Aedes anphevirus (AeAV): an insect-specific virus distributed worldwide in *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes that has complex interplays with *Wolbachia* and dengue virus infection in cells

Rhys Parry and Sassan Asgari*

Australian Infectious Disease Research Centre, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia

Running title: Anphevirus from *Aedes aegypti*

Word count (abstract): 249
Word count (importance): 161
Word count (text): 5334

*Corresponding author: Sassan Asgari; Tel: +617 3365 2043; Fax: +617 3365 1655; s.asgari@uq.edu.au

Abstract

Insect specific viruses (ISVs) of the yellow fever mosquito *Aedes aegypti* have been demonstrated

to modulate transmission of arboviruses such as dengue virus (DENV) and West Nile virus by the

mosquito. The diversity and composition of the virome of *Ae. aegypti*, however, remains poorly

understood. In this study, we characterised Aedes anphevirus (AeAV), a negative-sense RNA virus

from the order *Mononegavirales*. AeAV identified from *Aedes* cell lines were infectious to both *Ae.*

aegypti and *Aedes albopictus* cells, but not to three mammalian cell lines. To understand the

incidence and genetic diversity of AeAV, we assembled 17 coding-complete and two partial genomes

of AeAV from available RNA-Seq data. AeAV appears to transmit vertically and be present in

laboratory colonies, wild-caught mosquitoes and cell lines worldwide. Phylogenetic analysis of AeAV

strains indicates that as the *Ae. aegypti* mosquito has expanded into the Americas and Asia-Pacific,

AeAV has evolved into monophyletic African, American and Asia-Pacific lineages. The

endosymbiotic bacterium *Wolbachia pipientis* restricts positive-sense RNA viruses in *Ae. aegypti*.

Re-analysis of a small RNA library of *Ae. aegypti* cells co-infected with AeAV and *Wolbachia*

produces an abundant RNAi response consistent with persistent virus replication. We found

Wolbachia enhances replication of AeAV when compared to a tetracycline cleared cell line, and

AeAV modestly reduces DENV replication *in vitro*. The results from our study improve understanding

of the diversity and evolution of the virome of *Ae. aegypti* and adds to previous evidence that shows

Wolbachia does not restrict a range of negative strand RNA viruses.

Importance

The mosquito *Aedes aegypti* transmits a number of arthropod-borne viruses (arboviruses) such as

dengue virus and Zika virus. Mosquitoes also harbour insect-specific viruses that may affect

replication of pathogenic arboviruses in their body. Currently, however, there are only a handful of

insect-specific viruses described from *Ae. aegypti* in the literature. Here, we characterise a novel

negative strand virus, Aedes anphevirus (AeAV). Meta-analysis of *Ae. aegypti* samples showed that

it is present in *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes worldwide and

is vertically transmitted. *Wolbachia* transinfected mosquitoes are currently being used in biocontrol

as they effectively block transmission of several positive sense RNA viruses in mosquitoes. Our

results demonstrate that *Wolbachia* enhances the replication of AeAV and modestly reduces dengue

virus replication in a cell line model. This study expands our understanding of the virome in *Ae.*

aegypti as well as providing insight into the complexity of the *Wolbachia* virus restriction phenotype.

Introduction

The yellow fever mosquito *Aedes aegypti* is a vector of medically important viruses with worldwide

distribution within the tropical and subtropical zones (1). *Ae. aegypti* is the principal vector of both

dengue virus (DENV) and Zika virus (ZIKV) (Family: *Flaviviridae*) with estimates suggesting up to

390 million incidences of DENV infections a year (2), and approximately 400,000 cases of ZIKV

during the 2015–2016 Latin American ZIKV outbreak (3).

The ability of mosquitoes to transmit viruses is determined by a complex suite of genetic and extrinsic

host factors (4-6). One developing area is the contribution of insect-specific viruses (ISVs),

demonstrated not to replicate in mammalian cells, in the vector competence of individual mosquitoes

(7, 8). ISVs can suppress the anti-viral RNAi response as shown in Culex-Y virus (CYV) of the

Birnaviridae family (9), or enhance the transcription of host factors; cell fusing agent virus (CFAV)

(Family: *Flaviviridae*) infection of *Ae. aegypti* Aa20 cells upregulates the V-ATPase-associated factor

RNASEK allowing more favourable replication of DENV (10). ISVs have also been shown to

suppress or exclude replication of arboviruses; prior infection of *Aedes albopictus* C6/36 cells and

Ae. aegypti mosquitoes with Palm Creek virus (PCV) (Family: *Flaviviridae*) has been shown to

supress replication of the zoonotic West Nile virus (WNV) and Murray Valley encephalitis virus

(Family: *Flaviviridae*) (11, 12). Also, it has recently been demonstrated in *Aedes* cell lines that dual

infection with Phasi Charoen-like virus (Family: *Bunyaviridae*) and CFAV restricts the cells

permissivity to both DENV and ZIKV infection (13).

Metagenomic and bio-surveillance strategies have proved invaluable in describing the virome

diversity of wild-caught *Culicinae* mosquitoes (14, 15). To date, six ISVs have been identified and

characterised from wild-caught and laboratory *Ae. aegypti*; CFAV (16, 17), Phasi Charoen-like virus (Family: *Bunyaviridae*) (18), Dezidougou virus from the Negevirus taxon (19), Aedes densoviruses (Family: *Parvoviridae*) (20) and the unclassified Humaita-Tubiacanga virus (HTV) (21). Recently, transcriptomic analysis of wild-caught *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes from Bangkok, Thailand and Cairns, Australia suggested possible infection of the mosquitoes with up to 27 insect-specific viruses, the majority of which currently uncharacterized (22). This represents a narrow understanding of the diversity of the circulating virome harboured by *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes.

In this study, we identified and characterised a novel negative-sense RNA *Anphevirus*, putatively named Aedes anphevirus (AeAV), from the order *Mononegavirales* in *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes. According to the most recent International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) report (23), Xīnchéng mosquito virus (XcMV), assembled as part of a metagenomic analysis of *Anopheles sinensis* mosquitoes in Xīnchéng China, is the only member of the *Anphevirus* genus and closely related to members of *Bornaviridae* and *Nyamiviridae* (24). Originally thought to only encode for four ORFs, presence of a number of closely related viruses to XcMV from West African *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes (15) and West Australian *Culex* mosquitoes (25) suggests that members of this taxon encode for six ORFs with a genome size of approximately 12kb.

The endosymbiotic bacterium *Wolbachia pipientis* was first shown to restrict RNA viruses in *Drosophila melanogaster* (26, 27). Transinfection of *Wolbachia* into *Ae. aegypti* restricted DENV and Chikungunya virus (Family: *Togaviridae*) replication in the host (28). In *Ae. aegypti* Aag2 cells, stably transinfected with a proliferative strain of *Wolbachia* (*w*MelPop-CLA), the endosymbiont restricts CFAV (29), but has no effect on the negative sense Phasi Charoen-like virus (Family: *Bunyaviridae*) (30). In addition to characterising AeAV, we also studied the effect of *Wolbachia* on AeAV replication and co-infection of AeAV and DENV in *Ae. aegypti* cells.

Results

Identification and assembly of the full Aedes anphevirus (AeAV) genome from *Wolbachia*-infected *Aedes* cells

During replication of RNA viruses in *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes, the RNA interference (RNAi) pathway

cleaves viral dsRNA intermediates into 21nt short interfering RNAs (vsiRNAs) (31, 32). Using this

fraction of reads from RNA-Seq data, it is possible to *de novo* assemble virus genomes (21, 33).

The previously sequenced small RNA fraction of embryonic *Ae. aegypti* Aag2 cells and Aag2 cells

stably infected with *Wolbachia* (*w*MelPop-CLA strain) (34) was trimmed of adapters, filtered for 21nt

reads and *de novo* assembled using CLC Genomics Workbench with a minimum contig length of

100nt. The resulting contigs were then queried using BLASTX against a local virus protein database

downloaded from the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). In the Aag2.*w*MelPop-

CLA assembly, four contigs between 396-1162nt were found to have amino acid similarity (E value

9.46E-51) to proteins from two closely related *Mononegavirales* viruses: Culex mononega-like virus

1 (CMLV-1) and Xīnchéng mosquito virus (XcMV); the type species for the *Anphevirus* genus. No

contigs from the *Wolbachia* negative *Ae. aegypti* Aag2 dataset showed any similarity to CMLV-1 or

XnMV.

Subsequent RT-PCR analysis between RNA samples from Aag2 and Aag2.*w*MelPop-CLA cell lines

indicated that this tentative virus was exclusive to the Aag2.*w*MelPop-CLA cell line (Fig. 1A). We

hypothesised that the presence of any putative virus may have been the result of contamination

during *Wolbachia* transinfection. The *Wolbachia w*MelPop-CLA strain was isolated from the *Ae.*

albopictus cell line RML-12 and transinfected into Aag2 (35) and the *Ae. albopictus* C6/36

(C6/36.*w*MelPop-CLA) cell lines (36). RT-PCR analysis of RNA extracted from RML-12 and C6/36

cells, as well as the *Ae. aegypti* cell line Aa20, showed that the putative virus was present only in

RML-12 cells (Fig. 1A).

To recover the remainder of the virus genome, transcriptome RNA-Seq data from RML-12.*w*MelPop-

CLA and C6/36.*w*MelPop-CLA cells were downloaded (37, 38) and *de novo* assembled with

automatic bubble and word sizes using CLC Genomics Workbench. BLASTN analysis of assembled

contigs indicated that a complete 12,940 contig from the C6/36.*w*MelPop-CLA cells and two contigs

(9624 and 3487nt) from RML-12.*w*MelPop-CLA were almost identical (99-100% pairwise nucleotide

identity) to the virus like contigs assembled from Aag2.*w*MelPop-CLA. We were then able to use this

reference to recover the full genomes from Aag2.*w*MelPop-CLA and RML-12.*w*MelPop-CLA

consensus mapping to this reference. To re-validate that AeAV was only present in Aag2.*w*MelPop-

CLA cells, reads from the *Wolbachia*-negative Aag2 cells were mapped to the representative

genome, and only four reads were identified in the data. The result from here and the RT-PCR

analysis above (Fig. 1A) also confirm that the virus found in the *Wolbachia* transinfected cells

originate from RML-12 cells in which *w*MelPop-CLA was originally transinfected and subsequently

transferred to other cell lines.

Characterisation of Aedes anphevirus (AeAV)

AeAV genomes assembled in this study were between 12,455 to 13,011 nucleotides in length with

a %GC content of 46.8% and encode for 7 non-overlapping ORFs (Fig. 1B). Phylogenetic analysis

of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase protein places AeAV within a well-supported clade of the

unassigned *Anphevirus* genus, which are from the order *Mononegavirales* and closely related to

members of *Bornaviridae* and *Nyamiviridae* (Fig. 1C).

