1
2
3
4
5 **CD95/Fas ligand mRNA is toxic to cells**
6
7

8 William Putzbach[1,4], Ashley Haluck-Kangas[1,4], Quan Q. Gao[1], Aishe A. Sarshad[3],
9 Elizabeth T. Bartom[2], Austin M. Stults[1], Abdul S. Qadir[1],
10 Markus Hafner[3] and Marcus E. Peter[1,2,]*
11
12 [1] Department of Medicine/Division Hematology/Oncology, Feinberg School of Medicine, and [2]
13 Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL
14 60611, USA; [3] Laboratory of Muscle Stem Cells and Gene Regulation, NIAMS, NIH, Bethesda,
15 MD 20892, USA.
16
17
18 *Corresponding author: Marcus Peter, E-mail: m-peter@northwestern.edu, phone: 312-503-
19 1291; FAX: 312-503-0189.
20
21 [4] Shared first authorship

22
23 Keywords:
24 RNAi, Fas, cancer, CRISPR, cell death, DISE
25
26
27

Abstract

CD95/Fas ligand binds to the death receptor CD95 to induce apoptosis in sensitive cells. We

previously reported the CD95L mRNA is enriched in sequences that, when converted to

si/shRNAs, kill all cancer cells by targeting critical survival genes (Putzbach et al., 2017). We

now report expression of full-length CD95L mRNA, itself, is highly toxic to cells and induces a

similar form of cell death. We demonstrate that small (s)RNAs derived from CD95L are loaded

into the RNA induced silencing complex (RISC) RISC which is required for the toxicity and that

processing of CD95L mRNA into sRNAs is independent of both Dicer and Drosha. We provide

evidence that in addition to the CD95L transgene a number of endogenous protein coding genes

involved in regulating protein translation, particularly under low miRNA conditions, can be

processed to sRNAs and loaded into the RISC suggesting a new level of cell fate regulation

involving RNAi.

Introduction

Activation of CD95/Fas through interaction with its cognate ligand CD95L or receptor-activating antibodies induces apoptosis in sensitive cells (Suda, Takahashi, Golstein, & Nagata, 1993). Virtually all research on CD95 and CD95L has focused on the physical interaction between the two proteins and the subsequent protein-based signaling cascades (Algeciras-Schimnich et al., 2002; Fu et al., 2016; Nisihara et al., 2001; Schneider et al., 1997). However, we have recently shown that the mRNA of CD95 and CD95L harbor sequences that when converted into small interfering (si) or short hairpin (sh)RNAs, cause massive and robust toxicity in all tested cancer cells. These CD95/CD95L-derived si/shRNAs target a network of survival genes, resulting in the simultaneous activation of multiple cell death pathways through RNA interference (RNAi) in a process we called DISE (Death Induced by Survival gene Elimination) (Putzbach et al., 2017). We determined that for an si/shRNA to elicit this form of toxicity, only positions 2-7 of the guide strand, the 6mer seed sequence, are required (Putzbach et al., 2017). More recently, a screen of all 4096 6mer seeds revealed that optimal 6mer seed toxicity requires G-rich seeds targeting C-rich regions in the 3'UTRs of survival genes (Gao et al., 2018).

In this report, we show that expression of the CD95L mRNA itself is toxic to cells even without prior conversion to small (s)RNAs. This toxicity is independent of the full-length CD95L protein or expression of the CD95 receptor and resembles DISE. The toxicity also involves RNAi, We found that multiple small RNAs are generated from the mRNA of CD95L within cells and are loaded into the RNA-induced Silencing Complex (RISC), the key mediator of RNAi (Liu et al., 2004). Furthermore, we provide evidence that endogenous mRNAs can be processed into sRNAs and loaded into the RISC, especially in cells with low expression of miRNAs. The subset of genes found in the RISC are regulators of protein translation and cell proliferation.

Results

CD95L mRNA is toxic to cells

By testing every possible shRNA derived from the CD95L open reading frame (ORF) or its 3'UTR, we recently found a high enrichment of toxic si/shRNAs derived from the CD95L ORF (Putzbach et al., 2017). More recently we determined that the 6mer seed toxicity observed in many si/shRNAs is largely due to their nucleotide composition, with G-rich seeds being the most toxic (Gao et al., 2018). When reanalyzing the CD95L ORF-derived shRNAs, we found a

significant correlation between the toxicity of the most toxic CD95L-derived shRNAs
(Putzbach et al., 2017) and the seed toxicity of the corresponding 6mer seed we recently
determined in a screen of all 4096 6mer seeds (Gao et al., 2018). This suggests that CD95L-
derived si/shRNAs kill cancer cells, in large part through 6mer seed toxicity.

We therefore wondered whether expression of the CD95L ORF mRNA—without pre-
processing into artificial siRNAs—would be toxic to cells. Expression of CD95L protein in most
cells kills through the induction of apoptosis. Consequently, expressing CD95L in HeyA8 cells,
which are highly sensitive to CD95 mediated apoptosis, killed cells within a few hours after
infection with a lentivirus encoding CD95L (*Figure 1A*, left panel). Interestingly, severe growth
reduction was seen without any signs of apoptosis (not shown) when a CD95L mutant, unable to
bind CD95, was expressed (CD95L^{MUT} in *Figure 1A*, left panel). This mutant carries an Y218R
point mutation, that prevents the CD95L protein from binding to CD95 (Schneider et al., 1997),
and is expressed at a similar level to wild type (wt) CD95L (*Figure 1B*). To prevent the CD95L
mRNA from producing full-length CD95L protein, we also introduced a premature stop codon
right after the start codon in the CD95L^{MUT} vector (CD95L^{MUT}NP). This construct (containing 4
point mutations and confirmed to produce mRNA with no detectable full-length CD95L protein,
Figure 1B) was similarly active in reducing the growth of HeyA8 cells compared to the
CD95L^{MUT} vector (*Figure 1A*, left panel). CD95L^{MUT}NP still produced a reduced amount of a
truncated and likely soluble CD95L (*Figure 1B*), likely due to use of an alternative start codon.
However, the supernatant of 293T cells infected with this virus did not induce apoptosis when
added to HeyA8 cells (data not shown) confirming that it had no apoptosis inducing activity.

This result suggested that the CD95L mRNA could be toxic to HeyA8 cells without the
CD95L protein inducing apoptosis. This was confirmed by expressing the three CD95L
constructs in the presence of the oligo-caspase inhibitor zVAD-fmk (*Figure 1A*, center panel).
With suppressed apoptosis, all three constructs were now equally toxic to HeyA8 cells. Finally,
we tested a HeyA8 CD95 k.o. clone confirmed to express no CD95 protein (Putzbach et al.,
2017). In these cells, without the addition of zVAD-fmk, wt CD95L and CD95L^{MUT}NP were
again equally active in reducing the growth of the cells (*Figure 1A*, right panel). Together, these
data suggested it is the CD95L mRNA that killed the cells. Cell death was confirmed by
quantifying nuclear fragmentation (*Figure 1C*). We also detected a significant increase of ROS
in cells expressing CD95L^{MUT}NP (*Figure 1D*), which is a characteristic feature of DISE (Hadji
et al., 2014; Patel & Peter, 2017). To exclude the possibility that truncated CD95 protein or any

part of the CD95 mRNA would play a role in this toxicity, we deleted the CD95 gene in MCF-7

cells (*Figure 1 - figure supplement 1*). Overexpression of wild-type CD95L killed clone FA4

cells, which harbor a complete homozygous deletion of the entire CD95 gene (exon 1 - 9), as

well as CD95 protein k.o. clone #21 cells that retain some truncated mRNA expression (*Figure*

1E).

Finally, to exclude that the truncated protein produced by the CD95L^{MUT}NP construct had

any unintended activity, we mutated the ATG start codon that most likely gave rise to the

truncated CD95L to ATA (*Figure 1 - figure supplement 1A*). This CD95L^{MUT}NP (G258A)

mutant construct did not produce any detectable protein anymore (*Figure 1 - figure supplement*

1B) but was as toxic to the CD95 k.o. HeyA8 cells as CD95L^{MUT}NP (*Figure 1 - figure*

supplement 1C).

Our experiments demonstrated that a small number of point mutations introduced into

various CD95L mutants did not significantly affect the toxicity of CD95L mRNA. To determine

whether a large number of mutations would reduce its toxicity, we generated a CD95L^{MUT}NP

mutant with 308 codon optimized silent point mutations (CD95L^{SIL}) (*Figure 1 - figure*

supplement 3A). The activity of this mutant construct to negatively affect cell growth of CD95

k.o. HeyA8 cells was compared to two independently cloned wt CD95L constructs (WT1 and

WT2 in *Figure 1 - figure supplement 3B*). The mutant SIL construct was equally effective in

suppressing cell growth and produced about the same amount of CD95L mRNA (*Figure 1 -*

figure supplement 3C). However, the SIL construct only produced about 12% of WT CD95L

protein (*Figure 1 - figure supplement 3C*), again supporting the observation that it is the CD95L

mRNA and not the protein that elicits toxicity.

We recently determined that a general toxicity of si/shRNAs is largely based on the

composition of the 6mer seed sequence of the guide strand (Gao et al., 2018). We now plotted

the toxicity of all sRNAs that can be derived from either the WT or the SIL mutant CD95L and

found that the overall toxicity based on our toxicity screen performed in HeyA8 cells was not

statistically different between the two CD95L constructs, (*Figure 1 - figure supplement 3D*)

suggesting that toxic sequences are embedded in the CD95L^{SIL} ORF. Taken together, our data

indicate that even mutating more than 300 positions in CD95L still allows it to form toxic

sRNAs suggesting that the toxicity of CD95L mRNA is a highly robust phenomenon.

CD95L mRNA kills cells through DISE.

After infection with CD95L, CD95 k.o. HeyA8 cells exhibited morphological changes

strikingly similar to the changes seen in wt HeyA8 cells after introduction of a CD95L-derived

shRNA (shL3) (*Figure 2A, Video 1-4*) suggesting the cells died through a similar mechanism.

To determine the cause of cell death induced by CD95L mRNA in HeyA8 CD95 k.o. cells

molecularly, we performed a RNA-Seq analysis. We found that expression of CD95L caused

preferential downregulation of critical survival genes and not of nonsurvival genes in a control

set (*Figure 2B*). In addition, cell death induced by CD95L mRNA resulted in a substantial loss

of 11 of the 12 histones detected to be downregulated in cells treated with CD95 and CD95L-

derived sh/siRNAs (*Figure 2C*). Loss of histones is an early event during DISE (Putzbach et al.,

2017). A Metascape analysis demonstrated that nucleosome assembly, regulation of mitosis, and

genes consistent with the involvement of histones were among the most significantly

downregulated RNAs across all cells in which DISE was induced by any of the four sh/siRNAs

or by the expression of CD95L mRNA (*Figure 2D*). This suggests that CD95L mRNA kills cells

in the same way as CD95/L-derived si/shRNAs.

CD95L mRNA kills cells through RNAi

Given our previous work on CD95L-derived si/shRNA toxicity, we hypothesized that CD95L

mRNA kills cells through an RNAi-based mechanism—perhaps by being processed into small

RNAs that are incorporate into the RISC. Drosha k.o. cells lacking the majority of endogenous

miRNAs, but retaining expression of Ago proteins, were shown to be hypersensitive to DISE

induced by si- and shRNAs (Putzbach et al., 2017). We interpreted this effect as being caused by

an increased pool of unoccupied RNAi machinery due to the absence of most miRNAs. Drosha

k.o. cells were also hypersensitive to the expression of CD95L^{MUT}NP (*Figure 3A,* p=0.014,

according to a polynomial fitting model); Virtually all cells died (insert in *Figure 3A*). To

directly determine whether the RISC is involved in the toxicity, we introduced CD95L into

CD95 k.o. HeyA8 cells after knocking down AGO2 (*Figure 3B*). Toxicity elicited by CD95L

was blocked following AGO2 knockdown, suggesting that AGO2 was required for CD95L

mRNA to be toxic. This was also the case when CD95 k.o. HeyA8 cells were infected with either

the CD95^{MUT}NP or the CD95^{SIL} construct (*Figure 3 - figure supplement 3A*), confirming that all

three CD95L versions killed cells with the help of the RISC. When parental HeyA8 cells were

infected with CD95L WT or CD95L^{SIL} they died so rapidly that the cells had to be plated before

treatment with puromycin used to select for virus infected cells (*Figure 3 - figure supplement*

3B). As expected in these cells that died of canonical apoptosis, knockdown of AGO2 did not

have any effect on cell viability. In contrast, cell death induced by CD95L^{MUT}NP which in this

experiment very efficiently killed the parental HeyA8 cells was severely blunted upon AGO2

knockdown (***Figure 3 - figure supplement 3C***).

To test the hypothesis that Drosha k.o. cells were more sensitive because their RISC was not

occupied by large amounts of nontoxic miRNAs and to determine whether CD95L mRNA could

give rise to small RNAs that are incorporate into the RISC, we pulled down AGO1-4-associated

RNAs and analyzed their composition in wt and Drosha k.o. cells after expressing the

CD95L^{MUT}NP mRNA. For the pull-down, we used a peptide derived from the AGO-binding

protein GW182/TNRC6B recently described to bind to all four Ago proteins (Hauptmann et al.,

2015). As expected in wt HCT116 cells, large amounts of small RNAs (19-23nt in length) were

detected bound to the Ago proteins (***Figure 3C***). Both AGO1 and AGO2 were efficiently pulled

down. In contrast, in the Drosha k.o. cells, which cannot generate canonical miRNAs, only a low

amount of small RNAs was detected, confirming the absence of miRNAs in the RISC.

Surprisingly, the amount of pulled down Ago proteins was severely reduced despite the fact

these Drosha k.o. cells express comparable levels of AGO2 (Putzbach et al., 2017). This suggests

the peptide did not have access to the Ago proteins in Drosha k.o. cells, presumably because it

only efficiently binds to Ago proteins complexed with RNA as recently shown (Elkayam et al.,

2017).

The analysis of all Ago-bound RNAs showed that in the wt cells, >98.4% of bound RNAs

were miRNAs. In contrast, only 34% of bound RNAs were miRNAs in Drosha k.o. cells (***Figure***

3D and data not shown). These include miRNAs that are processed independently of Drosha

such as miR-320a (Kim, Kim, & Kim, 2016). Consequently, this miRNA became a major RNA

species bound to Ago proteins in Drosha k.o. cells (***Figure 3D***). In both wt and Drosha k.o. cells,

a significant increase in CD95L-derived small RNAs bound to the Ago proteins was detected in

cells infected with the CD95L virus compared to cells infected with pLenti empty vector, as

expected. They corresponded to 0.0006% and 0.043% of all the Ago-bound RNAs in the wt cells

and Drosha k.o. cells, respectively. Toxicity of CD95L mRNA was, therefore, not due to

overloading the RISC. In the absence of most miRNAs, the total amount of RNAs bound to Ago

proteins in the Drosha k.o. cells was roughly 10% of the amount bound to Ago in wt cells

(***Figure 3D***). The reduction of Ago-bound miRNAs in Drosha k.o. cells (***Figure 3E***, top row)

was paralleled by a substantial increase in binding of other small RNAs to the Ago proteins

(*Figure 3E*, bottom row). Interestingly, the amount of Ago-bound CD95L-derived small RNAs

was >100 times higher in the Drosha k.o. cells compared to the wt cells (red columns in *Figure*

3E). These data support our hypothesis that Drosha k.o. cells are more sensitive to CD95L

mRNA-mediated toxicity due to their ability to take up more toxic small CD95L-derived RNAs

into the RISC in the absence of most miRNAs.

