Intraspecific variation alters ecosystem and next-generation performance as

much as temperature

Allan Raffard[1,2*], Julien Cucherousset[3], Frédéric Santoul[2], Lucie Di Gesu[1] and Simon

Blanchet[1,3]

[1] CNRS, Université Toulouse III Paul Sabatier, Station d'Écologie Théorique et

Expérimentale du CNRS à Moulis, UMR-5321, F-09200 Moulis, France.

[2] EcoLab, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, INPT, UPS, Toulouse, France.

[3] Laboratoire Évolution et Diversité Biologique (EDB UMR 5174), Université de Toulouse,

CNRS, UPS, 118 route de Narbonne, Toulouse 31062, France.

*Corresponding author: allan.raffard@sete.cnrs.fr / allanraffard@outlook.com

Abstract

Phenotypic or genotypic variation within species affects ecological processes, from

populations to ecosystems. However, whether the ecological imprint of intraspecific

variation is substantial compared to key environmental drivers, and persistent enough

to carry over to next generations is still questioned. Here, we experimentally showed

that intraspecific variation manipulated in a freshwater fish (the European minnow,

Phoxinus phoxinus) led to ecological and transgenerational carry-over effects that

were as strong as those of varying temperature by 2°C. Specifically, variation in fish

body mass, growth rate and activity altered the size and abundance of prey, which

ultimately affected traits and survival of the next fish generation. Temperature

variation modulated other ecosystem functions (e.g. litter decomposition) that were

also associated to transgenerational carry-over effects. Our results demonstrate that

shifting genotypes or phenotypes in wild populations can have substantial and

persistent consequences on ecosystems with a similar intensity than climatic variation.

Keywords: biodiversity, climate change, trait-mediated effects, freshwater, ecosystem, community

Introduction

Investigating species trait variability provides a mechanistic framework to predict the links between biodiversity and ecosystem functions (Violle et al. 2007). While trait variation has primarily been quantified at the species level, intraspecific trait variation accounts for a high proportion of the total trait variability in communities (Zhao et al. 2019). Furthermore, intraspecific trait variation has been shown to alter community structure and ecosystem functioning (Rudolf and Rasmussen 2013, Hendry 2016), indicating that intraspecific variation is a significant driver and predictor of ecological dynamics (Des Roches et al. 2018, Raffard et al. 2019b).

Intraspecific trait-mediated ecological effects can sometimes be strong and persist long enough to affect the subsequent generations through 'inheritance' of the surrounding environment (Odling-Smee et al. 2013). Indeed, next generations can experience environmental conditions that have been modified by previous generations, subsequently affecting next-generations performance such as foraging efficiency, growth and survival (Matthews et al. 2016, Best et al. 2017). These "transgenerational carry-over effects" can – under some circumstances – affect patterns of selection and hence the evolutionary dynamics of species (i.e. eco-evolutionary feedbacks, Schoener 2011, Hendry 2016). While these ecological and transgenerational carry-over effects have been the focus of recent experimental and theoretical works (Matthews et al. 2016, Brunner et al. 2017), whether intraspecific variation really matters for the long-term dynamics of complex ecosystems is still questioned (Thompson 1998, Schoener 2011).

This issue can be addressed by comparing the intensity of the effects induced

by intraspecific variation to those induced by key environmental factors affecting both

ecological and evolutionary dynamics, such as temperature (Schoener 2011).

Intraspecific variation can affect ecological processes with an intensity similar to that

of environmental drivers (El-Sabaawi et al. 2015). However, whether the ecological

imprints of intraspecific variation are strong and persistent enough to affect

subsequent generations, and whether these transgenerational carry-over effects are

similar in strength to those of indisputably important environmental drivers is still

unknown. Addressing this question is an important step for determining the relative

contribution of intraspecific variation in predicting the responses of ecosystems to

global change.

