The maternal hormone in the male brain: sexually dimorphic distribution of prolactin signalling in
the mouse brain

Sexual dimorphism in brain prolactin signalling

Authors: Hugo Salais-López[1], Carmen Agustín-Pavón[1,2], Enrique Lanuza[2], Fernando Martínez-García[1*]

1. Unitat Predepartamental de Medicina, Facultat de Ciències de la Salut, Universitat Jaume I,
Castelló de la Plana, Spain
2. Departament de Biologia Cel·lular i de Biologia Funcional, Facultat de Ciències Biològiques,
Universitat de València, València, Spain

*Corresponding authors

E-mail: femartin@uji.es (FM-G)

ABSTRACT

Research of the central actions of prolactin is virtually restricted to females, but this hormone has

also documented roles in male physiology and behaviour. Here, we provide the first description of

the pattern of prolactin-derived signalling in the male mouse brain, employing the immunostaining

of phosphorylated signal transducer and activator of transcription 5 (pSTAT5) after exogenous

prolactin administration. Next, we explore possible sexually dimorphic differences by comparing

pSTAT5 immunoreactivity in prolactin-supplemented males and females. We also assess the role of

testosterone in the regulation of central prolactin signalling in males by comparing intact with

castrated prolactin-supplemented males.

Prolactin-supplemented males displayed a widespread pattern of pSTAT5 immunoreactivity,

restricted to brain centres showing expression of the prolactin receptor. Immunoreactivity for

pSTAT5 was present in several nuclei of the preoptic, anterior and tuberal hypothalamus, as well as

in the septofimbrial nucleus or posterodorsal medial amygdala of the telencephalon. Conversely,

non-supplemented control males were virtually devoid of pSTAT5-immunoreactivity, suggesting that

central prolactin actions in males are limited to situations concurrent with substantial hypophyseal

prolactin release (e.g. stress or mating). Furthermore, comparison of prolactin-supplemented males

and females revealed a significant, female-biased sexual dimorphism, supporting the view that

prolactin has a preeminent role in female physiology and behaviour. Finally, in males, castration

significantly reduced pSTAT5 immunoreactivity in some structures, including the paraventricular and

ventromedial hypothalamic nuclei and the septofimbrial region, thus indicating a region-specific

regulatory   role   of   testosterone   over   central   prolactin   signalling.

ABBREVIATIONS

3V   third ventricle

AAD   anterior amygdaloid area, dorsal part

AC/ADP anterior commissural nucleus/anterodorsal preoptic nucleus region

ac   anterior commissure

Acb   nucleus accumbens

ACo   anterior cortical amygdaloid nucleus

AHi   amygdalohippocampal area

AHP   anterior hypothalamic area, posterior part

Aq   aqueduct (Sylvius)

Arc   arcuate hypothalamic nucleus

AVP   arginine-vasopressin

AVPe   anteroventral periventricular nucleus

Bar   Barrington´s nucleus

BLA   basolateral amygdaloid nucleus, anterior part

BLV   basolateral amygdaloid nucleus, ventral part

BMP   basomedial amygdaloid nucleus, posterior part

BSTIA   bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, intraamygdaloid division

BSTLD   bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, lateral division, dorsal part

BSTLP   bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, lateral division, posterior part

BSTLV   bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, lateral division, ventral part

BSTMA   bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, medial division, anterior part

BSTMV   bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, medial division, ventral part

BSTMPI bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, medial division, posterointermediate part

BSTMPL bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, medial division, posterolateral part

BSTMPM bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, medial division, posteromedial part

cc   corpus callosum

Ce   central amygdala

CeC   central amygdaloid nucleus, capsular part

CeL   central amygdaloid nucleus, lateral division

CeM   central amygdaloid nucleus, medial division

CPu   caudate putamen (striatum)

CRF   corticotropin-releasing factor

CxA   cortex-amygdala transition zone

D3V   dorsal third ventricle

DEn   dorsal endopiriform nucleus

Dk   nucleus of Darkschewitsch

DLG   dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus

DLPAG   dorsolateral periaqueductal grey

DM   dorsomedial hypothalamic nucleus

DMPAG   dorsomedial periaqueductal grey

DMTg   dorsomedial tegmental area

DpG   deep grey layer of the superior colliculus

DpMe   deep mesencephalic nucleus

DpWh   deep white layer of the superior colliculus

DR   dorsal raphe nucleus

DTg   dorsal tegmental nucleus

f   fornix

fi   fimbria of the hippocampus

HDB   nucleus of the horizontal limb of the diagonal band

I   intercalated nuclei of the amygdala

85	ic	internal capsule
86	IF	interfascicular nucleus
87	InC	interstitial nucleus of Cajal
88	InG	intermediate grey layer of the superior colliculus
89	InWh	intermediate white layer of the superior colliculus
90	IPAC	interstitial nucleus of the posterior limb of the anterior commissure
91	La	lateral amygdaloid nucleus
92	LA	lateroanterior hypothalamic nucleus
93	LC	locus coeruleus
94	LDTg	laterodorsal tegmental nucleus
95	LGP	lateral globus pallidus
96	LH	lateral hypothalamic area
97	LHb	lateral habenular nucleus
98	lo	lateral olfactory tract
99	LOT	nucleus of the lateral olfactory tract
100	LPAG	lateral periaqueductal grey
101	LPBC	lateral parabrachial nucleus, central part
102	LPBD	lateral parabrachial nucleus, dorsal part
103	LPBE	lateral parabrachial nucleus, external part
104	LPBI	lateral parabrachial nucleus, internal part
105	LPBV	lateral parabrachial nucleus, ventral part
106	LSD	lateral septal nucleus, dorsal part
107	LSI	lateral septal nucleus, intermediate part
108	LSV	lateral septal nucleus, ventral part
109	LV	lateral ventricle
110	MCLH	magnocellular nucleus of the lateral hypothalamus
111	mcp	middle cerebellar peduncle
112	MCPO	magnocellular preoptic nucleus
113	ME	median eminence

114	MeA	medial amygdaloid nucleus, anterior part
115	MePD	medial amygdaloid nucleus, posterodorsal part
116	MePV	medial amygdaloid nucleus, posteroventral part
117	MGD	medial geniculate nucleus, dorsal part
118	MGM	medial geniculate nucleus, medial part
119	MGP	medial globus pallidus
120	MGV	medial geniculate nucleus, ventral part
121	MHb	medial habenular nucleus
122	ml	medial lemniscus
123	mlf	medial longitudinal fasciculus
124	MnPO	median preoptic nucleus
125	MnR	median raphe nucleus
126	MPA	medial preoptic area
127	MPB	medial parabrachial nucleus
128	MPBE	medial parabrachial nucleus, external part
129	MPO	medial preoptic nucleus
130	MS	medial septal nucleus
131	mt	mammilothalamic tract
132	MTu	medial tuberal nucleus
133	MZMGV	marginal zone of the medial geniculate
134	opt	optic tract
135	OT	oxytocin
136	ox	optic chiasm
137	Pa	paraventricular nucleus
138	PaLM	paraventricular nucleus, lateral magnocellular part
139	PaMM	paraventricular nucleus, medial magnocellular part
140	PaPO	paraventricular nucleus, posterior part
141	PaV	paraventricular nucleus, ventral part
142	PAG	periaqueductal greyPe periventricular hypothalamic nucleus

143	PeF	perifornical nucleus
144	PH	posterior hypothalamic area
145	PIL	posterior intralaminar thalamic nucleus
146	Pir	piriform cortex
147	PLCo	posterolateral cortical amygdaloid nucleus
148	PnC	pontine reticular nucleus, caudal part
149	PnO	pontine reticular nucleus, oral part
150	PnR	pontine raphe nucleus
151	PnV	pontine reticular nucleus, ventral part
152	PoT	posterior thalamic nuclear group, triangular part
153	PP	peripeduncular nucleus
154	PRL	prolactin
155	PSTh	parasubthalamic nucleus
156	PV	paraventricular thalamic nucleus
157	PVA	paraventricular thalamic nucleus, anterior part
158	RCh	retrochiasmatic area
159	RMg	raphe magnus nucleus
160	s5	sensory root of the trigeminal nerve
161	SCh	suprachiasmatic nucleus
162	SFi	septofimbrial nucleus
163	SFO	subfornical organ
164	SG	suprageniculate thalamic nucleus
165	SI	substantia innominata
166	SLEA	sublenticular extended amygdala
167	sm	stria medullaris of the thalamus
168	SNC	substantia nigra, pars compacta
169	SNR	substantia nigra, reticular part
170	SO	supraoptic nucleus
171	SPa	subparaventricular zone of the hypothalamus

172	st	stria terminalis
173	STh	subthalamic nucleus
174	SubCD	subcoeruleus nucleus, dorsal part
175	SubCV	subcoeruleus nucleus, ventral part
176	SuM	supramammillary nucleus
177	Te	terete hypothalamic nucleus
178	Tu	olfactory tubercle
179	VEn	ventral endopiriform nucleus
180	VLG	ventral lateral geniculate nucleus
181	VLGMC	ventral lateral geniculate nucleus, magnocellular part
182	VLGPC	ventral lateral geniculate nucleus, parvicellular part
183	VLPAG	ventrolateral periaqueductal grey
184	VLPO	ventrolateral preoptic nucleus
185	VMH	ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus
186	VMHc	ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus, central part
187	VMHdm	ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus, dorsomedial part
188	VMHvl	ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus, ventrolateral part
189	VMPO	ventromedial preoptic nucleus
190	VOLT	vascular organ of the lamina terminalis
191	VP	ventral pallidum
192	VRe	ventral reuniens thalamic nucleus
193	vsc	ventral spinocerebellar tract
194	VTA	ventral tegmental area
195	ZI	zona incerta
196		

INTRODUCTION

Prolactin (PRL) is a polypeptide hormone produced at the adenohypophysis, best-known for its role

in the development of the mammary gland and milk production (1). To date, PRL has been attributed

more than 300 different biological actions (2) in reproduction, homeostasis, angiogenesis or

immunity, among others (3). To fulfil this array of functions, PRL has different target tissues,

including the mammary gland, the uterus and other peripheral organs (3) and, importantly, the brain

(4,5). In the brain, PRL is mainly involved in the regulation of a number of behaviours and

physiological processes related to female reproduction and lactation (6) . Indeed, PRL has been

described as the "maternal hormone", a pleiotropic hormone responsible for adapting every

important aspect of female physiology and behaviour to the demands of motherhood (7).

