell reports* **3**, 1093-1104
(2013).
31.   N. Abe *et al.*, Deconvolving the recognition of DNA shape from sequence. *Cell* **161**, 307-
318 (2015).
32.   K. Alasoo *et al.*, Shared genetic effects on chromatin and gene expression indicate a role
for enhancer priming in immune response. *Nature genetics*, (2018).
33.   M. Gasperini *et al.*, A Genome-wide Framework for Mapping Gene Regulation via
Cellular Genetic Screens. *Cell* **176**, 377-390.e319 (2019).
34.   S. J. Jenkins *et al.*, Local macrophage proliferation, rather than recruitment from the
blood, is a signature of TH2 inflammation. *Science* **332**, 1284-1288 (2011).
35.   T. Hashimshony *et al.*, CEL-Seq2: sensitive highly-multiplexed single-cell RNA-Seq.
Genome biology **17**, 77 (2016).
36.   B. Langmead, S. L. Salzberg, Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Meth* **9**,
357-359 (2012).
37.   H. Li *et al.*, The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics
(Oxford, England)* **25**, 2078-2079 (2009).
38.   M. R. Breese, Y. Liu, NGSUtils: a software suite for analyzing and manipulating next-
generation sequencing datasets. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **29**, 494-496 (2013).
39.   H. Thorvaldsdóttir, J. T. Robinson, J. P. Mesirov, Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV):
high-performance genomics data visualization and exploration. *Briefings in
Bioinformatics* **14**, 178-192 (2013).
40.   M. T. McCarthy, C. A. O'Callaghan, PeaKDEck: a kernel density estimator-based peak
calling program for DNaseI-seq data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **30**, 1302-1304
(2014).
41.   R. Stark, G. Brown. (2011).
42.   M. I. Love, W. Huber, S. Anders, Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome biology* **15**, 550 (2014).
43.   M. E. Ritchie *et al.*, limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing
and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, e47 (2015).
44.   R. Gentleman, V. Carey, W. Huber, F. Hahne. (2016).
45.   A. R. Quinlan, I. M. Hall, BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic
features. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **26**, 841-842 (2010).
46.   F. Hsu *et al.*, The UCSC Known Genes. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **22**, 1036-1046
(2006).
47.   T. L. Bailey *et al.*, MEME SUITE: tools for motif discovery and searching. *Nucleic Acids
Res* **37**, W202-208 (2009).

48. K. Karwacz *et al.*, Critical role of IRF1 and BATF in forming chromatin landscape
during type 1 regulatory cell differentiation. *Nature immunology* **18**, 412 (2017).
49. S. Mahony, P. E. Auron, P. V. Benos, DNA Familial Binding Profiles Made Easy:
Comparison of Various Motif Alignment and Clustering Strategies. *PLoS computational*
biology **3**, e61 (2007).
50. P. V. Benos, S. Mahony, STAMP: a web tool for exploring DNA-binding motif
similarities. *Nucleic Acids Research* **35**, W253-W258 (2007).
51. C. E. Grant, T. L. Bailey, W. S. Noble, FIMO: scanning for occurrences of a given motif.
Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) **27**, 1017-1018 (2011).
52. E. Wingender, T. Schoeps, M. Haubrock, M. Krull, J. Dönitz, TFClass: expanding the
classification of human transcription factors to their mammalian orthologs. *Nucleic Acids*
Research **46**, D343-D347 (2018).
53. T.-P. Chiu *et al.*, DNAshapeR: an R/Bioconductor package for DNA shape prediction
and feature encoding. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **32**, 1211-1213 (2016).
54. P. Danecek *et al.*, The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics (Oxford,*
England) **27**, 2156-2158 (2011).
55. S. Neph *et al.*, BEDOPS: high-performance genomic feature operations. *Bioinformatics*
(Oxford, England) **28**, 1919-1920 (2012).
56. J. Rozowsky *et al.*, AlleleSeq: analysis of allele-specific expression and binding in a
network framework. *Mol Syst Biol* **7**, 522 (2011).
57. S. C. J. Parker, L. Hansen, H. O. Abaan, T. D. Tullius, E. H. Margulies, Local DNA
Topography Correlates with Functional Noncoding Regions of the Human Genome.
Science **324**, 389 (2009).

Acknowledgments: We thank the NYU School of Medicine Genome Technology Center and
Cytometry and Cell Sorting Laboratory core facilities. These shared resources are
partially supported by the Laura and Isaac Perlmutter Cancer Center support grant
P30CA016087. We also thank the NYU IT High Performance Computing resources,
services, and staff expertise. **Funding**: This work was supported through the NIH, NIAID
grants AI093811 and AI094166 (P.L.) and NIDDK grant DK103788 (P.L.), American
Association of Immunologists (M.S.T.), Vilcek Foundation (M.S.T.). **Author**
contributions: Conceptualization, M.S.T. and P.L.; Methodology, M.S.T, E.R.M.,
N.M.G., R.A.B. and P.L.; Formal Analysis, M.S.T.; Investigation, M.S.T. and N.M.G.;
Writing – Original Draft, M.S.T. and P.L.; Writing – Review and Editing, E.R.M. and
P.L.; Visualization, M.S.T.; Data Curation, M.S.T.; Supervision, R.A.B. and P.L.;
Funding acquisition, P.L. All authors read and approved of the final draft. **Competing**
interests: None **Data and materials availability**: ATAC-Seq and RNA-Seq data have
been deposited on NCBI database Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under the
SuperSeries GSE116108 (ATAC-Seq subseries GSE116107 and RNA-Seq subseries
GSE116105).