All members of *Mononegavirales* have a negative-stranded RNA genome encapsidated within the

capsid and the RNA polymerase complex (39). The RNA genome is used as the template by the

RNA polymerase complex to sequentially transcribe discrete mRNAs from subgenomic genes.

mRNA from each gene is capped and polyadenylated. To analyse the transcriptional activity of

AeAV, we used the poly-A enriched RNA-Seq libraries prepared from the Cali, Colombia laboratory

strain (40). Read mapping and coverage analysis of the AeAV genome showed that AeAV follows

the trend of reduced transcriptional activity seen in other *Mononegavirales* species (41) with

approximately 50% reduction between ORF1 and ORF2 but an increased transcription between

ORF2 and ORF3 (Fig. 1B). The reduction in transcriptional activity of AeAV genes is conserved for

each sequential ORF with the least transcriptional activity for ORF7/L protein, that is conserved in

all AeAV strains in Poly(A) enriched RNA-Seq libraries (Fig. S1).

ORF1 of AeAV encodes a predicted 49kDa nucleoprotein with no transmembrane domains and

closest pairwise amino acid identity (26%) to the nucleoprotein gene from Culex mononega-like virus

1 (CMLV-1) from *Culex* mosquitoes in Western Australia (25). Protein homology analysis using

HHPred showed that ORF1 was a likely homolog of the p40 nucleoprotein of the Borna disease virus

(Probability 98.66%, E- value: 7.1e-10). ORF2 encodes an 11kDa protein with two transmembrane

domains in the N-terminus of the protein with no similarity to any proteins within the non-redundant

protein database or homologs as predicted by HHPred. ORF3 and ORF4 encode putative

glycoproteins, 64kDa and 72kDa, respectively. ORF3 has no pairwise amino acid similarity to any

virus protein or homologs as per HHPred analysis. ORF4 was predicted to have a signal peptide in

the N-terminus followed by a heavily O- and N-linked glycosylated outside region as well as two

transmembrane domains in the C-terminus of the protein. ORF4 is most closely related to the

glycoprotein from the Gambie virus identified from West African *An. gambiae* mosquitoes with 45%

pairwise amino acid identity (15). Protein homology analysis predicted ORF4 to be a homolog of the

Human Herpesvirus 1 Envelope Glycoprotein B (Probability 99.88%, E-value 2.2e-22).

The presence of a Zinc-like finger (ZnF) domain in a small ORF proximal to the L protein previously

reported in closely related viruses (15) (Fig. 2A and B), was identified in AeAV based on sequence

alignment (Fig. 1B). Re-analysis of putative ORFs from CMLV-1 and CMLV-2 (25) showed the

presence of this GATA-like ZnF domain in both of these viruses and the genus type species XcMV

identified from *An. sinesis* (24) (Fig. 2C).

ORF6 encodes for a small 4kDa protein that has a single transmembrane domain in the C-terminus.

This protein was almost missed in the prediction of ORFs due to having only 37 amino acids,

however, it has a strong transcriptional coverage in Poly-A datasets and exists in all assembled

strains (Fig. 1B and Fig. S1). It was predicted to share no structural homology or amino acid identity

with any previously reported peptide. In addition to this, we were able to identify small

transmembrane domain containing proteins proximal to or overlapping with the ZnF protein in CMLV-

1, CMLV-2 and XcMV (Fig. 2A), suggesting that this protein may be a conserved feature of

anpheviruses.

ORF7 encodes for the 226kDa L protein, has 41% pairwise amino acid identity with the RNA

dependent RNA polymerase from CMLV-1. Protein domain analysis of the L protein showed the

highly conserved *Mononegavirales* RNA dependent RNA polymerase, mRNA capping domain and

a mRNA (guanine-7-) methyltransferase (G-7-MTase) domain conserved in all L proteins in *Mononegavirales* (42).

AeAV *cis*-regulatory elements

For identification of *cis*-regulatory elements in the AeAV genome, we used MEME (Multiple Em for Motif Elicitation) to search for overrepresented 5-50nt motifs (43). Using a 0-order Markov model, one 32nt motif 3'-UUVCUHWUAAAAAACCCGCYAGUUASAAAUCA-5' was considered statistically significant (E-value: 4.2e-010). Importantly the motif was proximal to each predicted virus gene ORF, suggesting it may be a potential promoter (Fig. 3A and C). No motif was found between ORF 5 and 6 in AeAV suggesting that these two genes may be under the control of a single *cis*-regulatory element. Interestingly, the complement of this motif appeared twice on the anti-genome suggesting that it may be used in an anti-genome virus intermediate. We noticed that these motifs localised to partial palindromic repeats and predicted that they may form stable secondary RNA structures. Using RNAfold, we were able to visualise and predict the MFE structure 20nt upstream and downstream of the motif (44). All predicted *cis*-regulatory motifs formed partial or complete stable secondary stem loops and hairpins with high base-pair probabilities (Fig. 3B). The exception was predicted element 3, which is proximal to the second ORF; as this second gene is transcribed less than ORF3 irrespective of its similarity to the motif, the lack of a stable stem loop structure may be a novel transcriptional regulatory mechanism. The presence of two conserved homopolymeric triplets in the overrepresented motif is very similar to "slippery" -1 ribosome frame shifting (RFS) sites XXX YYY Z (X=A, G, U; Y=A, U; Z=A, C, U) (45). It has been previously demonstrated that similar 'slippery' sequence motifs followed by a predicted stem-loop structure is a feature of rhabdovirus gene overlap regions (46). In AeAV, this feature appears in the intergenic space and is unlikely to represent ribosomal frame shifting event and subsequent extension of a protein. We also searched for additional slippery motifs in the AeAV genome. The genomic context for each predicted "slippery motif" did not extend or produce additional ORFs.

AeAV infection is widespread in *Ae. aegypti* laboratory colonies, wild-caught mosquitoes and cell lines

Taking advantage of the currently published RNA-Seq data, we performed a meta-analysis of global incidence and genetic diversity of this virus. We were able to show that AeAV is ubiquitous in laboratory colonies, cell lines and wild-caught *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes. During preparation of this manuscript a partial AeAV genome of 5313nt (Accession: MG012486.1) was deposited into NCBI nucleotide database from a study characterising the evolution of piRNA pathways across arthropods (47). Using our AeAV genome reference, we were able to complete the CDS portion of the genome and also 16 additional strains of AeAV with two additional incomplete genomes (Fig. 4) (Table S1).

AeAV was present in colonies of *Ae. aegypti* established from eggs collected in Bakoumba, Gabon (48) and also from Rabai, Kenya (designated K2, K14) as well as four mated hybrid strains (49). In colonies wild caught from locations in the Americas (47), full genomes of AeAV were assembled from Miami, USA , Cali, Colombia (40), and Chetumal, Mexico (50, 51) laboratory strains. Partial genomes of AeAV were assembled from Cayenne and St-Georges, French Guiana (52). AeAV was identified in colonies established from eggs collected in Chaiyaphum and Rayong, Thailand (49, 53) as well as Jinjang, Malaysia (54). AeAV was also identified from the widely used Bora-Bora reference strain from French Polynesia (55). AeAV was also present in eight pools of wild-caught *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes used for ZIKA bio-surveillance in Miami, Florida (56) as well as Nakhon Nayok, and Bangkok, Thailand (18, 22).

In *Aedes* cell lines, AeAV was assembled from RNA-Seq data from the larval *Ae. aegypti* line CCL-125 originally produced in Pune, India (57) and sequenced by the Arthropod Cell Line RNA-Seq initiative, Broad Institute (broadinstitute.org). With the exception of RNA-Seq data from the three *Aedes* cell lines stably infected with *Wolbachia* (RML-12.*w*MelPop-CLA, C6/36.*w*MelPop-CLA and Aag2.*w*MelPopCLA), AeAV was not identified in any other available C6/36 or Aag2 RNA-Seq libraries.

Genetic variation and evolution of AeAV strains

To assess relatedness and evolution between AeAV strains, a Maximum likelihood phylogeny (PhyML) was undertaken of the CDS region of all strains with complete genomes (Fig. 5A). The

unrooted radial phylogenetic tree indicated three strongly supported monophyletic lineages associated with the geographic origin of the sample. We have designated these lineages of AeAV as African, American and Asia-Pacific (Fig. 5B).

In the American lineage of AeAV, all strains that are associated with *Wolbachia*-infected *Aedes* cell lines (RML-12.*w*MelPop-CLA, C6/36.*w*MelPop-CLA and Aag2.*w*MelPopCLA) are almost identical (99.55-99.86% identity), supporting the hypothesis that contamination of C6/36 and Aag2 cell lines infected with *Wolbachia* is likely from the original RML-12 cell line. AeAV from the eight wild-caught pools of *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes from Florida, USA (56) and the laboratory colony established from wild collected samples Florida (47) were almost identical (99.86% pairwise identity) with only 17nt differences over the CDS region. The three African lineage strains of AeAV were slightly closer in pairwise nucleotide identity to the American strains (92.65-93.15%) than the Asia/Pacific strains (91.63%-91.74%). All samples that originated from Thailand form a monophyletic group and are closely related to other Thai strains (99.23-99.62%).

We hypothesised that AeAV may have been harboured as part of the virome of *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes as *Ae. aegypti* expanded from its sub-Saharan African location into the Americas and Asia-Pacific (58). Phylogenetic studies of the *Ae. aegypti* genome support the origin of *Ae. aegypti* from Africa into the New World (Americas) and a subsequent secondary invasion of *Ae. aegypti aegypti* from the New World to the Asia-Pacific region (59, 60). Comparing the evolution of the *Ae. aegypti* nuclear genome with the evolution of AeAV indicates that the Asia-Pacific strains of AeAV have not evolved from the currently circulating American strain lineage. This may indicate that the virus was established independently in both the New-world Americas and also in the Asia-Pacific (Fig. 5B).

Anphevirus-like insertions into the *Ae. aegypti* genome

The *Ae. aegypti* genome has a large repertoire of virus genes and partial viral genomes, termed Endogenous Viral Elements (EVEs) (61, 62). To explore the possibility of anphevirus-like insertions within the *Ae. aegypti* genome, we queried the most recent Liverpool genome (Aaegl5) with the

Aag2.*w*MelPop-CLA AeAV reference strain using the VectorBase BLASTN suite
([https://www.vectorbase.org/blast)](https://www.vectorbase.org/blast). There were numerous hits of nucleotide similarity (67-70%) of
500-1704nt regions dispersed throughout the *Ae. aegypti* genome. EVEs are acquired through
recombination with long terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposons (62). We present one ~20kb portion
of Chromosome 2 of the *Ae. aegypti* genome (Fig. 6) with four anphevirus-like insertions and close
proximity to LTR retrotransposable fragments in unidirectional orientation. This suggests insertion of
viral elements through LTR retrotransposases and a long evolutionary history of challenge with
anphevirus-like species in *Ae. aegypti*.