The key role of prolactin in maternal physiology has traditionally biased neuroendocrinological

studies towards its functions in the brain of females, whereas the actions of this hormone in the

male brain have drawn less attention. For instance, the distribution of the PRL receptor (PRLR) has

been reported for the female (8,9) but not the male rodent brain. One of the main reasons for this

bias relates to the fact that male rodent models display low levels of circulating PRL under standard

conditions (10), reflecting a limited access and functionality of PRL in the brain. Still, several studies

do report acute rises in systemic PRL secretion in males associated to certain physiological

conditions, such as the stress response (11) or sexual behaviour (12).

Regarding the latter, PRL is known to be involved in the control of male copulatory behaviour in rats

(13), mice (14), other rodent models (15) and humans (16). Thus, PRL is released acutely with

ejaculation (12) and it is proposed to intervene in the satiation following copulation(17) leading to

the refractory period. In fact, chronic hyperprolactinaemia suppresses copulatory behaviour in

animal models(18). In men, hyperprolactinaemia associated to several clinical conditions (19)

(including antipsychotic treatments (20)), yields sexual dysfunction and other side-effects (21). The

inhibitory role of PRL on sexual function is proposed to have an important central component

(22,23), but the exact regions and mechanisms through which PRL operates in the male brain are still

poorly understood.

In this light, the main aim of this work is to provide a comprehensive description of the distribution

of cells sensitive to PRL in the brain of males. To do so, we have supplemented males with a

standard dose of exogenous PRL and revealed PRL-responsive cells in the brain by means of the

immunohistochemical detection of the phosphorylated form of signal transducer and activator of

transcription 5 (pSTAT5). Phosphorylation of STAT5 is a key event in the Jak/STAT pathway, the

major signalling cascade of the PRL receptor (3). In contrast to other methods, e.g. in situ

hybridization of the PRL receptor (PRLR) mRNA (or its immunodetection), pSTAT5

immunohistochemistry in PRL-supplemented animals reveals those cells that are not just expressing

PRL receptors or specific elements of their signalling cascade, but those that are fully responsive to

PRL. Thus, pSTAT5 immunodetection can be considered a reliable method to assess central PRL

responsiveness (4,5)

The second goal of this work is to compare the pattern of central PRL-derived signalling between

male and female mice, in search for intersexual differences. For this purpose, we compare

qualitatively and quantitatively the patterns of our PRL-supplemented males with ovariectomised,

steroid-primed females treated with an equivalent dose of PRL. In addition, a direct comparison of

the distribution of pSTAT5 in the brain of males and females (where most functional studies on the

matter have been conducted) might also be useful for the functional contextualization of PRL input

to the male brain.

In accordance with the involvement of PRL in reproductive physiology and behaviour, gonadal

steroids are important regulators of PRL function in the brain. In female rodents, estradiol and

progesterone have been shown to target most PRL-sensitive neurons in the brain (24) and to

regulate PRL signalling at different levels (25–27). On the other hand, testosterone has been

documented to inhibit PRL receptor expression in the pituitary gland of male rats and pituitary PRL

release in male rats and mice (28–30). Beyond these findings, to our knowledge there is currently no

available evidence supporting a regulatory role of testosterone in brain PRL signalling. Hence, in this

work we also explore the putative contribution of testosterone in shaping the patterns of PRL

responsiveness in the male brain. To this end, we analyse the levels of pSTAT5-ir in the main PRL-

responsive regions of the male mouse brain after permanent testosterone withdrawal through

castration, as compared to intact male mice, both supplemented with PRL.

By characterizing the patterns of PRL signalling in the male brain, assessing its sexual dimorphism

and clarifying their dependence on testosterone, we intend to shed light on the roles of PRL in male

behaviour and physiology, as well as on the neural substrates for PRL-dependent, male-specific

behaviours.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Animals

For the present study, we used 15 male and 6 female mice of the CD1 strain (Charles River

Laboratories, France), aging between 8 and 24 weeks. These animals were housed in polypropylene

plastic cages under controlled temperature (24 ± 2 ºC) and lighting conditions (12h:12h; lights ON at

8 am), with *ad libitum* access to food and water. Intact males were housed individually (to avoid

inter-male aggression) whereas castrated males and females were group-housed (4 to 6 animals per

group, to avoid isolation-derived stress). Animals were treated throughout according to the

European Union Council Directive 2010/63/EU (6106/1/10 REV1) and procedures were approved by

the Committee of Ethics on Animal Experimentation of the University of Valencia (protocol number:

2015/VSC/PEA/00055), where the experiments were performed.

Experimental Design

Male mice were randomly assigned to three experimental groups: (1) Male Control (n=3); (2)

Male+PRL (n=6) and (3) Castrated+PRL (n=6). Animals of Male Control and Male+PRL groups were

left gonadally intact, whereas males from Castrated+PRL group underwent orchidectomy. Following

the procedure by Brown and collaborators (5,31), Male+PRL and Castrated+PRL groups received an

exogenous PRL supplementation 45 minutes prior to perfusion.

In order to compare central PRL sensitivity between males and females, we also included in our

study a group of ovariectomised, steroid-primed and PRL-supplemented females, which belonged to

a sample of a previously published set of experiments (4). The steroid-replacement schedule

conducted on these females intended to emulate the proestrus-estrus phase of the estrous cycle

(32). Given the considerable variability of pSTAT5-ir in the brain of freely-cycling females, likely due

to gonadal steroid influence on PRL signalling (4), this group provided a more stable comparison

than freely-cycling females and a more accurate comparison than estrous cycle screening.

Data from these four groups of animals (Male Control; Male+PRL; Castrated+PRL and Female+PRL)

allowed us to: a) characterize the pattern of PRL-derived signalling in the male brain by analysing the

distribution of pSTAT5-ir in group Male+PRL; b) analyse the effect of PRL supplementation on

pSTAT5-ir distribution by comparing the Male Control with Male+PRL groups; c) explore sexual

dimorphism in the central sensitivity for PRL by comparing Male+PRL with Female+PRL groups; and

finally, d) assess the modulatory role of testosterone on PRL signalling in the male brain through the

comparison of the Male+PRL and the Castrated+PRL groups.

Ovariectomy and Orchidectomy

Males of Castrated+PRL group were orchidectomised at approximately 12 weeks of age. Briefly,

animals were deeply anaesthesised with i.p. ketamine (Imalgene 500, Merial, Toulouse, France,

75mg/kg) and medetomidine (Domtor 1mg/ml, Esteve, Barcelona, Spain, 1 mg/kg) and surgery was

performed via a single midline incision on the scrotal sac. After surgery, i.p. atipamezol

hydrochloride (Antisedan, Pfizer, New York, USA, 1 mg/kg) was administered to reverse anaesthesia

and s.c. butorphanol tartrate 1% (Torbugesic, Pfizer, New York, USA, 20 µl) was regularly

administered through the postsurgical period for pain control. Castrated males had 2-3 weeks of

recovery under undisturbed housing conditions before the beginning of the experiments.

Experimental females underwent ovariectomy 9-10 weeks after birth under identical

pharmacological conditions as explained for males (4). Surgery was conducted through two incisions

on both sides of the back of the animal. Ovariectomized females had at least seven days of recovery

after surgery.

Hormone Treatments

Experimental females underwent a steroid replacement schedule (4) consisting of a sustained

treatment with 17-β estradiol and an acute treatment with progesterone. In accordance with the

experimental induction of estrus (32), estradiol was administered on a slow-release profile during 7

days, by means of the subcutaneous placement of silastic tubing implants (Dow Corning Corporation,

Midland, MI, USA) filled with 20 µg/ml β-estradiol (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) diluted in sunflower

oil. Silastic tubing had an inner diameter of 1.67 mm and an outer diameter of 2.41 mm, and

implants were cut to a length of 20 mm. Implants were inserted subcutaneously on the lumbar

region under isoflurane anaesthesia (Isoflo, Esteve Veterinaria, Barcelona, Spain). Animals were also

administered a subcutaneous dose of 20 µl butorphanol tartrate 1% (Torbugesic, Pfizer, New York)

during implant placement surgery, for pain control. By contrast, progesterone (Sigma, St Louis, MO,

USA) was administered to females acutely in a 500 µg subcutaneous injection, diluted in sunflower

oil, in the morning of the seventh day of estradiol treatment, specifically 3 hours and 45 minutes

prior to perfusion. In order to make males and females directly comparable for the analysis of sexual

dimorphism, males of the Male+PRL group received vehicle treatment parallel to that of females

(implants with sunflower oil, plus s.c. oil injection 3h 45 minutes prior to perfusion).