Figures:

Fig. 1. IL-4 stimulation leads to remodeling of open chromatin landscape in peritoneal macrophages. (**A**) PCA scores of individual ATAC-seq samples. PCA was performed using rlog-transformed ATAC-seq read counts of 30,856 regions with high variance (only regions with variance inter-quartile range > 0.5 were retained). N = 4-6 mice per macrophage population. Data points represent independent biological replicates. (**B**) Genome browser views of representative (boxed) constitutively accessible and IL-4 induced regions. (**C**) The contributions of individual accessible regions to PCs 1 and 2 are represented in the PCA loadings plot. Each data point is color-coded based on the direction of its IL-4 dependency. Hence, IL-4 induced regions (red) are highly associated with IL-4 stimulated macrophages, while IL-4 repressed regions (yellow) are highly associated with unstimulated macrophages. We compared (**D**) enrichment levels for different types of genomic elements, (**E**) distance from a closest IL-4-induced gene and (**F**) G/C content between constitutively accessible and IL-4-induced regions in AAM^{mono} and AAM^{res}, respectively. G/C content information is represented in two different ways – percentage of G/C bases in an accessible region (F, left panel) and CpG island

634 enrichment for a given group of accessible regions (F, right panel). Number of IL-4
635 induced regions = 1572 in AAM^{res} and 1462 in AAM^{mono}; number of constitutively
636 accessible regions = 8061 in AAM^{res} and 14045 in AAM^{mono}. Enrichment p-values are
637 from binomial test, while two-class comparison p-values are from two-sided Mann-
638 Whitney test.
639

Fig. 2. IL-4 induced regions are associated with similar families of TF motifs. (**A**) Heatmap
visualizing the macrophage-specific IL-4 dependent regions. Each row represents one of
the 2855 IL-4 dependent regions and each column a unique sample. Values are rlog-
transformed, batch-subtracted read counts, scaled using a z-score transformation for each
region. (**B**) Motifs discovered *de novo* by MEME from 1572 IL-4 induced regions in
AAM^{res} and 1462 IL-4 induced regions in AAM^{mono}. (**C**) Clustering analysis of motifs
discovered *de novo* and macrophage-specific motifs identified using an over-
representation approach, from the IL-4 induced regions of AAM^{res} (left) and AAM^{mono}
(right), respectively. Asterisks indicate macrophage-specific motifs uniquely identified
via the over-representation approach. (**D**) Frequencies of IL-4 induced peaks categorized

651   by the number of *de novo* motifs detected per region (left) and the presence of any PU.1,
652   KLF or AP-1 motif (right). (**E**) Expression values of individual TF members that were
653   highly expressed and belonged to either PU.1, KLF or AP-1 family. Expression values
654   were obtained from (*8*).

Fig. 3. PU.1 motifs are associated with macrophage-specific sequence features. (**A**) PU.1 motif
discovered from IL-4 induced regions of AAMres (top) and AAMmono (bottom), with
the core and flanking nucleotides highlighted. (**B**) Non-ETS TF motifs that were detected
in the IL-4 induced PU.1 regions (PU.1 motif ± 25bp) and were macrophage-specific, as
determined using a two-sided Fisher's exact test (false discovery rate < 10%). TF motifs
were summarized at the family level, with the maximum absolute $\log_2$ odds ratio of the
family visualized and the specific TF member with the maximum absolute $\log_2$ odds ratio
value is stated in parenthesis. Where TF family annotation was not available, the $\log_2$
odds ratio of the specific TF itself is used. (**C**) Average of DNA shape features at IL-4
induced PU.1 regions of AAM^{mono} and AAM^{res} (MGW = Minor Groove Width, ProT =

Propeller Twist, HelT = Helical Twist). Scatter plots are centered on IL-4 induced PU.1
motifs (x-axes), with the solid lines representing Loess fit of predicted shape values at
single-nucleotide resolution (dots). Boxplots are average predicted shape values over the
PU.1 motif ± 25bp windows and p-values from two-sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. (**D**)
FIMO-derived scores of PU.1 motifs discovered from IL-4 induced regions. P-value from
two-sided Mann-Whitney test. (**E**) Average predicted DNA shape values over PU.1 motif
± 25bp derived from published PU.1 ChIP-Seq data (*22, 23*). P-values from two-sided
Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. BC = B cell, MAC = thioglycollate-induced peritoneal
macrophages, MG = microglial BV2 cell line.
676

Fig. 4. Accessibility of IL-4 induced PU.1 regions can be altered through DNA shape change induced by sequence mutation, without disruption of the PU.1 motif. Selected examples from (A) AAM^{res} and (B) AAM^{mono} of an IL-4 induced PU.1 motif with flanking BALB/c SNPs. In both examples, sequences represent a unique IL-4 induced PU.1 motif region, where the PU.1 motif is underlined and shaded in grey, while BALB/c SNPs are highlighted with red font. Line graphs of predicted shape values are centered on the PU.1 motif (sequences between the vertical lines). Genome browser tracks illustrate the strain-specific accessibility of the region containing the matching sequence (boxed in red). Boxplots represent size-factor normalized read counts in C57BL/6 and BALB/c macrophage. P-values are from DESeq2 (N = 4-8 mice per group) and adjusted by Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. (C) Frequency of different types of sequence variants in strain-specific IL-4 induced PU.1 regions and (D) all IL-4 induced PU.1 regions (bottom). Number of strain-specific IL-4 induced PU.1 regions = 58 in AAM^{mono} and 37 in AAM^{res}; number of strain-common IL-4 induced PU.1 regions = 754 in AAM^{mono} and 828 in AAM^{res}.

Fig. 5. Accessibility is most likely to be disrupted in chromatin regions that experienced a large
change in DNA shape. Comparison of the amount of SNP-induced DNA shape change in
(**A**) 18 strain-specific vs. 63 strain-common PU.1 regions that contained SNPs and (**B**)
922 strain-specific vs. 4776 strain-common accessible regions that contained SNPs. The
shift in DNA shape for each sequence is quantitated using Euclidean distance, where a
larger Euclidean distance value indicates a larger shift in shape. P-values from two-sided
Mann-Whitney tests. (**C**) Scatter plots illustrating the positive correlations between SNP
frequency and amount of DNA shape change undergo by a specific region. Red = strain-
specific regions, blue = strain-common regions. Euclidean distances here are scaled
across each DNA shape feature for visualization purpose. Correlation coefficient and p-
values are based on the Spearman's test using un-scaled Euclidean distances.

Fig. 6. AAMs from C57BL/6 and BALB/c are functionally distinct. (**A**) PCA of 7431 genes with high variance (only genes with variance inter-quartile range > 0.5 were retained). N = 4-8 mice per group. Data points represent independent biological replicates. (**B**) Venn diagrams indicating the number of genes that were commonly and uniquely identified as significantly differential in different comparisons. Left panel: Identification of macrophage-specific genes in C57BL/6 and BALB/c AAMs, respectively. Right panel: Identification of strain-specific genes in AAM^{res} and AAM^{mono}, respectively. (**C**) Enrichment values from Ingenuity Pathway Analysis visualized as $-\log_{10}$ p-value for the four different groups of genes – (1) BALB/c specific in AAM^{res}, (2) C57BL/6 specific in AAM^{res}, (3) BALB/c specific in AAM^{mono} and (4) C57BL/6 in AAM^{mono}. Only the top 10 pathways (as defined by enrichment p-values) are included in this visualization. Specific pathways are highlighted for clarity purpose. The complete list of enriched pathways is provided as Supplemental Materials Data S2. Differential expression of (**D**) PD-L2 and (**E**) MHCII, as determined by flow cytometric analysis of surface expression and transcriptional profiling. Representative flow plots are chosen based on the median values of the double positive populations. Values within each quadrant represent % CD11b+ population.