Here, we compared the consequences of intraspecific variation on ecosystem

properties and on the performance of subsequent generations to those induced by

changes in temperature, and assessed which of a series of traits contribute the most to

these consequences. Temperature is a key abiotic factor directly affecting ecosystem

functions such as primary productivity (Yvon-Durocher et al. 2015), and imposing a

strong selective pressure on organism traits (Brown et al. 2004, Rey et al. 2016). We

ran a two-phase experiment (Matthews et al. 2011, 2016) (Figure 1) manipulating (i)

trait variation in a freshwater fish (European minnow, *Phoxinus phoxinus*) by

selecting individuals from populations having evolved distinct genotypes and

phenotypes (Figure S1 and S2, see also Raffard et al. 2019a) and (ii) water

temperature by setting mesocosms to differ by 2°C throughout the experiment (Figure

S3). An increase in temperature of 2°C represents the general warming expectations

for freshwaters over the next 40 years (IPCC 2014). During the first experimental

phase (*ecological effects*), we compared the strength of the effects of trait variation

among adult minnows to the strength of the effects of temperature variation on prey

community structure and ecosystem functioning (Figure 1). Adults were then

removed from the mesocosms and replaced by juveniles with a common origin for the

second experimental phase (*transgenerational carry-over effects*). We tested how the

ecological variations induced during the first phase (due to intraspecific and/or

temperature variation) affected the performance of juveniles.

Material and Methods

Study species

European minnow (*Phoxinus phoxinus*) was used as the model species. *P. phoxinus* is

a small-bodied (maximum length: ~80 mm, mean generation time: ~2 years) cyprinid

fish species widely distributed in Western Europe. *P. phoxinus* lives in relatively cold

lentic and lotic waters. It is a generalist species that feeds on small invertebrates,

algae and zooplankton.

In September 2016, we collected adult minnows by electrofishing in six rivers

in southwestern France (Figure S1). We selected populations that were isolated

geographically and had distinct environments (Figure S4) to favor both genetic and

phenotypic divergences among populations. Accordingly, the mean genetic

divergence among populations was $F_{st} = 0.162$ (measured using 17 microsatellites,

min-max = 0.043-0.313). The sampled populations also varied for important

functional traits, such as body mass and metabolic rate (Figure S2). Furthermore, we

have previously shown that minnow populations in this river system were

characterised by unique "functional syndromes" (set of trait variation and covariation)

that resulted from a combination of random drift and adaptation to environmental

factors (Raffard et al. 2019a). All fish collections and husbandry for adults and

juveniles were conducted in accordance with sampling permits obtained from local

authorities (25-08-2016, 24-05-2016, 09-273, SA-013-PB-092, A09-3). Fish from

different populations were reared at a similar density and separately for ~6 months in

1100 L outdoor tanks to minimize prior environmental effects on phenotypes. During

rearing, the fish were fed ad-libitum with a mixture of pelletized food and dead

chironomids.

Phase 1: effects of intraspecific variation and temperature on ecological processes

The experiment consisted of 72 replicated mesocosms placed in a greenhouse with a

12:12 h light-dark photoperiod. Mesocosms were filled with 100 L of tap water and 1

cm of gravel covering the bottom of each tank. Tanks were covered with a 1 cm

plastic mesh net to prevent fish escapes. Nutrients were added to the mesocosms

using 5 mL of solution containing nitrogen and phosphorus (ratio N: P: K = 3.3: 1.1:

5.8) on December 2nd 2016. Each mesocosm was then inoculated with 200 mL of a

concentrated solution of phytoplankton from a unique lake origin (Lake Lamartine,

France 43°30'21.5"N, 1°20'32.7"E) on December 12th 2016. Two months later

(February 15th 2017), an additional 200 mL of concentrated solution of zooplankton

from the same lake was added to each mesocosm. Finally, we inoculated each

mesocosm with sediment and macroinvertebrates (i.e., mainly Gastropoda and

Bivalvia) from Lake Lamartine.