Regarding PRL supplementation, except for the Male Control group (which received vehicle

injection), all experimental groups were administered an acute 5 mg/kg i.p. dose of ovine PRL

(Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) 45 minutes before perfusion. The dose and timing of PRL administration

rendered homogenous, supraphysiological circulating levels of PRL and ensured that the peak of

STAT5 phosphorylation in response to a PRL challenge coincided with perfusion (5). This is intended

to reveal the maximal potential response patterns among experimental animals and also to allow

direct comparison between experimental groups, regardless of sex (male vs female) or physiological

condition (intact vs castrated males).

Tissue Collection and Histological Processing

Animals received an overdose of sodium pentobarbital (Vetoquinol, Madrid, Spain) and were

transcardially perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1M phosphate buffer (PB), pH 7.4. Brains

were carefully extracted and post-fixed overnight through immersion in the same fixative, then

cryoprotected by immersion in 30% sucrose in 0.01 M PB until they sank (2-3 days). Using a freezing

microtome (Microm HM-450, Walldorf, Germany) brains were sectioned in five parallel series of 40

µm thick coronal sections. Series were stored in PB-30% sucrose at -20ºC until their use.

Immunohistochemistry for pSTAT5

Immunohistochemistry was conducted in free-floating sections under light shaking at room

temperature (25ºC) unless otherwise stated. Immunohistochemistry protocol was adapted from

Brown et al.(5,31). Tissue sections were thoroughly rinsed between stages for at least three 10-min

washes in TRIS-buffered saline, 0.05M, pH 7.6 (TBS). After thawing, sections underwent an initial

antigen retrieval step, consisting in two sequential 6-minute incubations in 0.01 M TRIS buffer (TB),

pH 10 at 85ºC, and brought quickly to room temperature in between. Tissue was then incubated in:

a) 1% hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) for 30 minutes, for endogenous peroxidase inhibition; b) 2% BSA,

2% goat serum and 0.3% Triton X-100 in TBS for 1h, in order to block unspecific labelling; c) rabbit

monoclonal anti-pSTAT5 primary antibody (Phospho-Stat5 Tyr694 (D47E7), Catalog number #4322,

Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) diluted 1:500 in TBS plus Triton X-100 0.1% for 72 h at 4ºC;

d) biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Vector Laboratories, Peterborough, UK; RRID AB_2313606)

1:200 in TBS for 90 minutes; and e) avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (ABC Elite kit; Vector

Laboratories; RRID AB_2336819) in TBS for 90 minutes. Peroxidase label was developed using

0.005% 3-3'-diaminobenzidine (Sigma) and 0.01% H_2O_2 in TB pH 7.6 for about 15 minutes, obtaining

thereby a brown nuclear staining. Sections were rinsed in TB and mounted onto gelatinized slides,

dehydrated in graded ethanol, cleared with xylene and coverslipped with Entellan.

The specificity of the anti-pSTAT5 primary antibody was demonstrated by means of an antibody

competition assay (Fig S1).

Analysis of pSTAT5 Immunoreactivity

The patterns of pSTAT5-ir distribution were mapped for the brain of intact males (Male+PRL) and

females (Female+PRL) and illustrated semiquantitatively in camera lucida drawings of selected brain

sections (Fig 1). In addition, a quantitative assessment of the density of cells showing pSTAT5

immunoreactivity (pSTAT5-ir) in representative brain sites was performed for PRL-treated females

and males, both intact and castrated. To do so, we first selected frames of the chosen nuclei using

the stereotaxic atlas of Paxinos and Franklin (2004). Then, we obtained photomicrographs of these

frames in both hemispheres using a digital camera (Leica DFC495) attached to a microscope Leitz

DMRB (Leica AG, Germany). Thereafter, images were processed and analysed using ImageJ. Briefly,

we subtracted background light and converted the RGB colour image to greyscale by selecting the

green channel. Then, we binarised the greyscale image setting the 75% of the mode of the histogram

as a threshold, thus including every pixel below this threshold as positively labelled. Using the

ImageJ commands "fill holes", "open" (3 iterations) and "watershed", we filtered small particles

(noise or fragmented nuclei) and separated fused objects. The resulting particles were additionally

filtered by area (larger than 70 μm^2, corresponding to an approximate diameter of 9.4 μm) and

finally counted automatically. We calculated the mean (interhemispheric) density of pSTAT5-

imunoreactive cell nuclei for each specimen by dividing the counts for both hemispheres by the area

of both frames.

Figure 1. Mapping of pSTAT5 immunoreactivity and prolactin receptor expression in the brains of male and

female mice

Semi-schematic camera lucida drawings of coronal sections of the mouse brain showing the distribution patterns of

pSTAT5-ir in female and male mice (left side) and the pattern of PRLR expression in the brain of an adult male mouse

specimen (right side), as determined by PRLR expression data obtained from the Allen Brain Institute (© 2004 Allen

Institute for Brain Science. Allen Mouse Brain Atlas. Available from: mouse.brain-map.org experiment 72340223,

http://mouse.brain-map.org/experiment/show/72340223). In the left side, pink dots represent pSTAT5 expression

exclusive of ovariectomized, steroid-primed females, whereas dark blue dots encode overlapping expression of

pSTAT5-ir in both the female and male specimens. Black dots in the right illustrate PRLR expression in the male

mouse brain. Each dot represents approximately 4 cell nuclei labelled for pSTAT5 or equivalent number of cells

expressing the PRLR. Shaded areas indicate the counting frames designed for the AVPe/VMPO region (Fig 1a), the

Pa (Fig 1e), and the Arc, VMHvl, VMHc and VMHdm, DM and MePD (all frames in Fig 1f), as part of the quantitative

analysis performed in this work (see below). Approximate distance to bregma is indicated for each section.

Statistical Analysis

We performed statistical analysis of the resulting data on the SPSS software package. After checking

for normality (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test with Lilliefors' correction) and homogeneity of variances

(Levene's test), we conducted three different statistical comparisons. First, we compared levels of

pSTAT5-ir density between intact males (Males + PRL) and ovariectomised, steroid-primed females

(Female+PRL), in search for dimorphic differences. Then, we checked for the effect of orchidectomy

on male pSTAT5-ir levels by comparing intact (Male+PRL) and castrated (Castrated+PRL) males. For

both analyses, the samples fulfilling the criteria for a parametric analysis were subject to an

independent t-test, whereas the samples of the remaining nuclei were subject to a non-parametric

Mann-Whitney test. For each statistical test, we applied a significance level of 0.05.

RESULTS

398 The main focus of this section is to characterise the central patterns of pSTAT5-ir found in male mice

399 in the presence of high levels of circulating PRL (Male+PRL group), as an indicator of PRL-derived

400 signalling occurring in the male brain. Likewise, we compare the distribution of pSTAT5-ir between

401 PRL-supplemented males (Male+PRL group) and non-supplemented males (Male Control group).

402 Then, we analyse intersexual differences in PRL-derived signalling in the mouse brain. For this

403 purpose, we compare the patterns of pSTAT5-ir in the male (Male+PRL group) and female

404 (Female+PRL group) brains and assess the density of pSTAT5-ir in a number of brain regions

405 displaying PRL-derived signalling in both males and females. Finally, we explore the effect of

406 testosterone withdrawal in the PRL-derived signalling of the male brain by comparing pSTAT5-ir

407 levels between intact and castrated male mice. For the following anatomical descriptions, we adhere

408 to the neuroanatomical terminology proposed by Paxinos and Franklin(33) (see also list of

409 abbreviations above).

410 **A. Patterns of pSTAT5 immunoreactivity in the male mouse brain: effect of PRL supplementation**

411 Immunohistochemistry for pSTAT5 produced a defined staining in the examined brain tissue, which

412 was restricted to the cell nucleus (see Fig 2), as shown in our previous work (4). Labelling was

413 suppressed by pre-incubation of the antibody with the phosphorylated peptide used for

414 immunization, but not when the antibody was incubated with the equivalent, non-phosphorylated

415 peptide (Fig S1). Therefore, we safely assume that, in our immunohistochemical procedure, the

416 antibody is detecting specifically pSTAT5.

Figure 2. Representative examples of pSTAT5 immunoreactivity in the brain of females, intact males and castrated male mice

Photomicrographs illustrating pSTAT5 labelling in representative brain sections in an ovariectomized, steroid-primed female supplemented with PRL (I), an intact, PRL-supplemented male (II), a castrated, PRL supplemented male (III) and a intact control male lacking PRL supplementation (IV). Sections correspond to the preoptic hypothalamus (A), the paraventricular region in the anterior hypothalamus (B), the tuberal hypothalamus (C), the caudal septum (D) and

the medial posterior amygdala (E). The approximate distance to bregma is indicated in each section. Scale bars

correspond to 100 µm.

Figure 1 shows the distribution of pSTAT5-ir observed in PRL-supplemented males (blue dots on the

left side of the brain), as well as the the distribution of cells expressing PRL receptor (PRLR) in the

male mouse brain (Fig 1, black dots on the right side of the brain), as reported by the Allen Brain

Institute (Allen Mouse Brain Atlas, experiments 1268 and 72340223, Fig S2). Figure 2 shows

photomicrographs illustrating pSTAT5-ir in selected brain nuclei for all of our experimental groups.

