A variably imprinted epiallele impacts seed development

Daniela Pignatta[1,¶,#], Katherine Novitzky[1,¶], P.R. V. Satyaki[1], Mary Gehring[1,2]*

[1]Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MA, United States of America

[2]Dept of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, United States of America

*Corresponding author

Email: mgehring@wi.mit.edu (MG)

¶ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Current Address: Indigo Agriculture, Charlestown, MA

Abstract

The contribution of epigenetic variation to phenotypic variation is unclear. Imprinted genes, because of their strong association with epigenetic modifications, represent an opportunity for the discovery of such phenomena. In mammals and flowering plants, a subset of genes are expressed from only one parental allele in a process called gene imprinting. Imprinting is associated with differential DNA methylation between parental alleles and in flowering plants occurs in endosperm seed tissue. Proper endosperm development is essential for the production of viable seeds. We previously showed that intraspecific imprinting variation is correlated with naturally occurring DNA methylation polymorphisms. Here, we investigated the mechanisms and function of allele-specific imprinting of the class IV homeodomain-Leucine zipper (HD-ZIP) transcription factor *HDG3*. In imprinted strains, *HDG3* is expressed primarily from the methylated paternally inherited allele. We manipulated the methylation state of endogenous *HDG3* in a non-imprinted strain and demonstrated that methylation of a proximal transposable element is sufficient to promote *HDG3* expression and imprinting. Gain of *HDG3* imprinting was associated with earlier endosperm cellularization. These results indicate that epigenetic variation alone is sufficient to explain imprinting variation and show that epialleles can underlie variation in seed development phenotypes.

Author Summary

The contribution of genetic variation to phenotypic variation is well-established. By contrast, it is unknown how frequently epigenetic variation causes differences in organismal phenotypes. Epigenetic information is not encoded in the DNA sequence, yet it is closely associated. In practice, it is challenging to disentangle genetic variation from epigenetic variation, as what seems like epigenetic variation might have an underlying genetic basis. DNA methylation is one form of epigenetic information. *HDG3* encodes an endosperm specific transcription factor that exists in two states in *A. thaliana* natural populations: methylated and expressed and hypomethylated and repressed. We show that pure epigenetic variation is sufficient to explain expression variation of *HDG3* – a naturally lowly expressed allele can be switched to a higher expressed state by adding DNA methylation. We also show that expression of *HDG3* in strains where it is normally hypomethylated and relatively repressed causes a seed development phenotype. These data indicate that naturally circulating epialleles have consequences for seed phenotypic variation.

Introduction

DNA methylation is a heritable epigenetic mark that can, on occasion, effect gene transcription and influence development. DNA methylation is a particularly influential regulator of gene expression in endosperm, a triploid extraembryonic seed tissue that supports embryo development. In endosperm, developmentally programmed DNA demethylation causes maternally inherited endosperm genomes to be hypomethylated compared to the paternally inherited endosperm genome (1-3). Methylation differences between maternal and paternal alleles identify their parent-of-origin and establish imprinting, an epigenetic phenomenon in which a gene is expressed primarily from one parental allele (4).

Recent genomic approaches have revealed extensive natural DNA methylation variation within *Arabidopsis thaliana* (5,6), some of which has been shown to have phenotypic consequences (7). Nevertheless, while the contribution of genetic variation to phenotypic diversity is well-established, the impact of epigenetic variation, or epialleles, on phenotype is far less clear (8,9). We previously demonstrated that natural variation in DNA methylation is associated with imprinting variation, with as many as 10% of imprinted genes estimated to be variably imprinted within *A. thaliana* (10). Seed development varies extensively among Arabidopsis accessions and has been previously shown to be influenced by parent-of-origin effects (10,11), thus raising the possibility that variation in imprinting could influence seed phenotypes. One of these variably imprinted genes, *HOMEDOMAIN GLABROUS3* (*HDG3*), is a member of the class IV homedomain-Leucine zipper transcription factor (HD-ZIP) family, which regulates diverse aspects of plant patterning and development (12,13). Studies on the function of class IV HD-ZIP genes in trichome differentiation, sepal giant cell formation, and suppression of somatic embryogenesis, among others, have led to the conclusion that

class IV HD-ZIP family genes promote endoreduplication and cell differentiation (13-16).

80 Several members of the class IV HD-ZIPs are primarily expressed in endosperm and exhibit imprinted expression patterns, including *FWA/HDG6*, *HDG8, HDG9* and *HDG3*

82 (2,12,17). *FWA, HDG8,* and *HDG9* are maternally expressed imprinted genes (MEGs), whereas *HDG3* is a paternally expressed imprinted gene (PEG) (2,17). The function of

84 the imprinted class IV HD-ZIP genes during seed development, if any, is unknown.

The activity of *HDG3* alleles is correlated with DNA methylation. In endosperm of

imprinted strains, the highly expressed paternal *HDG3* allele is methylated and the lowly expressed maternal allele is hypomethylated over a Helitron TE sequence 5' of the

transcriptional start site (2). Maternally inherited endosperm alleles are demethylated by the 5-methylcytosine DNA glycosylase gene *DME*; in *dme* mutants, maternal alleles

retain their methylation and are also expressed (2,18). When strains where *HDG3* methylation is low, such as Cvi, are the paternal parent in crosses with Col, there is no

methylation difference between maternal and paternal alleles in endosperm and *HDG3* is biallelically expressed (10). Together, these data suggest that (1) DNA demethylation

promotes repression of the maternally-inherited *HDG3* allele whereas DNA methylation promotes expression (or inhibits repression) of the paternal *HDG3* allele and that (2)

imprinting variation is due to *cis* epigenetic variation at *HDG3* (10). However, a *cis* or *trans* genetic contribution to imprinting variation cannot be excluded because of DNA

sequence polymorphisms between the strains and alleles that do and do not exhibit imprinting.

Here, we show that a naturally occurring epiallele can contribute to variation in seed phenotypes in Arabidopsis. We tested whether *cis* epigenetic variation is sufficient

to explain imprinting variation by generating a methylated *HDG3* Cvi allele that mimicked a methylated *HDG3* Col allele. We found that the *HDG3* Cvi allele switched from a

hypomethylated, non-imprinted, repressed state to an imprinted, paternally biased,

expressed state. Additionally, gain of *HDG3* imprinting altered endosperm development.

