Full title: FMRFa receptor stimulated Ca^{2+} signals alter the activity of flight

modulating central dopaminergic neurons in *Drosophila melanogaster*

Short title: FMRFa receptor modulates flight duration in *Drosophila melanogaster*

Preethi Ravi[1], Deepti Trivedi[1], Gaiti Hasan[1]*

[1] National Centre for Biological Sciences, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research,

Bangalore 560065, India

* Corresponding Author: gaiti@ncbs.res.in

Abstract

Neuropeptide signaling influences animal behavior by modulating neuronal activity and thus altering circuit dynamics. Insect flight is a key innate behavior that very likely requires robust neuromodulation. Cellular and molecular components that help modulate flight behavior are therefore of interest and require investigation. In a genetic RNAi screen for G-protein coupled receptors that regulate flight bout durations, we earlier identified several receptors, including the receptor for the neuropeptide FMRFa (FMRFaR). To further investigate modulation of insect flight by FMRFa we generated CRISPR-Cas9 mutants in the gene encoding the *Drosophila* FMRFaR. The mutants exhibit significant flight deficits with a focus in dopaminergic cells. Expression of a receptor specific RNAi in adult central dopaminergic neurons resulted in progressive loss of sustained flight. Further, genetic and cellular assays demonstrated that FMRFaR stimulates intracellular calcium signaling through the IP$_3$R and helps maintain neuronal excitability in a subset of dopaminergic neurons for positive modulation of flight bout durations.

Author summary

Neuropeptides play an important role in modulating neuronal properties such as excitability and synaptic strength and thereby influence innate behavioral

outputs. In flying insects, neuromodulation of flight has been primarily attributed to monoamines. In this study, we have used the genetically amenable fruit fly, *Drosophila melanogaster* to identify a neuropeptide receptor that is required in adults to modulate flight behavior. We show from both knockdown and knockout studies that the neuropeptide receptor, *FMRFaR*, present on a few central dopaminergic neurons, modulates the duration of flight bouts. Overexpression of putative downstream molecules, the IP_3R, an intracellular Ca^{2+}-release channel, and CaMKII, a protein kinase, significantly rescue the flight deficits induced by knockdown of the *FMRFaR*. Our data support the idea that FMRFaR and CaMKII help maintain optimal membrane excitability of adult dopaminergic neurons required to sustain longer durations of flight bouts. We speculate that the ability to maintain longer flight bouts in natural conditions enhances the individual's capacity to search and reach food sources as well as find sites suitable for egg laying.

Introduction

Neuromodulation of animal behavior by neuropeptides is ubiquitous among vertebrates and invertebrates (1, 2). Unlike fast acting neurotransmitters, neuropeptides and their receptors influence neuronal activity and circuit dynamics by modulating presynaptic neurotransmitter release. The mechanisms for doing this include changes in ion channel and transporter function as well as regulation of

gene expression (1, 2). The neural action of neuropeptides can either be local or at long distances by release into circulation and can influence intrinsic behaviors such as feeding, mating, sleep and aggression (3, 4). An important and critical behavior in flying insects is flight. Altered flight behavior, in particular the inability to maintain long durations of flight bouts, can impinge on the fly's ability to optimally search and reach food sources as well as sites suitable for egg laying. Neuromodulation of insect flight has thus far been attributed primarily to biogenic amines (5–7). A role for neuropeptide-based modulation of flight behavior has remained largely unexplored.

In invertebrates, neuropeptides activate G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) (8) followed by generation of soluble second messengers such as cAMP (9–12) or inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP_3) (13–15). IP_3 binds to and activates the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) localized Ca^{2+} channel, the IP_3 receptor (IP_3R), resulting in release of calcium from ER-stores (16). Invertebrate neuropeptide receptors that stimulate IP_3-mediated Ca^{2+} release include the Pigment Dispersing Factor Receptor (PdfR) (14), FMRFaR (13–15) amongst others (8, 17). We identified the PdfR and FMRFaR in a genetic screen for neuronal GPCRs that regulate flight in *Drosophila* through IP_3-mediated Ca^{2+} release (14). Further investigation of PdfR demonstrated a role for this receptor in both the developing and adult flight circuit, though the identity of Pdf responsive neurons that affect

flight remained ambiguous (14). FMRFaR has been described earlier in the context

of an escape response to intense light in *Drosophila* larvae (13) and the larval to

pupal transition under nutrient-limiting conditions (15). Adult behaviors

implicating the FMRFaR include startle-induced locomotor activity (18) and

adaptive sleep following heat stress (19).

In the context of flight behavior, a neuronal requirement for IP_3-mediated

Ca^{2+} release was initially described in flight deficient IP_3R mutants (20).

Subsequent cellular and molecular studies identified a role for IP_3-mediated Ca^{2+}

release in dopaminergic neurons during pupal stages, when the flight circuit

matures (7). Interestingly though, flight deficits were also observed upon temporal

knockdown of the *FMRFaR* exclusively in mature neurons (14). Thus far, flight

deficits arising from adult specific reduction of IP_3/Ca^{2+} signaling have remained

unexplored. Here we have investigated if FMRFaR mediated Ca^{2+} signaling is

required for *Drosophila* flight by generating new CRISPR-Cas9 mediated mutants

for the *FMRFaR*. Flight deficits arising from specific knockdown in adult

dopaminergic neurons suggests a role for FMRFaR in modulating adult flight and

implicate the IP_3R and possibly CaMKII as downstream signaling components.

Genetic and cellular assays indicate that the FMRFaR on adult dopaminergic

neurons helps maintain optimal membrane excitability which could potentially be

required for synaptic release of dopamine.

Results

FMRFaR is required in dopaminergic neurons for maintenance of flight

The neuropeptide receptor, FMRFaR, was identified amongst other G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRS) as a positive regulator of *Drosophila* flight in a pan-neuronal screen, where genetic data supported IP$_3$R mediated Ca^{2+} signaling and store-operated calcium entry (SOCE) as the down-stream effectors of receptor activation (14). We confirmed these initial observations by RNAi mediated knockdown of the *FMRFaR* with another pan-neuronal GAL4 (*nSybGAL4*) in the central nervous system (CNS), followed by measurement of flight bout durations in tethered flies in response to a gentle air-puff. Unlike previous measurements where flight times were recorded for a maximum of 30 seconds (14), in the current study we monitored flight for 15 minutes (900 seconds), which allows for resolution of longer flight bout durations. Upon *FMRFaR* knockdown in *nSyb* positive neurons, significantly shorter flight bouts were observed as compared to controls (*FMRFaR^{RNAi}/+*, *nSyb/+*; Fig 1A). The efficacy of *FMRFaR* knockdown by the RNAi strain was confirmed in the adult CNS by pan-neuronal expression of the RNAi with *nSybGAL4*. A significant reduction in *FMRFaR* mRNA levels was observed (Fig 1B).

Similar to the pan-neuronal knockdown of *FMRFaR*, flight deficits were also observed in flies with *FMRFaR^{RNAi}* targeted to a large number of dopamine-synthesizing cells driven by *THGAL4* (Fig 1A). Because non-neuronal expression of *THGAL4* has been documented (21), we tested GAL4s with restricted expression in neurons (22). For this, we used the *THD* and *THCGAL4* driver lines that mark non-overlapping subsets of central dopaminergic neurons (22). Knockdown of *FMRFaR* using either the *THD1GAL4* or the *THD'GAL4* resulted in flight deficits that were equivalent to the phenotype observed with *THGAL4*-driven knockdown (Fig 1A, C, S1A Fig; *TH>FMRFaR^{RNAi}* vs. *THD1>FMRFaR^{RNAi}* or *TH>FMRFaR^{RNAi}* vs *THD'>FMRFaR^{RNAi}* are not significantly different from each other; p>0.05; Mann-Whitney U-test). However, the *THDGAL4* strains are also known to express in some non-dopaminergic neurons (22). To test the role, if any, of FMRFaR function in non-dopaminergic neurons marked by *THDGAL4*s, expression of the *FMRFaR^{RNAi}* was blocked specifically in TH-expressing neurons with the *THGAL80* transgene (23). Importantly, the flight deficits observed in *THD>FMRFaR^{RNAi}* flies were reversed upon introduction of *THGAL80* confirming that such flight deficits arose exclusively from dopaminergic neurons marked by these GAL4s (Fig 1A; blue bar, S1A Fig; blue bar). On the other hand, flies with knockdown of the *FMRFaR* using the *THC1* or *THC'GAL4s* did not result in significant flight deficits, indicating that

dopaminergic neurons unique to *THD1* or *THD'GAL4* require FMRFaR mediated

signaling to regulate flight bout durations (S1A Fig). *THDGAL4* strains express in

central dopaminergic neurons comprising the Protocerebral Posterior Lateral 1

(PPL1) and Protocerebral Posterior Medial 3 (PPM3) clusters and these two

neuronal clusters are not marked by the *THC'GAL4* (7, 22). Furthermore, the

reduced flight deficit observed with *FMRFaR* knockdown in dopaminergic neurons

was not a consequence of overall reduction in motor activity because

THD1>FMRFaR^{RNAi} flies showed normal locomotor activity as compared to their

genetic controls (S1B Fig). Having identified the dopaminergic subsets where

FMRFaR is required for flight, subsequent experiments were performed with

THD1GAL4 as the dopaminergic expression driver.

RNAi knockdown of *FMRFaR* with *nSybGAL4* reduced *FMRFaR* levels by

about 30% (Fig 1B). To further refine our understanding of the FMRFaR's role in

Drosophila flight, a complete knockout of the *FMRFaR* (*ΔFMRFaR*) was

generated by the CRISPR-Cas9 method (24). The strategy employed, removed

nearly 1.5 kb of the 1.6 kb coding region of *FMRFaR* (Fig 1D). Primers spanning

the *FMRFaR* locus (5'F+3'R) amplified only about ~400bp genomic fragment

from *FMRFaR* homozygous and heterozygous knockouts, thereby confirming

FMRFaR gene knockout (Fig 1D). Other primer pair combinations (5'F+5'R and

3'F+3'R) helped us distinguish between the homozygotes and heterozygotes.

Homozygous knockouts of the *FMRFaR* showed near complete loss of *FMRFaR*

mRNA as well as reduced flight bout durations as compared to the heterozygotes

(Fig 1E, F).

Next, we tested dopaminergic neuron specific knockout of *FMRFaR* by

expression of *UAScas9* in the genetic background of flies with ubiquitous

expression of guide RNAs that target the gene for *FMRFaR*

(*THD1>FMRFaR^{dual};cas9*; see Materials and methods). Flies with knockout of the

FMRFaR in *THD1* cells flew for significantly shorter durations as compared to the

appropriate genetic controls (*FMRFaR^{dual}/+;cas/+* - Fig 1F; 4th bar in black and

THD1/+ - Fig 1A; last bar in black; p<0.01; Mann-Whitney U-test) (Fig 1F). To

account for non-specific effects of *cas9* expression, we tested flies expressing only

the *cas9* transgene in *THD1* neurons (*THD1>cas9*). The flight deficit observed

with *FMRFaR* knockout in *THD1* cells was significantly different from

THD1>cas9 flies (Fig 1F; *THD1>cas9* vs *THD1>FMRFaR^{dual};cas9*; p<0.01;

Mann-Whitney U-test). The near identical flight deficits observed between

FMRFaR null flies and flies with *FMRFaR* knockout in *THD1* cells suggests that

modulation of flight bout duration by FMRFaR may derive solely from

dopaminergic neurons (Fig 1F; *THD1>FMRFaR^{dual};cas9* vs *ΔFMRFaR*

Homozygous; p>0.05; Mann-Whitney U-test). To test this idea, we next

investigated whether *FMRFaR* is enriched in dopaminergic neurons of the brain.