CD95L ORF is degraded into small RNA fragments that are then loaded into the RISC.

Interestingly, not only did Ago proteins in Drosha k.o. cells bind much more CD95L-derived

small RNAs than in the wt cells, but also the peak length of the most abundant Ago-bound RNA

species increased from 20 to 23 nt (*Figure 4A*, top panel). To determine the sites within the

CD95L mRNA that gave rise to small Ago-bound RNAs, we aligned all small Ago-bound RNAs

detected in all conditions to the CD95L ORF sequence (*Figure 4B and C*). We identified 22

regions in the CD95L ORF that gave rise to small RNAs that could be bound by Ago proteins

(*Figure 4B*). To determine whether these small RNAs were formed in the cytosol and then

loaded into the RISC, we also aligned all small RNAs in the total RNA fraction isolated from

CD95L^{MUT}NP expressing HCT116 Drosha k.o. cells with CD95L (*Figure 4C*). Interestingly,

very similar regions of small RNAs were found. Moreover, the mean as well as the peak of the

distribution of the read lengths of small RNAs bound to Ago proteins was smaller than in the

total small RNAs fraction (*Figure 4A*, center panel), suggesting these fragments were trimmed

to the appropriate length either right before they were loaded into the RISC or by the RISC itself.

This was most obvious for the small RNAs in cluster 3 (*Figure 4B and C*). We also noticed that

certain small RNAs were more abundant in the Ago-bound fraction when compared to total RNA

relative to all other RNAs. To determine whether this type of processing was specific for

HCT116 Drosha k.o. cells, we analyzed the Ago-bound CD95L-derived sRNAs in HeyA8 CD95

k.o. cells after expression of wt CD95L (*Figure 4D*) and compared them with the total RNA

fraction (*Figure 4E*). While we found fewer CD95L-derived reads in these cells, the general

location of some of the read clusters overlapped with the ones found in the Drosha k.o. cells and

again both the mean and peak of the distribution of RNA lengths was smaller in the Ago-bound

fraction versus the total RNA fraction (*Figure 4A*, bottom panel). Together, these data suggest

that CD95L mRNA can be processed into smaller RNA fragments, which are then trimmed to a

length appropriate for incorporation into the RISC.

Our data suggest that the CD95L mRNA, when overexpressed, is toxic to cells due to the
formation of Ago-bound small RNAs that are incorporated into the RISC and kill cells through
RNAi. This process is independent of Drosha. To determine whether Dicer is required for either
processing of CD95L mRNA or loading the small RNAs into the RISC, we expressed
CD95L^{MUT}NP in wt and Dicer k.o. HCT116 cells (*Figure 4F*). Dicer k.o. cells were still
sensitive to toxicity induced by CD95L mRNA expression, suggesting the toxicity of the CD95L
mRNA does not require the processing by either Drosha or Dicer. Using custom real-time qPCR
primers designed to specifically detect the small RNAs from clusters 8 and 21, we detected, in
both wt and Dicer k.o. cells over-expressing CD95L^{MUT}NP, fragments from these clusters
(*Figure 4G*), demonstrating that Dicer is not involved in processing CD95L mRNA.

All the reported small RNAs derived from CD95L corresponded to the sense strand of the
expressed mRNA, raising the question of how they could be processed into double-stranded
sRNAs in the absence of an antisense strand. To get a preliminary answer to this question, we
subjected the CD95L ORF mRNA sequence to a secondary structure prediction (*Figure 4 -*
figure supplement 1A). According to this analysis, the CD95L ORF mRNA forms a tightly
folded structure with many of the small RNAs of the 22 clusters juxtaposing each other in stem-
like structures creating regions of significant complementarity. These may provide the duplexes
needed to be processed and loaded into the RISC. Interestingly, many of the juxtaposing reads
were found in duplex structures with 3' overhangs. Three of these oligonucleotides (derived from
clusters 7, 15 and 22) when expressed as siRNAs were toxic to HeyA8, H460, M565 and 3LL
cells (*Figure 4 - figure supplement 1B*).

To address the question of whether the complete CD95L ORF sequence was required to
produce toxic sRNAs, we generated two CD95L fragments, one 5' fragment (280 nt) and one 3'
fragment (559 nt) (*Figure 4 - figure supplement 2A*). When expressed in CD95 k.o. HeyA8
cells, no protein was detected with the anti-CD95L antibody used but they were both
significantly expressed at the RNA level albeit at lower levels than wt CD95L (*Figure 4 - figure*
supplement 2B). Only the 5' fragment caused a small reduction in cell growth when
compared to full length CD95L (*Figure 4 - figure supplement 2C*). While either of the two
fragments were toxic to wt HCT116 cells, in the hypersensitive Drosha k.o. HCT116 cells both
fragments showed weak toxicity (*Figure 4 - figure supplement 2D and 2E*). These data suggest
that fragments of CD95L show reduced toxicity which could be due to their lower expression
levels and/or their inability to properly fold and form sRNAs.

265 mRNAs of multiple endogenous genes regulating proliferation are processed and loaded

266 into the RISC.

To address the question of whether in addition to exogenously expressed CD95L, mRNAs of

endogenous genes would also be processed and loaded into the RISC, we interrogated the RNA-

Seq data sets we had from Ago pull-down and total small RNA-Seq analyses in HCT116 wt and

HCT116 Drosha k.o. cells. Genes processed in a similar way to CD95L mRNA would have to be

significantly expressed at the mRNA level, and would give rise to multiple sRNAs that bound to

the RISC more effectively in Drosha k.o. cells when compared to wt cells. We interrogated all

data sets using the following parameters: We counted any gene that had at least one unique read

that aligned only within its mRNA with a read count of 10 or more. We started by analyzing the

Ago bound reads and then compared the location and size of the reads in these identified genes

with the analysis of total small RNA of Drosha k.o. cells expressing CD95L. We found Ago

bound reads of 10 or more reads/location that aligned with 5629 genes in the human genome. Of

these genes ~10% were noncoding, 558 (~10%) were protein coding genes that met our criteria

for processing, and 4498 genes (~80%) were protein coding genes that did not. The top ten most

abundant processed coding genes with multiple unique reads in the RISC are shown in ***Figure***

5A and **B** and ***Figure 5 - figure supplement 1A and B***. The ones most evenly processed are

shown in ***Figure 5B***. In almost all cases, similar to processed CD95L, the average length of the

reads bound to the Ago proteins was smaller than the ones found in total RNA (***Figures 5A*** and

Figure 5 - figure supplement 1A). While these genes were abundantly expressed, they were not

the most abundant mRNAs in these cells (***Figure 5C***). Of the protein coding genes with reads

bound to Ago proteins many of them were highly expressed, yet they were not processed in this

form (***Figure 5D***). When all small reads derived from these five genes were aligned with the

human genome, we observed that: 1) The reads were between 5 - 10 times more abundant in the

RISC of cells devoid of Drosha expression and 2) they were loaded equally efficiently in cells

expressing the empty vector control or the CD95L expressing virus (***Figure 5 - figure***

supplement 2).

The fact that many highly expressed protein coding genes were not processed raised the

question of whether the processed and RISC loaded genes fell in certain functional clusters. To

begin to address this question, we subjected all processed coding genes with RISC bound small

RNAs to a DAVID gene ontology analysis. Strikingly, genes found in many of these enriched

clusters were involved in cell growth (light green rows in *Figure 5 - figure supplement 3A*).

In fact, the most significantly enriched genes were involved in protein translation (dark green

rows in *Figure 5 - figure supplement 3A*). Interestingly, in addition to cyclin D1, MYC (*Figure*

5B) and CDK6 (*Supplementary File 1*) and many initiation and elongation factors, 38 of the

mRNAs of the 50 ribosomal proteins (76%) in the analysis were processed in this way (*Figure 5*

- figure supplement 3B). This suggests that proteins involved in protein translation may be

marked to be processed and loaded into the RISC.

Our data suggest that mRNAs of endogenous protein coding genes can be processed and

loaded into the RISC especially under low miRNA conditions. This raised the question of

whether RISC loaded sRNAs could negative regulate cell growth. We noticed that Drosha k.o.

cells consistently grew slower than their parental wt cells (*Figure 5E*). Based on our recent data

on 6mer seed toxicity we wondered whether in the absence of most nontoxic and therefore

protective miRNAs cells would incorporate sRNAs into the RISC that negative affect cell

growth through a low level of survival gene targeting. To address this question, we compared the

6mer seed toxicity of all sRNAs bound to Ago proteins in either wt or Drosha k.o. cells (*Figure*

5F). The difference in predicted seed toxicity was striking. Most RISC bound sRNAs in wt cells

were miRNAs with low seed toxicity (blue columns in *Figure 5F*). In contrast, in Drosha k.o.

cells the majority of RISC bound sRNAs had a rather toxic 6mer seed (red columns in *Figure*

5F). This suggested that such sRNAs may constantly put pressure on the expression of survival

genes. To test this, we knocked down AGO2 in the Drosha k.o. cells and this treatment resulted

in an increase of their growth rate that was now similar to the one observed in wt cells (*Figure*

5G). These data suggest that endogenous RISC bound sRNAs may regulate cell growth through

the DISE mechanism.

In summary, our data suggest that si- and/or shRNAs with certain seed sequences present in

CD95 and CD95L and the entire CD95L ORF are toxic to cancer cells. The CD95L mRNA is

processed into sRNAs that are loaded into the RISC that then target critical survival genes. This

results in cell death largely through 6mer seed toxicity. This process is independent of both

Drosha and Dicer. Furthermore, our data suggest that a high miRNA content, by "filling up" the

RISC, might render cells less sensitive to this form of cell death and that multiple endogenous

mRNAs are processed and loaded into the RISC and may regulate cell fate.

Discussion

We recently reported a novel form of cell death that was observed after expression of

si/shRNAs designed from the sequences of CD95/CD95L mRNA (Putzbach et al., 2017). More

recently we described that cells die from a loss of multiple survival genes through a mechanism

we call 6mer seed toxicity (Gao et al., 2018). The most toxic si/shRNAs derived from CD95 or

CD95L were found in the ORF of CD95L (Putzbach et al., 2017). This pointed toward the

CD95L mRNA itself being toxic.

We now show that expression of full-length CD95L mRNA triggers toxicity that is

independent of the protein product and canonical apoptosis. This is intriguing considering that

previous studies showed transgenic expression of CD95L using viruses killed multiple cancer

cells that were completely resistant to CD95 mediated apoptosis (ElOjeimy et al., 2006; Hyer,

Voelkel-Johnson, Rubinchik, Dong, & Norris, 2000; Sudarshan et al., 2005; Sun et al., 2012).

These results were interpreted as intracellular CD95L triggering apoptosis more efficiently than

when added to the cells. However, we now provide an alternate explanation—namely, both the

CD95L protein *and* mRNA are toxic to cells through distinct mechanisms. The protein induces

apoptosis, and the mRNA induces toxicity through an RNAi-based mechanism.

We demonstrate that Dicer and Drosha are not involved in generating the Ago-bound

CD95L-derived fragments but there are several candidate RNases that are capable of processing

mRNAs. Given the differences in length distribution between the cytosolic versus Ago-bound

RNA fragments, it is likely that CD95L-derived fragment intermediates are incorporated into the

RISC and then trimmed to the appropriate length by Ago. Indeed, a similar mechanism is known

to occur during the maturation of the erythropoietic miR-451, where the pre-miRNA is first

cleaved by AGO2 and then trimmed at the 3' end to the final mature form by the

exoribonuclease PARN (Yoda et al., 2013). Furthermore, a similar process occurs with the

recently identified class of Ago-bound RNAs called agotrons (Hansen et al., 2016), which

consist of an excised intron loaded into the RISC in a manner independent of Drosha or Dicer

pre-processing. After trimmed to the appropriate size, the guide RNAs in complex with the RISC

can regulate gene expression through RNAi.

Our data provide the first evidence of an overexpressed cDNA to be toxic via an RNAi-

dependent mechanism. It was first shown in plants that overexpressed transgenes can be

converted into RNAi active short RNA sequences (Hamilton & Baulcombe, 1999). Our data on

the effects of overexpressed CD95L RNA, while mechanistically distinct from what was

reported in plants, may be the first example of a transgene determining cell fate through the

RNAi mechanism in mammalian cells. The CD95L-derived sRNAs will likely act in a
miRNA-like fashion by targeting 3'UTRs of survival genes through 6mer seed toxicity (Gao et
al., 2018). CAG-repeat-containing mRNAs have been shown to induce sRNA formation and
cellular toxicity via RNAi (Banez-Coronel et al., 2012). However, we recently reported that
these sCAGs likely target fully complementary CUG containing repeat regions in the ORFs of
genes critical for cell survival in an siRNA-like mechanism (Murmann, Gao, et al., 2018;
Murmann, Yu, Opal, & Peter, 2018).

In addition to the activity of exogenously added CD95L mRNA, we also provide evidence
that certain endogenous coding mRNAs can be processed into multiple sRNAs that are then
loaded into the RISC. Small mRNA-derived RNAs have been reported to be bound to all four
Ago proteins before (Burroughs et al., 2011). However, they were very small in numbers and
amount and no specific cellular function could be ascribed to them. In contrast, we now show
that in cells with disabled miRNA processing, about 5% of the human genome can be processed
in this way and that these genes are strongly enriched in GO terms associated with protein
translation (initiation and elongation) as well as with cell cycle regulation and cell proliferation.
They contain a large number of 5' TOP genes (i.e. 76% of all ribosomal proteins) required for
protein translation (Yamashita et al., 2008). Our findings may be relevant for situations in which
cellular miRNA levels are low, such as in somatic stem cells (Morin et al., 2008) or in advanced
cancers which are characterized by a global downregulation of all miRNAs (Lu et al., 2005). It is
intriguing to note that the genes that are part of this group fall into GO clusters overlapping with
the ones we found downregulated in cells undergoing DISE (Gao et al., 2018; Putzbach et al.,
2017).

A major question that arises from our data is whether CD95L mRNA is toxic *in vivo*. We and
others have noticed upregulation of CD95L in multiple stress-related conditions such as after
treatment with chemotherapy ((Friesen, Fulda, & Debatin, 1999) and data not shown). While the
amount of CD95L mRNA and the level of upregulation alone may not be enough to be toxic, it
could be the combination of multiple RNA fragments, derived from multiple different mRNAs
that are generated to kill cells (Putzbach et al., 2018). We view CD95L as just one of many
RNAs that have this activity. It is unlikely CD95L is the only gene whose mRNA is toxic to
cells, as this mRNA-based level of toxicity would be redundant with the potent killing capacity
of the CD95L protein. Also, upregulating an mRNA that, by itself, could decimate the cells that
would otherwise need to upregulate that mRNA to carry out their biological function in the first

place, such as in activated T cells upregulating CD95L to mount an immune response, would

be self-defeating. Therefore, nature likely distributed this mRNA-based toxicity-inducing

capacity over many genes in the genome to prevent activating it when any one of those genes is

upregulated during specific cellular processes. It is more likely there exists an entire network of

these genes that can release toxic small RNAs when the appropriate stimulus is encountered.