106   These data indicate that naturally occurring epialleles can have phenotypic

consequences in endosperm, a tissue where methylation is dynamic as a programmed

108   part of development.

110   **Results**

Natural variation in *HDG3* imprinting is associated with gene expression

112   **level differences**

       We previously showed that several genes that are imprinted in endosperm when

114   Col is the paternal parent are not imprinted when Cvi is the paternal parent (10). To

further examine naturally occurring endosperm gene expression variation, we

116   sequenced the transcriptomes of endosperm from Col x Col and Col x Cvi F_1 seeds.

Comparison of these transcriptomes identified 957 genes that were expressed two-fold

118   or higher in Col x Col and 1187 that were expressed two-fold or higher in Col x Cvi

endosperm (Fig 1A; S1 Table). The gene with the lowest expression in Col x Cvi relative

120   to Col is *HDG3*, which is expressed 64-fold lower in Col x Cvi endosperm (Fig 1A).

       We previously reported that *HDG3* is a PEG in Cvi x Col crosses but is

122   biallelically expressed in Col x Cvi (10). To further explore the expression variation of

HDG3, we performed *in situ* hybridization on developing seeds (Fig 1B-C; S1 Fig). In Col

124   x Col seeds, *HDG3* is expressed specifically in the micropylar, peripheral, and chalazal

endosperm, with the highest expression at the heart stage of development (Fig 1C). The

126   same pattern was observed in Cvi x Col (Fig 1C). Whereas *HDG3* expression was

detected by in situ hybridization in F_1 endosperm when Col was the paternal parent, it

128   was not detected in endosperm when Cvi was the paternal parent (Fig 1C). Additionally,

we performed RT-qPCR on biological triplicates of Col, Cvi, and Col-Cvi F_1 endosperm.

130   Expression in Col x Col and Cvi x Col was approximately 10-fold higher than in Cvi x Cvi

or Col x Cvi, indicating that *HDG3* expression is higher when it is imprinted (Fig 1D),

132   consistent with the mRNA-seq (Fig 1A) and in situ data (Fig 1C). Thus, although

expression is from both maternally and paternally inherited alleles in Col x Cvi crosses

134   (and presumably Cvi x Cvi crosses) as detected by mRNA-seq (10), the total expression

is lower than when *HDG3* is imprinted. These results suggest that DNA methylation of

136   the *HDG3* 5' region promotes *HDG3* expression (Fig 1E).

138   **Reduced *HDG3* expression affects seed development**

To examine if *HDG3* influenced endosperm development, we compared seeds

140   from *hdg3* mutant plants and segregating wild-type siblings in the Col background. We

confirmed predominantly paternal expression of *HDG3* (2,10) by reciprocal crosses

142   between wild type and *hdg3-1* mutants (Fig 2A). When *hdg3* was crossed as a female to

a wild-type sibling male, expression of *HDG3* was detected in endosperm in a similar

144   manner as in Col x Col (Fig 2A). In contrast, when wild-type females were crossed to

hdg3-1 mutant males, the accumulation of *HDG3* transcript in endosperm was

146   dramatically affected, with no transcript detected in most cases, despite the presence of

a wild-type maternally inherited allele (Fig. 2A). We found that seed development was

148   slightly delayed in *hdg3* compared to wild-type at 6 and 8 DAP (Fig 2B; S2 Fig). Several

PEGs have been shown to influence seed abortion phenotypes in interploidy crosses

150   (19,20), but we found no effect of *hdg3* on this process (S2 Fig). To understand the

potential molecular consequences of the loss of *hdg3,* we profiled endosperm gene

152   expression in wild-type Col and *hdg3-1* by RNA-seq at 7 days after pollination (DAP).

150 genes had at least two-fold higher expression upon loss of *hdg3*, while 238 genes

154   had at least two-fold lower expression in *hdg3* mutant endosperm (Fig 2C, S2 Table).

Differentially expressed genes included developmental regulators such as *Homeobox 3*

156   (*WOX9*) and gibberellin oxidases, which effect the level of a key phytohormone

necessary for typical seed development (21) (Fig 2D). The loss of *hdg3* also impacted

158   ten imprinted genes, including the MEG *HDG9* (Fig 2D). Thus, we hypothesized that low

expression of *HDG3* from Cvi paternal alleles might mimic *hdg3* mutants. Indeed, of the

160   238 genes that are down-regulated in *hdg3* mutants, 100 are also down regulated in Col

x Cvi crosses, where *HDG3* expression is also low. This is a highly significant overlap

162   (hypergeometric test in R, p = 6.079e-69) (Fig 2C-D). These data suggest that the Cvi

HDG3 allele, in its hypomethylated and relatively transcriptionally repressed state, could

164   be important for accession-specific developmental traits imparted by Cvi. Thus, to test

both the imprinting mechanism and function of *HDG3* further, we introduced methylation

166   at the *HDG3* locus in Cvi.

168   **An inverted repeat induces methylation in the region 5' of *HDG3* in Cvi**

To distinguish the importance of genetic variation from epigenetic variation for

170   *HDG3* expression and imprinting, we generated transgenic lines in which the

endogenous *HDG3* Cvi allele gained methylation in the same region that is methylated in

172   Col. Cvi was transformed with a transgene consisting of an inverted repeat (*HDG3* IR) of

the 450 bp *HDG3* 5' region from Cvi under the control of the constitutive 35S promoter.

174   Processing of the expressed hairpin RNA into small RNAs is expected to direct

methylation to the endogenous *HDG3* Cvi locus. We identified multiple independent

176   transgenic lines in which the *HDG3* 5' region gained methylation in leaves in all

sequence contexts (S3 Fig). DNA methylation was present in the same region as in Col,

178   although non-CG methylation was considerably higher (S3 Fig).