119   Each tank was assigned to one of twelve treatments (each replicated six times)

according to a full-factorial design with intraspecific variation (i.e., population origin,

six levels corresponding to each population) and temperature (two levels: low and

high temperature) as the main factors (Figure 1). Water temperature was controlled

and adjusted using a *Blue Marine*® water chiller and a stainless steel coil placed in

each tank through which a flux of water (independent from the water of the tanks)

flowed at either 18°C or 21°C. On average, the low and high water temperature

treatments differed by 2.08°C according to natural seasonal variations (Figure S3).

In March 2017, adult fish were weighed to the nearest 0.01 g and a single fish

was introduced to each mesocosm. This individual-based approach prevented the

experimental ecosystems from collapsing due to the over-density of top consumers

and allowed the ecological effects of individual trait variation to be measured. After

73 days (Figure 1), each fish was removed and phenotyped. We measured six traits on

each individual including body mass, growth rate, metabolic rate, activity, aspect ratio

and gut length (see supplementary method for details). Concomitantly, we measured

multiple community and ecosystem parameters to evaluate differences in ecological

processes among treatments: Pelagic and benthic algae stocks, filamentous algae,

decomposition rate, abiotic parameters, Copepoda and Cladocera abundances and

size, and macroinvertebrates (Bivalvia and Gastropoda) abundance (see

supplementary method for details).

Phase 2: transgenerational effects of ecological differences on juvenile performance

After the removal of adult fish on June 13th 2017, 45 juvenile minnows were

introduced to each mesocosm. We used juveniles from a single origin (i.e., fish farm,

Amorvif EURL) to control for potential genetic effects. Juveniles were introduced as

soon as possible after hatching to increase the possibility of differential mortality

and/or ontogenetic plasticity. Therefore, juveniles were introduced when they were

only two weeks old as stage III larvae (Figure S5). They were not manipulated (i.e.,

weighted and/or measured) before being randomly introduced in the mesocosms to

limit potential mortality. The juveniles were removed from the mesocosms 79 days

later, and we measured several proxies for their fitness (i.e. performance). Individuals

were counted to assess survival, weighed to the nearest 0.001 g to assess growth rate

(assuming all juveniles had the same initial body mass, we used the final body mass

of juveniles as a measure of growth rate), and measured in length to the nearest 0.1

mm (using ImageJ) to assess the body condition, which was calculated as the

residuals of the relationship between individual body mass and length.

Statistical analyses

Two adult individuals died before the end of phase 1, so we discarded these two

replicates from the analyses. Moreover, we identified six tanks in which crayfish had

been inadvertently introduced; we discarded these six replicates because crayfish are

known to have disproportionally strong impacts on ecosystems (Alp et al. 2016). As

such, the final analyses were run on 64 replicates.

First, we compared the magnitude of the effects of intraspecific variation and

temperature increase on ecological (phase 1) and evolutionary (phase 2) dynamics. To

do so, we used a meta-analytic approach consisting of first running linear models

linking each ecological or evolutionary parameter (dependent variables) to the

explicative variables, i.e., intraspecific variation (categorical factor, six levels),

temperature (categorical factor, two levels) and the resulting two-term interaction.

The interaction term was removed when not significant because it prevents the

interpretation of simple terms (Nakagawa and Cuthill 2007). From these linear

models, we calculated the standardized effect sizes eta squared (Levine and Hullett

2002) (η^2) as follows: $\eta^2 = SS_x/SS_{tot}$, where SS_x is the sum of squares for the effect of

interest (intraspecific variation, temperature or the interaction term, if significant) and

SS_{tot} is the total sum of squares. Sums of squares were extracted from type II analysis

of variance when the interaction was not in the model and from type III analysis of

variance when the interaction was significant (Langsrud 2003). Finally, the mean

effect size (MES) values of intraspecific variation and temperature across the

ecological or evolutionary parameters were compared using t-test.