FMRFaR is present on dopaminergic neurons and is functionally active

Owing to the lack of either an antibody for FMRFaR or GAL4 strains that reliably mark FMRFaR expressing neurons, we chose to employ molecular and functional assays to confirm the presence of FMRFaR on dopaminergic neurons. Towards this end, we sorted adult brain dopaminergic neurons marked by *THGAL4* driven *eGFP*, using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) and measured transcript levels of a few selected genes. Two markers of dopaminergic neurons, *ple* (encoding the enzyme Tyrosine Hydroxylase or TH) and *dDAT* (encoding a dopamine transporter) were highly expressed in GFP +ve cells, confirming homogeneity of the sorted population (Fig 2A). *FMRFaR* expression, as measured by qPCR, was significantly enriched in *TH* expressing neurons (GFP +ve), whereas expression of calcium signaling molecules like *dSTIM* and *dOrai* was similar in dopaminergic and non-dopaminergic neurons (Fig 2A).

The presence of active FMRFaR on central dopaminergic neurons was tested next. *THD1* neurons with expression of a genetically encoded calcium sensor, *GCaMP6m* (25) were tested for receptor activation in adult brain explants and calcium responses were specifically monitored from the PPL1 and PPM3 clusters, previously implicated in the regulation of flight bout durations (Fig 1A, S1A Fig) (7). Stimulation with one of the most abundantly expressed neuropeptide ligands of FMRFaR, DPKQDFMRFa (henceforth referred to as FMRFa) (26, 27), resulted in

a slow calcium rise (Fig 2B, C, E, F). FMRFa peptide stimulated GCaMP6m

response was significantly attenuated upon knockdown of the *FMRFaR* using

either the RNAi or *FMRFaR^{dual};cas9* (Fig 2B, C, E, F). Peptide stimulation of

THD1 cells in the presence of a sodium channel inhibitor, 2 μM Tetrodotoxin

(TTX) resulted in calcium responses that were comparable to that obtained in the

absence of TTX (Fig 2D, E, F). This suggested that the rise in FMRFa-stimulated

Ca^{2+} is due to direct activation of the FMRFaR and not a consequence of synaptic

inputs from other neurons to the *THD1* neurons. Moreover, FMRFa stimulated

Ca^{2+} signals attenuated significantly upon knockdown of *itpr* in *THD1* marked

dopaminergic neurons (Fig. 2D, E, F). Taken together, these data suggest the

presence of FMRFaR on central brain dopaminergic neurons and that receptor

activation likely leads to Ca^{2+} release from the IP$_3$R.

211 FMRFaR is required in adult dopaminergic neurons for sustained flight

Pan-neuronal knockdown of the *FMRFaR* in an earlier study suggested a

requirement of the receptor both during pupal development and in adult

Drosophila neurons (14). To investigate the developmental stage(s) when

FMRFaR signaling is required in dopaminergic neurons for maintaining flight, the

TARGET (Temporal And Regional Gene Expression Targeting) system (28) was

used for stage-specific *FMRFaR* knockdown. This system employs a temperature

sensitive GAL80 element (*TubGAL80^{ts}*) which represses GAL4 expression at 18°C. At 29°C the GAL80^{ts} is inactivated, thus allowing GAL4 driven expression of the UAS transgene. Flight durations of flies with *FMRFaR* knockdown in dopaminergic neurons throughout development (29°C) were reduced to less than 300 seconds as compared to genetic controls that on average flew for about 600 seconds (Fig 3A, S2A Fig). Flies with knockdown of the *FMRFaR* in larval stages displayed normal flight bouts (29°C Larval), whereas a modest reduction in flight bout durations was observed with pupal knockdown (29°C Pupal) (Fig 3A). Interestingly, knockdown of the *FMRFaR* in adult stages affected flight duration maximally, with exacerbation of the flight deficit over time, such that flies with knockdown for eight days flew for less than 150 seconds (29°C Adults) (Fig 3A). In contrast, the control genotypes maintained at 29°C for the same adult period could fly for an average of over 600 seconds (S2A Fig).

Next, we tested the ability of IP$_3$R overexpression to compensate for loss of *FMRFaR* in adult dopaminergic neurons. Indeed, flight deficits observed with *FMRFaR* knockdown in adults could be rescued to a significant extent by simultaneous overexpression of an *itpr^+* transgene (Fig 3A; blue bar; $p<0.01$; Mann-Whitney U-test), but not another *UAS* transgene, *GCaMP6m* (Fig 3A; orange bar; $p>0.05$; Mann-Whitney U-test). Rescue of *FMRFaR* knockdown by overexpression of *itpr^+* supports earlier findings that IP$_3$R-mediated Ca^{2+} release

functions downstream of FMRFaR (13) and is consistent with observations in Fig.

2D, E, F. Temporal and cell specific knockout of the *FMRFaR* with *FMRFaR^dual*

was attempted, but was not pursued because control flies with expression of *cas9*

in adult *THD1;TARGET* cells exhibit flight deficits when maintained at 29°C for 8

days (S2A Fig, last lane in pink). Consistent adult specific phenotypes were

observed with the *THD1GAL4* strain which has been used for subsequent

experiments.

The cellular basis for flight deficits arising from loss of *FMRFaR* in *THD1*

marked neurons was investigated next. Expression of endogenous TH and

membrane-bound GFP driven by *THD1GAL4* was visualized in the PPL1 and

PPM3 clusters of adult *Drosophila* brains at 8 days after knockdown of *FMRFaR*.

Both TH and GFP immunoreactivity appeared as in a control genotype, and there

was no apparent loss of dopaminergic neurons or their neurite projections (Fig 3B,

C, D, S2B Fig). Taken together these data suggest that the FMRFaR is required in

adult *THD1* neurons for sustaining flight bout durations. The increasing disability

to maintain flight bouts for longer durations upon manipulation of *FMRFaR* levels

in adult *THD1* neurons could likely be a consequence of increased RNAi

expression over time.

CaMKII in dopaminergic neurons is required for flight

Downstream signaling mechanisms that require FMRFaR activation in

THD1 dopaminergic neurons were investigated next. There is evidence

demonstrating that FMRFa evoked modulation of excitatory junction potentials

(EJPs) at *Drosophila* neuro-muscular junctions are dependent on the protein

kinase, CaMKII (13, 29). Hence, we tested whether overexpression of wild-type

CaMKII (*WT-CaMKII*) in dopaminergic cells rescued the deficit in flight bout

durations observed upon reduced FMRFaR signaling. Overexpression of *WT-*

CaMKII during pupal stages was insufficient to rescue flight deficits in adults (Fig

4A). However overexpression of *WT-CaMKII* in adults modestly rescued

FMRFaR^{RNAi} induced flight deficits on day 4, 6 and 8 (Fig 4A, S2A Fig -

THD1;TARGET controls and S3A Fig – *WT-CaMKII/+;FMRFaR^{RNAi}/+* controls).

It should be noted that overexpression of *WT-CaMKII* in adult dopaminergic

neurons also reduced the duration of flight bouts (S3A Fig; orange bars),

suggesting that CaMKII levels need to be tightly regulated in adult dopaminergic

neurons for maintenance of flight (see Discussion). Lack of robust rescue by

CaMKII of flight deficits in *FMRFaR* knockdown flies may in part arise from an

imbalance between levels of FMRFaR and CaMKII in *THD1* neurons. Rescue with

the constitutively active form of CaMKII (*CaMKII^{T287D}*) was not attempted

because expression of *CaMKII^{T287D}* in adult *THD1* neurons resulted in strong flight

deficits (S3A Fig; maroon bars). Reduced neuronal excitability by overexpression

of the mutant transgene, $CaMKII^{T287D}$ in larval neurons has been observed

previously where it elicited behavioral deficits, presumably via modulation of

potassium currents (30) (also see discussion). Overall the partial rescue of flight

deficits in the *FMRFaR* knockdown animals by expression of a *WT-CaMKII*

transgene indicates a genetic interaction between them.

To test directly if CaMKII is required in dopaminergic neurons to modulate

flight bout durations, we expressed a synthetic peptide inhibitor of CaMKII (*Ala*)

(31) in dopaminergic cells of interest. Ala is a peptide analog of the CaMKII

autoinhibitory domain that can bind to the catalytic domain of CaMKII, thereby

functioning as an exogenous inhibitor. Inhibition of CaMKII in pan-dopaminergic

(*TH*) or the subset dopaminergic neurons (*THD1*) resulted in flies with

significantly reduced durations of flight bouts (Fig 4B). Knockdown of *CaMKII*

with a $CaMKII^{RNAi}$ also elicited a significant reduction of flight bout durations

(S3B Fig). Inhibition of CaMKII activity by the *Ala* peptide in *THD1* neurons did

not affect their general locomotor ability, indicating that CaMKII function in

THD1 neurons is likely to be flight specific (S3C Fig). Additionally, TARGET

experiments demonstrated that *THD1*-driven expression of *Ala* specifically in

either pupal or adult stages significantly compromised the duration of flight bouts,

as compared to genetic controls (Fig 4C, S2A Fig - *THD1;TARGET* controls, S3D

Fig - *Ala/+* controls). Given that CaMKII is involved in several developmental

processes, for example, axon terminal growth (32), a pupal requirement for

CaMKII is not surprising. Maximal reduction in flight bout duration was observed

in flies wherein CaMKII was inhibited by *Ala* peptide expression in *THD1* cells for

8 days as adults (Fig 4C).

These data support a role for CaMKII activity in the *THD1* subset of

dopaminergic neurons in the specific context of maintaining flight bout durations.

Similar to *FMRFaR* knockdown, CaMKII inhibition with *Ala* in adult neurons did

not affect levels of *TH* mRNA (S3E Fig). Thus, unlike previous findings where

reduced Ca^{2+} signaling in pupal dopaminergic neurons resulted in reduced

expression of the gene encoding TH (*ple* or *TH*) (6, 7), FMRFaR and CaMKII in

adult dopaminergic neurons appear to modulate flight by an alternate cellular

mechanism.

To test if CaMKII activity affects FMRFaR induced Ca^{2+} release, *THD1*

neurons expressing *Ala* peptide were stimulated with the FMRFa peptide. FMRFa-

stimulated cellular calcium responses were not affected by *Ala* expression in *THD1*

neurons (Fig 4D, E, F). These data suggest that the function of CaMKII is either

downstream or parallel to FMRFaR induced Ca^{2+} release.