To determine whether the Cvi allele remained methylated when paternally

180   inherited in endosperm, Cvi *HDG3* IR plants from three independent transgenic lines

were crossed as males to wild type Col females and DNA methylation was evaluated in

182   F_1 endosperm by locus-specific bisulfite-PCR. The paternally inherited *HDG3* Cvi allele

from the IR line was hypermethylated relative to the paternally inherited *HDG3* Cvi allele

184   in Col x Cvi endosperm (Fig 3; S4 Fig). The *HDG3* Cvi IR allele was methylated in both

CG and non-CG contexts, indicative of RNA-directed DNA methylation, although at a

186   lower level than in leaves or in F_1 embryos (S4 Fig). The maternally inherited Col allele

was unaffected in Col x Cvi *HDG3* IR endosperm, remaining hypomethylated like in Col

188   x Cvi endosperm. Thus, we successfully established an alternate epigenetic state

specifically for the Cvi *HDG3* allele in endosperm.

Methylation of the *HDG3* 5' region is sufficient to promote expression and

192   **imprinting**

Having established a methylated Cvi *HDG3* allele, we tested whether paternal allele

194   methylation was sufficient to switch *HDG3* from a non-imprinted, repressed state to an

imprinted, more active state. In two independent lines, *in situ* hybridization of F_1 seeds

196   from Col x Cvi *HDG3* IR crosses indicated the presence of *HDG3* transcript in

endosperm, in contrast to Col x Cvi endosperm (Fig 4A-E). Hybridization signal was

198   primarily detected in uncellularized endosperm on the chalazal side of the peripheral

endosperm (Fig 4B-E). However, the penetrance of Cvi *HDG3* expression was variable,

200   with about half of the seeds exhibiting *HDG3* expression detectable by in situ (Fig 4B-C.)

Analysis of total *HDG3* transcript abundance by RT-qPCR at 6-7 days after pollination

202   showed that *HDG3* expression was 2-3-fold higher in Col x Cvi *HDG3* IR endosperm

compared to Col x Cvi endosperm (Fig 4F). Higher expression of *HDG3* in Col x Cvi

204   *HDG3* IR endosperm is consistent with *HDG3* being more highly expressed when

imprinted (Fig 1). To measure allele-specific expression of *HDG3*, Col and Cvi alleles

206   were distinguished using TaqMan probes in an RT-qPCR assay. In crosses between Col

females and three independent Cvi *HDG3* IR lines, the fraction of transcript derived from

208   the Cvi allele increased compared to control crosses between Col females and Cvi

males. In Col x Cvi, the Cvi allele accounts for 23% of the transcripts by this assay, in

210   good agreement with prior allele-specific mRNA-seq results (10). In Col x Cvi *HDG3* IR

lines, the Cvi fraction was between 50-60%, indicating paternal allele bias (the

212   expectation for non-imprinted genes is 33% paternal). This is slightly less than the

fraction of paternal allele expression in Cvi x Col crosses by mRNA-seq (79%) (10).

214   These data indicate that the naturally occurring methylation variation at *HDG3* is

sufficient to explain imprinting variation. We conclude that the methylated Cvi *HDG3*

216   allele in Cvi *HDG3* IR plants is active and the gene is imprinted.

Does the change of *HDG3* imprinting in Cvi affect seed development? To test the

218   phenotypic consequences of expressing *HDG3* from previously repressed Cvi alleles,

we compared the phenotypes of seeds from Col x Cvi (low *HDG3* expression) and Col x

220   Cvi *HDG3* IR (2-4-fold increased *HDG3* expression) seeds by sectioning and staining

(Fig. 5). In crosses with the *HDG3* IR lines, endosperm cellularization occurred at an

222   earlier stage of embryo development, where it was observed as early as the globular

stage of embryogenesis. These observations support the hypothesis that

224   hypomethylation and repression of the Cvi *HDG3* allele is important for Cvi-directed

developmental programs and that epiallelic variation contributes to the natural variation

226   in seed development in Arabidopsis.

228   **Discussion**

The establishment, maintenance, and inheritance of DNA methylation are fairly

230   well understood processes. Disruption of methylation patterns by mutations in DNA

methyltransferases enzymes have clear gene expression consequences. However,

232   whether or not methylation is regulatory during development – meaning that dynamic

loss or gain of methylation is a normal aspect of gene regulation – is less well

234   understood. An exception to this is in the endosperm, where active DNA demethylation

in the female gamete before fertilization establishes differential DNA methylation after

236   fertilization, a step that is essential for normal seed development (22). We thus

hypothesized that the phenotypic impact of naturally occurring epialleles might be most

238   pronounced in the endosperm, because the differential methylation between maternal

and paternal alleles that is required for gene imprinting could be variable across

240   accessions (10). We have shown that *HDG3* represents a case study of this proposed

phenomenon. By placing a methylation trigger in Cvi (the *HDG3* IR transgene), we were

242   able to convert the Cvi *HDG3* allele from a hypomethylated to a methylated state. This

switch in methylation was sufficient to promote expression of the paternally inherited Cvi

244   *HDG3* allele in endosperm to 3-fold higher levels. Because we altered methylation at the

endogenous *HDG3* Cvi locus, which retains all DNA sequence polymorphisms, we have

246   shown that methylation variation alone, or the act of methylating the DNA, is sufficient to

cause imprinting variation. However, our results also show that it is unlikely that

248   methylation of the proximal TE accounts for all of the expression differences between

paternal Col and Cvi *HDG3* alleles in endosperm. The paternally inherited methylated

250   Cvi allele, while more highly expressed than paternally inherited hypomethylated Cvi

allele, was not as highly expressed as paternally inherited methylated Col alleles in

252   endosperm (Figs 1 and 4). Additional *cis* genetic or *trans* genetic or epigenetic variation

likely also affects *HDG3* expression levels.

254   Our experiments also shed light on the relative receptiveness of maternal and

paternal endosperm genomes to *de novo* methylation. The *HDG3* inverted repeat

256   transgene should create endosperm small RNAs that are homologous to both Col and

Cvi alleles. Yet, in endosperm from Col x Cvi *HDG3* IR crosses, the maternally inherited

258 Col alleles remained hypomethylated, whereas the paternally inherited Cvi allele had

high levels of non-CG methylation (Fig 3, S4 Fig). In contrast, F_1 embryos from the same

260 crosses were indeed more highly methylated in the non-CG context on maternal Col

alleles compared to maternal Col alleles from Col x Cvi crosses (S4 Fig). Thus,

262 maternally inherited *HDG3* alleles in endosperm are refractory to *de novo* methylation

even when a methylation trigger is present, in contrast to maternally inherited *HDG3*

264 alleles in embryos. These results further support findings that once a region is actively

demethylated on the maternally inherited endosperm genome, it is "protected" from *de*

266 *novo* methylation even when triggering small RNAs are present (20).