Aedes Anphevirus (AeAV) replicates in *Aedes* cell lines but does not replicate in three mammalian cell lines

Supernatant of Aag2.*w*MelPop-CLA cells was infectious to both *Ae. aegypti* cells (Aa20), and *Ae.*
albopictus C6/36 cells over a five-day time course through RT-qPCR analysis (Fig. 7A). Generally,
there was significantly more relative AeAV genome copies detected in C6/36 cells at 1 and 5 dpi
compared to Aa20 cells. There were also significantly more anti-genome copies of AeAV in C6/36
cells over the five-day time course. The higher replication of AeAV in C6/36 cells as compared to
Aa20 cells is not unexpected since C6/36 cells are RNAi deficient and generally RNA viruses
replicate more efficiently in the cells (63-65).

We assumed that AeAV is an insect-specific virus based on its phylogenetic position, however, to
test if AeAV can replicate in mammalian cells, we inoculated human hepatocellular carcinoma cells
(Huh-7), African green monkey cells (Vero), and baby Hamster Kidney (BSR) cells with medium from
AeAV-infected cells and performed RT-PCR for AeAV RNA genome abundance over a 7-day time
course. While AeAV RNA (most likely from the inoculum) could be detected by RT-PCR at day 1 and
3 after inoculation, it did not increase over time and was visibly reduced in the mammalian cells by
5/7 dpi (Fig. 7B). AeAV was also not detected in the *An. gambiae* cell line MOS-55 transinfected with
*w*MelPop-CLA from RML-12-*w*MelPop-CLA (36) sequenced by the Arthropod Cell Line RNA-Seq
initiative, Broad Institute (broadinstitute.org). Taken together, the results suggest that AeAV infection
may be restricted within the subfamily *Culicinae* or even the *Aedes* genus and is insect specific.

Wolbachia pipientis infection in Ae. aegypti cells enhances AeAV replication

As *Wolbachia* is being deployed in the field to reduce dengue transmission, we were interested to find out if it has any effect on replication of AeAV. We extracted RNA from Aag2.wMelPop-CLA cells and a previously tetracycline cured Aag2.wMelPop-CLA cell line (66), and tested the effect of *Wolbachia* infection on AeAV genome and anti-genome copies. AeAV genomic RNA copies were significantly greater in *Wolbachia*-infected (Aag2.wMelPop-CLA) cells than those in tetracycline cleared (Aag2.wMelPop-CLA.Tet) *Ae. aegypti* cells, however, there was no statistically significant difference between the relative anti-genome copies between the two cell lines (Fig. 8A).

To explore the host small RNA response to AeAV, clean reads from previously prepared sRNA libraries from Aag2.wMelPop-CLA and Aag2 (34) were mapped to the AeAV genome. In the cytoplasmic fraction of the Aag2.wMelPop-CLA sample 870,012 of 4,686,954 reads (18.56%) mapped to AeAV. In the nuclear fraction 420,215 of 11,406,324 reads (3.68%) mapped to the genome. In the combined Aag2 sRNA library, of 8,600,821 clean reads only four reads mapped to the AeAV genome. The mapping profile of 18-31nt reads mapped from the Aag2.wMelPop-CLA library to AeAV indicates a higher proportion 27–31nt viral derived PIWI RNAs (vpiRNAs) than the 21nt vsiRNAs (Fig. 8B).

Analysis of the profile of mapped AeAV vsiRNAs fairly ubiquitously targeted the AeAV genome and anti-genome (Fig. 8C). Hotspots in the vpiRNA mapping profile appeared to target the 3'UTR and ORF1 and the 5'UTR of the AeAV anti-genome (Fig. 8D). Biogenesis of vpiRNAs are independent of Dicer-2 with a bias for adenosine at position 10 in the sense position and a uracil in the first nucleotide of antisense polarity (67). This "ping-pong" characteristic signature was apparent in the vpiRNA reads from the cell line (Fig. 8E and F).

Persistent infection of AeAV in Aa20 cells modestly reduces replication of DENV-2 genomic RNA

Recently, it has been demonstrated that in *Aedes* cell lines experimentally infected with two ISVs replication of DENV and ZIKV was reduced (13). To test if there was any interaction between AeAV

and the subsequent challenge of cells with DENV, we generated an Aa20 cell line inoculated with

medium from RML-12 and maintained it for three passages. Aa20 cells persistently infected with

AeAV were challenged with 0.1 and 1 multiplicities of infection (MOI) of DENV-2 ET-100 strain. RT-

qPCR analysis of DENV-2 genomic RNA showed that accumulation was on average less in AeAV

infected Aa20 cells as compared to the control (Fig. 9A and B). This reduction in DENV-2 genome

copies was statistically significant at MOI of 0.1 at both three and five days post infection. No AeAV-

related RT-qPCR product was detected in mock-infected Aa20 cells (data not shown). We also

examined the effect of DENV infection on AeAV RNA levels in the AeAV persistently infected cells.

RT-qPCR analysis showed no significant effect on AeAV levels between 1 and 3 days post-DENV

infection, however, AeAV genomic RNA levels significantly declined at 5 days-post DENV infection

(Fig. 9C). There was no significant difference in the results between 0.1 and 1 MOI of DENV.

Evidence for vertical transmission of AeAV

We were fortunate to explore the potential vertical transmission of AeAV by using RNA-Seq data of

uninfected and infected mated individuals from a study characterising the genetic basis of olfactory

preference in *Ae. aegypti* (49). Briefly, McBride and collegues used eggs from a number of *Ae.*

aegypti species in Rabai, Kenya to establish laboratory colonies for RNA-Seq analysis. We identified

AeAV in the domestic K2 and K14 colonies, which was seemingly absent from the other Rabai (K18,

K19, K27) colonies. The K27 colony was interbred with the strain K14, which we found to be AeAV

positive. In all the four resultant hybrid colonies, which were subjected to RNA-Seq analysis, we

were able to *de novo* assemble identical K14 AeAV strain genomes (Fig. 10).

The possibility of vertical transmission also is supported by the presence of AeAV in both RNA-Seq

data from the sperm of adult male mosquitoes (54) and the female reproductive tract (53).

Discussion

The ability of AeAV to propagate in *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* cell lines but not in the three

mammalian cell lines suggests that AeAV is most likely an ISV, although this needs to be further

confirmed using cell lines from other species. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first

comprehensive characterisation of any *Anphevirus* species within *Mononegavirales* and the first *Mononegavirales* virus species within *Ae. aegypti.* Although as this manuscript was under revision, the complete genome sequence of AeAV and its phylogenetic relationship with other ISVs was published in a short communication (68). While we have demonstrated that AeAV is spread worldwide in *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes, we have limited understanding as to how prevalent AeAV is in individual mosquitoes in wild populations, its tissue tropism, or potential impacts on the host. Although it is likely that AeAV is maintained in wild populations of *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes through vertical transmission, it is possible that AeAV could be maintained though venereal transmission as we were able to identify the whole AeAV genome from a dataset prepared from the sperm of adult male *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes (54).

To our knowledge, the oldest continually maintained colony of laboratory mosquitoes with AeAV present comes from Jinjang, Malaysia, which was established from wild-collected samples from as early as 1975 (54). This suggests vertical transmission rates of AeAV are high or a high incidence of AeAV within the colony. In comparison, in both French Guiana colonies, we were unable to recover the complete genomes from these strains. It is unlikely that this is due to insertion of AeAV into the nuclear *Ae. aegypti* genome as numerous reads mapped to the ORF7/L region of the reference strain; however, there was not enough coverage to reach consensus of the full genome. As these libraries were prepared from homogenates of mosquitoes, it seems likely that the incidence of infection within these colonies may be lower; however, further analysis would have to be conducted.

In our analysis, AeAV was not detected in any of the widely used Liverpool (LVP) and Rockefeller/UGAL, as well as derived "white eye mutant" strains of *Ae. aegypti.* Analysis of published ncRNA-Seq data from Australian Townsville and Cairns colonies of wild-caught Australian mosquitoes (69, 70) suggests that there is no RNAi response or presence of AeAV in these mosquitoes. Evidence from this study and others suggests that widely used laboratory strains of *Ae. aegypti* harbour a diverse and heterogeneous virome composition and may contribute to the variable vector competence between these colonies.

In our analysis, the geographic origin of the RNA-Seq samples matched the resulting phylogenetic relationship of each strain. The presence of AeAV in the *Ae. albopictus* cell line RML-12, presumably the origin of the AeAV contamination in other *Aedes* cell lines transinfected with adapted *w*MelPop strain, was the only *Ae. albopictus* sample in our analysis. During our analysis, we queried all of the 266 currently available *Ae. albopictus* RNA-Seq datasets (TaxonID: 7160) uploaded to the SRA, none of which indicated presence of AeAV. We hypothesise that AeAV from RML-12 is likely due to contamination as the cell line is often mischaracterised as originating from *Ae. aegypti* (71, 72). In many laboratories that study arbovirus interactions, more than one *Aedes* cell line is maintained. As the RML-12 AeAV strain is genetically placed within the American lineage, and the namesake of the cell line, Rocky Mountain Laboratories, (71) located in Montana, USA suggests possible contamination from domestic *Ae. aegypti* mosquito samples. While no other *Ae. albopictus* RNA-Seq data were positive for AeAV in this study, we cannot rule out the possibility that AeAV could exist in American populations of *Ae. albopictus*. *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* co-exist in North America and compete for larval habitats of discarded tires and other artificial containers (73).