The ability of FMRFa to activate CaMKII in *Drosophila* central brain

neurons was tested next by immunostaining for pCaMKII, a CaMKII modification

that occurs upon prolonged calcium elevation in the cell (30, 33). For technical

reasons, this immunostaining was performed on primary neuronal cultures from

larvae, wherein we overexpressed the *FMRFaR*[+] in all *nSybGAL4* positive neurons

(34). In addition, the same neurons were marked with *mCD8GFP* to normalize the

pCaMKII signal and to account for variability in strength of expression of the

overexpressed *FMRFaR*[+]. A significant increase in pCaMKII/GFP staining was

observed upon stimulation of larval *nSyb>FMRFaR*[+]*;mCD8GFP* positive neurons

with FMRFa as compared to cells with solvent addition (S3F-H Fig). These data

support the idea that FMRFa stimulated Ca^{2+} release activates CaMKII in central

brain neurons. Even though a direct test for FMRFa-stimulated CaMKII activation

in *THD1* neurons has not been possible so far due to technical reasons, the ability

of *WT-CaMKII* to partially rescue the flight deficit in *FMRFaR* knockdown

animals (Fig 4A), taken together with these cellular data suggest that CaMKII may

function downstream of FMRFaR signaling. Nevertheless, an FMRFaR-

independent and parallel role for CaMKII in *THD1* neurons remains possible. The

underlying cellular basis for the flight deficits observed upon *FMRFaR* knockdown

and *Ala* expression in dopaminergic neurons was investigated next.

FMRFaR and CaMKII modulate calcium entry and membrane potential in central dopaminergic neurons

To measure neuronal activity of *THD1* neurons, we tested their response to a

depolarizing stimulus. *Ex vivo* brain preparations from *THD1* marked

dopaminergic neurons were stimulated with 70 mM KCl, a condition known to

raise the resting membrane potential and activate voltage-gated calcium channels

on the plasma membrane (35). The stimulation was followed by optical

measurements of calcium dynamics. Firstly, using the TARGET system, we

expressed *GCaMP6m* in *THD1* marked cells, specifically in adults. Animals reared

at 29°C for 8 days (also the time corresponding to maximal flight loss upon

FMRFaR knockdown or *Ala* expression; Fig 3A, 4C), were used in these

experiments. Robust Ca^{2+} elevations were observed in *THD1* marked cells from

control animals upon addition of KCl (Fig 5A-D; black trace). Ca^{2+} responses from

neurons with either *FMRFaR* knockdown or *Ala* expression, were however

significantly reduced as compared to controls (Fig 5A-D; red and blue traces).

Similar experiments on *ex vivo* brains obtained after *FMRFaR* knockdown in

adults for 2 days, exhibit a minimal decrease in Ca^{2+} responses upon depolarization

with KCl, in agreement with their weak flight deficits (S4A-C Fig, Fig 3A). These

data suggest that FMRFaR and CaMKII help maintain normal cellular responses to

changes in membrane excitability in *THD1* neurons. Interestingly, in the *FMRFaR*

knockdown condition, most cells exhibit a dampened Ca^{2+} response (Fig 5D, 2nd

row - pink arrow), but a few cells responded normally (Fig 5D, 2nd row - yellow

arrow). Their normal response may be due to insufficient receptor knockdown. Alternately all *THD1* marked cells might not express the FMRFaR (see discussion).

Increased membrane excitability can partially compensate for loss of FMRFaR and CaMKII function

Based on the reduced Ca^{2+} elevation upon depolarization with KCl, observed in *THD1* marked dopaminergic neurons of flies with either *FMRFaR* knockdown or CaMKII inhibition, we hypothesized that such neurons might have reduced membrane excitability. To ascertain if reduced FMRFaR signaling indeed alters the ability of *THD1* neurons to undergo membrane depolarization, a genetically encoded fluorescent voltage indicator, *Arclight* (36), was expressed in dopaminergic neurons of *THD1GAL4*. Stimulation of 8 day old adult brains with KCl showed a robust change in Arclight fluorescence corresponding to neuron depolarization (Fig 6A-D; black trace). As proof of concept, expression of *Kir2.1* in *THD1* cells for 2 days nearly abolished KCl induced membrane depolarization (S5A-C Fig; blue trace). Dopaminergic neurons with *FMRFaR* knockdown for 8 days displayed a significantly reduced ability to depolarize after KCl addition (Fig 6A-D; red trace). As was observed previously (Fig 5D), membrane depolarization induced changes in Arclight fluorescence were attenuated in most *THD1* neurons (Fig 6D, 2nd row; pink arrow), while few responded like controls (Fig 6D, 2nd row;

yellow arrow). Similar experiments with adult brains at 2 days exhibit equivalent

changes in membrane potential in both control and with *FMRFaR^{RNAi}*, in

agreement with the weaker flight deficits of 2d old flies with *FMRFaR* knockdown

(S5A-C, Fig 3A).

Because knockdown of *FMRFaR* reduced KCl induced Ca^{2+} entry in

dopaminergic neurons (Fig 5A-D), attenuated membrane depolarization (Fig 6A-

D) and correlated with shortened flight bout durations (Fig 3A), we hypothesized

that FMRFaR function is likely required to maintain optimal excitability in these

neurons. Consequently, increasing neuronal excitability might compensate for

reduced FMRFaR function and thereby rescue the flight deficits observed in

FMRFaR knockdown flies. To test this idea, we introduced a temperature sensitive

cation channel, *dTrpA1* (37) in flies with adult specific *FMRFaR* knockdown.

Activation of the dTrpA1 calcium channel can compensate in part for reduced Ca^{2+}

entry through voltage–gated channels (15, 38). Indeed, expression of *dTrpA1*

significantly improved the maintenance of flight bouts in 6 and 8 day old *FMRFaR*

knockdown adults (Fig 6E, S2A Fig - *THD1;TARGET* controls and S5D Fig –

TrpA1/+;FMRFaR^{RNAi}/+ controls). Likewise, expression of *NaChBac* (a bacterial

sodium channel) (39), moderately alleviated the near loss of flight observed with

Ala-dependent CaMKII inhibition in 6 and 8 day old adults (S5E, F Fig).

Expression of another UAS element (*GCaMP6m*) in the background of *FMRFaR*

knockdown or *Ala* expression did not rescue the loss of flight (S5F Fig; green bar, as compared to Fig 3A; orange bar – 8 days as Adults at 29°C; p>0.05; Mann-Whitney U-test). Expression of just the *TrpA1* or the *NaChBac* transgenes in adult dopaminergic neurons also affected flight bout durations, supporting the idea that optimal activity of ion channels in *THD1* marked adult dopaminergic neurons is required for their normal function (S5D, F Fig). Taken together, these data demonstrate that FMRFaR helps maintain optimal excitability of flight bout extending central dopaminergic neurons.

Membrane excitability and synaptic vesicle recycling in dopaminergic neurons is essential for sustained flight

To directly test if changes in membrane excitability of *THD1* neurons, affects their ability to modulate flight bout durations, we expressed transgenes that encode ion channel specific tethered-toxins in adult *THD1* neurons. Expression of such toxins, in *Drosophila* neurons, inhibits voltage-gated ion channels thereby altering neuronal excitability and behavior (40). Expression of *PLTXII*, a toxin that targets the presynaptic calcium channel, *cacophony*, in adult *THD1* neurons significantly impaired maintenance of flight bouts from as early as 2 days of transgene expression (Fig 7A, S6A Fig). By 6 days, just 20% of the flies survived *PLTXII* expression and hence flight measurements were recorded only up to 4 days at 29°C (Fig 7A, S6A Fig). Similarly, blocking potassium channels by expression

of *κ-ACTX-Hv1c,* in adult dopaminergic neurons also compromised the duration of

flight bouts to a significant extent (Fig 7B, S6A Fig). Approximately 60% lethality

was observed with *κ-ACTX-Hv1c* expression in adult *THD1* neurons for 6 days. It

is not surprising that we observe lethality with the *PLTXII* and *κ-ACTX-Hv1c*

transgenes as pan-neuronal expression of each of these toxins has previously been

reported to result in lethality (40). Next, adult specific expression of *δ-ACTX-*

Hv1a, a toxin that inhibits inactivation of voltage-gated sodium channels (encoded

by the gene *para*), in *THD1* neurons also reduced flight bout duration in adult flies

after 4 days of transgene expression (Fig 7C, S6A Fig). Furthermore, by

immunostaining for endogenous TH, we confirmed that dopaminergic neurons

were intact under these conditions (S6B Fig). Taken together, these data suggest

that the membrane excitability of flight modulating *THD1GAL4* marked central

dopaminergic neurons must be tightly controlled for maintenance of long flight

bouts.

We hypothesized that changes in membrane excitability are likely to affect

the release of dopamine containing synaptic vesicles from *THD1* neurons. A

temperature-sensitive mutant transgene for dynamin (*UAS-Shibire^{ts}* or *Shi^{ts}*) (41)

was used to block synaptic vesicle recycling by shifting flies transiently to the non-

permissive temperature of 30°C. Indeed, when synaptic vesicle recycling was

inhibited in *THD1* neurons of adult flies, reduced flight bout durations were

observed as compared with controls (Fig 7D). Flies of the same genotype

(*THD1>Shi^ts*) maintained at 22°C throughout showed normal flight bout durations

(Fig 7D). Thus acute manipulation of synaptic function of adult *THD1* neurons

affects the maintenance of flight bout duration in the tethered flight paradigm.

Finally, we tested requirement for the neurotransmitter, dopamine in *THD1*

neurons, for modulating the duration of adult flight bouts. Adult-specific

expression of an RNAi transgene for the dopamine-synthesizing enzyme Tyrosine

Hydroxylase (*TH^RNAi*; (42)) in *THD1* neurons significantly impaired the

maintenance of longer flight bouts from as early as 2 days of *TH^RNAi* expression

(Fig 7E), suggesting that dopamine release from synapses of *THD1* neurons is

required for maintaining longer flight bouts.

Discussion

In this study we demonstrate that the FMRFaR and CaMKII signaling in

specific central dopaminergic neurons of the adult *Drosophila* brain helps maintain

optimal membrane excitability (Fig 5A-D, 6A-D). Previous work by our group

demonstrated that loss of IP$_3$R mediated Ca^{2+} signaling in central dopaminergic

neurons during pupal stages similarly affects flight circuit function in adults (6, 7).

In parallel, neuropeptidergic modulation of flight by the Pdf receptor was

investigated, but the exact class of neurons that required this receptor or the

downstream effectors remained elusive (14). For the first time, we describe here a

neuropeptidergic signaling mechanism in adult brain neurons that is required for

sustained flight (S6C Fig). Our data support a model where FMRFa-modulated

activity in central dopaminergic neurons belonging to the PPL1 and PPM3 clusters

extends the duration of flight bouts, a behavior that is likely to have significant

effects on optimal sourcing of nutrients, finding sites for egg laying and identifying

mates in the wild.

The role of neuropeptide signaling in modulating animal behavior is well

documented (2, 4). Indeed, rescue of flight deficits by overexpression of the cation

channel *TrpA1* in dopaminergic neurons of *FMRFaR* knockdown flies directly

addresses the importance of peptide driven neuronal excitability, for flight

behavior (Fig 6E). Reduced cellular responses to a depolarizing stimulus observed

upon *FMRFaR* knockdown in *THD1* neurons may arise from functional

modification, possibly by CaMKII, of plasma membrane resident ion channels, or

their expression levels (Fig 5A-D, 6A-D). However, our data do not exclude other

kinases or modifiers as functioning downstream of the FMRFaR. We predict that

FMRFaR in dopaminergic neurons is a key neuromodulator of membrane

excitability which stimulates release of dopamine containing synaptic vesicles. In

fact, stimulation of synaptic transmission downstream of FMRFaR activation has

been described previously in *Drosophila* larval neuromuscular junctions (13).