Next, we assessed which individual trait contributed the most to ecological

differentiations and subsequently to carry-over effects to the next generation using a

causal analysis. Since we aimed at identifying the mechanisms by which the

mesocosms diverged, we included the six traits measured on adult fish from phase 1

because these traits can drive ecological processes (Hildrew et al. 2007). We used

path analyses (Grace 2006) to set a full model based on biologically rational paths and

the visual inspection of the variance-covariance matrix, and all variables were scaled

to the mean to facilitate the comparison. We first ran a model linking individual traits

and temperature to ecological parameters. A full model was constructed and

simplified by removing sequentially weak and/or nonsignificant paths until reaching a

model that was correct statistically (i.e., a model that best fit the observed covariance

matrix based on the maximum likelihood χ^2 statistic (Grace 2006), while leading to

the lowest Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) value. We finally extracted the absolute

values of path coefficients from the final model to tease apart the direct and indirect

effects of individual traits and temperature on the ecological parameters. We then ran

a second path-analysis linking ecological parameters to performance parameters of

juveniles, while fixing the links found in the first model (i.e. traits to ecological

parameters) so as not to over-fit the model. Statistical analyses were performed using

R software (R Core Team 2013), and path analyses were run using Amos (Arbuckle

2014).

Results

In the first phase (Figure 1), we found that the effects of intraspecific variation in adult minnows on ecosystem properties (measured over all ecological parameters) had a similar intensity than those induced by temperature increase (mean effect size (MES) ± standard error = 0.103 ± 0.018 and MES ± SE = 0.078 ± 0.036 for intraspecific variation and temperature, respectively; $t = 0.624$, d.f = 18, $p = 0.540$, Figure 2). Nonetheless, the effects differed between ecological parameters (Figure 2b, Figure S6). For instance, intraspecific variation had the strongest effect on abundance and size of the fish prey community (Cladocera, Copepoda and Gastropoda), whereas increasing temperature had a particularly strong effect on decomposition rate (Figure 2b, see also Figure S7, S8 and Table S1 for details). The interaction term between temperature and intraspecific variation was significant only for benthic algae stock ($F = 10.831$, d.f = 5,52, $p = 0.022$), indicating that the ecological effects of intraspecific variation were temperature-independent for most ecological parameters.

We found that intraspecific variation in fish body mass and activity were the most influential functional traits and that variation in each of these two traits had similar –although weaker- ecological effects than temperature variation (Figure 3a). We further found that trait variation acted mainly directly on ecological parameters, and minor indirect effects were detected (Figure 3a). For instance, adult body mass positively affected the abundance of Copepoda directly, subsequently leading to an indirect effect on the abundance of Cladocera (Table S2). The ecological effects of temperature were both direct and indirect (Figure 3a). For instance, temperature directly increased Bivalvia abundance, which positively affected the abundance of Copepoda and the size of Cladocera, hence representing an indirect effect of temperature on the zooplankton community (Table S2). The covariance structure of

the simplified path model did not differ from that of the data ($\chi^2 = 87.064$, d.f = 114, p

= 0.971), indicating that the data were well supported by the model.

In the second phase (Figure 1), we found that the strength of the effect sizes of

intraspecific variation and temperature on the performance of juveniles (survival,

growth rate and body condition) were similar (MES $\pm$ SE = 0.044 $\pm$ 0.004 and MES

$\pm$ SE = 0.032 $\pm$ 0.016 for intraspecific variation and temperature, respectively, $t =$

0.665, d.f = 4, $p = 0.542$, Figure 2). The average effect sizes of intraspecific and

temperature variations on the performance of juveniles were half the intensity of those

on ecological parameters (Figure 2), indicating that transgenerational carry-over

effects induced by the initial treatments were weaker in average than ecological

effects observed in the first phase. Nonetheless, we found evidence for

transgenerational carry-over effects of intraspecific variation since juvenile survival,

growth rate and body condition were influenced by ecological parameters (e.g.