Consistent with this hypothesis we recently identified other genes that contain sequences that

when converted to shRNAs kill cancer cells through 6mer seed toxicity (Patel & Peter, 2017).

Future work will be aimed at studying the coding genes that are found in the RISC, identifying

additional genes and the mechanism through which they are processed and under what

conditions they kill cells.

Materials and methods

Key Resources Table

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Gene (Homo sapiens)	CD95L	NA	NM_000639	
Gene (H. sapiens)	CD95	NA	NM_000043	
Cell line (H. sapiens)	MCF-7	ATCC	ATCC: HTB-22	Human adenocarcinoma of the mammary gland, breast; derived from metastatic site: pleural effusion
Cell line (H. sapiens)	MCF-7 CD95 ΔshR6 clone #21	this paper	NA	MCF-7 CD95 ΔshR6 clone #21 with homozygous 227 nucleotide deletion of the shR6 target site in CD95 (chr10:89,008,920-89,009,146; Human Dec. 2013 GRCh38/hg38 assembly) produced using CRISPR/Cas9 technology; verified homozygous CD95 protein knockout
Cell line (H. sapiens)	MCF-7 CD95 deletion clone FA4	this paper	NA	MCF-7 CD95 deletion clone FA4 with a homozygous deletion of the entire CD95 gene (chr10:88,990,657 - 89,015,785; Human Dec. 2013 GRCh38/hg38 assembly) produced using CRISPR/Cas9 technology; verified homozygous CD95 protein knockout
Cell line (H. sapiens)	HeyA8	PMID: 4016745	RRID: CVCL_8878	Human high grade ovarian serous adenocarcinoma; derived from parent Hey cells (RRID: CVCL_0297)

Cell line (H. sapiens)	HeyA8 shR6 k.o. clone #11, HeyA8 CD95 k.o.	PMID: 29063830	NA	HeyA8 CD95 k.o. clone with a homozygous 227 nucleotide deletion of the shR6 target site in CD95 (chr10:89,008,920-89,009,146; Human Dec. 2013 GRCh38/hg38 assembly) produced using CRISPR/Cas9 technology; verified homozygous CD95 protein knockout
Cell line (H. sapiens)	HCT116	Korean Collection for Type Cultures (KCTC)	KCTC: cat#HC19023; ATCC: CCL_247	Human colorectal carcinoma
Cell line (H. sapiens)	Drosha$^{-/-}$; Drosha$^{-/-}$ clone #40	Korean Collection for Type Cultures (KCTC); PMID: 26976605	KCTC: cat#HC19020	HCT116 clone #40 with homozygous protein knockout of Drosha; knockout achieved using CRISPR/Cas9 which resulted in a single nucleotide insertion in one allele and a 26 nucleotide deletion in the other
Cell line (H. sapiens)	Dicer$^{-/-}$; Dicer$^{-/-}$ clone #43	Korean Collection for Type Cultures (KCTC); PMID: 26976606	KCTC: cat#HC19023	HCT116 clone #43 with homozygous protein knockout of Dicer; knockout achieved using CRISPR/Cas9 which resulted in a three nucleotide insertion and 14 nucleotide deletion in one allele and a 35 nucleotide deletion in the other
Cell line (H. sapiens)	Dicer$^{-/-}$; Dicer$^{-/-}$ clone #45	Korean Collection for Type Cultures (KCTC); PMID: 26976607	KCTC: cat#HC19024	HCT116 clone #45 with homozygous protein knockout of Dicer; knockout achieved using CRISPR/Cas9 which resulted in a 53 nucleotide deletion in one allele and a 28 nucleotide deletion in the other
Cell line (H. sapiens)	293T	ATCC	ATCC: CRL-3216	Derived from HEK293 cells (ATCC: CRL-1573); express large T antigen; used for packaging viruses
Cell line (H. sapiens)	H460	ATCC	ATCC: #HTB-177	Human lung pleural effusion carcinoma
Cell line (Mus musculus)	3LL	ATCC	ATCC #CRL-1642	Mouse Lewis lung carcinoma

Cell line (Mus musculus)	M565	PMID: 25366259	NA	Mouse hepatocellular carcinoma isolated from naturally occurring tumor in a floxed CD95 background
Antibody	anti-human AGO1 (rabbit monoclonal)	Cell Signaling	Cell Signaling #5053	1:2000; for western blot; primary Ab
Antibody	anti-human AGO1 (rabbit polyclonal)	Abcam	Abcam #98056	1:2000; for western blot; primary Ab
Antibody	anti-human AGO2 (rabbit polyclonal)	Abcam	Abcam #32381	1:500; for western blot; primary Ab
Antibody	Goat anti-rabbit, IgG-HRP	Southern Biotech	Southern Biotech: cat#SB-4030-05	1:5000; for western blot; secondary Ab
Antibody	Anti-Argonaute-2 antibody (rabbit monoclonal) [EPR10411]	Abcam	Abcam #186733	1:1200; for western blot; primary Ab
Antibody	Anti-Human CD178 antibody (Mouse IgG1) Clone G247-4	BD Pharmingen	BD Pharmingen #556387	1 μg/ml; for western blot; primary Ab
Antibody	Anti-CD95 (rabbit polyclonal, C-20)	Santa Cruz	Santa Cruz #sc-715 (since discontinued)	1:1000; for western blot; primary Ab
Antibody	Goat anti-rabbit, IgG-HRP	Cell Signaling	Cell Signaling: cat#7074	1:2000; for western blot; secondary Ab
Antibody	Goat anti-mouse; IgG1-HRP	Southern Biotech	Southern BioTech: cat#1070-05	1:5000; for western blot; secondary Ab
Recombinant protein reagent	LzCD95L	PMID: 14504390	NA	Leucine zipper tagged CD95L; recombinant protein
Chemical compound	CellTiter-Glo	Promega	Promega #G7570	Detects ATP release as a surrogate for cell death; read-out is fluorescence
Chemical compound	propidium iodide	Sigma-Aldrich	Sigma-Aldrich: cat#P4864	Used for subG1 flow cytometry analysis

Chemical compound	puromycin	Sigma-Aldrich	Sigma-Aldrich: cat#P9620	Used for selection of cells expressing puromycin resistance cassettes
Chemical compound	2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate	Thermofisher Scientific	Thermofisher Scientific #D399	Dye used for detecting ROS production
Chemical compound	zVAD-fmk	Sigma-Aldrich	Sigma-Aldrich: cat#V116	Used at 20uM; pan caspase inhibitor
Recombinant DNA reagent	pLenti-GIII-CMV-RFP-2A-Puro vector; pLenti	ABM Inc	NA	pLenti control empty lentiviral vector; carries an RFP-2a-puromycin resistance cassette
Recombinant DNA reagent	pLenti-CD95L	this paper	NA	pLenti-GIII-CMV-RFP-2A-Puro vector that expresses human wild type CD95L cDNA (NM_000639.2); used to express wt human CD95L upon infection with lentiviral particles
Recombinant DNA reagent	pLenti-CD95L^{MUT}	this paper	NA	pLenti-GIII-CMV-RFP-2A-Puro vector that expresses human CD95L cDNA (NM_000639.2) with 2 nucleotide substitutions in codon 218 (TAT -> CGT) resulting in replacement of tyrosine for arginine (Y218R mutation); unable to bind CD95
Recombinant DNA reagent	pLenti-CD95L^{MUT}NP	this paper	NA	pLenti-GIII-CMV-RFP-2A-Puro vector that expresses human CD95L cDNA (NM_000639.2) with both the Y218R mutation and a single nucleotide substitution at the second codon (CAG -> TAG), resulting in a premature stop codon right after the start codon

Recombinant DNA reagent	pLenti-CD95L^{SIL}	this paper	NA	pLenti-GIII-CMV-RFP-2A-Puro vector that expresses human CD95L cDNA (NM_000639.2) with all codons containing synonymous mutations except for select codons in the proline-rich domain to meet IDT synthesis criteria
Transfected construct	gRNA scaffold	PMID: 23287722	IDT: synthesized as gene block	455 nucleotide CRISPR/Cas9 gRNA scaffold synthesized as a gene block; contains promoter, gRNA scaffold, target sequence, and termination sequence; scaffold transcribes gRNAs that target Cas9 endonuclease to cut at target sites; target sequences consist of 19 nucleotides that are complementary to the target site of choice; co-transfected with Cas9 to catalyze cleavage.
Recombinant DNA reagent	pLenti-CD95L^{MUT}NP (G258A)	This paper	NA	pLenti-GIII-CMV-RFP-2A-Puro vector that expresses human CD95L cDNA (NM_000639.2) with the Y218R mutation, and two additional single nucleotide substitutions; one at the second codon (CAG -> TAG), resulting in a premature stop codon right after the start codon, and another, G258A, resulting in the replacement of a methionine with an isoleucine, thus removing the alternative translational start site.
Transfected construct	pMJ920 Cas9 plasmid	Addgene; PMID: 23386978	Addgene: cat#42234	Plasmid that expresses a human codon-optimized Cas9 tagged with GFP and HA; used to express Cas9 for CRISPR-mediated deletions.
Chemical compound	Lipofectamine 2000	ThermoFisher Scientific	ThermoFisher Scientific: cat#11668019	Transfection reagent

Chemical compound	Lipofectamine RNAiMAX	ThermoFisher Scientific	ThermoFisher Scientific: cat#13778150	Transfection reagent; used for transfection of small RNAs such as siRNAs
Commercial assay or kit	StrataClone Blunt PCR Cloning Kit	Agilent Technologies	Agilent Technologies: cat#240207	Used to blunt-end clone the gRNA scaffolds into the pSC-B plasmid
Genetic reagent	Taqman Gene expression master mix	ThermoFisher Scientific	#4369016	
Sequence-based reagent	shR6 flanking Fr primer	IDT	IDT: custom DNA oligo	Fr primer that flanks shR6 site; used to detect 227 nt shR6 deletion; 5'-GGTGTCATGCTGTGACTGTTG-3'
Sequence-based reagent	shR6 flanking Rev primer	IDT	IDT: custom DNA oligo	Rev primer that flanks shR6 site; used to detect 227 nt shR6 deletion; 5'-TTTAGCTTAAGTGGCCAGCAA-3'
Sequence-based reagent	shR6 internal Rev primer	IDT	IDT: custom DNA oligo	Rev primer that overlaps with the shR6 site; used to detect 227 nt shR6 deletion; 5'-AAGTTGGTTTACATCTGCAC-3'
Sequence-based reagent	CD95 flanking Fr primer	IDT	IDT: custom DNA oligo	Fr primer that flanks the CD95 gene; used to detect CD95 gene deletion; 5'-TGTTTAATATAGCTGGGGCTATGC-3'
Sequence-based reagent	CD95 flanking Rev primer	IDT	IDT: custom DNA oligo	Rev primer that flanks the CD95 gene; used to detect CD95 gene deletion; 5'-TGGGACTCATGGGTTAAATAGAAT-3'
Sequence-based reagent	CD95 internal Rev primer	IDT	IDT: custom DNA oligo	Rev internal primer that targets within the CD95 gene; used to detect CD95 gene deletion; 5'-GACCAGTCTTCTCATTTCAGAGGT-3'

Sequence-based reagent	siScr/siNT1	IDT;	IDT: custom DNA oligo	control non-targeting siRNA; sense: UGGUUUACAUGUCGACUAA-3'
Sequence-based reagent	c7/1	IDT	custom siRNA; antisense strand corresponds to cluster 7 CD95L sequence	antisense: 5'-AUUGGGCCUGGGGAUGUUU-3'; antisense strand designed with 3' deoxy AA; complementary sense strand has 3' deoxy TT and 2'-O-methylation at the first two positions
Sequence-based reagent	c7/2	IDT	custom siRNA; antisense strand corresponds to cluster 7 CD95L sequence	antisense: 5'-CCUGGGGAUGUUUCAGCUC-3'; antisense strand designed with 3' deoxy AA; complementary sense strand has 3' deoxy TT and 2'-O-methylation at the first two positions
Sequence-based reagent	c11	IDT	custom siRNA; antisense strand corresponds to cluster 11 CD95L sequence	antisense: 5'-CCAACUCAAGGUCCAUGCC-3'; antisense strand designed with 3' deoxy AA; complementary sense strand has 3' deoxy TT and 2'-O-methylation at the first two positions
Sequence-based reagent	c15/1	IDT	custom siRNA; antisense strand corresponds to cluster 15 CD95L sequence	antisense: 5'-AAACUGGGCUGUACUUUGU-3'; antisense strand designed with 3' deoxy AA; complementary sense strand has 3' deoxy TT and 2'-O-methylation at the first two positions
Sequence-based reagent	c15/2	IDT	custom siRNA; antisense strand corresponds to cluster 15 CD95L sequence	antisense: 5'-AACUGGGCUGUACUUUGUA-3'; antisense strand designed with 3' deoxy AA; complementary sense strand has 3' deoxy TT and 2'-O-methylation at the first two positions

Sequence-based reagent	c16/1	IDT	custom siRNA; antisense strand corresponds to cluster 16 CD95L sequence	antisense: 5'-CAACAACCUGCCCCUGAGC-3'; antisense strand designed with 3' deoxy AA; complementary sense strand has 3' deoxy TT and 2'-O-methylation at the first two positions
Sequence-based reagent	c16/2	IDT	custom siRNA; antisense strand corresponds to cluster 16 CD95L sequence	antisense: 5'-AACUCUAAGCGUCCCCAGG-3'; antisense strand designed with 3' deoxy AA; complementary sense strand has 3' deoxy TT and 2'-O-methylation at the first two positions
Sequence-based reagent	c21	IDT	custom siRNA; antisense strand corresponds to cluster 21 CD95L sequence	antisense: 5'-UCAACGUAUCUGAGCUCUC-3'; antisense strand designed with 3' deoxy AA; complementary sense strand has 3' deoxy TT and 2'-O-methylation at the first two positions
Sequence-based reagent	c22	IDT	custom siRNA; antisense strand corresponds to cluster 22 CD95L sequence	antisense: 5'-AAUCUCAGACGUUUUCGG-3'; antisense strand designed with 3' deoxy AA; complementary sense strand has 3' deoxy TT and 2'-O-methylation at the first two positions
Sequence-based reagent	siCtr pool	Dharmacon	D-001810-10	control non-targeting siRNA pool
Sequence-based reagent	SMARTpool siRNA targeting AGO2	Dharmacon	L-004639-00-0005	siRNA pool designed to target AGO2
Sequence based reagent (human)	GAPDH primer	Thermofisher Scientific	Hs00266705_g1	RT-qPCR; control probe
Sequence based reagent (human)	CD95L primers	Thermofisher Scientific	Hs00181226_g1; Hs00181225_m1	RT-qPCR

Sequence based reagent (human)	CD95 primers	Thermofisher Scientific	Hs00531110_m1; Hs00236330_m1	RT-qPCR
Sequence based reagent (human)	CD95L^{SIL} primer	Thermofisher Scientific	assay ID: APNKTUD	Custom RT-qPCR primer designed using the Thermofisher Scientific design tool to detect CD95L^{SIL} mRNA
Sequence based reagent (human)	Cluster 8 CD95L small RNA primer	Thermofisher Scientific	custom probe Assay ID: CT7DPEM	Custom RT-qPCR primer designed using the Thermofisher Scientific design tool at https://www.thermofisher.com/order/custom- genomic-products/tools/small-rna to specifically detect small RNAs from cluster 8 of CD95L (5'-AAGGAGCTGGCAGAACTCCGAGA-3')
Sequence based reagent (human)	Cluster 21 CD95L small RNA primer	Thermofisher Scientific	custom probe Assay ID: CTAAADA	Custom RT-qPCR primer designed using the Thermofisher Scientific design tool at https://www.thermofisher.com/order/custom- genomic-products/tools/small-rna to specifically detect small RNAs from cluster 21 of CD95L (5'-TCAACGTATCTGAGCTCTCTC-3')
Sequence based reagent (human)	z30 primer	Thermofisher Scientific	ThermoFisher Scientific #4427975	RT-qPCR for small RNA; control probe
Peptide, recombinant protein	Flag-GST-T6B peptide	PMID: 26351695	NA	Peptide derived from GW182/TNRC6B used to pull down AGO1 to 4
Commercial assay or kit	anti-Flag M2 magnetic beads	Sigma-Aldrich	Sigma-Aldrich #M8823	

405 **Reagents and antibodies**

406 All reagents and antibodies were described previously (Putzbach et al., 2017) except those

407 referenced in the following paragraphs.

