MMOD-induced structural changes of hydroxylase in soluble methane monooxygenase

Hanseong Kim[1], Sojin An[1], Yeo Reum Park[2], Hara Jang[2], Sang Ho Park[1], Seung Jae Lee[2,*] & Uhn-Soo Cho[1,*]

[1]*Department of Biological Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA*

[2]*Department of Chemistry, Chonbuk National University, Jeonju 54896, Republic of Korea*

Summary

Soluble methane monooxygenase in methanotrophs converts methane to methanol under

ambient conditions[1-3]. The maximum catalytic activity of hydroxylase (MMOH) is achieved

via interplay of its regulatory protein (MMOB) and reductase[4-6]. An additional auxiliary

protein, MMOD, is believed to function as an inhibitor of the catalytic activity of MMOH;

however, the mechanism of its action remains unknown[7,8]. Herein, we report the crystal

structure of MMOH–MMOD complex from *Methylosinus sporium* strain 5 (2.6 Å), which

illustrates that two molecules of MMOD associate symmetrically with the canyon region of

MMOH in a manner similar to MMOB, indicating that MMOD competes with MMOB for

MMOH recognition. Further, MMOD binding disrupts the geometry of the di-iron centre

and opens the substrate access channel. Notably, the electron density of 1,6-hexanediol at

the substrate access channel mimics products of sMMO in hydrocarbon oxidation. The

crystal structure of MMOH–MMOD unravels the inhibitory mechanism by which MMOD

suppresses the MMOH catalytic activity, and reveals how hydrocarbon substrates/products

access to the di-iron centre.

Methanotrophs have an ability to use methane as their sole energy and carbon source[9,10]. The

critical enzymes participating in this conversion process are particulate methane monooxygenase

and soluble methane monooxygenase (sMMO)[11-13]. In particular, the sMMO enzyme, which

belongs to the bacterial multicomponent monooxygenase superfamily, carries out the following

reaction:

$$CH_4 + O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow CH_3OH + H_2O \qquad (1)$$

The effectiveness of catalysis by sMMO is known to be linked to the interplay of three sMMO

components: MMO hydroxylase (MMOH), MMO reductase (MMOR) and MMO regulatory

protein (MMOB)[4-6]. MMOH, which performs the chemical conversion of methane to methanol,

is a homodimer of three subunits (α, β and γ), with a glutamate- and histidine-coordinated non-

heme di-iron centre at each α-subunit[1,2]. MMOR shuttles electrons from nicotinamide adenine

dinucleotide (NADH) to the di-iron centre in MMOH[14]. MMOB is a regulatory component that

alters reduction potentials at the di-iron centre and helps the substrate to access the active

site[15,16]. It has been shown that there is another auxiliary protein in the sMMO operon, called

MMOD (*orfY*), which is proposed to inhibit the catalytic activity of MMOH[7,8] and the 'copper-

switch' in methanotrophs[17,18]. However, the molecular mechanism by which MMOD performs

its function towards MMOH remains undetermined.

The crystal structure of MMOH and the NMR structures of MMOB and two MMOR domains

have been determined, and their potential roles in the catalytic reaction have been proposed[19-23].

However, the absence of structures of MMOH in complex with its auxiliary proteins has made it

difficult to fully elucidate the chemistry of sMMO in substrate oxidation. We reported previously

the crystal structure of the MMOH–MMOB complex at 2.9 Å resolution[15]. Structural studies of

MMOH–MMOB have addressed key questions about how MMOB modulates the geometry of

the di-iron centre and the substrate access channel. However, to fully understand the enzymatic

mechanism utilised for the conversion of methane to methanol by MMOH, additional structural

information is required. In particular, structural information regarding how auxiliary proteins

such as MMOR and MMOD influence the catalytic activity of MMOH upon binding, as well as

the ingress and egress pathways of substrates and products in sMMO is currently missing.

We began to elucidate the molecular mechanism by which MMOD influences the catalytic

activity of MMOH by determining the crystal structure of the MMOH–MMOD complex from *M.*

sporium strain 5 at 2.6 Å resolution (Fig. 1a). The initial electron density map of the MMOH–

MMOD complex was calculated by molecular replacement using *Methylosinus trichosporium*

OB3b MMOH as the search model (PDB ID: 1MHY)[20]. *M. trichosporium* OB3b MMOH and *M.*

sporium strain 5 MMOH enzymes are highly homologous, sharing primary sequence identity of

97% (α-subunit), 88% (β-subunit) and 87% (γ-subunit) (Extended Data Fig. 1a,b). The crystal

structure of the MMOH–MMOD complex reveals that two copies of MMOD interact

symmetrically with MMOH via its canyon region (Fig. 1a,b). The conserved core region of

MMOD (residues 8–71) has a well-ordered structure and harbours a ββββα-fold architecture with

four N-terminal anti-parallel β-strands and a long C-terminal α-helix (Fig. 1c and Extended Data

Fig. 1c). Residues 1–11 and 76–111 of MMOD, which are less conserved among other strains,

are disordered in the crystal structure.

MMOD binds to helices E, F and H of the MMOH α-subunit (MMOHα) through a β4 and an α1

helix (Fig. 2a,b). The side chain of Gln45 and the peptide backbone of residues 48 and 49 in

MMOD β4 form hydrogen bond interactions with Thr241, Glu240 (helix F) and Asn214 (helix

E) of MMOHα (Fig. 2b). Side chains and the backbone of MMOD Leu50 and Ser51 (MMOD

loop) participate in hydrophobic and hydrogen bond interactions with Trp317 and Asp312 (helix

H) of MMOHα (Fig. 2c). In the long α-helix of MMOD, residues including Ser54, His61, Ser64,

His65, Arg68, Gln69 (hydrogen bond) and Val62 (hydrophobic) interact with Asp312 (helix H),

Val218, Glu222, Ser225 (helix E), Glu230 and Leu237 (helix F) of MMOHα (Fig. 2d).

The crystal structure of the MMOH–MMOB complex demonstrated that MMOB also associates

with the canyon region of MMOH generated by two MMOH α-subunits (Extended Data Fig.