benthic algae stock, Copepoda and Gastropoda abundance) that were themselves

controlled by intraspecific variation (Figure 3b, Table S3). Amongst the adult traits,

activity, growth rate and body mass contributed the most to the carry-over effects on

juvenile performances (Figure 3c). For instance, active individuals decreased the

abundance of Gastropoda, which subsequently affected juvenile survival and growth

rate (Tables S2 and S3). Temperature increase mainly impacted -negatively- juvenile

survival (i.e., survival increased as temperature decreased, Table S3). Also, juvenile

survival was related to juvenile growth rate (density-dependent growth rate), leading

to an indirect effect of temperature on juvenile growth rate (Table S3). Finally,

juvenile body condition covaried with both juvenile survival and growth rate, and was

affected by multiple ecological parameters (Table S3) which were directly affected by

trait variation and temperature (Table S2). The covariance structure of the simplified

path model for the phase 2 did not differ from that of the data ($\chi^2 = 148.875$, d.f =

196, p = 0.995), indicating that the data were well supported by the model.

Discussion

We found that the ecological consequences of intraspecific and temperature variations

were similar in strength but acted independently on different ecological parameters.

Adult minnows from distinct populations modulated both the abundance and the size

of their prey (zooplankton and Gastropoda), and these effects were predicted by

variation among individuals in functional traits. In contrast, temperature variation

strongly accelerated leaf litter decomposition, probably because warmer temperatures

stimulate bacterial activity. These ecological imprints led to transgenerational carry-

over effects on the performance of the next generation, which were also similar in

strength between intraspecific variation and temperature. For instance, the survival of

juveniles was higher in the low-temperature than in the high-temperature treatment,

whereas the growth rate of juveniles differed depending on the trait characteristics of

adult minnows introduced at the onset of the experiment. Overall, our results

demonstrate that intraspecific variation can substantially affect ecosystem properties,

which fosters ecological legacy to the next generations as much as temperature does.

Using a fine phenotypic screening of individual functional traits, we identified

the traits contributing the most to the ecological effects of intraspecific variation. We

revealed that multiple traits mediated the top-down control of minnows on prey

community structure, ecosystem processes and subsequent transgenerational carry-

over effects. Some of these traits were different among populations (e.g. body mass,

metabolic rate), and both genetic (adaptive or not) and environmental factors

underlined these trait differences among these populations (Raffard et al. 2019a).

Body mass and metabolic rate are functional traits affecting the energetic needs and

assimilation of individuals (Brown et al. 2004, Hildrew et al. 2007), leading to trophic

differences among individuals. Our results demonstrate that population differences on

these traits can strongly contribute to subsequent ecosystem differentiations. We

further identified traits, such as activity, that mainly varied within populations but that

also contributed to changes in ecosystem functioning. Behavioural variation in

populations allows individuals to increase their performance through niche

partitioning, ultimately affecting communities and ecosystems (e.g. Gastropoda

abundance, Wolf and Weissing 2012). This confirms that individuals are not

functionally equal neither among nor within populations (Wolf and Weissing 2012,

Royauté and Pruitt 2015), and that –as recently shown in another fish species (Schmid

et al. 2019)- it is possible to use these trait values to predict changes in important

ecological properties.

Furthermore, we provide novel insights by demonstrating that these traits can

also generate substantial transgenerational carry-over effects. The carry-over effects

that we detected on subsequent generations are important as they suggest that the

ecological effects of intraspecific variation in minnow are strong and long-lasting

enough to modulate the whole dynamics of ecosystems. These effects correspond to

indirect effects of trait variation among adult minnows on the performance of

juveniles, which were mediated by the direct consequences of adult minnows on the

ecological theatre. Multiple ecological parameters affected the performance of

juveniles, such as the resource of juveniles (e.g. size of Cladocera) or the abiotic

composition of their environment (e.g. pH or oxygen). Unsurprisingly, adult traits that

have the highest ecological effects foster the highest transgenerational carry-over

effects on juvenile performance, meaning that variation in these traits can be used to

predict both direct ecological effects and indirect transgenerational effects of

intraspecific variation. Currently, very few studies have demonstrated the existence of

transgenerational carry-over effects, and most of them have focused on model

organisms (e.g. Turcotte et al. 2013, Matthews et al. 2016). Our study extends the

taxonomic scope of transgenerational effects, and suggests that this process does not

concern only species with strong evolutionary divergences (Matthews et al. 2011).