Cell lines

HeyA8 (RRID:CVCL_8878) and HeyA8 CD95 knock-out cells, HCT116 (ATCC #CCL-247;
RRID:CVCL_0291) and HCT116 Drosha knock-out and Dicer knock-out cells, MCF-7 cells
(ATCC #HTB-22; RRID:CVCL_0031), and 293T (ATCC #CRL-3216; RRID:CVCL_0063)
cells were cultured as described previously (Putzbach et al., 2017). The MCF-7 CD95 knock-out
and deletion cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Cellgro #10-040-CM), 10% heat-
inactivated FBS (Sigma-Aldrich), 1% L-glutamine (Mediatech Inc), and 1%
penicillin/streptomycin (Mediatech Inc). H460 (ATCC #HTB-177; RRID:CVCL_0459) cells
were cultured in RPMI1640 medium (Cellgro Cat#10-040) supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma
Cat#14009C) and 1% L-Glutamine (Corning Cat#25-005). 3LL cells (ATCC #CRL-1642;
RRID:CVCL_4358) were cultured in DMEM medium (Gibco Cat#12430054) supplemented
with 10% FBS and 1% L-Glutamine. Mouse hepatocellular carcinoma cells M565 cells were
described previously (Ceppi et al., 2014) and cultured in DMEM/F12 (Gibco Cat#11330)
supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% L-Glutamine and ITS (Corning #25-800-CR). All cell lines
were authenticated using STR profiling and tested monthly for mycoplasm using PlasmoTest
(Invitrogen).

Plasmids and constructs

The pLenti-CD95L was synthesized by sub-cloning an insert containing the CD95L ORF
(NM_000639.2; synthesized by IDT as minigene with flanking 5' NheI RE site and 3' XhoI RE
sites in pIDTblue vector) into the pLenti-GIII-CMV-RFP-2A-Puro vector (ABM Inc). The insert
and the backbone were digested with NheI (NEB #R0131) and XhoI (NEB #R0146) restriction
enzymes. Subsequent ligation with T4 DNA ligase created the pLenti-CD95L vector. The
pLenti-CD95L^{MUT} vector was created by sub-cloning a CD95L cDNA insert with 2 nucleotide
substitutions in codon 218 (*TAT -> CGT)* resulting in replacement of tyrosine for arginine, which
has been described to inhibit binding to CD95 (Schneider et al., 1997) into the pLenti-GIII-
CMV-RFP-2A-Puro vector. The pLenti-CD95L^{MUT}NP vector was created by inserting a CD95L
ORF cDNA sequence containing both the Y218R mutation and a single nucleotide substitution at
the second codon (*CAG -> TAG*), resulting in a premature stop codon right after the start codon,
into the pLenti-GIII-CMV-RFP-2A-Puro vector. The pLenti-CD95L^{SIL} was created by sub-
cloning a mutant CD95L ORF cDNA sequence with codons synonymously mutated (*Figure 1 -*

figure supplement 3A) to the next most highly utilized codon in human cells (exceptions were
made within the proline rich domain(Novoradovsky et al., 2005) to meet gene synthesis design
criteria) into the pLenti-GIII-CMV-RFP-2A-Puro vector. The GenScript Codon Usage
Frequency Table Tool for Expression Host Organism: Human
(https://www.genscript.com/tools/codon-frequency-table) was used to determine codon use
frequencies.

The pLenti-CD95LMUTNP (G258A) mutant was generated by site-directed mutagenesis.
Identification of the ATG giving rise to the 24 kDa CD95LMUTNP peptide was predicted using
the Sequence Manipulation Suite (Stothard, 2000). The next methionine downstream of the
premature stop codon was predicted to produce a 22.27 kDa protein, and thus was mutated to an
isoleucine (ATG > ATA). The Agilent QuikChange Primer Design tool was used to design
mutagenesis primers (Novoradovsky et al., 2005). The following primers were synthesized by
IDT with 5' phosphorylation and were PAGE purified 5'-
GCCTGTGTCTCCTTGTGATATTTTTCATGGTTCTGGTTG-3' and 5'-
CAACCAGAACCATGAAAAATATCACAAGGAGACACAGGC-3'. The PCR reaction was
performed using NEB Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (#M0530) with HF Buffer
(#B0518) with 3% DMSO according to manufacturer's protocol. The BioRad T100
Thermocycler was utilized for the mutagenesis reaction using the following cycling conditions:
(step 1) 98°C, 30 sec; (step two) 25 cycles: 98°C, 10 sec; 68°C, 30 sec; 72°C, 6 min; (step three)
72°C, 10 min. The template DNA was digested with DpnI (Thermo Scientific F541), and the
mutagenized plasmid was ligated using T4 ligase (Thermo Scientific F541) and transformed into
Stbl3 (Invitrogen C737303). Successful mutants were screened by growth on Kanamycin plates,
and confirmed by Sanger sequencing (ACGT, Inc).

The pLenti-CD95L 5' fragment vector was created by subcloning the 5' fragment of the
CD95L^{MUT}NP ORF cDNA sequence (5'-
GCTAGCCTGACTCACCAGCTGCCATGTAGCAGCCCTTCAATTACCCATATCCCCAGATCTA
CTGGGTGGACAGCAGTGCCAGCTCTCCCTGGGCCCCTCCAGGCACAGTTCTTCCCTGTC
CAACCTCTGTGCCCAGAAGGCCTGGTCAAAGGAGGCCACCACCACCACCGCCACCGCCA
CCACTACCACCTCCGCCGCCGCCGCCACCACTGCCTCCACTACCGCTGCCACCCCTGAA
GAAGAGAGGGAACCACAGCACAGGCCTGTGTCTCCTTGCTCGAG-3') into the pLenti-GIII-
CMV-RFP-2A-Puro vector. The pLenti-CD95L 3' fragment vector was created by subcloning
the 3' half of the CD95L^{MUT}NP ORF cDNA sequence (5'-

GCTAGCCCTGGGGATGTTTCAGCTCTTCCACCTACAGAAGGAGCTGGCAGAACTCCGA

GAGTCTACCAGCCAGATGCACACAGCATCATCTTTGGAGAAGCAAATAGGCCACCCCAGT

CCACCCCCTGAAAAAAGGAGCTGAGGAAAGTGGCCCATTTAACAGGCAAGTCCAACTCA

AGGTCCATGCCTCTGGAATGGGAAGACACCTATGGAATTGTCCTGCTTTCTGGAGTGAAG

TATAAGAAGGGTGGCCTTGTGATCAATGAAACTGGGCTGTACTTTGTATATTCCAAAGTATA

CTTCCGGGGTCAATCTTGCAACAACCTGCCCCTGAGCCACAAGGTCTACATGAGGAACTC

TAAGCGTCCCCAGGATCTGGTGATGATGGAGGGGAAGATGATGAGCTACTGCACTACTGG

GCAGATGTGGGCCCGCAGCAGCTACCTGGGGGCAGTGTTCAATCTTACCAGTGCTGATCA

TTTATATGTCAACGTATCTGAGCTCTCTCTGGTCAATTTTGAGGAATCTCAGACGTTTTTCG

GCTTATATAAGCTCTAAGAGAAGCACTTTGGGATCTCGAG-3') into the pLenti-GIII-CMV-

RFP-2A-Puro vector.

Overexpression of CD95L cDNAs

All lentiviral constructs were generated in 293T cells as described previously (Putzbach et al.,

2017). HeyA8 and MCF-7 (and all derivative cell lines) cells overexpressing wild type CD95L

and mutant CD95L cDNAs were generated by seeding cells at 100,000 cells per well in a 6-well

plate and infecting cells with lentivirus generated in 293T cells (500 μl viral supernatant per

well) with 8 μg/ml Polybrene and subsequent centrifugation at 2700 rpm for 0.5-1 hour. Media

was changed next day, and confluent wells were expanded to larger dishes. Selection was started

on following day with 3 μg/ml puromycin. HCT116, HCT116 Drosha knockout, and HCT116

Dicer knockout cells (Kim et al., 2016) overexpressing CD95L cDNAs were generated by

seeding cells at 100,000 cells per well in a 24-well plate or 500,000 cells per well in a 6-well

plate and infecting cells with lentivirus generated in 293T cells (100 μl virus per 24-well or 500

μl per 6-well) in the presence of 8 μg/ml Polybrene. Media was changed the next day, and cells

were selected with 3 μg/ml puromycin the following day. Infection with empty pLenti was

always included as a control.

To assess toxicity of overexpressing CD95L cDNAs, cells infected with these constructs

were plated in a 96-well plate 1 day after selection in the presence of puromycin (uninfected cells

were all dead after 1 day in presence of puromycin); Cell confluency was assessed over time

using the IncuCyte as described previously (Putzbach et al., 2017).

To assess overexpression of CD95L cDNAs in apoptosis-sensitive HeyA8 cells in *Figure*

1A, infection with CD95L lentiviruses were done in 96-well plate using 50 μl of virus in the

presence of 20 μM zVAD-fmk (Sigma-Aldrich #V116) and 8 μg/ml Polybrene; media was

changed next day in the presence of 20 μM zVAD-fmk; 3 μg/ml puromycin was added the

following day. Infection with the CD95L constructs for the RT-qPCR and Western blot in

Figure 1B were done in a 6-well plate in the presence of 20 μM zVAD-fmk.

For the experiment in *Figure 3B, Figure 3 - figure supplement 1,* and *Figure 5G*, cells

were reverse transfected in a 6-well plate; 100,000 cells were plated in wells with either the On-

TargetPlus non-targeting siRNA (Dharmacon #D-001810-10) or siAGO2 pool (Dharmacon ##L-

004639-00-0005) at 25 nM complexed with 1 μl RNAiMax. After ~24 hrs, the cells were

infected with either pLenti or different pLenti-CD95L WT or mutant constructs (500 μl of viral

supernatant [25% of total volume]) as described above. Next day, media was replaced, and

cells were expanded to 10 cm plates. The following day, 3 μg/ml puromycin was added. When

puromycin selection was complete (one day later), 750-3000 cells were plated per well in a 96-

well plate and put in the IncuCyte machine to assess cell confluency over time. When wt HeyA8

cells were infected cells were plated one hour after infection and centrifugation. Puromycin was

added to the wells 50 hrs after infection.

CRISPR deletions

We co-transfected a Cas9-expressing plasmid (Jinek et al., 2013) and two gRNAs that target

upstream and downstream to delete an entire section of DNA as described previously (Putzbach

et al., 2017). The gRNA scaffold was used as described (Mali et al., 2013). The gRNAs were

designed using the algorithm found at http://crispr.mit.edu; only gRNAs with a score above 50

were considered.

A deletion of 227 nucleotides in exon 4 of CD95 in MCF-7 cells (ΔshR6, clone #21) was

generated using gRNAs described previously (Putzbach et al., 2017). Deletion of this site results

in a frame-shift mutation that causes a protein-level knock-out (Putzbach et al., 2017). PCR with

flanking   external   primers   (Fr: 5'-*GGTGTCATGCTGTGACTGTTG*-3'   and   Rev: 5'-

TTTAGCTTAAGTGGCCAGCAA-3') and internal primers (Fr primer and the internal Rev primer

5'-*AAGTTGGTTTACATCTGCAC*-3') was used to screen for single cell clones that harbor a

homozygous deletion.

The two sequences targeted by the flanking gRNAs for the deletion of the entire CD95 gene

were   5'-GTCAGGGTTCGTTGCACAAA-3'   and   5'-TGCTTCTTGGATCCCTTAGA-3'.   For

detection   of   the   CD95   gene   deletion,   the   flanking   external   primers   were   5'-

TGTTTAATATAGCTGGGGCTATGC-3'   (Fr   primer)   and   5'-
TGGGACTCATGGGTTAAATAGAAT-3' (Rev primer), and the internal reverse primer was 5'-
GACCAGTCTTCTCATTTCAGAGGT-3'. After screening the clones, Sanger sequencing was
performed to confirm the proper deletion had occurred.
540
Real-Time quantitative PCR
The relative expression of specific mRNAs was quantified as described previously (Gao et al.,
2018). The primer/probes purchased from ThermoFisher Scientific were GAPDH
(Hs00266705_g1), human CD95L (Hs00181226_g1 and Hs00181225_m1), human CD95
(Hs00531110_m1 and Hs00236330_m1), and a custom primer/probe to detect the CD95L^{SIL}
mRNA (designed using the Thermofisher Scientific custom design tool; assay ID: APNKTUD)
and CD95L 5' fragment mRNA (designed using the Thermofisher Scientific custom design tool;
assay ID: APEPTMU).
Custom RT-qPCR probes designed to specifically detect small RNA species were used to
detect CD95L fragments in ***Figure 4G***. Abundant Ago bound CD95L small RNA sequences
were identified from Drosha k.o. Ago pull-down sequencing data. sRNA sequences mapping to
FASLG were sorted by their 3' end position. The number of small RNA reads terminating at the
same nucleotide position were counted, and the most abundant sequences were selected for
qPCR probe design from clusters 8 and 21. These probes were designed using ThermoFisher's
Custom TaqMan Small RNA Assay Design Tool (https://www.thermofisher.com/order/custom-
genomic-products/tools/small-rna/)   to   target   the   cluster   8   sequence   (5'-
AAGGAGCTGGCAGAACTCCGAGA-3')   and   the   cluster   21   sequence   (5'-
TCAACGTATCTGAGCTCTCTC-3'). Detection of these fragments involves a two-step
amplification protocol used to detect microRNAs. In the first step, the High-Capacity cDNA
reverse transcription kit is used to selectively reverse transcribe the two clusters to be quantified
using specific primers and 100 ng RNA input. The cDNA was diluted 1:5. The qPCR reaction
mixture is composed of the diluted cDNA, the custom probes, and the Taqman Universal PCR
Master Mix (Applied Biosystems #43240018). Reactions were performed in triplicate. Ct values
were determined using the Applied Biosystems 7500 Real Time PCR system with a thermocycle
profile of 50°C for two min (step one), 95°C for 10 min (step two), and then 40 cycles of 95°C
for 15 s (step three) and 60°C for 1 min (step four). The ΔΔCt values between the small RNA of

interest and the control were calculated to determine relative abundance of the small RNA.