Fig. 7. Initial alternative activation dampens the macrophage's response to a secondary
stimulation. (A) Schematic of the restimulation experiment. (B) Number of differential
genes for each of the listed comparison. Statistical significance threshold is set at FDR <
10%. N = 3 mice per group. (C) PCA of 6528 genes with high variance (only genes with
variance inter-quartile range > 0.5 were retained), in C57BL/6 (left) and BALB/c (right)
macrophages. (D) Magnitude of transcriptional changes caused by *ex vivo* IL-4

stimulation, indicated by Euclidean distance, where a larger Euclidean distance value
indicates greater changes in transcriptional profiles. (E) Frequency of genes categorized
as "unresponsive", "enhanced" and "equal", based on changes in transcriptional levels
pre- and post-*ex vivo* IL-4 stimulation. (F) K-means clustering of 334 genes upregulated
by *ex vivo* IL-4 stimulation in C57BL/6 and BALB/c monocyte-derived macrophages.
Each row represents one of the 334 genes and each column a unique sample. Values are
rlog-transformed and scaled using a z-score transformation for each gene. (G) $\log_2$
DESeq2 normalized counts for Arg1 across different mouse strains and conditions.

742   **Table 1.** Full length sequences of PU.1 motif with flanking SNPs used as example in Figures
743   4A-B. SNPs are highlighted in red font, while PU.1 motifs are underlined.

AAM^{res} (Figure 4A)

C57BL/6 (chr2:122656227-122656288)
GTTGGGCTATGGTCACACTGTCCTG TGGGGGAGGAA GCTGAGATCATTGTCTGCTTTCAAT
BALB/c (chr2:122639812-122639873)
GCTGGGTTATGGTCACACTGTCCTG TGGGGGAGGAA GCTGAGATCATTGTCTGCTTTCAAT

AAM^{mono} (Figure 4B)

C57BL/6 (chr11:120571348-120571413)
ATGTGACACTTCCTGTGAAGTACTC CAAAGGAGGAACTTT CCCCAGCTCCCACATGCAAGAGTTG
BALB/c (chr11:120542029-120542094)
ACGTGACACTTCCTGTGTAGTACTC CAAAGGAGGAACTTT CCCCAGCTCCCACATGCAAGAGTTG

745
746

747 **Table 2.** Frequency count of different types of sequence variants in all IL-4 induced PU.1
748 regions.
749

Type of variants	Strain-specific IL-4 induced PU.1 regions in AAM^{res}	Strain-common IL-4 induced PU.1 regions in AAM^{res}	Strain-specific IL-4 induced PU.1 regions in AAM^{mono}	Strain-common IL-4 induced PU.1 regions in AAM^{mono}
Motif disrupting	2	16	4	9
Motif flanking	9	53	12	45
No local variants	26	702	42	699

750 **Table 3.** Non-ETS family TF motif occurrences were identified within IL-4 induced PU.1 motif
751 ± 25bp regions and the number of SNPs that did and did not disrupt these motif occurrences
752 were counted. There were no significant differences between the proportions of motif disrupting
753 SNPs in strain-specific vs. strain-common IL-4 induced PU.1 regions, based on the two-sided
754 Fisher's test.

Type of IL-4 induced PU.1 regions	Type of SNPs	Number of SNPs
Strain-specific	Motif disrupting	20
Strain-specific	Non-motif disrupting	3
Strain-common	Motif disrupting	64
Strain-common	Non-motif disrupting	10

756 **Table 4.** Euclidean distances represent the magnitude of shape change caused by the presence of
757 BALB/c SNPs, where a larger Euclidean distance value represents a greater shift in DNA shape.
758 Values presented here are for 18 strain-specific IL-4 induced PU.1 regions and 63 strain-
759 common IL-4 induced PU.1 regions across AAM^{res} and AAM^{mono}. Only IL-4 induced PU.1
760 regions with flanking SNPs are included in this analysis.

Shape feature	Mean Euclidean Distance ± SEM (18 strain-specific regions)	Mean Euclidean Distance ± SEM (63 strain-common regions)
MGW	0.95 ± 0.12	0.74 ± 0.06
ProT	6.17 ± 0.99	5.82 ± 0.39
HelT	20.81 ± 0.52	20.34 ± 0.29
Roll	36.14 ± 1.27	34.25 ± 0.65

Supplementary Materials:

Materials and Methods

<u>Experimental methods</u>

Mice Wild type (WT) C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Jackson laboratory and bred onsite

for the first set of experiments that compared the effect of IL-4 stimulation on the accessible

chromatin profiles from M^{res}, AAM^{res}, M^{mono} and AAM^{mono}. For experiments directly comparing

AAMs of C57BL/6 and BALB/c backgrounds, mice of both strains were purchased from Jackson

laboratory and immediately used for experiments. Mice were age (7-8 weeks of age) and gender

matched. IL-4/anti-IL-4 monoclonal antibody (mAb) complexes (IL-4c) were prepared as

described previously (*34*). To induce AAM^{res}, mice were injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) with IL-

4c on days 0 and 2. Mice were also treated with 4% thioglycollate alone (to induce M^{mono}) or in

combination with IL-4c to induce AAM^{mono} (*8*). All animal procedures were approved by the

New York University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) under protocol

numbers 131004 and 130504.