Though we have not tested synaptic vesicle release directly upon FMRFaR

stimulation, our data support an essential role for synaptic transmission and

dopamine synthesis in adult dopaminergic neurons for maintenance of flight bout

durations (Fig 7D, E). Identification of the precise ion channels that are affected by

FMRFaR signaling, leading to changes in membrane excitability and very likely

synaptic transmission in flight modulating dopaminergic neurons, needs further

investigation.

Multiple FMRFa peptides exist in *Drosophila* (26, 27). Cells positive for

FMRFa peptides have been characterized in the *Drosophila* central nervous system

using antisera specific to some of the FMRFa peptides (43–46). Immunostaining of

adult brains, especially against the peptide, DPKQDFMRFa (also used in this

study) have revealed extensive neuronal varicosities in the anterior and lateral

regions of the protocerebrum (45, 46). It is hence conceivable that FMRFa released

through these projections activates the FMRFaR on the anatomically proximal

PPL1 and PPM3 clusters of dopaminergic neurons. The PPL1 neurons are known

to innervate the superior protocerebrum, a region considered to function at the

interface of olfactory input and motor output modules of the brain (47). Thus

activation of the FMRFaR on dopaminergic neurons might stimulate dopamine

release, which could then reinforce a dopaminergic circuit, required for sustained

flight. Furthermore, co-expression of the dopamine synthesizing enzyme Tyrosine

Hydroxylase (TH) in a few neurons marked by an *FMRFaGAL4* (48), suggests the

existence of an autocrine signaling mechanism within the flight modulating

dopaminergic neurons. This idea is supported by recent studies of single cell

sequencing of *Drosophila* neurons where FMRFa transcripts were seen in a small

number of central brain dopaminergic neurons (49, 50). Interestingly, a recent

report from *Manduca* demonstrated that an FMRFa positive neuron lies at the

center of a putative sensory-motor circuit for integration of olfactory stimuli with

wing movements during flight (51). The natural context in which FMRFa release is

triggered for modulation of flight in *Drosophila* remains to be identified.

Neuromodulatory signals from other receptors in central dopaminergic neurons is

also a possibility and would widen the scope of sensory inputs received by these

cells for integration with flight behavior.

FMRFaR activation by the peptide DPKQDFMRFa stimulates intracellular

Ca^{2+} release through the IP_3R (Fig 2D-F) (13) and enhances synaptic transmission

at the larval neuromuscular junction and thereby modulates muscle contraction (13,

29, 46). Previous work from our lab has demonstrated that in *Drosophila* neurons,

subsequent to intracellular Ca^{2+} release, cytosolic calcium is further elevated by

store-operated Ca^{2+} entry (SOCE) through dOrai (52). Thus, we predict that the

rise in Ca^{2+} we see upon FMRFa stimulation of *THD1* neurons could possibly be a

combination of both IP_3R mediated Ca^{2+} release and SOCE. Rescue of flight

deficits in the *FMRFaR* knockdown flies by an *itpr*$^+$ transgene (Fig 3A) and loss of

peptide induced Ca^{2+} rise in an *itpr* knockdown background (Fig 2D-F) support the

idea that IP_3R-mediated Ca^{2+} release is likely downstream of FMRFaR activation.

Activation of overexpressed IP_3Rs in the *FMRFaR* knockdown condition might

occur through alternate receptors. It is also possible that overexpression of the IP_3R

allows for more ER-Ca^{2+} release from the residual FMRFaRs after RNAi

knockdown. Thus, in the context of flight as well, FMRFaR signaling in

dopaminergic neurons appears to function upstream of IP_3R-mediated Ca^{2+} release

(Fig 2D-F, 3A). The adult requirement of FMRFaR on dopaminergic neurons

supports a primary role for this receptor in mature neurons for modulation of flight,

but not as much for maturation of the flight circuit in pupal stages (Fig 3A). In

contrast, the dFrizzled2 receptor, which also functions upstream of the IP_3R in

central dopaminergic neurons, was shown to be required exclusively during the

pupal stages, albeit in a different dopaminergic cluster of the brain (6). These

observations support the existence of specific receptors that stimulate IP_3-mediated

Ca^{2+} release and function either during flight circuit maturation in pupae or in a

neuromodulatory role in adults, to influence flight circuit dynamics. A range of

flight deficits in IP_3R mutants with differing allelic strength support this idea (20).

As described previously by other groups in larval motor neurons and in

larval neuromuscular junctions (13, 29), our genetic and cellular data also suggests

that CaMKII might be a downstream effector of FMRFaR signaling in neurons

(Fig 4A, S3F-H Fig). Although we have shown increased pCaMKII immunostaining in larval CNS neurons upon FMRFa stimulation (S3F-H Fig), direct activation of either CaMKII or other Ca^{2+} dependent kinases by FMRFa in adult *THD1* neurons, remains to be tested rigorously. CaMKII, thus far has been known to contribute to synaptic plasticity in the context of learning and memory (53, 54). Our data, for the first time, demonstrate that CaMKII is required in dopaminergic neurons for maintenance of *Drosophila* flight over periods of several minutes, possibly by modulating neuronal firing during flight. Multiple mechanisms for CaMKII dependent modulation of membrane excitability have been described in *Drosophila* such as phosphorylation of the potassium channel, Eag, leading to an increase in the Eag current (55). In another study, CaMKII dependent phosphorylation of the Ca^{2+} activated potassium channel binding protein, Slob was shown to favor its binding to 14-3-3, that eventually altered the voltage sensitivity of slowpoke channels (56). In both cases, CaMKII acted as a negative regulator of neuronal excitability, and support our data demonstrating flight deficits observed upon overexpression of *WT-CaMKII* and *CaMKII*^{T287D} (S3A Fig). However, the more interesting and compelling explanation for our data demonstrating reduced KCl-induced depolarization upon CaMKII inhibition by *Ala* comes from *in vitro* work that has described a role for CaMKII in decelerating the inactivation of voltage sensitive calcium channels, thereby enhancing

transmitter release (57). This was however shown to be independent of its kinase

activity. More recently, there is evidence to suggest CaMKII-dependent activation

of transcription factors in *Drosophila* neurons (58, 59). Hence, the possibility of

transcriptional regulation of voltage-gated membrane channel genes by CaMKII

cannot be excluded and needs further investigation.

Materials and Methods

Fly rearing and stocks

Drosophila strains used in this study were maintained on cornmeal media,

supplemented with yeast. Flies were reared at 25°C, unless otherwise mentioned.

WT strain of *Drosophila* used was Canton-S. The fly lines *nSybGAL4* (BL51635),

UASFMRFaR^{RNAi} (BL25858), *UAScas9* (BL54593), *UASdTrpA1* (BL26263),

UASNaChBac (BL9468), *UASKir2.1* (BL6595), *UASGCaMP6m* (BL42748),

UASArclight (BL51057), *UASmCD8GFP* (BL5130), *UASAla* (BL29666), *UASWT-*

CaMKII (BL29662), *UASCaMKII^{T287D}* (BL29664), *UASTH^{RNAi}* (BL25796),

UASDicer (BL24648) were obtained from Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Centre

(BDSC). The *UASitpr^{RNAi}* (1063-R2) strain was from National Institute of Genetics

(NIG) and the *UASCaMKII^{RNAi}* (v38930) was from Vienna Drosophila Resource

Center (VDRC). A strain with two copies of *TubGAL80^{ts}* on the second

chromosome was generated by Albert Chiang, NCBS, Bangalore, India, and has

been used for all TARGET experiments. The dopaminergic GAL4 driver, *THGAL4*

(21) and the *THGAL80* strain (23) were kindly provided by Serge Birman (CNRS,

ESPCI Paris Tech, France). All the TH subset GAL4s (*THD1, THD', THC1, THC'*)

were a gift from Mark N Wu (Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore) (22). The

UASeGFP transgene was provided by Michael Rosbash (Brandeis University,

Waltham, MA). The *UASShi^{ts}* strain was obtained from Toshihiro Kitamoto

(University of Iowa, Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City). The *UAS-toxin* fly

strains have been described earlier (40) and were obtained from Brian McCabe

(EPFL Brain Mind Institute, Lausanne, Switzerland). The generation and use of

UASitpr$^+$ transgene has been described earlier (15, 60). The *UASFMRFaR$^+$* strain

was generated in the lab (unpublished). Standard fly genetics were followed for

making strains and recombinants.

Temperature shift experiments were performed as described below. Briefly, larvae,

pupae or adults were maintained at 18°C and transferred to 29°C only at the stage

when the *UAS-transgene* needed to be expressed. Firstly, as a control, flies of the

genotype *THD1;TARGET>UAS-transgene* were maintained throughout at 18°C, a

condition where the *UAS-transgene* expression is suppressed (labeled as '18°C' in

figures). To observe maximum effect, flies of the same genotype were grown at

29°C throughout development and as adults (labeled '29°C'). For larval specific

knockdown, animals of the desired genotypes developed at 29°C from embryos to

the wandering larval stage, following which they were maintained at 18°C till the

time when they were tested for flight (labeled as '29°C Larval'). Likewise, for

pupal specific knockdown, wandering third instar larvae were shifted from 18°C to

29°C. Pupae developed at 29°C and were transferred to 18°C, soon after eclosion

(labeled as '29°C Pupal'). In case of adult specific knockdown, larval and pupal

development continued at 18°C. Following this, adult flies were maintained at

18°C for two days post eclosion and then transferred to 29°C and used for

experiments at 1, 2, 4, 6 or 8 days after transfer (labeled as '29°C Adult').

Generation of a knockout for *FMRFaR* using the CRISPR-Cas9 system

To generate a *FMRFaR* null allele, we used the CRISPR-Cas9 methodology (61–

65). Two guide RNAs (gRNAs) were designed, at the 5' and 3'ends of the gene at

the following sequences: (sg1-GGGAGCCATGAGTGGTACAGCGG, sg4-

GATCTCTGCATTTCGCGGGCGGG) so as to delete ~1.5 kb from the coding

region of the 1.6 kb gene. A gRNA dual transgenic fly (*FMRFaR^{dual}*) was made

first (24). *FMRFaR^{dual}* transgenic males were mated with *Act5c-Cas9* virgins (66).

From the F1 progeny obtained, 16 were screened by PCR for the deletion. Because

all flies tested were positive for the deletion, 4 F1 flies were individually crossed to

balancers and 30 F2 progeny were screened for the deletion. Progeny, that were

positive for deletion and negative for presence of dual gRNA (total 6 F2s), were

maintained as stable lines. The deletion was confirmed by sequencing of the DNA

from the *FMRFaR* knockout flies.   The following primers were used for

confirmation of deletion - 5'F: GACATAGTCATCAGGTGCTC, 5'R:

TGCACCTCCGTGTGGTTAAG, 3'F: GAACAACGGCGATGGAACTC, 3'R:

GGTGCTCTAAGTCAACCCCT. For tissue specific deletions of *FMRFaR* gene, a

fly strain containing *FMRFaR^{dual}* and *UAScas9* was made and subsequently mated

with GAL4 strains of interest.