Samples were normalized to Z30 (ThermoFisher Scientific #4427975).

Western blot analysis

Detection of human CD95, CD95L, AGO1 and AGO2 was done via Western blot as described

previously (Putzbach et al., 2017).

CD95 surface staining

Flow cytometry was used to quantify the level of membrane-localized CD95 as described

previously (Putzbach et al., 2017).

Cell death quantification (DNA fragmentation) and ROS production

The percent of subG1 nuclei (fragmented DNA) was determined by PI staining/flow cytometry

as described previously (Putzbach et al., 2017). ROS production was quantified using the cell-

permeable indicator 2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (ThermoFisher Scientific #D399)

as previously described (Hadji et al., 2014).

Assessing cell growth and fluorescence over time

After treatment/infection, 750 - 3000 cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at least in triplicate.

Images were captured at indicated time points using an IncuCyte ZOOM live cell imaging

system (Essen BioScience) with a 10x objective lens. Percent confluence and total fluorescent

integrated intensity was calculated using the IncuCyte ZOOM software (version 2015A).

Infection of cells for Ago-pull down and small RNA-Seq analysis

HeyA8 ΔshR6 clone #11 cells were seeded at 75,000 cells per well on 6-well plates, and the

HCT116 and HCT116 Drosha knock-out cells were both seeded at 500,000 per well on 6-well

plates. The HeyA8 ΔshR6 clone #11 cells were infected with 0.5 mL of empty pLenti or pLenti-

CD95L-WT viral supernatant per well. The HCT116 and HCT116 Drosha knockout cells were

infected with 0.5 mL empty pLenti or pLenti-CD95L^{MUT}NP viral supernatant per well. Media

was changed the next day and the cells were pooled and expanded to multiple 15 cm dishes.

Selection with 3 µg/mL puromycin began the following day. The next day, the HeyA8 ΔshR6

clone #11 infected cells were seeded at 600,000 cells per dish in multiple 15 cm dishes; the

HCT116 and HCT116 Drosha knock-out cells were seeded at 5 million cells per dish in

multiple 15 cm dishes. Two days later, each of the samples was pelleted and split in two: one

pellet was lysed and processed for small RNA sequencing, and the other pellet was flash frozen

in liquid nitrogen. The pellets were stored at -80°C until they could be used for the Ago pull-

down experiment. The purpose of splitting the sample was so that we could compare the total

cellular pool of small RNAs to the fraction that was bound to the RISC. This way, the processing

CD95L-derived fragments from the full-length mRNA in the cytosol to the final mature RISC-

bound form could be mapped. This was all done in duplicate.

RNA-Seq analysis

Total RNA was isolated using the miRNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, #74004) following the

manufacturer's instructions. An on-column digestion step using the RNase-free DNase Set

(Qiagen #79254) was included. Both small and large mRNA libraries were generated and

sequenced as described previously (Putzbach et al., 2017). Reads were trimmed with TrimGalore

and then aligned to the hg38 assembly of the human genome with Tophat. Raw read counts were

assigned to genes using HTSeq and differential gene expression was analyzed with the R

Bioconductor EdgeR package (Robinson, McCarthy, & Smyth, 2010).

Ago pull down and RNA-Seq analysis of bound small RNAs

Cell pellets were harvest at 50 hours after plating (122 hours after infection) and were flash

frozen in liquid nitrogen. The pellets were stored at -80°C until ready for further processing.

Between 10 and 25 x 10^6 cells were lysed in NP40 lysis buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM

NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% (v/v) NP40, supplemented with phosphatase inhibitors) on ice for 15

minutes. The lysate was sonicated 3 times for 30 s at 60% amplitude (Sonics, VCX130) and

cleared by centrifugation at 12,000g for 20 minutes. AGO1-4 were pulled down by using 500 μg

of Flag-GST-T6B peptide (Hauptmann et al., 2015) and with 60 μl anti-Flag M2 magnetic beads

(Sigma-Aldrich) for 2 hrs at 4°C. The pull-down was washed 3 times in NP40 lysis buffer.

During the last wash, 10% of beads were removed and incubated at 95°C for 5 minutes in 2x

SDS-PAGE sample buffer. Samples were run on a 4-12% SDS-PAGE and transferred to

nitrocellulose membrane. The pull-down efficiency was determined by immunoblotting against

AGO1 (Cell Signaling #5053; RRID:AB_10695871 and Abcam #98056; RRID:AB_10680548)

and AGO2 (Abcam #32381; RRID:AB_867543). To the remaining beads 500 μl TRIzol reagent

were added and the RNA extracted according to the manufacturer's instructions. The RNA

pellet was diluted in 20 µl of water. The sample was split, and half of the sample was

dephosphorylated with 0.5 U/µl of CIP alkaline phosphatase at 37°C for 15 min and

subsequently radiolabeled with 0.5 µCi γ-^{32}P-ATP and 1 U/µl of T4 PNK kinase for 20 min at

37°C. The AGO1-4 interacting RNAs were visualized on a 15% urea-PAGE. The remaining

RNA was taken through a small RNA library preparation as previously described (Hafner et al.,

2012). Briefly, RNA was ligated with 3' adenylated adapters and separated on a 15% denaturing

urea-PAGE. The RNA corresponding to insert size of 19-35 nt was eluted from the gel, ethanol

precipitated followed by 5' adapter ligation. The samples were separated on a 12% Urea-PAGE

and extracted from the gel. Reverse transcription was performed using Superscript III reverse

transcriptase and the cDNA amplified by PCR. The cDNA was sequenced on Illumina HiSeq

3000. Adapter sequences: Adapter 1 – NNTGACTGTGGAATTCTCGGGTGCCAAGG;

Adapter 2 – NNACACTCTGGAATTCTCGGGTGCCAAGG, Adapter 3 –

NNACAGAGTGGAATTCTCGGGTGCCAAGG, Adapter 4 –

NNGCGATATGGAATTCTCGGGTGCCAAGG, Adapter 47 –

NNTCTGTGTGGAATTCTCGGGTGCCAAGG, Adapter 48 –

NNCAGCATTGGAATTCTCGGGTGCCAAGG, Adapter 49 –

NNATAGTATGGAATTCTCGGGTGCCAAGG, Adapter 50 –

NNTCATAGTGGAATTCTCGGGTGCCAAGG. RT primer sequence:

GCCTTGGCACCCGAGAATTCCA; PCR primer sequences:

CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATACGAGATCGTGATGTGACTGGAGTTCCTTGGCACCCGAG

AATTCCA. To identify CD95L-derived small RNAs among the sequenced reads, a BLAST

database was generated from each set of reads, and blastn was used to query the CD95L ORF

(derived from NM_000639.2) against reads from cells infected with pLenti-CD95L and to query

the CD95L^{MUT}NP ORF sequence against reads from cells infected with CD95L^{MUT}NP. The only

reads considered further were those matching a CD95L sequence with an e-value of less than

0.05 and 100% identity across the entire length of the read. This resulted in the loss of a few

reads less than 19/20 nt in length. The filtered BLAST hits were converted to a bed formatted

file, describing the locations of reads relative to the relevant CD95L sequence, and the R

package Sushi was used to plot the bed files and generate Figures 4B-E.

Assessing toxicity of CD95L-derived small RNAs

To determine whether guide RNAs derived from the over-expressed CD95L mRNA could

evoke toxicity, the small CD95L-derived RNA reads (corresponding to different clusters shown

in *Figure 4C*) bound to AGO from the HCT116 Drosha knock-out cells were converted to

siRNAs. First, all reads less than 18 nucleotides were filtered out, as these do not efficiently

incorporate into the RISC. siRNAs were designed with antisense strands fully complementary to

the CD95L-derived sequences that mapped to areas of the CD95L mRNA secondary structure

(*Figure 4 - figure supplement 1A*) that are predicted to form duplexes. These sequences were

designed as 19 nucleotide oligos with a 3' deoxy AA. The complementary sense strand was

designed with a 3' deoxy TT and 2'-O-methylation at the first two positions to prevent its

incorporation into the RISC. These oligos were ordered from IDT and annealed to form the final

siRNAs. The sequences of the antisense strands (corresponding to the CD95L mRNA-derived

cluster fragments) were as follows: 5'-AUUGGGCCUGGGGAUGUUU-3' (c7/1), 5'-

CCUGGGGAUGUUUCAGCUC-3' (c7/2), 5'-CCAACUCAAGGUCCAUGCC-3' (c11), 5'-

AAACUGGGCUGUACUUUGU-3' (c15/1), 5'- AACUGGGCUGUACUUUGUA-3' (c15/2),

5'- CAACAACCUGCCCCUGAGC-3' (c16/1), 5'- AACUCUAAGCGUCCCCAGG-3' (c16/2),

5'- UCAACGUAUCUGAGCUCUC-3' (c21), and 5'- AAUCUCAGACGUUUUUCGG-3'

(c22).

These eight siRNAs were reverse transfected into HeyA8, H460, M565, and 3LL cells using

RNAiMAX transfection reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific) at 10 nM in triplicate as previously

described (Murmann, Gao, et al., 2018). The non-targeting (NT) and siL3 siRNAs, as described

previously (Putzbach et al., 2017), were used as a negative and positive control, respectively.

Cell death was quantified via ATP release 96 hours after transfection using CellTiter-Glo

(Promega). The % viability was calculated in relation to the RNAiMAX-only treatment structure

(*Figure 4 - figure supplement 1B*).

To analyze the distribution of seed toxicity of all the small RNAs bound to RISC in wt and

Drosha k.o. cells, read-based Ago pull down data were used. 6mer seed sequences (position 2 -

7) were extracted from all unique sequences present in wt or Drosha k.o. cells. The

corresponding average 6mer seed toxicity (between HeyA8 and H460 cells) was added for each

unique sequence. These sequences were aggregated into six groups according to their different

levels of seed toxicity (numbers shown are percentage cell viability): (1) <20%; (2) 20~40%; (3)

40~60%; (4) 60~80%; (5) 80~100%; (6) >100%. Total reads (RPM) in each seed toxicity group

were added up and plotted (**Figure 5F**). In addition, the distribution of seed toxicity of all

miRNAs bound to the RISC in wt and Drosha k.o. cells were analyzed in a similar manner

except that only reads that could be aligned to miRNAs were included.

Analysis of the CD95L^{SIL} mutant's theoretical toxicity

All possible 6mers that could be generated through processing of the 846 nt sequence of either

CD95L WT or CD95L^{SIL} were extracted in R, a total of 841 possible 6mers. The corresponding

HeyA8 viability data from the 4096 6mer seed screen was joined to the corresponding theoretical

CD95L 6mers (Gao et al., 2018). A density plot was generated from the viability data. R

packages used: dplyr, data.table, ggplot2. A Two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was

performed in R to determine statistical significance.

Analysis of RISC bound small RNAs derived from coding genes.

All reads from Ago pull downs of Drosha k.o. samples with either pLenti or CD95L over-

expressed were aligned to the hg38 genome as previously described (Putzbach et al., 2017) (see

Figure 5 - figure supplement 2). Reads were extracted that aligned uniquely to the genome for

each pair of replicates, and pooled into one set per sample type (CD95L or pLenti). Identical

reads were collapsed and counted and reads < 15 bp or > 50 bp in length were eliminated, as well

as reads containing N's. The number of reads found at least 10 times across each pair of replicate

samples were 10,839 for CD95L expressing cells and 10,617 for pLenti infected cells. The

results were filtered so that only 100% matches across the entire length of the read were counted,

in the direction of transcription. The start position was reported for each uniquely mapping,

highly abundant (>= 10 copies) read. The number of such unique start positions was counted to

obtain a putative number of stacks for each transcript. Any gene with at least one stack was

counted, and the ten genes with the highest number of stacks are plotted in *Figure 5B* (and

Figure 5 - figure supplement 5B). Once the ten genes that contained the most RISC bound reads

in the CD95L expressing cells were identified, a single transcript was pulled out for each gene.

In each case the transcript with the longest 3'UTR was used: 1 - ZC3HAV1

(ENST00000242351), 2 - FAT1 (ENST00000441802), 3 - SRRM2 (ENST00000301740), 4 -

TNFRSF10D (ENST00000312584), 5 - PABPC1 (ENST00000318607), 6 - CCND1

(ENST00000227507), 7 - MYC (ENST00000621592), 8 - YWHAG (ENST00000307630), 9 -

DKK1 (ENST00000373970), 10 - AKAP12 (ENST00000402676). These reads were blasted

against all of the raw RNA-seq data. Only 100% matches across the entire length of the read

727 were considered, in the direction of transcription, but all reads that matched the transcript at

728 that stringency were plotted, regardless of their individual abundance or uniqueness, with each

729 individual blue line representing an individual read, with its length in the plot proportional to the

730 read length.

Determine the abundance of the coding genes that give rise to Ago-bound reads.

733 To determine the abundance of coding genes with Ago bound reads (***Figure 5C***), we isolated all

734 genes that had an RPKM of at least 10 using uniquely mapped reads from a conventional long

735 RNA-Seq data set. These resulted in 4262 genes in the CD95L expressing HCT116 Drosha k.o.

736 cells and 4256 genes in the pLenti infected cells. Both data sets were very similar with >90%

737 overlap of the two sets.

Statistical analyses

740 Continuous data were summarized as means and standard deviations (except for all IncuCyte

741 experiments where standard errors are shown) and dichotomous data as proportions. Continuous

742 data were compared using t-tests for two independent groups and one-way ANOVA for 3 or

743 more groups. For evaluation of continuous outcomes over time, two-way ANOVA was used with

744 one factor for the treatment conditions of primary interest and a second factor for time treated as

745 a categorical variable to allow for non-linearity.

746  The effects of treatment on wild-type versus Drosha knock-out cells were statistically

747 assessed by fitting regression models that included linear and quadratic terms for value over

748 time, main effects for treatment and cell type, and two- and three-way interactions for treatment,

749 cell-type and time. The three-way interaction on the polynomial terms with treatment and cell

750 type was evaluated for statistical significance since this represents the difference in treatment

751 effects over the course of the experiment for the varying cell types.

752  GSEA used in ***Figure 2B*** was performed using the GSEA v2.2.4 software from the Broad

753 Institute (www.http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea); 1000 permutations were used. The

754 Sabatini gene lists were set as custom gene sets to determine enrichment of survival genes versus

755 the nonsurvival control genes in downregulated genes from the RNA-Seq data as done

756 previously (Putzbach et al., 2017); p-values below 0.05 were considered significantly enriched.

757 Genes with an average normalized read expression (across both pair of duplicates) below 3 were

758 excluded so as to only include genes that are truly expressed. The GO enrichment analysis shown

in *Figure 2D* was performed with all genes that after alignment and normalization were found

to be at least 1.5 fold downregulated with an adjusted p-value of <0.05 using the software

available on www.Metascape.org and default running parameters. The other data sets used in this

analysis (HeyA8 cells transfected with a toxic siRNA targeting CD95L siL3 and 293T infected

with toxic shRNAs targeting CD95L shL1 and shL3 and HeyA8 cells infected with a toxic

shRNA targeting CD95 shR6) were previously described (Putzbach et al., 2017).

All statistical analyses were conducted in Stata 14 or R 3.3.1.