Peritoneal cell isolation and cell sorting Peritoneal cells were isolated by washing the

peritoneal cavity twice with cold PBS 1x. Peritoneal exudate were then treated with ACK Lysis

buffer to lyse red blood cells and washed once with PBS. Cells were then re-suspended to single-

cell suspensions for staining with fluorescently conjugated antibodies at 1:100 dilutions, unless

otherwise noted. Antibodies were diluted using 2% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Cells were stained

with one of either LIVE/DEAD^{TM} Blue (Invitrogen) or LIVE/DEAD^{TM} Near-IR (Invitrogen),

blocked with 4µg/ml anti-CD16/32 (2.4G2; Bioxcell) and stained with anti-CD11b Pacific Blue

(M1/70; Biolegend), F4/80 PECy7 (BM8; Biolegend), CD206 APC (C068C2; Biolegend),

Siglec-F PE (E50-2440; BD Biosciences), CD3 PE (145-2C11; Biolegend), CD19 PE (6D5;

Biolegend), CD49b PE (DX5; Biolegend), Ly6G (1A8; Biolegend), PD-L2 (PerCP-Cy55;

Miltenyi; diluted at 1:20), MHCII (APC-Cy7; Biolegend). Cells were gated on singlet, live,

Dump-negative (CD3−, CD19−, DX5−, Siglec-F−, Ly6G−), CD11b+, then subsequently gated

on their M^{res} and AAM^{res} (F4/80hi, CD206−) or M^{mono} and AAM^{mono} (F4/80int, CD206+)

phenotype. Cell surface expression of PD-L2 and MHCII were acquired for analysis. Cells were

sorted using 100μm nozzle into FBS, on either BD FACSAriaII or SONY HAPS1, depending on

instrument availability.

Assay for Transposase-Accessible Chromatin with Sequencing (ATAC-seq) ATAC-seq was

performed as described by Buenrostro et al (*12*). 50,000 FACS-purified cells per sample were

spun down at 400g for 5 min at 4°C and washed once with 50μl cold PBS. Cells were lysed with

50μl lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH7.4, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl2, 0.1% IGEPAL CA-630)

and immediately spun down at 1500rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The isolated cell nuclei were then

incubated for 30 min at 37°C with 50μl of transposase reaction, which contained 25μl Tagment

DNA buffer (Illumina), 2.5μl Tagment DNA enzyme (Illumina) and 22.5μl nuclease-free water.

The transposed DNA was immediately purified using the Qiagen MinElute PCR Purification Kit

(Qiagen) following manufacturer's guide and eluted at 10ul volume. PCR amplification of the

transposed DNA was done using a low-cycle number protocol and with primers published by

Buenrostro et al (*12*). Each PCR mix contained of 25μl of NEB 2x PCR Mix (New England

Biolabs), 2.5μl of 25μM forward primer (Primer Ad1_noMX), 2.5μl of 25μM reverse barcoded

primer, 0.3μl of 100x SYBR Green (Invitrogen) and 10μl of transposed DNA. PCR was carried

out with the cycling protocol: 72°C for 5 min, 98°C for 30s, followed by 5 cycles of 98°C for

10s, 63°C for 30s, 72°C for 1 min. The reaction was held at 4°C after the 5th cycle. A side qPCR

was set up using the PCR product from these 5 cycles of amplification. Each qPCR mix

contained 5µl NEB 2x PCR Mix, 0.25µl 25µM forward primer, 0.25µl 25µM reverse barcoded

primer, 0.06µl 100x SYBR Green, 4.44µl nuclease-free water and 5µl of the PCR-amplified

product. qPCR was carried out using the cycling protocol: 98°C for 30s, followed by 25 cycles

of 98°C for 10s, 63°C for 30s, 72°C for 1 min and plate read. The qPCR amplification plot was

then used to calculate the additional number of cycles needed for the PCR to achieve maximum

amount of product without going into saturation. Each sample was amplified for a total of 14-16

cycles. The amplified libraries were then purified using Qiagen MinElute PCR Purification kit

following manufacturer's guide and eluted at 20µl volume. Libraries were sequenced on the

HiSeq 2000 with 2 x 50 cycles and for an average of 50 million paired-end reads per sample. We

performed the IL-4c stimulation experiment twice and generated two independent sets of

libraries to obtain an optimal number of biological replicates for each macrophage population.

The two independent sets of libraries are referred here after as "Run 1" and "Run 2",

respectively. ATAC-seq libraries for C57BL/6 and BALB/c AAMs were generated using the

same protocol and sequenced in a single run.

Transcriptional profiling of BALB/c and C57BL/6 AAMs 100,000 cells were sorted per

sample as described above. FACS-purified cells were spun down and washed once with PBS

before lysis with 350µl of Buffer RLT from the RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAgen). RLT lysates were

homogenized by 1 minute of vortexing and were immediately stored at -80°C until RNA

isolation. RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAgen) based on manufacturer's

protocol, with an additional DNase digestion step using the RNase-free DNase set (QIAgen).

Transcriptional profiling was done using the CEL-seq2 protocol (*35*) and library preparation was

performed at the NYU School of Medicine Genome Technology Center core facility. CEL-seq2

libraries were sequenced on the HiSeq 4000 with 2 x 50 cycles. While CEL-seq2 was originally

developed as a single-cell assay, we used this protocol in this study as a bulk transcriptional

profiling assay and use the more commonly-used terminology "RNA-seq" to describe data

generated from this assay.

Re-stimulation experiment 300,000 cells (M^{mono} or AAM^{mono} from C57BL/6 and BALB/c

mice, induced using the injection protocols described above) were sorted per sample as described

above. FACS-purified cells were washed twice, then re-suspended to 1ml PBS for cell counting

on the hemocytometer. Cells were spun down again and re-suspended to a single-cell suspension

of 100,000 cells per 200μl, using DMEM with 10% FBS and 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin. Two

aliquots of 100,000 cells were obtained from each sample and plated separately onto wells of a

48-well tissue-culture plate in 200μl. Cells were incubated overnight (approximately 18 hours) at

37°C, 5% CO_2. After the overnight incubation, the initial culture media was discarded and

replaced with fresh media. An *ex vivo* dose of IL-4 (20ng/ml) was added for designated wells.

Control wells received fresh media only. After 24 hours of stimulation, media was aspirated

completely from each well and 350μl of Buffer RLT was immediately added for cell lysis. The

lysed cells of each sample was transferred to a 1.5ml Eppendorf tube, vortexed for 1 minute and

immediately stored at -80°C until RNA isolation. RNA isolation and transcriptional profiling by

CEL-seq2 were performed as described above.

Bioinformatics and computational methods

ATAC-seq sequence processing Raw ATAC-Seq reads were aligned to the reference mouse

genome mm9 using bowtie2 (v2.2.9) (*36*), with the parameters --maxin 2000 and --local, while

keeping all other parameters at default settings. To keep only highly unique alignments, reads

with MAPQ score less than 30 were removed. We further removed all duplicate reads, as well as

reads mapping to mitochondrial DNA and chromosome Y. Read filtering steps were done using

the suite of tools from samtools (v1.2 and v1.3.1) (*37*), ngsutils (v0.5.9) (*38*) and picard-tools

(http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/, v1.1.1 and v2.8.2). After all filtering steps, reads were

merged across all replicates from the same macrophage population. This resulted in a median

depth of 15,235,324 reads per macrophage population in Run 1 and 9,865,310 reads per

macrophage population in Run 2. For visualization of accessibility reads on the Integrative

Genomics Viewer (IGV), we merged reads from the same macrophage population across

samples from both runs, generated tiled data format (TDF) files using IGVtools and finally

normalized the merged reads to reads per million (RPM) (*39*).