RNAi response is commonly observed in mosquitoes against RNA viruses. This response includes miRNA, siRNA and piRNA pathways (74, 75). Similarly, we found a large number of 21nt vsiRNAs produced against AeAV in infected cells that were evenly mapped to both sense and antisense strands, indicating that dsRNA intermediates produced during replication must be the target of the host cell RNAi response. In addition, a large number of vpiRNAs were found mapped to the 5'UTR, ORF1 and the 3'UTR of the AeAV genome. These vpiRNAs had the typical ping-pong signature (U_1-A_{10}) of secondary piRNAs. This signature has also been found in vpiRNAs produced during alphavirus (76) and bunyavirus (63, 77) infections, but not in vpiRNA-like small RNAs in most flaviviruses, such as DENV (78), ZIKV (79, 80) and an insect-specific flavivirus (81). We found a higher proportion of small RNAs from Aag2-*w*MelPop-CLA cells that mapped to AeAV are vpiRNAs (about 50%) as compared to less than 10% vsiRNAs. Literature suggests that when the siRNA pathway is compromised more vpiRNAs are produced. This has been shown in RNAi deficient C6/36 cells when infected with Sindbis virus, Rift Valley fever virus or La Crosse virus (63-65). The RNA-

Seq data from C6/36.wMelPop-CLA cells were for long transcripts rather than small RNAs, therefore we were not able to confirm if in those cells there are higher proportion of vpiRNAs than vsiRNAs. The over-representation of vpiRNAs in respect to vsiRNAs has also been demonstrated in negative-sense *Bunyavirales* members PCLV and RVFV (30, 65) in Aag2 cells for all segments of the genome. It remains to be seen however if the higher vpiRNA to vsiRNA ratio in Aag2.wMelPop-CLA could be due to suppression of the siRNA pathway by AeAV, or alternatively, *Wolbachia* may have an effect on the siRNA pathway. It seems however more likely that as negative sense RNA viruses produce less dsRNA replicative intermediates, these could be simply less targeted by the siRNA pathway and are unable to be resolved by sRNA profiling. These possibilities require further investigations, and it remains to determine the role of the vpiRNAs in AeAV replication or host anti-viral response.

The effects of *Wolbachia* on virus restriction are variable and depend on *Wolbachia* strain, virus family and transinfected host (82). *Wolbachia* was shown to enhance AeAV replication in *Ae. aegypti* cells in this study. Recent studies have demonstrated that *Wolbachia* has no effect on multi-segmented negative-sense RNA viruses; for example, Phasi Charoen-like virus (PCLV) (Family: *Bunyaviridae*) in wMelPop-CLA strain infected Aag2 cells (30), La Crosse virus (Family: *Bunyaviridae*) and vesicular stomatitis virus (Family: *Rhabdoviridae*), which is in the same order as AeAV, in wStri strain in *Ae. albopictus* C710 cell line (83), and the Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV) (Family: *Phenuiviridae*), in *Culex tarsalis* mosquitoes transinfected with a somatic *Wolbachia* (strain wAlbB) had no effect on RVFV infection or dissemination (84), respectively. RVFV and PCLV belong to the *Bunyavirales* order and AeAV belongs to *Mononegavirales*, distinct orders of negative strand RNA viruses. All three viruses have conserved features that may provide insight into how they might be protected from restriction by *Wolbachia*. The genome of all negative-sense ssRNA viruses is both encapsidated within the nucleoprotein (85, 86) and is attached to RNA dependent RNA polymerase within the virion (87). The RNA dependent polymerase complex carries out both transcription of virus genes and replication of the genome.

Wolbachia has been shown to restrict a number of positive-sense RNA virus species from the *Togaviridae* and *Flaviviridae* families (82). After fusion and entry into the host cell, the genomes of

Togaviridae and *Flaviviridae* species are released into the cytoplasm and translated directly into

polypeptide protein(s). These polypeptide proteins are processed by viral and cellular proteases to

generate the mature structural and non-structural proteins which are then used to replicate the

genome (88). While the exact mechanism for RNA virus restriction in *Wolbachia*-infected insects has

remained elusive, it has been shown that restriction of RNA viruses by *Wolbachia* happens early in

infection (89, 90). In the *Ae. albopictus* cell line C710 stably infected with *w*Stri, the polypeptide of

ZIKV is not produced as determined by immunoblot at one day post-infection (90). Additionally,

Wolbachia exploits host innate immunity to establish a symbiotic relationship with *Ae. aegypti* (91).

Perhaps the combination of protection of the RNA nucleocapsid genome or genome segments when

released in the cytoplasm or activity of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase may aid in evasion of

host immune response enhanced by *Wolbachia* or *Wolbachia* effector molecules (92). However, a

recent study suggested increases in infection of *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes by insect-specific

flaviviruses when they harbour *Wolbachia w*Mel strain (93).

The ability of AeAV to modestly reduce DENV-2 genomic RNA in a persistently infected cell line was

unexpected. While it has previously been shown that members of the same virus family can provide

super exclusion of additional viruses (12, 94), little work has been undertaken to look at cross viral

family exclusion effects. Our results showed that less DENV replication occured in the presence of

AeAV, with the difference particularly significant at lower MOI. If this suppressive effect also occurs

in mosquitoes, enhancement of AeAV in *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes infected with *Wolbachia* may be

beneficial in terms of DENV suppression.

As *Ae. aegypti* is perhaps the most important vector of arboviruses worldwide, further work should

be undertaken in understanding and characterising the virome of this mosquito and effects on

mosquito life-history traits. Our findings provide new insights into the evolution and genetic diversity

of AeAV across a wide geographic range as well as providing valuable insights into the virus features

and families restricted by *Wolbachia* in mosquito hosts and its effects on arboviruses they transmit.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines maintenance and experimental infection with AeAV

Ae. aegypti cell line (Aag2) stably infected with *Wolbachia* (denoted Aag2.*w*MelPop-CLA) as previously described for the C6/36.*w*MelPop-CLA (35), with its previously generated tetracycline-treated line (66), and both *Ae. albopictus* C6/36 cell line (57) and RML-12 cell lines were maintained in 1:1 Mitsuhashi-Maramorosch and Schneider's insect medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, Bovogen Biologicals). Aa20 cells established from *Ae. aegypti* larvae (95) were maintained in Leibovitz's L15 medium supplemented with 10% FBS (France – Biowest) and 10% Tryptose phosphate broth at 27°C. African green monkey cells (Vero) were maintained in Opti-MEM I Reduced-Serum Medium supplemented with 2% FBS and 10 mL/L Penicillin-Streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich). Human hepatocellular carcinoma cells (Huh-7) were maintained in Opti-MEM I Reduced-Serum Medium supplemented with 5% FBS and 10 mL/L Penicillin-Streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich). BSR cells (a clone of Baby Hamster Kidney-21) were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (Gibco), 2% FBS and 10 mL/L Penicillin-Streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich). All mammalian cells were kept at 37°C with 5%CO_2.

For experimental infection of cells, 10^6 cells of *Aedes* or mammalian cells were seeded in a 12-well plate. Subsequently, supernatant from Aag2.*w*MelPop-CLA cells was collected, centrifuged at 2150×g for 5 min to remove cells and debris, and used as an AeAV inoculation source. One Aa20 cell line was experimentally inoculated with RML-12 cell supernatant and kept as a persistently infected AeAV cell line. Cells were collected at 1, 3 and 5 days post-inoculation for *Aedes* cell lines for RT-qPCR analysis and 1, 3, 5 and 7 days for mammalian cell lines for RT-PCR analysis.

Aedes anphevirus (AeAV) and dengue virus (DENV-2) interaction assay

The third passage of Aa20 cells persistently infected with AeAV (denoted Aa20$_{AeAV}$) and Aa20 mock were seeded at the density of $3×10^5$ cells in 12-well plates overnight. Cells were then infected with the East Timor (ET-100) DENV-2 strain at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.1 and 1, cells were rocked for an hour at room temperature and supernatant was discarded and replaced with fresh medium. Cells were collected at 0, 1, 3 and 5dpi after infection with mock collected at 5 dpi. Cells

were subjected to RNA extraction to quantify the DENV-2 genomic RNA levels by RT-qPCR as

described below.

Assembly and identification of AeAV strains from RNA-Seq data

For detection of AeAV in previously published RNA-Seq data, we used the assembled RML-12 AeAV

genome as a BLASTN query for all available *Ae. aegypti* (taxonID: 7159) RNA-Seq data within the

Sequence Read Archive (SRA) on NCBI. SRA run files with positive hits of 90-100% identity and an

E value <2E-30 were downloaded and converted to fastq using the NCBI SRA toolkit

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/docs/toolkitsoft/ for further analysis. FastQC

(https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) was used for quality checking of fastq

files and adapter identification. Fastq files were then imported into CLC Genomics Workbench

(10.1.1) and were adapter and quality trimmed (<0.02; equivalent Phred quality score of 17,

ambiguous nucleotides: 2).

Two strategies were used to assemble strains of AeAV; fastq files from the same source of *Ae.*

aegypti were pooled and *de novo* assembled using the CLC Genomics Workbench assembly

program with automatic bubble and word sizes. This was sufficient to assemble the full coding

sequences (CDS) of most strains of AeAV. Table S1 contains *de novo* assembly statistics from each

dataset used.

If *de novo* assembly did not produce the complete AeAV genome, to complete further sections of

the AeAV genome clean reads were mapped to the C6/36.*w*MelPop-CLA strain of AeAV with

stringent alignment criteria (Match score:1 Mismatch cost:2 length fraction 0.89 and similarity fraction

0.89) to exclude false-positive mapping that derives from Endogenous Viral Elements (EVEs). To

confirm accuracy of assembly, the largest contigs of consensus mapping were extracted and then

used as a reference for re-mapping and manually checked. Final sequences of the virus genomes

were obtained through the majority consensus of the mapping assembly and were given Coding

Complete (CC) or Standard Draft (SD) genome quality ratings (96).

RNA isolation, strand specific cDNA synthesis and RT-qPCR

Total RNA was extracted from mosquito cells using QIAzol Lysis Reagent (QIAGEN) and treated with Turbo DNase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as per manufacturer's instructions. RNA quality and quantity were evaluated using a BioTek Epoch Microspot Plate Spectrophotometer. For the production of AeAV genome and anti-genome cDNA, two cDNA reactions were generated using 600ng of RNA and SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The genome cDNA strand was synthesised using a forward primer to AeAV (AeAVGenome-RT 5'-AGACTTCTAAGCCTGCCCACA -3'), and the AeAV anti-genome cDNA strand was synthesised using a reverse orientation primer (AeAVAntiGenome-RT 5'- ACACTTGCCATGTGCTCAG -3'). *Aedes* Ribosomal protein subunit 17 (RPS17) primers (*Ae. aegypti*: AeRPS17-qR 5'-GGACACTTCGGGCACGTAGT-3' and *Ae. albopictus* AalRPS17q-R 5'-ACGTAGTTGTCTCTCTGCGCTC -3') were used for reference gene cDNA synthesis. Following RT, qPCR with AeAV primers (AeAV-qF 5'-GACAATCGCATTGGCTGCAT-3' and AeAV-qR 5'-CCCGAGACAATCGGCTTCTT - 3') as well as primer pairs for the RPS17 genes (*Ae. aegypti:* AeRPS17-qF 5'- CACTCCGAGGTCCGTGGTAT -3' and *Ae. albopictus:* AalRPS17-qF 5'-CGCTGGTTTCGTGACACATC -3') were undertaken. RPS17 was used for normalizing data as described previously in *Ae. aegypti* cells (97).