Interestingly, intraspecific and temperature variations acted additively but not

interactively on ecosystem properties and on the performance of next generation.

Indeed, we identified only one significant interaction term between intraspecific

variation and temperature on benthic algae stock. This finding confirms that the

ecological consequences of intraspecific variation are often independent from the

abiotic context (El-Sabaawi et al. 2015), which also seems to be the case for the

transgenerational carry-over effects induced by intraspecific variation. This

independence is surprising, since local adaptation for specific fitness traits and/or for

reaction norms often leads to strong context dependency in the responses of

organisms to local abiotic conditions (Kawecki and Ebert 2004), and we may have

observed cascading interactive effects of intraspecific variation on ecological and

evolutionary dynamics (Rosenblatt and Schmitz 2016). This finding is important

because the absence of strong interactive effects reduces biological complexities and

may therefore improve our ability to forecast the ecological and evolutionary

consequences of environmental and biodiversity changes (Beckage et al. 2011).

In conclusion, we demonstrated that the magnitude of the ecological and

transgenerational carry-over effects of intraspecific variation were as strong as the

effects of temperature variation. This finding strongly supports the growing view that

intraspecific variation and resulting transgenerational carry-over effects are not

random noise, but are rather biologically substantial. Current environmental changes

323 are rapid and can directly affect ecosystem functioning (Yvon-Durocher et al. 2015).

324 These changes can also directly modulate the distribution of intraspecific trait

325 variation in landscapes and thereby indirectly affect the dynamics of biological

326 systems (Matthews et al. 2016, Brunner et al. 2017). Importantly, we demonstrate that

327 some specific traits that are often targeted by humans (body size and activity, Biro

328 and Post 2008) can be used to forecast ecosystem modifications under current

329 environmental changes. To sum up, our results reinforce recent reports that changes

330 and shifts in intraspecific variation of wild populations could be as harmful as

331 considerable environmental changes (e.g., warming) to biological dynamics, and that

332 this facet of biodiversity should therefore be conserved adequately (Mimura et al.

333 2016).

334 **Acknowledgements**

335 We warmly thank Jose M. Montoya, Jean Clobert, Michel Loreau, Delphine Legrand

336 and Jérôme G. Prunier for their valuable comments. We thank Lucas Mignien, Kéoni

337 Saint-Pe and Yoann Buoro for their help during the experimental work. AR was

338 financially supported by a doctoral scholarship from the Université Fédérale de

339 Toulouse. This work was undertaken at SETE and EDB, which are part of the

340 "Laboratoire d'Excellence" (LABEX) entitled TULIP (ANR-10-LABX-41).

341 **Authors' contributions:** A.R and S.B conceived the study. A.R and L.DG carried out

342 the experiment with contributions from S.B and J.C. A.R performed the statistical

343 analyses. A.R, S.B, J.C and F.S interpreted and discussed the results. A.R, S.B and

344 J.C wrote the article, and all authors made corrections.

346 **References**

347 Alp, M., J. Cucherousset, M. Buoro, and A. Lecerf. 2016. Phenological response of a

348  key ecosystem function to biological invasion. Ecology Letters 19:519–527.

349 Arbuckle, J. L. 2014. Amos (Version 23.0) [Computer Program]. Chicago: IBM

350  SPSS.

351 Beckage, B., L. J. Gross, and S. Kauffman. 2011. The limits to prediction in

352  ecological systems. Ecosphere 2:125.

353 Best, R. J., J. M. Anaya-Rojas, M. C. Leal, D. W. Schmid, O. Seehausen, and B.

354  Matthews. 2017. Transgenerational selection driven by divergent ecological

355  impacts of hybridizing lineages. Nature Ecology & Evolution 1:1757–1765.