Identification of accessible chromatin regions We used the merged reads for each macrophage

population to identify accessible chromatin regions, using the PeaKDEck (v1.1) peak calling

algorithm, which measures signal density from randomly sampled bins genome-wide before

generating a data set-specific probability distribution to identify regions with significant signal

enrichment (*40*). We ran PeaKDEck using sampling bins that consist of a 75bp central bin (-bin)

and a 10000bp background bin (-back). Sampling along the genome was done in steps (-STEP)

of 25bp and the background probability distribution was generated using 100000 randomly

selected sites (-npBack). Significance was defined using a p-value of less than 0.0001 and

regions with significant p-values were defined as a "peak" (i.e. an accessible chromatin region).

Peak calling was done independently on libraries generated from Run 1 and Run 2.

Generation of a union set of accessible chromatin regions We next counted the number of

reads present at each accessible region in order to analyze the ATAC-Seq data using quantitative

approaches downstream. To do this, we first generated a set of consensus peaks across the data

set by taking the union of peaks called from each macrophage population. Peaks were merged if

overlapping by 1bp or more. The number of reads at each peak within the union peak sets were

then counted for each sample. Finally, each peak was re-centered ±100bp on its summit, defined

as the position with maximum pile up of reads. Re-centering on peak summits was performed as

this should coincide with the binding event of a transcription factor within an accessible

chromatin region. We implemented the read counting and peak summit re-centering steps

directly using the dba.count function from the Bioconductor package DiffBind (version 1.14.2)

(*41*). The final count matrix, which consisted of 61,713 peaks, was used for downstream

analyses.

Quantitative ATAC-seq analysis ATAC-seq read counts were transformed using the

regularized logarithmic (rlog) transformation as implemented in the Bioconductor package

DESeq2 (*42*). To manage batch effect from the two separate libraries, we first modeled the rlog

accessibility read counts to the batch variable using a linear model and subtracted out the

coefficient contributed by the batch variable – this was implemented directly using the

removeBatchEffect function in limma (*43*). We next chose a set of 30,856 regions with high

variance, using the varFilter function in the genefilter package with default parameters, which

keeps only features with variance inter-quartile range > 0.5 (*44*). We performed principal

component analysis (PCA) using the batch-subtracted rlog read counts of these regions with high

variance using the prcomp function in R.

To identify IL-4 dependent accessible regions, we directly compared the ATAC-seq

profiles of IL-4 stimulated macrophages to their reference unstimulated macrophages, using a

differential analyses workflow directly implemented through DESeq2. We fit the negative

binomial model in DESeq2 using the raw accessibility reads from all 61,713 regions, with the

model ~ Batch + Population, where Batch is a variable describing if a sample belonged in Run 1

or Run 2, while Population is a variable describing if the sample is M^{res}, AAM^{res}, M^{mono} or

AAM^{mono}. IL-4 dependency was defined using a significance threshold of False Discovery Rate

(FDR) of 10%. To visualize IL-4 dependent regions, we scaled the batch-subtracted rlog read

counts of these IL-4 dependent regions by z-score transformation and next performed k-means

clustering on these scaled, rlog-transformed reads (K = 4). The clustered matrix was visualized

as a heatmap.

Comparison of sequence properties between constitutively accessible and IL-4 induced

regions *Identification of constitutively accessible and IL-4 induced regions*: To define a set of

constitutively accessible regions, we used only peaks from M^{res} and M^{mono}, respectively, that

were identified in both Run 1 and Run 2. This resulted in 8061 constitutively accessible regions

in M^{res} and 14,045 constitutively accessible regions in M^{mono}. IL-4 induced peaks were defined

using the differential analysis outlined above. All region overlap analyses throughout this study

were performed using the intersect function from the BEDTools suite (*45*) and overlaps were

defined as any regions overlapping by at least 1bp, unless otherwise noted.

Genomic elements enrichment analysis: We downloaded genome-wide annotations of five different genomic elements (promoter, start exon, coding exon, end exon, intron) from the UCSC Known Gene database for mm9 (*46*). We defined promoter elements as the 200bp-region upstream of a transcriptional start site (TSS). We next assigned each of the 61,713 accessible regions in our data set to a unique genomic element label. Where an accessible region overlapped two different types of genomic elements, we assigned it to the element with higher number of overlapping base pairs. Finally, any chromatin regions not assigned to one of these five genomic elements were labeled as intergenic. To determine the enrichment levels of a particular type of genomic element G within a given set of accessible regions A (either constitutively accessible or IL-4 induced regions in AAM^{res} or AAM^{mono}), we used the binomial cumulative probability distribution, $b(x; n,p)$, where x = number of success, n = number of trials and p = background probability of success. We used the pbinom function in R. We defined x to be the number of accessible regions in A that were labeled as the genomic element G that was being tested, n to be the total number of genomic elements G detected in our combined data set and p to be the proportion of the accessible region A to the total 61,713 accessible regions. This then gave the enrichment levels of G in A, relative to all the accessible regions identified across the different macrophage populations.

G/C content analysis: To calculate percentage GC, we first used the hgGcPercent function from the kentTools suite (v20170111, UCSC Genome Bioinformatics Group, https://github.com/ucscGenomeBrowser/kent) to quantitate the number of G or C bases in each accessible region. This value was next normalized using the length of the accessible region. CpG

island track was downloaded from the UCSC Genome Annotation Database for mm9

(http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/mm9/database/). Enrichment levels of CpG island

in a given set of accessible regions A was based on the binomial cumulative probability as

described above, where x = number of accessible regions in A that overlapped a CpG island, n =

number of CpG island in the total data set of 61,713 regions and p = proportion of A to the total

61,713 regions.

Calculation of distance to IL-4 induced genes: IL-4 induced genes were first identified for

AAM^{res} and AAM^{mono} from the microarrays generated by Gundra and Girgis *et al* (*8*), using the

linear model and empirical Bayes statistics as implemented in limma, with genes significantly

induced by IL-4 defined using the thresholds FDR 10% and $\log_2$ fold change > 1. The distance

between each accessible region and its closest IL-4 induced gene body was calculated using the

closest function in BEDTools.