2a)[15]. Structural comparison between the MMOH–MMOB and MMOH–MMOD complexes

indicates strongly that MMOD and MMOB compete for binding at the canyon region of MMOH,

thereby inhibiting the catalytic activity of MMOH (Extended Data Fig. 2b). Indeed, the catalytic

activity of MMOH is reduced in the presence of increasing amounts of MMOD, as reported

earlier in *M. capsulatus* (Bath) sMMO counterparts (Fig. 2e)[7,8]. The affinity measurements of

MMOB and MMOD towards MMOH using fluorescence quenching of MMOH demonstrated

comparable dissociation constants (Fig. 2f and Extended Data Table 2). The truncated MMODs

(residues 1–74, 12–111 and 12–74) displayed slightly, but not significantly, reduced binding

affinities, suggesting that the conserved core region of MMOD observed in the crystal structure

is essential for MMOH recognition (Extended Data Table 2).

In order to illustrate the influence of MMOD binding on the overall architecture of MMOH, as

well as the geometry of the di-iron centre, the structures of MMOH and the MMOH–MMOD

complex were compared. This comparison revealed a major conformational change in the N-

terminus of the MMOH β-subunit (MMOHβ-NT) (Fig. 3a). Specifically, MMOHβ-NT becomes

disordered upon MMOD binding (Extended Data Fig. 3a). A clash between the N-terminal helix

of MMOHβ and the C-terminal long helix of MMOD results in MMOHβ-NT being pushed

away, thereby dissociating it from MMOHα (Fig. 3b and Extended Data Fig. 3a). Since

MMOHβ-NT functions as a 'latch' to hold the MMOHα (Extended Data Fig. 3a), the disorder of

MMOHβ-NT (residues 1–56 of the β-subunit) initiates conformational changes in MMOHα,

particularly helices A, B and C (Extended Data Fig. 3b, c). The di-iron centre at MMOHα is

coordinated by four glutamates and two histidines provided by the four-helix bundle composed

of helices B, C, E and F (Fig. 3c). The conformational changes in helices B and C, induced by

MMOHβ-NT disorganisation, induce in turn geometric changes in the di-iron centre and

rearrangement of the di-iron coordination (Fig. 3c,d). Particularly, His147 (helix C) displays a

significant conformational change that dissociates it from Fe1 coordination and allows one water

molecule to place in between Fe1 and His147 (Fig. 3d). The glutamate residues that coordinate

the two iron atoms also rearrange their coordination upon MMOD association. For example,

upon MMOD binding, both Glu114 and Glu144 recognise Fe1 in a bidentate/chelating manner

through the carboxylate shift owing to the movement of helix B and C, respectively (Fig. 3c, d

and Extended Data Fig. 3b, c). In addition, Glu243 interacts with Fe2 in a bidentate manner. To

the best of our knowledge, the dissociation of His147 and bidentate coordination of Glu114

unravelled in the MMOH–MMOD complex have not been observed in previous MMOH-related

structures (Fig. 3d and Extended Data Fig. 4). Notably, the discoordination of His147 from the

di-iron active site (Fe1–His147 Nδ1 distance within two protomers: 5.27 Å / 4.98 Å) may further

inhibit the catalytic activity of MMOH.

The extent of structural reorganisation in the di-iron centre caused by MMOD binding is

comparable to that caused by MMOB binding. It has been shown that the binding of MMOB

induces conformational changes at helices E and F, which subsequently push Glu243 (helix F)

into the di-iron centre (Fig. 3c and Extended Data Fig. 5a, b). Consequently, this change

squeezes the two Fe atoms of the centre between Glu243 (helix F) and Glu144 (helix C), thereby

leading to a change in reduction potential at the di-iron centre (Extended Data Fig. 5b)[16,24].

While MMOB binding induces the rotation of helices E and F, the MMOD binding disorganises

MMOHβ-NT, thereby allowing the translational movement of helices B and C (Extended Data

Figs. 3c and 5c). As a consequence, MMOB binding changes the reduction potential and MMOD

binding inhibits the catalytic activity of MMOH.

Upon building the model structure of the MMOH–MMOD complex, we detected unidentified

electron density near the di-iron centre. When we placed 1,6-hexanediol (one of the compounds

used in the crystallisation) over this unknown electron density, a good fit was obtained after

refinement (Fig. 4a). sMMO is known to oxidise a variety of hydrocarbons, including alkanes

from methane to octane[5]. The presence of 1,6-hexanediol near the di-iron centre can be explained

by opening of the channel, mediated by the side-chain rearrangement of Leu110 and Phe188,

both of which function together as a gate for substrate and product passage to the active site (Fig.

4b)[15,19,25,26]. A similar type of channel opening has also been observed in the MMOH–MMOB

complex (Extended Data Fig. 6a, b)[15]. This observation indicates that the channel opening in the

MMOH–MMOD complex is also driven by the MMOD association. Examination of other

previously reported product-soaked crystal structures of MMOH showed that products or product

analogues are mostly trapped at cavities as a result of the channel closure mediated by Leu110

and Phe188 (Extended Data Fig. 6c). By contrast, 1,6-hexanediol is able to reach the di-iron

centre via the substrate access channel in the MMOH–MMOD complex because the binding of

MMOD opens the continuous channel (Fig. 4b). The disorganisation of MMOHβ-NT after the

association of MMOH with MMOD, followed by structural relaxation of MMOHα generates

additional tunnels inside of MMOH (Extended Data Fig. 7). The current MMOH–MMOD

structure also supports the hypothesis that both substrate ingress and product egress take place

through the substrate access channel, and not through the pore located near the active site, at

least for hydrocarbon chain substrates such as hexane[5,26].

The crystal structures of the MMOH–MMOB and MMOH–MMOD complexes indicate that

MMOB and MMOD associate competitively with the canyon region of MMOH. The results of

hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry analysis and computational docking studies in

the MMOH–MMOR complex also corroborate the model in which MMOR associates with the

canyon region of MMOH[27]. This strongly suggests that all three auxiliary proteins of sMMO

modulate the catalytic activity of MMOH through their competitive association at the canyon

region (Fig. 4c).

The MMOH–MMOD structure determined in this work indicates that MMOD functions as an

inhibitor for MMOH by competing with MMOB for MMOH association, as well as by

disrupting the productive geometry of the di-iron centre (Fig. 4c). The potential of MMOD to

function as a 'copper-switch', in addition to its inhibitory role, needs to be investigated

further[17,18]. Taken together, the crystal structure of the MMOH–MMOD complex and the

MMOH–MMOB structure determined previously demonstrate the mechanism by which

auxiliary proteins modulate the catalytic activity of MMO hydroxylase, which involves inducing

differentiated conformational changes in MMOH as well as at the di-iron centre.