Data availability

RNA sequencing data generated for this study is available in the GEO repository: GSE103631

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE103631, reviewer access token:

etgbqyaenvirjqn)   and   GSE114425

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE114425, reviewer access token:

edgdoaocjberbyr).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Siquan Chen for testing small CD95L-derived sRNAs. M.H. and A.A.S were

supported by the Intramural Research Program of NIAMS. A.A.S. acknowledges support by the

Swedish Research Council postdoctoral fellowship. This work was funded by training grant

T32CA009560 (to W.P. and A.H.K.), R50CA221848 (to E.T.B.), and R35CA197450 (to

M.E.P.).

Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests. ⌷SEP⌷

Figure legends

Figure 1.

The CD95L RNA is toxic to cells.

(**A**) *Left*: Schematic of the different CD95L mutants used. *Right:* Percent cell confluence over

time of HeyA8 parental cells in the absence (*left panel*) or in the presence of 20 μM zVAD-fmk

(*center panel*), or CD95 k.o. cells (*right panel*) after expression of CD95L constructs. Data are

representative of one to three independent experiments. Values were calculated from samples

done in triplicate or quadruplicate shown as mean ± SE. (**B**) *Left*: Western blot analysis of

HeyA8 cells overexpressing different CD95L mutant RNAs. Cells expressing CD95L^{MUT} or

CD95L were pretreated with 20 μM zVAD-fmk. Note the small amount of truncated CD95L in

cells infected with CD95L MUT-NP does not have CD95 binding activity. Very similar data

were obtained when the constructs were expressed in either CD95 k.o. HeyA8 cells (clone #11)

or NB-7 cells, which lack expression of caspase-8,, both without treatment with zVAD (data not

shown). *Right*: RT-qPCR analysis for CD95L of the same samples. Data are representative of

two independent experiments. Each bar represents mean ± S.D. of three replicates. (**C**, **D**)

Quantification of cell death (**C**) and ROS production (**D**) in CD95 k.o. HeyA8 cells (clone #11)

expressing either pLenti (v) or pLenti-CD95L (L) at different time points (days after infection).

Data are representative of two independent experiments. Each bar represents mean ± SE of three

replicates. * p<0.05, ** p<0.001, *** p<0.0001, unpaired t-test. (**E**) Confluency over time of the

MCF-7 complete CD95 k.o. FA4 clone (right) or a MCF-7 clone #21 in which we deleted the

shR6 site resulting in an out-of-frame shift after infection with either pLenti vector control (vec)

or wt CD95L. Data are representative of two independent experiments. Each data point

represents mean ± SE of three replicates.

Figure 1 - figure supplement 1.

Generation of complete CD95 k.o. MCF-7 cells.

(**A**) Schematic of the genomic locations and sequences of the gRNAs used to excise the entire

CD95 gene in MCF-7 cells. PAM site is underlined. (**B**) PCR with flanking (*top panels*) and

internal (*bottom panels*) primers used to confirm the absence of the CD95 gene in MCF-7 clones.

Parental (Par.) cells and three clones infected with Cas9 only (Cas9) and two homozygous

complete CD95 k.o. clones (F2 and FA4) are shown. (**C**) RT-qPCR analysis of the indicated

clones using primers spanning either exon 1/2 or exon 2/3 of the CD95 gene. (**D**) Surface

staining for CD95 of one wt and one k.o. clone. (**E**) Western blot analysis of all clones.

Figure 1 - figure supplement 2.

Mutation of the alternative start codon in CD95L^{MUT}NP construct.

(**A**) *Left*: Schematic of the different CD95L mutants used. For the M86I mutant protein the point

mutation on the DNA level is in parentheses. (**B**) Western blot analysis of HeyA8 CD95 k.o.

cells overexpressing different CD95L mutants. Note, for unknown reasons in this experiment

expression of the CD95L^{MUT}NP protein was more efficient than in the experiment shown in

Figure 1B. (**C**) Percent cell confluence over time of HeyA8 CD95 k.o. (cl #11) cells after

expression of the different CD95L constructs. Values were calculated from samples plated in

triplicate shown as mean ± SE.

Figure 1 - figure supplement 3.

Toxicity of CD95L mRNA is independent of CD95L protein expression.

(**A**) Schematic showing the positions of the silent mutation of the CD95L^{SIL} compared to wild
type CD95L (mutations highlighted in blue). (**B**) Percent cell confluence over time of HeyA8
CD95 k.o. cells over-expressing empty pLenti vector (vec), wild-type CD95L (from two
separately cloned viruses), or the CD95L^{SIL}. (**C**) RT-qPCR analysis and Western blot (*inset*) of
wild type CD95L and CD95L^{SIL} mutant mRNAs in the over-expressing cells shown in **B**. (**D**)
Probability density plot comparing the toxicity of all possible 6mer seeds located in either the
WT or SIL CD95L mRNA. p-value was calculated using a two-sample two-sided K-S test.
839
Figure 2.
Toxicity induced by CD95L overexpression is reminiscent of DISE.
(**A**) Phase-contrast images of HeyA8 and HeyA8 CD95 k.o. cells after infection with pLKO-
shScr/shL3 or pLenti (vec)/pLenti-CD95L, respectively, at the indicated time point. (**B**) Gene set
enrichment analysis for the 1846 survival genes (*top panel*) and the 416 nonsurvival genes
(*bottom panel*) identified in the Sabatini study (Putzbach et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2015) of
mRNAs downregulated in CD95L expressing HeyA8 CD95 k.o. cells compared to HeyA8 CD95
k.o. cells infected with pLenti virus. p-values indicate the significance of enrichment. (**C**)
Common genes downregulated in all RNA-Seq experiments from (HeyA8) cells treated with
either one of four si/shRNAs (Putzbach et al., 2017) derived from either CD95 or CD95L (see
Figure 2D) and cells overexpressing CD95L ORF as described in **B**. (**D**) Metascape analysis of 5
RNA Seq data sets analyzed. The boxed GO term clusters were highly enriched in all 5 data sets.
852
Figure 3.
Small RNAs generated in cells expressing CD95L mRNA are loaded into the RISC.
(**A**) Percent cell confluence over time of HCT116 parental (*left*) or Drosha k.o. (*right*) cells after
infection with CD95^{MUT}NP. Data are representative of three independent experiments. Each data
point represents the mean ± SE of three replicates. *Inset:* Phase contrast images of Drosha k.o.
cells 9 days after infection with either empty vector or CD95L^{MUT}NP. (**B**) Percent cell
confluence of HeyA8 CD95 k.o. cells transfected with either non-targeting siRNA (siCtr) or a
pool of 4 siRNAs targeting AGO2 following subsequent infection with either empty pLenti (vec)
or pLenti CD95L. *Inset:* Western blot showing successful knock-down of human AGO2. (**C**)
Top: autoradiograph on RNAs pulled down with the Ago binding peptide. *Bottom*: Western blot
analysis of pulled down Ago proteins. v, pLenti; L, pLenti-CD95L expressing cells. (**D**) Pie
charts showing the relative ratio of small RNAs pulled down with the Ago proteins in wt and
Drosha k.o. cells. Depicted are all the amounts of all small RNAs that contributed at least 0.01%
to the total RNA content. Only in the Drosha k.o. cells was a significant amount of CD95L
derived Ago bound reads found. They represented the 75th most abundant small RNA species
(arrow). The average number of total sequenced reads (of two duplicates) are shown for each
condition. (**E**) *Top*: Number of reads (normalized per million) of the top six most abundant small
RNAs in the RISC of either HCT116 wt-pLenti or -pLenti-CD95L cells. *Bottom*: Number of
reads (per million) of the top five genes with small RNAs most abundant in the RISC of either
HCT116 Drosha k.o. pLenti (v), or -pLenti-CD95L cells and of CD95L derived. Note: miR-21 is
not included as it is already shown in the top row. Bottom right panel: Abundance of Ago bound
CD95L derived small RNAs. Shown in all panels is the abundance of RNAs in the four samples.
Rep 1 and rep 2, replicate 1 and 2.
876
Figure 3 - figure supplement 1.
All CD95L mRNA mutants are toxic through RNAi.

(**A**) Percent cell confluence of HeyA8 CD95 k.o. cells (cl #11) transfected with either non-
targeting siRNAs (siCtr) or a pool of 4 siRNAs targeting AGO2 following subsequent infection
with either empty pLenti (vec), pLenti CD95L^{MUT}NP (left), or pLenti CD95L^{SIL} (right). (**B**)
Percent cell confluence of parental HeyA8 cells transfected with either a pool of 4 non-targeting
siRNA (siCtr) or a pool of 4 siRNAs targeting AGO2 following subsequent infection with either
empty pLenti (vec), pLenti CD95L ^{WT} (left), or pLenti CD95L^{SIL} (right). (**C**) Percent cell
confluence of parental HeyA8 cells transfected with either a pool of 4 non-targeting siRNA
(siCtr) or a pool of 4 siRNAs targeting AGO2 following subsequent infection with either empty
pLenti (vec), or pLenti CD95L^{MUT}NP. In A cells were plated after puromycin selection. In **B** and
C cells were plated directly after viral infection and puromycin was added 50 hours after
infection (arrow).
890
Figure 4
The entire CD95L mRNA gives rise to small RNAs that bind to the RISC.
(**A**) Length distribution of CD95L derived reads in various analyses. (**B, C**) Read alignment with
CD95L^{MUT}NP ORF of analyses of small RNAs pulled down with Ago proteins from HCT116 wt
(**B**, top) and Drosha k.o. (**B**, bottom) cells and of total small RNAs from HCT116 Drosha k.o.
cells (**C**) after infection with CD95L^{MUT}NP. (**D, E**) Read alignment with wt CD95L ORF of
analyses of small RNAs pulled down with Ago proteins (**D**) or total small RNAs (**E**) from
HeyA8 CD95 k.o. cells after infection with wt CD95L. (**F**) Percent cell confluence over time of
HCT116 parental (*top*) or Dicer k.o. (clone #43) (*bottom*) cells after infection with CD95^{MUT}NP.
(Dicer k.o. clone #45, gave a similar result, data not shown). Data are representative of two
independent experiments. Each data point represents the mean ± SE of three replicates. (**G**) RT-
qPCR analysis of clusters 8 and 21 in HCT116 parental, Dicer k.o. (clone #43), and Drosha k.o.
cells after infection with CD95^{MUT}NP. Each bar represents mean ± S.D. of three replicates. v,
vector, L, CD95L expressing cells.
905
Figure 4 - figure supplement 1
Predicted secondary structure of CD95L ORF and toxicity of CD95L-derived small RNAs
after conversion to siRNAs.
(**A**) The CD95L^{MUT}NP RNA was subjected to a RNA secondary structure analysis
(http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at) using default settings. The locations of 22 reads representative of the
22 read clusters are shown. Regions with potential duplex formation are boxed. The
oligonucleotides that were found to be toxic when expressed as siRNAs are circled. (**B**) Toxicity
of the eight siRNAs designed using the CD95L-derived small RNA fragments bound to Ago as
the antisense strand sequences 96 hours post-transfection in the indicated cell lines. Each data
point represents the mean ± SE of three replicates.
916
Figure 4 - figure supplement 2
CD95L fragments are less toxic than full length CD95L mRNA.
(**A**) Schematic of the different CD95L fragments used. (**B**) *Left:* Western blot analysis of HeyA8
CD95 k.o. cells overexpressing different CD95L mutant RNAs. *Right*: RT-qPCR analysis for
CD95L of the same samples using primers detecting either the 5' or the 3' half of the mRNA.
Data are representative of two independent experiments. Each bar represents mean ± S.D. of
three replicates. (**C**) Percent cell confluence over time of HeyA8 CD95 k.o. cells after expressing
the CD95L mutant or fragments. Data are representative of two independent experiments. Values
were calculated from samples done in triplicate shown as mean ± SE. (**D**) *Left:* Western blot
analysis of HCT116 Drosha k.o. cells overexpressing different CD95L mutant RNAs. *Right*: RT-

qPCR analysis for CD95L of the same samples using primers detecting either the 5' or the 3'
half of the mRNA. Data are representative of two independent experiments. Each bar represents
mean ± S.D. of three replicates. (**E**) Percent cell confluence over time of HCT116 Drosha k.o.
cells after expressing the CD95L mutant or fragments. Data are representative of two
independent experiments. Values were calculated from samples done in triplicate shown as mean
± SE.
933
Figure 5
Endogenous mRNAs are processed and loaded into the RISC.
(**A**) Length distribution of reads derived from five of the top ten most abundant genes loaded into
RISC of CD95L expressing HCT116 Drosha k.o. cells. The numbers in parentheses indicate the
ranking in the top ten most abundant genes with Ago bound reads. (**B**) Alignment of the reads
from the 5 genes shown in A with blue lines representing the mapped positions of the reads, and
the height of the stacks representing the number of reads at each location. Small RNAs pulled
down with Ago proteins (top) or total small RNAs (bottom) from HCT116 and Drosha k.o. cells
after infection with wt CD95L. *This stack contains 14899 reads of which 3000 were randomly
chosen and plotted. (**C**) All 4262 genes in HCT116 Drosha k.o. cells expressing CD95L ranked
according to highest expression with more than 10 reads expressed as reads per kb per million
(RPKM). The abundance of the 6 genes shown in A and B is labeled. (**D**) Genes ranked
according to highest abundance in the RISC of Drosha k.o. cells. Reads derived from the five
genes in **A** are labeled as well as the location of the reads derived from CD95L. (**E**) Percent cell
confluence over time of parental HCT116 and Drosha k.o. cells. (**F**) Average seed toxicity of all
Ago-bound miRNAs and non-miRNAs (other RNAs) in parental HCT116 and Drosha k.o. cells.
Reads are shown as reads per million (RPM). Chi squared test was used to calculate p-value. (**G**)
Percent cell confluence over time of Drosha k.o. HCT116 cells 24 hours after transfection with
25 nM of either nontargeting SMARTpool (siCtr) or AGO2 SMARTpool siRNAs. Each data
point represents mean ± SE of three replicates. The experiment is representative of three
biological repeats.
955
Figure 5 - figure supplement 1
Endogenous mRNAs are processed and loaded into the RISC - additional genes
(**A**) Length distribution of reads derived from 5 of the top ten most abundant genes loaded into
RISC of CD95L expressing HCT116 Drosha k.o. cells. The numbers in brackets indicate the
ranking in the top ten most abundant genes with Ago bound reads. (**B**) Alignment of the reads
from the 5 genes shown in **A** with their respective mRNAs derived from small RNAs pulled
down with Ago proteins (top) or total small RNAs (bottom) from HCT116 and Drosha k.o. cells
after infection with wt CD95L.
964
Figure 5 - figure supplement 2
Mapping of Ago bound reads from five processed genes to the human genome.
Alignment of all reads derived from the 5 genes shown in *Figure 5A* and *B* with the human
genome. Shown are all 8 tracks of HCT116 wt and HCT116 Drosha k.o. cells infected with
either pLenti control vector (vec) or pLenti-CD95L in duplicate. For each of the genes the Y axis
was fixed to the same scale.
971
Figure 5 - figure supplement 3
Genes with multiple reads bound to Ago proteins are involved in cell growth and protein
translation.