Transcription factor (TF) motif analysis Sequences of IL-4 induced regions were fetched

using the BEDTools getfasta function for TF motif analysis with the MEME Suite tools (*47*).

Whole genome fasta file for mm9 was downloaded from the Illumina igenome database

(https://support.illumina.com/sequencing/sequencing_software/igenome.html). Background file

was generated using the function fasta-get-markov in MEME, based on the total 61,713

accessible regions at a Markov model order of 3. TF motif databases (which included mouse and

human TF motifs) were curated as described in (*48*). We performed *de novo* motif discovery by

running MEME (as part of MEMEChIP, which randomly sampled 600 sequences) with the

parameters: -mod zoops -nmotifs 3 -minw 6 -maxw 30. Over-representation analysis to identify

macrophage-specific TF motifs was performed by running HOMER (*23*) using sequences from

the opposing macrophages as background sequences (i.e. to identify TF motifs specific to

AAM^{res}, sequences of IL-4 induced regions from AAM^{mono} were used as background sequences)

and the parameters: -mask, -size 8,10,12,16, -mset vertebrates, -nlen 3. For motifs from each

macrophage lineage, we combined all three *de novo* motifs discovered by MEME and motifs

with enrichment log$_2$ p-values < -15 (approximately p-values < 10^{-6}) by HOMER for clustering

analysis (we used the known motifs output from HOMER). This resulted in 14 motifs for

AAM^{res} and AAM^{mono}, respectively. Clustering was done using STAMP (*49, 50*), with the

frequency matrices of motifs and the default parameters of: column comparison metric – Pearson

correlation coefficient, alignment method – ungapped Smith-Waterman, tree-building algorithm

– UPGMA, multiple alignment strategy – iterative refinement.

We identified IL-4 induced PU.1 motif sites by performing motif scanning with FIMO

(*51*), using the PU.1 motif discovered *de novo* from the IL-4 induced peaks of AAM^{res} and

AAM^{mono}, respectively. FIMO was run with a p-value threshold of 0.0001 (as part of

MEMEChIP). These IL-4 induced PU.1 motif sites were then extended ±25bp using the

BEDTools slop function. These PU.1 motif sites ± 25bp are hereafter referred to as "PU.1

regions". To identify potential co-factors for PU.1, PU.1 regions were specifically subjected to

motif scanning by FIMO. To determine which of these were macrophage-specific, the odds ratio

of a motif being detected in the PU.1 regions of AAM^{res} vs. AAM^{mono} were calculated. P-value

was determined using two-sided Fisher's test, with the null hypothesis of a motif being equally

likely to be detected in the IL-4 induced PU.1 regions of AAM^{res} and AAM^{mono} (i.e. log$_2$ odds

ratio of zero). Regions with different motifs of the same TF from the same organism (one of

either mouse or human) were combined into a single test. All TFs from the ETS family (of which

PU.1 belongs to) were excluded from this analysis. To account for the multiple hypotheses

testing performed over 612 mouse non-ETS TFs and 807 human non-ETS TFs, the Benjamini-

Hochberg procedure was used to perform p-value adjustment by calculating the FDR and

significance threshold was set at FDR 10%. Hence, significant TFs were macrophage-specific,

with $\log_2$ odds ratio > 0 AAM^{res}-specific and $\log_2$ odds ratio < 0 AAM^{mono}-specfic. For

visualization of significant results, TFs were summarized at the family level as defined in (*52*)

(Figure 3B). The maximum absolute $\log_2$ odds ratio of the family was visualized and the specific

TF with the maximum absolute $\log_2$ odds ratio value was stated in parenthesis. Where TF family

annotation was not available, the $\log_2$ odds ratio of the specific TF itself was used.

DNA shape features of these PU.1 motif sites ± 25bp regions were analyzed using the

DNAshape algorithm (*21, 53*) for 4 different DNA shape configurations at single nucleotide

resolution. The same analysis steps were repeated for the KLF motif ± 25bp regions and AP-1

motif ± 25bp regions as presented in Supplemental Figure 3. Motif scores were calculated as part

of the motif scanning procedure using FIMO.

1007

Comparisons between predicted PU.1 motif and ChIP-seq defined PU.1 binding sites PU.1

ChIP-seq regions identified in thioglycollate-induced macrophages, generated from two different

experiments, were downloaded as BED files that had been directly deposited on Gene

Expression Omnibus (GEO) (GSM1131238 and GSM1183968) (*5, 18*). A set of 55,386

reproducible PU.1 binding sites were defined by intersecting these two sets of PU.1 ChIP-seq

regions. We used the PU.1 motif discovered *de novo* from all the constitutively accessible

regions in M^{mono} and ran FIMO to identify all PU.1 motif sites from M^{mono}, using a p-value

threshold of 0.0001 and the background file generated as described above. Since the published

PU.1 ChIP-seq regions were of 200bp length, we extended the predicted PU.1 motifs from M^{mono}

by ±100bp to match the comparison. Overlapping rate was calculated as total number of

predicted PU.1 motifs from M^{mono} overlapping a reproducible PU.1 ChIP-seq region / total

number of predicted PU.1 motifs from $M^{mono} \times 100\%$.

1020

Identification of cell-type-specific PU.1 binding sites We first downloaded PU.1 ChIP-seq

regions as BED files directly deposited on GEO (GSM537983, GSM537989, GSM1315477) (*22,*

23) and lifted over regions annotated on the mm8 mouse reference genome to the mm9 mouse

reference genome using the UCSC liftover tool (http://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgLiftOver). We

next defined cell type specific PU.1 binding sites as PU.1 ChIP-seq regions identified in only one

cell type and not in the other two. Finally, we fetched the sequences for each set of cell type

specific PU.1 binding sites using the getfasta function in BEDtools. We performed *de novo* motif

discovery using MEME (as part of MEMEChIP) with the parameters -mod zoops -nmotifs 3 -

minw 6 -maxw 30 and a background Markov model of order 3. We used the PU.1 motif

discovered *de novo* and ran FIMO to identify cell type specific PU.1 motif sites that were ChIP-

seq defined. FIMO was run using a raw p-value threshold of 0.0001 and only the top 1000 motif

sites (based on motif score) were included. Finally, these cell type specific PU.1 motif sites were

extended ±25bp for DNA shape analysis. We used only the top 1000 motif sites to keep the

number of motif sites comparable to the number of IL-4 induced PU.1 motif sites from our own

study.