For quantitation of DENV-2 genome copies in Aa20 cells, two SuperScript III reverse transcription (Thermo Fisher Scientific) reactions with 1000ng of RNA were prepared. For DENV-2 genome copies reaction, the reverse primer (DENV2-qR 5'- CAAGGCTAACGCATCAGTCA -3') and in a separate cDNA synthesis reaction the *Ae. aegypti* RPS17 primers as described above. Subsequently, qPCR for DENV-2 was carried out using the DENV-2 primer pair (DENV2-qF 5'-GGTATGGTGGGCGCTACTA -3' and DENV2-qR), and RPS17 was used as a normalising control as also described above.

Each time-point for experimental infection was run with three biological replicates and two technical replicates. Platinum SYBR Green Mix (Invitrogen) was used for qPCR with 20ng of RT products in a Rotor-Gene thermal cycler (QIAGEN) as described above. The relative abundance of AeAV RNA

and DENV-2 to the host reference gene was determined by qGENE software using the $\Delta\Delta C_t$ method

and analyzed using GraphPad Prism.

To test for the replication of AeAV in mammalian cells, 1000ng of total RNA extracted from the cells

was extracted and used for first stand synthesis with SuperScript III reverse transcriptase with the

AeAVGenome-RT primer. qPCR was subsequently carried out using AeAVGenome-RT primer and

the qPCR primer AeAVqR2 5'-ATGAAAGTATGGATACACACCGCG-3'. Products were then

visualised on a 1% agarose gel.

Virus genome annotation

Potential ORFs of AeAV were analysed using NCBI Open Reading Frame Finder

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/orffinder/) with a minimal ORF length of 150. ORFs were cross

referenced with mapping from Poly(A) enriched transcriptomes (Fig. S1) to reduce false positive

identification of ORFs. For determination of putative domains in AeAV, ORFs were translated and

searched against the Conserved Domain Search Service (CD Search)

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi). For protein homology detection, we used

the HHPred webserver on translated AeAV ORFs (98).

To best discriminate N-terminus transmembrane domains from signal peptides, we used the

consensus TOPCONS webserver (99). Glycosylation sites were predicted by the NetNGlyc 1.0/

NetOGlyc 4.0 Server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/).

Phylogenetic analysis

For placement of AeAV within the order *Mononegavirales*, ClustalW was used on CLC Genomics

Workbench to align amino acid sequences of 30 L proteins of the most closely related

Mononegavirales species as determined by BLASTp of the NCBI non-redundant database. A

Maximum likelihood phylogeny (PhyML) was constructed using the Whelan and Goldman (WAG)

amino acid substitution model with 1000 bootstraps. Accession numbers and associated hosts used

to produce the phylogenetic tree are available in Table S1.

To determine relatedness between different strains of AeAV, genomes that were coding complete and greater than 30x coverage were trimmed of 3'UTR and 5'UTR regions and aligned using the ClustalW algorithm on CLC Genomics Workbench. A Maximum likelihood phylogeny (PhyML) was constructed. A Hierarchical likelihood ratio test (hLRT) with a confidence level of 0.01 suggested that the General Time Reversible (GTR) +G (Rate variation 4 categories) and +T (topology variation) nucleotide substitution model was the most appropriate. 1000 bootstrap replicates were performed with 95% bootstrap branching support cut-off.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis Unpaired t-test was used to compare differences between two individual groups, while One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test was carried out to compare differences between more than two groups. Data that did not pass the normality test were re-analysed by the non-parametric Wilcoxon test indicated in their relevant figure legends.

Accession numbers

All the complete and incomplete virus genome sequences generated in this study have been deposited in Genbank under the accession numbers (MH037149 and MH430648-MH430666).

Acknowledgements

This project was funded by the Australian Research Council grant (ARC, DP150101782) to SA and University of Queensland scholarship to RP. For the supply of the DENV-2 (ET-100) strain, we thank Dr Daniel Watterson and Professor Paul Young from the University of Queensland. We thank Dr Daniel Watterson and Dr Jody Hobson-Peters for providing Huh-7 and BSR cells, respectively. The authors would like to thank the technical assistance of Dr Sultan Asad, Dr Kayvan Etebari and Hugo Perdomo Contreras, as well as current and former members of the Asgari lab for their fruitful conversations.

References

1. **Shriram AN, Sivan A, Sugunan AP.** 2017. Spatial distribution of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* in relation to geo-ecological features in South Andaman, Andaman and Nicobar Islands, India. Bull Entomol Res **3:**166-174.

2.   **Bhatt S, Gething PW, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Farlow AW, Moyes CL, Drake JM,**
Brownstein JS, Hoen AG, Sankoh O, Myers MF, George DB, Jaenisch T, Wint
GR, Simmons CP, Scott TW, Farrar JJ, Hay SI. 2013. The global distribution and
burden of dengue. Nature **496:**504-507.
3.   **ECDC.** 2015. Rapid risk assessment: Zika virus epidemic in the Americas: potential
association with microcephaly and Guillain-Barré syndrome. European Centre for
Disease   Prevention   and   Control   S,
https://ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/media/en/publications/Publications/zika-
virus-americas-association-with-microcephaly-rapid-risk-assessment.pdf.

4.   **Tabachnick WJ.** 2013. Nature, nurture and evolution of intra-species variation in
mosquito arbovirus transmission competence. Int J Environ Res Public Health
10:249-277.
5.   **Weiss B, Aksoy S.** 2011. Microbiome influences on insect host vector competence.
Trends Parasitol **27:**514-522.
6.   **Beerntsen BT, James AA, Christensen BM.** 2000. Genetics of mosquito vector
competence. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev **64:**115-137.
7.   **Hall RA, Bielefeldt-Ohmann H, McLean BJ, O'Brien CA, Colmant AM, Piyasena**
TB, Harrison JJ, Newton ND, Barnard RT, Prow NA, Deerain JM, Mah MG,
Hobson-Peters J. 2016. Commensal viruses, transmission, and interaction with
arboviral pathogens. Evol Bioinform Online **12:**35-44.
8.   **Blitvich BJ, Firth AE.** 2015. Insect-specific flaviviruses: a systematic review of their
discovery, host range, mode of transmission, superinfection exclusion potential and
genomic organization. Viruses **7:**1927-1959.
9.   **van Cleef KW, van Mierlo JT, Miesen P, Overheul GJ, Fros JJ, Schuster S,**
Marklewitz M, Pijlman GP, Junglen S, van Rij RP. 2014. Mosquito and Drosophila
entomobirnaviruses suppress dsRNA- and siRNA-induced RNAi. Nucleic Acids Res
42:8732-8744.
10.   **Zhang G, Asad S, Khromykh AA, Asgari S.** 2017. Cell fusing agent virus and
dengue virus mutually interact in Aedes aegypti cell lines. Sci Rep **7:**6935.
11.   **Hobson-Peters J, Yam AW, Lu JW, Setoh YX, May FJ, Kurucz N, Walsh S, Prow**
NA, Davis SS, Weir R, Melville L, Hunt N, Webb RI, Blitvich BJ, Whelan P, Hall
RA. 2013. A new insect-specific flavivirus from northern Australia suppresses
replication of West Nile virus and Murray Valley encephalitis virus in co-infected
mosquito cells. PLoS One **8:**e56534.
12.   **Hall-Mendelin S, McLean BJ, Bielefeldt-Ohmann H, Hobson-Peters J, Hall RA,**
van den Hurk AF. 2016. The insect-specific Palm Creek virus modulates West Nile
virus infection in and transmission by Australian mosquitoes. Parasit Vectors **9:**414.
13.   **Schultz MJ, Frydman HM, Connor JH.** 2018. Dual Insect specific virus infection
limits Arbovirus replication in *Aedes* mosquito cells. Virology **518:**406-413.
14.   **Chandler JA, Liu RM, Bennett SN.** 2015. RNA shotgun metagenomic sequencing
of northern California (USA) mosquitoes uncovers viruses, bacteria, and fungi. Front
Microbiol **6:**185.
15.   **Fauver JR, Grubaugh ND, Krajacich BJ, Weger-Lucarelli J, Lakin SM, Fakoli LS,**
3rd, Bolay FK, Diclaro JW, 2nd, Dabire KR, Foy BD, Brackney DE, Ebel GD,
Stenglein MD. 2016. West African *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes harbor a
taxonomically   diverse   virome   including   new   insect-specific   flaviviruses,
mononegaviruses, and totiviruses. Virology **498:**288-299.
16.   **Bolling BG, Vasilakis N, Guzman H, Widen SG, Wood TG, Popov VL,**
Thangamani S, Tesh RB. 2015. Insect-specific viruses detected in laboratory

mosquito colonies and their potential implications for experiments evaluating arbovirus vector competence. Am J Trop Med Hyg **92:**422-428.

17. **Cook S, Bennett SN, Holmes EC, De Chesse R, Moureau G, de Lamballerie X.** 2006. Isolation of a new strain of the flavivirus cell fusing agent virus in a natural mosquito population from Puerto Rico. J Gen Virol **87:**735-748.

18. **Chandler JA, Thongsripong P, Green A, Kittayapong P, Wilcox BA, Schroth GP, Kapan DD, Bennett SN.** 2014. Metagenomic shotgun sequencing of a Bunyavirus in wild-caught *Aedes aegypti* from Thailand informs the evolutionary and genomic history of the Phleboviruses. Virology **464-465:**312-319.

19. **Vasilakis N, Forrester NL, Palacios G, Nasar F, Savji N, Rossi SL, Guzman H, Wood TG, Popov V, Gorchakov R, Gonzalez AV, Haddow AD, Watts DM, da Rosa AP, Weaver SC, Lipkin WI, Tesh RB.** 2013. Negevirus: a proposed new taxon of insect-specific viruses with wide geographic distribution. J Virol **87:**2475-2488.

20. **Kittayapong P, Baisley KJ, O'Neill SL.** 1999. A mosquito densovirus infecting Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus from Thailand. Am J Trop Med Hyg **61:**612-617.

21. **Aguiar ER, Olmo RP, Paro S, Ferreira FV, de Faria IJ, Todjro YM, Lobo FP, Kroon EG, Meignin C, Gatherer D, Imler JL, Marques JT.** 2015. Sequence-independent characterization of viruses based on the pattern of viral small RNAs produced by the host. Nucleic Acids Res **43:**6191-6206.

22. **Zakrzewski M, Rasic G, Darbro J, Krause L, Poo YS, Filipovic I, Parry R, Asgari S, Devine G, Suhrbier A.** 2018. Mapping the virome in wild-caught Aedes aegypti from Cairns and Bangkok. Sci Rep **16:**4690.