References

1   Merkx, M. *et al.* Dioxygen activation and methane hydroxylation by soluble methane

monooxygenase: a tale of two irons and three proteins. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **40**, 2782–

2807 (2001).

2   Wallar, B. J. & Lipscomb, J. D. Dioxygen activation by enzymes containing binuclear

non-heme iron clusters. *Chem. Rev.* **96**, 2625–2658 (1996).

3   Leahy, J. G., Batchelor, P. J. & Morcomb, S. M. Evolution of the soluble diiron

monooxygenases. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **27**, 449–479 (2003).

4   Tinberg, C. E. & Lippard, S. J. Revisiting the mechanism of dioxygen activation in

soluble methane monooxygenase from M. capsulatus (Bath): evidence for a multi-Step,

proton-dependent reaction pathway. *Biochemistry* **48**, 12145–12158 (2009).

5   Wang, W., Liang, A. D. & Lippard, S. J. Coupling oxygen consumption with

hydrocarbon oxidation in bacterial multicomponent monooxygenases. *Acc. Chem. Res.*

48, 2632–2639 (2015).

6   Gassner, G. T. & Lippard, S. J. Component interactions in the soluble methane

monooxygenase system from Methylococcus capsulatus (Bath). *Biochemistry* **38**, 12768–

12785 (1999).

7   Merkx, M. & Lippard, S. J. Why OrfY? Characterization of MMOD, a long overlooked

component of the soluble methane monooxygenase from Methylococcus capsulatus

(Bath). *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**, 5858–5865 (2002).

8   Sazinsky, M. H., Merkx, M., Cadieux, E., Tang, S. & Lippard, S. J. Preparation and X-

ray structures of metal-free, dicobalt and dimanganese forms of soluble methane

monooxygenase hydroxylase from Methylococcus capsulatus (Bath). *Biochemistry* **43**,

16263–16276 (2004).

9   Higgins, I. J., Best, D. J. & Hammond, R. C. New findings in methane-utilizing bacteria

highlight their importance in the biosphere and their commercial potential. *Nature* **286**,

561–564 (1980).

10   Hanson, R. S. & Hanson, T. E. Methanotrophic bacteria. *Microbiol. Rev.* **60**, 439–471

(1996).

11   Balasubramanian, R. & Rosenzweig, A. C. Structural and mechanistic insights into

methane oxidation by particulate methane monooxygenase. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **40**, 573–580

(2007).

12   Tinberg, C. E. & Lippard, S. J. Dioxygen activation in soluble methane monooxygenase.

Acc. Chem. Res. **44**, 280–288 (2011).

13   Semrau, J. D., DiSpirito, A. A. & Yoon, S. Methanotrophs and copper. *FEMS Microbiol.*

Rev. **34**, 496–531 (2010).

14   Kopp, D. A., Gassner, G. T., Blazyk, J. L. & Lippard, S. J. Electron-transfer reactions of

the reductase component of soluble methane monooxygenase from Methylococcus

capsulatus (Bath). *Biochemistry* **40**, 14932–14941 (2001).

15   Lee, S. J., McCormick, M. S., Lippard, S. J. & Cho, U. S. Control of substrate access to

the active site in methane monooxygenase. *Nature* **494**, 380–384 (2013).

16   Paulsen, K. E. *et al.* Oxidation-reduction potentials of the methane monooxygenase

hydroxylase component from Methylosinus trichosporium OB3b. *Biochemistry* **33**, 713–

722 (1994).

17   Semrau, J. D. *et al.* Methanobactin and MmoD work in concert to act as the 'copper-

switch' in methanotrophs. *Environ. Microbiol.*, 3077–3086 (2013).

18   Yan, X., Chu, F., Puri, A. W., Fu, Y. & Lidstrom, M. E. Electroporation-based genetic

manipulation in type I methanotrophs. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **82**, 2062–2069 (2016).

19   Rosenzweig, A. C., Frederick, C. A., Lippard, S. J. & Nordlund, P. Crystal-structure of a

bacterial nonheme iron hydroxylase that catalyzes the biological oxidation of methane.

Nature **366**, 537–543 (1993).

20   Elango, N. *et al.* Crystal structure of the hydroxylase component of methane

monooxygenase from Methylosinus trichosporium OB3b. *Protein Sci.* **6**, 556–568

(1997).

21   Chatwood, L. L., Müller, J., Gross, J. D., Wagner, G. & Lippard, S. J. NMR structure of

the flavin domain from soluble methane monooxygenase reductase from Methylococcus

capsulatus (Bath). *Biochemistry* **43**, 11983–11991 (2004).

22   Müller, J., Lugovskoy, A. A., Wagner, G. & Lippard, S. J. NMR structure of the [2Fe-2S]

ferredoxin domain from soluble methane monooxygenase reductase and interaction with

its hydroxylase. *Biochemistry* **41**, 42–51 (2002).

23   Walters, K. J., Gassner, G. T., Lippard, S. J. & Wagner, G. Structure of the soluble

methane monooxygenase regulatory protein B. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **96**, 7877–

7882 (1999).

24   Fox, B. G., Liu, Y., Dege, J. E. & Lipscomb, J. D. Complex-formation between the

protein-components of methane monooxygenase from methylosinus-trichosporium Ob3b

- identification of sites of component interaction. *J. Biol. Chem.* **266**, 540–550 (1991).

25   Rosenzweig, A. C. *et al.* Crystal structures of the methane monooxygenase hydroxylase

from Methylococcus capsulatus (Bath): implications for substrate gating and component

interactions. *Proteins* **29**, 141–152 (1997).

26   Sazinsky, M. H. & Lippard, S. J. Product bound structures of the soluble methane

monooxygenase hydroxylase from Methylococcus capsulatus (Bath): protein motion in

the alpha-subunit. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **127**, 5814–5825 (2005).

27   Wang, W., Iacob, R. E., Luoh, R. P., Engen, J. R. & Lippard, S. J. Electron transfer

control in soluble methane monooxygenase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **136**, 9754–9762 (2014).