Males supplemented with PRL (Male+PRL) showed moderate-to-dense pSTAT5-ir in several nuclei of

the hypothalamus and cerebral hemispheres (Fig 2, left column), as well as in the choroid plexus (not

shown). In the telencephalon, pSTAT5-ir was mainly observed in the septum, specifically in the area

comprising the septofimbrial nucleus (SFi) and the triangular septal nucleus (TS) (Figs 1B and 1C). In

this region, the subfornical organ (SFO) also showed some immunoreactive cells. In addition, the

posterodorsal nucleus of the medial amygdala (MePD) displayed scarce pSTAT5-ir, with few

immunolabelled cells restricted to the upper corner of the nucleus, in close contact to the

intramygdaloid BST (BSTia, see Figs 1F and 2F). Importantly, all these brain nuclei display PRLR mRNA

expression in the male mouse (Fig 2 right side).

In the hypothalamus, pSTAT5-ir was present in the preoptic, anterior and tuberal regions. In the

preoptic hypothalamus (Figs 1A-D and 2J), pSTAT5-ir cells were concentrated in the juxtaventricular

nuclei, namely the vascular organ of the *lamina terminalis* (VOLT) and the anteroventral

periventricular (AVPe), the ventromedial preoptic (VMPO) and the Pe nuclei. In addition, the

adjoining MPA displayed a few immunolabelled cell nuclei.

In the anterior hypothalamus, pSTAT5-ir was once again restricted to juxtaventricular structures, the

paraventricular nucleus (Pa) and the Pe (Figs 1E and 2N), as well as the retrochiasmatic area (RCh,

Fig 1E). In the Pa, labelling was restricted to the medial aspect of the nucleus, mainly to the ventral

(PaV), medial magnocellular (PaMM) and medial parvocellular (PaMP) subdivisions, with very few

labelling observed in the lateral aspect of the nucleus (PaLM) or the subparaventricular nucleus

(SPa). In the tuberal hypothalamus (Figs 1F and 2R), the arcuate nucleus (Arc) displayed abundant

pSTAT5-ir, with some immunolabelled cells displaced into the median eminence (ME). In some

males, the dorsomedial nucleus (DM) displayed few scattered immunolabelled cells. Finally, pSTAT5-

ir appeared in the ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus (VMH), with higher levels in the ventrolateral

subdivision (VMHvl) and sparse labelling in the rest (VMHc and VMHdm).

In contrast to the Male+PRL group, non-supplemented males (Male Control, Fig 2, rightmost

column), were virtually devoid of pSTAT5-ir, except for some labelled cells in the ventral aspect of

the arcuate nucleus (Arc, Fig 2T) and the anterior aspect of the periventricular nucleus (Pe, not

shown).

B.   Comparative analysis of pSTAT5-ir the male and female mouse brain

In comparison to males, ovariectomised, steroid-primed females supplemented with PRL

(Female+PRL) showed a more extensive distribution of pSTAT5-ir (Fig 1, Fig 2 middle-right column),

which matches previous descriptions conducted on freely-cycling female mice (4). To summarize,

labelling in our experimental females was widespread in the basal telencephalon and hypothalamus,

but was also found within thalamic, midbrain and brainstem structures. To allow a direct comparison

between sexes, Figure 2 shows the distribution of pSTAT5-ir in equivalent brain sections of male and

female mice. In addition, in the semischematic drawings of brain sections depicted in Fig 1, pSTAT5-

ir labelling that was common to males and females is shown as blue spots, whereas labelling

exclusively present in females is illustrated as red spots. Importantly, there was not a single brain

site where labelling was exclusively found in males. In other words, after administration of

equivalent doses of exogenous PRL, males show pSTAT5-ir in a reduced number of brain centres,

whereas females show immunoreactive cells in the same nuclei, plus a set of additional brain

centres. This represents a clear case of sexual dimorphism in favour of females.

We also checked for quantitative sexual dimorphism in PRL-derived signalling, by analysing the

density of pSTAT5-ir in the main nuclei showing pSTAT5-ir in PRL-supplemented intact males and

steroid-primed ovariectomised females. We designed counting frames for the following brain nuclei:

the AVPe/VMPO region, the Pa, the Arc, the DM, the VMHvl, the area comprising the central and

dorsomedial VMH (VMHc and VMHdm, respectively), the MePD and the SFi (Fig 1). These results are

summarized in Figure 3. Females showed a general trend towards higher levels of pSTAT5-ir than

males. This effect reached statistical significance in the Arc (t(10)=3.043, p=0.012), the DM (U=32.0;

p=0.026) and the MePD (U=30.0; p=0.004), although the AVPe/VMPO also showed an almost

significant trend towards higher pSTAT5-ir density in females (t(9)=2.183; p=0.057).

Figure 3. Quantitative analysis of pSTAT5 immunoreactivity in selected brain regions of female and male mice

Assessment of pSTAT5-ir density (pSTAT5-positive cell nuclei/mm^2) in the major brain regions with expression of

pSTAT5-ir in both male and female mice. Bar histograms show mean interhemispheric pSTAT5-ir density ± SEM in

gonadally-intact, vehicle-treated males (Male+PRL; n=6; black) and ovariectomized females treated with estradiol and

progesterone (Female+PRL; n=6; grey). Counting frames for each of the analyzed nuclei are included in Fig1.

Statistical analysis was applied independently to each brain region (independent t-test for parametric data or Mann-

Whitney test for non-parametric data, see Results). *P ≤ 0.05; **P ≤ 0.01; (*) P ≤ 0.06.

C.   Effect of testosterone withdrawal in PRL-derived signalling in the male mouse brain

Finally, we explored the putative role of testosterone in the regulation of PRL-derived signalling in

the male brain. To do so, we compared pSTAT5-ir patterns between intact, PRL-supplemented males

(Male+PRL; Fig 2, second column from left) and a sample of castrated, PRL-supplemented males

(Castrated+PRL; Fig 2, third column). In qualitative terms, castrated and intact male mice displayed

similar patterns of pSTAT5-ir, but castrated males showed apparently lower levels of pSTAT5-ir.

Quantitative analysis of the labelling in both groups (using the same methodology and exploring the

same subset of nuclei analysed in the previous section) allowed comparing pSTAT5-ir between

gonadally intact and castrated males by means of independent t-tests for the Arc and AVPe and non-

parametric Mann-Whitney tests for the rest of the analysed regions. The results of this statistical

comparison reveal a significant effect of testosterone withdrawal in diminishing the levels of

pSTAT5-ir in the Pa (U= 33.00; p=0.004), in both the ventrolateral and central/dorsomedial VMH (U=

30.00, p=0.015 for both frames) and in the SFi (U= 26.00, p=0. 05). In the remaining analysed nuclei,

the density of pSTAT5-ir cells was similar in Male+PRL and Castrated+PRL animals, as no statistical

differences were found (p>0.1) (Fig 4).

Figure 4. Effect of testosterone withdrawal in pSTAT5 immunoreactivity of the male mouse brain

Assessment of pSTAT5-ir density (pSTAT5-positive cell nuclei/mm²) in gonadally-intact male mice and castrated male

mice within the major brain regions showing pSTAT5 expression in the male mouse brain. Bar histograms show mean

interhemispheric pSTAT5-ir density ± SEM in intact, PRL-supplemented males (Males+PRL; n=6; black) and

castrated, PRL-supplemented males (Castrated+PRL; n=6; white). Counting frames for each of the analyzed nuclei

are enclosed in Fig 2. Statistical analysis was applied independently to each brain region (independent t-test for

parametric data or Mann-Whitney test for non-parametric data). *P ≤ 0.05; **P ≤ 0.01.

DISCUSSION

The present study examined, for the first time, the responsiveness of the brain of males to PRL.

Specifically, we analysed the distribution and steroid regulation of PRL-derived signalling in the male

mouse brain, by means of the immunohistochemical detection of pSTAT5. We also compared the

pattern of pSTAT5 immunoreactivity in the brain of males and females, aiming at assessing its

possible sexual dimorphism. In this section, we will first analyse the distribution of pSTAT5 in the

brain of male mice, with or without exogenous PRL administration, and compare it to the pattern of

expression of the PRLR, as shown in in situ hybridisation material provided by the Allen Brain

Institute (see Fig 1 and Fig S2). Then, we will comment on the observed sexually dimorphic

differences in central sensitivity to PRL. Next, we will discuss the role of testosterone as a putative

regulator of central PRL signalling and on the mutual regulatory relationship of PRL and

testosterone. We will conclude by reviewing how our findings contribute to elucidate the

neuroanatomical substrate of some putative actions of PRL in the brain of males.

Prolactin signalling in the male mouse brain, PRL supplementation and PRL receptor expression

Although the actions of PRL in the female mouse brain have received considerable attention due to

the role of PRL in female reproduction and motherhood(34), this work is, to our knowledge, the first

descriptive analysis of the distribution of PRL-derived signalling in the male mouse brain. In PRL-

supplemented male mice (Male+PRL group), brain regions positively labelled for pSTAT5 were

located mainly in the hypothalamus (the AVPe and VMPO, MPA, Pe, Pa, Arc, DM and VMH) and, to a

lesser extent, in the telencephalon (SFi in the septum and MePD in the amygdala), with no additional

pSTAT5-ir detected in any of the remaining brain divisions (Fig 1).