23. **Amarasinghe GK, Bao Y, Basler CF, Bavari S, Beer M, Bejerman N, Blasdell KR, Bochnowski A, Briese T, Bukreyev A, Calisher CH, Chandran K, Collins PL, Dietzgen RG, Dolnik O, Durrwald R, Dye JM, Easton AJ, Ebihara H, Fang Q, Formenty P, Fouchier RAM, Ghedin E, Harding RM, Hewson R, Higgins CM, Hong J, Horie M, James AP, Jiang D, Kobinger GP, Kondo H, Kurath G, Lamb RA, Lee B, Leroy EM, Li M, Maisner A, Muhlberger E, Netesov SV, Nowotny N, Patterson JL, Payne SL, Paweska JT, Pearson MN, Randall RE, Revill PA, Rima BK, Rota P, Rubbenstroth D, Schwemmle M, Smither SJ, Song Q, Stone DM, Takada A, Terregino C, Tesh RB, Tomonaga K, Tordo N, Towner JS, Vasilakis N, Volchkov VE, Wahl-Jensen V, Walker PJ, Wang B, Wang D, Wang F, Wang LF, Werren JH, Whitfield AE, Yan Z, Ye G, Kuhn JH.** 2017. Taxonomy of the order Mononegavirales: update 2017. Arch Virol **162:**2493-2504.

24. **Li CX, Shi M, Tian JH, Lin XD, Kang YJ, Chen LJ, Qin XC, Xu J, Holmes EC, Zhang YZ.** 2015. Unprecedented genomic diversity of RNA viruses in arthropods reveals the ancestry of negative-sense RNA viruses. eLife **4:**e05378.

25. **Shi M, Neville P, Nicholson J, Eden JS, Imrie A, Holmes EC.** 2017. High-resolution metatranscriptomics reveals the ecological dynamics of mosquito-associated RNA viruses in Western Australia. J Virol **91:**e00680-00617.

26. **Hedges LM, Brownlie JC, O'Neill SL, Johnson KN.** 2008. *Wolbachia* and virus protection in insects. Science **322:**702.

27. **Teixeira L, Ferreira A, Ashburner M.** 2008. The bacterial symbiont *Wolbachia* induces resistance to RNA viral infections in *Drosophila melanogaster*. PLoS Biol **6:**e2.

28. **Moreira LA, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Jeffery JA, Lu G, Pyke AT, Hedges LM, Rocha BC, Hall-Mendelin S, Day A, Riegler M, Hugo LE, Johnson KN, Kay BH, McGraw EA, van den Hurk AF, Ryan PA, O'Neill SL.** 2009. A *Wolbachia* symbiont in *Aedes aegypti* limits infection with dengue, Chikungunya, and *Plasmodium*. Cell **139:**1268-1278.

29. **Zhang G, Etebari K, Asgari S.** 2016. *Wolbachia* suppresses cell fusing agent virus
in mosquito cells. J Gen Virol **97:**3427-3432.
30. **Schnettler E, Sreenu VB, Mottram T, McFarlane M.** 2016. *Wolbachia* restricts
insect-specific flavivirus infection in *Aedes aegypti* cells. J Gen Virol **97:**3024-3029.
31. **Sabin LR, Zheng Q, Thekkat P, Yang J, Hannon GJ, Gregory BD, Tudor M,**
Cherry S. 2013. Dicer-2 processes diverse viral RNA species. PLoS One **8:**e55458.
32. **Sanchez-Vargas I, Scott JC, Poole-Smith BK, Franz AW, Barbosa-Solomieu V,**
Wilusz J, Olson KE, Blair CD. 2009. Dengue virus type 2 infections of Aedes aegypti
are modulated by the mosquito's RNA interference pathway. PLoS Pathog
5:e1000299.
33. **Wu Q, Luo Y, Lu R, Lau N, Lai EC, Li WX, Ding SW.** 2010. Virus discovery by deep
sequencing and assembly of virus-derived small silencing RNAs. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A **107:**1606-1611.
34. **Mayoral JG, Etebari K, Hussain M, Khromykh AA, Asgari S.** 2014. Wolbachia
infection modifies the profile, shuttling and structure of microRNAs in a mosquito cell
line. PLoS One **9:**e96107.
35. **Frentiu FD, Robinson J, Young PR, McGraw EA, O'Neill SA.** 2010. *Wolbachia*-
mediated resistance to Dengue virus infection and death at the cellular level. PLoS
One **5:**e13398.
36. **McMeniman CJ, Lane AM, Fong AW, Voronin DA, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Yamada R,**
McGraw EA, O'Neill SL. 2008. Host adaptation of a *Wolbachia* strain after long-term
serial passage in mosquito cell lines. Appl Environ Microbiol **74:**6963-6969.
37. **Darby AC, Gill AC, Armstrong SD, Hartley CS, Xia D, Wastling JM, Makepeace**
BL. 2014. Integrated transcriptomic and proteomic analysis of the global response of
Wolbachia to doxycycline-induced stress. ISME J **8:**925-937.
38. **Woolfit M, Algama M, Keith JM, McGraw EA, Popovici J.** 2015. Discovery of
putative small non-coding RNAs from the obligate intracellular bacterium *Wolbachia*
pipientis. PLoS One **10:**e0118595.
39. **Green TJ, Cox R, Tsao J, Rowse M, Qiu S, Luo M.** 2014. Common mechanism for
RNA encapsidation by negative-strand RNA viruses. J Virol **88:**3766-3775.
40. **Baron OL, Ursic-Bedoya RJ, Lowenberger CA, Ocampo CB.** 2010. Differential
gene expression from midguts of refractory and susceptible lines of the mosquito,
Aedes aegypti, infected with Dengue-2 virus. J Insect Sci **10:**41.
41. **Iverson LE, Rose JK.** 1981. Localized attenuation and discontinuous synthesis
during vesicular stomatitis virus transcription. Cell **23:**477-484.
42. **Ferron F, Longhi S, Henrissat B, Canard B.** 2002. Viral RNA-polymerases -- a
predicted 2'-O-ribose methyltransferase domain shared by all Mononegavirales.
Trends Biochem Sci **27:**222-224.
43. **Bailey TL, Boden M, Buske FA, Frith M, Grant CE, Clementi L, Ren J, Li WW,**
Noble WS. 2009. MEME SUITE: tools for motif discovery and searching. Nucleic
Acids Res **37:**W202-208.
44. **Lorenz R, Bernhart SH, Honer Zu Siederdissen C, Tafer H, Flamm C, Stadler PF,**
Hofacker IL. 2011. ViennaRNA Package 2.0. Algorithms Mol Biol **6:**26.
45. **Miller WA, Giedroc D.** 2010. Ribosomal frameshifting in decoding plant viral RNAs,
p 193–220. *In* Atkins JF, Gesteland RF (ed), Recoding: expansion of decoding rules
enriches gene expression. Springer, New York.
46. **Walker PJ, Firth C, Widen SG, Blasdell KR, Guzman H, Wood TG, Paradkar PN,**
Holmes EC, Tesh RB, Vasilakis N. 2015. Evolution of genome size and complexity
in the *Rhabdoviridae*. PLoS Pathog **11:**e1004664.

47. **Lewis SH, Quarles KA, Yang Y, Tanguy M, Frezal L, Smith SA, Sharma PP,**
Cordaux R, Gilbert C, Giraud I, Collins DH, Zamore PD, Miska EA, Sarkies P,
Jiggins FM. 2018. Pan-arthropod analysis reveals somatic piRNAs as an ancestral
defence against transposable elements. Nat Ecol Evol **2:**174-181.
48. **Suzuki Y, Frangeul L, Dickson LB, Blanc H, Verdier Y, Vinh J, Lambrechts L,**
Saleh MC. 2017. Uncovering the repertoire of endogenous flaviviral elements in
Aedes mosquito genomes. J Virol **91**.
49. **McBride CS, Baier F, Omondi AB, Spitzer SA, Lutomiah J, Sang R, Ignell R,**
Vosshall LB. 2014. Evolution of mosquito preference for humans linked to an
odorant receptor. Nature **515:**222-227.
50. **Bonizzoni M, Dunn WA, Campbell CL, Olson KE, Marinotti O, James AA.** 2012.
Complex modulation of the *Aedes aegypti* transcriptome in response to dengue virus
infection. PLoS One **7:**e50512.
51. **Bonizzoni M, Dunn WA, Campbell CL, Olson KE, Marinotti O, James AA.** 2012.
Strain variation in the transcriptome of the dengue fever vector, *Aedes aegypti*. G3
2:103-114.
52. **Faucon F, Gaude T, Dusfour I, Navratil V, Corbel V, Juntarajumnong W, Girod**
R, Poupardin R, Boyer F, Reynaud S, David JP. 2017. In the hunt for genomic
markers of metabolic resistance to pyrethroids in the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*: An
integrated next-generation sequencing approach. PLoS Negl Trop Dis **11:**e0005526.
53. **Alfonso-Parra C, Ahmed-Braimah YH, Degner EC, Avila FW, Villarreal SM,**
Pleiss JA, Wolfner MF, Harrington LC. 2016. Mating-induced transcriptome
changes in the reproductive tract of female *Aedes aegypti*. PLoS Negl Trop Dis
10:e0004451.
54. **Sutton ER, Yu Y, Shimeld SM, White-Cooper H, Alphey AL.** 2016. Identification of
genes for engineering the male germline of *Aedes aegypti* and *Ceratitis capitata*.
BMC Genomics **17:**948.
55. **David JP, Faucon F, Chandor-Proust A, Poupardin R, Riaz MA, Bonin A, Navratil**
V, Reynaud S. 2014. Comparative analysis of response to selection with three
insecticides in the dengue mosquito *Aedes aegypti* using mRNA sequencing. BMC
Genomics **15:**174.
56. **Metsky HC, Matranga CB, Wohl S, Schaffner SF, Freije CA, Winnicki SM, West**
K, Qu J, Baniecki ML, Gladden-Young A, Lin AE, Tomkins-Tinch CH, Ye SH,
Park DJ, Luo CY, Barnes KG, Shah RR, Chak B, Barbosa-Lima G, Delatorre E,
Vieira YR, Paul LM, Tan AL, Barcellona CM, Porcelli MC, Vasquez C, Cannons
AC, Cone MR, Hogan KN, Kopp EW, Anzinger JJ, Garcia KF, Parham LA,
Ramirez RMG, Montoya MCM, Rojas DP, Brown CM, Hennigan S, Sabina B,
Scotland S, Gangavarapu K, Grubaugh ND, Oliveira G, Robles-Sikisaka R,
Rambaut A, Gehrke L, Smole S, Halloran ME, Villar L, Mattar S, Lorenzana I,
Cerbino-Neto J, Valim C, Degrave W, Bozza PT, Gnirke A, Andersen KG, Isern
S, Michael SF, Bozza FA, Souza TML, Bosch I, Yozwiak NL, MacInnis BL, Sabeti
PC. 2017. Zika virus evolution and spread in the Americas. Nature **546:**411-415.
57. **Singh K.** 1967. Cell cultures derived from larvae of *Aedes albopictus* (Skuse) and
Aedes aegypti (L.). Curr Sci **36:**506-508.
58. **Powell JR, Tabachnick WJ.** 2013. History of domestication and spread of *Aedes*
aegypti--a review. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz **108 Suppl 1:**11-17.
59. **Brown JE, Evans BR, Zheng W, Obas V, Barrera-Martinez L, Egizi A, Zhao H,**
Caccone A, Powell JR. 2014. Human impacts have shaped historical and recent
evolution in *Aedes aegypti*, the dengue and yellow fever mosquito. Evolution **68:**514-
525.