1036

ATAC-seq sequence processing from C57BL/6 vs. BALB/c experiment Raw ATAC-seq

reads were aligned to the mm10 mouse reference genome (for compatibility with available

BALB/c sequence variant data, details below). Reads with MAPQ < 30, as well as duplicate and

mitochondrial reads were all removed before peak calling. Peak calling in PeaKDEck was done

using the same parameters in the IL-4c stimulation experiment, except for a p-value threshold of

0.00001. A consensus count matrix consisting of 40,981 accessible regions from all samples was

generated as described above using DiffBind and used to identify strain-specific regions using

the differential analysis workflow implemented through DESeq2. We fit the negative binomial

model using ~ Strain + CellType + Strain:CellType, where Strain is one of either C57BL/6 or

BALB/c and CellType is one of either AAM^{res} or AAM^{mono}, and extracted strain-specific regions

from the comparisons: (1) BALB/c vs. C57BL/6 in AAM^{res} and (2) BALB/c vs. C57BL/6 in

AAM^{mono}. Significance was defined at a threshold of FDR 10%.

1049

Characterizing different types of BALB/c variants at PU.1 motif regions BALB/c-specific

sequence variants, including single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and insertion/deletion

(indels), were downloaded as variant call format (VCF) files from the Sanger Mouse Project

(ftp://ftp-mouse.sanger.ac.uk/). Variants that were not labeled as "PASS" under the Filter flag

were removed using vcftools (*54*). These VCF files were then converted to the BED file format

using the vcf2bed function from bedops (*55*) for overlap analysis with the IL-4 induced PU.1

regions. Since these BALB/c variants were identified on the mm10 genome, we lifted over IL-4

induced PU.1 regions defined initially on the mm9 coordinates to the mm10 coordinates. PU.1

motif sites that directly overlapped sequence variants were identified using the BEDTools

intersect function. To define PU.1 motif sites with flanking variants, we use the BEDTools

closest function to calculate distances between a PU.1 motif and its closest BALB/c variant and

identified motifs with a closest variant at an absolute distance of <25bp, but not overlapping a

variant directly. We also limited the DNA shape prediction to SNPs only, to avoid the shift in

position due to the insertions/deletions.

1064

Generation of BALB/c whole genome fasta To construct a BALB/c whole genome fasta for

DNA shape prediction, we took the list of BALB/c variants filtered from above and used the

vcf2diploid tool (*56*) to integrate these BALB/c variants into the mm10 reference genome. To

annotate PU.1 regions on the BALB/c coordinates, we used the accompanying chain file

generated from the vcf2diploid tool in the above step to liftover the PU.1 regions from mm10

coordinates to BALB/c coordinates. The mm10 and BALB/c whole genome fasta, as well as

PU.1 regions on corresponding C57BL/6 and BALB/c coordinates, were then used with the

getfasta function in BEDTools to fetch the sequences of specific IL-4 induced PU.1 regions with

flanking SNPs for shape prediction with DNAShape algorithm.

1074

Quantitating the amount of shape change induced by SNP To quantitate the amount of shape

change induced by the presence of BALB/c variant(s) at an accessible region, we calculated the

Euclidean distance between the two vectors of shape values that corresponded to shape values

predicted from a C57BL/6 region and a BALB/c region, respectively (*57*). A larger Euclidean

distance would indicate a greater shift in shape. We first identified all accessible regions that

carried SNP(s) only from across the data set (i.e. regions that did not contain any sequence

variants, or regions that contained both SNPs and insertion/deletions were discarded), as

including insertion/deletions in the analysis would result in comparisons between sequences of

unequal length and it would not be feasible to calculate the Euclidean distance at these regions.

We then grouped regions as "strain-specific" and "strain-common" based on results from the

differential analysis (922 strain-specific and 4776 strain-common chromatin regions; 18 strain-

specific and 63 strain-common PU.1 regions). Shape prediction was done using the DNAShape

algorithm and Euclidean distance was calculated for each pair of shape values using the dist

function in R.

1089

Identification of predictors for strain-specific and strain-common regions To identify

features associated with the 922 strain-specific and 4776 strain-common SNP-carrying accessible

regions, we first assessed each of these accessible regions for the following sequence

characteristics:

• $GCPercent_{B6}$ = % GC calculated using C57BL/6 sequences

• SnpFrequency = Number of SNPs carried by the region

• IL4Inducibility = A binary variable (Yes / No) indicating if the region was IL-4 inducible

• NonCoding = A binary variable (Yes / No) indicating if the region is a non-coding

regulatory element (Yes – one of either "Intron", "Intergenic" or "Promoter" accessible

regions; No – one of either "Start exon", "End exon" or "Coding")

We then fit a logistic regression model as follows using the glm function in R, with the

parameter family = "binomial":

Class ~ $GCPercent_{B6}$ + GenomicElements + SnpFrequency + IL4Inducibility

The dependent variable "Class" is a label describing if the region is "strain-specific" or "strain-

common". P-value of predictors were determined by analysis of variance for the coefficients of

the predictors.

1106

Processing of CEL-seq reads CEL-seq reads were first demultiplexed using the bc_demultiplex

script from https://github.com/yanailab/CEL-Seq-pipeline (*35*). Demultiplexed reads were

aligned to the mm10 mouse reference genome using bowtie2 (version 2.2.9). Aligned reads were

counted for each gene using a modified htseq-count script (from

https://github.com/yanailab/CEL-Seq-pipeline) adapted for CEL-seq reads with unique

molecular identified (UMI). We included only reads with MAPQ score > 30 and removed

singleton genes. This resulted in a final median read depth of 737,848 reads per sample, covering

a median of 11,096 genes per sample. PCA was performed using 7431 genes with high variance,

defined using the varFilter function in the genefilter package with default parameters, which

keeps only features with variance inter-quartile range > 0.5. Differential analysis was done using

DESeq2 by fitting the negative binomial model using ~ Strain + CellType + Strain:CellType.

Significantly differential genes were extracted using a threshold of FDR 10% for the four

different comparisons of: (1) AAM^{res} vs. AAM^{mono} in C57BL/6, (2) AAM^{res} vs. AAM^{mono} in

BALB/c, (3) BALB/c vs. C57BL/6 in AAM^{res} and (4) BALB/c vs. C57BL/6 in AAM^{mono}.