Importantly, this pattern of pSTAT5-ir was highly dependent on systemic supplementation with a

high dose of exogenous PRL, whereas non-supplemented controls (Male Control group) were

virtually devoid of pSTAT5-ir, except for some faintly labelled cells in the ventral Arc (Fig 2T) and in

the anterior portion of the periventricular hypothalamus (not shown). These results suggest, in the

first place, that the patterns of pSTAT5-ir we report in this work are specifically produced by PRL,

whereas other endocrine agents signalling through the Jak/STAT pathway, such as growth

hormone(35), do not contribute substantially to STAT5 phosphorylation in the studied cases. This is

further supported by the fact that pSTAT5 appears only in centres that show expression of PRLR in

the brain of male mice (Fig 1, Fig S2), whereas the distribution of growth hormone receptor does

not fit the pattern of pSTAT5-ir(35,36). In addition, the reduced pSTAT5-ir found in males not

supplemented with exogenous PRL indicates very low levels of PRL signalling in basal conditions,

what fits the circulating levels reported for the hormone for males(10,37). In fact, the dorsal aspect

of the Arc (presumed location of the TIDA neurons as revealed by tyrosine hydroxylase

immunohistochemistry, 38), displays scarce pSTAT5-ir in Male Control mice (Fig 2T).

Altogether, the male mouse brain shows little responsiveness to PRL under basal conditions, but this

responsiveness would increase significantly when higher levels of the hormone reached the brain.

Hence, PRL would influence the brain of males especially under some physiological conditions in

which there is substantially increased hypophyseal or extra-hypophyseal PRL release, for instance

during the dark period of the day (37), after mating(39) or as part of the stress response (40).

Sexually dimorphic PRL-derived signalling in the mouse brain

In comparison to males, female mice displayed a more extensive distribution of pSTAT5-ir, mainly in

the hypothalamus and basal telencephalon, but also in different nuclei of the thalamus, midbrain

and brainstem. Patterns of pSTAT5-ir in males were always a completely overlapping fraction of

those of female mice, with no single brain structure labelled in males but not in females.

Furthermore, a number of nuclei with pSTAT5 expression in both sexes displayed significantly higher

pSTAT5-ir density in females than in males (the AVPe/VMPO, Arc, DM and MePD), but none of the

analysed nuclei showed higher levels of pSTAT5-ir in males (Fig 3). Altogether, our study reveals a

clear, female-biased sexual dimorphism in PRL-derived signalling in the mouse brain upon exogenous

PRL administration. In fact, this sexually dimorphic responsiveness to PRL would be extensive to

specific functional systems of the brain, for instance to the Sociosexual Behavioural Network (SBN),

the core system for the integration of social and reproductive behaviour (41). In female mice,

virtually all nodes of the SBN display apparent PRL responsiveness (4), whereas males lack pSTAT5-ir

or display marginal levels thereof (MePD) in all of them (the lateral septum, BST, medial amygdala or

PAG, see Figs 1 and 2) except for the VMH, even after exogenous PRL administration and even if they

express the PRL receptor (Fig 1, Fig S2). This suggests that, in these areas, either PRL responsiveness

is regulated through different receptor activation pathways in males and females, or PRL signalling is

downregulated, leading to a lower implication of PRL in the regulation of sociosexual behaviours in

males. However, this does not exclude a role in some male-specific behaviours, as we will further

discuss below.

Prolactin and Testosterone: Mutual Neuroendocrine Regulation

One of the main outcomes of the present work is the identification of a putative regulatory role of

testosterone in PRL signalling in the male mouse brain. Orchidectomy and consequent testosterone

withdrawal led to a significant decrease in pSTAT5-ir density in the SFi, the Pa and both the

central/dorsomedial and lateral VMH (Fig 4). This indicates a positive regulatory role of testosterone

on PRL signalling in those brain regions. The actual (direct or indirect) mechanism of this process is

not clear. Even though testosterone has documented inhibitory effects on hypophyseal PRL release

in male rats(29) and mice(30), the effect of castration on pSTAT5-ir cannot be attributed to

differences in circulating PRL, since intact and castrated males were supplemented with equivalent

levels of exogenous PRL. In addition, this effect is not likely explained by a facilitated access of

systemic PRL to the brain, as our results reveal that the positive effect of castration on pSTAT5-ir is

not generalised but rather specific and restricted to discrete brain sites. Therefore, our results

suggest that testosterone might upregulate PRLR expression or perhaps signalling downstream the

PRLR in specific neural populations. Further research is required to elucidate the specific action of

testosterone on PRL signalling in male mice.

An additional question of interest is the identity of the actual biological modulator of PRL signalling

we report here. Testosterone can be locally metabolized into two different neuroactive steroids: it

can be reduced to dihydrotestosterone (42), which binds to androgen receptors, or aromatised to

estradiol (43) that then binds to oestrogen receptors. Our results make it very unlikely that this

regulation occurs through the aromatisation of testosterone to estradiol. Although all the nuclei

where PRL signalling is affected by castration express at least one form of oestrogen receptor(44),

none of them displays aromatase activity in the male mouse brain(45). Conversely, all of the nuclei

where PRL-derived signalling decreased with castration express androgen receptors, with the Pa and

VMH showing very high levels(45). Hence, evidence suggests that the effect of testosterone on PRL

signalling that we report here is likely mediated by androgen rather than oestrogen receptors.

In addition to the reported regulation of testosterone on central PRL signalling, our data, together

with published evidence, suggest a reciprocal regulatory action of PRL on the hypothalamus-

pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis and ultimately on testosterone release. According to the literature, PRL

is thought to upregulate the HPG axis and testosterone secretion in males, since PRL infusions

stimulate the increase in serum testosterone in male rats, whereas immunological disruption of

endogenous prolactin eliminates this effect (14,46). This regulatory action of PRL on the HPG axis

likely occurs through the kisspeptin system, which regulates gonadotropin-releasing hormone

(GnRH) expressing neurons in the brain(47) and thereby activates the HPG axis. Therefore, the

expression of PRL receptors by kisspeptin neurons(48) allows for a direct role of PRL on HPG axis

control and testosterone secretion. In this context, our results provide support for the hypothesis

that the brain kisspeptin system is subject to PRL regulation in male mice, too, since our sample of

male mice displayed substantial pSTAT5-ir in the hypothalamic nuclei containing kisspeptin-positive

neurons(49), the AVPe and the Arc (Figs 2j and 2r). Nevertheless, this possibility requires

experimental proof by assessing the coexpression of kisspeptin and pSTAT5 immunoreactivity in the

aforementioned nuclei.

Prolactin signalling in the male brain: functional implications

Our findings confirm that the male mouse brain is indeed responsive to PRL, implying that PRL likely

exerts certain actions in the male mouse brain, provided sufficient levels of the hormone. The nature

of these actions is mostly unknown, but the multiple functional studies on central PRL in female mice

provide a good comparative framework to contextualize our findings.

In this respect, the most prominent (but poorly understood) function of PRL in males is the

regulation of sexual behaviour (3,16). It has been shown that central action of PRL after mating

suppresses sexual behaviour as part of the satiatory mechanisms operating after ejaculation (17,22).

Similar inhibitory action of PRL onto sexual behaviour occurs under chronic hyperprolactinaemia(50–

52). Prolactin has been proposed to do so by modulating the function of the major dopaminergic

circuits in the brain, closely involved in the motor component of male sexual function (17, 53). In

support of this, acute elevations of circulating PRL after copulation lead to significant decreases in

dopaminergic activity in the target sites of the major dopaminergic pathways (17), including the

striatum (23,54) and the MPA region (55). Several studies have shown that, indeed, PRL is capable of

modulating the dopaminergic activity of these pathways at several levels (54–58). However,

according to our findings, none of the nuclei originating these dopaminergic pathways (17) display

PRL-derived signalling neither in female nor in male mice, even after injection of exogenous PRL (Figs

1 and 2). Furthermore, in situ hybridisation in the mouse brain does not show any expression of

PRLR mRNA within these pathways, neither in their sites of origin (substantia nigra or ventral

tegmental area), nor in their projection sites (caudatus putamen or nucleus accumbens) (Fig S2),

although certain studies did find evidence of the receptor expression in the rat (59,60). Hence, this

suggests that the proposed action of PRL over these dopaminergic systems to regulate male sexual

behaviour should rather be indirect. In this vein, our study evidences a testosterone-dependent

action of PRL on two nuclei of the male brain that have also been involved in male sexual behaviour

regulation: the VMH and the Pa (Figs 2 and 4). The VMH is sexually dimorphic (61), known to

promote the expression of copulatory behaviour in females (62) and to inhibit mounting in male rats

(63), whereas the Pa contains magno- and parvocellular oxytocin (OXT) neurons involved in the

peripheral and central regulation of male sexual behaviour, respectively (64–67).

The interaction between OXT and PRL at the level of the Pa can also have a role in the regulation of

parental behaviours. There is solid evidence indicating that PRL (68) and OXT are fundamental in the

control of maternal behaviour (69,70) and it is likely that they have an equivalent role in males. In

this line, PRL facilitates pup-sensitisation in male rats (71) in a similar fashion as in females (68) and

has further roles in other experimental models for paternal behaviour (72). Tachikawa and

collaborators (73) showed that paternal behaviour can be induced in male mice (which are usually

infanticidal) by postcopulatory cohabitation with a maternal female, a process apparently

dependent on OXT release in the nucleus accumbens (Acb) (74,75). In turn, Dolen and collaborators

(76) demonstrated that the OXT innervation of the Acb originates in the Pa (see also(77), which,

according to our findings, is responsive to PRL in males (Fig 2M). Therefore, PRL might participate in

the regulation of parental behaviour in male mice, too, by acting on Pa OXT neurons. This hypothesis

requires further study.