60. **Gloria-Soria A, Ayala D, Bheecarry A, Calderon-Arguedas O, Chadee DD,**
Chiappero M, Coetzee M, Elahee KB, Fernandez-Salas I, Kamal HA, Kamgang
B, Khater EI, Kramer LD, Kramer V, Lopez-Solis A, Lutomiah J, Martins A, Jr.,
Micieli MV, Paupy C, Ponlawat A, Rahola N, Rasheed SB, Richardson JB, Saleh
AA, Sanchez-Casas RM, Seixas G, Sousa CA, Tabachnick WJ, Troyo A, Powell
JR. 2016. Global genetic diversity of *Aedes aegypti*. Mol Ecol **25:**5377-5395.
61. **Suzuki Y, Frangeul L, Dickson LB, Blanc H, Verdier Y, Vinh J, Lambrechts L,**
Saleh MC. 2017. Uncovering the repertoire of endogenous flaviviral elements in
Aedes mosquito genomes. J Virol **91:**e00571-00517.
62. **Whitfield ZJ, Dolan PT, Kunitomi M, Tassetto M, Seetin MG, Oh S, Heiner C,**
Paxinos E, Andino R. 2017. The diversity, structure, and function of heritable
adaptive immunity sequences in the *Aedes aegypti* genome. Curr Biol **27:**3511-3519
e3517.
63. **Vodovar N, Bronkhorst A, van Cleef K, Miesen P, Blanc H, van Rij R, MC S.** 2012.
Arbovirus-derived piRNAs exhibit a ping-pong signature in mosquito cells. PLoS One
7:e30861.
64. **Brackney DE, Scott JC, Sagawa F, Woodward JE, Miller NA, Schilkey FD,**
Mudge J, Wilusz J, Olson KE, Blair CD, Ebel GD. 2010. C6/36 *Aedes albopictus*
cells have a dysfunctional antiviral RNA interference response. PLoS Neglect Trop
Dis **4:**e856.
65. **Léger P, Lara E, Jagla B, Sismeiro O, Mansuroglu Z, Coppée J, Bonnefoy E,**
Bouloy M. 2013. Dicer-2- and Piwi-mediated RNA interference in Rift Valley fever
virus-infected mosquito cells. J Virol **87:**1631-1648.
66. **Asad S, Parry R, Asgari S.** 2017. Upregulation of *Aedes aegypti Vago1* by
Wolbachia and its effect on dengue virus replication. Insect Biochem Mol Biol **92:**45-
52.
67. **Miesen P, Joosten J, van Rij RP.** 2016. PIWIs go viral: Arbovirus-derived piRNAs
in vector mosquitoes. PLoS Pathog **12:**e1006017.
68. **Di Giallonardo F, Audsley MD, Shi M, Young PR, McGraw EA, Holmes EC.** 2018.
Complete genome of *Aedes aegypti* anphevirus in the Aag2 mosquito cell line. J Gen
Virol **doi: 10.1099/jgv.0.001079**.
69. **Lee M, Etebari K, Hall-Mendelin S, van den Hurk AF, Hobson-Peters J, Vatipally**
S, Schnettler E, Hall R, Asgari S. 2017. Understanding the role of microRNAs in the
interaction of *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes with an insect-specific flavivirus. J Gen Virol
98:1892-1903.
70. **Etebari K, Osei-Amo S, Blomberg SP, Asgari S.** 2015. Dengue virus infection
alters post-transcriptional modification of microRNAs in the mosquito vector *Aedes*
aegypti. Sci Rep **5:**15968.
71. **Kuno G.** 1983. Cultivation of mosquito cell lines in serum-free media and their effects
on dengue virus replication. In Vitro **19:**707-713.
72. **O'Neill SL, Kittayapong P, Braig HR, Andreadis TG, Gonzalez JP, Tesh RB.**
1995. Insect densoviruses may be widespread in mosquito cell lines. J Gen Virol **76**
(Pt 8):2067-2074.
73. **Juliano SA, Lounibos LP, O'Meara GF.** 2004. A field test for competitive effects of
Aedes albopictus on *A. aegypti* in South Florida: differences between sites of
coexistence and exclusion? Oecologia **139:**583-593.
74. **Blair C, Olson K.** 2015. The role of RNA interference (RNAi) in arbovirus-vector
interactions. Viruses **7:**820-843.
75. **Hussain M, Etebari K, Asgari S.** 2016. Functions of small RNAs in mosquitoes. Adv
Insect Physiol **51:**189-222.

76. **Schnettler E, Donald CL, Human S, Watson M, Siu RW, McFarlane M, Fazakerley**
JK, Kohl A, Fragkoudis R. 2013. Knockdown of piRNA pathway proteins results in
enhanced Semliki Forest virus production in mosquito cells. J Gen Virol **94:**1680-
1689.
77. **Dietrich I, Shi X, McFarlane M, Watson M, Blomstrom AL, Skelton JK, Kohl A,**
Elliott RM, Schnettler E. 2017. The antiviral RNAi response in vector and non-vector
cells against Orthobunyaviruses. PLoS Negl Trop Dis **11:**e0005272.
78. **Hess AM, Prasad AN, Ptitsyn A, Ebel GD, Olson EN, Barbacioru C, Monighetti**
C, Campbell CL. 2011. Small RNA profiling of Dengue virus-mosquito interactions
implicates the PIWI RNA pathway in anti-viral defense. BMC Microbiol **11:**45.
79. **Varjak M, Donald CL, Mottram TJ, Sreenu VB, Merits A, Maringer K, Schnettler**
E, Kohl A. 2017. Characterization of the Zika virus induced small RNA response in
Aedes aegypti cells. PLoS Negl Trop Dis **11:**e0006010.
80. **Saldaña MA, Etebari K, Hart CE, Widen SG, Wood TG, Thangamani S, Asgari S,**
Hughes GL. 2017. Zika virus alters the microRNA expression profile and elicits an
RNAi response in *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes. PLoS Neg Trop Dis **11:**e0005760.
81. **Lee M, Etebari K, Hall-Mendelin S, van den Hurk AF, Hobson-Peters J, Vatipally**
S, Schnettler E, Hall R, Asgari S. 2017. Understanding the role of microRNAs in the
interaction of *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes with an insect-specific flavivirus. J Gen Virol
98:892-1903.
82. **Johnson KN.** 2015. The impact of *Wolbachia* on virus infection in mosquitoes.
Viruses **7:**5705-5717.
83. **Schultz MJ, Tan AL, Gray CN, Isern S, Michael SF, Frydman HM, Connor JH.**
2018. *Wolbachia w*Stri blocks Zika virus growth at two independent stages of viral
replication. MBio **9:**e00738-00718.
84. **Dodson BL, Andrews ES, Turell MJ, Rasgon JL.** 2017. *Wolbachia* effects on Rift
Valley fever virus infection in *Culex tarsalis* mosquitoes. PLoS Negl Trop Dis
11:e0006050.
85. **Hornak KE, Lanchy JM, Lodmell JS.** 2016. RNA encapsidation and packaging in
the phleboviruses. Viruses **8:**E194.
86. **Raymond DD, Piper ME, Gerrard SR, Skiniotis G, Smith JL.** 2012. Phleboviruses
encapsidate their genomes by sequestering RNA bases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
109:19208-19213.
87. **Choi KH.** 2012. Viral polymerases. Adv Exp Med Biol **726:**267-304.
88. **Harak C, Lohmann V.** 2015. Ultrastructure of the replication sites of positive-strand
RNA viruses. Virology **479-480:**418-433.
89. **Rainey SM, Martinez J, McFarlane M, Juneja P, Sarkies P, Lulla A, Schnettler E,**
Varjak M, Merits A, Miska EA, Jiggins FM, Kohl A. 2016. *Wolbachia* blocks viral
genome replication early in infection without a transcriptional response by the
endosymbiont or host small RNA pathways. PLoS Pathog **12:**e1005536.
90. **Schultz MJ, Isern S, Michael SF, Corley RB, Connor JH, Frydman HM.** 2017.
Variable inhibition of Zika virus replication by different *Wolbachia* strains in mosquito
cell cultures. J Virol **91:**e00339-00317.
91. **Pan X, Pike A, Joshi D, Bian G, McFadden MJ, Lu P, Liang X, Zhang F, Raikhel**
AS, Xi Z. 2018. The bacterium *Wolbachia* exploits host innate immunity to establish
a symbiotic relationship with the dengue vector mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. ISME J
12:277-288.
92. **Rice DW, Sheehan KB, Newton ILG.** 2017. Large-scale identification of *Wolbachia*
pipientis effectors. Genome Biol Evol **9:**1925-1937.

93.   **Amuzu HE, Tsyganov K, Koh C, Herbert RI, Powell DR, McGraw EA.** 2018.
Wolbachia enhances insect-specific flavivirus infection in *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes.
J Gen Virol **DOI: 10.1002/ece3.4066**.

94.   **Karpf AR, Lenches E, Strauss EG, Strauss JH, Brown DT.** 1997. Superinfection
exclusion of alphaviruses in three mosquito cell lines persistently infected with
Sindbis virus. J Virol **71:**7119-7123.

95.   **Pudney M, Varma M, Leake C.** 1979. Establishment of cell lines from larvae of
culicine (*Aedes* species) and anopheline mosquitoes. TCA Mannual **5:**997-1002.

96.   **Ladner JT, Beitzel B, Chain PS, Davenport MG, Donaldson EF, Frieman M,**
Kugelman JR, Kuhn JH, O'Rear J, Sabeti PC, Wentworth DE, Wiley MR, Yu GY,
Threat Characterization C, Sozhamannan S, Bradburne C, Palacios G. 2014.
Standards for sequencing viral genomes in the era of high-throughput sequencing.
MBio **5:**e01360-01314.

97.   **Hussain M, Frentiu FD, Moreira LA, O'Neill SL, Asgari S.** 2011. *Wolbachia* uses
host microRNAs to manipulate host gene expression and facilitate colonization of the
dengue vector *Aedes aegypti*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **108:**9250-9255.