Methods

M. sporium strain 5 fermentation and purification of MMOH

M. sporium strain 5 (ATCC35069) was cultured in nitrate mineral salts (NMS) media (ATCC 1306) at 30 °C until OD_{655} reached 8–10 with a methane:air (v/v) ratio of 10–15%[28]. The cells were harvested by centrifugation (11,300 × g) for 20 min at 4 °C. The cell pellets were suspended in a lysis buffer containing 3-(*N*-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid (MOPS, 25 mM), NaCl (25 mM), sodium thioglycolate (8 mM), L-cysteine (2 mM), $(NH_4)_2Fe(SO4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (200 µM), $MgCl_2$ (5 mM), DNase (0.25 µL/mL) and phenylmethane sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF, 0.04 mg/mL) at pH 6.5. The dissolved suspension was sonicated at 4 °C (CV334 model, Sonics), and the lysate was centrifuged at 30,000 × g for 45 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was carefully decanted and filtered through a 0.22-µm membrane (EMD Millipore). The filtrate was loaded onto DEAE Sepharose Fast Flow, Superdex 200, and Q Sepharose Fast Flow columns attached to the ATKA Pure 25L FPLC system (GE healthcare) to purify MMOH with >95% purity[4,29]. The purified MMOH was applied to a ferrozine assay to monitor the iron-ferrozine complex (562 nm), and the results revealed 3.9–4.2 Fe/MMOH with R^2 values >0.999[15].

Expression and purification of MMOB and MMOR

Both recombinant *mmoB* (MMOB) and *mmoC* (MMOR) in a pET30a(+) plasmid were transformed into *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) cells (Novagen) and cultured in LB media containing 50 µg/mL kanamycin at 37 °C. The cultures were induced for 5 h with 1.0 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) before harvesting by centrifugation at 4 °C. The

MMOB cell pellets were re-suspended in solution containing phosphate (pH 6.0, 25 mM), NaCl

(75 mM), $MgCl_2$ (5 mM). Na_2-EDTA (1 mM), DTT (dithiotheritol, 1 mM), DNase I (0.25

μL/mL) and PMSF (0.04 mg/mL). The suspended cells were lysed by sonication at 4 °C. The

filtered supernatant was applied to Q Sepharose Fast Flow and Superdex 75 columns to purify

MMOB with >95% purity[23,30]. The MMOR cell pellets were re-suspended in solution containing

MOPS (pH 6.5, 25 mM), sodium thioglycolate (8 mM), L-cysteine (2 mM), NaCl (25 mM),

$(NH_4)_2Fe(SO4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (200 μM), $MgCl_2$ (5 mM), DNase I (1 unit/mL) and PMSF (0.2 mM), and

subsequently were sonicated for cell lysis. The lysed cells were centrifuged at 26,000 × g at 4 °C

for 40 min, filtered (0.22-μm membrane filter) and loaded onto DEAE Sepharose Fast Flow and

Q Sepharose columns to purify MMOR[31]. The ferrozine assay confirmed 2.1–2.2 Fe/MMOR

with R^2 values >0.999[29].

264
Activity measurement of MMOH

MMOH (1.0 μM), MMOB (2.0 μM) and MMOR (0.5 μM) were added to a 25-mM solution of

MOPS (pH 7.5) containing DTT (1 mM) and NaCl (10 mM), and propylene gas was bubbled

through the mixture (Hankook Special Gas) for 20 min. Subsequently, the mixture was incubated

at 25 °C. Steady-state kinetics were measured using a Cary 60 UV-visible spectrometer by the

addition of NADH at 340 nm ($\varepsilon_{340} = 6{,}220$ cm^{-1}M^{-1}). The inhibitory activities of MMOD were

measured in the absence or presence of progressively increasing amounts of MMOD. Products

were confirmed by gas chromatography (YL 6500GC system) using an Agilent HP-PLOT/Q

stationery column (30 m × 0.535 mm × 40.00 μm). Independent experiments were repeated in

triplicate to calculate the mean and the standard error of the mean (SEM).

MMOD expression and purification

The N-terminal $(His)_{X6}$ and maltose-binding protein (hisMBP)-tagged wild-type *mmoD* (MMOD) was prepared in *Escherichia coli* Rosetta (DE3) with auto-inducible media[32]. Cell pastes were re-suspended in solution containing Tris-HCl (pH 7.5, 30 mM), NaCl (500 mM) and β-mercaptoethanol (5 mM) with protease inhibitor cocktails. After sonication on ice for 3 min, soluble lysate was recovered by centrifugation at $20,000 \times g$ for 30 min and was subsequently applied to a cobalt affinity resin (Takeda). The hisMBP-MMOD proteins were eluted with elution buffer (Tris-HCl = 30 mM, pH 7.5; NaCl = 500 mM; imidazole = 300 mM; β-mercaptoethanol = 5 mM). In order to remove the hisMBP-tag, the elution fraction and Tobacco Etch Virus protease (1:100 ratio) were mixed together in the amylose resin. After overnight incubation at 4 ºC, the flow-through fraction, which contains MMOD, was collected from the amylose resin and loaded onto an HP Q column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with HEPES (pH 7.5, 30 mM), NaCl (100 mM) and DTT (1 mM), and eluted in a 0 to 1000 mM NaCl gradient. Based on the results of SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis, fractions containing MMOD were pooled. The pooled fractions were concentrated using Amicon (EMD Millipore) and applied to a Superdex200 (10/300) size exclusion chromatography (GE healthcare) column equilibrated in HEPES (pH 7.5, 30 mM), NaCl (100 mM) and TCEP (tris [2-carboxyethyl] phosphine, 1 mM).