356 Biro, P. A., and J. R. Post. 2008. Rapid depletion of genotypes with fast growth and

357  bold personality traits from harvested fish populations. Proceedings of the National

358  Academy of Sciences 105:2919–2922.

359 Brown, J. H., J. F. Gillooly, A. P. Allen, V. M. Savage, and G. B. West. 2004.

360  Toward a metabolic theory of ecology. Ecology 85:1771–1789.

361 Brunner, F. S., J. M. Anaya-Rojas, B. Matthews, and C. Eizaguirre. 2017.

362  Experimental evidence that parasites drive eco-evolutionary feedbacks.

363  Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 114:3678–3683.

364 Des Roches, S., D. M. Post, N. E. Turley, J. K. Bailey, A. P. Hendry, M. T. Kinnison,

365  J. A. Schweitzer, and E. P. Palkovacs. 2018. The ecological importance of

366  intraspecific variation. Nature Ecology & Evolution 2:57–64.

367 El-Sabaawi, R. W., R. D. Bassar, C. Rakowski, M. C. Marshall, B. L. Bryan, S. N.

368  Thomas, C. Pringle, D. N. Reznick, and A. S. Flecker. 2015. Intraspecific

369  phenotypic differences in fish affect ecosystem processes as much as bottom-up

370  factors. Oikos 124:1181–1191.

Grace, J. B. 2006. Structural equation modeling and natural systems. Cambridge

University Press. Cambridge, UK.

Hendry, A. P. 2016. Eco-evolutionary dynamics. Princeton University Press.

Hildrew, A. G., D. Raffaelli, and R. Edmonds-Browns. 2007. Body size: the structure

and function of aquatic ecosystems. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

IPCC. 2014. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working

Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel

on Climate Change (eds Core Writing Team, Pachauri, R.K., Meyer, L.A.). IPCC,

Geneva, Switzerland.

Kawecki, T. J., and D. Ebert. 2004. Conceptual issues in local adaptation. Ecology

Letters 7:1225–1241.

Langsrud, O. 2003. ANOVA for unbalanced data: Use Type II instead of Type III

sums of squares. Statistics and Computing:163–167.

Levine, T. R., and C. R. Hullett. 2002. Eta squared, partial eta squared, and

misreporting of effect size in communication research. Human Communication

Research 28:612–625.

Matthews, B., T. Aebischer, K. E. Sullam, B. Lundsgaard-Hansen, and O. Seehausen.

2016. Experimental evidence of an eco-evolutionary feedback during adaptive

divergence. Current Biology 26:483–489.

Matthews, B., A. Narwani, S. Hausch, E. Nonaka, H. Peter, M. Yamamichi, K. E.

Sullam, K. C. Bird, M. K. Thomas, T. C. Hanley, and C. B. Turner. 2011. Toward

an integration of evolutionary biology and ecosystem science. Ecology Letters

14:690–701.

Mimura, M., T. Yahara, D. P. Faith, E. Vázquez-Domínguez, R. I. Colautti, H. Araki,

F. Javadi, J. Núñez-Farfán, A. S. Mori, S. Zhou, P. M. Hollingsworth, L. E.

Neaves, Y. Fukano, G. F. Smith, Y.-I. Sato, H. Tachida, and A. P. Hendry. 2016.

Understanding and monitoring the consequences of human impacts on intraspecific

variation. Evolutionary Applications 10:121–139.

Nakagawa, S., and I. C. Cuthill. 2007. Effect size, confidence interval and statistical

significance: a practical guide for biologists. Biological Reviews 82:591–605.

Odling-Smee, J., D. H. Erwin, E. P. Palkovacs, M. W. Feldman, and K. N. Laland.

2013. Niche construction theory: a practical guide for ecologists. The Quarterly

Review of Biology 88:3–28.

R Core Team. 2013. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna,

Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Raffard, A., J. Cucherousset, J. G. Prunier, G. Loot, F. Santoul, and S. Blanchet.