Our results indicate a modulatory role of PRL onto the Arc and Pe nuclei of males (2R-T), both of

which contain the dopaminergic neuron populations responsible for the inhibitory feedback control

of hypophyseal PRL release(78): the tuberoinfundibular (TIDA) and tuberohypophyseal (THDA)

neurons in the dorsomedial and rostral Arc(79), and the periventricular hypophyseal neurons (PHDA)

in the Pe(80). In fact, in female rats PRL feedback signal in these dopaminergic populations is

conveyed through the Jak/STAT pathway, specifically through STAT5b (81). Therefore, our results in

the Arc and Pe likely reflect the (expected) occurrence of the same feedback mechanism in male

mice.

Prolactin also plays an important role in the modulation of anxiety and stress. Concerning anxiety,

studies in female rats indicate that PRL has anxiolytic properties when administered i.c.v. or i.v.,

whereas chronic i.c.v. antisense blocking of the PRLR expression results in increased anxiety(82). In

contrast to females, PRL has a weak anxiolytic effect in males(82). This intersexual difference might

relate to our findings of a sexually dimorphic responsiveness to PRL in the central structures directly

involved in the regulation of anxiety and emotionality, the Ce and the anterodorsal BST (83). In these

nuclei, males are devoid of pSTAT5-ir (Fig 1) although they show PRLR mRNA transcript (Fig 1 and fig

S2), whereas females show quite abundant immunostaining, especially during pregnancy and

lactation (4).

Besides being a central agent in acute stress response (11), PRL also has an important role in stress

modulation. Thus, central inhibition of the expression of PRLR by i.c.v. antisense blocking results in

an enhanced activation of HPA axis in male and female rats (82), demonstrating a downregulation of

the stress response by PRL. The presence of pSTAT5-ir in the Pa of males (in levels equivalent to

females, see Figs 2m, 2n and 3) suggests that this modulatory effect of PRL on the reactivity of the

HPA axis would probably take place through PRL action on corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) or

vasopressin (AVP) cells (84). In fact, PRL is a well-documented regulator of the function of magno-

and parvocellular vasopressinergic neurosecretory cells at the level of the hypothalamic Pa (85–87).

Although effects of PRL on these parvocellular AVP cells has been proven to be mediated by

ERK/MAPK pathway (87), our data in males and females suggest that the Jak/STAT5 signalling

cascade can also be involved. This would imply a non-dimorphic regulatory role of PRL on the stress

response (in addition to its dimorphic role on anxiety), which requires further research.

Conclusions

This work characterizes the distribution of PRL responsiveness in the male mouse brain and its

dependence on circulating PRL levels, sexual dimorphism and gonadal steroid regulation. Male mice

display specific patterns of PRL-derived signalling only in the presence of high levels of circulating

PRL. These patterns comprise mainly hypothalamic nuclei and some telencephalic sites, and are also

more limited in extension and density than the equivalent patterns in female mice, evidencing a

clear sexual dimorphism in favour of females. Furthermore, PRL-derived signalling in the male brain

is regionally dependent on testosterone input, indicating a reciprocal regulation between both

hormones. This work confirms that PRL exerts central actions in males, suggesting a possible role of

the "maternal hormone" in the regulation of male sexual behaviour, PRL release feedback control or

in the regulation of stress response, among others.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness-FEDER (BFU2016-77691-C2-2-P

and C2-1-P) and the Generalitat Valenciana (PROMETEO/2016/076) and the *Universitat Jaume I de*

Castelló (UJI-B2016-45). H.S-L has been a predoctoral fellow of the FPU (*Formación de Profesorado*

Universitario) programme of the Spanish Ministry of Education, Culture and Sport.

The authors are indebted to The Allen Brain Institute for providing open access to their invaluable

material and permission to use and publish its data.

REFERENCES

1.   Riddle O, Bates R, Dykshorn S. The preparation, identification and assay of prolactin—a

hormone of anterior pituitary. Am J Physiol. 1933;(105):191–216.

2.   Bole-feysot C, Goffin V, Edery M, Binart N, Kelly P a. Prolactin (PRL) and Its Receptor⬜:

Actions , Signal PRL Receptor Knockout Mice. Endocr Rev. 1998;19(February):225–68.

3.   Freeman ME, Kanyicska B, Lerant A, Nagy G. Prolactin: structure, function, and regulation of

709  secretion. Physiol Rev [Internet]. 2000 Oct [cited 2015 Sep 16];80(4):1523–631. Available

710  from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11015620

711 4. Salais-López H, Lanuza E, Agustín-Pavón C, Martínez-García F. Tuning the brain for

712  motherhood: prolactin-like central signalling in virgin, pregnant, and lactating female mice.

713  Brain Struct Funct [Internet]. 2017 Mar 25 [cited 2017 Aug 28];222(2):895–921. Available

714  from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00429-016-1254-5

715 5. Brown RSE, Kokay IC, Herbison AE, Grattan DR. Distribution of prolactin-responsive neurons

716  in the mouse forebrain. J Comp Neurol. 2010;518:92–102.

717 6. Grattan DR, Pi XJ, Andrews ZB, Augustine R a, Kokay IC, Summerfield MR, et al. Prolactin

718  receptors in the brain during pregnancy and lactation: implications for behavior. Horm Behav.

719  2001;40:115–24.

720 7. Grattan DR, Kokay IC. Prolactin: A pleiotropic neuroendocrine hormone. J Neuroendocrinol.

721  2008;20:752–63.

722 8. Bakowska JC, Morrell JI. Atlas of the neurons that express mRNA for the long form of the

723  prolactin receptor in the forebrain of the female rat. J Comp Neurol. 1997;386(April):161–77.

724 9. Bakowska JC, Morrell JI. The distribution of mRNA for the short form of the prolactin receptor

725  in the forebrain of the female rat. Mol Brain Res. 2003;116:50–8.

726 10. Guillou A, Romanò N, Steyn F, Abitbol K, Le Tissier P, Bonnefont X, et al. Assessment of

727  lactotroph axis functionality in mice: longitudinal monitoring of PRL secretion by

728  ultrasensitive-ELISA. Endocrinology [Internet]. 2015;(February):en.2014-1571. Available from:

729  http://press.endocrine.org/doi/abs/10.1210/en.2014-1571

730 11. Kirk SE, Xie TY, Steyn FJ, Grattan DR, Bunn SJ. Restraint stress increases prolactin-mediated

731  phosphorylation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 5 in the hypothalamus and

732  adrenal cortex in the male mouse. J Neuroendocrinol [Internet]. 2017 Jun [cited 2017 Jul

11];29(6). Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28425631

12.   Bronson FH, Desjardins C. Endocrine Responses to Sexual Arousal in Male Mice.

Endocrinology [Internet]. 1982 Oct [cited 2017 Jul 18];111(4):1286–91. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6811257

13.   Drago F, Pellegrini-Quarantotti B, Scapagnini U, Gessa GL. Short-term endogenous

hyperprolactinaemia and sexual behavior of male rats. Physiol Behav [Internet]. 1981 Feb

[cited 2016 Jun 28];26(2):277–9. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7232533

14.   Bartke A, Morgan WW, Clayton RN, Banerji TK, Brodie AM, Parkening TA, et al.

Neuroendocrine studies in hyperprolactinaemic male mice. J Endocrinol [Internet]. 1987 Feb

[cited 2016 Jun 16];112(2):215–20. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3102665

15.   Shrenker P, Bartke A. Effects of hyperprolactinaemia on male sexual behaviour in the golden

hamster and mouse. J Endocrinol [Internet]. 1987 Feb [cited 2016 Jun 16];112(2):221–8.

Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3819637

16.   Krüger THC, Hartmann U, Schedlowski M. Prolactinergic and dopaminergic mechanisms

underlying sexual arousal and orgasm in humans. World J Urol [Internet]. 2005 Jul 12 [cited

2017 Jul 25];23(2):130–8. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15889301

17.   Krüger THC, Haake P, Hartmann U, Schedlowski M, Exton MS. Orgasm-induced prolactin

secretion: feedback control of sexual drive? Neurosci Biobehav Rev [Internet]. 2002 Jan [cited

2017 Jan 21];26(1):31–44. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11835982

18.   Cruz-Casallas PE, Nasello AG, Hucke EE, Felicio LF. Dual modulation of male sexual behavior in

rats by central prolactin: relationship with in vivo striatal dopaminergic activity.

Psychoneuroendocrinology [Internet]. 1999 Oct [cited 2016 Jun 10];24(7):681–93. Available

from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10451905

19.   Rastrelli G, Corona G, Maggi M. The role of prolactin in andrology: what is new? Rev Endocr

Metab Disord [Internet]. 2015 Sep [cited 2016 Jun 15];16(3):233–48. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26542707

20.   Riecher-Rössler A, Schmid C, Bleuer S, Birkhäuser M. Antipsychotics and hyperpolactinaemia:

pathophysiology, clinical relevance, diagnosis and therapy. Neuropsychiatr Klin Diagnostik,

Ther und Rehabil Organ der Gesellschaft Österreichischer Nervenärzte und Psychiater

[Internet]. 2009 Jan [cited 2016 Jul 1];23(2):71–83. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19573500

21.   Capozzi A, Scambia G, Pontecorvi A, Lello S. Hyperprolactinemia: pathophysiology and

therapeutic approach. Gynecol Endocrinol [Internet]. 2015 Jul [cited 2016 May 22];31(7):506–

10. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26291795

22.   Rehman J, Christ G, Alyskewycz M, Kerr E, Melman A. Experimental hyperprolactinemia in a

rat model: alteration in centrally mediated neuroerectile mechanisms. Int J Impot Res

[Internet]. 2000 Feb [cited 2017 Jul 25];12(1):23–32. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10982309

23.   Kalra PS, Simpkins JW, Luttge WG, Kalra SP. Effects on male sex behavior and preoptic

dopamine neurons of hyperprolactinemia induced by MtTW15 pituitary tumors.