Finally, although the direct targets of the *HDG3* transcription factor are still

268 unknown, we have shown that natural variation in *HDG3* expression (expressed in Col,

low expression in Cvi) has consequences for seed gene expression programs and

270 development (Figs 2 and 5). Ectopic expression of *HDG3* in seeds fathered by Cvi

caused early endosperm cellularization (Fig 5). These findings are consistent with class

272 IV HD-ZIP genes inhibiting the cell cycle and promoting cellular differentiation (13,16).

Thus, seed phenotypic differences can be caused by methylation differences at single

274 genes. This study provides further evidence that epigenetic differences underlie

developmental adaptations in plants. We have previously shown that the imprinting

276 status of many genes varies between accessions; our current study argues that

intraspecific variation in imprinting is an important determinant of seed developmental

278 variation.

280 **Materials and Methods**

Plant Material

282 The SALK insertion mutant was obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource

Center (23). *hdg3-1* (SALK_033462) was backcrossed to Col-0 three times before

284 experimentation. For experiments comparing or crossing wild-type and *hdg3* mutant

plants, plants were F_3 segregants from selfed progeny of *HDG3/hdg3-1*. Plants were

286 grown in a growth chamber or greenhouse with 16-hour days at 22° C. For crosses,

flowers were emasculated and then pollinated after 2 days. For Col and *hdg3-1* seed

288 stage analysis, seeds were collected at 6 or 8 DAP and cleared in chloral hydrate. The

evaluator was blind to the seed genotype for experiment #1 (Fig 2, MG evaluated) and

290 experiment #3 (Fig S2, DP evaluated), but not for experiment #2 (Fig S2, MG

evaluated). Seed stage was described according to (24).

In situ hybridization

294 Controlled floral pollinations were performed for each specified cross. Siliques were

harvested 5 or 6 days after pollination (DAP) and fixed in FAA overnight at 4°C.

296 Following dehydration and clearing (HistoClear, National Diagnostics), samples were

embedded in Paraplast Plus (McCormick Scientific), and sectioned at 9 μM (Leica RM

298 2065 rotary microtome). Ribbons were mounted with DEPC water on ProbeOn Plus

slides (Fisher) at 42°C and dried overnight at 37°C. For probes, a 278 bp region of

300 *HDG3* (S3 Table) and previously published 602 bp probe for *PDF1* (25) were amplified

from endosperm cDNA and cloned into P-GEM T vectors (Promega). Plasmids

302 containing sense and antisense oriented fragments were identified and linear templates

were amplified using M13 forward and reverse primers for probe synthesis. Antisense

304 and sense RNA probes were synthesized in vitro with digoxigenin-UTPs using T7 or SP6

polymerase (DIG RNA labeling kit; Roche/Sigma Aldrich). Probes were subsequently

306 hydrolyzed and dot blots were performed to estimate probe concentration. Pre-

hybridization steps were preformed according to (26) except Pronase digestion occurred

308   for 15 minutes at 37°C. Hybridization and post-hybridizations were performed according

to (27), with minor modifications. For higher confidence in directly comparing expression

310   patterns, slides corresponding to the cross and its reciprocal were processed face to

face in the same pairs for hybridization, antibody, and detection steps. Negative controls

312   consisted of hybridizing sense probes to wild-type tissue and antisense probes to *hdg3*

tissue. The sense probe lacked signal (S1 Fig). A probe to *PDF1*, which is expressed in

314   the L1 embryo layer (28), served as a positive control for successful *in situ* hybridization

(S1 Fig). Hybridization was performed overnight at 55°C, slides were then washed twice

316   in 0.2X SSC for 60 mins each at 55°C, then twice in NTE for 5 min at 37°C and RNaseA

treated for 20 min at 37°C, followed by two more 5 min NTE washes. Slides were

318   incubated at room temperature for 1 hour with Anti-DIG antibody (Roche/Sigma Aldrich)

diluted 1:1250 in buffer A (27). Slides were then washed four times for 20 min each at

320   room temperature with buffer A and once for 5 min with detection buffer (27).

Colorimetric detections were performed using NBT/BCIP Ready-To-Use Tablets

322   (Roche/Sigma Aldrich) dissolved in water. Slides were allowed to develop 16-24 hours

before stopping. Slides were dehydrated, mounted in Permount (Electron Microscopy

324   Sciences) and imaged using a Zeiss Axio Imager M2. Minor level adjustments and smart

sharpen were applied to images to compensate for image transfer from live to digital

326   (Adobe Photoshop).

328   **Seed Staining**

Plant material was fixed and embedded as previously described and sectioned at 9 µm.

330   Slides were dewaxed twice in xylenes for 5 minutes, rehydrated through a graded

ethanol/0.85% salt series from 100%-30%, 1 minute each, stained in 0.6% Safranin O

332   Solution (Cat# 2016-03, Sigma Aldrich) for 5 minutes, washed with water, stained with a

saturated 2.5% Aniline blue (Harleco–EMD Millipore, #128-12) in 2% glacial acetic acid

334 aqueous solution for 3 minutes, washed with water, rapidly dehydrated though graded

ethanol/salt series to 100%, 5 seconds for each step, and then twice in xylenes for 5

336 minutes each. Slides were briefly drained, cover slipped and mounted with Cytoseal™

60 (Thermo Scientific) and imaged using a Zeiss Axio Imager M2.

Inverted repeat transgene

340 The 450 bp sequence 5' of *HDG3* corresponding to a fragment of AT2TE60490 from

Chr2: 13740010-13740460 was amplified from Cvi (S3 Table) and cloned into the

342 directional entry vector pENTR-TOPO-D (Invitrogen). The sequence was then inserted

twice in an inverted repeat conformation into the vector pFGCGW (29) with a LR clonase

344 reaction (Invitrogen). Cvi plants were transformed with the inverted repeat transgene by

floral dipping and T_1 lines were screened for DNA methylation using bisulfite sequencing.