(**A**) All 558 genes that were processed similar to CD95L and had reads bound to Ago proteins
were subjected to a DAVID GO analysis. Shown are all significantly enriched gene clusters. The
top two clusters (dark green) stood out with very low p-values of enrichment. Clusters
highlighted in green are connected to cell proliferation (cell cycle, anti-apoptosis or protein
translation). (**B**) All 5629 genes with Ago bound reads (10 or more counts) ranked according
highest abundance. The ranked positions of the mRNAs of ribosomal proteins are indicated in
red.
982
983   **Supplementary Videos and files:**
984
985   **Video 1: CD95 k.o. HeyA8 cells (clone #11) infected with pLenti control virus.**
986   **Video 2: CD95 k.o. HeyA8 cells (clone #11) infected with pLenti-CD95Lvirus.**
987   **Video 3: HeyA8 cells infected with pLKO-shScr.**
988   **Video 4: HeyA8 cells infected with pLKO-shL3.**
989
990   **Supplementary File 1:**
991   Reads from coding and noncoding genes pulled-down with Ago proteins in HCT116 Drosha k.o.
992   pLenti-CD95L cells. Tab 1: Reads from all genes; Tab 2: Reads from processed protein coding
993   genes (>10 reads), Tab 3: Reads from unprocessed protein coding genes (>10 reads).
994
995

References

Algeciras-Schimnich, A., Shen, L., Barnhart, B. C., Murmann, A. E., Burkhardt, J. K., & Peter, M. E. (2002). Molecular ordering of the initial signaling events of CD95. *Mol Cell Biol, 22*(1), 207-220.

Banez-Coronel, M., Porta, S., Kagerbauer, B., Mateu-Huertas, E., Pantano, L., Ferrer, I., . . . Marti, E. (2012). A pathogenic mechanism in Huntington's disease involves small CAG-repeated RNAs with neurotoxic activity. *PLoS Genet, 8*(2), e1002481. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002481

Burroughs, A. M., Ando, Y., de Hoon, M. J., Tomaru, Y., Suzuki, H., Hayashizaki, Y., & Daub, C. O. (2011). Deep-sequencing of human Argonaute-associated small RNAs provides insight into miRNA sorting and reveals Argonaute association with RNA fragments of diverse origin. *RNA Biol, 8*(1), 158-177.

Ceppi, P., Hadji, A., Kohlhapp, F., Pattanayak, A., Hau, A., Xia, L., . . . Peter, M. E. (2014). CD95 and CD95L promote and protect cancer stem cells. *Nature Commun, 5*, 5238.

Elkayam, E., Faehnle, C. R., Morales, M., Sun, J., Li, H., & Joshua-Tor, L. (2017). Multivalent Recruitment of Human Argonaute by GW182. *Mol Cell, 67*(4), 646-658 e643. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2017.07.007

ElOjeimy, S., McKillop, J. C., El-Zawahry, A. M., Holman, D. H., Liu, X., Schwartz, D. A., . . . Norris, J. S. (2006). FasL gene therapy: a new therapeutic modality for head and neck cancer. *Cancer gene therapy, 13*(8), 739-745. doi:10.1038/sj.cgt.7700951

Friesen, C., Fulda, S., & Debatin, K. M. (1999). Cytotoxic drugs and the CD95 pathway. *Leukemia, 13*(11), 1854-1858.

Fu, Q., Fu, T. M., Cruz, A. C., Sengupta, P., Thomas, S. K., Wang, S., . . . Chou, J. J. (2016). Structural Basis and Functional Role of Intramembrane Trimerization of the Fas/CD95 Death Receptor. *Mol Cell, 61*(4), 602-613. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2016.01.009

Gao, Q. Q., Putzbach, W., Murmann, A. E., Chen, S., Ambrosini, G., Peter, J. M., . . . Peter, M. E. (2018). 6mer seed toxicity in tumor suppressive miRNAs. *Nature Comm*, In press, preprint at: https://doi.org/10.1101/284406.

Hadji, A., Ceppi, P., Murmann, A. E., Brockway, S., Pattanayak, A., Bhinder, B., . . . Peter, M. E. (2014). Death induced by CD95 or CD95 ligand elimination. *Cell Reports, 10*, 208-222.

Hafner, M., Renwick, N., Farazi, T. A., Mihailovic, A., Pena, J. T., & Tuschl, T. (2012). Barcoded cDNA library preparation for small RNA profiling by next-generation sequencing. *Methods, 58*(2), 164-170. doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2012.07.030

Hamilton, A. J., & Baulcombe, D. C. (1999). A species of small antisense RNA in posttranscriptional gene silencing in plants. *Science, 286*(5441), 950-952.

Hansen, T. B., Veno, M. T., Jensen, T. I., Schaefer, A., Damgaard, C. K., & Kjems, J. (2016). Argonaute-associated short introns are a novel class of gene regulators. *Nature communications, 7*, 11538. doi:10.1038/ncomms11538

Hauptmann, J., Schraivogel, D., Bruckmann, A., Manickavel, S., Jakob, L., Eichner, N., . . . Meister, G. (2015). Biochemical isolation of Argonaute protein complexes by Ago-APP. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 112*(38), 11841-11845. doi:10.1073/pnas.1506116112

Hyer, M. L., Voelkel-Johnson, C., Rubinchik, S., Dong, J., & Norris, J. S. (2000). Intracellular
Fas ligand expression causes Fas-mediated apoptosis in human prostate cancer cells
resistant to monoclonal antibody-induced apoptosis. *Mol Ther, 2*(4), 348-358.
Jinek, M., East, A., Cheng, A., Lin, S., Ma, E., & Doudna, J. (2013). RNA-programmed genome
editing in human cells. *Elife, 2*, e00471. doi:10.7554/eLife.00471
Kim, Y. K., Kim, B., & Kim, V. N. (2016). Re-evaluation of the roles of DROSHA, Export in 5,
and DICER in microRNA biogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 113*(13), E1881-1889.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1602532113
Liu, J., Carmell, M. A., Rivas, F. V., Marsden, C. G., Thomson, J. M., Song, J. J., . . . Hannon, G. J.
(2004). Argonaute2 is the catalytic engine of mammalian RNAi. *Science, 305*(5689),
1437-1441.
Lu, J., Getz, G., Miska, E. A., varez-Saavedra, E., Lamb, J., Peck, D., . . . Golub, T. R. (2005).
MicroRNA expression profiles classify human cancers. *Nature, 435*(7043), 834-838.
Mali, P., Yang, L., Esvelt, K. M., Aach, J., Guell, M., DiCarlo, J. E., . . . Church, G. M. (2013). RNA-
guided human genome engineering via Cas9. *Science, 339*(6121), 823-826.
doi:10.1126/science.1232033
Morin, R. D., O'Connor, M. D., Griffith, M., Kuchenbauer, F., Delaney, A., Prabhu, A. L., . . .
Marra, M. A. (2008). Application of massively parallel sequencing to microRNA
profiling and discovery in human embryonic stem cells. *Genome Res, 18*(4), 610-621.
doi:10.1101/gr.7179508
Murmann, A. E., Gao, Q. Q., Putzbach, W. T., Patel, M., Bartom, E. T., Law, C. Y., . . . Peter, M. E.
(2018). Small interfering RNAs based on huntingtin trinucleotide repeats are highly
toxic to cancer cells. *EMBO Rep, 19*, e45336.
Murmann, A. E., Yu, J., Opal, P., & Peter, M. E. (2018). Trinucleotide repeat expansion
diseases, RNAi and cancer. *Trends in Cancer*, In press.
Nisihara, T., Ushio, Y., Higuchi, H., Kayagaki, N., Yamaguchi, N., Soejima, K., . . . Yagita, H.
(2001). Humanization and epitope mapping of neutralizing anti-human Fas ligand
monoclonal antibodies: structural insights into Fas/Fas ligand interaction. *J*
Immunol, 167(6), 3266-3275.
Novoradovsky, A., Zhang, V., Ghosh, M., Hogrefe, H., Sorge, J. A., & Gaasterland, T. (2005).
Computational Principles of Primer Design for Site Directed Mutagenesis. *Comp Biol,*
1, 532-535.
Patel, M., & Peter, M. E. (2017). Identification of DISE-inducing shRNAs by monitoring
cellular responses. *Cell Cycle*, 0. doi:10.1080/15384101.2017.1383576
Putzbach, W., Gao, Q. Q., Patel, M., Haluck-Kangas, A., Murmann, A. E., & Peter, M. E. (2018).
DISE - A Seed Dependent RNAi Off-Target Effect that Kills Cancer Cells. *Trends in*
Cancer, 4, 10-19.
Putzbach, W., Gao, Q. Q., Patel, M., van Dongen, S., Haluck-Kangas, A., Sarshad, A. A., . . . Peter,
M. E. (2017). Many si/shRNAs can kill cancer cells by targeting multiple survival
genes through an off-target mechanism. *Elife, 6*, e29702.
Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J., & Smyth, G. K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for
differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics,*
26(1), 139-140. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616
Schneider, P., Bodmer, J. L., Holler, N., Mattmann, C., Scuderi, P., Terskikh, A., . . . Tschopp, J.
(1997). Characterization of Fas (Apo-1, CD95)-Fas ligand interaction. *J Biol Chem,*
272(30), 18827-18833.

Stothard, P. (2000). The sequence manipulation suite: JavaScript programs for analyzing
and formatting protein and DNA sequences. *Biotechniques, 28*(6), 1102, 1104.
Suda, T., Takahashi, T., Golstein, P., & Nagata, S. (1993). Molecular cloning and expression of
the Fas ligand, a novel member of the tumor necrosis factor family. *Cell, 75*(6), 1169-
1178.
Sudarshan, S., Holman, D. H., Hyer, M. L., Voelkel-Johnson, C., Dong, J. Y., & Norris, J. S.
(2005). In vitro efficacy of Fas ligand gene therapy for the treatment of bladder
cancer. *Cancer gene therapy, 12*(1), 12-18. doi:10.1038/sj.cgt.7700746
Sun, H., Liu, Y., Bu, D., Liu, X., Norris, J. S., & Xiao, S. (2012). Efficient growth suppression and
apoptosis in human laryngeal carcinoma cell line HEP-2 induced by an adeno-
associated virus expressing human FAS ligand. *Head Neck, 34*(11), 1628-1633.
doi:10.1002/hed.21985
Wang, T., Birsoy, K., Hughes, N. W., Krupczak, K. M., Post, Y., Wei, J. J., . . . Sabatini, D. M.
(2015). Identification and characterization of essential genes in the human genome.
Science, 350(6264), 1096-1101. doi:10.1126/science.aac7041
Yamashita, R., Suzuki, Y., Takeuchi, N., Wakaguri, H., Ueda, T., Sugano, S., & Nakai, K. (2008).
Comprehensive detection of human terminal oligo-pyrimidine (TOP) genes and
analysis of their characteristics. *Nucleic Acids Res, 36*(11), 3707-3715.
doi:10.1093/nar/gkn248
Yoda, M., Cifuentes, D., Izumi, N., Sakaguchi, Y., Suzuki, T., Giraldez, A. J., & Tomari, Y. (2013).
Poly(A)-specific ribonuclease mediates 3'-end trimming of Argonaute2-cleaved
precursor microRNAs. *Cell Rep, 5*(3), 715-726. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2013.09.029
1109

A CD95

chr10:88,990,657 - 89,015,785 (25,129 base pairs)

GTCAGGGTTCGTTGCACAAA<u>TGG</u>

gRNA#1

TGCTTCTTGGATCCCTTAGA<u>AGG</u>

gRNA#2

Human Dec. 2013 (GRCh38/hg38) Assembly

Figure 2

A

HeyA8 - shScr   HeyA8 - shL3   HeyA8 Cl11 - vec   HeyA8 Cl11 - pLenti-CD95L

135 hrs   135 hrs   164 hrs   164 hrs

B

CD95L overexpression

C

HIST2H3D HIST1H2AB HIST1H1D HIST1H1C
HIST3H2BB HIST1H4A HIST1H3J HIST1H2BN
HIST1H2BF HIST1H4D HIST1H3A

D

GO:0006259: DNA metabolic process
ko04110: Cell cycle
GO:0007051: spindle organization
GO:0044839: cell cycle G2/M phase transition
GO:0071897: DNA biosynthetic process
GO:0007088: regulation of mitotic nuclear division
R-HSA-69242: S Phase
GO:0010564: regulation of cell cycle process
R-HSA-69190: DNA strand elongation
GO:0000278: mitotic cell cycle
GO:0032200: telomere organization
R-HSA-5689880: Ub-specific processing proteases
R-HSA-8866654: E3 ubiquitin ligases ubiquitinate target proteins
GO:0045653: negative regulation of megakaryocyte differentiation
R-HSA-3214815: HDACs deacetylate histones
R-HSA-69278: Cell Cycle, Mitotic
GO:0034622: cellular macromolecular complex assembly
GO:0055114: oxidation-reduction process
GO:0019752: carboxylic acid metabolic process
GO:0051186: cofactor metabolic process