Crystallisation, data collection and structure determination

Purified MMOH and MMOD (in solution containing HEPES, 30 mM, pH 7.5; NaCl, 100 mM; and TCEP, 1 mM) were mixed in a 1:2 molar ratio and the final concentration was adjusted to 10 mg/mL. Plate shape crystals were grown within a week at room temperature using the hanging

drop vapour diffusion method with a mixture of 10% (w/v) PEG 8000, 20% (v/v) ethylene

glycol, 0.02 M 1,6-hexanediol, 0.02 M 1-butanol, 0.02 M 1,2-propanediol, 0.02 M 2-propanol,

0.02 M 1,4-butanediol, 0.02 M 1,3-propanediol, 0.89 M 1,3-butanediol, and 0.1 M

MES/imidazole buffer (pH 6.5). After transferring to a cryo-protectant solution containing the

precipitant and 35% (w/v) PEG 8000, crystals were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. The space

group of the crystal was C2 with a = 179.8 Å, b = 125.8 Å, c = 126.4 Å, α = γ = 90º and β =

102.9º. The dataset was collected at Advanced Photon Source (APS) on beam line LS-CAT 21

ID-G. The 2.6 Å data were processed by HKL2000 (www.hkl-xray.com) and initial phases were

calculated by molecular replacement (Phaser)[33] using *Methylosinus trichosporium* OB3b MMOH

as the search model (PDB ID: 1MHY)[20]. After molecular replacement, the electron density for

MMOD was clearly visible. The MMOD model was subsequently manually built using COOT[34]

and the refinement was performed using PHENIX.refine[35]. Iron atoms and ligand restraints were

generated using the program PHENIX (PHENIX.metal_coordination and PHENIX.ready_set)

and applied during the refinement. No NCS restraints were applied during the refinement. The

asymmetric unit contains two copies of each the MMOH α-subunit, β-subunit, γ-subunit and

MMOD FL, and the R_{work} and R_{free} values of the final refined model were 17.8 and 22.6,

respectively. Residues 1–11 (1–10) and 161–172 (159–171) of the MMOH α-subunit, residues

1–56 (1–56) of the MMOH β-subunit, residues 1–4 (1–2) of the MMOH γ-subunit and residues

1–11 (1–6) and 76–111 (75–111) of MMOD were disordered and invisible in the final model

(Parenthesis indicates residues in the other MMOH protomer). Ramachandran analyses were

performed by MolProbity[36] and the results were as follows: 95.4 (favoured), 4.5 (allowed) and

0.1% (outlier).

Binding affinity measurements

The fluorescence spectra were collected at 25 °C using the PTI QM-400 (HORIBA, CANADA)

with monochromators for both excitation and emission[24]. Fluorescence spectra of MMOH (0.32

μM of MMOH in 300 μL of 25 mM MOPS and 1 mM DTT [pH 7.0]) were determined using an

excitation wavelength of 282 nm. Fluorescence was quantified by integration of fluorescence

emission bands with a maximum at 363 nm. The MMOH fluorescence was quenched by titration

with MMOB, MMOD and truncated MMODs (residues 1–74, 12–111 and 12–74). These

enzymes were titrated to MMOH in the concentration range from 0 to 15 μM. Dissociation

constants were determined by fitting the intensity of emission at 363 nm (Origin2018b and

Wolfram Mathmatica). Independent experiments were repeated in triplicate to allow the

calculation of the average ± SEM.

References

References

28   Ali, H., Scanlan, J., Dumont, M. G. & Murrell, J. C. Duplication of the mmoX gene in

Methylosinus sporium: cloning, sequencing and mutational analysis. *Microbiology* **152**,

2931–2942 (2006).

29   Beauvais, L. G. & Lippard, S. J. Reactions of the peroxo intermediate of soluble methane

monooxygenase hydroxylase with ethers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **127**, 7370–7378 (2005).

30   Brandstetter, H., Whittington, D. A., Lippard, S. J. & Frederick, C. A. Mutational and

structural analyses of the regulatory protein B of soluble methane monooxygenase from

Methylococcus capsulatus (Bath). *Chem. Biol.* **6**, 441–449 (1999).

31   Blazyk, J. L. & Lippard, S. J. Domain engineering of the reductase component of soluble

methane monooxygenase from Methylococcus capsulatus (Bath). *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**,

5630–5640 (2004).

32   Studier, F. W. Protein production by auto-induction in high density shaking cultures.

Protein Expr. Purif. **41**, 207-234 (2005).

33   McCoy, A. J. *et al.* Phaser crystallographic software. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **40**, 658–674 (2007).

34   Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot.

Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. **66**, 486–501 (2010).

35   Afonine, P. V. *et al.* Towards automated crystallographic structure refinement with

phenix.refine. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **68**, 352–367 (2012).

36   Chen, V. B. *et al.* MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular

crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* **66**, 12–21 (2010).

37 Afonine, P. V. *et al.* FEM: feature-enhanced map. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.*

71, 646-666 (2015).

38 Whittington, D. A. & Lippard, S. J. Crystal structures of the soluble methane

monooxygenase hydroxylase from Methylococcus capsulatus (Bath) demonstrating

geometrical variability at the dinuclear iron active site. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **123**, 827–838

(2001).

39 Whittington, D. A., Sazinsky, M. H. & Lippard, S. J. X-ray crystal structure of alcohol

products bound at the active site of soluble methane monooxygenase hydroxylase. *J. Am.*

Chem. Soc. **123**, 1794–1795 (2001).

40 Petrek, M. *et al.* CAVER: a new tool to explore routes from protein clefts, pockets and

cavities. *BMC Bioinformatics* **7**, 316 (2006).

Acknowledgments

We thank the staff at the Advanced Photon Source LS-CAT beamline for their advice and

assistance with data collection. We thank Dr Stephen Ragsdale, Dr Youngmin You and Dr David

Ballou for helpful discussions and comments on the manuscript. This work was supported in part

by grants (the C1 Gas Refinery Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea

[NRF-2015M3D3A1A01064876]) to S.J.L., and by grants (The Biomedical Research Council

[BMRC] bridging fund [University of Michigan] and R01 DK111465) to U.S.C.

Author Contributions

H.K. obtained the crystals, solved, and refined the structures, analysed data and wrote the

manuscript; S.A. purified proteins, analysed data and wrote the manuscript; Y.R.P. cultured *M.*

sporium 5 and purified proteins for catalytic activity and affinity measurements; H.J. expressed

and purified truncated MMOD; S.H.P. assisted structural determination; S.J.L. designed

experiments for catalytic activity and binding measurement, analysed data and wrote the

manuscript; and U.S.C. directed the project, designed experiments, analysed data and wrote the

manuscript. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Author Information

Data availability. Coordinates and structure factors for the reported crystal structure of the *M.*

sporium strain 5 MMOH–MMOD complex have been deposited with the Protein Data Bank

under accession code 6D7K.

Competing interests. The authors declare no competing interests.