Single flight Assay

Single flight tests were performed on adult flies 3-5 days post eclosion, unless

specified differently. Flies were anaesthetized on ice for 2-3 minutes and then

tethered using nail polish applied to the end of a thin metal wire. The tether was

glued onto the region between the neck and thorax of adult flies. A gentle mouth

blown air-puff was delivered to test for flight response. Flight time in tethered

condition was recorded for a maximum of 15 minutes. In three independent

batches of 10, a minimum of 30 flies were tested for each genotype. All control

genotypes tested were obtained by crossing the GAL4 or UAS strain of interest to

the wild-type strain, *Canton-S*. Raw data was plotted as box and whisker plots

using Origin (OriginLab, Northampton, MA) software. Each bar represents 25th to

75th percentile. The solid diamond and horizontal line within the bars indicate

mean and median, respectively. Individual values are shown as open diamonds.

Locomotor Assay

Locomotor activity was measured by modifying a previously published protocol

(67). Briefly, locomotor activity of 4-6 day old singly housed virgin males was

tested in a circular chamber of diameter 4 cm. The chamber was placed on a sheet

containing a specific pattern. Single flies were aspirated into each chamber and

allowed to acclimatize for 5 minutes. Each fly was monitored separately for the

number of times it crossed each line in the pattern, over a period of 10 seconds. For

every experiment, six single flies were tested sequentially for the span of 10

seconds. This was repeated 5 times, i.e. we measured locomotor activity of every

single fly five times in blocks of 10 seconds, so as to randomize activity

measurements during the experimental time. Total locomotor activity is

represented as the sum total of the number of lines crossed by each fly over the

experimental duration of 50 seconds (plotted as Locomotor Activity Units). A

minimum of 25 flies were tested from each genotype.

***Ex vivo* live imaging of adult brains**

Adult brains were dissected in adult haemolymph-like solution (AHL) (68) and

embedded in ~10 µl of 1% low melt agarose (Invitrogen) with anterior side facing

upwards. Brains were then bathed in 100 µl AHL until imaging. Images were

obtained on an Olympus FV1000 inverted microscope (Olympus Corp., Japan) in

time lapse mode using the 20X objective. Both GCaMP6m and Arclight signals

were captured using the 488 nm excitation laser line. Fifty frames of basal activity were recorded, followed by stimulation with either 5 μM Peptide (DPKQDFMRFa, NeoBioLab, Massachusetts, USA) or 70 mM KCl. A minimum of 5 brain explants were imaged for every experimental condition.

Raw fluorescence data for regions of interest were extracted using the Time Series Analyser plugin in Image J 1.47v. Change in fluorescence, ΔF/F was calculated using the following formula for each time (t): $\Delta F/F = (F_t - F_0)/F_0$, where F_0 is the average basal fluorescence of the first 10 frames. Area under the curve and Peak ΔF/F was calculated using Microsoft Excel considering all frames post-stimulation. Values were plotted as box plots.

Sorting of adult neurons by FACS

Twenty adult CNS (*THGAL4>eGFP*) were dissected in cold Schneider's medium (#21720-024, Life Technologies) followed by ~45 minutes incubation in an enzymatic solution containing 0.75 μg/μl of Collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.40 μg/μl Dispase (Sigma-Aldrich). Brain lysates were then spun at 3000 rpm for 3 minutes. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in cold Schneider's media. Single cell suspensions were obtained by gentle tituration and then passed through a 40 μm mesh filter. Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) of samples was carried out as described earlier (7). A minimum of 4

biological replicates were sorted and ~10,000 GFP +ve and GFP -ve cells were

collected separately in TRIzol Reagent (Life Technologies) for RNA isolation.

RNA isolation and qPCR

Total RNA was extracted from sorted cells or dissected adult CNS using TRIzol

RNA extraction protocol (Ambion, ThermoFischer Scientific). In case of dissected

CNS, a minimum of three biological replicates, each containing 5 brains, was used

per genotype. Approximately 500 ng of RNA was then used for DNAse treatment

and first strand cDNA synthesis. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed on

ABI7500 fast machine (Applied Biosystems) using Kapa SYBR FAST qCR

Master mix (KAPA Biosystems, Wilmington, MA). *rp49* was used as an internal

control to quantify relative levels of the target transcripts. qPCR values are

represented as normalized fold change of mRNA transcripts of the indicated genes.

In case of sorted cells, normalized mRNA levels of genes in GFP -ve cells have

been plotted relative to the GFP +ve population. Every qPCR run was followed by

a melt curve analysis to confirm primer specificity. The following formula was

used to calculate fold change:

Fold change = $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$, where, $\Delta\Delta Ct = (Ct_{(target\ gene)}-Ct_{(rp49)})_{Experimental} - (Ct_{(target\ gene)}-$

$Ct_{(rp49)})_{Control}$

Sequences of primers used are as follows:

rp49:

Forward- CGGATCGATATGCTAAGCTGT

Reverse- GCGCTTGTTCGATCCGTA

FMRFaR:

Forward- GTGCGAAAGTTACCCGTCG

Reverse- TAATCGTAGTCCGTGGGCG

TH (*ple*):

Forward- GTTGCAGCAGCCCAAAAGAAC

Reverse- GAGACCGTAATCATTTGCCTTGC

dSTIM:

Forward- GAAGCAATGGATGTGGTTCTG

Reverse- CCGAGTTCGATGAACTGAGAG

dOrai:

Forward- GAGATAGCCATCCTGTGCTGG

Reverse- CGGATGCCCGAGACTGTC
706

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed on dissected adult CNS as described earlier (7). Briefly, brains were dissected in 1x PBS, followed by fixation in 4% paraformaldehyde and blocking in 0.2% phosphate buffer, 0.2% Triton-X 100 and 5% normal goat serum. Overnight incubation with primary antibodies was followed by 2 hours of incubation with corresponding fluorescent secondary antibodies. The primary antibodies used were: mouse anti TH (1:50, #22941, ImmunoStar) and rabbit anti GFP (1:10,000, A6455, Life Technologies). The following were the fluorescent secondary antibodies used at 1:400: anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 568 (#A11004, Life Technologies) and anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 (#A11008, Life Technologies). Images were obtained as confocal stacks of 1 μm thickness using 20X objective on Olympus FV1000 Confocal microscope.

Primary neuronal culture and immunostaining

Primary neuronal cultures and immunostaining of neurons was carried out as described previously (34). Briefly, third instar larval CNS were dissected in Schneider's medium containing 50 μg/ml Streptomycin (Invitrogen), 10 μg/ml Amphotericin B (Invitrogen) and 50U/ml Penicillin (Invitrogen). Following this, brains were subjected to 40 minutes of enzyme treatment in 0.75 μg/ml Collagenase and 0.40 μg/ml Dispase. Neurons were dissociated, spun down and plated in growth medium, DMEM/F12-1065 (Gibco) supplemented with the

antibiotics mentioned previously and 20mM HEPES. All cell culture reagents were

procured from Sigma-Aldrich, unless specified differently. Fourteen-sixteen hours

old cultures were washed twice with hemolymph-like saline, HL_3 and incubated

with either the FMRFa peptide or a solvent control for 20 minutes. Following this,

cells were fixed in 3.5% paraformaldehyde for 20 minutes at room temperature and

then washed in wash buffer ($1/10^{th}$ dilution of blocking buffer). Cells were then

permeabilized for a total of half an hour (10 minutes * 3 times), blocked in

blocking buffer (5% BSA, 0.5% Triton X in PBS) for 1 hour, at room temperature

and subsequently incubated overnight in the primary antibody diluted in wash

buffer (mouse anti pCaMKII-22B1; 1:100; #sc-32289, Santa Cruz). The next day,

cells were washed thrice in wash buffer (for 10 minutes each) and incubated with

anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 568 (#A11004, Life Technologies) secondary antibody for

half an hour at room temperature. Control dishes for the secondary antibody were

treated as the experimental dishes and were incubated with the fluorescent

secondary, but not the primary antibody. Following another set of three washes (15

minutes each) in wash buffer, cells were covered in 60% glycerol before imaging.

An inverted Olympus FV3000 confocal microscope with a 60X oil objective (1.42

NA), pinhole size 150 μm was used to image the cells. GFP and pCaMKII signals

were captured with 488 and 561 laser lines respectively. The same settings were

used to capture images from all experimental conditions, on any particular day of

imaging. The entire cell volumes of cells were captured as optical slices of 0.60 μm

thickness. Images were analyzed in Image J as described above. After applying

background subtraction, fluorescence values of pCaMKII were divided over GFP

values to obtain the ratios shown in S3G Fig.

Data representation and analysis

Origin (OriginLab, Northampton, MA) software was used for plotting raw data and

calculation of statistical significance. Raw data were tested for normality. A

Student t-test or an ANOVA statistical test was performed on normally distributed

data. Non-normal data were tested for statistical significance using the Mann-

Whitney U-test.

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. Jean-Francois Ferveur for helping us set up the locomotor assay,

Trayambak Pathak for standardising protocols for the Arclight experiments and

Shlesha Richhariya for help with FACS sorting. We thank the Fly Facility at

NCBS for generating transgenic fly lines and Dr. Krishnamurthy and NCBS

Central Imaging and Flow Facility for help with confocal imaging and FACS. We

also thank the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (National Institutes of Health

P40OD018537) for several fly stocks used in this study.

References

1.   van den Pol AN. Neuropeptide Transmission in Brain Circuits. Neuron

[Internet]. 2012;76(1):98–115. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.09.014

2.   Taghert PH, Nitabach MN. Peptide Neuromodulation in Invertebrate Model

Systems. Neuron [Internet]. 2012;76(1):82–97. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.08.035

3.   Griffith LC. Neuromodulatory control of sleep in Drosophila melanogaster:

Integration of competing and complementary behaviors. Curr Opin

Neurobiol. 2013;23(5):819–23.

4.   Kim SM, Su C, Wang JW. Neuromodulation of Innate Behaviors in

Drosophila. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2017;40:327–48.

5.   Brembs B, Christiansen F, Pflüger HJ, Duch C, Pfluger HJ, Duch C. Flight

Initiation and Maintenance Deficits in Flies with Genetically Altered

Biogenic Amine Levels. J Neurosci [Internet]. 2007;27(41):11122–31.

Available from:

http://www.jneurosci.org/cgi/doi/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2704-07.2007

6.   Agrawal T, Hasan G. Maturation of a central brain flight circuit in

Drosophila requires Fz2/Ca^{2+} signaling. Elife. 2015;4(MAY):1–22.

7.   Pathak T, Agrawal T, Richhariya S, Sadaf S, Hasan G. Store-Operated

Calcium Entry through Orai Is Required for Transcriptional Maturation of

the Flight Circuit in Drosophila. J Neurosci [Internet]. 2015;35(40):13784–

99. Available from:

http://www.jneurosci.org/cgi/doi/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1680-15.2015

8.   Hewes RS, Taghert PH. Neuropeptides and Neuropeptide Receptors in the

Drosophila melanogaster Genome. Genome Biol. 2001;11:1126–42.

9.   Mertens I, Vandingenen A, Johnson EC, Shafer OT, Li W, Trigg JS, et al.

PDF Receptor Signaling in Drosophila Contributes to Both Circadian and

Geotactic Behaviors. Neuron. 2005;48:213–9.

10.   Yapici N, Kim Y, Ribeiro C, Dickson BJ. A receptor that mediates the post-

mating switch in Drosophila reproductive behaviour. Nature.

2008;451(January):33–8.

11.   Chen X, Ganetzky B. A neuropeptide signaling pathway regulates synaptic

growth in Drosophila melanogaster. J Cell Biol. 2012;196(4):529–43.