Endocrinology [Internet]. 1983 Dec [cited 2016 Jul 1];113(6):2065–71. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6641626

24.   Furigo IC, Kim KW, Nagaishi VS, Ramos-Lobo AM, de Alencar A, Pedroso J a. B, et al. Prolactin-

sensitive neurons express estrogen receptor-α and depend on sex hormones for normal

responsiveness to prolactin. Brain Res [Internet]. 2014;1566:47–59. Available from:

http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0006899314005162

25.   Neill JD, Freeman ME, Tillson SA. Control of the proestrus surge of prolactin and luteinizing

hormone secretion by estrogens in the rat. Endocrinology [Internet]. 1971 Dec [cited 2016 Jul

1];89(6):1448–53. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5166047

26.   Scully KM, Gleiberman AS, Lindzey J, Lubahn DB, Korach KS, Rosenfeld MG. Role of estrogen

receptor-alpha in the anterior pituitary gland. Mol Endocrinol [Internet]. 1997 Jun [cited 2015

Nov 12];11(6):674–81. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9171231

27.   Anderson GM, Kieser DC, Steyn FJ, Grattan DR. Hypothalamic prolactin receptor messenger

ribonucleic acid levels, prolactin signaling, and hyperprolactinemic inhibition of pulsatile

luteinizing hormone secretion are dependent on estradiol. Endocrinology [Internet]. 2008 Apr

[cited 2015 Dec 17];149(4):1562–70. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18162529

28.   Tong Y, Simard J, Labrie C, Zhao HF, Labrie F, Pelletier G. Inhibitory effect of androgen on

estrogen-induced prolactin messenger ribonucleic acid accumulation in the male rat anterior

pituitary gland. Endocrinology [Internet]. 1989 Oct [cited 2016 Jun 21];125(4):1821–8.

Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2791967

29.   Gill-Sharma MK. Prolactin and male fertility: the long and short feedback regulation. Int J

Endocrinol [Internet]. 2009 Jan [cited 2016 Jun 21];2009:687259. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2778443&tool=pmcentrez&ren

dertype=abstract

30.   O'Hara L, Curley M, Tedim Ferreira M, Cruickshanks L, Milne L, Smith LB. Pituitary androgen

receptor signalling regulates prolactin but not gonadotrophins in the male mouse. PLoS One

[Internet]. 2015 Jan [cited 2016 Feb 25];10(3):e0121657. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4370825&tool=pmcentrez&ren

dertype=abstract

31.   Brown RSE, Herbison a E, Grattan DR. Differential changes in responses of hypothalamic and

806   brainstem neuronal populations to prolactin during lactation in the mouse. Biol Reprod.

807   2011;84(December 2010):826–36.

808   32.   Rissman EF, Early AH, Taylor JA, Korach KS, Lubahn DB. Estrogen receptors are essential for

809   female sexual receptivity. Endocrinology [Internet]. 1997 Jan [cited 2016 May 9];138(1):507–

810   10. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8977441

811   33.   Paxinos G, Franklin KBJ. The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates [Internet]. Academic

812   Press; 2004 [cited 2015 Mar 3]. Available from:

813   http://books.google.com/books?hl=es&lr=&id=EHy1QN1xv0gC&pgis=1

814   34.   Grattan DR. Behavioural significance of prolactin signalling in the central nervous system

815   during pregnancy and lactation. Reproduction. 2002;123:497–506.

816   35.   Furigo IC, Metzger M, Teixeira PDS, Soares CRJ, Donato J. Distribution of growth hormone-

817   responsive cells in the mouse brain. Brain Struct Funct [Internet]. 2017 Apr 12 [cited 2016

818   May 27]; Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27072946

819   36.   Burton KA, Kabigting EB, Clifton DK, Steiner RA. Growth hormone receptor messenger

820   ribonucleic acid distribution in the adult male rat brain and its colocalization in hypothalamic

821   somatostatin neurons. Endocrinology [Internet]. 1992 Aug [cited 2015 Mar 3];131(2):958–63.

822   Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1353444

823   37.   Sinha YN, Salocks CB, Wickes MA, Vanderlaan WP. Serum and pituitary concentrations of

824   prolactin and growth hormone in mice during a twenty-four hour period. Endocrinology

825   [Internet]. 1977 Mar [cited 2015 Mar 4];100(3):786–91. Available from:

826   http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/45572

827   38.   Li C, Chen P, Smith MS. Neuropeptide Y and tuberoinfundibular dopamine activities are

828   altered during lactation: Role of prolactin. Endocrinology. 1999;140(1):118–23.

829   39.   Kamel F, Wright WW, Mock EJ, Frankel AI. The influence of mating and related stimuli on

830   plasma levels of luteinizing hormone, follicle stimulating hormone, prolactin, and

831   testosterone in the male rat. Endocrinology [Internet]. 1977 Aug [cited 2016 Jun

832   10];101(2):421–9. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/885115

833   40.   Kirk SE, Xie TY, Steyn FJ, Grattan DR, Bunn SJ. Restraint stress increases prolactin-mediated

834   phosphorylation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 5 in the hypothalamus and

835   adrenal cortex in the male mouse. J Neuroendocrinol [Internet]. 2017 Apr 20 [cited 2017 May

836   2]; Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/jne.12477

837   41.   Newman SW. The medial extended amygdala in male reproductive behavior. A node in the

838   mammalian social behavior network. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1999;877:242–57.

839   42.   Melcangi RC, Giatti S, Garcia-Segura LM. Levels and actions of neuroactive steroids in the

840   nervous system under physiological and pathological conditions: Sex-specific features.

841   Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2015;

842   43.   Naftolin F, Ryan KJ, Davies IJ, Reddy V V, Flores F, Petro Z, et al. The formation of estrogens by

843   central neuroendocrine tissues. Recent Prog Horm Res [Internet]. 1975 [cited 2016 Jun

844   16];31:295–319. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/812160

845   44.   Mitra SW, Hoskin E, Yudkovitz J, Pear L, Wilkinson H a., Hayashi S, et al. Immunolocalization

846   of estrogen receptor α in the mouse brain: Comparison with estrogen receptor β.

847   Endocrinology. 2003;144(5):2055–67.

848   45.   Stanić D, Dubois S, Chua HK, Tonge B, Rinehart N, Horne MK, et al. Characterization of

849   aromatase expression in the adult male and female mouse brain. I. Coexistence with

850   oestrogen receptors α and β, and androgen receptors. PLoS One [Internet]. 2014 Jan [cited

851   2015 Dec 29];9(3):e90451. Available from:

852   http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3960106&tool=pmcentrez&ren

dertype=abstract

46.   Chandrashekar V, Bartke A. Influence of endogenous prolactin on the luteinizing hormone

stimulation of testicular steroidogenesis and the role of prolactin in adult male rats. Steroids

[Internet]. 1988 [cited 2016 Jul 7];51(5–6):559–76. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3242177

47.   Dungan HM, Clifton DK, Steiner RA. Minireview: kisspeptin neurons as central processors in

the regulation of gonadotropin-releasing hormone secretion. Endocrinology [Internet]. 2006

Mar [cited 2016 Aug 3];147(3):1154–8. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16373418

48.   Kokay IC, Petersen SL, Grattan DR. Identification of prolactin-sensitive GABA and kisspeptin

neurons in regions of the rat hypothalamus involved in the control of fertility. Endocrinology

[Internet]. 2011 Feb [cited 2016 Jun 13];152(2):526–35. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21177834

49.   Semaan SJ, Tolson KP, Kauffman AS. The development of kisspeptin circuits in the

Mammalian brain. Adv Exp Med Biol [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2016 Jun 13];784:221–52.

Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23550009

50.   Drago F, Lissandrello CO. The "low-dose" concept and the paradoxical effects of prolactin on

grooming and sexual behavior. Eur J Pharmacol. 2000;405(1–3):131–7.

51.   Hernandez ME, Soto-Cid A, Rojas F, Pascual LI, Aranda-Abreu GE, Toledo R, et al. Prostate

response to prolactin in sexually active male rats. Reprod Biol Endocrinol [Internet]. 2006

[cited 2016 Jun 15];4:28. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16707016

52.   Saito TR, Terada M, Oláh M, Nagy GM. The Role of Prolactin in the Regulation of Male

Copulatory Behavior. In: Nagy, György M., Toth BE, editor. Prolactin [Internet]. InTech; 2013

[cited 2016 Jun 15]. Available from: http://www.intechopen.com/books/prolactin/the-role-

of-prolactin-in-the-regulation-of-male-copulatory-behavior

53.   Hull EM, Lorrain DS, Du J, Matuszewich L, Lumley LA, Putnam SK, et al. Hormone-

neurotransmitter interactions in the control of sexual behavior. Behav Brain Res [Internet].