2019a. Variability of functional traits and their syndromes in a freshwater fish

species (*Phoxinus phoxinus*): The role of adaptive and nonadaptive processes.

Ecology and Evolution 9:2833–2846.

Raffard, A., F. Santoul, J. Cucherousset, and S. Blanchet. 2019b. The community and

ecosystem consequences of intraspecific diversity: a meta-analysis. Biological

Reviews 94:648–661.

Rey, O., E. Danchin, M. Mirouze, C. Loot, and S. Blanchet. 2016. Adaptation to

global change: a transposable element–epigenetics perspective. Trends in Ecology

& Evolution 31:514–526.

Rosenblatt, A. E., and O. J. Schmitz. 2016. Climate change, nutrition, and bottom-up

and top-down food web processes. Trends in Ecology & Evolution.

Royauté, R., and J. N. Pruitt. 2015. Varying predator personalities generates

contrasting prey communities in an agroecosystem. Ecology 96:2902–2911.

Rudolf, V. H. W., and N. L. Rasmussen. 2013. Ontogenetic functional diversity: Size

structure of a keystone predator drives functioning of a complex ecosystem.

Ecology 94:1046–1056.

Schmid, D. W., M. D. McGee, R. J. Best, O. Seehausen, and B. Matthews. 2019.

Rapid divergence of predator functional traits affects prey composition in aquatic

communities. The American Naturalist 193:331–345.

Schoener, T. W. 2011. The newest synthesis: understanding the interplay of

evolutionary and ecological dynamics. Science 331:426–429.

Thompson, J. N. 1998. Rapid evolution as an ecological process. Trends in Ecology

& Evolution 13:329–332.

Turcotte, M. M., D. N. Reznick, and J. Daniel Hare. 2013. Experimental test of an

eco-evolutionary dynamic feedback loop between evolution and population density

in the green peach aphid. The American Naturalist 181:S46–S57.

Violle, C., M.-L. Navas, D. Vile, E. Kazakou, C. Fortunel, I. Hummel, and E.

Garnier. 2007. Let the concept of trait be functional! Oikos 116:882–892.

Wolf, M., and F. J. Weissing. 2012. Animal personalities: consequences for ecology

and evolution. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 27:452–461.

Yvon-Durocher, G., A. P. Allen, M. Cellamare, M. Dossena, K. J. Gaston, M. Leitao,

J. M. Montoya, D. C. Reuman, G. Woodward, and M. Trimmer. 2015. Five years

of experimental warming increases the biodiversity and productivity of

phytoplankton. PLoS Biol 13:e1002324.

Zhao, T., S. Villéger, and J. Cucherousset. 2019. Accounting for intraspecific

diversity when examining relationships between non-native species and functional

diversity. Oecologia 189:171–183.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Experimental design used to test the ecological (phase 1) and

transgenerational carry-over (phase 2) effects of intraspecific variation among adult

minnows (*Phoxinus phoxinus*) and temperature variation.

Figure 2. (a) Eta squared (η^2) effect size of the intraspecific variation among adults

and temperature on ecological (green) and performance (blue) parameters. Error bars

represent $\pm$ 1 SE. (b) Delta of effect sizes (η^2) of intraspecific variation and

temperature on ecological and performance parameters. Positive values indicate a

higher effect of intraspecific variation, and negative values indicate a higher effect of

temperature.

Figure 3. Cumulated absolute β path coefficients extracted from the simplified path-

analysis described the direct and indirect relationships between intraspecific trait

variation among adult minnows, temperature, ecological parameters (a) and

performance parameters (b) and (c). The effects of intraspecific trait variation on

performance of juveniles can only be indirect because the adult fish were removed

before phase 2 of the experiment. The horizontal bar in (c) represents the indirect

effects of temperature on performance parameters. The abbreviation 'SGR' stands for

specific growth rate of adult minnows, and "#" for organism abundance.

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

Ecological effects

Transgenerational carry-over effects

472   **Figure 3.**