12.   Vecsey CG, Pírez N, Griffith LC. The Drosophila neuropeptides PDF and

sNPF have opposing electrophysiological and molecular effects on central

neurons. J Neurophysiol. 2014;111:1033–45.

13.   Klose MK, Dason JS, Atwood HL, Boulianne GL, Mercier AJ. Peptide-

803   induced modulation of synaptic transmission and escape response in

804   Drosophila requires two G-protein-coupled receptors. J Neurosci [Internet].

805   2010;30(44):14724–34. Available from:

806   http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21048131

807   14.   Agrawal T, Sadaf S, Hasan G. A Genetic RNAi Screen for IP3/Ca2+

808   Coupled GPCRs in Drosophila Identifies the PdfR as a Regulator of Insect

809   Flight. PLoS Genet. 2013;9(10):e1003849.

810   15.   Jayakumar S, Richhariya S, Venkateswara Reddy O, Texada MJ, Hasan G.

811   Drosophila larval to pupal switch under nutrient stress requires IP3R/Ca2+

812   signalling in glutamatergic interneurons. Elife. 2016;5(AUGUST):1–27.

813   16.   Berridge MJ. Inositol trisphosphate and calcium signalling mechanisms.

814   Biochim Biophys Acta - Mol Cell Res [Internet]. 2009;1793(6):933–40.

815   Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2008.10.005

816   17.   Nässel DR. Neuropeptides in the nervous system of Drosophila and other

817   insects : multiple roles as neuromodulators and neurohormones. Prog

818   Neurobiol. 2002;68:1–84.

819   18.   Kiss B, Szlanka T, Zvara Á, Žurovec M, Sery M, Kakaš Š, et al. Selective

820   elimination/RNAi silencing of FMRF-related peptides and their receptors

decreases the locomotor activity in Drosophila melanogaster. Gen Comp

Endocrinol. 2013;191(June):137–45.

19.   Lenz O, Xiong J, Nelson MD, Raizen DM, Williams JA. FMRFamide

signaling promotes stress-induced sleep in Drosophila. Brain Behav Immun

[Internet]. 2015;47(February):141–8. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25668617%5Cnhttp://ac.els-

cdn.com/S0889159115000124/1-s2.0-S0889159115000124-

main.pdf?_tid=cdb35ce4-3da4-11e6-939d-

00000aacb35e&acdnat=1467169051_dac4c8bdfd8d49f91ae3d79c3cdeeac5

20.   Banerjee S, Lee J, Venkatesh K, Wu C-F, Hasan G. Loss of flight and

associated neuronal rhythmicity in inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor

mutants of Drosophila. J Neurosci [Internet]. 2004 Sep 8 [cited 2012 May

11];24(36):7869–78. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1289272&tool=p

mcentrez&rendertype=abstract

21.   Friggi-Grelin F, Coulom H, Meller M, Gomez D, Hirsh J, Birman S.

Targeted gene expression in Drosophila dopaminergic cells using regulatory

sequences from tyrosine hydroxylase. J Neurobiol. 2003;54(4):618–27.

22.   Liu Q, Liu S, Kodama L, Driscoll MR, Wu MN. Two dopaminergic neurons

signal to the dorsal fan-shaped body to promote wakefulness in Drosophila.

Curr Biol. 2012;22(22):2114–23.

23. Sitaraman D, Zars M, Laferriere H, Chen Y, Sable-smith A, Kitamoto T, et

al. Serotonin is necessary for place memory in Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad

Sci. 2008;105(14):5579–84.

24. Kondo S, Ueda R. Highly Improved gene targeting by germline-specific

Cas9 expression in Drosophila. Genetics. 2013;195(3):715–21.

25. Chen T-W, Wardill TJ, Sun Y, Pulver SR, Renninger SL, Baohan A, et al.

Ultrasensitive fluorescent proteins for imaging neuronal activity. Nature

[Internet]. 2013;499(7458):295–300. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3777791&tool=p

mcentrez&rendertype=abstract

26. Schneider LE, Taghert PH. Isolation and characterization of a Drosophila

gene that encodes multiple neuropeptides related to Phe-Met-Arg-Phe-NH2

(FMRFamide). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 1988 Mar [cited 2017

Apr 5];85(6):1993–7. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3162321

27. Nambu JR, Murphy-Erdosh C, Andrews PC, Feistner GJ, Scheller RH.

Isolation and characterization of a drosophila neuropeptide gene. Neuron

[Internet]. 1988 [cited 2017 Apr 5];1(1):55–61. Available from:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0896627388902097

28. McGuire SE, Le PT, Osborn AJ, Matsumoto K, Davis RL. Spatiotemporal

rescue of memory dysfunction in Drosophila. Science [Internet].

2003;302(5651):1765–8. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14657498

29. Dunn TW, Mercier AJ. Synaptic modulation by a Drosophila neuropeptide is

motor neuron-specific and requires CaMKII activity. Peptides. 2005;26:269–

76.

30. Park D, Coleman MJ, Hodge JJL, Budnik V, Griffith LC. Regulation of

Neuronal Excitability in Drosophila by Constitutively Active CaMKII. J

Neurobiol. 2002;24–42.

31. Griffith LC, Verselis LM, Aitken KM, Lei L. Inhibition of

Calcium/Calmodulin-Dependent Protein Kinase in Drosophila Disrupts

Behavioral Plasticity. Neuron. 1993;10:501–9.

32. Nesler KR, Starke EL, Boin NG, Ritz M, Barbee SA. Molecular and Cellular

Neuroscience Presynaptic CamKII regulates activity-dependent axon

terminal growth. Mol Cell Neurosci [Internet]. 2016;76:33–41. Available

from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mcn.2016.08.007

33.   Gillespie JM, Hodge JJL. CASK regulates CaMKII autophosphorylation in

neuronal growth, calcium signaling, and learning. Front Mol Neurosci

[Internet]. 2013;6(September):27. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3769642&tool=p

mcentrez&rendertype=abstract

34.   Deb BK, Pathak T, Hasan G. Store-independent modulation of Ca2+ entry

through Orai by Septin 7. Nat Commun [Internet]. 2016;7(May):11751.

Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27225060%5Cnhttp://www.pubmedce

ntral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC4894974

35.   Kudo Y, Ogura A. Glutamate-induced increase in intracellular Ca2+

concentration in isolated hippocampal neurones. Br J Pharmacol.

1986;89(1):191–8.

36.   Cao G, Platisa J, Pieribone VA, Raccuglia D, Kunst M, Nitabach MN.

Resource Genetically Targeted Optical Electrophysiology in Intact Neural

Circuits. Cell [Internet]. 2013;154(4):904–13. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.07.027

37.   Hamada FN, Rosenzweig M, Kang K, Pulver SR, Ghezzi A, Jegla TJ, et al.

An internal thermal sensor controlling temperature preference in Drosophila.

Nature [Internet]. 2008;454(7201):217–20. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18548007

38. Chen X, Rahman R, Guo F, Rosbash M. Genome-wide identification of

neuronal activity-regulated genes in *Drosophila*. Elife [Internet]. 2016;5:1–

21. Available from: http://elifesciences.org/lookup/doi/10.7554/eLife.19942

39. Nitabach MN, Wu Y, Sheeba V, Lemon WC, Strumbos J, Zelensky PK, et al.

Electrical Hyperexcitation of Lateral Ventral Pacemaker Neurons

Desynchronizes Downstream Circadian Oscillators in the Fly Circadian

Circuit and Induces Multiple Behavioral Periods. J Neurosci [Internet].

2006;26(2):479–89. Available from:

http://www.jneurosci.org/content/26/2/479%5Cnhttp://www.jneurosci.org/co

ntent/26/2/479.full.pdf%5Cnhttp://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16407545

40. Wu Y, Cao G, Pavlicek B, Luo X, Nitabach MN. Phase Coupling of a

Circadian Neuropeptide With Rest / Activity Rhythms Detected Using a

Membrane-Tethered Spider Toxin. PLoS Biol. 2008;6(11).

41. Kitamoto T. Conditional Modification of Behavior in Drosophila by Targeted

Expression of a Temperature-Sensitive shibire Allele in Defined Neurons. J

Neurobiol. 2000;47:81–92.

42. Budnik V, White K. Genetic dissection of dopamine and serotonin synthesis

in the nervous system of Drosophila melanogaster. J Neurogenet.

1987;4:309–14.

43.   White K, Hurteau T, Punsal P. Neuropeptide-FMRFamide-Like

Immunoreactivity in Drosophila : Development and Distribution. J Comp

Neurol. 1986;247:430–8.

44.   Taghert, Paul H., Schneider LE. Interspecific Comparison of a Drosophila

Neuropeptides Gene Encoding FMRFamide-related neuropeptides. J

Neurosci. 1990;10(6):1929–42.

45.   Nichols R, Mccormick J, Lim I, I LC. Cellular Expression of the Drosophila

melanogaster FMRFamide Neuropeptide Gene Product. J Mol Neurosci.

1995;6:1–10.

46.   Nichols R, Mccormick J, Cohen M, Howe E, Paisley K, Rosario C, et al.

Differential Processing of Neuropeptides Influences Drosophila Heart Rate. J

Neurogenet. 1999;13((1-2)):89–104.

47.   Shih C-T, Sporns O, Yuan S-L, Su T-S, Lin Y-J, Chuang C-C, et al.

Connectomics-Based Analysis of Information Flow in the Drosophila Brain.

Curr Biol [Internet]. 2015;25(10):1249–58. Available from:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S096098221500336X

48.   Sadaf S, Reddy OV, Sane SP, Hasan G. Neural control of wing coordination

in flies. Curr Biol. 2015;25(1):80–6.

49.   Davie K, Janssens J, Koldere D, Pech U, Aibar S, Waegeneer D, et al. A

single-cell catalogue of regulatory states in the ageing Drosophila brain.

bioRxiv. 2017;1–28.

50.   Croset V, Treiber CD, Waddell S. Cellular diversity in the Drosophila

midbrain revealed by single-cell transcriptomics. Elife. 2018;7:1–31.

51.   Bradley SP, Chapman PD, Lizbinski KM, Daly KC, Dacks AM. A Flight

Sensory-Motor to Olfactory Processing Circuit in the Moth Manduca sexta.

Front Neural Circuits [Internet]. 2016;10(5):1–13. Available from:

http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=prem&NE

WS=N&AN=26909026

52.   Venkiteswaran G, Hasan G. Intracellular Ca2+ entry and store-operated

Ca2+ entry are required in Drosophila neurons for flight. Proc Natl Acad Sci

U S A. 2009;106(25):10326–31.

53.   Malik BR, Hodge JJL. CASK and CaMKII function in Drosophila memory.

Front Neurosci. 2014;8(June):1–7.

54.   Hell JW. CaMKII: Claiming center stage in postsynaptic function and

organization. Neuron [Internet]. 2014;81(2):249–65. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2013.12.024

55. Wang Z, Wilson GF, Griffith LC. Calcium / Calmodulin-dependent Protein
Kinase II Phosphorylates and Regulates the Drosophila Eag Potassium
Channel. J Biol Chem. 2002;277(27):24022–9.

56. Zhou Y, Schopperle WM, Murrey H, Jaramillo A, Dagan D, Griffith LC, et
al. A Dynamically Regulated 14 – 3 – 3 , Slob , and Slowpoke Potassium
Channel Complex in Drosophila Presynaptic Nerve Terminals. Neuron.
1999;22:809–18.