*Corresponding Authors: Email: uhnsoo@med.umich.edu; Tel.: 1-(734)764-6765; Fax: 1-

(734)763-4581. E-mail: slee026@jbnu.ac.kr; Tel.: 82-(63)270-3412; Fax: 82-(63)270-3408

a

MMOH(α, β, γ)-MMOD

90°

b

The di-iron center

c

C

N

α

β1

β2

β3

β4

Figure 1 | Crystal structure of the MMOH–MMOD complex. a, Front and top views of the MMOH–MMOD complex. MMOH is shown using a cartoon model (α-subunit: blue, β-subunit: green γ-subunit: orange); MMOD is coloured yellow. **b**, Front view of the MMOH–MMOD complex. MMOH is shown using a surface representation model (white) and MMOD (yellow) is shown in cartoon form. Red circle marks the location of the di-iron centre in MMOH. **c**, MMOD contains a βββα-fold, which is shown in cartoon form. Illustrations of the protein structure used in all figures were generated with PyMOL (Delano Scientific, LLC).

Figure 2 | Key residues participate in both the MMOH–MMOD binding and the inhibitory activity of MMOD. a, MMOD β4, loop and helix α (yellow) directly participate in recognising the canyon region of MMOH. MMOD residues that do not interact with MMOH are coloured grey. The two iron atoms are labelled and coloured orange. **b–d**, Detailed interactions in the MMOH–MMOD complex. **e**, Enzymatic activities (n = 3) of MMOH in the presence of MMOB, MMOR and/or MMOD, as determined based on the conversion from propylene to propylene oxide in the presence of NADH. Condition H+B+R (red) indicates the absence of MMOD; blue

indicates the addition of MMOD. Conditions H+B (green) and H+R (orange) represent the

absence of MMOR and MMOB, respectively (n = 3, Ave ± SEM). **f**, Binding of MMOB,

MMOD and truncated MMODs to MMOH as detected by fluorescence spectroscopy (n = 3). All

error bars represent SEMs. Quenching of intrinsic fluorescence of MMOH was monitored by

titration of the MMOB (blue), MMOD (red), 1–74 MMOD (green), 12–111 MMOD (purple),

and 12–111 MMOD (orange). The K_{D1} and K_{D2} values were determined through nonlinear curve

fitting for two binding sites of MMOH (0.32 µM).

a

M. trichosporium OB3b
MMOH (α, β, γ)

M. sporium strain 5
MMOH (α, β, γ)-**MMOD**

b

MMOHβ-NT vs **MMOD**

c

B   E

C   F

B   E

C   F

MMOH vs. **MMOH-MMOD**

MMOH vs. **MMOH-MMOB**

d

1,6-hexanediol

Formate

E114   E209

Fe1 E243

E144 Fe2

H$_2$O

H147   H246

1,6-hexanediol

Formate

E114   E209

Fe1 E243

E144 Fe2

H$_2$O

H147   H246

M. sporium strain 5 **MMOH-MMOD**

E114   H$_2$O H$_2$O E209

Fe1 Fe2 E243

H$_2$O

E144

H147   H246

E114   H$_2$O H$_2$O E209

Fe1 Fe2 E243

H$_2$O

E144

H147   H246

M. trichosporium OB3b **MMOH**

Figure 3 | Structural comparison of MMOH (PDB ID: 1MHY)[20] and MMOH–MMOD. a,

Front views of MMOH and MMOH–MMOD. Red box indicates the region with the major

structural differences. **b**, *M. sporium* strain 5 MMOD structures are overlaid onto *M.*

trichosporium OB3b MMOH. N-terminus of MMOH β-subunit is displayed in green. MMOD C-

terminal long helix is shown in yellow. Dashed yellow lines indicate the extended, disordered C-

terminal region of MMOD. The red arrow indicates the viewing angle of inset. The inset shows

the clash between MMOHβ-NT and the C-terminal helix of MMOD. **c**, Conformational changes

in the MMOH four-helix bundle (helices B, C, E and F) upon MMOB and MMOD binding. Six

residues that coordinate two iron atoms are on helices B, C, E and F. Red arrows indicate the

translation and rotation of indicated helices upon MMOD and MMOB binding. **d**, Stereo-views

of the di-iron centre geometry in MMOH–MMOD and MMOH. Two Fe atoms (orange) are

surrounded and coordinated by four glutamate and two histidine residues. Water molecules

(H_2O) are displayed as red spheres.

429
Figure 4 | A product analogue (1,6-hexanediol) at the substrate access channel near the di-

iron centre and the channel opening in the MMOH–MMOD complex. a, The composite omit

map (1.5-σ contour, calculated using the PHENIX software package[37]) of MMOH–MMOD near

the di-iron centre. The electron density map is shown as mesh (grey), and 1,6-hexanediol and

formate are coloured green. **b**, The substrate access channel in the *M. trichosporium* OB3b

MMOH (grey, PDB ID: 1MHY)[20] and the *M. sporium* strain 5 MMOH-MMOD complex

(yellow). Two gate forming residues (Leu110 and Phe188) are shown as red spheres and 1,6-

hexandiol is shown in green. The channels calculated using PyMOL are shown as surface

models. **c**, A schematic model showing the association of MMOH with its auxiliary proteins–

MMOB and MMOD, which compete for the canyon region of MMOH.

Extended Data Table 1 | Data collection and refinement statistics

	M. sporium strain 5 MMOH–MMOD Complex*
Data collection	
Space group	C 1 2 1
Cell dimensions	
a, b, c (Å)	179.78, 125.78, 126.38
α, β, γ (°)	90.00, 102.89, 90.00
Resolution (Å)	50.00–2.60 (2.64-2.60) **
R_{sym} or R_{merge}	12.4 (79.3)
I / σI	12.8 (1.6)
Completeness (%)	99.5 (98.9)
Redundancy	4.3 (4.2)
Number of reflections	362,653
Unique	84,164
Refinement	
Resolution (Å)	22.29–2.60 (2.66–2.60)
No. reflections	83,613
R_{work} / R_{free}	0.179 / 0.220
No. of atoms	
Protein	17,401
Ligand/ion	28
Water	339
B-factors	
Protein	48.71
Ligand/ion	38.88
Water	42.40
R.M.S. deviations	
Bond lengths (Å)	0.008
Bond angles (°)	0.891