98.   **Zimmermann L, Stephens A, Nam SZ, Rau D, Kubler J, Lozajic M, Gabler F,**
Soding J, Lupas AN, Alva V. 2017. A completely reimplemented MPI bioinformatics
toolkit with a new HHpred server at its core. J Mol Biol **doi:**
10.1016/j.jmb.2017.12.007.

99.   **Tsirigos KD, Peters C, Shu N, Kall L, Elofsson A.** 2015. The TOPCONS web
server for consensus prediction of membrane protein topology and signal peptides.
Nucleic Acids Res **43:**W401-407.

Figure legends:

Figure 1. Presence of Aedes anphevirus (AeAV) in insect cell lines, and genome

organisation and phylogeny of the virus. A) RT-PCR analysis of *Aedes* cell lines Aag2,

Aag2.*w*MelPop-CLA (Pop), *w*MelPop-CLA.Tet (Pop-T), Aa20, RML-12, and C6/36 for the

presence of AeAV. RPS17 was used as a loading control. **B)** Genome organisation of the

Cali, Colombia AeAV genome strain and subgenomic gene transcription profile.

Transmembrane domains (TMD) are depicted as boxes with dashed lines and signal peptide

is depicted as a blue box. NP, nucleoprotein; G, glycoprotein; ZnF, zinc-like finger; RdRP,

RNA dependent RNA polymerase. **C)** AeAV is a member of the *Anphevirus* genus (red),

related to members of the *Nyamiviridae* (pink) and *Bornaviridae* (purple) in an unassigned

family within the order *Mononegavirales*. A multiple sequence alignment of the RNA

dependent RNA polymerase and the mRNA capping domain was used to create a Maximum

likelihood phylogeny. The phylogeny is arbitrarily rooted. 1000 bootstraps were performed

and branches with bootstrap values greater than 85% are highlighted. Branch length

represent expected numbers of substitutions per amino acid site. Genbank protein accession numbers are Bolahun virus variant 1 (AOR51366.1), Culex mononega-like virus 1 (CMLV1) (ASA47369.1), Culex mononega-like virus 2 (CMLV2) (ASA47322.1), Gambie virus (AOR51379.1), Xincheng Mosquito Virus (XcMV) (YP_009302387.1), Borna disease virus (YP_009269418.1), Canary bornavirus 1 (YP_009268910.1), Loveridges garter snake virus 1 (YP_009055063.1), Parrot bornavirus 1 (AEG78314.1), Variegated squirrel bornavirus 1 (SBT82903.1), Midway nyavirus (YP_002905331.1), Nyamanini nyavirus (YP_002905337.1), Sierra Nevada virus (YP_009044201.1), Soybean cyst nematode socyvirus (YP_009052467.1), Farmington virus (YP_009091823.1), Beihai rhabdo-like virus 3 (APG78650.1), Beihai rhabdo-like virus 5 (YP_009333422.1), Beihai rhabdo-like virus 6 (YP_009333413.1), Drosophila unispina virus 1 (AMK09260.1), Hubei diptera virus 11 (YP_009337182.1), Hubei orthoptera virus 5 (YP_009336728.1), Hubei rhabdo-like virus 7 (YP_009337121.1), Orinoco virus (ANQ45640.1), Sanxia Water Strider Virus 4 (YP_009288955.1), Shuangao Fly Virus 2 (AJG39135.1), Wenling crustacean virus 12 (YP_009336618.1), Wenzhou Crab Virus 1 (YP_009304558.1), Wenzhou tapeworm virus 1 (YP_009342311.1), Wuchan romanomermis nematode virus 2 (YP_009342285.1).

Figure 2: Conservation of GATA-like Zinc finger (ZnF) domain and small transmembrane domain containing protein between tentative members of the *Anphevirus* taxon. A) Genome orientation of previously discovered viruses within the *Anphevirus* taxon, and **B)** two viruses within a closely related clade. Predicted ORF encoding the ZnF domain is indicated by a black square. Predicted ORFs containing transmembrane domains are indicated by dashed lines. Genbank accession numbers are shown below virus name. NP, nucleoprotein; G, glycoprotein; ZnF, zinc-like finger; RdRP, RNA dependent RNA polymerase. **C)** Alignment of predicted GATA-like ZnF protein sequence (C-X(2)-C-X(17-20)-C-X(2)-C) between three representative strains of AeAV (Miami, USA ; Pune, India; Rabai, Kenya) and predicted ZnF domain proteins from Figure 2A and B.

Figure 3. Aedes anphevirus (AeAV) *cis*-regulatory elements. A) Location and orientation of predicted *cis*-regulatory element in AeAV indicated by numbered red arrows; downwards indicating genome and upwards arrow indicating anti-genome. **B)** Predicted minimum free energy (MFE) RNA structure of the region surrounding the motif for each element using the RNAfold web server. Colour indicates probability of base-pairing and motif is indicated by the black line. **C)** Sequence of the conserved motif as predicted by MEME as well as location and the statistical confidence of the motif. Sequences are written 3' to 5' and anti-genome motif sequences 1 and 7 are depicted as reverse complement for visual clarity.

Figure 4. Aedes anphevirus (AeAV) has worldwide distribution in *Ae. aegypti* laboratory colonies, cell lines and wild-caught mosquitoes. Locations of mosquito collection from RNA-Seq data that were positive for AeAV (Table S1). Points refer to collection sites from American (orange), Asia-Pacific (blue) and African (green) locations.

Figure 5. Aedes anphevirus (AeAV) strains have evolved into African, Asia-Pacific and American lineages. A) Maximum likelihood phylogeny (PhyML) between AeAV strains using a General Time Reversible (GTR) + G +T model with 1000 bootstraps. Branch lengths represent expected numbers of substitutions per nucleotide site. For visual clarity, the RML-12 clade and Miami clades were collapsed and single examples were shown. **B)** Evolutionary history of worldwide sampling of *Ae. aegypti* adapted from (59, 60) from 1504 SNPs species. Bootstrapped neighbour-joining network based on population pairwise chord-distances from with node support over 90% is shown on relevant branches. New World (American) populations in yellow, and Asia-Pacific populations are shown in light blue. We have truncated the tree and rooted to the *Ae. aegypti formosus* (Aef) shown as a red branch.

Figure 6. Genomic context for anphevirus-like insertions into the *Ae. aegypti* genome. A 21, 242nt portion of chromosome 2 depicting anphevirus insertions (red) with predicted ORFs that encode for LTR retrotransposase elements (yellow).

Figure 7. Aedes anphevirus (AeAV) is infectious to *Aedes* cell lines but does not replicate in Huh-7, Vero and BSR vertebrate cell lines. (A) RT-qPCR of AeAV genome and anti-genome in a five-day time course in *Ae. aegypti* Aa20 cells, and *Ae. albopictus* C6/36 cells. Error bars represent the SEM of three biological replicates. **(B)** RT-PCR of AeAV genome in a seven-day time course in Human hepatocellular carcinoma cells (Huh-7)**,** African green monkey cells (Vero), Baby Hamster Kidney (BSR). M, Mock infected cells.

Figure 8. Aedes anphevirus (AeAV) genome replication is enhanced by *Wolbachia* infection in *Ae. aegypti* cells and produces abundant vsiRNAs and vpiRNAs. A) RT-qPCR of the AeAV genomic (gRNA) and antigenomic RNA in tetracycline cured Aag2.*w*MelPop-CLA cells (Pop-tet) and Aag2.*w*MelPop-CLA cells (Pop) relative to RPS17. Error bars represent the SEM of six (genome) and three (antigenome) biological replicates. n.s, not significant; **, $p < 0.01$. **B)** Mapping profile of pooled small RNA fraction in Aag2.*w*MelPop-CLA cells. **C)** Alignment of the 21-nt sRNA reads (representing siRNAs), and **D)** the 26-31nt reads (representing piRNAs) mapped to the AeAV antigenome (blue) and genome (red) in Aag2.*w*MelPop-CLA cells. Relative nucleotide frequency and conservation of the 28nt small RNA reads that mapped to the **E)** genome, and the **F)** anti-genome of AeAV in Aag2.*w*MelPop-CLA cells.

Figure 9. Aedes anphevirus (AeAV) reduces dengue virus replication in Aa20 cells. Aa20 cells persistently infected with AeAV were infected with **(A)** 0.1 and **(B)** 1 MOI of dengue virus serotype 2 (DENV-2). Total RNA was extracted at 0, 1, 3 and 5 days following DENV-2 inoculation and analysed by RT-qPCR. **(C)** RT-qPCR analysis of AeAV persistently infected Aa20 cells infected with 0.1 and 1 MOI of DENV-2 using specific primers to the AeAV genome. Error bars represent the SEM of three biological replicates. n.s, not significant; *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$.

Figure 10. Aedes anphevirus (AeAV) is potentially vertically transmitted. A) Diagram showing the parental (K14, K27) and hybrid strains (GP1, GP2, HP1, HP2) from (49). **B)** Table showing assembly statistics and BLASTN similarity of AeAV assembled from K14 and K27 hybrid strains.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Element	Strand	Start	*p*-value	Motif	UU<u>V</u>CU<u>HW</u>UAAAAAACCC<u>G</u>C<u>Y</u>AGUUA<u>S</u>AAAUCA	
1	−	17	3.0e-5	AGUAUA	UAAGUGAUACUAAGCCCGCCACUACUAAUUCA	GAACCA
2	+	71	3.1e-16	ACGGUG	UUACUCUGAAUAAACCCGCUAGUUAGAAAUCA	UACGAU
3	+	1591	8.8e-18	CGAAAU	UUCAUAAUAAAAAACCCGCUAGUUACAAAUCA	UGUCUG
4	+	2048	2.3e-17	AUGUUU	UUAUUAAUAAAAAACCCGCCAGUUACAAAUCA	AUAAGA
5	+	3695	5.0e-16	AGCUAC	UCCCUUUAAUAAAACCCGCUAGUUAGAAAUCA	UAAGAU
6	+	5867	7.0e-11	GUGUGG	UAUCAUUAUAAAAAACCCGCAGUUACAAAUCA	UGCCUG
7	−	6178	7.3e-5	GAACCU	GUACAUUGAAUAAAUUAGCCAAAUAUAACUAA	AGAAAA
8	+	6218	5.3e-17	GUUCUC	UUGCUUAUAAAAAACCCGCCAGUUACAGAUCA	CUUAUC

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

Figure 9

Figure 10

K14   X   K27
AeAV positive   AeAV negative

GP1   GP2   HP1   HP2

(4/4) AeAV positive

Parental Strains		K14	K27	
Assembled AeAV genome		13,012	-	
Hybrid Strains	**GP1**	**GP2**	**HP1**	**HP2**
Assembled AeAV genome	13,059	13,034	13,010	7,191; 5,962
BLASTN identity to K14	100%	100%	100%	100%