346 T_3 plants homozygous for the IR transgene and with a methylated *HDG3* 5' region in

leaves were identified and used for subsequent experiments.

Quantitative RT-PCR

350 RNA was isolated from endosperm at 6 or 7 DAP as described (30) using RNAqueous

Micro Kit (Ambion, Life Technologies Corporation). DNASe I-treated RNA (Invitrogen,

352 Life Technologies Corporation) was used for cDNA synthesis with oligo-dT primer using

Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to manufacturers' instructions.

354 Quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed using Fast Sybr-Green mix or TaqMan

Mastermix (Applied Biosystems). All reactions were performed in three or four technical

356 replicates using a StepOne Plus Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). For

Sybr-Green based assays, relative expression was calculated using the ddCt method as

358 described (31). The reference gene was AT1G58050 (32). For allele-specific expression

in Col-Cvi crosses, a multiplex TaqMan assay was developed by designing primers and

360   PrimeTime® Double-quenched Custom Probes with online tool

http://www.idtdna.com/pages/products/gene-expression/custom-qpcr-probes. Cycling

362   conditions were 15 cycles: 95 °C for 15 seconds, 63 °C for 30 seconds, 72 °C for 30

seconds followed by 25 cycles: 95 °C for 15 seconds, 63 °C for 30 seconds with

364   touchdown 0.05°C/cycle, and 72 °C for 30 seconds. The relative expression of each

allele within each genotype was calculated using a standard curve (R^2 value >0.99) as

366   reference. Primer and probe sequences are available in S3 Table.

368   **Bisulfite sequencing**

Genomic DNA was isolated from leaves, endosperm and embryo at 6 or 7 days after

370   pollination using a CTAB procedure. Bisulfite treatment was performed using the

MethylCode Bisulfite Conversion Kit (Invitrogen, Life Technologies Corporation) or

372   BisulFlash DNA Bisulfite Conversion Easy Kit (Epigentek Group Inc.) following the

manufacturer's protocols. 2 µl bisulfite treated DNA was used in PCR reactions with 2.5

374   U ExTaq DNA polymerase (Takara) and 0.4 µM primers using the following cycling

conditions (95 °C 3 minutes, 40 cycles of [95 °C for 15 seconds, 50 °C for 30 seconds,

376   72 °C for 45 seconds], 72 °C for 5 minutes). PCR products were gel purified, cloned

using a TOPO-TA (Invitrogen) or CloneJet (Life Technologies) PCR cloning kit and

378   individual colonies were sequenced. Sequenced products were aligned using SeqMan

and methylation was quantified using CyMate (33).

mRNA-seq

382   RNA was isolated from endosperm of Col-0, *hdg3-1* and Col-0 x Cvi seeds at 7 DAP as

described above. Three replicates for each cross were obtained. DNAse treated RNA

384   was used as input for the SmartSeq Clontech Ultralow RNA-Seq kit. Libraries were

constructed by the Genome Technology Core at Whitehead Institute. Six libraries were

386 multiplexed per lane in a Hi-Seq 2500 Standard mode, 40 base, single read run. Each

replicate was sequenced to a depth of between 33 and 41 million reads. Reads were

388 processed with Trim_galore using the command "*trim_galore -q 25 --phred64   --fastqc*

--stringency 5 --length 18". Processed reads were aligned to the TAIR10 genome with

390 Tophat2 (34) using the command "*tophat -i 30 -I 3000 --b2-very-sensitive --solexa1.3-*

quals -p 5 --segment-mismatches 1 --segment-length 18". Differential gene expression

392 was detected with Cuffdiff2 (35) and the ARAPORT11 annotation (S1 and S2 Tables).

Reads are deposited in GEO GSExxx.

Acknowledgements

396 We thank R. Jaenisch and P. Reddien for sharing equipment for in situ hybridization and

B. Williams for comments on the manuscript.

Author Contributions

400 Conceptualization: MG and DP. Investigation: KN, DP, PRVS, and MG. Writing –

Original Draft and Preparation: MG and PRVS. Writing – Reviewing and Editing: KN, DP,

402 PRVS, MG. Funding Acquisition: MG.

404 # References

1. Gehring M, Huh JH, Hsieh T-F, Penterman J, Choi Y, Harada JJ, et al. DEMETER
406 DNA glycosylase establishes MEDEA polycomb gene self-imprinting by allele-
specific demethylation. Cell. 2006; 124(3):495–506.

408 2. Gehring M, Bubb KL, Henikoff S. Extensive demethylation of repetitive elements
during seed development underlies gene imprinting. Science. 2009;
324(5933):1447–51.

3. Hsieh T-F, Ibarra CA, Silva P, Zemach A, Eshed-Williams L, Fischer RL, et al. Genome-wide demethylation of Arabidopsis endosperm. Science. 2009; 324(5933):1451–4.

4. Satyaki PRV, Gehring M. DNA methylation and imprinting in plants: machinery and mechanisms. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol. 2017; 52(2):163–75.

5. Schmitz RJ, Schultz MD, Urich MA, Nery JR, Pelizzola M, Libiger O, et al. Patterns of population epigenomic diversity. Nature. 2013; 495(7440):193–8.

6. Kawakatsu T, Huang S-SC, Jupe F, Sasaki E, Schmitz RJ, Urich MA, et al. Epigenomic Diversity in a Global Collection of Arabidopsis thaliana Accessions.
Cell. 2016; 166(2):492–505.

        7. Durand S, Bouché N, Perez Strand E, Loudet O, Camilleri C. Rapid Establishment
422   of Genetic Incompatibility through Natural Epigenetic Variation. Current Biology. 2012; 22(4):326–31.

424   8. Weigel D, Colot V. Epialleles in plant evolution. Genome Biol. 2012; 13(10):249.

        9. Aller EST, Jagd LM, Kliebenstein DJ, Burow M. Comparison of the Relative
426   Potential for Epigenetic and Genetic Variation To Contribute to Trait Stability. G3 (Bethesda). 2018; 8(5):1733–46.