443   *PDB ID 6D7K   **Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell

Extended Data Table 2 | Dissociation constants for binding of MMOH with MMOB,

MMOD, and truncated MMODs

$$\text{MMOH} + \text{E} \xrightleftharpoons[]{\textbf{\textit{K}}_{\text{D1}}} \text{MMOH} \cdot \text{E} \xrightleftharpoons[]{\textbf{\textit{K}}_{\text{D2}}} \text{MMOH} \cdot \text{E}_2 \quad (1)$$

Enzymes	K_{D1} (µM)	K_{D2} (µM)
MMOB	0.04 ± 0.01	0.86 ± 0.16
MMOD	0.59 ± 0.03	0.90 ± 0.14
MMOD (1–74)	0.82 ± 0.10	2.69 ± 0.28
MMOD (12–111)	0.93 ± 0.06	2.75 ± 0.26
MMOD (12–74)	1.14 ± 0.10	2.90 ± 0.61

450 The intensities of intrinsic fluorescence of MMOH were partially quenched by titration of

451 enzymes, and two binding sites of MMOH were used with nonlinear curve fitting (equation 1, n

452 = 3, Ave ± SEM). E represents MMOB, MMOD, and truncated MMOD (1–74, 12–111 and 12–

453 74).

a

M. triochosporium OB3b **MMOH (α, β, γ)**   *M. sporium* strain 5 **MMOH (α, β, γ) + MMOD**

Sequence Identity

α-subunit: 97%
β-subunit: 88%
γ-subunit: 88%

b

α-subunit

```
M. sporium strain5     MAISLATKAATDALKVNRAPVGVEPQEVHKWLQSFNWDFKENRTKYATKYHMANQTKEQF  60
M. trichosporium OB3b  MAISLATKAATDALKVNRAPVGVEPQEVHKWLQSFNWDFKENRTKYPTKYHMANETKEQF  60
                       *********************************************: ******.:*****

M. sporium strain5     KVIAKEYARMEAAKDERQFGTLLDGLTRLGAGNKVHPRWGETMKVISNFLEVGEYNAIAA  120
M. trichosporium OB3b  KVIAKEYARMEAAKDERQFGTLLDGLTRLGAGNKVHPRWGETMKVISNFLEVGEYNAIAA  120
                       ************************************************************

M. sporium strain5     SAMLWDSATAAEQKNGYLAQVLDEIRHTHQCAFINHYYSKHYHDPAGHNDARRTRAIGPL  180
M. trichosporium OB3b  SAMLWDSATAAEQKNGYLAQVLDEIRHTHQCAFINHYYSKHYHDPAGHNDARRTRAIGPL  180
                       ************************************************************

M. sporium strain5     WKGMKRVFADGFISGDAVECSVNLQLVGEACFTNPLIVAVTEWASANGDEITPTVFLSVE  240
M. trichosporium OB3b  WKGMKRVFADGFISGDAVECSVNLQLVGEACFTNPLIVAVTEWASANGDEITPTVFLSVE  240
                       ************************************************************

M. sporium strain5     TDELRHMANGYQTVVSIANDPAAAKYLNTDLNNAFWTQQKYFTPALGYLFEYGSKFKVEP  300
M. trichosporium OB3b  TDELRHMANGYQTVVSIANDPASAKFLNTDLNNAFWTQQKYFTPVLGYLFEYGSKFKVEP  300
                       *********************:.**:*****************:**************** 

M. sporium strain5     WVKTWNRWVYEDWGGIWIGRLGKYGVESPRSLRDAKTDAYWAHHDLALAAYALWPLGFAR  360
M. trichosporium OB3b  WVKTWNRWVYEDWGGIWIGRLGKYGVESPASLRDAKRDAYWAHHDLALAAYAMWPLGFAR  360
                       *****************************  ******:**************:*******

M. sporium strain5     LALPDEEDQEWFEANYPGWADHYGKIYNEWKKLGYEDPKSGFIPYAWLLANGHDVYIDRV  420
M. trichosporium OB3b  LALPDEEDQAWFEANYPGWADHYGKIFNEWKKLGYEDPKSGFIPYQWLLANGHDVYIDRV  420
                       *********.****************:******************:*************** 

M. sporium strain5     SQVPFIPSLAKGSGSLRVHEFNGKKHSLTDDWGERMWLSEPERYECHNLFEQYEGRELSE  480
M. trichosporium OB3b  SQVPFIPSLAKGTGSLRVHEFNGKKHSLTDDWGERQWLIEPERYECHNVFEQYEGRELSE  480
                       ************:*********************** ** .********:*********** 

M. sporium strain5     VIAEGHGVRSDGKTLIAQPHVRGDNLWTLEDIKRAGCVFPNPLAKF  526
M. trichosporium OB3b  VIAEGHGVRSDGKTLIAQPHTRGDNLWTLEDIKRAGCVFPDPLAKF  526
                       ********************:*******************:*.****: 
```

Extended Data Figure 1 | Primary sequence conservation of *M. trichosporium* OB3b and *M. sporium* strain 5 MMOHs and multiple sequence alignment of MMOD. a, Structure comparison of *M. trichosporium* OB3b MMOH and *M. sporium* strain 5 MMOH–MMOD. The primary sequence identities of α-, β- and γ-subunits in *M. trichosporium* OB3b and the *M. sporium* strain 5 MMOHs are 97%, 88% and 88%, respectively. Residues that differ in *M.*

trichosporium OB3b and the *M. sporium* strain 5 MMOHs are coloured red. Residues involved

in MMOD recognition and di-iron centre formation are 100% conserved in *M. trichosporium*

OB3b and the *M. sporium* strain 5 MMOHs. **b**, The primary sequence comparison of α-, β- and

γ-subunits in *M. trichosporium* OB3b and the *M. sporium* strain 5 MMOHs. MMOH residues

that differ in *M. trichosporium* OB3b and the *M. sporium* strain 5 are coloured red. **c**, The

multiple sequence alignment of the MMOD core region (residues 8–71 in *M. sporium* strain 5

MMOD) among methanotrophs. Residues that have high sequence similarity are coloured red;

others are coloured blue. Residues that participate in the MMOH interactions are boxed in

yellow. Corresponding secondary structures of MMOD are indicated above the sequence

alignment.