1999 Nov 1 [cited 2017 Jul 25];105(1):105–16. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10553694

54.   Hernández ML, Fernández-Ruiz JJ, Navarro M, de Miguel R, Cebeira M, Vaticón L, et al.

Modifications of mesolimbic and nigrostriatal dopaminergic activities after

intracerebroventricular administration of prolactin. J Neural Transm Gen Sect [Internet]. 1994

[cited 2017 May 2];96(1):63–79. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7857592

55.   Lookingland KJ, Moore KE. Effects of estradiol and prolactin on incertohypothalamic

dopaminergic neurons in the male rat. Brain Res [Internet]. 1984 Dec 3 [cited 2017 May

2];323(1):83–91. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6525510

56.   Chen YF, Ramirez VD. Prolactin stimulates dopamine release from male but not from female

rat striatal tissue superfused in vitro. Endocrinology [Internet]. 1982 Nov [cited 2016 Jun

15];111(5):1740–2. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7128535

57.   Laping NJ, Dluzen DE, Ramirez VD. Prolactin stimulates dopamine release from the rat corpus

striatum in the absence of extra-cellular calcium. Neurosci Lett [Internet]. 1991 Dec 16 [cited

2016 Jun 15];134(1):1–4. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1815141

58.   Gonzalez-Mora JL, Guadalupe T, Mas M. In vivo voltammetry study of the modulatory action

of prolactin on the mesolimbic dopaminergic system. Brain Res Bull [Internet]. 1990 Nov

[cited 2018 Sep 11];25(5):729–33. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2289161

59.   Pi X, Voogt JL, Grattan DR. Detection of prolactin receptor mRNA in the corpus striatum and

substantia nigra of the rat. J Neurosci Res [Internet]. 2002 Feb 15 [cited 2018 Sep

11];67(4):551–8. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11835322

60.   Muccioli G, Ghè C, Di Carlo R. Distribution and Characterization of Prolactin Binding Sites in

the Male and Female Rat Brain: Effects of Hypophysectomy and Ovariectomy.

Neuroendocrinology [Internet]. 1991 Jan [cited 2018 Sep 11];53(1):47–53. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2046861

61.   Dugger BN, Morris JA, Jordan CL, Breedlove SM. Androgen receptors are required for full

masculinization of the ventromedial hypothalamus (VMH) in rats. Horm Behav [Internet].

2007 Feb [cited 2016 Jul 13];51(2):195–201. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1828277&tool=pmcentrez&ren

dertype=abstract

62.   Kow LM, Pfaff DW. Mapping of neural and signal transduction pathways for lordosis in the

search for estrogen actions on the central nervous system. Behav Brain Res [Internet]. 1998

May [cited 2016 Jul 13];92(2):169–80. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9638959

63.   Christensen LW, Nance DM, Gorski RA. Effects of hypothalamic and preoptic lesions on

reproductive behavior in male rats. Brain Res Bull [Internet]. 1977 Jan [cited 2016 Jul

13];2(2):137–41. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/880486

64.   Waldherr M, Neumann ID. Centrally released oxytocin mediates mating-induced anxiolysis in

male rats. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 2007 Oct 16 [cited 2016 Oct

21];104(42):16681–4. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17925443

65.   Nishitani S, Moriya T, Kondo Y, Sakuma Y, Shinohara K. Induction of Fos immunoreactivity in

oxytocin neurons in the paraventricular nucleus after female odor exposure in male rats:

effects of sexual experience. Cell Mol Neurobiol [Internet]. 2004 Apr [cited 2016 Oct

925 21];24(2):283–91. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15176441

926 66. Veening JG, de Jong TR, Waldinger MD, Korte SM, Olivier B. The role of oxytocin in male and

927  female reproductive behavior. Eur J Pharmacol [Internet]. 2015 Apr 15 [cited 2016 Oct

928  24];753:209–28. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25088178

929 67. Gil M, Bhatt R, Picotte KB, Hull EM. Oxytocin in the medial preoptic area facilitates male

930  sexual behavior in the rat. Horm Behav [Internet]. 2011 Apr [cited 2016 Oct 21];59(4):435–43.

931  Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21195714

932 68. Bridges RS, Ronsheim PM. Prolactin (PRL) regulation of maternal behavior in rats:

933  bromocriptine treatment delays and PRL promotes the rapid onset of behavior.

934  Endocrinology [Internet]. 1990 Feb [cited 2015 Mar 3];126(2):837–48. Available from:

935  http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2298174

936 69. Bosch OJ. Maternal aggression in rodents: brain oxytocin and vasopressin mediate pup

937  defence. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci [Internet]. 2013;368:20130085. Available from:

938  http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24167315

939 70. Bosch OJ, Neumann ID. Both oxytocin and vasopressin are mediators of maternal care and

940  aggression in rodents: From central release to sites of action. Horm Behav [Internet].

941  2012;61(3):293–303. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2011.11.002

942 71. Sakaguchi K, Tanaka M, Ohkubo T, Doh-ura K, Fujikawa T, Sudo S, et al. Induction of brain

943  prolactin receptor long-form mRNA expression and maternal behavior in pup-contacted male

944  rats: promotion by prolactin administration and suppression by female contact.

945  Neuroendocrinology [Internet]. 1996 Jun [cited 2016 Jul 7];63(6):559–68. Available from:

946  http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8793898

947 72. Saltzman W, Ziegler TE. Functional significance of hormonal changes in mammalian fathers. J

948  Neuroendocrinol [Internet]. 2014 Oct [cited 2016 Jul 7];26(10):685–96. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25039657

73.   Tachikawa KS, Yoshihara Y, Kuroda KO. Behavioral transition from attack to parenting in male

mice: a crucial role of the vomeronasal system. J Neurosci [Internet]. 2013 Mar 20 [cited 2016

Jul 7];33(12):5120–6. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23516278

74.   Jin D, Liu H-X, Hirai H, Torashima T, Nagai T, Lopatina O, et al. CD38 is critical for social

behaviour by regulating oxytocin secretion. Nature [Internet]. 2007 Mar 1 [cited 2016 Sep

12];446(7131):41–5. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17287729

75.   Akther S, Korshnova N, Zhong J, Liang M, Cherepanov SM, Lopatina O, et al. CD38 in the

nucleus accumbens and oxytocin are related to paternal behavior in mice. Mol Brain

[Internet]. 2013 [cited 2016 Sep 12];6:41. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24059452

76.   Dölen G, Darvishzadeh A, Huang KW, Malenka RC. Social reward requires coordinated activity

of nucleus accumbens oxytocin and serotonin. Nature [Internet]. 2013 Sep 12 [cited 2018 Feb

6];501(7466):179–84. Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/nature12518

77.   Otero-García M, Agustín-Pavón C, Lanuza E, Martínez-García F. Distribution of oxytocin and

co-localization with arginine vasopressin in the brain of mice. Brain Struct Funct [Internet].

2016 Sep [cited 2016 Oct 18];221(7):3445–73. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26388166

78.   DeMaria JE, Lerant AA, Freeman ME. Prolactin activates all three populations of hypothalamic

neuroendocrine dopaminergic neurons in ovariectomized rats. Brain Res [Internet]. 1999 Aug

7 [cited 2016 Jun 6];837(1–2):236–41. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10434008

79.   Björklund A, Moore RY, Nobin A, Stenevi U. The organization of tubero-hypophyseal and

reticulo-infundibular catecholamine neuron systems in the rat brain. Brain Res [Internet].

1973 Mar 15 [cited 2016 Jun 6];51:171–91. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4706009

80. Goudreau JL, Lindley SE, Lookingland KJ, Moore KE. Evidence that hypothalamic

periventricular dopamine neurons innervate the intermediate lobe of the rat pituitary.

Neuroendocrinology [Internet]. 1992 Jul [cited 2016 Jun 6];56(1):100–5. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1322505

81. Ma FY, Anderson GM, Gunn TD, Goffin V, Grattan DR, Bunn SJ. Prolactin specifically activates

signal transducer and activator of transcription 5b in neuroendocrine dopaminergic neurons.

Endocrinology [Internet]. 2005 Dec [cited 2015 Mar 3];146(12):5112–9. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16123156

82. Torner L, Toschi N, Pohlinger A, Landgraf R, Neumann ID. Anxiolytic and anti-stress effects of

brain prolactin: improved efficacy of antisense targeting of the prolactin receptor by

molecular modeling. J Neurosci [Internet]. 2001 May 1 [cited 2016 Aug 3];21(9):3207–14.

Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11312305

83. Davis M, Shi C. The extended amygdala: are the central nucleus of the amygdala and the bed

nucleus of the stria terminalis differentially involved in fear versus anxiety? Ann N Y Acad Sci

[Internet]. 1999 Jun 29 [cited 2016 Aug 3];877:281–91. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10415655

84. Aguilera G, Subburaju S, Young S, Chen J. The parvocellular vasopressinergic system and

responsiveness of the hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis during chronic stress. Prog Brain

Res [Internet]. 2008 Jan [cited 2016 Sep 13];170:29–39. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2536760&tool=pmcentrez&ren

dertype=abstract

85. Donner N, Neumann ID. Effects of chronic intracerebral prolactin on the oxytocinergic and

vasopressinergic system of virgin ovariectomized rats. Neuroendocrinology [Internet]. 2009

Jan [cited 2016 Sep 13];90(3):315–22. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19546517

86.   Vega C, Moreno-Carranza B, Zamorano M, Quintanar-Stéphano A, Méndez I, Thebault S, et al.

Prolactin promotes oxytocin and vasopressin release by activating neuronal nitric oxide

synthase in the supraoptic and paraventricular nuclei. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol

[Internet]. 2010 Dec [cited 2016 Sep 13];299(6):R1701-8. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20943859

87.   Blume A, Torner L, Liu Y, Subburaju S, Aguilera G, Neumann ID. Prolactin activates mitogen-

activated protein kinase signaling and corticotropin releasing hormone transcription in rat

hypothalamic neurons. Endocrinology [Internet]. 2009 Apr [cited 2016 Sep 13];150(4):1841–

9. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2659278&tool=pmcentrez&ren

dertype=abstract

1011