57. Jiang X, Lautermilch NJ, Watari H, Westenbroek RE, Scheuer T, Catterall
WA. Modulation of CaV2.1 channels by Ca2+/calmodulin- dependent
protein kinase II bound to the C-terminal domain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2008;105(1).

58. Timmerman C, Suppiah S, Gurudatta B V, Yang J, Banerjee C, Sandstrom
DJ, et al. The Drosophila transcription factor Adf-1 (nalyot) regulates
dendrite growth by controlling FasII and Staufen expression downstream of
CaMKII and neural activity. J Neurosci [Internet]. 2013;33(29):11916–31.
Available from:
http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3713729&tool=p

971	mcentrez&rendertype=abstract

59.	Vonhoff F, Kuehn C, Blumenstock S, Sanyal S, Duch C. Temporal coherency between receptor expression , neural activity and AP-1-dependent transcription regulates Drosophila motoneuron dendrite development. Development. 2013;616:606–16.

60.	Venkatesh K, Siddhartha G, Joshi R, Patel S, Hasan G. Interactions Between the Inositol 1,4,5-Trisphosphate and Cyclic AMP Signaling Pathways Regulate Larval Molting in Drosophila. Genetics. 2001;158(May):309–18.

61.	Cong L, Ran FA, Cox D, Lin S, Barretto R, Habib N, et al. Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. Science. 2013 Feb;339(6121):819–23.

62.	Hsu PDD, Lander ESS, Zhang F. Development and applications of CRISPR-Cas9 for genome engineering. Cell. 2014;157(6):1262–78.

63.	Mali P, Yang L, Esvelt KM, Aach J, Guell M, DiCarlo JE, et al. RNA-guided human genome engineering via Cas9. Science. 2013 Feb;339(6121):823–6.

64.	Hwang WY, Fu Y, Reyon D, Maeder ML, Tsai SQ, Sander JD, et al. Efficient genome editing in zebrafish using a CRISPR-Cas system. Nat Biotechnol. 2013 Jan;31(3):227–9.

65.   Sternberg SH, Doudna JA. Expanding the Biologist's Toolkit with CRISPR-

Cas9. Mol Cell. 2015;58(4):568–74.

66.   Port F, Chen H-M, Lee T, Bullock SL. Optimized CRISPR/Cas tools for

efficient germline and somatic genome engineering in *Drosophila*. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A [Internet]. 2014;111(29):E2967-2976. Available from:

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4115528&tool=p

mcentrez&rendertype=abstract

67.   Balakireva M, Stocker RF. Voila , a New Drosophila Courtship Variant that

Affects the Nervous System : Behavioral , Neural , and Genetic

Characterization. J Neurosci. 1998;18(11):4335–43.

68.   Makos MA, Kim YC, Han KA, Heien ML, Ewing AG. In vivo

electrochemical measurements of exogenously applied dopamine in

Drosophila melanogaster. Anal Chem. 2009;81(5):1848–54.

Figure Legends

Fig 1. FMRFaR on dopaminergic neurons is required for flight. (A) Box plot

showing duration of flight bouts in flies expressing *FMRFaR^RNAi* in the indicated

neuronal domains (red). In every box plot, the limits extend from 25th to 75th

percentile, the line and solid diamond represent the median and mean respectively

and the open diamonds show the individual data points. Significance was

calculated by comparing with either the control genotypes (*FMRFaR^RNAi^/+,*

nSyb/+, TH/+, THD1/+) or as indicated by horizontal lines above the graph (n≥30,

**p<0.01, Mann-Whitney U-test). Genotypic controls have been obtained

throughout by crossing the strains to be tested with wild-type, *Canton-S*. The '+' in

all control genotypes denotes the wild-type allele. (B) Quantitative PCR validation

of *FMRFaR* knockdown in *nSyb* positive neurons. Each bar represents mean of

normalized fold change ± SEM (n=6, One-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc

Tukey's test; the same alphabet above each bar represents statistically

indistinguishable groups; different alphabet represents p<0.01). (C) Snapshots of

flight videos of the indicated genotypes (*FMRFaR^RNAi^/+ and THD1>FMRFaR^RNAi^*)

at time points before and after air-puff stimulus (green arrow). Flies of the control

genotype continued to fly for time periods beyond those shown in the images. (D)

Representation of the *FMRFaR* gene locus. The CRISPR-Cas9 based deletion

removes ~1500 bp of the 1650 bp coding sequence. Primers used to amplify the

5' (pink: 5'F, 5'R) and 3' (green: 3'F, 3'R) ends of the gene are indicated by

arrows. Agarose gel showing PCR products from wild-type, heterozygous and

homozygous knockouts of *FMRFaR*. L denotes DNA Ladder. (E) Quantitative

PCR validation of *FMRFaR* CRISPR knockout from adult brains (n≥3, **p<0.01,

unpaired t-test). (F) Flight bout duration of flies with CRISPR-Cas9 based

knockout of *FMRFaR*. Statistical comparisons are as indicated by horizontal lines

(n≥30, **p<0.01, Mann-Whitney U-test).

Fig 2. FMRFaR is expressed on dopaminergic neurons and is functionally

active. (A) Quantitative PCR on FACS sorted dopaminergic (GFP +ve, TH) and

non-dopaminergic (GFP −ve, non TH) neurons, shows enrichment of *FMRFaR*

transcripts in dopaminergic neurons. Each bar represents normalized fold change

as mean ± SEM (n≥4, *p<0.05, unpaired t-test) (B) Snap shots of GCaMP6m

responses from the indicated genotypes. The red box represents the magnified

region of the protocerebrum shown in subsequent images. Warmer colors denote

increase in [Ca^{2+}]. Scale bars indicate 20 μm. (C, D) Mean traces (±SEM) of

normalized GCaMP6m fluorescence responses (ΔF/F) in *THD1GAL4* marked cells

upon peptide or solvent addition (green arrow). (E) Area under the curve and (F)

Peak ΔF/F quantified from 0 s to 420 s in (C, D). The box plot limits extend from

25th to 75th percentile. The line and solid diamond represent the median and mean

respectively. Individual data points are shown as open diamonds. Numbers below

indicate total number of cells imaged. Each bar is compared to the Control peptide

stimulated condition shown in black (**p<0.01; ns - not significant; Mann-

Whitney U-test).

Fig 3. FMRFaR is required in adult dopaminergic neurons for sustained flight. (A) Box plot showing flight bout durations by temporal knockdown of *FMRFaR* in *THD1* neurons using the TARGET system (*THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>FMRFaR^{RNAi}*), which allows effective expression of transgene at 29°C, but not at 18°C. Animals maintained at 18°C or 29°C throughout development and as adults are indicated as 18°C and 29°C, respectively. Knockdown of *FMRFaR* only during the larval, pupal or adult stages are shown as 29°C Larval, 29°C Pupal or 29°C Adult, respectively (red). Knockdown of *FMRFaR* in adult stages (29°C Adult) reduced flight bout durations significantly. The phenotype observed upon *FMRFaR* knockdown in adults for 8 days could be rescued by overexpression of the *itpr⁺* transgene, but not with another UAS transgene, *GCaMP6m* (*THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>FMRFaR^{RNAi};itpr⁺* shown in blue and *THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>FMRFaR^{RNAi};GCaMP6m* shown in orange; n≥30, **p<0.01, ns – not significant; Mann-Whitney U-test). All comparisons are to the control condition, *THD1;TARGET>FMRFaR^{RNAi}* at 18°C (grey bar; *THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>FMRFaR^{RNAi}*; 18°C) or as indicated by horizontal lines above the graph (n≥30, **p<0.01, Mann-Whitney U-test). (B) Immunohistochemistry of representative adult brain samples corresponding to 8 day adult controls (above; *THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>mCD8GFP* Control) and *FMRFaR* knockdown (below; *THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>mCD8GFP;FMRFaR^{RNAi}*). Anti-TH antibody was used to

mark the various dopaminergic clusters, amongst which PPL1 and PPM3 clusters

are indicated. Scale bars represent 100 μm. (C, D) Representative magnified views

of the PPL1 (C) and PPM3 (D) clusters and their projections showing anti-GFP

and anti-TH immunostaining in 8 day old adult brains of control (above;

THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>mCD8GFP Control) and *FMRFaR* knockdown (below;

THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>mCD8GFP;FMRFaR^{RNAi}). Scale bar represents 50 μm.

Fig 4. CaMKII function is required in dopaminergic neurons to regulate

flight. (A) Flight bout durations observed with overexpression of *WT-CaMKII* in

the   background   of   *FMRFaR*   knockdown   in   *THD1*   neurons

(*THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>WT-CaMKII;FMRFaR^{RNAi})*. A significant rescue of the flight

defect was observed by expression in the adults, but not in pupae (n≥30, *p<0.05,

**p<0.01, Mann-Whitney U-test). (B) Flight durations observed with expression of

a genetically encoded CaMKII inhibitor peptide, *Ala*, in dopaminergic neurons as

compared to *Ala* control (n≥30, **p<0.01, Mann-Whitney U-test). (C) Flight time

of flies with temporal expression of *Ala* in *THD1* neurons using the TARGET

system (*THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>Ala*). Significant deficits in flight were observed upon

CaMKII inhibition in pupal and adult stages as compared to flies maintained at

18°C throughout (n≥30, **p<0.01, Mann-Whitney U-test).   (D) Mean traces

(±SEM) of normalized GCaMP6m responses in control (*THD1>GCaMP6m*) and

Ala expressing *THD1* neurons (*THD1>GCaMP6m;Ala*) upon peptide stimulation

(green arrow). (E) Area under the curve and (F) Peak ΔF/F quantified from 0 s to 420 s in (D) were not significantly different between the two genotypes tested (p>0.05; ns - not significant; Mann-Whitney U-test).

Fig 5. Expression of *FMRFaR^RNAi* and inhibition of CaMKII in dopaminergic neurons reduces calcium entry after a depolarizing stimulus. (A) Mean trace (±SEM) of normalized GCaMP6m responses observed upon KCl stimulation (green arrow) in 8 day adult neurons in the three indicated genotypes, *THD1;TubGAL80^ts>GCaMP6m,   Control*   in   black; *THD1;TubGAL80^ts>GCaMP6m;FMRFaR^RNAi*,   in   red   and *THD1;TubGAL80^ts>GCaMP6m;Ala,* in blue. (B) Area under the curve and (C) Peak change in fluorescence quantified from (A). Each bar is compared to control shown in black (**p<0.01; Mann-Whitney U-test). (D) Snap shots of GCaMP6m responses from the same genotypes shown in (A). In the *FMRFaR^RNAi* knockdown condition (2nd row), the yellow arrow indicates a cell that responded whereas pink arrows indicate cells with no response or a minimal response to the depolarization stimulus. Scale bars represent 50 μm.