Overlapping genes were defined as genes identified as differential in two different comparisons.

Pathway enrichment analysis was done using strain-specific genes in AAM^{res} and AAM^{mono},

respectively, through Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) with the parameter Organism = Mouse

and keeping all other parameters at default settings.

1125

Defining gene classes from re-stimulation experiment CEL-seq reads were processed as

described above. This resulted in a final median read depth of 979,547 reads per sample,

covering a median of 10,820 genes per sample. PCA was separately performed on the rlog read

counts from 6528 high variance genes from C57BL/6 and BALB/c, respectively. The differences

in transcriptional profiles induced by *ex vivo* IL-4 stimulation were represented as Euclidean

distances, which were calculated between transcriptional profiles (rlog read counts of all non-

singleton genes) of cell aliquots from the same cell type that either received a secondary *ex vivo*

IL-4 stimulation or cultured in control media. Differential analysis was done using DESeq2 by

fitting the negative binomial model using ~ Group, where Group is a factor consisting of 8

different levels (M^{mono} from C57BL/6, AAM^{mono} from C57BL/6, M^{mono} with *ex vivo* IL-4

stimulation from C57BL/6, AAM^{mono} with *ex vivo* IL-4 stimulation from C57BL/6, M^{mono} from

BALB/c, AAM^{mono} from BALB/c, M^{mono} with *ex vivo* IL-4 stimulation from BALB/c, AAM^{mono}

with *ex vivo* IL-4 stimulation from BALB/c). Significantly differential genes were extracted

using a threshold of FDR 10% and the comparisons are described on Figure 7B.

To define "Unresponsive", "Enhanced" and "Equal" genes, we identified genes that were

either uniquely or commonly differential in the comparisons "AAM^{mono} with *ex vivo* IL-4 vs.

AAM^{mono}" and "M^{mono} with *ex vivo* IL-4 vs. M^{mono}" (*29*). "Unresponsive" genes were defined as

genes that were uniquely differential in "M^{mono} with *ex vivo* IL-4 vs. M^{mono}", while "Enhanced"

genes were uniquely differential in "AAM^{mono} with *ex vivo* IL-4 vs. AAM^{mono}". For genes that

were differential in both comparisons, we further examined the magnitude of fold change – if the

fold change (defined as a differences in $\log_2$ fold change of >1) is greater in the "M^{mono} with *ex*

vivo IL-4 vs. M^{mono}" comparison, it is defined as an "Unresponsive" genes and if the fold change

is greater in the "AAM^{mono} with *ex vivo* IL-4 vs. AAM^{mono}" comparison, it is defined as an

"Enhanced" gene. Finally, if the magnitude of fold change is similar in both comparisons

(defined as a differences in $\log_2$ fold change of <1), the gene is defined as "Equal". This

categorization process of different gene classes was performed for C57BL/6 and BALB/c

macrophages, respectively. For visualization of these different gene groups, the rlog read counts

from all of these genes were extracted, scaled to a mean of 0 and standard deviation of 1 across

each sample, and subjected to k-means clustering, with K=6. Heatmap was visualized using the

R package pheatmap.

1156

1157

 Supplemental figures

Fig. S1. IL-4 stimulation leads to remodeling of open chromatin landscape in peritoneal
macrophages. (**A**) Comparisons between the accessible chromatin profiles of IL-4
stimulated macrophages and unstimulated macrophages, presented as MA plots (left –
AAM^{res}; right – AAM^{mono}). Differential chromatin regions (FDR 10%, |LFC| > 0) are
highlighted in red. (**B**) Venn diagram illustrating the minimal overlap between IL-4
induced regions in AAM^{res} and AAM^{mono}. Values in Venn diagrams represent the
number of unique accessible regions in each corresponding set. (**C**) Schematic outlining
the workflow to identify IL-4 induced regions and constitutively regions for
comparisons of the sequence properties associated with these two classes of genomic
elements.
1170

1171

Fig. S2. Motif analyses on IL-4 induced regions. (**A**) Expression values of individual TF
members that belonged to either PU.1, KLF or AP-1 family. Expression values were
obtained from (*8*) and represents log$_2$ intensity values. Only TFs in clusters 1 and 2 are
considered highly expressed and included in Fig. 2E. (**B**) Schematic outlining the
workflow used to verify the accuracy of predicted PU.1 motif sites by comparing to
published ChIP-Seq data sets. (**C**) Schematic outlining the workflow used to analyze
features of IL-4 induced PU.1 motif sites. (**D**) Schematic outlining the workflow used to
identify cell-type-specific PU.1 binding regions from published ChIP-Seq data sets for
DNA shape prediction.

Fig. S3. Sequence features of KLF and AP-1 motifs. Average of DNA shape features at IL-4
induced (**A**) KLF regions of AAM^{res} (902 regions) and AAM^{mono} (627 regions) and (**B**)
AP-1 regions of AAM^{res} (336 regions) and AAM^{mono} (497 regions). Scatter plots are
centered on IL-4 induced KLF motifs (x-axes), with the solid lines representing Loess fit
of predicted shape values at single-nucleotide resolution (dots). Boxplots are average
predicted shape values over the KLF motif ± 25bp windows and p-values are from two-
sided Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. FIMO-derived scores of (**C**) KLF and (**D**) AP-1 motifs
discovered from IL-4 induced regions. P-values from two-sided Mann-Whitney test.

A

B

1191

Fig. S4. Leveraging on natural genetic variation in C57BL/6 and BALB/c mouse strains to
perform a mutation study. (**A**) Schematic with graphical illustrations outlining the
strategy used to identify IL-4 induced PU.1 motif sites with altered chromatin
accessibility due to flanking SNP(s). Blue line indicates read pile-ups from an accessible
region, while the "X" in red represent a motif-flanking SNP. (**B**) Schematic with
graphical illustrations outlining the strategy used to classify strain-specific and strain-
common IL-4 induced PU.1 regions and all accessible regions carrying SNP(s), as well
as to quantitate the amount of DNA shape change induced by SNP(s) in these regions.

1200

1201
Fig. S5. Genomic features associated with strain-specificity. (**A**) Coefficient values
representing the relative contribution of each significant predictor in distinguishing
between strain-specific and strain-common accessible regions. P-values are from
analysis of variance. (**B**) Enrichment values of IL-4 induced and constitutively
accessible regions in strain-specific regions for AAMmono and AAMres. Enrichment p-
value is based on binomial test.

1208

1209
1210