428   10. Pignatta D, Erdmann RM, Scheer E, Picard CL, Bell GW, Gehring M. Natural epigenetic polymorphisms lead to intraspecific variation in Arabidopsis gene
430   imprinting. eLife. 2014; 3:e03198.

        11. Alonso-Blanco C, Blankestijn-De Vries H, Hanhart CJ, Koornneef M. Natural
432   allelic variation at seed size loci in relation to other life history traits of Arabidopsis thaliana. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States
434   of America. 1999; 96(8):4710–7.

        12. Nakamura M, Katsumata H, Abe M, Yabe N, Komeda Y, Yamamoto KT, et al.
436   Characterization of the class IV homeodomain-Leucine Zipper gene family in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 2006; 141(4):1363–75.

438   13. Robinson DO, Roeder AHK. Themes and variations in cell type patterning in the plant epidermis. Current Opinion in Genetics & Development. 2015; 32:55–65.

440   14. Abe M, Katsumata H, Komeda Y, Takahashi T. Regulation of shoot epidermal cell differentiation by a pair of homeodomain proteins in Arabidopsis. Development.
442   2003; 30(4):635–43.

        15. Roeder AHK, Cunha A, Ohno CK, Meyerowitz EM. Cell cycle regulates cell type in
444   the Arabidopsis sepal. Development. 2012; 139(23):4416–27.

        16. Horstman A, Fukuoka H, Muino JM, Nitsch L, Guo C, Passarinho P, et al. AIL and
HDG proteins act antagonistically to control cell proliferation. Development. 2015; 142(3):454–64.

17. Kinoshita T. One-Way Control of FWA Imprinting in Arabidopsis Endosperm by DNA Methylation. Science 2004; 303(5657):521–3.

18. Hsieh T-F, Shin J, Uzawa R, Silva P, Cohen S, Bauer MJ, et al. Regulation of imprinted gene expression in Arabidopsis endosperm. Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2011; 108(5):1755–62.

19. Wolff P, Jiang H, Wang G, Santos-González J, Köhler C. Paternally expressed imprinted genes establish postzygotic hybridization barriers in Arabidopsis
thaliana. eLife. 2015; 4:e10074.

     20. Erdmann RM, Satyaki PRV, Klosinska M, Gehring M. A Small RNA Pathway
458   Mediates Allelic Dosage in Endosperm. Cell Rep. 2017; 21(12):3364–72.

     21. Singh DP, Jermakow AM, Swain SM. Gibberellins are required for seed
460   development and pollen tube growth in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2002; 14(12):3133–47.

462 22. Gehring M, Satyaki PR. Endosperm and Imprinting, Inextricably Linked. Plant Physiol. 2017;1 73(1):143–54.

464 23. Alonso JM, Stepanova AN, Leisse TJ, Kim CJ, Chen H, Shinn P, et al. Genome-wide insertional mutagenesis of Arabidopsis thaliana. Science. 2003;
466   301(5633):653–7.

     24. Bowman J. Arabidopsis: An atlas of morphology and development. Springer-
468   Verlag; 1994.

     25. Kunieda T, Mitsuda N, Ohme-Takagi M, Takeda S, Aida M, Tasaka M, et al. NAC
470   family proteins NARS1/NAC2 and NARS2/NAM in the outer integument regulate embryogenesis in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2008; 20(10):2631–42.

472 26. Jackson D. In situ hybridization in plants. In: Molecular plant pathology : a practical approach. Oxford University Press; 1991.

474 27. Bortiri E, Chuck G, Vollbrecht E, Rocheford T, Martienssen R, Hake S. ramosa2 encodes a LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARY domain protein that determines the
476   fate of stem cells in branch meristems of maize. Plant Cell. 2006; 18(3):574–85.

     28. Tanaka H, Watanabe M, Sasabe M, Hiroe T, Tanaka T, Tsukaya H, et al. Novel
478   receptor-like kinase ALE2 controls shoot development by specifying epidermis in Arabidopsis. Development. 2007; 134(9):1643–52.

480 29. Zhang C, Galbraith DW. RNA interference-mediated gene knockdown within specific cell types. Plant Molecular Biology. 2012; 80(2):169–76.

482 30. Gehring M, Missirian V, Henikoff S. Genomic Analysis of Parent-of-Origin Allelic Expression in Arabidopsis thaliana Seeds. PLoS ONE. 2011; 6(8):e23687.

484   31.   Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-
             time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods. 2001;
486   25(4):402–8.

      32.   Czechowski T, Stitt M, Altmann T, Udvardi MK, Scheible W-R. Genome-wide
488   identification and testing of superior reference genes for transcript normalization in
             Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 2005; 139(1):5–17.

490   33.   Hetzl J, Foerster AM, Raidl G, Mittelsten Scheid O. CyMATE: a new tool for
             methylation analysis of plant genomic DNA after bisulphite sequencing. Plant J.
492   2007; 51(3):526–36.

      34.   Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL. TopHat2:
494   accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and
             gene fusions. Genome Biol. 2013; 14(4):R36.

496   35.   Trapnell C, Hendrickson DG, Sauvageau M, Goff L, Rinn JL, Pachter L.
             Differential analysis of gene regulation at transcript resolution with RNA-seq. Nat
498   Biotechnol. 2013; 31(1):46–53.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Negative and positive controls for in situ hybridization.

502   **S2 Fig. Phenotypic effects of low *HDG3* expression.**

S3 Fig. Gain of *HDG3* methylation in Cvi IR lines.

504   **S4 Fig. Bisulfite-PCR analysis of *HDG3* methylation in seeds.**

S1 Table. Gene expression difference in ColxCvi endosperm vs. Col endosperm at

506   **7 DAP determined using CuffDiff.**

S2 Table. Gene expression differences in *hdg3-1* endosperm vs. Col endosperm at

508   **7 DAP determined using CuffDiff.**

S3 Table. Oligos used in this study.

Figure Legends

512   **Fig 1. Natural variation in imprinting is associated with differences in *HDG3***

expression levels.