Fig 6. Expression of *FMRFaR^RNAi* in dopaminergic neurons reduces membrane depolarization. (A) Mean trace (±SEM) of negative change in fluorescence (-ΔF/F) observed upon KCl stimulation of *THD1* neurons expressing a fluorescent-based membrane voltage indicator, *Arclight* in the indicated

genotypes, *THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>Arclight, Control* in black; *THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>Arclight;FMRFaR^{RNAi},* in red. (B) Area under the curve and (C) Peak (-ΔF/F) quantified from (A) were significantly different in the *FMRFaR* knockdown neurons as compared to their genotypic controls. Numbers below each box plot indicate total number of cells imaged from a minimum of 5 independent brains (One-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey's test; the same alphabet above each bar represents statistically indistinguishable groups; different alphabet represents $p<0.05$). (D) Representative images of neuronal depolarization as observed by a decrease in Arclight fluorescence in the same genotypes shown in (A). With *FMRFaR* knockdown (2nd row), few cells responded normally (yellow arrow), whereas most others showed no response or a minimal response to the depolarization stimulus (pink arrow). Scale bars represent 50 μm. (E) Rescue of flight bout durations by expression of *dTrpA1* in the genetic background of *FMRFaR^{RNAi}* in 6 and 8 day old adult *THD1* marked neurons (*THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>TrpA1;FMRFaR^{RNAi}* compared to *THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>FMRFaR^{RNAi}*; n$\geq$30, **$p<0.01$, Mann-Whitney U-test).

Fig 7. Dopaminergic neurons require optimal membrane excitability and synaptic vesicle recycling for sustained flight

(A) Flight bout durations were significantly reduced upon adult specific expression of the Ca^{2+} channel inhibitor, *PLTXII* (*THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>PLTXII;* n$\geq$30,

**p<0.01, Mann-Whitney U-test). (B) Expression of a K$^+$ channel inhibitor, κ-

ACTX-Hv1c, in adult dopaminergic neurons reduces flight bout durations

(*THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>κ-ACTX-Hv1c;* n≥30, **p<0.01, Mann-Whitney U-test). (C)

Adult dopaminergic neuron specific expression of *δ-ACTX-Hv1a*, a toxin that

targets Na$^+$ channels, significantly reduces flight bout durations

(*THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>δ-ACTX-Hv1a*; n≥30, **p<0.01, Mann-Whitney U-test). In

all the above cases (A, B, C), comparisons have been made with the corresponding

18°C control (black). (D) Acute expression of a temperature sensitive dynamin

mutant (*Shi^{ts}*) in adult dopaminergic neurons reduced flight bout durations when

tested at 30°C as compared to a control tested at 22°C (*THD1>Shi^{ts}* at 22°C

compared to 30°C; n≥30, **p<0.01, Mann-Whitney U-test). *THD1/+* and *Shi^{ts}/+*

controls in the two temperature conditions are also shown. (E) Adult specific

knockdown of the dopamine synthesizing enzyme, Tyrosine Hydroxylase (*TH*) by

expressing an RNAi (*TH^{RNAi}*) in dopaminergic neurons significantly reduces flight

duration as compared to the corresponding 18°C controls

(*THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>TH^{RNAi};* n≥30, **p<0.01, Mann-Whitney U-test).

S1 Fig. **Flight duration and locomotor activity measurements with *FMRFaR***

knockdown in subset dopaminergic neurons. (A) Flight durations observed with

knockdown of *FMRFaR* in a few TH subset domains, namely, *THD'*, *THC1* and

THC' as compared to their genotypic controls (*THD'/+, THC1/+, THC'/+* in black

and *FMRFaR^RNAi/+* shown in Fig 1A; n≥30, **p<0.01, Mann-Whitney U-test). The

'+' in all control genotypes denotes the wild-type, *Canton-S*, allele. (B) Locomotor

activity measured for control adult flies (*THD1/+* and *FMRFaR^RNAi/+*) were not

different from that observed with *THD1>FMRFaR^RNAi* flies (n=25, p>0.05, Mann-

Whitney U-test).

S2 Fig. **Behavior characterization under control conditions.** (A) Genotypic

controls for the TARGET experiment (*FMRFaR^RNAi/+* and *THD1;TubGAL80^ts*

Control) tested for flight under various temperature shift conditions. Other controls

in the graph include *itpr^+/+;FMRFaR^RNAi/+* (4th bar from the left in black),

THD1;TubGAL80^ts>itpr^+ (orange bar) and *THD1;TubGAL80^ts>cas9* (pink bar).

Comparisons are shown by horizontal lines (n=30, **p<0.01, ns – not significant;

Mann-Whitney U-test). (B) Immunohistochemical staining of 8 day old adult

brains using anti-GFP antibody in control (above; *THD1;TubGAL80^ts>mCD8GFP*

Control) and *FMRFaR* knockdown (below;

THD1;TubGAL80^ts>mCD8GFP;FMRFaR^RNAi) conditions. Scale bars represent

100 μm.

S3 Fig. Behavioral and molecular characterization of CaMKII and *Ala* strains

under various behavioral paradigms. (A) Flight durations observed for a strain

used in Fig 4A (*WT-CaMKII/+;FMRFaR^RNAi/+*). Flight bout durations measured

for flies with expression of *WT-CaMKII* (*THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>WT-CaMKII*) or a

constitutively active form of CaMKII, *CaMKII^{T287D}*

(*THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>CaMKII^{T287D}*), in *THD1* marked neurons under various

temperature shift conditions as compared to the 18°C condition (n≥20, **p<0.01,

Mann-Whitney U-test). (B) Flight bout durations observed with knockdown of

CaMKII (*CaMKII^{RNAi}*) in dopaminergic neurons (n≥30, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, Mann-

Whitney U-test). (C) Locomotor activity of control adult flies and flies with *THD1*

driven *Ala* expression (*Ala/+* and *THD1>Ala*; n=25, p>0.05, ns - not significant;

Mann-Whitney U-test). (D) Flight bout durations observed with an *Ala* control

strain under the various temperature shift conditions (*Ala/+*; n≥20). (E)

Quantitative PCR showing normalized mean fold change (± SEM) in *TH*

transcripts under conditions of *Ala* expression in *THD1* neurons, either in pupae or

adults (*THD1;TubGAL80^{ts} Control* and *THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>Ala*; n≥3, p>0.05,

unpaired t-test). (F) Box plot showing background subtracted fluorescence

intensity values of GFP and anti-pCaMKII under various experimental conditions.

Cells with GFP intensities ranging from 0-1500 units were chosen for analysis and

their distributions were not different in the three conditions

(*nsyb>mCD8GFP;FMRFaR^{+};* p>0.05, ns - not significant; Mann-Whitney U-test).

(G) Box plot showing the ratio of pCaMKII/GFP fluorescence intensity in the three

experimental conditions. Peptide stimulation resulted in significantly higher

pCaMKII/GFP ratios as compared to addition of the solvent (**$p<0.01$, Mann-Whitney U-test). (H) Representative images of cells showing endogenous GFP and staining for pCaMKII in the three treatment groups. Scale bar represents 10 μm.

S4 Fig. GCaMP6m imaging of *THD1* neurons after *FMRFaR* knockdown for 2 days in adults. (A) GCaMP6m traces showing normalized mean response (±SEM) of *THD1* neurons to KCl in 2 day old adult brains of the indicated genotypes, *THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>GCaMP6m, Control* in black; *THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>GCaMP6m;FMRFaR^{RNAi}*, in red. (B) Area under the curve and (C) Peak ΔF/F quantified from (A). Numbers below each box plot indicate total number of cells imaged (*$p<0.05$; Mann-Whitney U-test).

S5 Fig. Cellular and behavioral characterization under control conditions (A) Mean – ΔF/F traces observed in 2 day old adult dopaminergic neurons in response to KCl stimulation, where *THD1* neurons express the *Arclight* transgene in the indicated genotypes, *THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>Arclight, Control* in black; *THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>Arclight;FMRFaR^{RNAi}*, in red, *THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>Arclight;Kir2.1* in blue. (B) Area under the curve and (C) Peak – (ΔF/F) calculated from (A). Expression of the hyperpolarizing channel, *Kir2.1*, significantly reduced the response to a depolarizing KCl stimulus. Numbers below each box plot indicate total number of cells imaged (One-way ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey's test; the same alphabet above each bar represents

statistically indistinguishable groups; different alphabet represents p<0.01). (D) Flight durations observed for flies expressing just the *TrpA1* transgene in adult *THD1* neurons as compared to 18°C control (*THD1;TubGAL80ts>TrpA1;* n≥20, **p<0.01, Mann-Whitney U-test). Flight duration of a control genotype used in Fig 6E (*TrpA1/+;FMRFaR^RNAi/+* - black bar). (E) Rescue of flight deficits observed upon expression of *NaChBac*, in the background of CaMKII inhibition (*Ala*) in *THD1* neurons in 6 and 8 day old adults (*THD1;TubGAL80ts>Ala;NaChBac* compared to *THD1;TubGAL80ts>Ala*; n≥30, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, Mann-Whitney U-test). The rescues are presumably due to increased membrane excitability. (F) Flight bout durations observed with expression of just the *NaChBac* transgenes in *THD1* neurons under control condition (18°C) and for 6 or 8 days as adults (*THD1;TubGAL80ts>NaChBac*; orange bars; n≥20, **p<0.01, Mann-Whitney U-test). Flight times observed with expression of a *GCaMP6m* transgene in the background of *Ala* expression in adult *THD1* neurons (*THD1;TubGAL80ts>Ala;GCaMP6m*; green bar compared to *THD1;TubGAL80ts>Ala,* 8 days as adults at 29°C shown in Fig 4C are not significantly different; n≥20, p>0.05, Mann-Whitney U-test). Flight duration of a control genotype used in Fig 6E (*Ala/+;NaChBac/+* - black bar).

S6 Fig. Behavioral and cellular characterization with channel toxin transgenes. (A) Flight times observed for controls of the three channel toxin

transgenes (*PLTXII/+, κ-ACTX-Hv1c/+ and δ-ACTX-Hv1a/+*; n≥30, Mann-

Whitney U-test). (B) Anti-TH immunostaining of 4 day old adult brains expressing

the various channel toxin transgenes (*THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>PLTXII,*

THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>κ-ACTX-Hv1c and THD1;TubGAL80^{ts}>δ-ACTX-Hv1a).

Neurons in various dopaminergic clusters were intact. Scale bars represent 100 μm.

(C) Proposed signaling mechanism in dopaminergic neurons for regulation of

flight bout durations by FMRFaR. The model illustrates that FMRFaR stimulation

and downstream IP$_3$R-mediated Ca^{2+} release could possibly activate CaMKII. Our

data suggest that the FMRFaR and CaMKII are required in dopaminergic neurons

for optimal membrane excitability. The effect on membrane excitability maybe by

direct modification of membrane channels or by regulation of their expression

levels. We further predict that this excitability is required for exocytosis of

dopamine containing synaptic vesicles. Schematics are not drawn to scale.

A *THD1;TARGET>Arclight* (8 days at 29°C as Adults)

● Control ● *FMRFaR^{RNAi}*

−ΔF/F

70 mM KCl

Time (seconds)

B *THD1;TARGET>Arclight*

Area under the curve

a   b

Control   *FMRFaR^{RNAi}*

109   147

C *THD1;TARGET>Arclight*

Peak −ΔF/F

a   b

Control   *FMRFaR^{RNAi}*

109   147

D   70 mM KCl

0 s   200 s   400 s

Control

THD1;TARGET>Arclight (8 days at 29°C as Adults)

FMRFaR^{RNAi}

E *THD1;TARGET>FMRFaR^{RNAi}*

Flight duration (seconds)

**   **

dTrpA1   −   +   −   +
          6   6   8   8

Adults (days at 29°C)