M. casulatus (Bath) MMOH-MMOB complex

M. sporium strain 5 MMOH-MMOD

M. capsulatus (Bath) MMOH-MMOB

Extended Data Figure 2 | Crystal structure of the *M. capulatus* MMOH–MMOB complex (PDB ID: 4GAM)[15] and the structural comparison of MMOD (*M. sporium* strain 5) and MMOB (*M. capsulatus*) binding to MMOH. a, front and top views of the *M. capsulatus*

MMOH–MMOB complex. MMOH is shown using surface representation model (white) and

MMOB (purple) is shown using a cartoon model. **b**, The structural comparison of MMOH–

MMOD (*M. sporium* strain 5) and MMOH–MMOB (*M. capsulatus*) complexes. Areas with the

red box are magnified to visualise the binding patterns of MMOD (yellow) and MMOB (purple)

at the canyon region of MMOH. Although the overall architectures of MMOD and MMOB are

quite distinct, both MMOD and MMOB bind competitively at the same canyon region of

MMOH.

Extended Data Figure 3 | Conformational changes in MMOH upon MMOD binding. a,

Structural comparison of MMOH (*M. trichosporium* OB3b) and the MMOH–MMOD complex

(*M. sporium* strain 5). MMOHβ is shown using the surface representation model and other

subunits (α and γ), including MMOD, are shown as cartoon models. Structural comparison

indicates that the N-terminal region of MMOHβ (β-NT) is disordered upon MMOD association.

The schematic model shows that MMOHβ-NT may function as a 'latch' to tightly lock MMOHα

helix bundles. MMOD binding unlocks the 'latch' and relaxes the overall helix architecture of

MMOHα, as shown in **b** and **c**. **b**, Top and side views of MMOHα helices (A, B, C, E, F and H)

before (grey, PDB ID: 1MHY)[20] and after (blue) MMOD association. MMOD binding breaks the

interaction between MMOHβ-NT ('latch off') of two protomers and thus induces conformational

relaxation of MMOHα, including helices A, B, and C. Red arrows indicate the direction of helix

movement after MMOD association and the MMOHβ-NT latch off. Two iron atoms are shown

using the sphere model (before: grey, after: orange)**. c**, Schematic representation of MMOHα

helix movement upon MMOD association. MMOD binding causes MMOHβ-NT to 'latch off',

which then leads to the movement of helices A, B and C owing to the loss of support from

MMOHβ-NT.

Extended Data Figure 4 | Geometry of the di-iron active site in the MMOH–MMOD complex and its structural comparison with other known MMOH structures. The geometry of the di-iron centre in the MMOH–MMOD complex differs significantly from other known MMOH di-iron centre geometries. There is dissociation of His147 from Fe1 coordination (red dotted circle) as well as bidentate recognition of Glu114 towards Fe1. A water molecule bridges the Fe1 coordination of His147. Distances between Fe1 and Nδ1 atom of His147 are indicated in each structure.

a

b

c

Extended Data Figure 5 | The movement of helices in MMOHα upon MMOB binding. a,

Top and side-views of MMOHα helices (A, B, C, E, F and H) before (grey, PDB ID: 1FZ1)[38]

and after (purple, PDB ID: 4GAM)[15] MMOB association in the *M. capsulatus* (Bath) MMOH–

MMOB complex. MMOB binding causes the movement of helix H and the counter-clockwise

rotation of helices E and F in MMOHα. **b**, Geometry changes in the di-iron centre upon MMOB

association. MMOB binding induces the rotation of helices E and F, which contain iron

coordinating Glu209 (helix E) as well as Glu243 and His246 (helix F). Particularly, rotation of

helix F forces Glu243 to get close to the di-iron centre, thereby decreasing the distance between

Glu144 and Glu243 (oxygen–oxygen distances decrease from 5.7 Å and 4.8 Å to 3.0 ± 0.2 Å and

3.8 ± 0.2 Å). Consequently, two irons become tightly coordinated by Glu144 and Glu243,

causing changes in the reduction potential. **c**, The schematic representation of MMOHα helix

movement upon MMOB association. MMOB binding causes the rotations of MMOHα helices E

and F, which induce the rearrangement of the geometry of the di-iron centre.

Extended Data Figure 6 | Comparison of the substrate access channel in MMOH (PDB ID:

1MHY)[20], MMOH–MMOB (PDB ID: 4GAM)[15] and MMOH–MMOD. a, Cavities of the

substrate access channel in MMOH (grey), MMOH–MMOB (purple) and MMOH–MMOD

(yellow) are displayed as surface representation models. The gate forming residues (Leu110 and

Phe188) are shown as spheres of red colour. A product analogue, 1,6-hexanediol, is also shown

using stick representation (green). In MMOH, the substrate access channel to the di-iron centre is

disconnected by gate-forming residues. In both MMOH–MMOB and MMOH–MMOD, the gate

is open. **b**, Comparison of the substrate access channel in MMOH–MMOD and MMOH, as well

as MMOH–MMOD and MMOH–MMOB. In both cases, the substrate access channel in the

MMOH-MMOD complex is wider, probably owing to the overall structural relaxation of

MMOHα caused by MMOHβ-NT disorganisation. **c**, The substrate access channel in *M.*

capsulatus (Bath) MMOH soaked with various products or product analogues. Substrate access

channels in the presence of methanol (PDB ID: 1FZ6)[39], 6-bromohexanol (PDB ID: 1XVB)[26],

and 4-florophenol (PDB ID: 1XVD)[26] are displayed as surface representation models. In all

cases, gate closure is mediated by Leu110 and Phe188, and products (substrate analogues) are

trapped in either one or all of the three cavities. All channels are shown as surface models, which

were calculated using PyMOL.

Extended Data Figure 7 | Comparisons of internal cavities within the MMOH protomer of MMOH–MMOD, MMOH and MMOH–MMOB. The MMOH protomer is presented in cartoon form; α-subunit (blue), β-subunit (green) and γ-subunit (orange). The di-iron centre is shown as orange (MMOH–MMOD) and red spheres (MMOH and MMOH–MMOB). Internal cavities calculated using CAVER[40] are shown as chains of grey spheres. The CAVER analysis of MMOH protomers reveals that MMOD association produces more internal cavities when compared to MMOH alone and MMOH–MMOB. CAVER 3.0 (PyMOL plug-in) with the default setting was used to calculate internal cavities.