siL3   shL1   shL3   CD95L   shR6

Figure 4

Figure 4 - figure supplement 1

A

Term	Process	Count	%	p-value	Genes	Fold Enrichment	Bonferroni
GO:0006414	Translational elongation	46	7.1	1.09E-36	RPL18, RPL14, RPL13, RPL15, RPL27A, RPL37, RPS2, RPS3, RPS26, RPS27, RPS28, RPL32, RPL7, RPLP0, RPLP1, RPL10, FAU, RPL12, RPL7A, RPS20, RPL4, RPS27A, RPS23, RPS24, RPL35A, EEF1A1, RPL26, RPL27, EEF2, RPS6, RPL28, RPS8, RPS7, RPS18, RPS19, RPL23, RPL18A, RPL41, RPS16, RPL22, RPL21, RPS15, UBC, EEF1G, UBB, UBA52	11.6	2.22E-33
GO:0006412	Translation	69	10.6	1.44E-30	RPL18, RPL14, COPS5, RPL13, EIF5, RPL15, RPL22L1, RPS2, MRPS30, RPS3, EIF4EBP2, RPLP0, RPLP1, RPL10, FAU, EIF1, RPL12, RPS27A, RPL35A, EIF2S3, EEF2, TARS, EIF4G2, RPS18, RPS19, RPL41, RPS16, EIF4A2, RPS15, UBC, EEF1G, UBB, UBA52, MRPS12, PABPC4, RPL27A, RPL37, MTIF2, DTD1, RPS26, RPS27, EIF3A, RPS28, EIF3B, RPL7, RPL32, EIF3F, EIF3L, RPL7A, RPL4, RPS20, RPS23, RPS24, EEF1A1, PAIP1, RPL26, RPL27, LRRC47, RPS6, RPS8, RPL28, RPS7, EIF4B, EIF4E, RPL23, RPL18A, GSPT1, RPL22, RPL21	5.3	2.94E-27
GO:0042274	Ribosomal small subunit biogenesis	8	1.2	3.92E-08	RPS28, RPS19, RPS16, RPS15, NPM1, RPS6, RPS7, RPS24	18.5	8.01E-05
GO:0044265	Cellular macromolecule catabolic process	60	9.2	6.74E-08	NCBP1, LDLR, SAE1, KLHL21, DDA1, MYC, RPS27A, CUL1, VCPIP1, ANAPC5, CYCS, GAN, MYH9, UBE2N, SENP2, EIF4A3, KLHL15, UBC, RNF139, MDM2, CAND1, USP22, SIAH2, UBB, SPOPL, UBE2S, UBA52, DERL1, UBE2Z, UBE3A, PABPC4, HSPA1B, UBE3C, FEM1B, FEM1A, STUB1, RBX1, ZFP36L1, PSMB4, SUMO2, ARIH1, SQSTM1, FBXW2, FBXO5, RNF167, USP33, FBXO45, FBXO7, NPLOC4, CBL, CDC20, SUGT1, UBL5, GSPT1, PSMD12, VCP, DCP2, UBA2, WSB2, FBXO30	2.1	1.38E-04
GO:0070647	Protein modification by small protein conjugation or removal	23	3.5	2.82E-07	COPS5, UBE3A, CBL, SAE1, UBE3C, STUB1, RBX1, UBE2N, SENP2, SUMO2, VCP, GSPT1, UBC, MDM2, CAND1, UBB, RNF167, USP22, SIAH2, USP33, UBA52, RPS27A, VCPIP1	3.7	5.77E-04
GO:0007049	Cell cycle	61	9.4	3.02E-07	NBN, CCNT1, MCM7, FANCI, VPS4A, DYNC1H1, CDCA5, MYC, TUBB3, RPS27A, CUL1, ZW10, VCPIP1, ANAPC5, CCNF, CDK6, CDC5L, MYH9, HMGA2, PPP1CC, AHR, MAPK1, EIF4G2, PPM1D, CCND1, CHMP1B, UBC, MDM2, NEK9, USP22, STMN1, SIAH2, UBB, NUP43, GADD45A, UBA52, CKS1B, STK11, SESN2, ITGB1, UHMK1, PSMB4, NPM1, FBXO5, PAFAH1B1, SKA2, TERF2, TFDP1, PARD6B, MKI67, ANXA1, BIRC5, CDC20, APPL1, SUGT1, HDAC3, CDKN1A, PLK2, GSPT1, PSMD12, CALM3	2.0	6.16E-04
GO:0009057	Macromolecule catabolic process	61	9.4	3.76E-07	NCBP1, SPG7, LDLR, SAE1, KLHL21, DDA1, MYC, RPS27A, CUL1, VCPIP1, ANAPC5, CYCS, GAN, MYH9, UBE2N, SENP2, EIF4A3, KLHL15, UBC, RNF139, MDM2, CAND1, USP22, SIAH2, UBB, SPOPL, UBE2S, UBA52, DERL1, UBE2Z, UBE3A, PABPC4, HSPA1B, UBE3C, FEM1B, FEM1A, STUB1, RBX1, ZFP36L1, PSMB4, SUMO2, ARIH1, SQSTM1, FBXW2, FBXO5, RNF167, USP33, FBXO45, FBXO7, NPLOC4, CBL, CDC20, SUGT1, UBL5, GSPT1, PSMD12, VCP, DCP2, UBA2, WSB2, FBXO30	2.0	7.68E-04
GO:0019941	Modification-dependent protein catabolic process	49	7.6	5.58E-07	SAE1, KLHL21, DDA1, CUL1, RPS27A, VCPIP1, ANAPC5, GAN, SENP2, UBE2N, KLHL15, RNF139, UBC, MDM2, CAND1, SIAH2, UBB, USP22, SPOPL, UBE2S, UBA52, DERL1, UBE2Z, UBE3A, UBE3C, FEM1B, FEM1A, STUB1, RBX1, SUMO2, ARIH1, PSMB4, SQSTM1, FBXW2, FBXO5, RNF167, USP33, FBXO45, FBXO7, NPLOC4, CBL, CDC20, SUGT1, UBL5, PSMD12, VCP, UBA2, WSB2, FBXO30	2.2	0.001139592
GO:0043632	Modification-dependent macromolecule catabolic process	49	7.6	5.58E-07	SAE1, KLHL21, DDA1, CUL1, RPS27A, VCPIP1, ANAPC5, GAN, SENP2, UBE2N, KLHL15, RNF139, UBC, MDM2, CAND1, SIAH2, UBB, USP22, SPOPL, UBE2S, UBA52, DERL1, UBE2Z, UBE3A, UBE3C, FEM1B, FEM1A, STUB1, RBX1, SUMO2, ARIH1, PSMB4, SQSTM1, FBXW2, FBXO5, RNF167, USP33, FBXO45, FBXO7, NPLOC4, CBL, CDC20, SUGT1, UBL5, PSMD12, VCP, UBA2, WSB2, FBXO30	2.2	0.001139592
GO:0051603	Proteolysis involved in cellular protein catabolic process	50	7.7	8.41E-07	SAE1, KLHL21, DDA1, CUL1, RPS27A, VCPIP1, ANAPC5, GAN, MYH9, SENP2, UBE2N, KLHL15, RNF139, UBC, MDM2, CAND1, SIAH2, UBB, USP22, SPOPL, UBE2S, UBA52, DERL1, UBE2Z, UBE3A, UBE3C, FEM1B, FEM1A, STUB1, RBX1, SUMO2, ARIH1, PSMB4, SQSTM1, FBXW2, FBXO5, RNF167, USP33, FBXO45, FBXO7, NPLOC4, CBL, CDC20, SUGT1, UBL5, PSMD12, VCP, UBA2, WSB2, FBXO30	2.1	0.001716096
GO:0032446	Protein modification by small protein conjugation	20	3.1	9.00E-07	UBE3A, CBL, SAE1, UBE3C, STUB1, RBX1, UBE2N, SUMO2, VCP, GSPT1, UBC, MDM2, CAND1, UBB, RNF167, USP22, SIAH2, UBA52, RPS27A, VCPIP1	3.9	0.001836854
GO:0044257	Cellular protein catabolic process	50	7.7	9.92E-07	SAE1, KLHL21, DDA1, CUL1, RPS27A, VCPIP1, ANAPC5, GAN, MYH9, SENP2, UBE2N, KLHL15, RNF139, UBC, MDM2, CAND1, SIAH2, UBB, USP22, SPOPL, UBE2S, UBA52, DERL1, UBE2Z, UBE3A, UBE3C, FEM1B, FEM1A, STUB1, RBX1, SUMO2, ARIH1, PSMB4, SQSTM1, FBXW2, FBXO5, RNF167, USP33, FBXO45, FBXO7, NPLOC4, CBL, CDC20, SUGT1, UBL5, PSMD12, VCP, UBA2, WSB2, FBXO30	2.1	0.002024928
GO:0030163	Protein catabolic process	51	7.9	1.03E-06	SPG7, SAE1, KLHL21, DDA1, CUL1, RPS27A, VCPIP1, ANAPC5, GAN, MYH9, SENP2, UBE2N, KLHL15, RNF139, UBC, MDM2, CAND1, SIAH2, UBB, USP22, SPOPL, UBE2S, UBA52, DERL1, UBE2Z, UBE3A, UBE3C, FEM1B, FEM1A, STUB1, RBX1, SUMO2, ARIH1, PSMB4, SQSTM1, FBXW2, FBXO5, RNF167, USP33, FBXO45, FBXO7, NPLOC4, CBL, CDC20, SUGT1, UBL5, PSMD12, VCP, UBA2, WSB2, FBXO30	2.1	0.002109812
GO:0006916	Anti-apoptosis	25	3.9	1.79E-06	IER3, YWHAZ, MCL1, CLU, HSPA1B, BCL2L1, SQSTM1, NPM1, TPT1, HSPA5, MYC, RPS27A, HSPA9, ANXA1, BIRC5, SOD2, SERPINB9, HDAC3, SON, TNFRSF10D, CFL1, UBC, UBB, UBA52, GSTP1	3.1	0.003641287
GO:0022613	Ribonucleoprotein complex biogenesis	23	3.5	2.16E-06	RPL35A, NCBP1, RPL14, DDX1, RPL26, SNRPD1, RPS6, RPS7, EIF4A3, EIF3A, RPS19, RPS28, RRP1B, RPS16, RPL7, RPLP0, RPS15, NPM1, SNRPB, WDR3, RPL7A, GEMIN4, RPS24	3.3	0.004410843
GO:0044092	Negative regulation of molecular function	33	5.1	2.80E-06	GNAI3, SNX6, EGLN1, PRDX3, SPRY4, TRIB1, RPS3, PSMB4, PAK2, PPP2CA, FBXO5, HSPA5, SPRED1, NQO1, RPS27A, ANAPC5, CEBPG, BIRC5, CDC20, YWHAG, CDKN1A, PSMD12, ID1, UBC, CALM3, CAND1, ID3, UBB, GADD45B, GADD45A, DNAJB6, UBA52, DUSP6	2.5	0.005698339
GO:0016567	Protein ubiquitination	18	2.8	3.74E-06	UBE3A, CBL, SAE1, UBE3C, STUB1, UBE2N, VCP, GSPT1, UBC, MDM2, CAND1, UBB, RNF167, USP22, SIAH2, UBA52, RPS27A, VCPIP1	3.9	0.007616412
GO:0042254	Ribosome biogenesis	18	2.8	5.29E-06	RPL35A, RPL14, RPL26, RPS6, RPS7, EIF4A3, RPS19, RPS28, RRP1B, RPL7, RPS16, RPLP0, RPS15, NPM1, WDR3, RPL7A, GEMIN4, RPS24	3.8	0.010742691
GO:0006413	Translational initiation	11	1.7	7.24E-06	EIF3A, EIF3B, EIF4E, PAIP1, EIF5, EIF3F, EIF2S3, EIF3L, EIF1, MTIF2, RPS3	6.2	0.014687352
GO:0034622	Cellular macromolecular complex assembly	31	4.8	7.79E-06	HIST1H2AC, NCBP1, HIST1H2AG, HP1BP3, AP2S1, SNRPD1, NAP1L4, EIF3A, NPM1, VMA21, HIST1H4E, H2AFZ, FBXO5, TNPO1, TUBA1B, TUBA1C, GEMIN4, TUBB3, SCO1, H1F0, HIST1H3J, HIST1H1E, TCP1, HSP90AA1, DDX1, ADRM1, HIST2H2BE, HIST2H2BF, RPS15, HIST1H2AI, SNRPB, H3F3B, HIST1H2AK	2.5	0.015786186
GO:0042981	Regulation of apoptosis	58	8.9	9.22E-06	RTN4, IER3, PRDX3, PRDX1, RPS3, AEN, TPT1, NQO1, TOP2A, MYC, RPS27A, CUL1, ROCK1, CYCS, ACTN1, PIM3, STK4, MAPK1, SERPINB9, MSX1, TNFRSF10B, TNFRSF10D, IGF2R, JUN, CFL1, UBC, UBB, DCUN1D3, GSTP1, UBA52, YWHAG, MCL1, CLU, BCL2L1, HSPA1B, FEM1B, SQSTM1, PPP2CA, NPM1, HSPE1, HSPA5, TRAF4, HSPA9, PHLDA1, TM2D1, CEBPG, ANXA1, BIRC5, RPS6, SOD2, PPIF, SON, HDAC3, CDKN1A, GSPT1, VCP, ID3, DNAJB6	1.8	0.018660347
GO:0034621	Cellular macromolecular complex subunit organization	33	5.1	1.12E-05	HIST1H2AC, NCBP1, HIST1H2AG, HP1BP3, AP2S1, SNRPD1, NAP1L4, EIF3A, PAK2, NPM1, VMA21, HIST1H4E, H2AFZ, FBXO5, TNPO1, TUBA1B, TUBA1C, GEMIN4, TUBB3, SCO1, H1F0, HIST1H3J, HIST1H1E, TCP1, HSP90AA1, DDX1, ADRM1, HIST2H2BE, HIST2H2BF, RPS15, HIST1H2AI, SNRPB, H3F3B, HIST1H2AK, STMN1	2.4	0.022647121
GO:0043067	Regulation of programmed cell death	58	8.9	1.22E-05	RTN4, IER3, PRDX3, PRDX1, RPS3, AEN, TPT1, NQO1, TOP2A, MYC, RPS27A, CUL1, ROCK1, CYCS, ACTN1, PIM3, STK4, MAPK1, SERPINB9, MSX1, TNFRSF10B, TNFRSF10D, IGF2R, JUN, CFL1, UBC, UBB, DCUN1D3, GSTP1, UBA52, YWHAG, MCL1, CLU, BCL2L1, HSPA1B, FEM1B, SQSTM1, PPP2CA, NPM1, HSPE1, HSPA5, TRAF4, HSPA9, PHLDA1, TM2D1, CEBPG, ANXA1, BIRC5, RPS6, SOD2, PPIF, SON, HDAC3, CDKN1A, GSPT1, VCP, ID3, DNAJB6	1.8	0.024559192
GO:0006364	rRNA processing	15	2.3	1.28E-05	RPL35A, RPL14, RPL26, RPS6, RPS7, EIF4A3, RPS19, RPS28, RRP1B, RPL7, RPS16, RPS15, WDR3, GEMIN4, RPS24	4.2	0.025799513
GO:0010941	Regulation of cell death	58	8.9	1.36E-05	RTN4, IER3, PRDX3, PRDX1, RPS3, AEN, TPT1, NQO1, TOP2A, MYC, RPS27A, CUL1, ROCK1, CYCS, ACTN1, PIM3, STK4, MAPK1, SERPINB9, MSX1, TNFRSF10B, TNFRSF10D, IGF2R, JUN, CFL1, UBC, UBB, DCUN1D3, GSTP1, UBA52, YWHAG, MCL1, CLU, BCL2L1, HSPA1B, FEM1B, SQSTM1, PPP2CA, NPM1, HSPE1, HSPA5, TRAF4, HSPA9, PHLDA1, TM2D1, CEBPG, ANXA1, BIRC5, RPS6, SOD2, PPIF, SON, HDAC3, CDKN1A, GSPT1, VCP, ID3, DNAJB6	1.8	0.027452057
GO:0016072	rRNA metabolic process	15	2.3	2.10E-05	RPL35A, RPL14, RPL26, RPS6, RPS7, EIF4A3, RPS19, RPS28, RRP1B, RPL7, RPS16, RPS15, WDR3, GEMIN4, RPS24	4.0	0.042090135
GO:0000278	Mitotic cell cycle	33	5.1	2.30E-05	ITGB1, PSMB4, FBXO5, SKA2, PAFAH1B1, DYNC1H1, CDCA5, CUL1, RPS27A, TUBB3, ZW10, VCPIP1, ANAPC5, CCNF, CDK6, BIRC5, CDC20, HMGA2, SUGT1, CDKN1A, CCND1, PPM1D, GSPT1, PSMD12, PLK2, UBC, MDM2, NEK9, STMN1, UBB, GADD45A, NUP43, UBA52	2.3	0.04595049
GO:0016071	mRNA metabolic process	33	5.1	2.30E-05	NCBP1, SNRPD1, HSPA1B, POLR2D, SF3B2, ZFP36L1, NONO, SRRM2, HNRNPF, PCBP1, DBR1, PABPC1, HNRNPC, GEMIN4, ZCCHC8, PAIP1, CEBPG, HNRNPA2B1, DDX1, MTPAP, GRSF1, ELAVL1, CDC5L, DDX5, HNRNPU, HNRNPA0, EIF4A3, SNRNP48, GSPT1, DCP2, SNRPB, CPSF2, SSU72	2.3	0.04595049
GO:0006446	Regulation of translational initiation	10	1.5	2.32E-05	EIF4B, NCBP1, EIF4G2, EIF3B, EIF4EBP2, EIF4A2, EIF5, DDX1, EIF1, MTIF2	6.2	0.046267191

B