514 (A) *HDG3* expression is decreased in Col x Cvi endosperm compared to Col, as

determined by mRNA-seq. (B) Schematic of the *HDG3* locus. DMR, differentially

516 methylated region. (C) In situ hybridization of *HDG3* (purple) in F_1 seeds from the

indicated crosses. Female parent written first. In crosses where Col is the male parent,

518 *HDG3* is detected in the micropylar (MCE), peripheral (PEN), and chalazal (CZE)

endosperm. Arrowheads indicate nuclear-cytoplasmic domains. Number of seeds with

520 shown pattern out of total seeds assayed is in corner of each image. At least two

independent in situ experiments were performed for each genotype. Scale bars, 50 μm.

522 (D) RT-qPCR analysis of relative *HDG3* transcript abundance in F_1 endosperm. Values

are the average of 3 biological replicates, bars represent upper and lower range. (E)

524 Schematic representation of relationship between *HDG3* methylation, expression, and

imprinting. Thickness of arrows denotes relative expression level. Lollipops represent

526 methylated (filled) and unmethylated (open) cytosines.

528 **Fig 2. Transcriptional and phenotypic effects associated with low *HDG3***

expression.

530 (A) In situ hybridization of *HDG3* (purple) in seeds from the indicated crosses. Scale

bars, 50 μm. (B) Seed development is delayed in *hdg3* (6 DAP, n=290 seeds; 8DAP,

532 n=611) compared to wild-type (6 DAP, n=255; 8 DAP, n=188). (C) Genes downregulated

in *hdg3* mutant endosperm also have reduced expression in Col x Cvi endosperm

534 compared to Col. The plot shows the expression profile of genes with significantly

altered expression in *hdg3-1* endosperm (p<0.05). Genes were hierarchically clustered

536 by Euclidean distance and complete linkage using Gene-E. (D) A subset of putative

developmental regulators with reduced expression in *hdg3* and ColxCvi endosperm.

Fig 3. Gain of Cvi *HDG3* paternal allele methylation in endosperm.

540   Total methylcytosine 5' of *HDG3* in F_1 endosperm, determined by bisulfite-PCR.

Maternally inherited Col allele in orange, paternally inherited Cvi allele in blue. Scale to

542   100%, tick marks below line indicate unmethylated cytosines. Col x Cvi data published in

Pignatta et al., *eLife* 2014.

Fig 4. *HDG3* is imprinted in Cvi *HDG3* IR lines.

546   *HDG3* in situ for (A) Col x Cvi (B) Col x Cvi HDG3 IR #2-5 and (C) Col x Cvi *HDG3* IR

#3-4. Arrowheads indicate regions of in situ signal. (D) Magnification of chalazal region

548   in B. (E) Magnification of chalazal region in C. Scale bars, 50 μm. (F) RT-qPCR of

relative *HDG3* transcript abundance in F_1 endosperm at 6-7 DAP. Dashed line separates

550   experiments done at different times. Left, avg of 3 technical replicates. Right, avg of

biological duplicates. Bars show upper and lower range. (G) % of *HDG3* from Cvi allele

552   in endosperm by TaqMan RT-qPCR assay.

554   **Fig 5. Effects of *HDG3* imprinting on seed development.**

Aniline blue and safranin O staining of seed sections at 5 days after pollination. (A-B)

556   Col x Cvi (C-D) Col x Cvi *HDG3* IR #2-5. (E-F) Col x Cvi *HDG3* IR #3-4. Scale bars, 50

μm.

Fig 1. Natural variation in imprinting is associated with differences in *HDG3* expression levels. (A) *HDG3* expression is decreased in Col x Cvi endosperm compared to Col, as determined by mRNA-seq. (B) Schematic of the *HDG3* locus. DMR, differentially methylated region. (C) In situ hybridization of *HDG3* (purple) in F1 seeds from the indicated crosses. Female parent written first. In crosses where Col is the male parent, *HDG3* transcript is detected in the micropylar (MCE), peripheral (PEN), and chalazal (CZE) endosperm. Arrowheads indicate nuclear-cytoplasmic domains. Number of seeds with shown pattern out of total seeds assayed is in corner of each image. At least two independent in situ experiments were performed for each genotype. Scale bars, 50 μm. (D) RT-qPCR analysis of relative *HDG3* transcript abundance in F1 endosperm. Values are average of 3 biological replicates, bars represent upper and lower range. (E) Schematic representation of relationship between *HDG3* methylation, expression, and imprinting in endosperm. Thickness of arrows denotes relative expression level. Lollipops represent methylated (filled) and unmethylated (open) cytosines.

Fig 2. Transcriptional and phenotypic effects associated with low *HDG3* expression. (A) In situ hybridization of *HDG3* (purple) in seeds from the indicated crosses. Scale bars, 50 μm. (B) Seed development is delayed in *hdg3* (6 DAP, n=290 seeds; 8DAP, n=611) compared to wild-type (6 DAP, n=255; 8 DAP, n=188). (C) Genes downregulated in *hdg3* mutant endosperm also have reduced expression in Col x Cvi endosperm compared to Col. The plot shows the expression profile of genes with significantly altered expression in *hdg3-1* endosperm (p<0.05). Genes were hierarchically clustered by Euclidean distance and complete linkage using Gene-E. (D) A subset of putative developmental regulators with reduced expression in *hdg3* and ColxCvi endosperm.

Fig 3. Gain of Cvi *HDG3* paternal allele methylation in endosperm. Total methylcytosine 5'
of *HDG3* in F1 endosperm, determined by bisulfite-PCR. Maternally inherited Col allele in
orange, paternally inherited Cvi allele in blue. Scale to 100%, tick marks below line indicate
unmethylated cytosines. Col x Cvi data published in Pignatta et al., *eLife* 2014.

Fig 4. *HDG3* is imprinted in Cvi *HDG3* IR lines. *HDG3* in situ for (A) Col x Cvi (B) Col x Cvi *HDG3* IR #2-5 and (C) Col x Cvi *HDG3* IR #3-4. Arrowheads indicate regions of in situ signal. (D) Magnification of chalazal region in B. (E) Magnification of chalazal region in C. Scale bars, 50 μm. (F) RT-qPCR of relative *HDG3* transcript abundance in F1 endosperm at 6-7 DAP. Dashed line separates experiments done at different times. Left, avg of 3 technical replicates. Right, avg of biological duplicates. Bars show lower and upper range. (G) % of *HDG3* from Cvi allele in endosperm by TaqMan qRT-PCR assay.

Fig 5. Effects of *HDG3* imprinting on seed development. Aniline blue and safranin O staining of seed sections at 5 DAP. (A-B) Col x Cvi (C-D) Col x Cvi *HDG3* IR #2-5 (E-F) Col x Cvi *HDG3* IR #3-4. Scale bars, 50 µm.

S1 Fig. Negative and positive controls for in situ hybridization. (A) *HDG3* sense probe hybridization for Col x Col seed. (B) *PDF1* antisense probe hybridization for *hdg3-1* x *hdg3-1* seed. Scale bars, 50 μm.

Fig S2. Phenotypic effects of low *HDG3* expression. (A) Additional replicates of *hdg3-1* seed stage phenotyping at 6 DAP. Seeds analyzed for Col are 386 for experiment #2 and 104 for experiment #3, for *hdg3-1* seeds analyzed are 137 for experiment #2 and 230 for experiment #3. (B) Tetraploid *hdg3-1* does not rescue interploidy seed lethality. Tetraploid *pol iv* mutants are a positive control for interploidy seed rescue. Number of seeds analyzed on top of bars.

A

B

Col
Cvi
Cvi IR #1-6
Cvi IR #2-4
Cvi IR #2-5
Cvi IR #3-4
Cvi IR #3-5

Helitron   *HDG3*
          IR target

C

Tissue	# clones	% CG	% CHG	% CHH
Col leaf	15	80	24	14
Cvi leaf	11	1	0	0
Cvi IR #1-6 leaf	10	94	73	38
Cvi IR #2-4 leaf	7	96	38	21
Cvi IR #2-5 leaf	6	93	72	48
Cvi IR #3-4 leaf	15	99	69	51
Cvi IR #3-5 leaf	8	90	75	46

S3 Fig. Gain of *HDG3* methylation in Cvi IR lines. (A) Cvi naturally lacks small
RNAs at the TE 5' of HDG3. DNA methylation data is from Col embryos (data from
Pignatta et al., *eLife* 2014); small RNA data is from Col, L*er* and Cvi embryos (data
from Erdmann et al., *Cell Rep.* 2017). (B) Total DNA methylation in Col, Cvi, and Cvi
HDG3 IR leaves as determined by bisulfite-PCR. Scale is 100%, tick marks below line
indicate unmethylated cytosines. (C) Quantification of above data. Col and Cvi leaf
data are from Pignatta et al., *eLife* 2014.

Cross	Tissue	Allele	# clones	% CG	% CHG	% CHH
Cvi x Col	Endosperm	Col	14	77.6	9.5	4.8
		Cvi	9	1.6	0	0
	Embryo	Col	9	74.1	3.7	7.0
		Cvi	18	2.3	0	2.5
Col x Cvi	Endosperm	Col	14	34.5	9.5	0.4
		Cvi	9	0	0	0.6
	Embryo	Col	16	87.5	14.6	3.6
		Cvi	6	2.4	5.6	0
Col x Cvi IR #1	Endosperm	Col	20	16.0	13.6	9.5
		Cvi	9	64.5	66.7	35.9
Col x Cvi IR #2	Endosperm	Col	31	31.0	14.0	5.7
		Cvi	21	18.0	19.1	28.2
	Embryo	Col	11	100	42.4	35.9
		Cvi	17	92.2	37.3	32
Col x Cvi IR #3	Endosperm	Col	8	30.0	24.0	17.4
		Cvi	23	86.1	69.6	40.6

S4 Fig. Bisulfite-PCR analysis of *HDG3* methylation in seeds. Female parent in cross listed first. IR lines are independent transgenic events. Cvi x Col and Col x Cvi data are from Pignatta et al., *eLife* 2014.

S3 Table. Oligos used in this study.

Oligo Name	Sequence 5'-3'	Gene	Purpose
Expression			
HDG3 Fwd Set 4	CATCATGGAGTTGGCATTTGG	*HDG3*	TaqMan RT-qPCR
HDG3 Rev Set 4	CAAAGCTAAGCTAGTGCCATTAAA	*HDG3*	TaqMan RT-qPCR
HDG3 Prb Set 4	/56-JOEN/ACT TGA GCC/ZEN/ ATC ACC AAG AGC TCC/3IABKFQ/	*HDG3* – Col	Col probe for TaqMan RT-qPCR
HDG3_Cvi	/56-FAM/ACT TGA GTC /ZEN/ ATC ACC AAG AAC TCC/3IABkFQ/	*HDG3* – Cvi	Cvi probe for Taqman RT-qPCR
MG510	TGCAACGGAGAGATGATGCACAAG	*HDG3*	Sybr Green RT-qPCR
MG511	TGCTCTTGCTAGTGTGTCCATGCC	*HDG3*	
MG446	CCATTCTACTTTTTGGCGGCT	AT1G58050	Sybr Green RT-qPCR
MG447	TCAATGGTAACTGATCCACTCTGATG	AT1G58050	
DNA methylation			
MG422	GTTTAAGGATATTTTGGATAATGTATTGA	*HDG3* 5' TE	BS-PCR
MG423	CTATRCTTTTATTAACTATATARATCRTTATACAC	*HDG3* 5' TE	
MG424	TACATCTCATATCTACAAATARTATTATTAAC	*HDG3* 5' TE	BS-PCR
MG425	TGGTATGAGYYTAGGAGAAATAATGTAAG	*HDG3* 5' TE	
In situ			
KN13	TCTCACCCTTCACCTCCATC	*PDF1*	Amplify 602 bp of *PDF1* coding sequence for in situ probe
KN14	GGGGTTGTGAAAGGGAACTT	*PDF1*	
KN19	GATGGGATCTAAGGGAAATGTCG	*HDG3*	Amplify 278 bp of *HDG3* coding sequence for in situ probe
KN20	ACATTGCCACGAGTGCAGTT	*HDG3*	
IR cloning			
DP215	CACCTACCAAATTATTATCTATTGAT	*HDG3* 5' TE	Amplify IR sequence for cloning
DP216	GAATTTCATAGTGAAATGGACCATC	*HDG3* 5' TE	